

Saurashtra University Re – Accredited Grade 'B' by NAAC (CGPA 2.93)

Vaghasiya, Yogeshkumar, 2009, "Screening of some medicinal Plants for Antimicrobial Properties - Phytochemical and Pharmacological Studies of a Selected Medicinal Plant", thesis PhD, Saurashtra University

http://etheses.saurashtrauniversity.edu/id/eprint/591

Copyright and moral rights for this thesis are retained by the author

A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge.

This thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the Author.

The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the Author

When referring to this work, full bibliographic details including the author, title, awarding institution and date of the thesis must be given.

Saurashtra University Theses Service http://etheses.saurashtrauniversity.edu repository@sauuni.ernet.in SCREENING OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS FOR ANTIMICROBIAL PROPERTIES -PHYTOCHEMICAL AND PHARMACOLOGICAL STUDIES OF A SELECTED MEDICINAL PLANT

A thesis submitted to Saurashtra University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Biosciences (Ethnopharmacology)



Submitted by

Mr. Yogeshkumar Vaghasiya Reg. No. 3167

<u>Guide</u> Dr. Sumitra Chanda, Ph.D. Associate professor Department of Biosciences Saurashtra University Rajkot 360005 Gujarat, India March 2009 **SAURASHTRA UNIVERSITY** Department of Biosciences Rajkot – 360 005 Phone: (O) + 91-281-2578501-409/410 (R) +91-281-2578916 Cell: +91- 94262 47893 E-mail : sumitrachanda@yahoo.com



FOUR STARS (Accredited by NAAC)

CERTIFICATE

"This is to certify that the Ph.D. thesis entitled 'Screening of some medicinal plants for antimicrobial properties-phytochemical and pharmacological studies of a selected medicinal plant' embodies the original results of bonafide experimental work carried out by Mr. Yogeshkumar Vaghasiya under my guidance and supervision at the Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot."

"It is further certified that he has put eight terms for research work and that this work has not been submitted to any other University / Institution for the award of Ph.D. degree. His thesis is recommended for acceptance for the award of the Ph.D. degree by the Saurashtra University."

Guide Dr. Sumitra Chanda Associate Professor

Co-guide Dr. V. J. Shukla Head Pharmaceutical Chemistry Laboratory Gujarat Ayurveda University Jamnagar

Forwarded through

Dr. S. P. Singh Prof. & Head

Date:

PUBLICATIONS

RESEARCH PAPERS PUBLISHED

- Baravalia Y, Kaneria M, Vaghasiya Y, Parekh J and Chanda S (2009) Evaluation of antioxidant and antibacterial activity of *Diospyros ebenum* Roxb. leaf (Ebenaceae). Turk J Biol (Turkey) (accepted).
- 2) Chanda S, Parekh J, **Vaghasiya Y** and Vyas H (2009) *In vitro* antimicrobial screening of eight plant species against some human pathogens from Western Gujarat, India. Pak J Bot (Pakistan) (accepted).
- 3) Nair R, **Vaghasiya Y** and Chanda S (2009) Preliminary phytochemical analysis of some marine algae of Western coast of Gujarat. Plant Arch (India) (accepted).
- 4) **Vaghasiya Y** and Chanda S (2009) Screening of some traditionally used Indian plants for antibacterial activity against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J Herbal Med Toxicol (India) (accepted).
- 5) Nair R, **Vaghasiya Y**, Godvani N, Solanki A, Baluja S and Chanda S (2008) Antibacterial activity of *Punica granatum* stem. Plant Arch (India) 8:671-673.
- 6) Nair R, **Vaghasiya Y** and Chanda S (2008) Antibacterial activity of *Eucalyptus citriodora* Hk. Oil on few clinically important bacteria. Afr J Biotech (Nigeria) 7:25-26.
- 7) **Vaghasiya Y**, Nair R, and Chanda S (2008) Antibacterial and preliminary phytochemical analysis of *Eucalyptus citriodora* Hk. Leaf. Nat Prod Res (Pakistan) 22:754-762.
- 8) Baluja S, Chanda S, **Vaghasiya Y,** Nair R, Solanki A and N Kacchadia (2007) Synthesis of some Schiff bases and their biological activity. Prajana (India) 15:14-22.
- 9) **Vaghasiya Y**, Chanda S (2007) Screening of methanol and acetone extracts of fourteen Indian medicinal plants for antimicrobial activity. Turk J Biol (Turkey) 31:243-248.
- 10) **Vaghasiya Y**, Nair R, Chanda S (2007) Investigation of some *Piper* species for antibacterial and anti-inflammatory property. Int J Pharmacol 3:400-405.

- Nair R, Vaghasiya Y and Chanda S (2007) Antibacterial potency of selected Indian medicinal plants. Int J Green Pharmacy (India) 1:37-44.
- 12) Nair R, **Vaghasiya Y** and Chanda S (2006) Screening of some medicinal plants of Gujarat for potential antibacterial activity. Indian J Nat Prod (India) 22:17-19.
- 13) **Vaghasiya Y**, Soni M, Nair R, Baluja S and Chanda S (2004) Synthesis, structural determination and antibacterial activity of compounds derived from vanilline and 4-aminoantipyrene. J Serb Chem Soc (Yugoslavia) 69:991-998.

RESEARCH PAPERS COMMUNICATED

- Vaghasiya Y and Chanda S Screening for antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plant species of traditional Indian medicine. Czech J Food Sci
- Vaghasiya H, Vaghasiya Y and Chanda S Antimicrobial activity of methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* L. seeds against 74 clinically isolated microorganisms. Indian J Med Res
- Vaghasiya Y, Dave R and Chanda S Total phenol and flavonoid content of methanol and acetone extracts of some medicinal plants of Gujarat region. Nat Prod Res
- 4) Bhatt P, Parekh J, Vaghasiya Y and Chanda S *In vitro* assessment of some marine algae of Saurashtra coast for phytochemical and antimicrobial potential. Bot Mar
- 5) Vaghasiya Y and Chanda S Antimicrobial and free radical scavenging activity of different solvent extracts of *Mangifera indica* L. seeds. J Nat Med
- Yogesh B, Barad A, Vaghasiya Y, Parekh J and Chanda S Antibacterial and antioxidant activities of *Celosia argentea* L. (Amaranthaceae). Biologia
- Kaneria M, Yogesh B, Vaghasiya Y, and Chanda S Determination of antibacterial and antioxidant potential of some medicinal plants from Saurashtra region (India). Ind J Pharm Sci
- 8) Chanda S, Vaghasiya Y and Nair R Evaluation of antimicrobial property of non-polar extracts of *Polyalthia longifolia* var. pendula leaf in *in-vitro* conditions. Internet J Microbiol

- Vaghasiya Y, Nair R and Chanda S Antimicrobial activity of Terminalia catappa L. leaf extracts against some clinically important pathogenic microbial strains. Plant Biology
- 10) Yogesh B, Vaghasiya Y, Parekh J and Chanda S Free radical scavenging activity, phenol and flavonoid contents of various solvent extracts of *Vitis vinifera* L. leaf. Turk J Biol
- 11) Kaneria M, Baravalia Y, Vaghasiya Y and Chanda S Determination of Antibacterial and antioxidant potential of some medicinal plants from Saurashtra region, India. Indian J Pharm Sci
- 12) Chanda S, Vaghasiya YK, Baravalia YK and Parekh SK Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* Swartz extracts – Fitoterapia
- Dave R, Vaghasiya Y and Chanda S Total phenol and flavonoid content and free radical scavenging activity of some folk medicinal plants. Turk J Med Sci



AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere thanks to my guide **Dr. Sumitra Chanda**, Associate Professor, Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, for her friendly support and guidance over the last four years of my research work. This thesis never would have been finished without the encouragement and the good working possibilities she provided.

I am thankful to Dr. S. J. Pathak, for providing me fellowship, DSA (UGC), New Delhi.

I gratefully acknowledge the support of **Prof. S.P. Singh**, Head, Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, for providing me all necessary facilities required during entire course of my research work.

I am extremely thankful to my co-guide, **Dr. V. J. Shukla**, Head, Pharmaceutical Chemistry Laboratory, Gujarat Ayurveda University, Jamnagar, for providing all necessary guidance while pursuing the pharmacological work. I am grateful to **Dr. B. Ravishankar**, Head, Department of Pharmacology, Gujarat Ayurveda University, Jamnagar, for his expert guidance and necessary advice for pharmacological work.

I sincerely thank **Dr. P. S. Nagar**, lecturer, Department of Botany, M.S. University, Baroda, for his expert guidance and help in identification of the plants collected for screening during my research work. I also thank **Dr. N. K, Thakrar** for his help whenever required.

I wish to express my gratefulness to all the faculty members and non-teaching staff of the Department of Biosciences for their help and moral support during my research work.

I would like to gratefully acknowledge the help of **Dr. Sriram**, Research Scientist, Anand Agricultural University, Anand for providing plant material for my screening work. I would also like to gratefully acknowledge the help of **Dr. V.P. Chovatiya**, Head, Department of Agricultural Botany, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, for providing plant material for my screening work and in arranging for the mass collection of my main plant. I am also thankful to **Dr. Susmita Dave**, Spandan Diagnostics, Rajkot, for providing some clinical isolates.

I owe sincere thanks to **Dr. Rathish Nair**, for his excellent guidance and his help and support during my entire research work. I want to sincerely thank my senior **Dr. Jigna Parekh** for her guidance and help in pharmacological work.

I wish to express my gratitude towards **Mr. T. B. Thite**, Lab. Manager, Anchrom Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., Mulund, Mumbai for his courteous help which made the HPTLC analysis possible.

I want to sincerely thank *Mr. Rajeshkumar Dave* and *Mr. Yogesh Baravalia* for their quick help whenever required. I am also thankful to *Ms. Shreya Parekh* for help in physicochemical parameters. I am also thankful to *Ms. Dhara, Mr. Ghanshyam* and *Dr. Ashish* for help in pharmacognostic study.

I am thankful to Mr. Jivanbhai Jinjwadia for his help in animal house maintenance.

The whole contribution for my achievements goes to my parents, my father-in-law, mother-in-law, brother-in-law, brother and sister, for providing me the moral support and resources to finish my work. Their unwavering faith in me has been a source of constant inspiration for me.

At last special thanks to my sweet **wife** for her help in my microbiological work and her love and moral support.

-Yogeshkumar Vaghasiya

INDEX

| | Page No. |
|---|--------------|
| CHAPTER 1: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 01-18 |
| 1.1. INTRODUCTION OF HERBAL MEDICINE | 01 |
| 1.2. INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINE | 03 |
| 1.3. THE RECENT DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL DRUGS | 06 |
| 1.4. STANDARDIZATION OF PHYTOMEDICINE | 07 |
| 1.5. MODERN MEDICINE FROM HIGHER PLANTS | 08 |
| 1.6. MARKET POTENTIAL OF PHYTOMEDICINE | 10 |
| 1.7. ROLE OF WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION IN PHYTOMEDICII | NE 11 |
| 1.8. NEED OF THE HOUR | 11 |
| 1.9. REFERENCES | 13 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2: SCREENING OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS FOR | |
| ANTIMICROBIAL PROPERTY | 19-60 |
| 2.1. INTRODUCTION | 19 |
| 2.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS | 22-31 |
| 2.2.1. Plant materials | 22 |
| 2.2.2. Extraction | 22 |
| 2.2.3. Microorganisms | 31 |
| 2.2.4. Preparation of the extract for antimicrobial assay | 31 |
| 2.2.5. Antibiotics | 31 |
| 2.2.6. Antimicrobial assay | 31 |
| 2.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 32-60 |
| 2.3.1. Antimicrobial screening of methanol and acetone extracts of 5 | 3 |
| plants | 33 |
| 2.3.2. Antibacterial screening of 4 selected plants against 41 clinical | ly |
| isolated bacteria | 41 |
| 2.3.3. Antibacterial screening of 4 selected plants against standard | |
| bacterial strains | 44 |
| 2.3.4. Antifungal activity of methanol extracts of 4 selected plants | |

| against 33 clinically isolated fungal strains and 4 standard | |
|--|-------|
| fungal strains | 46 |
| 2.3.5. Antibiotic susceptibility study of clinical isolates and standard | |
| microorganisms | 46 |
| 2.4. CONCLUSION | 51 |
| 2.5. REFERENCES | 53 |
| CHAPTER 3: PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF SOME MEDICINAL | |
| PLANTS | 61-77 |
| 3.1. INTRODUCTION | 61 |
| 3.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS | 63-65 |
| 3.2.1. Plant collection and extraction | 63 |
| 3.2.2. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening | 63 |
| 3.2.2.1. Alkaloids | 63 |
| 3.2.2.2. Flavonoids | 63 |
| 3.2.2.3. Tannins | 64 |
| 3.2.2.4. Cardiac glycosides | 64 |
| 3.2.2.5. Steroids | 64 |
| 3.2.2.6. Saponins | 64 |
| 3.2.3. Quantitative phytochemical screening | 65 |
| 3.2.3.1. Total phenol determination | 65 |
| 3.2.3.2. Flavonoid determination | 65 |
| 3.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 65-71 |
| 3.3.1. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening | 65 |
| 3.3.2. Quantitative phytochemical screening | 68 |
| 3.4. CONCLUSION | 72 |
| 3.5. REFERENCES | 73 |
| CHAPTER 4: SCREENING OF SOME PLANTS FOR ANTI- | |
| INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY IN CARRAGEENAN | |
| INDUCED PAW EDEMA MODEL | 78-88 |

| 4.2. MATERIALS AND METHOD | 79-80 | |
|--|--------|--|
| 4.2.1. Collection and extraction | | |
| 4.2.2. Animals | 79 | |
| 4.2.3. Preparation of test drug and standard drug | | |
| 4.2.4. Animal grouping | 80 | |
| 4.2.5. Carrageenan induced rat paw edema | 80 | |
| 4.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | | |
| 4.4. CONCLUSION | 84 | |
| 4.5. REFERENCES | 86 | |
| CHAPTER 5: PHARMACOGNOSTIC STUDY OF PLUCHEA | | |
| ARGUTA BOISS. | 89-105 | |
| 5.1. INTRODUCTION | 89 | |
| 5.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS | 91-96 | |
| 5.2.1. Collection and identification of the plant material | 91 | |
| 5.2.2. Botanical description | 91 | |
| 5.2.3. Microscopic characteristics | 91 | |
| 5.2.4. Determination of physicochemical parameters | 92 | |
| 5.2.4.1. Loss on drying | 92 | |
| 5.2.4.2. Total ash | 92 | |
| 5.2.4.2.1. Acid insoluble ash | 93 | |
| 5.2.4.2.2 Water soluble ash | 93 | |
| 5.2.4.3. Determination of alcohol soluble extractive | 93 | |
| 5.2.4.4. Determination of water soluble extractive | 93 | |
| 5.2.4.5. Determination of methanol soluble extractive | 94 | |
| 5.2.4.6. Determination of petroleum ether soluble extractive | 94 | |
| 5.2.4.7. Solubility | 94 | |
| 5.2.4.8. Determination of pH | 94 | |
| 5.2.4.9. Determination of heavy metals | 95 | |
| 5.2.4.10. Determination of microorganisms | 95 | |
| 5.2.5. Phytochemical study | 95 | |
| 5.2.6. HPTLC fingerprinting | 95 | |
| | | |

| 5.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 97-102 |
|--|--------|
| 5.3.1. Microscopic characteristics | 97 |
| 5.3.1.1. Transverse section (T.S.) of Stem | 97 |
| 5.3.1.2. Longitudinal section (L.S.) of Leaf | 97 |
| 5.3.1.3. Powder characteristics | 97 |
| 5.3.2. Determination of physicochemical parameters | 98 |
| 5.3.3. Phytochemical study | 100 |
| 5.3.4. HPTLC fingerprinting | 100 |
| 5.4. CONCLUSION | 103 |
| 5.5. REFERENCES | 104 |
| | |

106-125

CHAPTER 6: ACUTE TOXICITY STUDY OF *PLUCHEA ARGUTA* BOISS. IN MICE

| 6.1. INTRODUCTION | 106-108 |
|---|---------|
| 6.1.1. Toxicity aspects of use of herbal preparations | 107 |
| 6.1.2. Route of administration | 108 |
| 6.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS | 109-112 |
| 6.2.1. Experimental animals | 109 |
| 6.2.2. Plant material | 110 |
| 6.2.3. Extraction | 110 |
| 6.2.4. Acute toxicity study | 110 |
| 6.2.4.1. Experimental groups and drug administration | 110 |
| 6.2.4.2. Behavioural study | 110 |
| 6.2.4.3. Food and water consumption | 111 |
| 6.2.4.4. Absolute and relative organ weight | 111 |
| 6.2.4.5. Haematological parameters | 111 |
| 6.2.5. Statistical analysis | 112 |
| 6.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 112-121 |
| 6.3.1. Gross behaviour study | 112 |
| 6.3.2. Food and water consumption | 113 |
| 6.3.3. Absolute and relative organ weight | 117 |
| 6.3.4. Haematological parameters | 118 |
| 6.4. CONCLUSION | 121 |

| CHAPTER 7: ANTI-INFLAMMATORY AND ANALGESIC EFFECTS | \$ |
|--|-------------|
| OF PLUCHEA ARGUTA BOISS | 126-184 |
| 7.1. INTRODUCTION | 126-133 |
| 7.1.1. Inflammation | 126 |
| 7.1.2. Analgesia | 129 |
| 7.1.3. Standard drugs as anti-inflammatory agents and their side | effects 130 |
| 7.1.4. Use of medicinal plants | 130 |
| 7.1.5. Pluchea arguta | 133 |
| 7.1.6. Models for anti-inflammatory study | 133 |
| 7.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS | 134-151 |
| 7.2.1 Plant material and extraction | 134 |
| 7.2.2. Drug dose | 134 |
| 7.2.3. Preparation of test drug and standard drug | 134 |
| 7.2.4. Animal grouping | 134 |
| 7.2.5. Carrageenan induced rat paw edema | 135 |
| 7.2.6. Dextran induced rat paw edema | 135 |
| 7.2.7. Histamine induced rat paw edema | 135 |
| 7.2.8. Formalin induced paw edema | 135 |
| 7.2.9. Cotton pellet induced granuloma in rats | 136 |
| 7.2.9.1. Serum total protein | 136 |
| 7.2.9.2. Serum albumin | 137 |
| 7.2.9.3. Serum aspartate transaminase (AST) | 138 |
| 7.2.9.4. Serum alanine transaminase (ALT) | 140 |
| 7.2.9.5. Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP) | 141 |
| 7.2.9.6. Acid phosphatase (ACP) | 142 |
| 7.2.9.7. Ascorbic acid content in adrenal gland | 144 |
| 7.2.9.8. Histology of studied organs | 145 |
| 7.2.9.9. Methods for histopathological studies | 148 |
| 7.2.10. Analgesic studies | 150 |
| 7.2.10.1. Acetic acid induced writhing in mice | 150 |
| 7.2.10.2. Formalin induced paw licking response in rats | 151 |

| 7.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 151-167 |
|--|---------|
| 7.3.1. Anti-inflammatory activities | 151 |
| 7.3.1.1. Carrageenan induced paw edema | 152 |
| 7.3.1.2. Dextran induced paw edema | 153 |
| 7.3.1.3. Histamine induced paw edema | 154 |
| 7.3.1.4. Formalin induced paw edema | 155 |
| 7.3.1.5. Cotton pellet induced granuloma | 156 |
| 7.3.1.5.1. Organ weight | 157 |
| 7.3.1.5.2. Biochemical parameters | 160 |
| 7.3.1.5.3. Histopathological studies | 163 |
| 7.3.2. Analgesic activities | 164 |
| 7.3.2.1. Acetic acid writhing test | 164 |
| 7.3.2.2. Formalin induced paw licking test | 165 |
| 7.4. CONCLUSION | 168 |
| 7.5. REFERENCES | 170 |

| SU | MM | ARY |
|----|----|-----|
|----|----|-----|

185-188



CHAPTER 1: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1.1. INTRODUCTION OF HERBAL MEDICINE

Nature has been a source of medicinal agents for thousands of years and an impressive number of modern drugs have been derived from natural sources, many of these isolations were based on the uses of the agents in traditional medicine (Cragg and Newman 2001).

Herbal Medicine is defined as a branch of science in which plant based formulations are used to alleviate diseases. It is also known as botanical medicine or phytomedicine. Lately phytotherapy has been introduced as more accurate synonym of herbal or botanical medicine. In the early twentieth century herbal medicine was prime healthcare system as antibiotics or analgesics were not as yet discovered. With the advent of allopathic system of medicine, herbal medicine gradually lost its popularity among people, which is based on the fast therapeutic actions of synthetic drugs (Singh 2007).

Recently there has been a shift in universal trend from synthetic to herbal medicine, which can be said 'Return to Nature'. Medicinal plants have been known for millennia and are highly esteemed all over the world as a rich source of therapeutic agents for the prevention of diseases and ailments (Sharma et al. 2008). The search for eternal health and longevity and for remedies to relieve pain and discomfort drove early man to explore his immediate natural surroundings and led to the use of many plants, animal products, minerals etc. and the development of a variety of therapeutic agents (Nair and Chanda 2007).

Plants have been used as medicines throughout history. Indeed, studies of wild animals show that they also instinctively eat certain plants to treat themselves for certain illnesses. In Asia, the practice of herbal medicine is extremely well established and documented; as a result, most of the medicinal plants that have international recognition come from China and India. In

Europe and North America, the use of herbal medicine is increasing fast, especially for correcting imbalances caused by modern diets and lifestyles. Many people now take medicinal plant products on a daily basis, to maintain good health as much as to treat illness.

The importance of medicinal plants and traditional health systems in solving the health care problems of the world is gaining increasing attention. Because of this resurgence of interest, the research on plants of medicinal importance is growing phenomenally at the international level, often to the detriment of natural habitats and mother populations in the countries of origin. Most of the developing countries have adopted traditional medical practice as an integral part of their culture. Historically, all medicinal preparations are derived from plants, whether in the simple form of raw plant materials or in the refined form of crude extracts, mixtures, etc (Krishnaraju et al. 2005).

In the early development of modern medicine, biologically active compounds from higher plants have played a vital role in providing medicines to combat pain and diseases. For example, in The British Pharmacopoeia (1932), over 70% of organic monographs are on plant-derived products. However, with the advent of synthetic medicines, and subsequently of antibiotics, the role of plant derived therapeutic agents significantly declined (mostly) in the economically developed nations. Thus, in The British Pharmacopoeia (1980), the share of plant-based monographs fell to approximately 20%. In terms of new chemical entities introduced as medicinal agents over the past several decades, the share of plant-based drugs has been no more than 2% (Dev 1997).

This recent resurgence of interest in plant remedies has been spurred on by several factors (WHO 2002; WHO 2005; Calixto 2000; Kong et al. 2003):

- > The effectiveness of plant medicines
- Source of direct therapeutic agents
- > Affordable by the people

- Raw material base for the elaboration of more complex semi-synthetic chemical compounds
- > Models for new synthetic compounds
- > Taxonomic markers for the discovery of new compounds
- The production, consumption and international trade in medicinal plants and phytomedicines are growing and expected to grow in future quite significantly
- Renewable source
- The preference of consumers for natural therapies, a greater interest in alternative medicines and a commonly held belief that herbal products are superior to manufactured products
- A dissatisfaction with the results from synthetic drugs and the belief that herbal medicines may be effective in the treatment of certain diseases where conventional therapies and medicines have proven to be inadequate
- > The high cost and side effects of most modern drugs
- Improvements in the quality, efficacy, and safety of herbal medicines with the development of science and technology
- Patients' belief that their physicians have not properly identified the problem; hence they feel that herbal remedies are another option
- > A movement towards self-medication

Investigation of the chemical and biological activities of plants during the past two centuries have yielded compounds for the development of modern synthetic organic chemistry as a major route for discovery of novel and more effective therapeutic agents (Nair et al. 2007).

1.2. INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINE

Ayurveda, meaning the "science of life," is said to be the oldest and most complete medical system in the world and dates back to 5000 B. C. There is no denying the benefits of Ayurvedic treatments that several Indians and others across the globe have experienced. The diagnostic and treatment procedures used are unique and are still valid today as are its foundational

principles of panchamahabhutha (five basic elements of nature), tridosha (three humours) and prakrithi (individual constitution) (Venkatasubramanian 2007).

