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#### Abstract

We describe the class of $n$-variable polynomial functions that satisfy Aczél's bisymmetry property over an arbitrary integral domain of characteristic zero with identity.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an integral domain of characteristic zero (hence $\mathcal{R}$ is infinite) with identity and let $n \geqslant 1$ be an integer. In this paper we provide a complete description of all the $n$-variable polynomial functions over $\mathcal{R}$ that satisfy the (Aczél) bisymmetry property. Recall that a function $f: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is bisymmetric if the $n^{2}$-variable mapping

$$
\left(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 n} ; \ldots ; x_{n 1}, \ldots, x_{n n}\right) \mapsto f\left(f\left(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1 n}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{n 1}, \ldots, x_{n n}\right)\right)
$$

does not change if we replace every $x_{i j}$ by $x_{j i}$.
The bisymmetry property for $n$-variable real functions goes back to Aczél [1, 2]. It has been investigated since then in the theory of functional equations by several authors, especially in characterizations of mean functions and some of their extensions (see, e.g., $[3,5-7]$ ). This property is also studied in algebra where it is called mediality. For instance, an algebra $(A, f)$ where $f$ is a bisymmetric binary operation is called a medial groupoid (see, e.g., $[8,9,11]$ ).

We now state our main result, which provides a description of the possible bisymmetric polynomial functions from $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ to $\mathcal{R}$. Let $\operatorname{Frac}(\mathcal{R})$ denote the fraction field of $\mathcal{R}$ and let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of nonnegative integers. For any $n$-tuple $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, we set $|\mathbf{x}|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}$.

Main Theorem. A polynomial function $P: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is bisymmetric if and only if it is
(i) univariate, or
(ii) of degree $\leqslant 1$, that is, of the form

$$
P(\mathbf{x})=a_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} x_{i}
$$

where $a_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$, or
(iii) of the form

$$
P(\mathbf{x})=a \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}+b\right)^{\alpha_{i}}-b
$$

where $a \in \mathcal{R}, b \in \operatorname{Frac}(\mathcal{R})$, and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ satisfy $a b^{k} \in \mathcal{R}$ for $k=1, \ldots,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|-1$ and $a b^{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|}-b \in \mathcal{R}$.

The following example, borrowed from [10], gives a polynomial function of class (iii) for which $b \notin \mathcal{R}$.

Example 1. The third-degree polynomial function $P: \mathbb{Z}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined on the ring $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers by

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=9 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+3\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3} x_{1}\right)+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}
$$

is bisymmetric since it is the restriction to $\mathbb{Z}$ of the bisymmetric polynomial function $Q: \mathbb{Q}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ defined on the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rationals by

$$
Q\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=9 \prod_{i=1}^{3}\left(x_{i}+\frac{1}{3}\right)-\frac{1}{3} .
$$

Since polynomial functions usually constitute the most basic functions, the problem of describing the class of bisymmetric polynomial functions is quite natural. On this subject it is noteworthy that a description of the class of bisymmetric lattice polynomial functions over bounded chains and more generally over distributive lattices has been recently obtained $[4,5]$ (there bisymmetry is called self-commutation), where a lattice polynomial function is a function representable by combinations of variables and constants using the fundamental lattice operations $\wedge$ and $\vee$.

From the Main Theorem we can derive the following test to determine whether a non-univariate polynomial function $P: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ of degree $p \geqslant 2$ is bisymmetric. For $k \in\{p-1, p\}$, let $P_{k}$ be the homogenous polynomial function obtained from $P$ by considering the terms of degree $k$ only. Then $P$ is bisymmetric if and only if $P_{p}$ is a monomial and $P_{p}(\mathbf{x})=P(\mathbf{x}-b \mathbf{1})+b$, where $\mathbf{1}=(1, \ldots, 1)$ and $b=P_{p-1}(\mathbf{1}) /\left(p P_{p}(\mathbf{1})\right)$.

Note that the Main Theorem does not hold for an infinite integral domain $\mathcal{R}$ of characteristic $r>0$. As a counterexample, the bivariate polynomial function $P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{r}+x_{2}^{r}$ is bisymmetric.

In the next section we provide the proof of the Main Theorem, assuming first that $\mathcal{R}$ is a field and then an integral domain.

## 2. Technicalities and proof of the Main Theorem

We observe that the definition of $\mathcal{R}$ enables us to identify the $\operatorname{ring} \mathcal{R}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of polynomials of $n$ indeterminates over $\mathcal{R}$ with the ring of polynomial functions of $n$ variables from $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ to $\mathcal{R}$.

