

J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res., 2(7)7297-7302, 2012 © 2012, TextRoad Publication ISSN 2090-4304

Journal of Basic and Applied

Scientific Research

www.textroad.com

Problematic Grammar of Chinese Sentences Faced by Students Having Indonesian as Their Mother Tongue

Ong Mia Farao Karsono

Petra Christian University Surabaya (Indonesia)

ABSTRACT

This paper examine the grammatical problems faced by Surabaya students having Indonesian as their mother tongue when constructing Chinese sentences. A qualitative descriptive method consisting test materials made by 30 students learning Chinese as a second language was used. Some grammatical errors in the Chinese sentences were found. Problematic in writing Chinese sentences consists of: sequence errors of place and time determinant words; grammatical errors about the Modifier and Head norm in arranging words; errors using the three kinds of words de, "的 de", "得 de", and "地 de". All these errors were influenced by their Indonesian mother language, while in arranging Chinese sentences, it was also interferences by the English grammatical norm to be. To overcome these problematics, the best solution is using contrasive analyses, which expose the differences between these two languages, and thereby clarify the point of differences.

Key words: Problematic Grammar error Chinese Indonesian

INTRODUCTION

Mother tongue Indonesians at the early stage of learning Chinese, frequently face problematic situations. These difficulties happened because a different grammatical rule exists between the two languages. Among others,in combination words, Indonesian language adhere an Indonesian law of Head and Modifier. For example "ibu saya" (meaning 'my mother'), the word "saya" (I) explained the word "ibu" (mother). While Chinese adheres an inverse law of Modifier and Head, so this word combination in Chinese will be "wǒ māma 我妈妈, the word wǒ explained the word māma. Another problematic is the application of the particle "de" whose latin spelling is the same but possesses three dfferent Chinese characters "...尚", ".得", and "地.". These three "de" words have a different kind of aplication, which cause the student frequently making mistakes. Also in writing the sequence of the time and place determinants, the Chinese language adhere a system from a bigger condition toward the smaller, meanwhile in Indonesia these determinants follow the writing rule from a smaller condition towards the bigger.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sequence Norm of Writing Time and Place Determinants.

Common errors in writing the sequence of time and place determinants from a bigger condition toward the smaller condition according the research of Huang (2009:3) can be shown in the next table.

Table 1: Chinese Sentences with Correct and Wrong Writings of Time or Place Determinants (Huang 2009:3)

Indonesian sentence	Wrong translation	Correct translation
(1) <u>Hari Senin</u> 17 <u>Agustus</u> tahun 2009. 1 2 3 4	星期一 十七日 八月 二〇〇九 年 4 3 2 1	<u>二〇〇九年 八月 十七日 星期一。</u> 1 2 3 4
(2) <u>Surabaya</u> <u>Jawa Timur</u> <u>Indonesia</u> 3 2 1	<u>泗水</u> <u>东爪哇</u> <u>印尼</u> 3 2 1	2009 nián 8 yuè 17 rì xingqi yi <u>印尼(国) 东 爪 哇(省) 泗 水(市)</u> 1 2 3 Yìnní (guó) dōng zhǎo wā (shěng) sì shuǐ (shì)

Table 2: Chinese Sentences with Correct and Wrong Writings of the Word "de 的." (Huang 2009:3)

Indonesian sentence	Wrong translation	Correct translation
(3) <u>Taman yang di tengah lapangan</u> <u>sekolah.</u> 4 3 2 1	公园 的中央 广场 学校. 4 3 2 1	学校 广场 中央的 公园 1 2 3 4 Xuéxiào guǎngchǎng zhōngyàng de gōngyuán

The example in sentence (3) is rather special because it contains the word " $de \not\models \circlearrowleft$ ". When in a sentence contain this word, the translation from Indonesian sentences to Chinese must alter the gramatical structure. The word behind " $de \not\models \circlearrowleft$ " must translated first. As in the above example, the word "taman" (garden) has to be written in front of the sentence.