Ayurveda has vast literature in Sanskrit and various Indian languages, covering various aspects of diseases, therapeutics, and pharmacy (Dev 1999). The original source of Ayurveda is the Vedas and the texts known as the Samhitas, which are treatises on health care and describe medical procedures, including surgery and a form of massage of vital energy points (Ebadi 2007). The earliest references to such plants are found in the Rig Veda and the Atharva Veda, dating back to the second millennium B.C. The Charaka Samhita (900 B.C.) is the first recorded treatise fully devoted to the concepts and practice of Ayurveda; its primary focus was therapeutics (Charaka Samhita 1949; Sharma 1981). This text sets out all the fundamental principles of Ayurveda but concentrates most of its attention on digestion (described as internal fire, or agni). Another early classic, the Susruta Samhita, focuses on surgical techniques (Majumdar 1971; Krishnamurthy 1991). The Astanga Hridayam, written in about 500 A.D., sets out most of the detailed principles of Ayurveda, including the dosha and subdosha (Grade 1954; Sharma 1979). The Madhava Nidana (800-900 A.D.) was the next important milestone; it is the most famous Ayurvedic work on the diagnosis of diseases. As per Ayurveda, every material (dravya) is a manifestation of five elements (earth, water, fire, air and space) in different proportions. The material could be living as well as non-living things. Depending on the predominant combination of the elements, nature can be categorized into three doshas, namely vata, pitta and kapha:

- Vata, linked to the wind, the force that controls movement and the functioning of the nervous system in the body
- Pitta, the force of heat and energy, linked with the sun, that controls digestion and all biochemical processes in the body
- Kapha, the force of water and tides, influenced by the moon, the stabilizing influence that controls fluid metabolism in the body

When balanced these three forces ensure that the body is healthy, but when they are "abnormal" or unbalanced, disease follows (Thomas 1997).

India has a rich cultural heritage of traditional medicines which chiefly comprised the two widely flourishing systems of treatments i.e. Ayurvedic and Unani systems since ancient times (Surana et al. 2008). Ayurveda is considered not just as an ethnomedicine but also as a complete medical system that takes in to consideration physical, psychological, philosophical, ethical and spiritual well being of mankind. It lays great importance on living in harmony with the Universe and harmony of nature and science. This universal and holistic approach makes it a unique and distinct medical system. This system emphasizes the importance of maintenance of proper life style for maintaining positive health (Ravishankar and Shukla 2007).

Nature has bestowed upon us a very rich botanical wealth and a large number of diverse types of plants grow wild in different parts of our country. In India, the use of different parts of several medicinal plants to cure specific ailments has been in vogue from ancient times (Bhattacharjee 1998). India is one of the 12-mega biodiversity centers having about 10% of the world's biodiversity wealth, which is distributed across 16 agro-climatic zones (Shiva 1996). In India around 20,000 medicinal plant species have been recorded recently (Dev 1997), but more than 500 traditional communities use only about 800 plant species for curing different diseases (Kamboj 2000). With a view to strengthen the medicinal plants sector all over the country as well as to conserve the wild stock, the NMPB (National Medicinal Plants Board) was set up by the Government of India in 2000. The prime objective of setting up the board was to establish an agency which would be responsible for coordination of all matters with respect to the medicinal plants sector, including drawing up policies and strategies for in situ conservation, cultivation, harvesting, marketing, processing, drug development, etc. (Kala and Sajwan 2007). In India, several steps have been taken to improve the quality of Ayurvedic medicines. Good manufacturing practice (GMP) guidelines have been introduced so as to ensure quality control. Medicinal plant boards have been constituted at state and central level to inspire people particularly the farmers

for adopting cultivation of medicinal plants. Herbal gardens have been developed to make common man conversant with the rich heritage of Indian system of medicine. Various institutes like National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER), National Botanical Research Institute (NBRI), Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (CIMAP) and Central Research Drug Institute (CDRI) are playing pivotal role in laying down standards for Ayurvedic system of medicine (Singh 2007).

1.3. THE RECENT DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL DRUGS

A total of 122 biologically active compounds have been identified, derived only from 94 species of plants. A conservative estimate of the number of flowering plants occurring on the planet is 2,50,000. Of these, only about 6% have been screened for biological activity and a reported 15% have been evaluated phytochemically. Consistent findings should be carried out to discover a probable abundance of medicinal extracts in these plants (Turker and Usta 2008).

The use of traditional medicine and medicinal plants in most developing countries, as a normative basis for the maintenance of good health, has been widely observed (UNESCO 1996). Furthermore, an increasing reliance on the use of medicinal plants in the industrialized societies has been traced to the extraction and development of several drugs and chemotherapeutics from these plants as well as from traditionally used rural herbal remedies (UNESCO 1998).

The Pharmaceutical Research and Development Committee report of Ministry of Chemicals, Government of India also underscores the importance of traditional knowledge (Mashelkar 1999). The increasing use of traditional therapies demands more scientifically sound evidence for the principles behind such therapies and for effectiveness of medicines. Recent advance in the analytical and biological sciences, along with innovations in genomics and proteomics can play an important role in validation of these therapies. Western scientific community views traditional medicines cautiously and stresses the concerns related to research, development and quality (Patwardhan et al. 2003; Fabricant and Farnsworth 2001).

A large proportion of such medicinal compounds have been discovered with the aid of ethnobotanical knowledge of their traditional uses. The rich knowledge base of countries like India and China in medicinal plants and health care has led to the keen interest by pharmaceutical companies to use this knowledge as a resource for research and development programs in the pursuit of discovering novel drugs (Krishnaraju et al. 2005).

The rapid pace of research and development in herbal medicine has made it an interdisciplinary science. If any scientific monograph of a medicinal plant is seen, it can be concluded that knowledge of Alternative and Complementary Systems of Medicines like Ayurveda, botany, pharmacognosy and phytochemistry, biochemistry, ethnopharmacology and toxicology is integral part of herbal medicine. There has been an explosive growth of herbal drug industry recently. Data analysis has shown that more and more people are consulting the herbal medicine practitioners. World Health Organization has also identified the importance of herbal medicines. According to a study from U.S., 60-70% patients living in rural areas are dependent on herbal medicine for their day to day diseases. Several authors have reported favorable results with herbal drugs (mostly in the form of extracts) either in animal or in human studies (Padma 2005).

1.4. STANDARDIZATION OF PHYTOMEDICINE

Herbs are natural products and their chemical composition varies depending on several factors, such ac botanical species, used chemotypes, the anatomical part of the plant used (seed, flower, root, leaf, fruit rind, etc.), also storage, sun, humidity, type of ground, time of harvest, geographic area etc. This variability can result in significant differences in pharmacological activity: involving both pharmacodynamics and phamacokinetics issues (Park 2008). It is very important that a system of standardization is established for every plant medicine in the market because the scope for variation in different batches of medicine is enormous (Ekka et al. 2008). Herbal medicines are very different from well-defined synthetic drugs. For example, the availability and quality of the raw materials are frequently problematic; the active principles are frequently unknown; and standardization, stability and quality control are feasible but not easy. Strict guidelines have to be followed for the successful production of a quality herbal drug. The medicinal plants should be authentic and free from harmful materials like pesticides, heavy metals, microbial and radioactive contamination. The source and quality of raw materials, good agricultural practices and manufacturing processes are certainly essential steps for the quality control of herbal medicines and play a pivotal role in guaranteeing the quality and stability of herbal preparations. The herbal extract should be checked for biological activity in experimental animal models. The bioactive extract should be standardized on the basis of active compound. The bioactive extract should undergo limited safety studies (De Smet 1997; Blumenthal et al. 1998; EMEA 2002; WHO 2004; Ahmad et al. 2006; Samy and Gopalakrishnakone 2007).

1.5. MODERN MEDICINE FROM HIGHER PLANTS

Natural products play an important role in the field of new drugs research and development, but it was not until the 19th century that man began to isolate the active principles of medicinal plants and the landmark discovery of quinine from Cinchona bark was made by the French scientists Caventou and Pelletier. Prior to World War II, a series of natural products isolated from higher plants became clinical agents and a number of them are still in use today (Kong et al. 2003).

 Table 1.1 Some examples of plant derived drugs and their clinical applications

| Name of the plants | Drugs | Clinical application |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rauwolfia serpentina | Serpentine | Hypertension and lowering |
| | | of blood pressure |
| Catharanthus rosesus | Vinblastine | Hodgkins, choriocarcinoma, |
| | | non-hodgkins lymphomas, |
| | | leukemia in children, |
| | | testicular and neck cancer |
| Phodophyllum emodi | Phophyllotoxin | Testicular, small cell lung |
| | | cancer and lymphomas |
| Papaver somniferum | Codeine, morphin | Headaches, arthritis and |
| | | inducing sleep. |
| Ephedra sinica | Ephedrine | Respiratory ailments |
| Cinchona spp. | Quinine | Fevers |
| Cephaelis spp. | Emetine | To induce vomiting and cure |
| | | dysentery. |
| Digitalis leaves | Digoxin | Heart therapy |

Natural products have served as a major source of drugs for centuries, and about half of the pharmaceuticals in use today are derived from natural products. Quinine, theophylline, penicillin G, morphine, paclitaxel, digoxin, vincristine, doxorubicin, cyclosporin, and vitamin A all share two important characteristics: they are cornerstones of modern pharmaceutical care, and they are all natural products (Ebadi 2007).

In fact, most of the major anticancer drugs are derived from plants or microorganisms. Important examples include bleomycin, doxorubicin, daunorubicin, vincristine, vinblastine, mitomycin, streptozocin, and most recently, paclitaxel, ironotecan (a camptothecin derivative), and etoposide and tenoposide (podophyllotoxin derivatives) (Ebadi 2007).

1.6. MARKET POTENTIAL OF PHYTOMEDICINE

Herbal medicines are readily available in the market from health food stores without prescriptions and are widely used all over the world. The utilization of herbal drugs is on the flow and the market is growing step by step. The annual turnover of the Indian herbal medicinal industry is about Rs. 2,300 crore as against the pharmaceutical industry's turnover of Rs. 14,500 crores with a growth rate of 15 percent (Krishnan 1998). The major pharmaceuticals exported from India in the recent years are isabgol, opium alkaloids, senna derivatives, vinca extract, cinchona alkaloids, ipecac root alkaloids, solasodine, diosgenine/16DPA, menthol, gudmar herb, papian, rauwolfia guar gum, jasmine oil, agar wood oil, sandal wood oil, etc (Kokate et al. 2005). The global market for herbal medicines currently stands at over \$60 billion annually. The sale of herbal medicines is expected to get higher at 6.4% an average annual growth rate (Inamdar et al. 2008).

Herbal drugs are marketed in various forms. They are available in both classical forms (tablets, powder, decoction, medicated oil, medicated ghee, fermented products) and modern drug presentation forms like capsules, lotions, syrups, ointments, creams, granules etc. There are more than 8500 manufacturers of herbal drugs in the country (Jain 2001).

Thus, plant-based therapeutic agents continue to have scientific, social, and commercial significance and appear to be gathering a momentum in health-relevant areas. A study of the process by which the traditional or more recent plant-based molecular drugs or the new breed of herbal drugs came to be used in present-day medicine reveals that, in over 70% of the cases, the starting point has been some reference to the use of that plant as an indigenous cure in a folklore or traditional system of medicine of one culture or other.

1.7. ROLE OF WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION IN PHYTOMEDICINE

The legal process of regulation of herbal medicines changes from country to country. The reason for this involves mainly cultural aspects and also the fact that herbal medicines are rarely studied scientifically. World Health Organization (WHO) has published guidelines in order to define basic criteria for evaluating the quality, safety and efficacy of herbal medicines aimed at assisting national regulatory authorities, scientific organizations and manufacturers in this particular area (Akerele 1993). The salient features of WHO guidelines were: 1). Quality assessment: Crude plant materials or plant extract preparation and finished product. 2). Stability: Shelf life. 3). Safety assessment: Documentation of safety based on experience and toxicological studies. 4). Assessment of efficacy: Documented evidence of traditional use and activity determination (Animals and human).

Since ages, literature has revealed plants to be the most important source of medicines for human health (Balandrin et al. 1993). According to the findings of the World Health Organization, up to 80% of the world's population relies on plants for their primary health care (Farnsworth et al. 1985; WHO 2002; Dash et al. 2005).

1.8. NEED OF THE HOUR

Herbal medicines are an essential and growing part of the international pharmacopeia. Knowledge of their medicinal properties is growing as a result of research and testing, which will make them an increasingly safe alternative or a preferred option to allopathic medicine. Today, there is a renewed interest in traditional medicine and an increasing demand for more drugs from plant sources. This revival of interest in plant-derived drugs is mainly due to the current widespread belief that "green medicine" is safe and more dependable than the costly synthetic drugs, many of which have adverse side effects (Parekh and Chanda 2006). There is a growing interest in correlating phytochemical constituents of a plant with its pharmacological activity (Gupta 1994; Vaidya 1994). Scientists have even started correlating the botanical

properties of plants with their pharmacological activity (Rawat et al. 1997). In future, more co-ordinated multidimensional research aimed at correlating botanical and phytochemical properties to specific pharmacological activities is expected (Dahanukar et al. 2000).

Thus, determining the biological activities of plants used in traditional medicine is helpful to the rural communities and informal settlements. Several studies are currently being undertaken to isolate the active compound(s) by bioassay-guided fractionation from the species that show high biological activity during screening. With the availability of primary information, further studies can be carried out like standardization of the extracts, identification and isolation of active principles and pharmacological studies of isolated compound. Therefore, these scientific investigations may be utilized to develop drugs for diseases. Further research is desired to isolate the compounds responsible for the observed biological activity.

1.9. REFERENCES

Ahmad I, Aqil F, Owais M (2006) Modern Phytomedicine. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

Akerele O (1993) Summary of WHO guidelines for the assessment of herbal medicines. Herbal Gram 28: 13-19.

Balandrin MF, Klinghorn AD, Farnsworth NR (1993) In: Human Medicinal Agents from Plants. Kinghorn AD, Balandrin MF (Eds.), American Chemical Society, Washington, DC pp. 2-12.

Bhattacharjee SK (1998) Handbook of Medicinal Plants. Pointer Pub, Jaipur, India pp. 1-6.

Blumenthal M, Brusse WR, Goldberg A, Gruenwald J, Hall T, Riggins CW, Rister RS (1998) The Complete German Commission E Monographs. Therapeutic Guide to Herbal Medicines. The American Botanical Council, Austin, TX.

Calixto JB (2000) Efficacy, safety, quality control, marketing and regulatory guidelines for herbal medicines (phytotherapeutic agents). Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research 33: 179-189.

Charaka Samhita (1949) Shree Gulab Kunverba Ayurvedic Society, Jamnagar, India.

Cragg GM, Newman DJ (2001) Medicinals for the Millennia. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 953: 3-25.

Dahanukar SA, Kulkarni RA, Rege NN (2000) Pharmacology of medicinal plants and natural products. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 32: S81-S118.

Dash S, Nath LK, Bhise S, Bhuyan N (2005) Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of *Heracleum nepalense* D. Don. root. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 4: 341-347.

De Smet PA (1997) The role of plant derived drugs and herbal medicines in healthcare. Drugs 54: 801-840.

Dev S (1997) Ethnotherapeutic and modern drug development: The potential of Ayurveda. Current Science 73: 909-928.

Dev S (1999) Ancient modern concordance in Ayurvedic plants: some examples. Environmental Health Perspectives 107: 783-789.

Ebadi M (2007). Pharmacodynamic Basis of Herbal Medicine. 2nd Edition, CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group, LLC pp.66.

Ekka NR, Namdeo KP, Samal PK (2008) Standardization strategies for herbal drugs - An overview. Research Journal of Pharmacy and Technology 1: 310-312.

EMEA (2002) Points to Consider on Good Agricultural and Collection Practice for Starting Materials of Herbal Origin. EMEA/HMPWP/31/99 Review, European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (EMEA), London.

Fabricant DS, Farnsworth NR (2001) The value of plants used in traditional medicine for drug discovery. Environmental Health Perspectives 109: 69-75.

Farnsworth NR, Akerele O, Bingel AS (1985) Medicinal plants in therapy. Bulletin of World Health Organization 63: 965-981.

Garde GK (1954) Sartha Vagbhatta, Ashtangahridaya. Aryabhushana Mudranalaya Pune, India.

14

Gupta SS (1994) Prospects and perspectives of natural plant products in medicine. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 26:1-12.

Inamdar N, Edalat S, Kotwal VB, Pawar S (2008) Herbal drugs in milieu of modern drugs. International Journal of Green Pharmacy 2: 2-8.

Jain NK (2001) A Textbook of Forensic Pharmacy. Vallabh Prakashan, Delhi.

Kala CP, Sajwan BS (2007) Revitalizing Indian systems of herbal medicine by the National Medicinal Plants Board through institutional networking and capacity building. Current Science 93: 979-806.

Kamboj VP (2000) Herbal medicine. Current Science 78: 35-39.

Kokate CK, Purohit AP, Gokhale SB (2005) Pharmacognosy. Nirali Prakashan, 30th Ed. Pune, India.

Kong JM, Goh NK, Chia LS, Chia TF (2003) Recent advances in traditional plant drugs and orchids. Acta Pharmacologica Sinica 24: 7-21.

Krishnamurthy KH (1991) Wealth of Susruta. International Institute of Ayurveda Coimbatore, India.

Krishnan R (1998) Indian Drug Manufactured Association Bulletin 13: 318-320.

Krishnaraju AV, Rao TVN, Sundararajua D, Vanisreeb M, Tsayb HS, Subbarajua GV (2005) Assessment of bioactivity of Indian medicinal plants using brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) lethality assay. International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering 2: 125-134.

Majumdar RC (1971) Medicine. In: A Concise History of Science in India. Bose DM, Sen SN, Subbarayappa BV (Eds.), Indian National Science Academy, New Delhi pp. 213-273. Mashelkar RA (1999) Transforming India into the knowledge power. PDRC report, Government of India.

Nair R, Chanda S (2007) Antibacterial activities of some medicinal plants of the Western region of India. Turkish Journal of Biology 31: 231-236.

Nair R, Vaghasiya Y, Chanda S (2007) Antibacterial potency of selected Indian medicinal plants. International Journal of Green Pharmacy 1: 37-44.

Padma TV (2005). India Ayurveda. Nature 436: 486 doi: 10.1038/436486a.

Parekh J, Chanda S (2006) *In vitro* antimicrobial activities of extracts of *Launaea procumbens* Roxb. (Labiateae), *Vitis vinifera* L. (Vitaceae) and *Cyperes rotundus* L. (Cyperaceae). African Journal of Biomedical Research 9: 89-93.

Park JH (2008) Evidence-based herbal medicine in efficacy and safety assessments. Oriental Pharmacy and Experimental Medicine 8: 103-110.

Patwardhan B, Chopra A, Vaidya ADB (2003) Herbal remedies and the bias against Ayurveda. Current Science 84: 1165-1166.

Ravishankar B, Shukla VJ (2007) Indian systems of medicine: a brief profile. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines 4: 319-337.

Rawat AK, Mehrotra S, Tripathi SC, Shome U (1997) Hepatoprotective activity of *Boerhavia diffusa* L. roots - a popular Indian ethnomedicine. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 56: 61-66.

Samy RP, Gopalakrishnakone P (2007) Current status of herbal and their future perspectives. Nature Proceedings hdl:10101/npre.

16

Sharma S (1979) Realms of Ayurveda. Arnold-Heinemann, New Delhi.

Sharma SP (1981) Charaka Samhita, Chaukhambha Orientalia, Varanasi, India.

Sharma A, Shanker C, Tyagi L, Singh M, Rao CV (2008) Herbal Medicine for Market Potential in India: An Overview. Academic Journal of Plant Sciences 1: 26-36.

Shiva MP (1996) Inventory of Forestry Resources for Sustainable Management and Biodiversity Conservation, Indus Publishing Company, New Delhi.

Singh A (2007) Herbal medicine–dream unresolved. Pharmacognosy Reviews 2: 375-376.

Surana SJ, Tatiya AU, Jain AS, Desai DG, Shastri KV, Katariya MV (2008) Pharmacognostical and physico-chemical standardization of root of *Eranthemum roseum*. (Vahl) R.Br. Pharmacognosy Magazine 4: 75-79.

The British Pharmacopoeia (1932) General Medical Council, London.

The British Pharmacopoeia (1980) Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London.

Thomas R (1997) Natural ways to health, in Alternative medicine. An Illustrated Encyclopedia of Natural Healing, Time-Life Books, Alexandria, VA.

Turker AU, Usta C (2008) Biological screening of some Turkish medicinal plant extracts for antimicrobial and toxicity activities. Natural Product Research 22: 136-146.

UNESCO (1996) Culture and Health, Orientation Texts – World Decade for Cultural Development 1988 – 1997, Document CLT/DEC/PRO, Paris, France.

UNESCO (1998) FIT/504-RAF-48 Terminal Report: Promotion of ethnobotany and the sustainable use of plant resources in Africa. Paris.

Vaidya AB, Antarkar VDS (1994) New drugs from medicinal plants: opportunities and approaches. Journal of The Association of Physicians of India 42: 221-228.

Venkatasubramanian P (2007) Drug discovery in Ayurveda - different ways of knowing. Pharmacognosy Magazine 3: 64.

WHO (2002) WHO monographs on selected medicinal plants. World Health Organization, Geneva.

WHO (2004) Guidelines on good agricultural and collection practices (GACP) for medicinal plants. World Health Organization, Geneva.

WHO (2005) Global atlas of traditional, complementary and alternative medicine. World Health Organization, Geneva.



CHAPTER 2: SCREENING OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS FOR ANTIMICROBIAL PROPERTY

2.1. INTRODUCTION

The discovery and development of antibiotics are among the most powerful and successful achievements of modern science and technology for the control of infectious diseases. However, the rate of resistance of pathogenic microorganisms to conventionally used antimicrobial agents is increasing with an alarming frequency (Ge et al. 2002; Nair and Chanda 2005; Neogi et al. 2008). Isolation of microbial agents less susceptible to regular antibiotics and recovery of resistant isolates during antibacterial therapy is increasing throughout the world (Cohen 2002; Hancock 2005). In addition to this problem antibiotics are sometimes associated with adverse side effects on the host, which include hypersensitivity, depletion of beneficial gut and mucosal microorganisms, immunosuppression and allergic reactions (Al-Jabri 2005). The number of multi-drug resistant microbial strains and the appearance of strains with reduced susceptibility to antibiotics are continuously increasing. This increase has been attributed to indiscriminate use of broad-spectrum antibiotics. immunosuppressive agent, intravenous catheters. organ transplantation and ongoing epidemics of HIV infection (Ng 1994; Dean and Burchard, 1996; Gonzalez et al, 1996). Examples include methicillin-resistant staphylococci, pneumococci resistant to penicillin and macrolides, vancomycin-resistant Enterococci as well as multi-drug resistant gramnegative organisms (Norrby et al. 2005). There is an urgent need to control antimicrobial resistance by improved antibiotic usage and reduction of hospital cross-infection (Voravuthikunchai and Kitpipit 2005; Sung and Lee 2007), however, the development of new antibiotics should be continued as they are of primary importance to maintain the effectiveness of antimicrobial treatment (van der Waaij and Nord 2000; Marchese and Shito 2001).

The potential for developing antimicrobials from higher plants appears rewarding as it will lead to the development of a phytomedicine to act against
microbes; as a result, plants are one of the bedrocks for modern medicine to attain new principles (Evans et al. 2002). Plant based antimicrobials represent a vast untapped source of medicine. Plant based antimicrobials have enormous therapeutic potential as they can serve the purpose without any side effects that are often associated with synthetic antimicrobials. Further continued exploration of plant derived antimicrobials is needed today (Hussain and Gorsi 2004).

Historically, plants have provided a source of inspiration for novel drug compounds, as plant derived medicines have made large contributions to human health and well-being (Iwu et al. 1999). Medicinal plants constitute an effective source of both traditional and modern medicines. Herbal medicine has been shown to have genuine utility and about 80% of rural population depends on it as primary health care (Farnsworth et al. 1985; Akinyemi et al. 2005). Over the years, the World Health Organization advocated that countries should encourage traditional medicine with a view to identifying and exploiting aspects that provide safe and effective remedies for ailments of both microbial and non-microbial origins (WHO 1978). In recent years, pharmaceutical companies have spent a lot of time and money in developing natural products extracted from plants, to produce more cost effective remedies that are affordable to the population (Doughari 2006).

Scientific experiments on the antimicrobial properties of plant components were first documented in the late 19th century (Zaika 1975). It is estimated that today, plant materials are present in, or have provided the models for 50% Western drugs (Robbers et al. 1996). Many commercially proven drugs used in modern medicine were initially used in crude form in traditional or folk healing practices, or for other purposes that suggested potentially useful biological activity. The primary benefits of using plant derived medicines are that they are relatively safer than synthetic alternatives, offering profound therapeutic benefits and more affordable treatment.

The medicinal plants around the world contain many compounds with antibacterial activity (Marjorie 1999). Many efforts have been made to discover new antimicrobial compounds from various sources such as microorganisms, animals, and plants. Systematic screening of them may result in the discovery of novel effective antimicrobial compounds (Tomoko et al. 2002). The use of botanical medicines is generally on the rise in many parts of the world (Bbosa et al. 2007). The screening of plant extracts and plant products for antimicrobial activity has shown that plants represent a potential source of new anti-infective agents (Amani et al. 1998; Salvat et al. 2001; Costa et al. 2008). Numerous experiments have been carried out to screen natural products for antimicrobial property (Martinez et al. 1996; Ateb and Erdourul 2003; Nair and Chanda 2006; Nair et al. 2007a; Ndhlala et al. 2009).

Considering the above, it can be stated that plants are valuable sources for new compounds and should receive special attention in research strategies to develop new antimicrobials urgently required in the near future (Shahidi Bonjar et al. 2004; Aslim and Yucel 2008).