It is a straightforward exercise to show that the $n$-variable polynomial functions given in the Main Theorem are bisymmetric. We now show that no other $n$-variable polynomial function is bisymmetric. We first consider the special case when $\mathcal{R}$ is a field. We then prove the Main Theorem in the general case (i.e., when $\mathcal{R}$ is an integral domain of characteristic zero with identity).

Unless stated otherwise, we henceforth assume that $\mathcal{R}$ is a field of characteristic zero. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $P: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a polynomial function of degree $p$. Thus $P$
can be written in the form

$$
P(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leqslant p} c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \quad \text { with } \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}
$$

where the sum is taken over all $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ such that $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leqslant p$.
The following lemma, which makes use of formal derivatives of polynomial functions, will be useful as we continue.

Lemma 2. For every polynomial function $B: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ of degree $p$ and every $\mathbf{x}_{0}, \mathbf{y}_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}+\mathbf{y}_{0}\right)=\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \leqslant p} \frac{\mathbf{y}_{0}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!}\left(\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} B\right)\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}=\partial_{x_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots \partial_{x_{n}}^{\alpha_{n}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}!=\alpha_{1}!\cdots \alpha_{n}!$.
Proof. It is enough to prove the result for monomial functions since both sides of (1) are additive on the function $B$. We then observe that for a monomial function $B(\mathbf{x})=c \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ the identity (1) reduces to the multi-binomial theorem.

As we will see, it is useful to decompose $P$ into its homogeneous components, that is, $P=\sum_{k=0}^{p} P_{k}$, where

$$
P_{k}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=k} c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}
$$

is the unique homogeneous component of degree $k$ of $P$. In this paper the homogeneous component of degree $k$ of a polynomial function $R$ will often be denoted by $[R]_{k}$.

Since $P_{p} \neq 0$, the polynomial function $Q=P-P_{p}$, that is

$$
Q(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|<p} c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},
$$

is of degree $q<p$ and its homogeneous component $[Q]_{q}$ of degree $q$ is $P_{q}$.
We now assume that $P$ is a bisymmetric polynomial function. This means that the polynomial identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)-P\left(P\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $n \times n$ matrix

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1 n}  \tag{3}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{n 1} & \cdots & x_{n n}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathcal{R}_{n}^{n}
$$

where $\mathbf{r}_{i}=\left(x_{i 1}, \ldots, x_{i n}\right)$ and $\mathbf{c}_{j}=\left(x_{1 j}, \ldots, x_{n j}\right)$ denote its $i$ th row and $j$ th column, respectively. Since all the polynomial functions of degree $\leqslant 1$ are bisymmetric, we may (and henceforth do) assume that $p \geqslant 2$.

From the decomposition $P=P_{p}+Q$ it follows that

$$
P\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)=P_{p}\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)+Q\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)
$$

where $Q\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)$ is of degree $p q$.
To obtain necessary conditions for $P$ to be bisymmetric, we will equate the homogeneous components of the same degree $>p q$ of both sides of (2). By the previous observation this amounts to considering the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{p}\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)-P_{p}\left(P\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right)\right]_{d}=0, \quad \text { for } p q<d \leqslant p^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying (1) to the polynomial function $P_{p}$ and the $n$-tuples

$$
\mathbf{x}_{0}=\left(P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{y}_{0}=\left(Q\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, Q\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right),
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant p} \frac{\mathbf{y}_{0}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}!} \partial_{\mathbf{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} P_{p}\left(\mathbf{x}_{0}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for $P_{p}\left(P\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right)$. We then observe that in the sum of (5) the term corresponding to a fixed $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is either zero or of degree

$$
q|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|+(p-|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|) p=p^{2}-(p-q)|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|
$$

and its homogeneous component of highest degree is obtained by ignoring the components of degrees $<q$ in $Q$, that is, by replacing $\mathbf{y}_{0}$ by $\left(P_{q}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{q}\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)$.

Using (4) with $d=p^{2}$, which leads us to consider the terms in (5) for which $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}\left(P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)-P_{p}\left(P_{p}\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{p}\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have proved the following claim.
Claim 3. The polynomial function $P_{p}$ is bisymmetric.
We now show that $P_{p}$ is a monomial function.
Proposition 4. Let $H: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a bisymmetric polynomial function of degree $p \geqslant 2$. If $H$ is homogeneous, then it is a monomial function.