Sequence Norm of Time / Place Determinants with Verbs

Time /place determinants must be written in front a verb. Usually in Indonesian sentences, these determinants is placed at the end of sentence, but may also be written in the middle or at the beginning of the sentence (Alwi et al, 2003:367). Next is a comparison example of these determinants in Indonesian and Chinese.

Table 3: Comparison of Indonesian and Chinese Sentences

Indonesian sentences	Chinese sentences
(4) Mereka makan <i>di kantin sekolah</i>	他们 在 学校 食堂 吃饭
(They are eating at the school's canteen)	Tāmen <u>zài xuéxiào shítáng</u> chīfàn
(5) Dia memotong rambutnya <i>kemarin</i>	昨天 他 剪 他的头发
(He cut his hair yesterday)	<u>Zuótiān</u> tā jiăn tā de tóufa

The Law of Modifier and Head in Chinese Word Structure

Chinese words combination adhered the law of Modifier and Head, in the understanding that the word explaining is written in front, while Indonesian adhere an oposite Law of Head and Modifier.

Table 4: Comparison of Indonesian and Chinese Sentence According the Head and Modifier Law

Indonesian sentence structure with the Modifier and Head Law	Chinese word structure <i>dìngyǔ</i> 定语	with the Head and Modifier Law
	Correct sentence	Wrong sentence
Majalah baru	Xīn huàbào(新画报)	Huàbào xīin (画报新)
i Wajaian Dai U	Baru majalah (in Indonesian) Magazine new	Majalah baru (in Indonesian) New magazine

The norm using the three words de "的", "地", "得"

Words added behind the word *de* "的" are noun adverbs or called *dìngyǔ* 定语 (atributive). While not all *dìngyǔ*'s 定语 used the word *de* "的". This is because there exists a connection with the word characteristic of the adverb and grammatical meaning considered (Liu and Pan, 2001:475). Following table shows the norm in writing the word *de* "的". The key problem is that after the word *de* "的" has to be a noun.

Table 5: Chinese Word "de 的" Writing Norm

Noun/pronoun	Adjective	de 的	Noun	Indonesian meaning	English meaning
Xuéxiào 学校		de "的"	Lǎoshī 老师	Guru sekolah	School teacher
Huā 花		de "的"	Cì刺	Duri bunga	Flower's thorn
Tā 他		de "的"	Kŏu □	Mulut dia	His mouth
	Cōngmíng 聪明	de "的"	Rén 人	Orang (yang) pandai	Clever man
	Dà大	de "的"	Mă ≒	Kuda (yang) besar	Big horse

This word *de* "地" is used after an adjective, then followed by a verb. The following table shows the writing norm of the word *de* "地". According Qian (1995: 284-285) the word *de* "地" is usually used to explain the subject and picturing the effort in progress. Like the following example.

Table 6: Chinese Word "de 地" Writing Norm

Adjective	d e " 地"	Verb	Indonesian meaning	English meaning
Jī dòng 激动	de 地	<i>shuō</i> 说	Berkata dengan terharu	Excitedly speaking
Jī dòng De Shuō 激 动 地 说		Dornard doingan torriard	Exortodiy Spodiking	

The word "de 得" is used after a verb, then followed by an adjective. The following table shows the writing norm of the word "de 得" in a sentence example in Table 7. According Xing (2001: 279) the word "de 得" is written between the centre word called $zh\bar{o}ngx\bar{i}ny\check{u}$ (中心语) and the complement word called $b\check{u}y\check{u}$ (补语) Liu and Pan (2001:590) explicited that the word "de 得" which is written behind a verb, is to show the condition of the verb done by someone / animal / object, like in Table 7.