In the present study, methanol and acetone extracts of 53 medicinal plants were screened for antimicrobial activity against 15 different standard strains of microorganisms. Further, the most potent plant extracts (4) were screened for antimicrobial activity against 24 standard strains of microorganisms and 74 clinically isolated microorganisms.

2.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.2.1. Plant materials

Fresh plant/plant parts were collected randomly from Gujarat region, India (Anand Agricultural University, Anand; Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh and Saurashtra University campus, Rajkot). The details of the plant/plant parts screened, their families, voucher number, vernacular names and their therapeutic uses are given in Table 2.1 (Anjaria et al. 2002; Sriram et al. 2004; Khare 2007). Plants were compared with voucher specimens

deposited by Dr. P. S. Nagar at Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat, India. Fresh plant materials were washed in tap water, air dried and then homogenized to fine powder and stored in airtight bottles.

2.2.2. Extraction

10 g of air-dried powder was taken in 100 ml of petroleum ether in a conical flask, plugged with cotton wool and then kept on a rotary shaker at 190-220 rpm for 24 h. After 24 h, the supernatant was discarded and petroleum ether was evaporated from the powder. This dry powder was then taken in 100 ml of solvent (methanol or acetone) in a conical flask, plugged with cotton wool and then kept on a rotary shaker at 190-220 rpm for 24 h. After 24 h, the extracts were centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min, the supernatant was collected, solvents were evaporated, and the dry extract was stored at 4°C in airtight bottles. The extraction was done at least three times for each plant and the mean values of extractive yields are presented (Vaghasiya and Chanda 2007).

| No. | Name of the plant | Parts used | Ethnomodicinal uso | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | (Family, Vernacular name) | (Voucher no.) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Abutilon glaucum (Cav.) (Malvaceae, Balbij) | Leaf + stem (PSN42) | Pectoral, demulcent, diuretic | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Adenanthera pavonina L. | Leaf | Boils, inflammation, ophthalmia, chronic | | | | | | | | | |
| | (Mimosaceae, Raktchandan/Ratanjali) | | rheumatism, gout, haematuria | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | <i>Agave vera</i> Cruz, Mill. (Agavaceae, Agave) | Leaf | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Alangium salvifolium (Linn. f.) Wang. | Leaf | Rheumatism, leprosy, inflammation and for | | | | | | | | | |
| | (Alangiaceae, Ankola) | (PSN 346) | external and internal application in case of | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | bites of rabid dog | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Alpinia speciosa (Wendl.) K.Schum. | Leaf + stem | Diuretic, hypertension, antiulcerative, | | | | | | | | | |
| | (Zingiberaceae, Sthulgranthi) | | spasmolytic. | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Argemone mexicana L. | Leaf + stem | Infestation, skin disease, leprosy, pruritus, | | | | | | | | | |
| | (Papaveraceae, Darudi) | (PSN 11) | blennorrhagia, inflammations, all type of | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | poisoning | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Argyreia speciosa Sweet | Leaf + stem | Tonic, aphrodisiac, nervine, diuretic, | | | | | | | | | |
| | (Convolvulaceae, Samudra Shokha/ Bidhaaraa) | (PSN492) | antirheumatic, hypotensive, spasmolytic, skin | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | diseases, rubefacient, topically stimulant | | | | | | | | | |

 Table 2.1 Ethnobotanical information of the plants screened for antimicrobial activity

| No. | Name of the plant (Family, Vernacular name) | Parts used (Voucher no.) | Ethnomedicinal use | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 8 | Aristolochia bracteolata Lam. | Whole plant | Constipation, inflammation, foul ulcers, boils, | | | | | | | |
| | (Aristolochiaceae, Kidamari) | (PSN662) | syphilis, gonorrhoea, eczema and intermittent | | | | | | | |
| | | | fevers | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Aristolochia indica L. | Leaf | In venomous insect bites and internally in | | | | | | | |
| | (Aristolochiaceae, Nodvel/ Isarmuula) | | intermittent fevers, blood complains, | | | | | | | |
| | | | Oxytocic, abortifacient, emmenagogue. | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Asphodelus tenuifolius Cav. (Liliaceae, Dungro) | Leaf + stem (PSN726) | Diuretic, inflamed part, antiulcer | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Baliospermum montanum | Leaf + stem | Purgative, antiasthmatic, ache and pain of | | | | | | | |
| | (Euphorbiaceae, Danti) | | joints, cathartic, antidropsical. | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Boerhavia diffusa L. | Leaf + stem | Asthma, anemia, inflammation, hepatic | | | | | | | |
| | (Nyctaginaceae, Satodi/ Punarnavaa) | (PSN 631) | disorder, rheumatic and gouty complains, | | | | | | | |
| | | | chronic peritoneal conditions, heart disease | | | | | | | |
| | | | and kidney ailments | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Bombax ceiba L. | Leaf | Dysentery, influenza, menorrhagia, blood | | | | | | | |
| | (Bombacaceae, Simlo) | (PSN 74) | impurities, healing | | | | | | | |

| No. | Name of the plant (Family, Vernacular name) | Parts used (Voucher no.) | Ethnomedicinal use | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 14 | <i>Carissa carandas</i> L. var. (Apocynaceae, Karamda) | Leaf + stem (PSN440) | Antiscorbutic, stomachic, refrigerant, digestive, astringent, anthelmintic | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | <i>Cassia occidentalis</i> L. (Caesalpiniaceae, Kasundro) | Leaf + stem (PSN254) | Inflammation, diabetes, elephantiasis, leprosy, ulcers, constipation, hicough and fever, purgative, diuretic, febrifugal, expectorant, stomachic, skin diseases | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Casuarina equisetifolia L. (Casuarinaceae, Sharu) | Leaf + stem + fruit (PSN713) | Astringent, antidiarrhoeal, antispasmodic, used in colic, hypoglycaemic. | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | <i>Celastrus paniculatus</i> Willd. (Celastraceae, Malkangni) | Leaf (PSN117) | Painful joints, hemiflegia ulcers, skin disease, piles, brain tonic, diaphoretic, febrifugal, mental depression, hysteria, scabies, eczema, wounds, rheumatic pains, paralysis. | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | <i>Citrus medica</i> L. (Rutaceae, Bijoru) | Leaf (PSN 106) | Bilious fever, dyspepsia, inflammation, antiscorbutic, astringent, carminative, stomachic, antibacterial, dyspepsia, cold. | | | | | | | | |

| Name of the plant | Ethnomedicinal use | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| (Family, Vernacular name) | (Voucher no.) | | | | | | | | | |
| Clerodendrum phlomidis | Leaf + stem | Convalescence of measles, neglected | | | | | | | | |
| (Verbenaceae, Arani, Bhaandira) | (PSN602) | syphilitic complains, obesity, diarrhoea, | | | | | | | | |
| | | worms. | | | | | | | | |
| Datura innoxia Mill. | Leaf | Dysmenorrhoea, neuralgia, sciatic, breast | | | | | | | | |
| (Solanaceae, Daturo) | (PSN 530) | inflammation. | | | | | | | | |
| Desmodium gangeticum L. DC | Leaf | Anorexia, dysentery, dyspepsia, hemorrhoids, | | | | | | | | |
| (Fabaceae, Saliparni) | (PSN 182) | fever, gout, cough, inflammation, asthma, | | | | | | | | |
| | | bronchitis, cardiopathy, debility | | | | | | | | |
| Drypetes roxburghii (Wall.) Hurasawa | Leaf | Burning sensation, hyperdipsia, constipation, | | | | | | | | |
| (Euphorbiaceae, Putranjiva) | | elephantiasis, inflammations, strangury, | | | | | | | | |
| | | habitual abortion, sterility | | | | | | | | |
| Ficus carica L. | Leaf | Constipation, renal and vesicle calculi, | | | | | | | | |
| (Moraceae, Anjir) | (PSN 704) | visceral obstruction, piles, gout and externally | | | | | | | | |
| | | ulcers, gum, boils, asthma. | | | | | | | | |
| <i>Gymnema sylvestre</i> (Retz.) R. Br. | Leaf + stem | In snake bites, swallon glands and visceral | | | | | | | | |
| (Asclepiadaceae, Madhunasini) | | enlargement, internally in cough, diabetes, | | | | | | | | |
| | | fever. | | | | | | | | |
| | Name of the plant (Family, Vernacular name)Clerodendrum phlomidis (Verbenaceae, Arani, Bhaandira)Datura innoxia Mill. (Solanaceae, Daturo) Desmodium gangeticum L. DC (Fabaceae, Saliparni)Drypetes roxburghii (Wall.) Hurasawa (Euphorbiaceae, Putranjiva)Ficus carica L. (Moraceae, Anjir)Gymnema sylvestre (Retz.) R. Br. (Asclepiadaceae, Madhunasini) | Name of the plant (Family, Vernacular name)Parts used (Voucher no.)Clerodendrum phlomidis (Verbenaceae, Arani, Bhaandira)Leaf + stem (PSN602)Datura innoxia Mill. (Solanaceae, Daturo)Leaf (PSN 530)Desmodium gangeticum L. DC (Fabaceae, Saliparni)Leaf (PSN 182)Drypetes roxburghii (Wall.) Hurasawa (Euphorbiaceae, Putranjiva)Leaf (PSN 704)Ficus carica L. (Moraceae, Anjir)Leaf (PSN 704)Gymnema sylvestre (Retz.) R. Br. (Asclepiadaceae, Madhunasini)Leaf + stem | | | | | | | | |

| No. | Name of the plant | Parts used | Ethnomedicinal use | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | (Failing, Vernacular hame) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Hemidesmus indicus (L.) R.Br. | Leaf | Chronic cough, syphilitic, cachexia, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Asclepiadaceae, Anantmul) | (PSN465) | lecorrhoea, strangury dyspeptic, nutritional | | | | | | | | |
| | | | disorders | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Holoptelea integrifolia (Roxb.) Planch. | Leaf | Internally and externally used in rheumatism, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Urticaceae, Chibil/Charel) | (PSN 711) | scabies, ringworm. | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Jasminum auriculatum Vahl. | Leaf | Astringent, cardiac tonic, ringworm, chronic | | | | | | | | |
| | (Oleaceae, Juuhi) | | fistulas | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Leptadenia reticulata (Retz.) Wight & Arn. | Leaf + stem | Stimulant and restorative, habitual abortion, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Asclepidaceae, Dodishaak) | (PSN454) | skin diseases. | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Leucas aspera (Willd.) Link. | Leaf + stem | Colic, dyspepsia, verminosis, chronic skin | | | | | | | | |
| | (Labiatae, Chotahalkusa/Kubo) | (PSN 617) | eruption, cough, intermittent fevers, ulcers | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Madhuca indica Gmelin. | Leaf | Verminosis, gastropathy, Dipsia, bronchitis, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Sapotaceae, Mahudo) | (PSN 426) | consumption, dermatopathy, rheumatism, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | cephalgia and hemorrhoids | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Mangifera indica L. | Seed | Inflammation, constipation, hyperpiesia, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Anacardiaceae, Keri) | | hemorrhages, wounds, ulcers, dysentery | | | | | | | | |

| No. | Name of the plant | Parts used | Ethnomodicinal uso |
|-----|--|---------------|--|
| | (Family, Vernacular name) | (Voucher no.) | Ethnomedicinal use |
| 32 | Maranta arundinacea L. | Leaf + stem | Nutritive, demulcent, acute diarrhea, |
| | (Marantaceae, Kookaineer) | | gastroenteritis |
| 33 | Merremia turpethum (L.) Shah and Bhatt | Leaf | Leucoderma, itch, ulcers, constipation, |
| | (Convolvulaceae, Nashottar) | | abdominal troubles, inflammation, anaemia, |
| | | | fever, jaundice |
| 34 | Murraya paniculata (L.) Jack | Leaf + stem | regulate fertility |
| | (Rutaceae, Kamini) | (PSN110) | |
| 35 | Origanum marjoram L. | Leaf + stem | Antiseptic, Antispasmodic, Cholagogue, |
| | (Lamiaceae, Dawanam) | | Tonic, Emmenagogue, Stimulant |
| 36 | Phyla nodiflora (L.) Greene | Leaf + stem | Burning sensation, fever, ulcers, asthma, |
| | (Verbenaceae, Ratvelio) | | bronchitis, gonorrhoea |
| 37 | Phyllanthus reticulatus Poir | Leaf + stem | Burning sensation, strangury, sores, diarrhea, |
| | (Euphorbiaceae, Kamboi) | | skin eruption |
| 38 | Pluchea arguta Boiss | Leaf + stem | Arthritis, constipation, respiratory disease, |
| | (Asteraceae, Rashna) | (PSN402) | inflammation |
| 39 | Randia dumetorum Lam. | Leaf + stem | Nervine, calmative, antispasmodic, emetic, |
| | (Rubiaceae, Gangeda) | (PSN364) | anthelmintic, abortifacient |

| No. | Name of the plant | Parts used | Ethnomedicinal use | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | (Family, Vernacular name) | (voucher no.) | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | Rauvolfia tetraphylla L. | Leaf + stem | Sedative, hypotensive, skin diseases, to | | | | | | | | |
| | (Apocynaceae, Bada Chand) | | destroy parasites. | | | | | | | | |
| 41 | <i>Scaevola koenigii</i> Vahl. | Leaf | Digestive, carminative, applied externally on | | | | | | | | |
| | (Goodeniaceae, Bhadraaksha) | (PSN421) | tumours and swollen legs, dysentery. | | | | | | | | |
| 42 | Scindapsus officinalis (Roxb.) Schott | Leaf | Pruritus, adentitis, ripening boils, ostalgia, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Araceae, Gajapipal) | | arthralgia, malaria, inflammations, ulcers, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Anthelmintic, purgative and tonic. | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | Strychnos nux-vomica L | Leaf + stem | Cholera, chronic wounds, ulcers, paralytic | | | | | | | | |
| | (Loganiaceae, Zerchochlu) | | complains, diabetes, asthma, malaria | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | Tamarindus indica L. | Leaf + stem | Acidity, dyspepsia, constipation, intoxication | | | | | | | | |
| | (Caesalpiniaceae, Amli) | (PSN 263) | from spirituous, liquors, scurvy, bleeding | | | | | | | | |
| | | | piles, dysentery | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | Tecomella undulata (Sm.) Seem. | Leaf + stem | Remedy for syphilis, spleen diseases | | | | | | | | |
| | (Bignoniaceae, Ragatrohido) | (PSN 566) | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | <i>Tephrosia purpurea</i> (L.) Pers. | Leaf | Jaundice, skin disease, elephantiasis, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Fabaceae, Sarpankho) | (PSN223) | dyspepsia, asthma, pimples, syphilis, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | gonorrhea | | | | | | | | |

| No. | Name of the plant (Family, Vernacular name) | Parts used (Voucher no.) | Ethnomedicinal use | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 47 | Thespesia populnea (L.) Sol ex Correa | Leaf | Cough, psoriasis, skin disease, dysentery, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Malvaceae, Paraspipal) | (PSN 71) | diabetes, gonorrhea, indigestion, ulcers. | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | <i>Tinospora cordifolia</i> (Willd.) Miers Hook. F & Th | Leaf + stem | Malaria, rheumatism, dyspepsia, antipyretic, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Menispermaceae, Galo) | (PSN 8) | antiperiodic, anti-inflammatory, antirheumatic, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | spasmolytic, hypoglycaemic, hepatoprotective | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | Trienthema monogyna L. | Leaf + stem | Alexiteric, analgesic, diuretic, bronchitis, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Aizoaceae, Satodo) | (PSN 308) | inflammation, ulcers, itching, night blindness | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | Trigonella foenum-graecum L. | Leaf + stem | Antipemic, anticholestremic, demulcent, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Fabaceae, Methi) | (PSN 230) | diuretic, carminative aphroedisiac | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | Vitex negundo L. | Leaf + stem | Catarrhal dengue, puerperal fever, splenic | | | | | | | | |
| | (Verbenaceae, Nagod) | (PSN611) | enlargement, irritable bladder, rheumatism, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | dyspepsia, colic worms, liver disease, | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | Woodfordia fruticosa Kurz. | Leaf | Astringent, acrid, refrigerant, stimulant, | | | | | | | | |
| | (Lythraceae, Dhavdi) | (PSN303) | depurative, styptic, uterine sedative, | | | | | | | | |
| 53 | Woodfordia fruticosa Kurz. | Stem | constipating, antibacterial, skin disease | | | | | | | | |
| | (Lythraceae, Dhavdi) | (PSN303) | | | | | | | | | |

2.2.3. Microorganisms

The standard microorganisms (American Type Culture Collection) were obtained from National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India and clinically isolated microorganisms were obtained from Department of Microbiology, Smt.N.H.L. Municipal Medical College, Sheth V.S. General Hospital, Ahmedabad and Spandan Diagnostics, Rajkot. The bacterial strains were grown in the nutrient broth and maintained on nutrient agar slants at 4°C, while fugal strains were grown in sabourad broth and maintained on MGYP slants at 4°C.

2.2.4. Preparation of the extract for antimicrobial assay

Plant extracts were dissolved in 100% dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) for antimicrobial study. Concentration of methanol and acetone extracts of 53 plants was 600 μ g/disc. Concentrations of methanol extracts of four selected plants were 600 μ g/disc and 1200 μ g/disc.

2.2.5. Antibiotics

Fifteen antibiotics were used for antibiotic susceptibility study against standard and clinically isolated microorganisms. All antibiotic discs were purchased from Hi-Media, Bombay, India. The names and concentration of the antibiotics and antifungal are as follows: Amikacin (10 mcg), Azithromycin (15 mcg), Carbenicillin (100 mcg), Cefaclor (30 mcg), Ceftazidime (30 mcg), Chloramphenicol (30 mcg), Ciprofloxacin (10 mcg), Imipenem (10 mcg), Methicillin (5 mcg), Piperacillin (100 mcg), Tetracycline (10 mcg), Amphotericin-B (100 units), Fluconazole (25 mcg), Ketoconazole (10 mcg), Nystatin (100 units).

2.2.6. Antimicrobial assay

The antimicrobial assay was performed by agar disc diffusion method (Bauer et al. 1966, NCCLS 2003, Parekh and Chanda 2007). The molten Mueller Hinton Agar (HiMedia) was inoculated with 200 μ l of the inoculum (1 x 10⁸)

Cfu) and poured into the sterile Petri plates (Hi-media). The disc (7 mm in diameter, Hi-Media) was saturated with 20 μ l of the extract and discs were air dried. Thereafter the discs were introduced on the upper layer of the seeded agar plate. Paper discs loaded with 20 μ l of DMSO served as negative control. 11 standard antibiotics and 4 standard antifungal were used as positive controls. The plates were incubated at 37°C for all the bacterial strains while that of fungal strains were incubated at 28°C for 48 h. The experiment was carried out three times and the mean values are presented. The antimicrobial activity was evaluated by measuring the diameter of zone of inhibition in mm.

2.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The use of antimicrobial agents is critical to the successful treatment of infectious diseases. Although there are numerous classes of drugs that are routinely used to treat infections in humans, pathogenic microorganisms are constantly developing resistance to these drugs (Al-Bari et al. 2006) because of indiscriminate use of antibiotics (Gibbons 1992; Rahman et al. 2001).

The use of higher plants and preparations made from them to treat infections is a longstanding practice in a large part of the population, especially in the developing countries, where there is dependence on traditional medicine for a variety of ailments (Ahmad and Mohammad 1998). Interest in plants with antimicrobial properties increased because of current problems associated with the antibiotics (Emori and Gaynes 1993; Pannuti and Grinbaum 1995). Recently, the antimicrobial effects of various plant extracts against certain pathogens have been reported by a number of researchers (Ahmed and Beg 2001; Erasto et al. 2004; Nair et al. 2007b; Carneiro et al. 2008; Liasu and Ayandele 2008; Parekh and Chanda 2008; Chanda et al. 2009).

Disc diffusion method is the most widely used procedure for testing antimicrobial susceptibility (Sambath Kumar et al. 2006). The disc diffusion procedure (Kirby-Bauer method) has been accepted by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and as a standard by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (Barry and Thornsberry 1985; NCCLS 2003).

In the present study, methanol and acetone extracts of 53 plants were studied against 15 standard strains of microorganisms (Table 2.2). Methanol extracts of 4 selected plants were studied against 41 clinically isolated bacterial strains, 33 clinically isolated fungal strains, 20 standard bacterial strains and 4 standard fungal strains. 11 antibiotics were used as positive controls against all bacterial strains and 4 antibiotics were used as positive controls against all fungal strains.

2.3.1. Antimicrobial screening of methanol and acetone extracts of 53 plants

Methanol and acetone extracts of 53 plants were screened for its antimicrobial potency against 15 medically important pathogenic microorganisms. The results of the antimicrobial screening are shown in Table 2.2. Antibacterial activity of plant extracts were compared with standard antibiotics (Table 2.6).

22.64% of methanol extracts were active against *S. aureus* while, only 8.49% of acetone extracts showed antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*. Maximum activity against S. aureus was shown by acetone extract of *Aristolochia indica* followed by methanol extract of *Abutilon glaucum*. The activity of acetone extract of *Aristolochia indica* was more than seven antibiotics studied.

S. epidermidis was inhibited by 10.38% methanol extracts and 7.55% acetone extracts of plants screened. Methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed maximum activity followed by acetone extract of *Woodfordia fruticosa* (stem) against *S. epidermidis*, which was comparable to Piperacillin and Azithromycin.

| | | | | | | | | | | Micro | bial | strair | าร | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|------|-------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|----|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----|----|-------|----|
| No. | Plants | Ext. | Yield | Gra | am po | sitive | bacte | eria | | Grar | n neg | gative | e bac | teria | | Fι | ingus | |
| | | | (%) | SA | SE | BC | BS | MF | ΡΑ | EC | KP | PM | PV | ST | CF | СА | СТ | CL |
| 1 | Abutilon alaucum | ME | 5.37 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 | 10 | 11 |
| I | 3 | AE | 1.49 | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 |
| 2 | Adenanthera pavonina | ME | 3.9 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | ····· | AE | 2.18 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Agave vera | ME | 9.41 | - | - | 13 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 14 | 17 |
| 5 | 3 | AE | 2.07 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Δ | Alangium salviifolicum | ME | 8.42 | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| - | | AE | 1.55 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5 | Alpinia speciosa | ME | 7.9 | 10 | - | 11 | - | 19 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - |
| 0 | | AE | 2.01 | - | - | 12 | - | 11 | - | - | 13 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | Argemone mexicana | ME | 4.6 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 21 | - | 8 | 11 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 11 | 17 |
| 0 | 5 | AE | 0.72 | - | 11 | 10 | 9 | 25 | | 8 | - | 8 | - | - | - | 10 | 19 | 15 |
| 7 | Argyreia speciosa | ME | 2.92 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| , | | AE | 0.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8 | Aristolochia bracteolata | ME | 9.63 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 11 | - |
| 0 | | AE | 1.98 | 15 | - | 14 | 11 | - | - | - | 13 | 13 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - |
| g | Aristolochia indica | ME | 11.46 | 10 | 11 | 15 | 11 | - | - | - | 13 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| Ŭ | | AE | 1.47 | 19 | - | 16 | 13 | - | - | - | 13 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 |
| 10 | Asphodelus tenuifolius | ME | 11.36 | 9 | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | 11 | - |
| | | AE | 3.63 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 20 |