Proof. Consider a bisymmetric homogeneous polynomial $H: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ of degree $p \geqslant 2$. There is nothing to prove if $H$ depends on one variable only. Otherwise, assume for the sake of a contradiction that $H$ is the sum of at least two monomials of degree $p$, that is,

$$
H(\mathbf{x})=a \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}+b \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}+\sum_{|\boldsymbol{\gamma}|=p} c_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbf{x}^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}
$$

where $a b \neq 0$ and $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=|\boldsymbol{\beta}|=p$. Using the lexicographic order $\leqslant$ over $\mathbb{N}^{n}$, we can assume that $\boldsymbol{\alpha}>\boldsymbol{\beta}>\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Applying the bisymmetry property of $H$ to the $n \times n$ matrix whose ( $i, j$ )-entry is $x_{i} y_{j}$, we obtain

$$
H(\mathbf{x})^{p} H\left(\mathbf{y}^{p}\right)=H(\mathbf{y})^{p} H\left(\mathbf{x}^{p}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{p}=\left(x_{1}^{p}, \ldots, x_{n}^{p}\right)$. Regarding this equality as a polynomial identity in $\mathbf{y}$ and then equating the coefficients of its monomial terms with exponent $p \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\mathbf{x})^{p}=a^{p-1} H\left(\mathbf{x}^{p}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{R}$ is of characteristic zero, there is a nonzero monomial term with exponent $(p-1) \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in the left-hand side of (7) while there is no such term in the right-hand side since $p \boldsymbol{\alpha}>(p-1) \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\boldsymbol{\beta}>p \boldsymbol{\beta}$ (since $p \geqslant 2$ ). Hence a contradiction.

The next claim follows immediately from Proposition 4.
Claim 5. $P_{p}$ is a monomial function.
By Claim 5 we can (and henceforth do) assume that there exist $c \in \mathcal{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, with $|\gamma|=p$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}(\mathbf{x})=c \mathbf{x}^{\gamma} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A polynomial function $F: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is said to depend on its $i$ th variable $x_{i}$ (or $x_{i}$ is essential in $F$ ) if $\partial_{x_{i}} F \neq 0$. The following claim shows that $P_{p}$ determines the essential variables of $P$.

Claim 6. If $P_{p}$ does not depend on the variable $x_{j}$, then $P$ does not depend on $x_{j}$.
Proof. Suppose that $\partial_{x_{j}} P_{p}=0$ and fix $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j$, such that $\partial_{x_{i}} P_{p} \neq 0$. By taking the derivative of both sides of (2) with respect to $x_{i j}$, the $(i, j)$-entry of the matrix $X$ in (3), we obtain
(9) $\left(\partial_{x_{i}} P\right)\left(P\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{x_{j}} P\right)\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}\right)=\left(\partial_{x_{j}} P\right)\left(P\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, P\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right)\left(\partial_{x_{i}} P\right)\left(\mathbf{c}_{j}\right)$.

Suppose for the sake of a contradiction that $\partial_{x_{j}} P \neq 0$. Thus, neither side of (9) is the zero polynomial. Let $R_{j}$ be the homogeneous component of $\partial_{x_{j}} P$ of highest degree and denote its degree by $r$. Since $P_{p}$ does not depend on $x_{j}$, we must have $r<p-1$. Then the homogeneous component of highest degree of the left-hand side in (9) is given by

$$
\left(\partial_{x_{i}} P_{p}\right)\left(P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right) R_{j}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}\right)
$$

and is of degree $p(p-1)+r$. But the right-hand side in (9) is of degree at most $r p+p-1=(r+1)(p-1)+r<p(p-1)+r$, since $r<p-1$ and $p \geqslant 2$. Hence a contradiction. Therefore $\partial_{x_{j}} P=0$.

We now give an explicit expression for $P_{q}=\left[P-P_{p}\right]_{q}$ in terms of $P_{p}$. We first present an equation that is satisfied by $P_{q}$.