Table 7: Chinese Word "de 得" Writing Norm

Verb/centre word	d e "得"	Adverb/adjective	Indonesian meaning	English meaning
Duō 多 (centre word)	de "得"	Hěn 很 (Adverb)	Committee	V
	Duō de hěn 多"得"很		Sangat banyak	Very much
	Păo de kuài 跑 得快		Larinya cepat	Running quickly

Research Method

This paper uses a qualitative descriptive method. The basic thinking using this method is because data consists of sentences. According Miles & Huberman (1992:1) and Moleong (2007:157) analyses result of sentences is more suitable by qualitative descriptive method. Sunarto (2001:132) also explicited that a qualitative paradigm reseach posseses a phenomenal analogic character, not numeric. Meanwhile, the descriptive explanation is descriptive, meaning as it is, and no experimentations were done. A similar remark was also made by Bogdan & Biklen (1992: 10) by stating that datas have a descriptive character when the discourse as a specific qualitative approach have a natural character. Besides that, the instrumental research was done by the researcher herself.

Subject research were selected according time learning, that is between two and three years, and not differented by place of learning. The age of the subjects were also not restricted. 30 subjects were observed. Research datas were obtained by distributing tests containing appropriate difficulties to the theory norm described in the literature observation section. Observation was done during answering the questionaire, so an objective result according the students competence was obtained.

Errors made by the 30 students were categorized according kind of errors , then sorted in a table to analyse the reasons of the errors, and finally discover the problematic causes. For legimitation, similar data from other subjects were observed and compared.

Appearing Problematics

Table 8: Grammar Errors of Time Determinant in Chinese Sentences Sequence

	Table 8: Grammar Errors of Time Determinant	•		
	"Saya pergi ke bank hari Selasa bulan	Agustus tahun 2009"		
	"I go to the bank on Tuesday, A	ugust 18 th 2009"		
2009 nián 8 yuè 18 rì xīngqī èr wǒ qù yíinháng				
	2009 年 8 月 18 日星期二	我去银行		
Cubicat	Student's sentences (dotted underlined Chinese	Farror amplyone		
Subject	words are wrong)	Error analyses		
S2, S3, S5,	Xīnggī èr 2009 nián 8 uè 18 rì wŏ qù yíinháng	Word "Tuesday / Xīngqī èr 星期二" is not		
S6, S7, S10,	星期二 2009 年 8月18日我去银 行	written after the word of date determinant.		
S11	•••			
S4	Wŏ 2009 nián 8 yuè18 rì qù yíinháng			
	我 2009 年 8月 18日去 银 行	The word of "Tuesday / Xīngqī èr 星期二" was		
S8		not written.		
	2009 nián 8 yuè18 rì Wŏ qù yíinháng			
	2009年 8月18日我去银行			
S9	Wŏ qù yíinháng zài 2009 nián 8 yuè18 rì xīngqī èr	Sequence of time determinant is correct, but the		
	我 去 银 行 在 2009 年 8 月 18 日星期二	place determinant word must be written behind		
	W Z W N 1 2007 + 071 10 1 4 7 1 -	the verb " $q\dot{u}$ ", and it is also excessly written with		
		a word zài		
	"Kantor pos yang disebelah ki			
	"Post office at the left hand side o			
	"Yínháng zuŏbiān de yóuj			
	银行左边的邮局领			
S1, S2, S10,	Yóujú zài yínháng de zuŏbiān hěn yuǎn	The use of the word "de 的" is wrong. The words		
\$11, \$12, \$30	in 局在 银行 的 左 边 很 远	"the left hand side of the bank " should be		
311, 312, 330	即用住 取11 的 左 22 18 25	written at the beginning of the sentence,		
		followed by the word de		
		Tollowed by the word de		
S2	Yóujú zài zuŏbiān yínháng	The words "the left hand side of the bank/		
32	邮局在左边 银 行			
	即用住在22 张 1]	yínháng zuǒbiān /银行左边" should be written		
S7	Vátili zál zuškien tínkéna köntttén	inverse the Indonesian spelling, but here is		
31	Yóujú zài zuŏbiān yínháng hěn yuǎn	written just the same.		
C2	邮局在左边 银行 很 远	TI 101 101 (10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		
S3	Zuŏbiān de yóujú yuǎn	The word "bank"/yínháng/银行 is not written		
0.5	左边 的邮局 远	T		
S5	Yóujú Yínháng	The sentence is not complete, only written the		
	邮局 银 行	word of "Post office/ Yóujú/邮局" and		
		"bank/ <i>Yínháng/</i> 银行"		
S6	Zuŏbiān de yóujú yuăn	The word "bank 银行" is not written.		
	左 边 的邮局 远			
S8	Yóujú zuŏbiān de Yínháng yuăn	It should be written "Post office at the left hand		
	邮局左 边 的 银行 远	side of the bank /银行左边的邮局/ Yinháng		
		zuŏbiān de yóujú", but here is written "bank at		
		the left hand side of the post office"		
		·		
S 15	Yóujú zài yínháng de zuŏbiān hěn yuăn	Position of the word "bank" and "post office" is		
	邮局在银行的左边很远	wrong		
S 16	Yóujú zài zuŏbiān de yínháng <u>shì</u> yuăn de	Position of word "de" and "zài" is wrong. When		
	邮局在左边的银行 是 远的	predicate is an adjective there is no need to write		
	MINISTER REPORTS	the verb "shì/是"		
C17	(The student does not write enuthing)	The student does not understand the name		
S17	(The student does not write anything)	The student does not understand the norm		