Table 2.2 Evaluation of antimicrobial activity of methanol and acetone extracts of some medicinal plants and its extractive yield

| | | | | | | | | | I | Micro | bial | strair | IS | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|------|-------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|----|-------|-------|--------|-----|-------|----|----|------|----|
| No. | Plants | Ext. | Yield | Gra | am po | sitive | bacte | eria | | Grar | n neg | gative | bac | teria | | Fu | ngus | |
| | | | (%) | SA | SE | BC | BS | MF | ΡΑ | EC | KP | РМ | PV | ST | CF | СА | СТ | CL |
| 11 | Baliospermum montanum | ME | 13.01 | 9 | - | 13 | - | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| •• | | AE | 5.13 | - | - | 12 | - | 12 | - | - | 14 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | Poorbovia diffusa | ME | 4.38 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | boernavia ulliusa | AE | 1.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Bombax ceiba | ME | 4.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | | AE | 1.09 | 9 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | Calastrus paniculatus | ME | 3.25 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14 | | AE | 1.71 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15 | Carissa carandas | ME | 8.53 | 10 | - | 12 | 12 | - | - | - | 11 | 10 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 15 |
| 10 | | AE | 4.08 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | 12 |
| 16 | Cassia occidentalis | ME | 8.8 | 11 | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 10 |
| 10 | | AE | 1.78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - |
| 17 | Casuarina equissetifolia | ME | 12.1 | 10 | - | 14 | - | 14 | - | - | 11 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | - | - | - |
| •• | | AE | 2.46 | - | - | 12 | - | 11 | - | - | 10 | - | 10 | 9 | 11 | - | - | - |
| 18 | Citrus medica | ME | 4.08 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | AE | 1.71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 | Clerodendron phlomidis | ME | 2.2 | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - |
| | | AE | 0.78 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Datura innoxia | ME | 14.4 | - | - | 10 | 9 | - | - | - | 11 | 10 | - | - | - | 8 | - | 12 |
| 20 | | AE | 2.26 | 10 | - | 15 | 11 | 11 | - | - | 18 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | Desmodium gangeticum | ME | 4.37 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Desmodium gangelicum | AE | 1.17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| | | | | | | | | | I | Micro | bial | strair | າຣ | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------|------|-------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|----|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----|----|-------|----|
| No. | Plants | Ext. | Yield | Gra | am po | sitive | bacte | eria | | Grar | n neg | gative | e bac | teria | | Fι | ingus | |
| | | | (%) | SA | SE | BC | BS | MF | ΡΑ | EC | KP | PM | PV | ST | CF | СА | СТ | CL |
| 22 | Drvpetes roxburahii | ME | 10.5 | 11 | 11 | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | _ | - |
| 22 |)p=====.g | AE | 2.6 | - | 9 | 10 | - | 11 | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 22 | Ficus carica | ME | 7.62 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 23 | | AE | 1.58 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| 24 | Gymnema sylvestre | ME | 9.87 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 24 | | AE | 2.24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25 | Hemidesmus indicus | ME | 11.29 | 10 | - | 12 | - | 14 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - |
| 25 | | AE | 4.48 | - | - | 11 | - | 11 | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | Holoptelea integrifolia | ME | 3.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | | AE | 0.91 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | Jasminum auriculatum | ME | 1.38 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | - |
| 21 | ousininam autoalatam | AE | 1.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | l eptadenia reticulate | ME | 9.9 | 9 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 12 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| 20 | Lopiadoma foticalato | AE | 2.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 15 | - | - | 11 | - | 10 | - |
| 20 | | ME | 7.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 29 | Leucas aspera | AE | 2.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Madhuca indica | ME | 6.98 | 9 | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | | AE | 2.2 | 10 | - | 10 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 | Mangifera indica | ME | 8.24 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 17 | - | - | - |
| 51 | | AE | 3 | 11 | 12 | 15 | - | 16 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 13 | - | - | - |
| 22 | Maranta arundinacea | ME | 1.94 | 12 | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 11 |
| 32 | | AE | 1.68 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - |
| 33 | Merremia turpethum | ME | 9.12 | 10 | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | - |
| | | AE | 2.58 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| | | | | | | | | | I | Micro | bial | strair | າຣ | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------|------|-------|-----|-------|--------|-------|------|----|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----|----|-------|----|
| No. | Plants | Ext. | Yield | Gra | am po | sitive | bacte | eria | | Grar | n neg | gative | e bac | teria | | Fu | ingus | |
| | | | (%) | SA | SE | BC | BS | MF | PA | EC | KP | PM | PV | ST | CF | СА | СТ | CL |
| 24 | Murrava paniculata | ME | 16.69 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | 9 | 9 | 12 |
| 34 | | AE | 4.72 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 25 | Origanum marioram | ME | 11.75 | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 30 | enganan majeran | AE | 4.8 | | 12 | 10 | 9 | | | | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | Phyla nodiflora | ME | 10.82 | - | - | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - |
| 30 | i nyia neamera | AE | 2.5 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 27 | Phyllanthus reticulatus | ME | 7.66 | 9 | - | 13 | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | 11 |
| 31 | | AE | 2.49 | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Pluchea arquta | ME | 9.9 | 10 | - | 15 | - | 20 | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 10 |
| 30 | i la che chigana | AE | 2.08 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20 |
| 30 | Randia dumetorum | ME | 9.02 | 11 | - | 11 | - | 15 | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| 39 | | AE | 1.64 | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | Rauvolifera tetraphylla | ME | 13.48 | - | - | 12 | - | 10 | - | - | 20 | 9 | - | - | - | - | 8 | - |
| 40 | | AE | 4.35 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Scaevola koenigii | ME | 11.78 | 11 | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - |
| 41 | Courrent Noorlign | AE | 1.69 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | Scindapsus officinalis | ME | 7.7 | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | 16 | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | 11 |
| 42 | Contrapodo ontentano | AE | 2.12 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 16 |
| 40 | Struchana nuv vomica | ME | 8.7 | 14 | 14 | 13 | - | 14 | - | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 43 | Strychnos nux-vomica | AE | 3.73 | - | 13 | 11 | - | - | 8 | 10 | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | - | - |
| | Tamarindus indica | ME | 10.41 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 44 | | AE | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 45 | Tacamalla undulata | ME | 7.91 | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | 9 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Tecomella undulate | AE | 2.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| | | | | | Microbial strains | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------|-------|----|-------------------|--------|-------|------|----|------|-------|--------|-------|-------|----|----|-------|----|
| No. | Plants | Ext. | Yield | Gr | am po | sitive | bacte | eria | | Grar | n neg | gative | e bac | teria | | Fι | ingus | |
| | | | (%) | SA | SE | BC | BS | MF | PA | EC | KP | PM | PV | ST | CF | СА | СТ | CL |
| 46 | Tephrosia purpurea | ME | 8.25 | 12 | - | 13 | - | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 12 |
| 40 | | AE | 1.98 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | Thespesia populnea | ME | 9.89 | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| 47 | | AE | 2.59 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 10 | - | - | 14 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 18 | Tinospora cordifolia | ME | 5.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 | Thospora corditolia | AE | 1.59 | - | - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 10 | Trienthema monogvna | ME | 5.58 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 43 | | AE | 1.34 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | Trigonella foenumgraecum | ME | 9.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 50 | | AE | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 51 | Vitex negundo. | ME | 9.3 | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | 12 | 8 | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | - |
| 51 | | AE | 3.14 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - | 10 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 52 | Woodfordia fruticosa (Leaf) | ME | 16.41 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 16 | - | - | - |
| 52 | | AE | 8.39 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 10 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 14 | 13 | - | - | - |
| 53 | ME | 12.24 | 10 | 13 | 15 | - | 10 | 11 | 12 | - | 11 | 13 | 15 | 14 | - | - | - | |
| 55 | | AE | 5.03 | 12 | 14 | 16 | - | 15 | 12 | 12 | - | 12 | 12 | 14 | 13 | - | - | - |

SA-Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923; SE-Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC12228; BC-Bacillus cereus ATCC11778; BS-Bacillus subtilis ATCC6633; MF-Micrococcus flavus ATCC10240; PA-Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC27853; EC- E. coli ATCC25922; KP-Klebsiella pneumoniae NCIM2719; PM-Proteus mirabilis NCIM2241; PV-Proteus vulgaris NCTC8313; ST-Salmonella typhimurium ATCC23564; CF-Citrobacter freundii ATCC10787; CA-Candida albicans ATCC2091; CT-Candida tropicalis ATCC4563; CL-Cryptococcus luteolus ATCC32044; ME- Methanol extract; AE- Acetone extract; - means no activity; Data showed diameter of zone of inhibition in mm 31.13% of methanol extracts and 23.58% of acetone extracts showed activity against *B. cereus*. Maximum activity against *B. cereus* was shown by acetone extracts of *Aristolochia indica* and *Woodfordia fruticosa* and methanol extract of *Mangifera indica*, which was also comparable to Piperacillin. Only 7.55% methanol extracts and 6.6% acetone extracts showed antibacterial activity against *B. subtilis*. Maximum activity was shown by methanol extract of *Agave vera*, which was also comparable to Piperacillin (Table 2.2).

M. flavus was inhibited by 15.09% of both methanol and acetone extracts. The maximum activity was shown by acetone extract of *Argemone mexicana* which was also comparable with standard antibiotics. Only 2.83% methanol extracts and 3.77% acetone extracts showed antibacterial activity against *P. aeruginosa*. Methanol and acetone extracts of *Mangifera indica* showed maximum activity compared with the other extracts. *E. coli* was inhibited by 3.77% methanol extracts and 4.72% acetone extracts. Methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed maximum zone of inhibition against *E. coli*, which was comparable to standard antibiotics Piperacillin and Amikacin (Table 2.2).

Methanol and acetone extracts of the plants screened showed 31.13% and 30.19% inhibition against *K. pneumoniae* respectively. Both the extracts showed almost similar antibacterial activity against *K. pneumoniae*. Methanol extracts of *Rauvolifera tetraphylla* and *Tephrosia purpurea* showed maximum inhibition against *K. pneumoniae*. *P. mirabilis* was inhibited by 16.04% methanol extracts and 15.09% acetone extracts of the plants screened. Acetone extract of *Leptadenia reticulata* and methanol extract of *Woodfordia fruticosa* showed maximum inhibition zone compared with other plant extracts.

Only 3.77% methanol and acetone extracts showed antibacterial activity against *P. vulgaris*. Methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed maximum inhibition against *P. vulgaris* which was similar to the standard antibiotic Amikacin.

Similar to *P. vulgaris*, *S. typhimurium* was also inhibited by 3.77% of methanol extracts and 4.72% of acetone extracts. Here also methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed maximum inhibition, comparable to standard antibiotics. *C. freundii* was inhibited by 8.49% methanol extracts and 5.66% acetone extracts. Methanol extracts of *Mangifera indica* and *Woodfordia fruticosa* (leaf) showed maximum inhibition against *C. freundii*, which was more than standard antibiotic Amikacin and comparable to Piperacillin (Table 2.2).

Antifungal activity was investigated against *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis* and *C. luteolus*. Methanol extracts of the plants screened showed 7.55% antifungal activity against *C. albicans*, 19.81% against *C. tropicalis* and 11.32% against *C. luteolus*. Acetone extracts showed 2.83% antifungal activity against *C. albicans*, 5.66% against *C. tropicalis* and 7.55% against *C. luteolus* (Table 2.2).

From the above results, it can be stated that methanol extracts showed 34.72% antibacterial activity against Gram positive bacteria, 19.95% against Gram negative bacteria and 25.79% against fungal strains studied. Acetone extracts showed 24.53% antibacterial activity against Gram positive bacteria, 19.41% against Gram negative bacteria and 10.69% against fungal strains. Thus, methanol and acetone extracts showed 29.62% inhibition against Gram positive bacteria and against 18.24% inhibition against fungal strains.

Methanol extracts of *Mangifera indica* and *Woodfordia fruticosa* (leaf) showed higher antibacterial activity and acetone extract of *Argemone mexicana* showed higher antifungal activity.

2.3.2. Antibacterial screening of 4 selected plants against 41 clinically isolated bacteria

From results of the antimicrobial study of methanol and acetone extracts of 53 plants screened, methanol extracts of *Aristolochia indica* (AIM), *Argemone Mexicana* (AMM), *Mangifera indica* (MIM) and *Woodfordia fruticosa* (WFM) were selected for antimicrobial activity against 41 clinically isolated bacterial strains (Table 2.3).

AIM showed 94.12% antibacterial activity against 17 Gram positive bacteria at both the concentrations, while AIM-600 and AIM-1200 showed 54.12% and 58.13% antibacterial activity against 24 Gram negative organisms respectively. *Enterococci* species were the most susceptible strains amongst all the bacterial strains studied, which was comparable to standard antibiotics.

AMM-600 and AMM-1200 showed 41.18% and 47.06% antibacterial activity against Gram positive bacteria respectively. AMM did not show any activity against Gram negative bacteria. AMM showed poor antibacterial activity when compared with other 3 plants studied.

MIM showed 100% antibacterial activity against all the bacterial strains studied. MIM was the most potent extract which was comparable to standard antibiotics. *Enterococci* species were the most susceptible strains which was also comparable to standard antibiotics. MIM showed potent antibacterial activity against one of the urine samples of *E. coli* (E. coli-16), which was higher than all the antibiotics studied.

WFM-600 and WFM-1200 showed 82.35% and 88.24% antibacterial activity against 17 Gram positive bacteria respectively, while WFM did not show antibacterial activity against Gram negative bacteria.

| | Extracts (µg/disc) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Gram negative Strains (Samples) | AIM-600 | AIM-1200 | AMM-600 | AMM-1200 | MIM-600 | MIM-1200 | WFM-600 | WFM-1200 | | | | |
| E. coli-1(F) | | | - | - | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-2 (P) | 9 | 8 | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-3 (P) | 9 | 10 | - | - | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-4 (St) | - | - | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-5 (St) | - | - | - | | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-6 (St) | - | - | - | - | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-7 (St) | 9 | 9 | - | - | 11 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-8 (St) | - | - | - | - | 9 | 9 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-9 (St) | - | - | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-10 (St) | 10 | 12 | - | - | 12 | 15 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-11 (Sw) | 9 | - | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-12 (Sw) | - | - | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-13 (U) | | - | - | - | 10 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-14 (U) | 9 | 8 | - | - | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-15 (U) | - | - | - | - | 8 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| E. coli-16 (U) | 11 | 11 | - | - | 15 | 17 | - | - | | | | |
| Kleb-1 (B) | 10 | 9 | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| Kleb-2 (B) | - | 8 | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |
| Kleb-3 (B) | 8 | 9 | - | - | 9 | 9 | - | - | | | | |
| Kleb-4 (C) | 9 | 10 | - | - | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| Kleb-5 (P) | 8 | 10 | - | - | 9 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| Kleb-6 (Sw) | 9 | 10 | - | - | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| Kleb-7 (U) | 9 | 10 | - | - | 10 | 11 | - | - | | | | |
| Prot-1 (Sw) | - | 9 | - | - | 9 | 10 | - | - | | | | |

 Table 2.3 Antibacterial activity of methanol extracts of 4 selected plants against some clinical isolates

| | Extracts (µg/disc) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Gram positive | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| strains | 0 | 8 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 00 | 0 | 500 | | | | |
| (Samples) | -60(| -12(| М-6(| M-1, | 1-60 | 1-12 | М-6(| N-1. | | | | |
| | AIM | AIM | AMI | AMI | MIR | MIR | WFI | WFI | | | | |
| Ent-1 (B) | 13 | 17 | - | - | 19 | 21 | 12 | 14 | | | | |
| Ent-2 (Sw) | 13 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 12 | | | | |
| S. alb-1 (B) | 11 | - | - | 12 | 9 | 14 | 10 | 12 | | | | |
| S. alb-2 (B) | 9 | 11 | - | - | 11 | 13 | 8 | 11 | | | | |
| S. alb-3 (B) | 10 | 11 | - | - | 13 | 13 | - | - | | | | |
| S. alb-4 (P) | - | 12 | - | - | 10 | 14 | 8 | 11 | | | | |
| S. aur-1 (P) | 9 | 11 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 10 | | | | |
| S. aur-2 (P) | 10 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 13 | 8 | 11 | | | | |
| S. aur-3 (St) | 10 | 12 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 12 | | | | |
| S. cit-1 (P) | 8 | 12 | - | - | 12 | 18 | - | 11 | | | | |
| S. cit-2 (P) | 10 | 11 | - | - | 11 | 14 | 9 | 10 | | | | |
| S. cit-3 (U) | 8 | 10 | - | - | 13 | 15 | 8 | 10 | | | | |
| S. cit-4 (U) | 9 | 12 | - | - | 11 | 15 | 8 | 11 | | | | |
| Staph-1 (B) | 12 | 14 | - | - | 14 | 14 | - | - | | | | |
| Staph-2 (P) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 10 | | | | |
| Staph-3 (St) | 10 | 12 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 8 | 10 | | | | |
| Staph-4 (St) | 9 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 9 | | | | |

n=3; Values indicate diameter of zone of inhibition in mm; - means no activity; B- Blood; C-Catheter; P- Pus; St- Stool; Sw- Swab; U- Urine; Ent- *Enterococci* species; S. alb-*Staphylococcus albus*; S. aur- *Staphylococcus aureus*; S. cit- *Staphylococcus citrous;* Staph- *Staphylococcus* species; E. coli- *Escherichia coli*; Kleb- *Klebsiella* species; Prot-*Proteus* species; AIM- Methanol extract of *Aristolochia indica*; AMM- Methanol extract of *Argemone mexicana*; MIM- Methanol extract of *Mangifera indica*; WFM- Methanol extract of *Woodfordia fruticosa*

Overall MIM-600 and MIM-1200 showed 100% antibacterial activity against 41 clinically isolated Gram positive and Gram negative bacterial strains followed by AIM-1200 (73.17%), AIM-600 (70.73%). WFM-1200 (36.59%), WFM-600 (34.15%), AIM-1200 (19.51%) respectively and AIM-600 showed poor antibacterial activity (17.07%) against clinically isolated bacterial strains.

Thus, methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed higher antibacterial activity followed by *Aristolochia indica*. *Woodfordia fruticosa* and *Argemone mexicana* showed poor antibacterial activity against clinically isolated bacterial strains.

2.3.3. Antibacterial screening of 4 selected plants against standard bacterial strains

Screening of methanol extracts of *Aristolochia indica* (AIM), *Argemone mexicana* (AMM), *Mangifera indica* (MIM) and *Woodfordia fruticosa* (WFM) was carried out against 20 standard bacterial strains (Table 2.4).

AIM-600, AIM-1200 and MIM-600 showed 100% antibacterial activity against 8 standard Gram positive bacterial strains followed by AMM-1200 (87.5%), MIM-1200 (87.5%), WFM-600 (87.5%), WFM (87.5%) and AMM-600 (75%) respectively.

MIM-600, MIM-1200 and WFM-1200 showed 81.82% antibacterial activity against 11 standard Gram negative strains followed by WFM-600 (72.73%), AIM-1200 (63.64%) and AIM-600 (45.45%). AMM-600 showed only 9.09% antibacterial activity, while AMM-1200 did not show antibacterial activity against 11 standard Gram positive strains.

Overall results showed that MIM-600 showed 85% antibacterial activity against all the standard strains of Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria followed by MIM-1200 (80%), WFM-1200 (80%), AIM-1200 (75%), WFM-600 (75%), AIM-600 (65%), AMM-600 (35%) and AMM-1200 (35%), respectively.

Thus, methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed higher antibacterial activity followed by *Woodfordia fruticosa* and *Aristolochia indica* against standard bacterial strains. *Argemone maxicana* showed poor antibacterial activity against standard bacterial strains.

| Table | 2.4 | Antibacterial | activity | of | methanol | extracts | of | 4 | selected | plants |
|-------|-----|---------------|----------|-----|---------------|----------|----|---|----------|--------|
| | | against 20 st | andard b | bac | terial strair | IS | | | | |

| Standard | | | | Extracts | (µg/dis | c) | | |
|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| strains | AIM- 600 | AIM- 1200 | AMM- 600 | AMM- 1200 | MIM- 600 | MIM- 1200 | WFM- 600 | WFM- 1200 |
| Gram positi | ve strai | ns | | | | | | |
| Вс | 13 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 12 |
| Bm | 9 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 8 | - | 9 | 9 |
| Bs | 11 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | - | - |
| Cr | 10 | 11 | - | - | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 |
| Mf | 12 | 14 | 20 | 21 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Sa-1 | 10 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 9 |
| Sa-2 | 11 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 10 | 12 |
| Se | 12 | 12 | - | 10 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 11 |
| Ss | 10 | 10 | - | 8 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| Gram negat | ive stra | ins | | | | | | |
| Cf | - | - | - | - | 15 | 16 | 14 | 15 |
| Ea | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ka | 11 | 14 | - | - | 13 | 14 | 10 | 12 |
| Pmira | 9 | 11 | - | - | 13 | 13 | 8 | 10 |
| Pmorg | 10 | 12 | - | - | 11 | 14 | 10 | 11 |
| Pv | - | 10 | - | | 14 | 15 | 12 | 12 |
| Рр | 12 | 12 | - | - | 12 | 11 | 14 | 15 |
| Pstut | | 11 | - | - | 14 | 15 | | 10 |
| Psyr | 10 | 10 | 10 | - | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 |
| Pt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| St | - | - | - | - | 16 | 17 | 12 | 13 |

n=3; Values indicate diameter of zone of inhibition in mm; - means no activity; Bc- Bacillus cereus ATCC11778; Bm- Bacillus megaterium ATCC9885; Bs- Bacillus subtilis ATCC6633; Cr- Cornybacterium rubrum ATCC 14898; Mf- Micrococcus flavus ATCC10240; Sa-1-Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923, Sa-2- Staphylococcus aureus ATCC29737; Se-Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC12228; Ss- Staphylococcus subflava NCIM2178; Cf-Citrobacter freundii ATCC10787; Ea- Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC13048; Ka- Klebsiella aerogenes NCTC418; Pmira- Proteus mirabilis NCIM2241; Pmorg- Proteus morganii NCIM2040; Pv- Proteus vulgaris NCTC8313; Pp- Pseudomonas pictoruim NCIB9152; Pstut-Pseudomonas stutgeri ATCC17588; Psyr- Pseudomonas syringae NCIM5102; Pt-Pseudomonas testosteroni NCIM5098; St- Salmonella typhimurium ATCC23564; AIM-Methanol extract of Aristolochia indica; AMM- Methanol extract of Argemone mexicana; MIM-Methanol extract of Mangifera indica; WFM- Methanol extract of Woodfordia fruticosa

2.3.4. Antifungal activity of methanol extracts of 4 selected plants against 33 clinically isolated fungal strains and 4 standard fungal strains

AIM, AMM, MIM and WFM did not show antifungal activity against clinical isolates of *Candida* species and standard fungal strains (Data not presented). AMM showed antifungal activity against three standard fungal strains, *C. neoformans*, *C. tropicalis* and *T. beigelli* (Table 2.7).

2.3.5. Antibiotic susceptibility study of clinical isolates and standard microorganisms

Clinically, *in vitro* antimicrobial susceptibility tests are useful as a guide for determining antimicrobial chemotherapy whenever the susceptibility of a pathogen is unpredictable or when an infection has not responded to therapy that otherwise appears appropriate.

Eleven antibiotics were studied against clinically isolated and standard Gram positive and Gram negative microorganisms and the results are presented in Table 2.5 and Table 2.6 respectively. The results of antibiotic susceptibility study against Gram positive bacteria (clinical isolates) were as follows: Imipenem (100%) < Tetracycline and Amikacin (94.12%) < Chloramphenicol (82.35%) < Cefaclor (70.59%) < Carbenicillin and Ciprofloxacin (64.71%) < Ceftazidime (58.82%) < Azithromycin and Piperacillin (52.94%) < Methicillin (35.29%). The results of antibiotic susceptibility study against Gram positive bacteria (standard strains) were as follows: Amikacin, Azithromycin, Tetracycline, Imipenem, Chloramphenicol, Cefaclor and Ciprofloxacin (100%) < Carbenicillin (87.5%).