Claim 7. $P_{q}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{q}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{i}} P_{p}\right)\left(P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{p}\left(\mathbf{r}_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_{q}\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{i}} P_{p}\right)\left(P_{p}\left(\mathbf{c}_{1}\right), \ldots, P_{p}\left(\mathbf{c}_{n}\right)\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every matrix $X$ as defined in (3).
Proof. By (6) and (8) we see that the left-hand side of (4) for $d=p^{2}$ is zero. Therefore, the highest degree terms in the sum of (5) are of degree $p^{2}-(p-q)>p q$ (because $(p-1)(p-q)>0)$ and correspond to those $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ for which $|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=1$. Collecting these terms and then considering only the homogeneous component of highest degree (that is, replacing $Q$ by $P_{q}$ ), we see that the identity (4) for $d=$ $p^{2}-(p-q)$ is precisely (10).

Claim 8. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{q}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{P_{q}(\mathbf{1})}{c p} P_{p}(\mathrm{x}) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{j}}{x_{j}^{p-q}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $P_{q}=0$ if there exists $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $0<\gamma_{j}<p-q$.
Proof. Considering Eq. (10) for a matrix $X$ such that $\mathbf{r}_{i}=\mathbf{x}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain

$$
c p P_{q}(\mathbf{x}) P_{p}(\mathbf{x})^{p-1}=P_{q}(\mathbf{1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{q}\left(\partial_{x_{i}} P_{p}\right)\left(c x_{1}^{p}, \ldots, c x_{n}^{p}\right)
$$

Since $\partial_{x_{i}} P_{p}(\mathbf{x})=\gamma_{i} P_{p}(\mathbf{x}) / x_{i}$, the previous equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
c p P_{q}(\mathbf{x}) P_{p}(\mathbf{x})^{p-1}=P_{q}(\mathbf{1}) P_{p}(\mathbf{x})^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{i}}{x_{i}^{p-q}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which Eq. (11) follows. Now suppose that $P_{q} \neq 0$ and let $j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Comparing the lowest degrees in $x_{j}$ of both sides of (12), we obtain

$$
(p-1) \gamma_{j} \leqslant \begin{cases}p \gamma_{j}-p+q, & \text { if } \gamma_{j} \neq 0 \\ p \gamma_{j}, & \text { if } \gamma_{j}=0\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, we must have $\gamma_{j}=0$ or $\gamma_{j} \geqslant p-q$, which ensures that the right-hand side of (11) is a polynomial.

If $\varphi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is a bijection, we can associate with every function $f: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ its conjugate $\varphi(f): \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ defined by

$$
\varphi(f)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\varphi^{-1}\left(f\left(\varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

It is clear that $f$ is bisymmetric if and only if so is $\varphi(f)$. We then have the following fact.

Fact 9. The class of $n$-variable bisymmetric functions is stable under the action of conjugation.

Since the Main Theorem involves polynomial functions over a ring, we will only consider conjugations given by translations $\varphi_{b}(x)=x+b$.

We now show that it is always possible to conjugate $P$ with an appropriate translation $\varphi_{b}$ to eliminate the terms of degree $p-1$ of the resulting polynomial function $\varphi_{b}(P)$.

Claim 10. There exists $b \in R$ such that $\varphi_{b}(P)$ has no term of degree $p-1$.
Proof. If $q<p-1$, we take $b=0$. If $q=p-1$, then using (1) with $\mathbf{y}_{0}=b \mathbf{1}$, we get

$$
\left[\varphi_{b}(P)\right]_{p-1}=P_{p-1}+b \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{i}} P_{p}
$$

On the other hand, by (11) we have

$$
P_{p-1}=\frac{P_{p-1}(\mathbf{1})}{c p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{x_{i}} P_{p} .
$$

It is then enough to choose $b=-P_{p-1}(\mathbf{1}) /(c p)$ and the result follows.
We can now prove the Main Theorem for polynomial functions of degree $\leqslant 2$.
Proposition 11. The Main Theorem is true when $\mathcal{R}$ is a field of characteristic zero and $P$ is a polynomial function of degree $\leqslant 2$.
Proof. Let $P$ be a bisymmetric polynomial function of degree $p \leqslant 2$. If $p \leqslant 1$, then $P$ is in class (ii) of the Main Theorem. If $p=2$, then by Claim 10 we see that $P$ is (up to conjugation) of the form $P(\mathbf{x})=c_{2} x_{i} x_{j}+c_{0}$. If $i=j$, then by Claim 6 we see that $P$ is a univariate polynomial function, which corresponds to the class ( $i$ ). If $i \neq j$, then by Claim 8 we have $c_{0}=0$ and hence $P$ is a monomial (up to conjugation).