	"Saya lahir di Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia"				
	"I was born in Surabaya,East	Java, Indonesia"			
	我在印尼,东爪哇,汽	四水出生。			
	Wŏ zài yìnní, dōngzhǎowā,	sìshuĭ chūshēng			
S12	Wǒ zài sìshuǐ, dōngzhǎowō, yìn ní chūshēng 我在泗水,东 爪哇,印尼,出生。	Here the sequence of writing place determinent is contrary, it should be written: country first, followed by province East Java, then city Surabaya.			
S29	Wǒchūshēng zài yìnní, dōngzhǎowā, sìshuǐ 我 出 生 在印尼,东爪哇,泗水。	Position of place determinant words must written in front of the verb, but here it is writen behind. While place determinant sequence is already correct.			

Table 9: Grammar Errors of Place Determinant Words

	Table 7. Oranimai Enfors of Frace i	Seterimiant Words		
	"Saya makan di kantin se			
"I am eating at the school's canteen"				
	我在学校食堂吃饭			
	Wŏ zài xuéxiào shítáng (chīfán		
Subject	Student's sentences (dotted upperlined Chinese words are wrong)	Error analyses		
S1, S8	Wǒ chīfàn <u>zài xuéxiào shítáng</u> 我 吃饭 在 学校 食堂	Place determinant word is written behind the verb, it should be in front.		
\$2, \$3, \$23	Zài shítáng wǒ chīfàn 在食堂 我吃饭	The word "school" is not written, so considered the student does not understand the norm.of Head and Modifier.		
\$4, \$5, \$6, \$7, \$12, \$14, \$15, \$17, \$20, \$21, \$24, \$26,\$28	Wǒ <u>zài shítáng</u> chīfàn 我 在 食 堂 吃饭	The word "school" is not written, so considered the student does not understand the norm. of Head and Modifier.		
S9	Wǒ chī <u>zài shítáng</u> 我 吃 在 食堂	The word "school" is not written, and place determinant word is written behind the verb. So the student does not understand the norm of Head and Modifier and also the norm position of place determinant words		
\$10	Wǒ <u>zài cāntīng</u> chīfàn 我 在餐厅 吃饭	"School canteen" is wrong translated into "dining room", The student cannot write the word "school", and does not understand the norm of Head and Modefier.		