Antibiotic susceptibility study against Gram negative bacteria (clinical isolates) was as following manner: Imipenem (100%) < Amikacin (87.5%) < Tetracycline (70.83%) < Chloramphenicol (66.67%) < Ceftazidime (33.33%) < Carbenicillin and Cefaclor (16.67%) < Azithromycin and Ciprofloxacin (12.5%) < Piperacillin (8.33%) < Methicillin (0%). Antibiotic susceptibility

study against Gram negative bacteria (standard strains) was as following manner: Tetracycline, Imipenem and Chloramphenicol (100%) < Piperacillin (92.86%) < Amikacin and Ciprofloxacin (85.71%) < Ceftazidime and Carbenicillin (71.43%) < Azithromycin (64.29%) < Cefaclor (57.14%) < Methicillin (14.29%).

| Gram positive strains | | | | | An | tibiot | ics | | | | |
|-----------------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|-----|----|----|----|----|
| (Samples) | Μ | At | Рс | Ak | Т | I | Cb | Са | С | Cj | Cf |
| Ent-1 (B) | - | - | 13 | 25 | 31 | 30 | 17 | - | 30 | 16 | 35 |
| Ent-2 (Sw) | - | - | 11 | 16 | 32 | 21 | 12 | 10 | 21 | 16 | 20 |
| S. alb-1 (B) | - | 16 | - | 16 | 11 | 18 | - | 10 | 20 | 12 | - |
| S. alb-2 (B) | - | - | - | 19 | 10 | 40 | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. alb-3 (B) | - | - | - | 10 | 8 | 15 | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| S. alb-4 (P) | - | - | - | 10 | - | 16 | - | - | 20 | - | - |
| S. aur-1 (P) | 15 | 12 | - | 10 | 20 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. aur-2 (P) | 15 | 15 | - | 10 | 24 | 32 | - | - | - | - | - |
| S. aur-3 (St) | 12 | 15 | 10 | - | 12 | 24 | 15 | 16 | 20 | 19 | 8 |
| S. cit-1 (P) | - | - | 35 | 21 | 21 | 40 | 35 | 25 | 20 | 26 | 20 |
| S. cit-2 (P) | - | - | 25 | 16 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 23 |
| S. cit-3 (U) | - | 15 | | 14 | 20 | 30 | 30 | 18 | 25 | 22 | 20 |
| S. cit-4 (U) | - | 16 | 25 | 20 | 20 | 35 | 30 | 20 | 15 | 25 | - |
| Staph-1 (B) | - | - | 20 | 13 | 28 | 15 | 19 | - | 16 | 10 | 15 |
| Staph-2 (P) | 17 | 10 | 28 | 10 | 20 | 42 | 10 | 15 | 19 | 20 | - |
| Staph-3 (St) | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 20 | 30 | 8 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 9 |
| Staph-4 (St) | 12 | 13 | - | 17 | 16 | 21 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 16 | - |
| Gram negative strains | | | | | An | tibiot | ics | | | | |
| (Samples) | Μ | At | Рс | Ak | Т | I | Cb | Са | С | Cj | Cf |
| E. coli-1(F) | - | - | - | - | 18 | 11 | - | | 15 | - | - |
| E. coli-2 (P) | - | - | - | 13 | - | 20 | - | - | | - | - |
| E. coli-3 (P) | - | - | - | 15 | - | 19 | - | - | 15 | - | - |
| E. coli-4 (St) | - | 24 | - | 15 | 17 | 30 | 21 | 16 | 28 | 9 | 26 |
| E. coli-5 (St) | - | - | - | 13 | 9 | 14 | - | - | 21 | - | - |
| E. coli-6 (St) | - | 25 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 25 | - | 21 |
| E. coli-7 (St) | - | - | - | 16 | - | 19 | - | 8 | 14 | - | - |
| E. coli-8 (St) | - | - | - | 18 | 10 | 20 | - | 9 | 20 | - | - |
| E. coli-9 (St) | - | - | - | 15 | - | 20 | - | - | | - | - |
| E. coli-10 (St) | - | - | - | 15 | - | 20 | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| E. coli-11 (Sw) | - | - | - | 13 | 9 | 17 | - | - | 17 | - | - |
| E. coli-12 (Sw) | - | - | - | 17 | 8 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |

Table 2.5 Antibiotic susceptibility study of 41 clinically isolated bacteria

| Gram negative strains | Antibiotics | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| (Samples) | Μ | At | Рс | Ak | Т | I | Cb | Ca | С | Cj | Cf |
| E. coli-13 (U) | - | 30 | 30 | 25 | 11 | 20 | 28 | 26 | 30 | 13 | 12 |
| E. coli-14 (U) | - | - | - | 20 | 24 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 11 | - |
| E. coli-15 (U) | - | - | - | 18 | 9 | 25 | - | - | 25 | - | - |
| E. coli-16 (U) | - | - | - | 15 | - | 16 | - | 11 | - | - | - |
| Kleb-1 (B) | - | - | - | | 10 | 19 | - | - | 10 | - | - |
| Kleb-2 (B) | - | - | - | 15 | 13 | 15 | - | - | 15 | - | - |
| Kleb-3 (B) | - | - | - | - | 15 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kleb-4 (C) | - | - | - | 20 | 9 | 21 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kleb-5 (P) | - | - | - | 8 | 10 | 11 | - | - | 8 | - | - |
| Kleb-6 (Sw) | - | - | - | 13 | 12 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Kleb-7 (U) | - | - | - | 15 | - | 20 | - | 12 | 14 | 10 | - |
| Prot-1 (Sw) | - | - | - | 9 | 10 | 9 | - | - | - | - | - |

n=3; Values indicate diameter of zone of inhibition in mm; - means no activity; B- Blood; C-Catheter; P- Pus; St- Stool; Sw- Swab; U- Urine; Ent- *Enterococci* species; S. alb-*Staphylococcus albus*; S. aur- *Staphylococcus aureus*; S. cit- *Staphylococcus citrous;* Staph-*Staphylococcus* species; E. coli- *Escherichia coli*; Kleb- *Klebsiella* species; Prot- *Proteus* species; Ak- Amikacin, At- Azithromycin, T- Tetracycline, I- Imipenem, C- Chloramphenicol, Cj- Cefaclor, Cf- Ciprofloxacin, Cb- Carbenicillin, Ca- Ceftazidime, Pc- Piperacillin, M-Methicillin

Imipenem was the most active antibiotic against all the clinically isolated Gram positive and Gram negative microorganisms followed by Amikacin and Tetracycline, while Methicillin showed less activity against Gram positive organisms as compared with the other antibiotics. Methicillin did not show antibacterial activity against clinically isolated Gram negative organisms.

The standard Gram positive strains were highly susceptible to all the antibiotics in the range of 87.5 to 100% while the susceptibility of standard Gram negative strains to antibiotics was only in the range of 14.29 to 100%.

| Standard | | | | | Ar | tibiot | ics | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|----|----|----|--------|-----|----|----|----|----|--|--|
| strains | Μ | At | Рс | Ak | Т | I | Cb | Са | С | CI | Cf | | |
| Gram posit | tive st | trains | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Вс | - | 11 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 36 | 10 | 18 | 10 | 20 | 19 | | |
| Bm | 14 | 12 | | 23 | 19 | 41 | - | - | 14 | 12 | 27 | | |
| Bs | 16 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 21 | 37 | 20 | 25 | 16 | 35 | 30 | | |
| Cr | 15 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 27 | 16 | | |
| Mf | 10 | 27 | 27 | 20 | 26 | 37 | 32 | 23 | 26 | 30 | 21 | | |
| Sa-1 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 12 | 15 | 25 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 25 | 15 | | |
| Sa-2 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 25 | 22 | 19 | 15 | 20 | 14 | | |
| Se | 18 | 14 | 11 | 16 | 25 | 38 | 17 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 24 | | |
| Gram negative strains | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cf | - | - | 18 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 15 | 8 | 13 | | |
| Ea | - | - | 12 | 16 | 11 | 19 | 17 | 16 | 13 | - | 11 | | |
| Ec | - | 12 | 14 | 24 | 16 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 24 | - | 35 | | |
| Ka | - | - | 11 | - | 10 | 30 | - | - | 13 | 16 | 10 | | |
| Кр | - | - | 30 | 20 | 12 | 27 | - | 17 | 34 | 17 | 28 | | |
| Pmira | 10 | 20 | 16 | 18 | 24 | 31 | 23 | - | 10 | 12 | - | | |
| Pmorg | - | - | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 | - | - | 15 | 21 | 11 | | |
| Pv | - | 13 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 14 | 18 | - | 18 | | |
| Pa | - | 12 | - | 22 | 15 | 27 | 12 | 17 | 34 | - | 28 | | |
| Рр | 14 | 18 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 27 | 20 | 12 | 25 | 23 | 23 | | |
| Pstut | - | 32 | 22 | 28 | 25 | 38 | 28 | 30 | 22 | - | 30 | | |
| Psyr | - | 17 | 18 | - | 20 | 30 | 12 | - | 16 | 21 | - | | |
| Pt | - | 20 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 11 | - | 10 | 16 | - | 25 | | |
| St | - | 11 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 15 | | |

Table 2.6 Antibiotic susceptibility study of 20 standard bacterial strains

Bc- Bacillus cereus ATCC11778; Bm- Bacillus megaterium ATCC9885; Bs- Bacillus subtilis ATCC6633; Cr- Cornybacterium rubrum ATCC 14898; Mf- Micrococcus flavus ATCC10240; Sa-1- Staphylococcus aureus ATCC25923, Sa-2- Staphylococcus aureus ATCC29737; Se-Staphylococcus epidermidis ATCC12228; Ss- Staphylococcus subflava NCIM2178; Cf-Citrobacter freundii ATCC10787; Ea- Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC13048; Ka- Klebsiella aerogenes NCTC418; Pmira- Proteus mirabilis NCIM2241; Pmorg- Proteus morganii NCIM2040; Pv- Proteus vulgaris NCTC8313; Pp- Pseudomonas pictoruim NCIB9152; Pstut- Pseudomonas stutgeri ATCC17588; Psyr- Pseudomonas syringae NCIM5102; Pt-Pseudomonas testosteroni NCIM5098; St- Salmonella typhimurium ATCC23564; Ak-Amikacin, At- Azithromycin, T- Tetracycline, I- Imipenem, C- Chloramphenicol, Cj- Cefaclor, Cf- Ciprofloxacin, Cb- Carbenicillin, Ca- Ceftazidime, Pc- Piperacillin, M- Methicillin **Table 2.7** Antifungal activity of methanol extract of Argemone mexicana and
four standard antifungal against 33 clinical isolates and 4 standard
fungal strains

| Fundal strains | Samplas | An | tifung | jal dru | ugs | Extracts (µg/disc) | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|----|--------|---------|-----|-----------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Fungai strains | Samples | Ар | Fu | Kt | Ns | AMM- 600 | AMM- 1200 | | |
| Standard strains | | | | | | | | | |
| C. albicans | ATCC2091 | 13 | - | 20 | 18 | - | - | | |
| C. neoformans | NCIM3542 | 17 | 27 | 23 | 21 | 9 | 9 | | |
| C. tropicalis | ATCC4563 | 14 | - | - | 19 | 11 | 12 | | |
| T. beigelli | NCIM3404 | 15 | - | - | 17 | 9 | 10 | | |
| Clinical isolates | | | | | | | | | |
| Candida spp. | Blood | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 10 | - | - | 17 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 10 | - | - | 17 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 15 | 14 | 12 | 20 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 14 | - | - | 18 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 13 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 17 | - | - | 20 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 14 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 13 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 14 | - | - | 17 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 17 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 14 | - | - | 20 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 15 | - | - | 17 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 13 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 13 | - | - | 18 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 17 | - | - | | |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 16 | - | - | 21 | - | - | | |
| <i>Candida</i> spp. | Vaginal swab | 15 | - | - | 18 | - | - | | |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 11 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 13 | - | - | 15 | - | - | | |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 13 | - | - | 18 | - | - | | |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 15 | - | - | 20 | - | - | | |

| | Samplas | An | tifung | jal dru | Extracts (µg/disc) | | |
|----------------|--------------|----|--------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Fungai Strains | Samples | Ар | Fu | Kt | Ns | AMM- 600 | AMM- 1200 |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 12 | - | - | 20 | - | - |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 14 | - | - | 20 | - | - |
| Candida spp. | Vaginal swab | 15 | - | - | 20 | - | - |
| Candida spp. | Urine | 13 | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| Candida spp. | Urine | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| Candida spp. | Urine | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - |

n=3; Values indicate diameter of zone of inhibition in mm; - means no activity; Ap-Amphotericin-B; Fu- Fluconazole; Kt- Ketoconazole; Ns- Nystatin

Amphotericin-B, Fluconazole, Ketoconazole and Nystatin were studied against 33 clinically isolated *Candida* species and 4 standard fungal strains for antifungal activity (Table 2.7). Amphotericin-B and Nystatin showed 100% antifungal activity against standard strains, while Amphotericin-B and Nystatin showed 93.94% antifungal activity against clinically isolated *Candida* species. Fluconazole showed antifungal activity against *C. neoformans* and only one clinically isolated *Candida* species. Ketoconazole showed antifungal activity against *C. albicans, C. neoformans* and only one clinically isolated *Candida* species. Thus, Amphotericin-B and Nystatin were more potent antifungal agents than Fluconazole and Ketoconazole against fungal strains studied.

2.4. CONCLUSION

Antimicrobial activities of various herbs and spices in plant leaves, flowers, stems, roots or fruits have been reported by many workers in different solvent extracts (Mau et al. 2001; Uz-Zaman et al. 2006; Al-Bayati and Sulaiman 2008; Nair et al. 2009).

From the results it can be concluded that methanol extracts of the plants screened gave better yield than acetone extracts. It is evident that the Gram-positive microorganisms were more sensitive to the plant extracts than the Gram-negative microorganisms. These findings are in agreement with other researchers (Oboh et al. 2007; Nair and Chanda 2007; Costa et al. 2008; Khan et al. 2008). The susceptibility of Gram-positive bacteria may be due to

their cell wall structure which is of a single layer while the Gram-negative cell wall is a multi-layered structure and quite complex (Essawi and Srour 2000). All the extracts showed varying degrees of antimicrobial activity on the microorganisms tested. Some of these plant extracts were more effective than antibiotics to combat the pathogenic microorganisms studied. The antimicrobial activity was more apparent in methanol than acetone extracts of the same plants. These plants may be a source of new antibiotic compounds. This in vitro study demonstrated that folk medicine can be as effective as modern medicine to combat pathogenic microorganisms. Argemone mexicana, Aristolochia indica, Mangifera indica and Woodfordia fruticosa were more potent plants than other plants screened for antimicrobial activity against standard strains of the microorganisms. Mangifera indica was the most potent plant than the other three plants screened against clinically isolated bacterial strains, while methanol extracts of 4 selected plants did not show antifungal activity against standard and clinically isolated fungal strains. This study gives an indication of the efficacy of the plants obtained from the traditional healers. The results from this study form a basis for further studies of the potent plants so as to isolate the compounds responsible for the antimicrobial activity.

2.5. REFERENCES

Ahmed I, Beg AZ (2001) Antimicrobial and phytochemical studies on 45 Indian medicinal plants against multidrug resistant human pathogens. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 74: 113-123.

Ahmad ZM, Mohammad F (1998) Screening of some Indian medicinal plants for their antimicrobial properties. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 62: 183-193.

Akinyemi KO, Oladapo O, Okwara CE, Ibe CC, Fasure KA (2005) Screening of crude extracts of six medicinal plants used in South-West Nigerian unorthodox medicine for anti-methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* activity. BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine 5: 6-13.

Al-Bari MA, Sayeed MA, Rahman MS, Mossadik MA (2006) Characterization and antimicrobial activities of a phenolic acid derivative produced by *Streptomyces bengladeshiensis* a novel species collected in Bangladesh. Respiratory Journal of Medical Sciences 1: 77-81.

Al-Bayati FA, Sulaiman KD (2008) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Salvadora persica* L. extracts against some isolated oral pathogens in Iraq. Turkish Journal of Biology 32: 57-62.

Al-Jabri AA (2005) Honey, milk and antibiotics. African Journal of Biotechnology 4: 1580-1587.

Amani S, Isla MI, Vattuone M, Poch M, Cudmani N, Sampietro A (1998) Antimicrobial activities in some Argentine medicinal plants. Acta Horticulture 501: 115-122.

Anjaria J, Parabia M, Dwivedi S (2002) Ethnovet Heritage Indian Ethnoveterinary Medicine - An Overview (1st ed.). Pathik Enterprise, Ahmedabad, India.

53

Aslim B, Yucel N (2008) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of essential oil from endemic *Origanum minutiflorum* on ciprofloxacin resistant *Campylobacter* spp. Food Chemistry 107: 602-604.

Ateb DA, Erdourul T (2003) Antimicrobial activities of various medicinal and commercial plant extracts. Turkish Journal of Biology 27: 157-162.

Barry AL, Thornsberry C (1985) In: Manual of Clinical Microbiology, Lennette EH (Ed.), American Association for Microbiology, Washington pp. 978-987.

Bauer AW, Kirby WMM, Sherris JC, Turck M (1966) Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a standardized single disk method. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 45: 493-496.

Bbosa GS, Kyegombe DB, Ogwal-Okeng J, Bukenya-Ziraba R, Odyek O, Waako P (2007) Antibacterial activity of *Mangifera indica* (L.). African Journal of Ecology 45: 13-16.

Carneiro BAL, Teixeira MFS, de Oliveira VMA, Fernandes OCC, Cauper GSB, Pohlit AM (2008) Screening of Amazonian plants from the adolpho ducke forest reserve, Manaus, state of Amazonas, Brazil, for antimicrobial activity. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro 103: 31-38.

Chanda S, Parekh J, Vaghasiya Y, Vyas H (2009) *In vitro* antimicrobial screening of eight plant species against some human pathogens from Western Gujarat, India. Pakistan Journal of Botany (In Press).

Cohen ML (2002) Changing patterns of infectious disease. Nature 406: 762-767.

Costa ES, Hiruma-Lima CA, Lima EO, Sucupira GC, Bertolin AO, Lolis SF, Andrade FDP, Vilegas W, Souza-Brito ARM (2008). Antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants of the Cerrado, Brazil. Phytotherapy Research 22: 705-707. Dean DA, Burchard KW (1996) Fungal infection in surgical patients. American Journal of Surgery 171: 374-382.

Doughari JH (2006) Antimicrobial activity of *Tamarindus indica* Linn. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 5: 597-603.

Emori TG, Gaynes RP (1993) An overview of nosocomial infections, including the role of the microbiology laboratory. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 6: 428-442.

Erasto P, Bojase-Moleta RR, Majinda RR (2004) Antimicrobial and antioxidant flavonoids from the root wood of *Bolusanthus speciosus*. Phytochemistry 65: 875-880.

Essawi T, Srour M (2000) Screening of some Palestinian medicinal plants for antibacterial activity. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 70: 343-349.

Evans CE, Banso A, Samuel OA (2002) Efficacy of some nupe medicinal plants against *Salmonella typhi*: an *in vitro* study. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 80: 21–24.

Farnsworth NR, Akerele O, Bingel AS (1985) Medicinal plants in therapy. Bulletin of World Health Organization 63: 965-981.

Ge Y, Difuntorum S, Touami S, Critchley I, Burli R, Jiang V, Drazan K, Moser H (2002) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of GSQ1530, a new heteroaromatic polycyclic compound. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 46: 3168-3174.

Gibbons A (1992) Exploring new strategies to fight drug resistant microbes. Science 257: 1036-1038.
Gonzalez CE, Venzon D, Lee S, Mueller BU, Pizzo PA, Walsh TJ (1996) Risk factors for fungemia in children infected with human immunodeficiency virus: a case-control study. Clinical Infectious Diseases 23: 515-521.

Hancock EW (2005) Mechanism of action of newer antibiotics for Gram positive pathogens. Lancet Infectious Diseases 5: 209-218.

Hussain MA, Gorsi MS (2004) Antimicrobial activity of *Nerium oleander* Linn. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences 3:177-180.

Iwu MM, Duncan AR, Okunji CO (1999) New antimicrobials of plant origin. Janick J (ed.), ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA.

Khare CP (2007) Indian Medicinal Plants. Springer Science, Business Media, LLC, New York, USA.

Khan A, Rahman M, Islam MS (2008) Antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic activities of amblyone isolated from *Amorphophallus campanulatus*. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 40: 41-44.

Liasu MO, Ayandele AA (2008) Antimicrobial activity of aqueous and ethanolic extracts from *Tithonia diversifolia* and *Bryum coronatum* collected from Ogbomoso, Oyo state, Nigeria. Advances in Natural and Applied Sciences 2: 31-34.

Marchese A, Shito GC (2001) Resistance patterns of lower respiratory tract pathogens in Europe. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 16: 25-29.

Marjorie MC (1999) Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clinical Microbiology. Reviews, American Society for Microbiology. Department of Microbiology, Miami University, Oxford, OH, USA 12: 564-582.

Martinez MJ, Betancourt J, Alonso-Gonzalez N, Jauregui A (1996) Screening of some Cuban medicinal plants for antimicrobial activity. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 52: 171-174.

Mau JL, Chen CP, Hsieh PC (2001) Antimicrobial effect of extracts from Chinese chive, cinnamon, and corni fructus. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 49: 183-188.

Nair R, Chanda S (2005) Anticandidal activity of *Punica granatum* exhibited in different solvents. Pharmaceutical Biology 43: 21-25.

Nair R, Chanda S (2006) Activity of some medicinal plants against certain pathogenic bacterial strains. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 38: 142-144.

Nair R, Chanda S (2007) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Psidium guajava* L. leaf extracts against clinically important pathogenic microbial strains. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 38: 452-458.

Nair R, Vaghasiya Y, Chanda S (2007a) Antibacterial potency of selected Indian medicinal plants. International Journal of Green Pharmacy 1: 37-44.

Nair R, Kalariya T, Chanda S (2007b) Antibacterial activity of some plant extracts used in folk medicine. Journal of Herbal Pharmacotherapy 7:191-201.

Nair R, Kalariya T, Chanda S (2009) Antibacterial evaluation of Sapindus emarginatus Vahl leaf in in vitro conditions. International Journal of Green Pharmacy (In Press).

National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (2003) Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests; Approved Standards-Eighth Edition Volume 23 No1, M2-A8. Ndhlala AR, Stafford GI, Finnie JF, Van Staden J (2009) *In vitro* pharmacological effects of manufactured herbal concoctions used in KwaZulu-Natal South Africa. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 122: 117-122.

Neogi U, Saumya R, Mishra RK, Raju KC (2008) Lipid content and in vitro antimicrobial activity of oil of some Indian medicinal plants. Current Research in Bacteriology 1: 1-6.

Ng PC (1994) Systemic fungal infections in neonates. Archives of Diseases in Childhood 71: F130-F135.

Norrby RS, Nord CE, Finch R (2005) Lack of development of new antimicrobial drugs: a potential serious threat to public health. The Lancet Infectious Disease 5: 115-119.

Oboh IE, Akerele JO, Obasuyi O (2007) Antimicrobial activity of the ethanol extract of the aerial parts of *Sida acuta* Burm.f. (Malvaceae). Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 6: 809-813.

Pannuti CS, Grinbaum RS (1995) An overview of nosocomial infection control in Brazil. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology 16: 170-174.

Parekh J, Chanda S (2007) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Trapa natans* L. fruit rind extracted in different solvents. African Journal of Biotechnology 6: 760-770.

Parekh J, Chanda S (2008) *In vitro* antifungal activity of methanol extracts of some Indian medicinal plants against pathogenic yeast and moulds. African Journal of Biotechnology 7:4349-4353.

Rahman MM, Wahed MII, Biswas MH, Sadik GM, Haque ME (2001) *In vitro* antibacterial activity of the compounds of *Trapa bispinosa* Roxb. Science 1: 214-216.

Robbers J, Speedie M, Tyler V (1996) Pharmacognosy and Pharmacobiotechnology. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore. pp. 1-14.

Salvat A, Antonnacci L, Fortunato RH, Suarez EY, Godoy HM (2001) Screening of some plants from Northern Argentina for their antimicrobial activity. Letters in Applied Microbiology 32: 293-297.

Sambath Kumar R, Sivakumar T, Sundram RS, Sivakumar P, Nethaji R, Gupta M, Mazumdar UK (2006) Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of *Careya arborea* Roxb. Stem bark. Iranian Journal of Pharmacology and Therapeutics 5: 35-41.

Shahidi Bonjar GH, Aghighi S, Karimi Nik A (2004) Antibacterial and antifungal survey in plants used in indigenous herbal-medicine of south east regions of Iran. Journal of Biological Sciences 4: 405-412.

Sriram S, Patel MA, Patel KV, Punjani NH (2004) Compendium on Medicinal Plants (1st ed). Gujarat Agricultural University, Ahmedabad, India.

Sung WS, Lee DG (2007) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity and the mode of action of indol-3-carbinol against human pathogenic microorganisms. Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin 30: 1865-1869.

Tomoko N, Takashi A, Hiromu T, Yuka I, Hiroko M, Munekaju I, Totshiyuki T, Tetsuro I, Fujio A, Iriya I, Tsutomu N, Kazuhito W (2002) Antibacterial activity of extracts prepared from tropical and subtropical plants on methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of Health Science 48: 273-276.

Vaghasiya Y, Chanda SV (2007) Screening of methanol and acetone extracts of fourteen Indian medicinal plants for antimicrobial activity. Turkish Journal of Biology 31:243-248.

van der Waaij D, Nord CE (2000) Development and persistence of multiresistance to antibiotics in bacteria; an analysis and new approach to this urgent problem. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 16: 191-197.

Voravuthikunchai SP, Kitpipit L (2005) Activity of medicinal plant extracts against hospital isolates of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 11: 493-512.

World Health Organization (WHO) (1978) The promotion and development of traditional medicine, Technical report series pp.622.

Uz-Zaman R, Akhtar MS, Khan MS (2006) In vitro antibacterial screening of *Anethum graveolens* L. fruit, *Cochoirum intybus* L. leaf, *Plantago ovate* L. seed husk and *Polygonum viviparum* L. root extracts against *Helicobacter pylori*. International Journal of Pharmacology 2: 674-677.

Zaika LL (1975) Spices and herbs: their antimicrobial activity and its determination. Journal of Food Safety 9:97-118.



CHAPTER 3: PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS

3.1. INTRODUCTION

Various medicinal properties have been attributed to natural herbs. Medicinal plants constitute the main source of new pharmaceuticals and healthcare products (Ivanova et al. 2005). The history of plants being used for medicinal purpose is probably as old as the history of mankind. Extraction and characterization of several active phytocompounds from these green factories have given birth to some high activity profile drugs (Mandal et al. 2007). A growing body of evidence indicates that secondary plant metabolites play critical roles in human health and may be nutritionally important (Hertog et al. 1993). Phytochemical screening of plants has revealed the presence of numerous chemicals including alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, steroids, glycosides, saponins etc. Many plant extracts and phytochemicals show antioxidant/free radical scavenging properties (Larson 1988; Nair et al. 2007; Parekh and Chanda 2007a). Secondary metabolites of plants serve as defense mechanisms against predation by many microorganisms, insects and herbivores (Lutterodt et al. 1999; Marjorie 1999).

Normally free radicals of different forms are generated at a low level in cells to help in the modulation of several physiological functions and are quenched by an integrated antioxidant system in the body. However, if free radicals are produced in excess amount they can be destructive leading to inflammation, ischemia, lung damage and other degenerative diseases (Halliwell et al. 1992; Hadi et al. 2000; Cavalcanti et al. 2006). Free radical reactions, especially with participation of oxidative radicals, have been shown to be involved in many biological processes that cause damage to lipids, proteins, membranes and nucleic acids, thus giving rise to a variety of diseases (Lee et al. 2005; Campos et al. 2006).