By Proposition 11 we can henceforth assume that $p \geqslant 3$. We also assume that $P$ is a bivariate polynomial function. The general case will be proved by induction on the number of essential variables of $P$.
Proposition 12. The Main theorem is true when $\mathcal{R}$ is a field of characteristic zero and $P$ is a bivariate polynomial function.

Proof. Let $P$ be a bisymmetric bivariate polynomial function of degree $p \geqslant 3$. We know that $P_{p}$ is of the form $P_{p}(x, y)=c x^{\gamma_{1}} y^{\gamma_{2}}$. If $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}=0$, then by Claim 6 we see that $P$ is a univariate polynomial function, which corresponds to the class $(i)$.

Conjugating $P$, if necessary, we may assume that $P_{p-1}=0$ (by Claim 10) and it is then enough to prove that $P=P_{p}$ (i.e., $P_{q}=0$ ). If $\gamma_{1}=1$ or $\gamma_{2}=1$, then the result follows immediately from Claim 8 since $p-q \geqslant 2$. We may therefore assume that $\gamma_{1} \geqslant 2$ and $\gamma_{2} \geqslant 2$. We now prove that $P=P_{p}$ in three steps.
Step 1. $P(x, y)$ is of degree $\leqslant \gamma_{1}$ in $x$ and of degree $\leqslant \gamma_{2}$ in $y$.
Proof. We prove by induction on $r \in\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$ that $P_{p-r}(x, y)$ is of degree $\leqslant \gamma_{1}$ in $x$ and of degree $\leqslant \gamma_{2}$ in $y$. The result is true by our assumptions for $r=0$ and $r=1$ and is obvious for $r=p$. Considering Eq. (4) for $d=p^{2}-r>p q$, with $\mathbf{r}_{1}=\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{2}}=(x, y)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P(x, y)^{p}\right]_{p^{2}-r}=\left[P(x, x)^{\gamma_{1}} P(y, y)^{\gamma_{2}}\right]_{p^{2}-r} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the right-hand side of (13) is a polynomial function of degree $\leqslant p \gamma_{1}$ in $x$ and $\leqslant p \gamma_{2}$ in $y$. Using the multinomial theorem, the left-hand side of (13) becomes

$$
\left[P(x, y)^{p}\right]_{p^{2}-r}=\left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p} P_{p-k}(x, y)\right)^{p}\right]_{p^{2}-r}=\sum_{\alpha \in A_{p, r}}\binom{p}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \prod_{k=0}^{p} P_{p-k}(x, y)^{\alpha_{k}}
$$

where

$$
A_{p, r}=\left\{\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{p+1}: \sum_{k=0}^{p} k \alpha_{k}=r,|\boldsymbol{\alpha}|=p\right\} .
$$

Observing that for every $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in A_{p, r}$ we have $\alpha_{k}=0$ for $k>r$ and $\alpha_{r} \neq 0$ only if $\alpha_{r}=1$ and $\alpha_{0}=p-1$, we can rewrite (13) as
$p P_{p}(x, y)^{p-1} P_{p-r}(x, y)=\left[P(x, x)^{\gamma_{1}} P(y, y)^{\gamma_{2}}\right]_{p^{2}-r}-\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_{p, r} \\ \alpha_{r}=\cdots=\alpha_{p}=0}}\binom{p}{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} P_{p-k}(x, y)^{\alpha_{k}}$.
By induction hypothesis, the right-hand side is of degree $\leqslant p \gamma_{1}$ in $x$ and of degree $\leqslant p \gamma_{2}$ in $y$. The result then follows by analyzing the highest degree terms in $x$ and $y$ of the left-hand side.

Step 2. $P(x, y)$ factorizes into a product $P(x, y)=U(x) V(y)$.
Proof. By Step 1, we can write

$$
P(x, y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\gamma_{1}} x^{k} V_{k}(y)
$$

where $V_{k}$ is of degree $\leqslant \gamma_{2}$ and $V_{\gamma_{1}}(y)=\sum_{j=0}^{\gamma_{2}} c_{\gamma_{2}-j} y^{j}$, with $c_{0}=c \neq 0$ and $c_{1}=0$ (since $P_{p-1}=0$ ). Equating the terms of degree $\gamma_{1}^{2}$ in $z$ in the identity

$$
P(P(z, t), P(x, y))=P(P(z, x), P(t, y))
$$

we obtain

$$
V_{\gamma_{1}}(t)^{\gamma_{1}} V_{\gamma_{1}}(P(x, y))=V_{\gamma_{1}}(x)^{\gamma_{1}} V_{\gamma_{1}}(P(t, y))
$$