Table10: Grammar Errors of Head and Modifier Law

Subject	Words with correct Head and Modifier Law	Words with wrong Head and Modifier Law
S11	Kuda besar (meaning 'big horse') dàmǎ 大马	mǎdà 马大

Only one student 11 (S11), is still influenced by the Indonesian norm of his mother tongue arranging the law of Head and Modifier. When this law is randomed in a sentence "saya makan di kantin sekolah / 我在学校食堂吃饭 Wǒ zài xuéxiào shítáng chīfàn" means 'I am eating at school's canteen', as shown in Table 9, a confusing problem happened in applying the Chinese norm of Modifier and Head. The phrase "kantin sekolah" means 'school's canteen' in the Indonesian sentence when translated in Chinese should be written xuéxiào shítáng 学校食堂, while a student wrote it inversely as shítáng xuéxiào 食堂学校, an evidence of grammatical interference of his mother tongue.

Solution to Solve Grammatical Problematics

To overcome syntax errors, a taxonomy classification according Dulay and Burt (in Yulianto, 2008a:8) or a comparative taxonomy or contrasive analyses can be used. Lado (in Liu, 2005:17) stresses that in mastering the mother tongue structure can have a positive or negative effect. If the target language structure is identic as their mother tongue language it will give a positive influence, and the oposite if it is different. With comparing the grammatical law of the two languages, the student will be more easy to remember. While applying the three kinds of *de*, can be done by contrasting the using of these three kinds of "de" "的", "地", and "得".

CONCLUSION

Errors in applying the three kinds of the word de, which are "的", "地", and "得", are caused by their same spelling de. While the Chinese character is different and the method for applying them in a sentence is also different. About the law of Modifier and Head in Chinese, when students were asked to write combination of two words, they master it correctly, but if it is randomed in a sentence, a problematic start happening. Time and place determinants which must be written in front of a verb in Chinese, are frequenty mistakenly written. Students sometimes add the verb "shi/ \not E" before the adjective, this is because of interferences by the English grammatical norm to be. Most of these problems can be solved by contrasting their mother tongue language against Chinese.

REFERENCES

Alwi, Hasan. Dardjowidjojo, Soenjono. Lapoliwa, Han. Anton M., Moeliono. 2003. *Tata Bahasa Baku Bahasa Indonesia*. Jakarta: Balai Pustaka.

Bogdan, Robert C. & Biklen, Sari Knopp. 1992. *Qualitative Research forEducation: An Introduction to Theory and Methods*. London: Allyn and Bacon.

Huang, Tianyuan. 2009. "Analisis Kecenderungan Kesalahan Tulis Struktur Kata Inti dan Kata Keterangan Bagi Siswa Indonesia". Skripsi S1, Surabaya: Huaqiao University.

Huang, Borong dan Liao, Xudong. 2005. Xiandai Hanyu. Beijing: Gaodeng Jiaoyu Chubanshe.

Liu, Xun. 2005. Hanyu Zuowei Di Er Yuyan Jiaoxue JianLun. Beijing: Beijing Yuyan Daxue.

Liu, Yuehua. Pan Wenwu. 2001. Shíyòng Xiàndài Hànyǔ Yǔfǎ(实用现代汉语语法).Beijing: Shangwu Yinshuguan.

Miles, Matthew B. & Huberman, A. Michael. 1992. Analisis Data Kualitatif. Jakarta: Universitas Indonesia.

Moleong, Lexy J. 2007. Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.

Qian, Nairong. 1995. Hanyu Yuyanxue (汉语语言学). Beijing: Beijing Yuyan Xueyuan Chubanshe.

Sunarto. 2001. Metodologi Penelitian Ilmu-ilmu Sosial dan Pendidikan. Surabaya: UNESA University Press.

Xing, Fuyi. 2001. Xiandai Hanyu (现代汉语). Beijing: Gaodeng Jiaoyu Chubanshe.

Yulianto, Bambang. 2008a. Taksonomi Kesalahan Berbahasa. Surabaya: Unesa Press