The phenolic compounds are one of the largest and most ubiquitous groups of plant metabolites that possess an aromatic ring bearing one or more hydroxyl constituents (Singh et al. 2007). Phenolic compounds are widely found in the secondary products of medicinal plants, as well as in many edible plants (Hagerman et al. 1998). A number of studies have focused on the biological activities of phenolic compounds, which are potential antioxidants and free radical-scavengers (Rice-Evans et al. 1995; Kahkonen et al. 1999; Sugihara et al. 1999; Cespedes et al. 2008; Reddy et al. 2008). Several studies have described the antioxidant properties of medicinal plants, foods, and beverages which are rich in phenolic compounds (Brown and Rice-Evans 1998; Krings and Berger 2001).

Flavonoids are a broad class of plant phenolics that are known to possess a well established protective ability against membrane lipoperoxidative damages (Sen et al. 2005).

Plant products have been part of phytomedicines since time immemorial. These can be derived from any part of the plant like bark, leaves, flowers, roots, fruits, seeds, etc (Gordon and David 2001) i.e. any part of the plant may contain active components. Knowledge of the chemical constituents of plants is desirable because such information will be of value for the synthesis of complex chemical substances. Such phytochemical screening of various plants is reported by many workers (Mojab et al. 2003; Parekh and Chanda 2007b; Parekh and Chanda 2008).

In the present work, qualitative and quantitative phytochemical analysis was carried out in the 53 plants screened.

3.2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.2.1. Plant collection and extraction

Plant collection and extraction was done as described in Chapter 2.2.1 and Chapter 2.2.2. The preliminary qualitative phytochemical analysis was carried out in crude dry powder of 53 plants; while total phenol and flavonoid content was estimated in methanol and acetone extracts of 53 plants.

3.2.2. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening (Harbone 1998; Parekh and Chanda 2007b)

3.2.2.1. Alkaloids

The methanolic extract of the crude dry powder of each plant was evaporated to dryness in a boiling water bath. The residue was dissolved in 2 N HCI. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was divided into 3 equal portions. One portion was treated with a few drops of Mayer's reagent; one portion was treated with equal amount of Dragondroff's reagent and the other portion was treated with equal amount of Wagner's reagent. The creamish precipitate, orange precipitate and brown precipitate, indicated the presence of respective alkaloids (Salehi-Surmaghi et al. 1992).

3.2.2.2. Flavonoids

The presence of flavonoids was estimated by Shinoda test. The alcoholic extract of the crude dry powder of each plant was treated with a few drops of concentrated HCI and magnesium ribbon. The appearance of pink or tomato red colour within a few minutes indicated the presence of flavonoids (Somolenski et al. 1972).

3.2.2.3. Tannins

The water extract of the crude dry powder of each plant was treated with alcoholic $FeCl_3$ reagent. Blue color indicated the presence of tannins (Segelman et al. 1969).

3.2.2.4. Cardiac glycosides

Keller-kiliani test was performed to assess the presence of cardiac glycosides. The crude dry powder of each plant was treated with 1 ml of FeCl₃ reagent (mixture of 1 volume of 5% FeCl₃ solution and 99 volumes of glacial acetic acid). To this solution a few drops of concentrated H_2SO_4 was added. Appearance of greenish blue color within a few minutes indicated the presence of cardiac glycosides (Ajaiyeobu 2002).

3.2.2.5. Steroids

Liebermann-Burchard reaction was performed to assess the presence of steroids. A chloroform solution of the crude dry powder of each plant was treated with acetic anhydride and a few drops of concentrated H_2SO_4 were added down the sides of the test tube. A blue green ring indicated the presence of terpenoids.

3.2.2.6. Saponins

The presence of saponins was determined by Frothing test. The crude dry powder of each plant was vigorously shaken with distilled water and was allowed to stand for 10 minutes and classified for saponin content as follows: no froth indicate absence of saponins and stable froth more than 1.5 cm indicated the presence of saponins (Kapoor et al. 1969).

3.2.3. Quantitative phytochemical screening

3.2.3.1. Total phenol determination:

Total phenolic content of the extracts was determined by Folin Ciocalteu reagent method (Mc Donald et al. 2001) with some modifications. Plant extract (1 ml) was mixed with Ciocalteu reagent (0.1 ml, 1 N), and allowed to stand for 15 min. Then 5 ml of saturated Na_2CO_3 was added. The mixtures were allowed to stand for 30 min at room temperature and the total phenols were determined spectrophotometrically at 760 nm. Gallic acid was used as a standard. Total phenol values are expressed in terms of gallic acid equivalent (mg g⁻¹ of extracted compound).

3.2.3.2. Flavonoid determination:

Aluminium chloride colorimetric method (Chang et al. 2002) with some modifications was used to determine flavonoid content. Plant extract (1ml) in methanol was mixed with 1ml of methanol, 0.5 ml aluminium chloride (1.2 %) and 0.5 ml potassium acetate (120 mM). The mixture was allowed to stand for 30 min at room temperature; then the absorbance was measured at 415 nm. Quercetin was used as standard. Flavonoid content is expressed in terms of quercetin equivalent (mg g⁻¹ of extracted compound).

3.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.3.1. Preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening

In recent years, secondary plant metabolites (phytochemicals) with antibacterial potency have been actively investigated as alternatives to and \checkmark or in combination with antibiotics in the therapy of bacterial infections (Sato et al. 1995; Liu et al. 2001).

The preliminary qualitative phytochemical screening of the crude powder of 53 plants was done to assess the presence of bioactive components. The

presence of alkaloids (Dragendroff, Mayer, Wagner), flavonoids, tannins, steroids, saponins and cardiac glycosides was determined (Table 3.1).

| No. | Name of the plants | Α | Alkaloids | | | CG | ST | FL | SP |
|-----|--------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|
| | • | DR | MR | WR | 1 | | | | |
| 1 | Abutilon glaucum | + | - | + | - | +++ | + | - | - |
| 2 | Adenanthera pavonina | + | - | - | + | +++ | +++ | - | - |
| 3 | Agave Vera | + | - | + | ++ | - | - | - | +++ |
| 4 | Alangium salvifolium | - | - | + | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + |
| 5 | Alpinia speciosa | - | - | + | +++ | - | - | + | - |
| 6 | Argemone maxicana | - | - | ++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | - | + |
| 7 | Argyreia speciosa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + |
| 8 | Aristolochia bracteolata | - | - | - | +++ | ++ | ++ | - | + |
| 9 | Aristolochia indica | - | + | + | + | + | ++ | - | + |
| 10 | Asphodelus tenuifolius | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Baliospermum montanum | + | + | - | +++ | - | - | + | ++ |
| 12 | Boerhavia diffusa | - | - | - | + | ++ | +++ | - | - |
| 13 | Bombax ceiba | + | - | - | +++ | + | ++ | - | - |
| 14 | Carissa carandas | + | - | - | +++ | - | + | | + |
| 15 | Cassia occidentalis | + | - | - | ++ | + | + | + | - |
| 16 | Casuarina equissetifolia | + | - | - | +++ | + | + | + | - |
| 17 | Celastrus paniculata | - | - | - | - | - | ++ | - | - |
| 18 | Citrus medica | + | - | - | - | + | ++ | - | - |
| 19 | Clerodendron phlomidis | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Datura innoxia | - | - | + | - | +++ | +++ | + | - |
| 21 | Desmodium gangeticum | - | - | - | - | +++ | +++ | - | - |
| 22 | Drypetes roxburghii | + | - | - | +++ | +++ | ++ | - | + |
| 23 | Ficus carica | - | - | - | ++ | ++ | + | - | - |
| 24 | Gymnema sylvestre | + | - | - | + | +++ | + | - | +++ |
| 25 | Hemidesmus indicus | - | - | + | +++ | + | - | - | + |
| 26 | Holoptelea integrifolia | + | - | - | + | ++ | +++ | + | + |
| 27 | Jasminum auriculatum | - | - | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | - | + |

| No | Name of the plants | Α | Alkaloids | | TN | CG | ст | E 1 | SD |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----|-----------|----|-----|-----|-----|------------|-----------|
| NO. | Name of the plants | DR | MR | WR | | 00 | 51 | ГЬ | 51 |
| 28 | Leptadenia reticulata | - | - | - | + | +++ | ++ | - | - |
| 29 | Leucas aspera | - | - | - | + | ++ | +++ | - | - |
| 30 | Madhuca indica | +++ | + | - | ++ | - | ++ | - | - |
| 31 | Mangifera indica | - | - | - | +++ | - | + | - | - |
| 32 | Maranta arundinacea | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 33 | Merremia turpethum | + | | + | + | ++ | ++ | - | - |
| 34 | Murraya paniculata | - | - | + | ++ | ++ | - | - | + |
| 35 | Origanum marjoram | - | - | - | ++ | - | - | - | - |
| 36 | Phyla nodiflora | - | - | + | +++ | - | - | + | - |
| 37 | Phyllanthus reticulatus | + | - | - | +++ | + | ++ | - | + |
| 38 | Pluchea arguta | + | ++ | ++ | +++ | +++ | - | - | + |
| 39 | Randia dumetorum | ++ | + | | ++ | | + | - | + |
| 40 | Rauvofia tetraphylla | - | - | + | +++ | ++ | ++ | - | + |
| 41 | Scaevola koenigii | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - |
| 42 | Scindapsus officinalis | - | - | + | + | ++ | ++ | - | - |
| 43 | Strychnos nux-vomica | - | - | - | +++ | + | +++ | - | - |
| 44 | Tamarindus indica | + | + | - | - | + | - | - | - |
| 45 | Tecomella undulata | + | + | + | + | + | - | - | - |
| 46 | Tephrosia purpurea | - | - | | +++ | ++ | | - | - |
| 47 | Thespesia populnea | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 48 | Tinospora cordifolia | - | - | - | - | + | ++ | - | - |
| 49 | Trienthema monogyna | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - |
| 50 | Trigonella foenum-graecum | - | - | - | - | + | +++ | - | - |
| 51 | Vitex negundo | - | - | + | +++ | +++ | - | - | + |
| 52 | Woodfordia fruticosa (Leaf) | +++ | + | + | +++ | - | - | - | + |
| 53 | Woodfordia fruticosa (Stem) | ++ | - | - | +++ | + | ++ | - | + |

DR- Dragondroff's reagent; MR- Mayer's reagent; WR- Wagner's reagent; TN- Tannins; FL- Flavonoids; CG- Cardiac glycosides; ST- Steroids; SP- Saponins

Alkaloids were present in lower amount (+) in 32.08% of plants with Dragondroff test, in 13.21% with Mayer test and in 33.96% with Wagner test. Moderate amount (++) of alkaloids were present in 3.77% of the plants with Dragondroff test and Wagner test, 1.89% with Mayer test. Only 3.77% of plants contained higher amount (+++) of alkaloids with Dragondroff test.

Tannins were present in 20.75% of plants in lower amount, 13.21% in moderate amount and 33.96% in higher amount. Cardiac glycosides were present in 22.64% of plants in lower amount, in 20.75% in moderate amount and in18.87% in higher amount. Steroids were present in 13.21% of plants in lower amount, 32.08% in moderate amount and 15.09% in higher amount. Flavonoids were present in 13.21% of plants in lower amount and 1.89% in moderate amount amount, 33.96% of plants in lower amount, 1.89% in moderate amount and 3.77% in higher amount.

3.3.2. Quantitative phytochemical screening

Antioxidants and antimicrobial properties of various extracts from many plants have recently been of great interest in both research and the food industry, because their possible use as natural additives emerged from a growing tendency to replace synthetic antioxidants and antimicrobials with natural ones (Deba et al. 2008).

The results of total phenolic content and flavonoid content of methanol and acetone extracts of 53 plants are presented in Table 3.2.

Total phenolic content was higher in methanol extracts than acetone extracts. Only 11.32% acetone extracts showed higher total phenolic content than methanol extracts, while 88.68% methanol extracts showed higher total phenolic content than acetone extracts. 35.85% acetone extracts showed higher flavonoid content than methanol extracts, while 64.15% methanol extracts showed higher flavonoid content than acetone extracts. Out of 53 acetone extracts of the plants studied, 9 plants contained higher total phenolic content (>70 mg/g), which were in the following order: *Mangifera indica* > *Strychnos nux-vomica* > *Phyllanthus reticulates* > *Casuarina equissetifolia* > *Woodfordia fruticosa* (Stem) > *Drypetes roxburghii* > *Woodfordia fruticosa* (Leaf) > *Madhuca indica* > *Carissa carandas* (Table 3.2.).

| Table 3.2 Total | phenolic and | flavonoids | content of | acetone | and methanol | extracts of |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|-------------|
| 53 pla | ants | | | | | |

| Plants | Total phenol (| mg/g) | Flavonoids (mg/g) | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| | AE | ME | AE | ME | |
| Abutilon glaucum | 12.85 ± 0.11 | 17.89 ± 0.52 | 14.68 ± 0.38 | 18.25 ± 0.07 | |
| Adenanthera pavonina | 22.48 ± 0.35 | 57.43 ± 1.41 | 19.86 ± 0.46 | 32.50 ± 0.17 | |
| Agave vera | 27.51 ± 0.13 | 25.54 ± 0.46 | 4.16 ± 0.14 | 15.85 ± 7.89 | |
| Alangium salviifolicum | 15.48 ± 0.14 | 65.71 ± 1.93 | 0.83 ± 0.05 | 44.74 ± 0.11 | |
| Alpinia speciosa | 27.41 ± 0.19 | 120.54 ± 3.47 | 35.21 ± 0.73 | 64.65 ± 2.23 | |
| Argemone mexicana | 17.49 ± 0.26 | 29.75 ± 0.31 | 28.32 ± 0.30 | 79.54 ± 0.62 | |
| Argyreia speciosa | 58.43 ± 0.58 | 81.78 ± 0.19 | 33.87 ± 0.15 | 27.08 ± 3.97 | |
| Aristolochia bracteolate | 39.67 ± 0.92 | 59.22 ± 0.65 | 130.93 ± 2.58 | 36.06 ± 0.17 | |
| Aristolochia indica | 25.11 ± 0.18 | 56.66 ± 1.68 | 30.41 ± 0.19 | 27.22 ± 0.1 | |
| Asphodelus tenuifolius | 17.23 ± 0.53 | 23.88 ± 0.86 | 34.02 ± 1.20 | 8.79 ± 0.31 | |
| Baliospermum montanum | 16.87 ± 0.41 | 71.48 ± 1.98 | 52.55 ± 0.32 | 42.67 ± 8.17 | |
| Boerhavia diffusa | 28.07 ± 0.21 | 84.05 ± 0.11 | 1.23 ± 0.01 | 29.77 ± 0.20 | |
| Bombax ceiba | 31.11 ± 0.77 | 45.64 ± 0.24 | 25.74 ± 0.14 | 76.94 ± 0.60 | |
| Calastrus paniculatus | 7.68 ± 0.04 | 14.81 ± 0.11 | 29.62 ± 0.65 | 31.49 ± 0.19 | |
| Carissa carandas | 77.98 ± 0.60 | 84.70 ± 0.20 | 27.42 ± 5.20 | 28.65 ± 0.52 | |
| Cassia occidentalis | 65.82 ± 0.90 | 71.28 ± 0,84 | 21.90 ± 0.48 | 45.76 ± 0.51 | |
| Casuarina equissetifolia | 146.33 ± 0.73 | 248.15 ± 3.55 | 42.28 ± 0.74 | 33.38 ± 0.39 | |
| Citrus medica | 20.05 ± 0.41 | 40.77 ± 0.29 | 30.67 ± 0.53 | 22.62 ± 0.18 | |
| Clerodendron phlomidis | 9.05 ± 0.10 | 29.78 ± 0.32 | 35.88 ± 0.30 | 17.98 ± 0.55 | |
| Datura innoxia | 3.65 ± 0.05 | 29.53 ± 0.38 | 19.35 ± 0.20 | 33.00 ± 0.20 | |
| Desmodium gangeticum | 6.66 ± 0.16 | 49.19 ± 0.96 | 10.61 ± 0.47 | 37.02 ± 0.15 | |
| Drypetes roxburghii | 136.15 ± 2.18 | 83.04 ± 1.25 | 26.83 ± 0.31 | 42.32 ± 0.07 | |

| Plants | Total phe | nol (mg/g) | Flavonoids (mg/g) | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|--|
| Flaints | AE | ME | AE | ME | |
| Ficus carica | 4.02 ±0.08 | 46.45 ± 0.68 | 24.26 ± 0.24 | 65.44 ± 0.60 | |
| Gymnema sylvestre | 26.41 ± 0.32 | 40.22 ± 0.74 | 70.99 ± 0.89 | 17.98 ± 0.55 | |
| Hemidesmus indicus | 11.97 ± 0.14 | 85.45 ± 0.82 | 31.03 ± 0.42 | 26.29 ± 0.29 | |
| Holoptelea integrifolia | 10.65 ± 0.17 | 29.62 ± 0.30 | 43.59 ± 0.94 | 51.37 ± 0.37 | |
| Jasminum auriculatum | 68.74 ± 1.05 | 41.97 ± 0.68 | 26.14 ± 0.28 | 22.79 ± 0.12 | |
| Leptadenia reticulate | 24.45 ± 0.03 | 47.15 ± 0.21 | 24.9 ± 0.92 | 54.88 ± 9.42 | |
| Leucas aspera | 23.58 ± 0.46 | 50.90 ± 0.35 | 1.56 ± 0.02 | 48.19 ± 0.32 | |
| Madhuca indica | 83.02 ± 1.98 | 59.44 ± 0.26 | 15.15 ± 0.10 | 26.99 ± 0.15 | |
| Mangifera indica | 219.31 ± 3.69 | 427.28 ± 10.5 | 9.86 ± 0.7 | 6.36 ± 0.3 | |
| Maranta arundinacea | 5.96 ± 0.13 | 25.92 ± 0.86 | 13.69 ± 0.19 | 17.63 ± 0.38 | |
| Merremia turpethum | 12.34 ± 0.03 | 45.75 ± 0.14 | 15.81± 0.69 | 30.16 ± 0.09 | |
| Murraya paniculata | 14.83 ± 0.12 | 53.00 ± 0.90 | 25.3 ± 0.08 | 41.92 ± 13.92 | |
| Origanum marjoram | 33.75 ± 1.02 | 274.95 ± 2.63 | 27.65 ± 0.08 | 45.01 ± 0.92 | |
| Phyla nodiflora | 10.79 ± 0.26 | 64.84 ± 1.04 | 39.79 ± 0.26 | 31.64 ± 0.18 | |
| Phyllanthus reticulatus | 191.73 ± 6.62 | 172.27 ± 2.90 | 20.31 ± 0.30 | 49.84 ± 0.13 | |
| Pluchea arguta | 59.50 ± 0.79 | 78.82 ± 1.28 | 88.47 ± 0.91 | 53.67 ± 0.44 | |
| Randia dumetorum | 23.65 ± 0.08 | 66.72 ± 0.13 | 49.16 ± 0.86 | 22.98 ± 0.08 | |
| Rauvolifera tetraphylla | 25.54 ± 0.59 | 59.3 ± 0.80 | 20.21 ± 0.61 | 65.50 ± 0.60 | |
| Scaevola koenigii | 18.69 ± 0.14 | 36.31 ± 0.30 | 16.03 ± 0.15 | 14.36 ± 0.18 | |
| Scindapsus officinalis | 7.81 ± 0.13 | 54.35 ± 0.52 | 63.12 ± 0.19 | 33.11 ± 0.18 | |
| Strychnos nux-vomica | 208.18 ± 2.73 | 337.82 ± 2.80 | 19.15 ± 0.10 | 56.76 ± 0.29 | |
| Tamarindus indica | 17.84 ± 0.28 | 29.26 ± 0.17 | 3.84 ± 0.05 | 18.77 ± 0.02 | |
| Tecomella undulate | 31.46 ± 0.07 | 65.01 ± 1.24 | 14.77 ± 0.08 | 34.14 ± 0.16 | |
| Tephrosia purpurea | 16.52 ± 0.46 | 58.74 ± 0.06 | 15.89 ± 0.11 | 31.84 ± 0.03 | |
| Thespesia populnea | 6.78 ± 0.32 | 37.77 ± 0.45 | 28.64 ± 0.13 | 27.41 ± 0.07 | |
| Tinospora cordifolia | 15.9 ± 0.32 | 38.86 ± 0.32 | 17.76 ± 0.10 | 28.09 ± 0.08 | |
| Trienthema monogyna | 11.69 ± 0.01 | 26.85 ± 0.46 | 11.83 ± 0.09 | 14.82 ± 0.43 | |
| Trigonella | 15.79 ± 0.11 | 46.03 ± 0.51 | 14.49 ± 0.11 | 30.97 ± 0.24 | |
| foenumgraecum | | | | | |
| Vitex negundo | 23.81 ± 0.47 | 62.38 ± 0.55 | 16.37 ± 0.51 | 21.8 ± 0.69 | |
| Woodfordia fruticosa (Stem) | 140.28 ± 5.73 | 130.43 ± 2.13 | 14.87 ± 0.85 | 9.10 ± 0.14 | |
| Woodfordia fruticosa | 118.31 ± 6.19 | 387.84 ± 7.73 | 63.74 ± 0.71 | 38.42 ± 0.71 | |
| (Leaf) | | | | | |

n=3; Data is presented as Mean ± SEM; AE- Acetone extract; ME- Methanol extract

Of the methanol extracts of the 53 plants studied, 16 plants contained higher total phenolic content (>70 mg/g), which were in the following order: *Mangifera indica* > *Woodfordia fruticosa* (Leaf) > *Strychnos nux-vomica* > *Origanum marjoram* > *Casuarina equissetifolia* > *Phyllanthus reticulatus* > *Woodfordia fruticosa* (Stem) > *Alpinia speciosa* > *Hemidesmus indicus* > *Carissa carandas* > *Boerhavia diffusa* > *Drypetes roxburghii* > *Argyreia speciosa* > *Pluchea arguta* > *Baliospermum montanum* > *Cassia occidentalis* (Table 3.2.).

Of the acetone extracts of the 53 plants studied, only 3 plants contained higher amount of flavonoids (>70 mg/g), which were in the following order: *Aristolochia bracteolate > Pluchea arguta > Gymnema sylvestre*. Out of 53 methanol extracts of the plants studied, only two plants contained higher amount of flavonoids, which were *Argemone mexicana* and *Bombax ceiba*.

The antioxidant properties of plant extracts is attributed to their polyphenolic contents (Lu and Foo 2001; Murthy et al. 2002). As such plants containing a high level of polyphenols have a greater importance as natural antimicrobics (Baravalia et al. 2009).

From the results of antimicrobial screening, methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed potent antimicrobial activity, which might be due to the higher content of total phenolics. Similar results were reported by Salah et al. (2006). Acetone extract of *Aristolochia bracteolate* showed potent antimicrobial activity, which might be due to the higher content of flavonoids. *Alpinia speciosa, Carissa carandas, Strychnos nux-vomica, Casuarina equissetifolia, Phyllanthus reticulatus, Pluchea arguta, Woodfordia fruticosa* (Leaf) and *Woodfordia fruticosa* (Stem) showed good antimicrobial activity and higher total phenolic content. *Argemone mexicana* and *Pluchea arguta* showed good antimicrobial activity and higher amounts of flavonoids.

3.4. CONCLUSION

The results of preliminary qualitative phytochemical study of the crude powder of 53 plants showed the presence of alkaloids (30.82%), tannins (67.92%), cardiac glycosides (62.26%), steroids (60.38%), flavonoids (15.09%) and saponins (39.62%). Tannins were present in more number of plants followed by cardiac glycosides and steroids.

The results of total phenolic and flavonoid content showed that the methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed highest total phenolic content, while acetone extract of *Aristolochia bracteolate* showed highest flavonoid content than other plant extracts. Thus, the plants studied here can be seen as a potential source of new useful drugs. The phytochemical characterization of the extracts, the identification of responsible bioactive compounds and quality standards are necessary for future study.

3.5. REFERENCES

Ajaiyeobu EO (2002) Phytochemical and antibacterial activity of *Parkia biglobosa* and *Parkia bicolor* leaf extracts. African Journal of Biomedical Research 5: 125-129.

Baravalia Y, Kaneria M, Vaghasiya Y, Parekh J, Chanda S (2009) Evaluation of antioxidant and antibacterial activity of *Diospyros ebenum* Roxb. leaf (Ebenaceae). Turkish Journal of Biology (In Press)

Brown JE, Rice-Evans CA (1998) Luteolin rich artichoke extract protects lowdensity lipoprotein from oxidation *in vitro*. Free Radical Research 29: 247– 255.

Campos AR, Lima Jr, RC, Uchoa DE, Silveira ER, Santos FA, Rao VS (2006) Pro-erectile effects of an alkaloidal rich fraction from *Aspidosperma ulei* root bark in mice. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 104: 240-244.

Cavalcanti BC, Costa-Lotufo LV, Moraes MO, Burbano RR, Silveira ER, Cunha KM, Rao VS, Moura DJ, Rosa RM, Henriques JA, Pessoa C (2006) Genotoxicity evaluation of kaurenoic acid, a bioactive diterpenoid present in Copaiba oil. Food and Chemical Toxicology 44: 388-392.