Equating now the terms of degree $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ in $t$ in the latter identity, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\gamma_{1}} V_{\gamma_{1}}(P(x, y))=c V_{\gamma_{1}}(x)^{\gamma_{1}} V_{\gamma_{1}}(y)^{\gamma_{2}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show by induction on $r \in\left\{0, \ldots, \gamma_{1}\right\}$ that every polynomial function $V_{\gamma_{1}-r}$ is a multiple of $V_{\gamma_{1}}$ (the case $r=0$ is trivial), which is enough to prove the result.

To do so, we equate the terms of degree $\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-r$ in $x$ in (14) (by using the explicit form of $V_{\gamma_{1}}$ in the left-hand side). Note that terms with such a degree in $x$ can appear in the expansion of $V_{\gamma_{1}}(P(x, y))$ only when $P(x, y)$ is raised to the highest power $\gamma_{2}$ (taking into account the condition $c_{1}=0$ when $r=\gamma_{1}$ ). Thus, we obtain

$$
c^{\gamma_{1}+1}\left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\gamma_{1}} x^{\gamma_{1}-k} V_{\gamma_{1}-k}(y)\right)^{\gamma_{2}}\right]_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-r}=c\left[V_{\gamma_{1}}(x)^{\gamma_{1}}\right]_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-r} V_{\gamma_{1}}(y)^{\gamma_{2}},
$$

(here the notation $[\cdot]_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}-r}$ concerns only the degree in $x$ ). By computing the lefthand side (using the multinomial theorem as in the proof of Step 1) and using the induction on $r$, we finally obtain an identity of the form

$$
a V_{\gamma_{1}}(y)^{\gamma_{2}-1} V_{\gamma_{1}-r}(y)=a^{\prime} V_{\gamma_{1}}(y)^{\gamma_{2}}, \quad a, a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{R}, a \neq 0
$$

from which the result immediately follows.
Step 3. $U$ and $V$ are monomial functions.
Proof. Using (14) with $P(x, y)=U(x) V(y)$ and $V_{\gamma_{1}}=V$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\gamma_{1}} \sum_{j=0}^{\gamma_{2}} c_{\gamma_{2}-j}(U(x) V(y))^{j}=c V(x)^{\gamma_{1}} V(y)^{\gamma_{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating the terms of degree $\gamma_{2}^{2}$ in $y$ in (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+1} U(x)^{\gamma_{2}}=c^{\gamma_{2}+1} V(x)^{\gamma_{1}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (15) becomes

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\gamma_{2}-1} c_{\gamma_{2}-j}(U(x) V(y))^{j}=0
$$

which obviously implies $c_{k}=0$ for $k=1, \ldots, \gamma_{2}$, which in turn implies $V(x)=c x^{\gamma_{2}}$. Finally, from (16) we obtain $U(x)=x^{\gamma_{1}}$.

Steps 2 and 3 together show that $P=P_{p}$, which establishes the proposition.
Recall that the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ on functions from $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ to $\mathcal{R}$ is defined by

$$
\sigma(f)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right), \quad \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}
$$

It is clear that $f$ is bisymmetric if and only if so is $\sigma(f)$. We then have the following fact.

Fact 13. The class of n-variable bisymmetric functions is stable under the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$.

Consider also the following action of identification of variables. For $f: \mathcal{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ and $i<j \in[n]$ we define the function $I_{i, j} f: \mathcal{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ as

$$
\left(I_{i, j} f\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j-1}, x_{i}, x_{j}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)
$$

This action amounts to considering the restriction of $f$ to the "subspace of equation $x_{i}=x_{j} "$ and then relabeling the variables. By Fact 13 it is enough to consider the identification of the first and second variables, that is,

$$
\left(I_{1,2} f\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, x_{1}, x_{2} \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)
$$

Proposition 14. The class of n-variable bisymmetric functions is stable under identification of variables.

Proof. To see that $I_{1,2} f$ is bisymmetric, it is enough to apply the bisymmetry of $f$ to the $n \times n$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1,1} & x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1, n-1} \\
x_{1,1} & x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1, n-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{n-1,1} & x_{n-1,1} & \cdots & x_{n-1, n-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

To see that $I_{i, j} f$ is bisymmetric, we can similarly consider the matrix whose rows $i$ and $j$ are identical and the same for the columns (or use Fact 13).