Cespedes CL, El-Hafidi M, Pavon N, Alarcon J (2008) Antioxidant and cardioprotective activities of phenolic extracts from fruits of Chilean blackberry *Aristotelia chilensis* (Elaeocarpaceae), Maqui. Food Chemistry 107: 820-829.

Chang C, Yang M, Wen H, Chern J (2002) Estimation of total flavonoid content in propolis by two complementary colorimetric methods. Journal of Food and Drug Analysis 10: 178-182.

Deba F, Xuan TD, Yasuda M, Tawata S (2008) Chemical composition and antioxidant, antibacterial and antifungal activities of the essential oils from *Bidens pilosa* Linn. var. Radiata. Food Control 19: 346-352.

Gordon MC, David JN (2001) Natural product drug discovery in the next millennium. Journal of Pharmaceutical Biology 39: 8-17.

Hadi SM, Asad SF, Singh S, Ahmad A (2000) Putative mechanism for anticancer and apoptosis-inducing properties of plant derived polyphenolic compounds. IUBMB Life 50: 167-171.

Hagerman AE, Riedl KM, Jones GA, Sovik KN, Ritchard NT, Hartzfeldt PW, Riechel TL (1998) High molecular weight plant polyphenolics (tannins) as biological antioxidants. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 46: 1887-1892.

Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC, Cross CE (1992) Free radicals, antioxidants and human diseases: Where are we now? Journal of Laboratory Clinical Medicine 119: 598-620.

Harbone JB (1998) Phytochemical Methods, 3rd Ed. Chapman and Hill, London.

Hertog MGL, Feskens EJM, Kromhout D, Hertog MGL, Hollman PCH, Hertog MGL, Katan MB (1993) Dietary antioxidant flavonoids and risk of coronary heart disease: the Zutphen Elderly study. The Lancet 342: 1007-1011.

Ivanova D, Gerova D, Chervenkov T, Yankova T (2005) Polyphenols and antioxidant capacity of Bulgarian medicinal plants. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 96: 145-150.

Kahkonen MP, Hopia AI, Vourela HJ, Rauha JP, Pihlaja K, Kujala TS, Heinonen M (1999) Antioxidant activity of plant extracts containing phenolic compounds. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 47: 3954-3962.

Kapoor I, Singh B, Kapoor SL, Shrivastava SN (1969) Survey of Indian plants for saponins, alkaloids and flavonoids. Lloydia 32: 297-394.

Krings U, Berger RG (2001) Antioxidant activity of some roasted foods. Food Chemistry 72: 223–229.

Larson RA (1988) The antioxidants of higher plants. Phytochemistry 27: 969-978.

Lee JC, Lee KY, Son YO, Choi KC, Kim J, Truong TT, Jang YS (2005) Plant originated glycoprotein, G-120, inhibits the growth of MCF-7 cells and induces their apoptosis. Food and Chemical Toxicology 43: 961-968.

Liu IX, Durham DG, Richards ME (2001) Vancomycin resistance reversal in *Enterococci* by flavonoids. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 53: 129-132.

Lu Y, Foo YL (2001) Antioxidant activities of polyphenols from sage (*Salvia officinalis*). Food Chemistry 75: 197-202.

Lutterodt GD, Ismail A, Basheer RH, Baharudin HM (1999) Antimicrobial effects of *Psidium guajava* extracts as one mechanism of its antidiarrhoeal action. Malaysian Journal of Medical Science 6: 17-20.

Mandal V, Mohan Y, Hemalatha S (2007) Microwave assisted extraction - an innovative and promising extraction tool for medicinal plant research. Pharmacognosy Reviews 1: 7-18.

Marjorie MC (1999) Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clinical Microbiology Reviews 12: 564-582.

Mc Donald S, Prenzler PD, Autolovich M, Robards K (2001) Phenolic content and antioxidant activity of olive extracts. Food Chemistry 73: 73-84. Mojab F, Kamalinejad M, Ghaderi N, Vahidipour HR (2003) Phytochemical screening of some species of Iranian plants. Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 3: 77-82.

Murthy KNC, Singh RP, Jayaprakasha GK (2002) Antioxidant activities of grape (*Vitis vinifera*) pomace extracts. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 50: 5909-5914.

Nair S, Li W, Kong AT (2007) Natural dietary anti-cancer chemopreventive compounds: Redox-mediated differential signaling mechanisms in cytoprotection of normal cells versus cytotoxicity in tumor cells. Acta Pharmacologica Sinica 28: 459-472.

Parekh J, Chanda S (2007a) *In vitro* antimicrobial activity and phytochemical analysis of some Indian medicinal plants. Turkish Journal of Biology 31: 53-58.

Parekh J, Chanda S (2007b) Antibacterial and phytochemical studies on twelve species of Indian medicinal plants. African Journal of Biomedical Research 10: 175-181.

Parekh J, Chanda S (2008) Phytochemical screening of some plants from western region of India. Plant Archives 8: 657-662.

Reddy BS, Reddy BP, Raghavulu SV, Ramakrishna S, Venkateswarlu Y, Diwan PV (2008) Evaluation of antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of *Soymida febrifuga* leaf extracts. Phytotherapy Research 22: 943-947.

Rice-Evans C, Miller NJ, Bolwell GP, Bramley PM, Pridham JB (1995) The relative antioxidants activities of plant-derived polyphenolic flavonoids. Free Radical Research 22: 375-383.

Salah KBH, Mahjoub MA, Ammar S, Michel L, Millet-Clerc J, Chaumont JP, Mighri Z, Aouni M (2006) Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of the

methanolic extracts of three *Salvia* species from Tunisia. Natural Product Research 20: 1110-1120.

Salehi-Surmaghi MH, Aynehchi Y, Amin GH, Mahhmoodi Z (1992) Survey of Iranian plants for saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids and tannins. IV. DARU 2: 281-291.

Sato M, Tsuchiya H, Miyazaki T, Ohyama M, Tanaka T, Iinuma M (1995) Antibacterial activity of flavanostilbens against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Letters in Applied Microbiology 21: 219-222.

Segelman AB, Farnsworth NR, Quimby MD (1969) False negative saponins test results induced by the presence of tannins. I. Lloydia 32: 52-58.

Sen G, Mandal S, Roy SS, Mukhopadhyay S, Biswas T (2005) Therapeutic use of quercetine in the control of infection and anemia associated with visceral leishmaniasis. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 38: 1257-1264.

Singh R, Singh S, Kumar S, Arora S (2007) Evaluation of antioxidant potential of ethyl acetate extract/fractions of *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn. Food and Chemical Toxicology 45: 1216-1223.

Somolenski SJ, Silinis H, Farnsworth NR (1972) Alkaloid screening. I. Lloydia 35: 1-34.

Sugihara N, Arakawa T, Ohnishi M, Furuno K (1999) Anti and pro-oxidative effects of flavonoids on metal induced lipid hydroperoxide- dependent lipid peroxidation in cultured hepatocytes located with /-linolenic acid. Free Radical Biology and Medicine 27: 1313-1323.



CHAPTER 4: SCREENING OF SOME PLANTS FOR ANTI-INFLAMMATORY ACTIVITY IN CARRAGEENAN INDUCED PAW EDEMA MODEL

4.1. INTRODUCTION

There are hundreds of medicinal plants that have a long history of curative properties against various diseases and ailments. Alternative medicine for treatment of various diseases is getting more and more popular. Many medicinal plants provide relief comparable to that obtained from allopathic medicines. The majority of clinically important medicines belong to steroidal and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory chemical therapeutics for treatment of various inflammatory diseases (Choi and Hwang 2003).

The greatest disadvantage in presently available potent synthetic drugs is their toxicity and reappearance of symptoms after discontinuation. Therefore, screening and development of drugs for anti-inflammatory activity is still in progress and there is much hope for finding anti-inflammatory drugs from indigenous medicinal plants (Verpoorte 1999; Amresha et al. 2007; Juneja et al. 2007).

A number of animal models of inflammation such as paw edema, air pouch granuloma, sponge implantation and pleurisy have been developed using a variety of agents such as carrageenan (Fukuhara and Tsurufuji 1969), Freund's adjuvant (Ohuchi et al. 1982), turpentine (Spector and Willougby 1957), zymosan (Konno and Tsurufuji 1983), formalin (Northover and Subramanian 1961), dextran (Winter and Porter 1957) and monosodium urate crystals (Gordon et al. 1985).

The presence of edema is one of the prime signs of inflammation (Sur et al. 2002). It has been documented that carrageenan induced rat paw edema is a suitable *in vivo* model to predict the value of anti-inflammatory agents, which act by inhibiting the mediators of acute inflammation (Morebise et al. 2002).

The method was chosen for this study since edema induced by carrageenan has been widely used to induce experimental acute footpad edema in laboratory animals to screen new anti-inflammatory drugs (Niemegeers et al. 1964; El-Shenawy et al. 2002; Badilla et al. 2003; Ratheesh and Helen 2007). This method was first introduced by Winter et al. (1962) and remains an acceptable preliminary screening test for anti-inflammatory activity.

In the present study, six plants were screened for acute anti-inflammatory study by carrageenan induced paw edema. The plants were selected on the basis of traditional use of the plant or pharmacological activity reported in the literature.

4.2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

4.2.1. Collection and extraction:

Aristolochia indica, Argemone mexicana, Alpinia speciosa, Causarina equissetifolia, Gymnema sylvestre and Pluchea arguta were screened for acute anti-inflammatory study. Collection and extraction of the plants is described in the Chapter 2.2.1 and Chapter 2.2.2. Family, part used and traditional uses of the plants are given in Table 2.1.

4.2.2. Animals

Wistar albino rats of either sex (200-300 g) were used for the antiinflammatory studies. The animals were obtained from Sarabhai Research Center (SRC), Baroda. All the rats were housed in standard plastic rat cages with stainless steel coverlids and wheat straw was used as bedding material. The animals were kept at the animal house of Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot. The animals were kept in a group of 6-8 animals per cage and facilitated with standard environmental condition of photoperiod (12:12 h dark: light cycle) and temperature ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C). They were provided with commercial rat and mice feed (Pranav Agro Industries Ltd., Amruth Brand rat & mice pellet feed) and water given *ad libitum*. The use of these animals and the study protocols were approved by CPCSEA approved local ethical committee.

4.2.3. Preparation of test drug and standard drug

The test drug (Methanol extract of all the plants) and standard drug (Indomethacin) were prepared as a suspension in distilled water using mortal and pestle.

4.2.4. Animal Grouping

The animals were divided in to fourteen groups for acute anti-inflammatory study. Each group consisted of six animals of either sex. The groups were:

Group I: Vehicle treated control (Distilled water)
Group II: Indomethacin (2.5 mg/kg)
Group III: Methanol extract of Aristolochia indica (200 mg/kg)
Group IV: Methanol extract of Aristolochia indica (400 mg/kg)
Group V: Methanol extract of Argemone mexicana (200 mg/kg)
Group VI: Methanol extract of Argemone mexicana (400 mg/kg)
Group VII: Methanol extract of Alpinia speciosa (200 mg/kg)
Group VIII: Methanol extract of Alpinia speciosa (400 mg/kg)
Group VIII: Methanol extract of Alpinia speciosa (400 mg/kg)
Group IX: Methanol extract of Causarina equissetifolia (200 mg/kg)
Group XI: Methanol extract of Gymnema sylvestre (200 mg/kg)
Group XII: Methanol extract of Pluchea arguta (200 mg/kg)
Group XIV: Methanol extract of Pluchea arguta (400 mg/kg)

4.2.5. Carrageenan induced rat paw edema (Winter et al. 1962)

After grouping, the animals initial paw volume was measured using a plethysmograph (Bhatt et al. 1977). The plethysmograph employed, consisted of 10 ml glass vessel (25 mm x 65 mm) fixed 2 ml glass syringe through

pressure tubing. About 4 ml of mercury was filled in the syringe and the mercury level was adjusted to zero mark on the micropipette. The space between the zero mark and the fixed mark on the glass vessel was filled with the water. The initial level of the water was adjusted and set at zero. The paw was immersed in water exactly up to tibio tarsal articulation. The increased level of water in the glass vessel was adjusted to the prefixed mark by releasing the pressure of connected syringe. The level where water and mercury interface in the micropipette was recorded as paw volume.

Thereafter, distilled water or indomethacin (2.5 mg/kg) or methanolic extract of the plants (200, 400 mg/kg; p.o.) were orally administered to the respective group of the animals. After drug administration hydration was given to all the animals (4 ml/100 g body weight). One hour after drug administration, oedema was induced by subplantar injection of freshly prepared 0.1 ml of 1% carrageenan (Hi-media) in normal saline. After injection of carrageenan, the paw volume was measured at 1 h, 2 h and 3 h. Increase in the paw volume (%) was calculated by following formula:

4.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Carrageenan injection into the rat paw provokes a local, acute inflammatory reaction that is a suitable criterion for evaluation of anti-inflammatory agents (Segura et al. 1998). The inflammation consists of two phases, early phase which is related to the production of histamine, 5- hydroxytryptamin, bradykinins and cyclooxygenase products and delayed phase which is linked to neutrophil infiltration, as well as production of arachidonic acid metabolites (Dawson et al. 1991; Salvemini et al. 1996; Boughton-Smith et al. 1999). The results of the present study showed that the extracts of all the plants showed decrease in paw volume in carrageenan induced inflammation in rats at the

dose level of 200 mg/kg and 400 mg/kg as compared to the control group (Table 4.1).

A. indica showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (51.9%, P≤ 0.05) and 2 h (47.57%, P≤ 0.01) at 400 mg/kg dose level. *A. indica* did not show significant decrease in paw volume at 200 mg/kg dose level.

Like *A. indica*, *A. mexicana* also did not show any significant decrease in paw volume at 200 mg/kg dose level. However, *A. mexicana* showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1h (58.58%, P \leq 0.01), 2 h (42.43%, P \leq 0.05) and 3 h (42.80, P \leq 0.05) at 400 mg/kg dose level.

A. speciosa showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (50.63%, P \leq 0.01) and 2 h (48.15%, P \leq 0.05) at 200 mg/kg dose level and also showed decrease at 400 mg/kg (59.24%, P \leq 0.01 at 1 h and 54.46%, P \leq 0.001 at 2 h). It did not show any significant anti-inflammatory activity at 3 h.

C. equissetifolia showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (55.32%, $P \le 0.01$), 2 h (48.63%, $P \le 0.01$) and 3 h (39.78%, $P \le 0.05$) at 200 mg/kg dose level. At 400 mg/kg dose level, it showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (62%, $P \le 0.01$) and 2 h (50.82%, $P \le 0.01$) only.

G. sylvestre did not show any significant anti-inflammatory activity at 200 mg/kg dose level like *A. indica* and *A. mexicana*. While it showed significant decrease in paw volume at 2 h (27.22%, P≤ 0.05) at 400 mg/kg dose level only.

P. arguta showed significant decrease in paw volume at both the dose levels. It showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (58.54%, P \leq 0.01), 2 h (54.22%, P \leq 0.001) and 3 h (48.71%, P \leq 0.05) at 200 mg/kg dose level, and at 400 mg/kg dose level, the decrease in paw volume at 1 h was (71.61%, P \leq 0.001), 2 h (56.68%, P \leq 0.001) and 3 h (44.3%, P \leq 0.05) at. Anti-

| | Groups | Dose | 1h | | 2h | | 3h | | |
|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Group No. | | | % Increase In paw Volume | % Change | % Increase In paw volume | % Change | % Increase In paw volume | % Change | |
| I | Control | D/W (5ml/kg) | 28.45 ± 2.88 | - | 38.98 ± 2.45 | - | 38.94 ± 5.33 | - | |
| II | Indomethacin | 2.5 mg/kg | 9.26 ± 1.25*** [#] | 67.45↓ | 13.05 ± 3.17*** [#] | 66.53↓ | 10.67 ± 3.39** [#] | 72.61↓ | |
| III | A. indica | 200 mg/kg | $17.39 \pm 4.13^{\#}$ | 38.89↓ | $31.16 \pm 5.49^{\#}$ | 20.05↓ | $28.02 \pm 3.91^{\#}$ | 28.04↓ | |
| IV | | 400 mg/kg | 13.69 ± 3.69* [#] | 51.90↓ | $20.44 \pm 4.2^{**^{\#}}$ | 47.57↓ | $24.88 \pm 3.98^{\#}$ | 36.10↓ | |
| V | A. mexicana | 200 mg/kg | $21.16 \pm 2.58^{\#}$ | 25.64↓ | $35.54 \pm 4.10^{\#}$ | 8.82↓ | 27.80 ± 4.11 [#] | 28.61↓ | |
| VI | | 400 mg/kg | 11.78 ± 1.44** [#] | 58.58↓ | $22.44 \pm 4.60^{*\#}$ | 42.43↓ | $22.27 \pm 2.65^{*^{\#}}$ | 42.80↓ | |
| VII | A. speciosa | 200 mg/kg | 14.05 ± 2.55** [#] | 50.63↓ | 20.21 ± 5.66* [#] | 48.15↓ | $25.67 \pm 3.35^{\#}$ | 34.09↓ | |
| VIII | | 400 mg/kg | 11.60 ± 2.26** [#] | 59.24↓ | 17.75 ± 1.90*** [#] | 54.46↓ | $27.74 \pm 4.02^{\#}$ | 28.76↓ | |
| IX | C aquissatifalia | 200 mg/kg | 12.71 ± 2.50** [#] | 55.32↓ | $20.02 \pm 2.38^{**\#}$ | 48.63↓ | $23.45 \pm 3.43^{*\#}$ | 39.78↓ | |
| X | C. equisseliiona | 400 mg/kg | 10.81 ± 3.05** [#] | 62.00↓ | 19.17 ± 3.88** [#] | 50.82↓ | $29.88 \pm 4.55^{\#}$ | 23.28↓ | |
| XI | G sylvastra | 200 mg/kg | $21.80 \pm 4.56^{\#}$ | 23.38↓ | $25.88 \pm 5.62^{\#}$ | 33.60↓ | $24.12 \pm 3.78^{\#}$ | 38.06↓ | |
| XII | G. Sylvestre | 400 mg/kg | $22.93 \pm 2.86^{\#}$ | 19.41↓ | 28.37 ± 1.90* [#] | 27.22↓ | $23.80 \pm 3.28^{\#}$ | 38.87↓ | |
| XIII | P arquita | 200 mg/kg | 11.80 ± 2.65** [#] | 58.54↓ | 17.85 ± 2.32*** [#] | 54.22↓ | 19.97 ± 4.93* [#] | 48.71↓ | |
| XIV | r. argula | 400 mg/kg | 8.08 ± 1.59*** [#] | 71.61↓ | 16.89 ± 2.77*** [#] | 56.68↓ | 21.69 ± 3.95* [#] | 44.30↓ | |

 Table 4.1 Anti-inflammatory screening of six medicinal plants by carrageenan induced rat paw edema

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM, P≤ (0.05*, 0.01**, 0.001***), F ≤ 0.05

inflammatory activity of *P. arguta* was comparable with the standard indomethacin at the 1 h at 400 mg/kg dose level.

Anti-inflammatory activity of 6 plants studied was as following manner at 1h: *P. arguta* (400 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (400 mg/kg) > *A. speciosa* (400 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (400 mg/kg) > *P. arguta* (200 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (200 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (400 mg/kg) > *A. speciosa* (200 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (200 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (200 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (200 mg/kg) > *G. sylvestre* (200 mg/kg) > *G. sylvestre* (400 mg/kg) (Table 4.1).

Anti-inflammatory activity of 6 plants studied was as following manner at 2 h: *P. arguta* (400 mg/kg) > *A. speciosa* (400 mg/kg) > *P. arguta* (200 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (400 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (200 mg/kg) > *A. speciosa* (200 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (400 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (400 mg/kg) > *G. sylvestre* (200 mg/kg) > *G. sylvestre* (400 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (200 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (200 mg/kg) (Table 4.1).

Anti-inflammatory activity of 6 plants studied was as following manner at 3 h: *P. arguta* (200 mg/kg) > *P. arguta* (400 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (400 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (200 mg/kg) > *G. sylvestre* (400 mg/kg) > *G. sylvestre* (200 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (400 mg/kg) > *A. speciosa* (200 mg/kg) > *A. speciosa* (400 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (200 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (200 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (200 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (400 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (200 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (400 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (200 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (400 mg/kg) > *A. mexicana* (200 mg/kg) > *A. indica* (200 mg/kg) > *C. equissetifolia* (400 mg/kg) (Table 4.1).

4.4. CONCLUSION

The results of the present study revealed that all the plants showed antiinflammatory activity as compared to the control group. *A. indica* and *A. mexicana* showed anti-inflammatory activity in dose dependent manner, while *A. speciosa*, *C. equissetifolia* and *P. arguta* showed higher activity in lower dose (200 mg/kg) than higher dose (400 mg/kg) at 3 h. *G. sylvestre* showed higher activity in lower dose (200 mg/kg) than at higher dose (400 mg/kg) at 1 h and 2 h. Methanol extracts of *A. indica, A. speciosa, C. equissetifolia* and *P. arguta* showed higher anti-inflammatory activity at 1 h than at 3 h at both the doses. Methanol extract of *A. mexicana* showed higher activity at 3 h than at 1 h in lower dose, while it showed higher activity at 1 h than at 3 h in higher dose. Methanol extract of *G. sylvestre* showed higher anti-inflammatory activity at 3 h than at 1 h.

Thus, methanol extracts of *A. indica* (400 mg/kg), *A. mexicana* (400 mg/kg), *A. speciosa* (200 and 400 mg/kg), *C. equissetifolia* (200 and 400 mg/kg) and *P. arguta* (200 and 400 mg/kg) significantly inhibited early phase of the inflammation induced by carrageenan, while *A. mexicana* (400 mg/kg), *C. equissetifolia* (200

mg/kg) and *P. arguta* (200 and 400 mg/kg) significantly inhibited late phase of inflammation induced by carrageenan.

Out of six plant extracts, the methanol extract of *P. arguta* posses a potent antiinflammatory activity followed by *C. equissetifolia* and *A. speciosa*, while *G. sylvestre* showed less anti-inflammatory activity. Thus, on the bases of availability of plant material and literature search, *P. arguta* (Leaf + stem) was selected for further pharmacognostic, toxicological and pharmacological studies.

4.5. REFERENCES

Amresha G, Reddya GD, Raoa CV, Singh PN (2007) Evaluation of antiinflammatory activity of *Cissampelos pareira* root in rats. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 110: 526–531.

Badilla B, Arias AY, Arias M, Mora GA, Poveda LJ (2003) Anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive activities of *Loasa speciosa* in rats and mice. Fitoterapia 74: 45-51.

Bhatt KR, Mehta RK, Shrivastava PN (1977) A simple method for recording antiinflammatory effects on rat paw edema. Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 21: 399-400.

Boughton-Smith NK, Deakin AM, Follenfant RL, Whittle BJR, Coarland LG (1999) Role of oxygen radicals and arachidonic acid metabolites in the reverse passive arthus reaction and carrageenan paw oedema in the rat. British Journal of Pharmacology 110: 896-902.

Choi EM, Hwang JK (2003) Investigations of anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of *Piper cubeba, Physalis angulata* and *Rosa hybrida.* Journal of Ethnopharmacology 89: 171-175.

Dawson J, Sedgwick AD, Edwards JC, Lees P (1991) A comparative study of the cellular, exudative and histological responses to carrageenan, dextran and zymosan in the mouse. International Journal of Tissue Reactions 13: 171-85.

El-Shenawy SM, Abdel-Salam OM, Baiuomy AR, El-Batran S, Arbid MS (2002) Studies on the anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive effects of melatonin in the rat. Pharmacolgical Research 46:235-243. Fukuhara M, Tsurufuji S (1969) The effects of locally injected anti-inflammatory drugs on the carrageenan granuloma in rats. Biochemical Pharmacology 18: 475-484.

Gordon TP, Kowanko IC, James M, Roberts Thomson PJ (1985) Monosodium urate crystal-induced prostaglandin synthesis in the rat subcutaneous air pouch. Clinical and Experimental Rheumatology 3: 291-296.

Juneja D, Shrivastava PN, Guha MK, Saxena RC (2007) Preliminary phytochemical screening of some folklore medicinal plants for their antiinflammatory activity. Pharmacognosy Magazine 11: 201-203.

Konno S, Tsurufuji S (1983) Induction of zymosan- air pouch inflammation in rats and its characterization with reference to the effects to anticomplimentary and anti-inflammatory agents. British Journal of Pharmacology 80: 269-277.

Morebise O, Fafunso MA, Makinde JM, Olajide OA, Awe EO (2002) Antiinflammatory and analgesic property of leaves of *Gongronema latifolium*. Phytotherapy Research 16: 75-77.

Niemegeers GJE, Verbruggen FJ, Janssen PAJ (1964) Effects of various drugs on Carrageenan induced oedema in the rat hind paw. Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 16: 810-816.

Northover BJ, Subramanian G (1961) Some inhibitors of histamine induced and formaldehyde induced inflammation in mice. British Journal of Pharmacology 16: 163-169.

Ohuchi K, Yoshino S, Kanaoka K, Tsurufuji S, Levine L (1982) A possible role of arachidonate metabolism in allergic air pouch inflammation in rats. International Archives of Allergy and Applied Immunology 68: 326-331.