We now prove the Main Theorem by using both a simple induction on the number of essential variables of $P$ and the action of identification of variables.

Proof of the Main Theorem when $\mathcal{R}$ is a field. We proceed by induction on the number of essential variables of $P$. By Proposition 12 the result holds when $P$ depends on 1 or 2 variables only. Let us assume that the result also holds when $P$ depends on $n-1$ variables $(n-1 \geqslant 2)$ and let us prove that it still holds when $P$ depends on $n$ variables. By Proposition 11 we may assume that $P$ is of degree $p \geqslant 3$. We know that $P_{p}(\mathbf{x})=c \mathbf{x}^{\gamma}$, where $c \neq 0$ and $\gamma_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ (cf. Claim 6). Up to a conjugation we may assume that $P_{p-1}=0$ (cf. Claim 10). Therefore, we only need to prove that $P=P_{p}$. Suppose on the contrary that $P-P_{p}$ has a polynomial function $P_{q} \neq 0$ as the homogeneous component of highest degree. Then the polynomial function $I_{1,2} P$ has $n-1$ essential variables, is bisymmetric (by Proposition 14), has $I_{1,2} P_{p}$ as the homogeneous component of highest degree (of degree $p \geqslant 3$ ), and has no component of degree $p-1$. By induction hypothesis, $I_{1,2} P$ is in class (iii) of the Main Theorem with $b=0$ (since it has no term of degree $p-1$ ) and hence it should be a monomial function. However, the polynomial function $\left[I_{1,2} P\right]_{q}=I_{1,2} P_{q}$ is not zero by (11), hence a contradiction.

Proof of the Main Theorem when $\mathcal{R}$ is an integral domain. Using the identification of polynomials and polynomial functions, we can extend every bisymmetric polynomial function over an integral domain $\mathcal{R}$ with identity to a polynomial function on $\operatorname{Frac}(\mathcal{R})$. The latter function is still bisymmetric since the bisymmetry property for polynomial functions is defined by a set of polynomial equations on the coefficients of the polynomial functions. Therefore, every bisymmetric polynomial function over $\mathcal{R}$ is the restriction to $\mathcal{R}$ of a bisymmetric polynomial function over $\operatorname{Frac}(\mathcal{R})$. We then conclude by using the Main Theorem for such functions.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank J. Dascăl and E. Lehtonen for fruitful discussions. This research is supported by the internal research project F1R-MTH-PUL-12RDO2 of the University of Luxembourg.

## References

[1] J. Aczél. The notion of mean values. Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Forhandlinger, 19:83-86, 1946.
[2] J. Aczél. On mean values. Bull. of the Amer. Math. Soc., 54:392-400, 1948.
[3] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres. Functional Equations in Several Variables. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 31, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1989.
[4] M. Behrisch, M. Couceiro, K. A. Kearnes, E. Lehtonen, and Á. Szendrei. Commuting polynomial operations of distributive lattices. Order, to appear.
[5] M. Couceiro and E. Lehtonen. Self-commuting lattice polynomial functions on chains. Aeq. Math., 81(3):263-278, 2011.
[6] J. Fodor and J.-L. Marichal. On nonstrict means. Aeq. Math., 54(3):308-327, 1997.
[7] M. Grabisch, J.-L. Marichal, R. Mesiar, and E. Pap. Aggregation Functions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 127, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009.
[8] J. Ježek and T. Kepka. Equational theories of medial groupoids. Algebra Universalis, 17: 174-190, 1983.
[9] J. Ježek and T. Kepka. Medial groupoids. Rozpravy Československé Akad. Věd, Řada Mat. Přírod. Věd, 93: 93 pp., 1983.
[10] J.-L. Marichal and P. Mathonet. A description of $n$-ary semigroups polynomial-derived from integral domains. Semigroup Forum, in press.
[11] J.-P. Soublin. Étude algébrique de la notion de moyenne. J. Math. Pure Appl., 50:53-264, 1971. 53264.

Mathematics Research Unit, FSTC, University of Luxembourg, 6, rue CoudenhoveKalergi, L-1359 Luxembourg, Luxembourg

E-mail address: jean-luc.marichal [at]uni.lu
University of Liège, Department of Mathematics, Grande Traverse, 12 - B37, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

E-mail address: p.mathonet[at]ulg.ac.be