Ratheesh M, Helen A (2007) Anti-inflammatory activity of *Ruta graveolens* Linn on carrageenan induced paw edema in Wistar male rats. African Journal of Biotechnology 6: 1209-1211.

Salvemini D, Wang ZQ, Wyatt DM, Bourdon MH, Marino PT, Currie MG (1996) Nitric oxide: a key mediator in the early and late phase of carrageenan-induced rat paw inflammation. British Journal of Pharmacology 118: 829-838.

Segura L, Vila R, Gupta MP, Esposito-Avella M, Adzet T, Canigueral S (1998) Anti-inflammatory activity of *Anthurium cerrocampanense* Croat. in rats and mice. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 61: 243-248.

Spector WG, Willougby DA (1957) Histamine and 5-hydroxytryptamine in experimental pleurisy. Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology 74: 57-65.

Sur T, Pandit S, Battacharyya D, Kumar ACK, Lakshmi MS, Chatttopadhyay D, Mandal SC (2002) Studies on the anti-inflammatory activity of *Betula alnoides* bark. Phytotherapy Research 16: 669-671.

Verpoorte R (1999) Exploration of nature's chemodiversity: the role of secondary metabolites as leads in drug developments. Drug Discovery Today 3: 232-238.

Winter CA, Porter CC (1957) Effect of alteration in side chains upon antiinflammatory and liver glycogen activities in hydrocortisone ester. Journal of American Pharmacological Society 46: 515-519.

Winter CA, Risley EA, Nuss GV (1962) Carrageenan-induced edema in hind paw of the rat as an assay for anti inflammatory drugs. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 111: 544-547.


CHAPTER 5: PHARMACOGNOSTIC STUDY OF PLUCHEA ARGUTA BOISS.

5.1. INTRODUCTION

Pharmacognosy is the scientific study of structural, physical, chemical and sensory characters of drugs. As late as the beginning of the 20th century, the subject had developed mainly on botanical side being concerned with history, identification, collection, preparation and storage of botanical drugs (Shinde and Dhalwal 2007).

Evaluation of plant materials and their derived products has always been an important part of the professional expertise of a pharmacognosist (Brain and Turner 1975). However, over the years the nature and degree of this evaluation have changed. Initially it was considered sufficient to authenticate the plant material by comparison with a standard botanical description or monograph. Later it was realized that, for detection of adulterants, this practice must be supplemented with other important procedures like microscopy, chemical tests and advanced analytical techniques. Currently plant based drugs are researched, dispensed, formulated and manufactured in modern framework. Hence, it has becomes an important interface among various branches of pharmaceutical sciences. It is now emerging as interdisciplinary science that incorporates inputs from chemistry, biology and biotechnology directed towards natural products based drug discovery (Kinghorn 2002).

Medicinal plant materials are characterized according sensory microscopic and macroscopic characteristics. Taking into consideration the variation in sources of crude drugs and their chemical nature, they are standardized by using different techniques including the method of estimation of chief active constituent (Soni et al. 2008). Several problems not applicable to synthetic drugs influence the quality of herbal drugs (Ahmed et al. 2006):

- Herbal drugs are usually mixtures of many constituents.
- The active principle(s) is (are), in most cases unknown.
- Selective analytical methods or reference compounds may not be available commercially.
- Plant materials are chemically and naturally variable.
- Chemo-varieties and chemo cultivars exist.
- The source and quality of the raw material are variable.
- The methods of harvesting, drying, storage, transportation and processing for example, mode of extraction and polarity of the extracting solvent, instability of constituents etc. have an effect.

Strict guidelines have to be followed for the successful production of a quality herbal drug. Among them are proper botanical identification, phytochemical screening and standardization. Quality control and the standardization of herbal medicines involve several steps. The source and quality of raw materials, good agricultural practices and manufacturing processes are certainly essential steps for the quality control of herbal medicines and play a pivotal role in guaranteeing the quality and stability of herbal preparations (De Smet 1997; Roberts and Tyler 1997; Blumenthal et al. 1998; EMEA 2002; WHO 2003; WHO 2004).

The objective of the present study was to evaluate various pharmacognostic standards like botanical description, microscopy, ash values, extractive values, microscopical characteristics of powder, heavy metals, pH, solubility and preliminary phytochemical analysis of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss. (Leaf + stem).

5.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

5.2.1. Collection and identification of the plant material

Fresh Leaves and stem of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss. were collected in the month of December 2006, from Junagadh Agriculture University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India. It was identified by Dr. P. S. Nagar, Department of Botany, M.S. University, Baroda, Gujarat, India (Voucher specimen number PSN 402). Fresh plant material was washed with tap water, air dried and then homogenized to fine powder and stored in airtight bottles.

5.2.2. Botanical description

Pluchea arguta Boiss. is a member of family Asteraceae, it is a short branched shrub, shrubby and with thin leaves, the upper parts glandular pubescent. Leaves sessile, 1-3 inch long, varying much in breadth, obovate oblong or oblanceolate, sometimes inciso pinnetified, glandular pubescent acutely serrate or dentate, narrowed at the base. Heads peduncled, subsolitary 1/3 - 1/2 inch in diameter. Involucre bracts rigid, ∞ seriate, the outer very small, narrowly lanceolate subulate, pubescent and ciliate, those of intermediate rows similar but longer, the innermost elongate, linear, acute, pubescent and ciliate at the tip. Receptacle naked. Pappus hairs slander, shortly barbellate. Achenes with a few appressed hairs, cylindric, slightly ribbed (Figure 5.1).

5.2.3. Microscopic characteristics

Free hand sections of the fresh leaf and stem samples of *Pluchea arguta* were taken. Sections were cleared with chloral hydrate and then stained with phloroglucinol and hydrochloric acid and mounted with glycerin. Same procedure was followed for microscopic characteristics of powdered material of leaf and stem of *Pluchea arguta*.

5.2.4. Determination of physicochemical parameters

Following physicochemical parameters was carried out: (The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India 2008; Vaghasiya et al. 2008)

- Total ash
- Acid insoluble ash
- Water soluble ash
- > Alcohol soluble extractive
- Water soluble extractive
- Methanol soluble extractive
- > Petroleum ether soluble extractive
- Solubility test
- ≻ pH
- Heavy metal analysis
- Determination of microorganisms

5.2.4.1. Loss on drying

2 g of crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* (Leaf + stem) was taken in an evaporating dish and then dried in an oven at 105°C till constant weight was obtained. The weight after drying was noted and loss on drying was calculated. The percentage was calculated on the basis of sample taken initially.

5.2.4.2. Total ash

2 g powder of *Pluchea arguta* (leaf + stem) was taken in a silica crucible and ignited it by gradually increasing the heat to 500°C until it was white, indicating the absence of carbon. Ash was cooled in a desiccator and weighed without delay. Total ash value was calculated in mg per g of air-dried material.

5.2.4.2.1. Acid insoluble ash

To the crucible containing total ash, 25 ml of hydrochloric acid (~70g/l) was added; it was covered with a watch-glass and boiled gently for 5 minutes. The watch-glass was rinsed with 5 ml of hot water and this liquid was added to the crucible. The insoluble matter was collected on an ashless filter paper and it was washed with hot water until the filtrate was neutral. The filter paper containing the insoluble matter was transferred to the original crucible; it was dried on a hot plate and ignited to constant weight. The residue was allowed to cool in a desiccator for 30 minutes and then weighed without delay. Acid insoluble ash was calculated in mg per g of air dried material.

5.2.4.2.2 Water soluble ash

To the crucible containing the total ash, 25 ml of water was added and boiled for 5 minutes. The insoluble matter was collected on an ashless filter paper. It was washed with hot water and ignited in a crucible for 15 minutes. Weight of insoluble matter was subtracted from the weight of total ash. The content of water soluble ash was calculated in mg per g of air dried material.

5.2.4.3. Determination of alcohol soluble extractive

Four grams of crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* was macerated with 100 ml of alcohol in a closed flask and was kept on a rotary shaker for 24 h. Thereafter, it was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness at 105°C till constant weight was obtained. The percentage of extractable matter was calculated with reference to the sample taken initially.

5.2.4.4. Determination of water soluble extractive

Four grams of crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* was macerated with 100 ml of water in a closed flask and was kept on a rotary shaker for 24 h. Thereafter, it was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness at 105°C till constant

weight was obtained. The percentage of extractable matter was calculated with reference to the sample taken initially.

5.2.4.5. Determination of methanol soluble extractive

Four grams of crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* was macerated with 100 ml of methanol in a closed flask and was kept on a rotary shaker for 24 h. Thereafter, it was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness at 105°C till constant weight was obtained. The percentage of extractable matter was calculated with reference to the sample taken initially.

5.2.4.6. Determination of petroleum ether soluble extractive

Four grams of crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* was macerated with 100 ml of petroleum ether in a closed flask and was kept on a rotary shaker for 24 h. Thereafter, it was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness at 105°C till constant weight was obtained. The percentage of extractable matter was calculated with reference to the sample taken initially.

5.2.4.7. Solubility

The quantitative solubility test of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was determined in different solvents. 50 mg of extract was weighed for solubility test for different solvents. The extract was added in each solvent until saturated solution developed. Solubility was calculated in mg/ml.

5.2.4.8. Determination of pH

The methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was dissolved in distilled water and was kept in a water bath for 20 min. It was then filtered and the pH of the filtrate was noted with the help of a Systronic pH meter (pH system 361).

5.2.4.9. Determination of heavy metals

The analysis for heavy metals like arsenic, chromium, cobalt, lead, mercury and nickel for crude powder and methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* were done at Choksi Laboratries Limited, Vadodara.

5.2.4.10. Determination of microorganisms

Total bacterial and total fungal counts as well as specific count for *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for crude powder and methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* (Leaf + stem) was carried out using reported methods (The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India 2008).

5.2.5. Phytochemical study

Preliminary qualitative phytochemical study for methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was carried out. Methods of alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, cardiac glycosides, steroids and saponins were as described in Chapter 3.2.2.

5.2.6. HPTLC fingerprinting

The HPTLC fingerprinting of the methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was carried out at Anchrom Enterprises (I) Pvt. Ltd., Mulund, Mumbai. Analysis report was given by Anchrom Test Lab Pvt. Ltd.

Table 5.1 Information about HPTLC analysis given by Anchrom TestLab Pvt. Ltd.

| Information | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Application position | 8 mm |
| Solvent front position | 85 mm |
| Instrument (CAMAG TLC | Scanner 3) |
| Executed by | Anchrom |
| Number of tracks | 12 |
| Position of first track X | 20.7 mm |
| Distance between tracks | 14.5 mm |
| Scan start position Y | 5 mm |
| Scan end position Y | 85 mm |
| Slit dimensions | 6 X 0.45 mm, Micro |
| Optimize optical system | Light |
| Scanning speed | 20 mm/s |
| Data resolution | 100 µm/step |
| Measurement Ta | ble |
| Wavelength | 230/290/580 |
| Lamp | D ₂ |
| Measurement type | Remission |
| Measurement mode | Absorption |
| Optical filter | Second order |
| Detector mode | Automatic |
| PM high voltage | 342 V |
| Detector properties | |
| Y-position for 0 adjust | 5 mm |
| Track # for 0 adjust | 0 |
| Analog offset | 10% |
| Sensitivity | Automatic |
| Integration | |
| <u>Properties</u> | |
| Data filtering | Savitsky-Golay-7 |
| Baseline correction | Lowest slope |
| Peak threshold min. slope | 5 |
| Peak threshold min. height | 10 AU |
| Peak threshold min. area | 50 |
| Peak threshold mix. height | 990 AU |
| Track start position | 5 mm |
| Track end position | 75 mm |
| Display scaling | 1000 |

5.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.3.1. Microscopic characteristics

5.3.1.1. Transverse section (T.S.) of Stem

The T.S. of stem is shown in Figure 5.2. The epidermis is single layered and contains multi-cellular and glandular trichomes. The cork cambium originated from the outer layer of collenchyma with tightly packed cells. The cortex is made up of collenchymatous cells and is multilayered; endodermis is present. A single layer pericycle is present. The vascular bundles are of collateral type. They are numerous, distinct and arranged in a ring. The medullary rays clearly separate the vascular bundles. The pith is large and parenchymatous.

5.3.1.2. Longitudinal section (L.S.) of Leaf

The L.S. of leaf is as shown in the Figure 5.3. The upper epidermis is single layered, closely packed with a thick, waxy cuticle. There are many multicellular trichomes present on upper epidermis. The lower epidermis is also single layered but with a thin cuticle. Multi-cellular trichomes are also present on the lower epidermis. The mesophyll tissue is made up of palisade parenchyma and spongy parenchyma. The palisade tissue contains numerous oil glands. The spongy parenchymatous cells are irregular and more on lower side as compared to upper side. The vascular bundles are of collateral type. The xylem is exarch.

5.3.1.3. Powder characteristics

The microscopic study of the powder of leaf and stem is presented in Figure 5.4 and Figure 5.5. The powder study of stem showed starch grains, cork cells, annular/spiral vessel, sclerenchymatous cells and glandular trichomes. The powder study of leaf showed phloem fibers, multi-cellular trichome, spiral/annular xylem vessel, and anisocytic stomata with wavy surface, .

5.3.2. Determination of physicochemical parameters

The results of physicochemical parameters are presented in Table 5.2 and Table 5.3.

| Value |
|--------------|
| 6.21% (w/w) |
| 14.94% (w/w) |
| 2.43% (w/w) |
| 10.7% (w/w) |
| 12.57% (w/w) |
| 10.42% (w/w) |
| 10.05% (w/w) |
| 3.23% (w/w) |
| 5.42 |
| |

Table 5.2 Determination of proximate parameters of crude powder of

 Pluchea arguta

The physical constant evaluation of the drugs is an important parameter in detecting adulteration or improper handling of drugs. The moisture content (6.21%) of the drug is not too high, thus it could discourage bacteria, fungi or yeast growth. Equally important in the evaluation of crude drugs, is the ash value and acid insoluble ash value determination. The total ash is particularly important in the evaluation of purity of drugs, i.e. the presence or absence of foreign inorganic matter such as metallic salts and/or silica (Musa et al. 2006). Total ash of crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* was 14.94%, acid insoluble ash was 2.43% and water soluble ash was 10.7%. Extractive yield of crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* was higher in water (12.57%) followed by alcohol (10.42%) and methanol (10.05%). Petroleum ether soluble extractive value (3.23%) was very less than other solvents studied. pH value showed the crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* was acidic (5.42) in nature.

| Solvent | Solubility (mg/ml) |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Acetone | 8 |
| Chloroform | 1 |
| Dimethylformamide | 24 |
| Dimethylsulphoxide | 31 |
| Dioxan | 3 |
| Distilled water | 20 |
| Ethyl acetate | 3 |
| Hexane | - |
| Methanol | 29 |
| Petroleum ether | - |
| Toluene | - |

 Table 5.3 Determination of solubility of methanol extract of

 Pluchea arguta

Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was highly soluble in dimethylsulphoxide and methanol, while it was not soluble in hexane, petroleum ether and toluene.

Contamination by toxic metals can either be accidental or intentional. Contamination by heavy metals such as mercury, lead, copper, cadmium, and arsenic in herbal remedies can be attributed to many causes, including environmental pollution, and can pose clinically relevant dangers for the health of the user and should therefore be limited (De Smet et al. 1992; Lazarowych and Pekos 1998). Arsenic, chromium, cobalt, lead, mercury and nickel were not present in crude powder and methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*.

Medicinal plant materials normally carry a great number of bacteria and moulds, often originating in soil. While a large range of bacteria and fungi form the naturally occurring micro flora of herbs, aerobic spore-forming bacteria frequently predominate. Current practices of harvesting, handling and production may cause additional contamination and microbial growth. The determination of *Escherichia coli* and moulds may indicate the quality of production and harvesting practices (WHO 1998). In the present study cruder and methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* were free from microbial contamination.

5.3.3. Phytochemical study

Qualitative phytochemical study of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* showed the presence of alkaloids, tannins and steroids in higher amount. Saponins were present in lower amount. Flavonoids were absent in methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*.

5.3.4. HPTLC fingerprinting

High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC) technique is most simple and fastest separation technique available today which gives better precision and accuracy with extreme flexibility for various steps. The methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was subjected to HPTLC fingerprinting. The results showing number of peaks, start height, maximum Rf value, maximum height, maximum %, end Rf value, end height, total area and % area (Table 5.4 – Table 5.6). HPTLC spectral analysis was done in three different wavelengths 230, 290 and 580 nm (Figure 5.6). The results showed 10 peaks at 230 nm (Table 5.4), 11 peaks at 290 nm (Table 5.5) and 13 peaks at 580 nm wavelengths (Table 5.6). Peak number 7 covered maximum % of area at 230 nm with 0.49 Rf value. Peak number 7 covered maximum % of area at 290 nm with 0.34 Rf value. HPTLC plates showing different bands for methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at different wavelength (Figure 5.7).

Table 5.4 HPTLC spectral analysis of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at230 nm wavelength

| Deals | Start | Start | Max | Max | Max | End | End | A mag | Area |
|-------|-------|--------|------|--------|-------|------|--------|---------|-------|
| геак | Rf | Height | Rf | Height | % | Rf | Height | Area | % |
| 1 | -0.01 | 43.5 | 0.00 | 373.5 | 18.25 | 0.05 | 95.4 | 8034.4 | 9.66 |
| 2 | 0.05 | 95.8 | 0.07 | 173.3 | 8.47 | 0.10 | 100.0 | 4837.7 | 5.82 |
| 3 | 0.11 | 98.2 | 0.15 | 155.1 | 7.58 | 0.19 | 116.7 | 8370.6 | 10.06 |
| 4 | 0.24 | 133.2 | 0.27 | 165.9 | 8.11 | 0.29 | 159.3 | 6028.8 | 7.25 |
| 5 | 0.29 | 159.6 | 0.34 | 290.8 | 14.21 | 0.36 | 213.3 | 13624.3 | 16.38 |
| 6 | 0.36 | 213.3 | 0.38 | 218.8 | 10.69 | 0.40 | 205.3 | 6421.9 | 7.72 |
| 7 | 0.44 | 193.4 | 0.49 | 287.8 | 14.06 | 0.56 | 123.6 | 20329.5 | 24.44 |
| 8 | 0.56 | 123.7 | 0.59 | 134.2 | 6.56 | 0.60 | 127.6 | 4158.5 | 5.00 |
| 9 | 0.60 | 127.7 | 0.62 | 132.0 | 6.45 | 0.67 | 64.2 | 5728.9 | 6.89 |
| 10 | 0.72 | 51.8 | 0.76 | 115.4 | 5.64 | 0.84 | 7.6 | 5657.2 | 6.80 |

Table 5.5 HPTLC spectral analysis of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at290 nm wavelength

| Deals | Start | Start | Max | Max | Max | End | End | A 1000 | Area |
|-------|-------|--------|------|--------|-------|------|--------|---------|-------|
| геак | Rf | Height | Rf | Height | % | Rf | Height | Area | % |
| 1 | -0.02 | 1.1 | 0.01 | 469.1 | 15.41 | 0.05 | 148.4 | 10648.6 | 7.60 |
| 2 | 0.05 | 148.4 | 0.07 | 253.1 | 8.31 | 0.10 | 155.3 | 7812.9 | 5.58 |
| 3 | 0.10 | 155.5 | 0.15 | 252.5 | 8.29 | 0.20 | 199.9 | 16640.5 | 11.88 |
| 4 | 0.22 | 206.0 | 0.27 | 271.0 | 8.90 | 0.28 | 264.9 | 12916.1 | 9.22 |
| 5 | 0.29 | 265.1 | 0.34 | 419.0 | 13.76 | 0.36 | 346.7 | 21636.5 | 15.45 |
| 6 | 0.37 | 346.8 | 0.38 | 351.6 | 11.55 | 0.42 | 338.4 | 14893.7 | 10.63 |
| 7 | 0.44 | 337.1 | 0.48 | 402.0 | 13.20 | 0.56 | 186.0 | 30258.1 | 21.60 |
| 8 | 0.56 | 186.4 | 0.59 | 217.0 | 7.13 | 0.61 | 207.3 | 7846.2 | 5.60 |
| 9 | 0.61 | 207.3 | 0.62 | 208.2 | 6.84 | 0.67 | 98.4 | 7883.5 | 5.63 |
| 10 | 0.67 | 98.5 | 0.70 | 111.3 | 3.66 | 0.73 | 80.1 | 4602.6 | 3.29 |
| 11 | 0.74 | 80.0 | 0.76 | 90.2 | 2.96 | 0.87 | 0.9 | 4939.1 | 3.53 |

| Table 5.6 H | HPTLC spectral analysis of methanol extract of P Plue | chea arguta at |
|-------------|---|----------------|
| 58 | 80 nm wavelength | |

| Deels | Start | Start | Max | Max | Max | End | End | Amag | Area |
|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|------|--------|---------|-------|
| геак | Rf | Height | Rf | Height | % | Rf | Height | Area | % |
| 1 | -0.03 | 0.1 | -0.01 | 122.2 | 8.53 | 0.03 | 29.9 | 2659.1 | 4.77 |
| 2 | 0.04 | 29.6 | 0.06 | 56.3 | 3.93 | 0.09 | 23.2 | 1344.3 | 2.41 |
| 3 | 0.09 | 23.3 | 0.17 | 61.2 | 4.27 | 0.19 | 59.4 | 3455.1 | 6.20 |
| 4 | 0.19 | 59.2 | 0.34 | 203.9 | 14.24 | 0.37 | 100.1 | 17076.1 | 30.65 |
| 5 | 0.38 | 100.1 | 0.38 | 101.4 | 7.08 | 0.42 | 90.4 | 3159.7 | 5.67 |
| 6 | 0.44 | 89.7 | 0.48 | 130.0 | 9.08 | 0.48 | 127.0 | 4110.2 | 7.38 |
| 7 | 0.48 | 127.9 | 0.49 | 138.5 | 9.67 | 0.52 | 102.4 | 3073.9 | 5.52 |
| 8 | 0.52 | 102.8 | 0.53 | 109.3 | 7.63 | 0.55 | 87.8 | 2353.1 | 4.22 |
| 9 | 0.55 | 89.2 | 0.55 | 114.9 | 8.02 | 0.58 | 89.6 | 2728.9 | 4.90 |
| 10 | 0.58 | 89.5 | 0.63 | 133.2 | 9.30 | 0.70 | 61.2 | 8600.6 | 15.44 |
| 11 | 0.70 | 62.1 | 0.71 | 96.4 | 6.73 | 0.74 | 43.4 | 2061.5 | 3.70 |
| 12 | 0.74 | 43.8 | 0.77 | 94.6 | 6.60 | 0.81 | 47.9 | 3732.5 | 6.70 |
| 13 | 0.81 | 48.2 | 0.82 | 70.2 | 4.90 | 0.87 | 0.4 | 1365.0 | 2.45 |

5.4. CONCLUSION

The main goal of pharmacognosy is to assess the value of raw materials and to ensure that the final product is of the required standard. Strict standardization procedures and pharmacognostical studies of medicinal plants would reduce drastically much of the accidents in wrong prescriptions of traditional herbal medicines (Dinesh Kumar 2007). Standardization and quality control of plants, is of growing concern over ensuring purity of raw material before processing. Yet alternative medicines based on plant substances are extremely popular, even though their safety and efficacy have not been scientifically proven. Now a days routine pharmacognosy has changed demanding interdisciplinary research. From the present study it can be concluded that, contamination of heavy metals and microorganisms did not observed in dry powder of *Pluchea arguta*. Various pharmacognostic standards like botanical description, microscopy, ash values, extractive values, microscopic characteristics of powder, heavy metals, pH, solubility, HPTLC and preliminary phytochemical study of Pluchea arguta could be useful for the compilation of a suitable monograph for its proper identification.

5.5. REFERENCES

Ahmad I, Aqil F, Owais M (2006) Modern Phytomedicine. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

Blumenthal M, Brusse WR, Goldberg A, Gruenwald J, Hall T, Riggins CW, Rister RS (1998) The Complete German Commission E Monographs. Therapeutic Guide to Herbal Medicines. The American Botanical Council, Austin, TX.

Brain KR, Turner TD (1975) In: The Practical Evaluation of Phytopharmaceuticals, 1st Ed., Wright-Scientechnica, Bristol.

De Smet PA (1997) The role of plant derived drugs and herbal medicines in healthcare. Drugs 54: 801-840.

De Smet PA, Keller K, Hansel R, Chandler RF (1992) Adverse Effects of Herbal Drugs, Vol. 1. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.

Dinesh Kumar C (2007) Pharmacognosy can help minimize accidental misuse of herbal medicine. Current Science 93: 1356-1358.

EMEA (2002) Points to consider on good agricultural and collection practice for starting materials of herbal origin. EMEA/HMPWP/31/99 Review, European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (EMEA), London.

Kinghorn AD (2002) The role of pharmacognosy in modern medicine. Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy 3: 77-79.

Lazarowych NJ, Pekos P (1998) Use of fingerprinting and marker compounds for identification and standardization of botanical drugs: strategies for applying pharmaceutical HPLC analysis to herbal products. Drug Information Journal 32: 497-512. Musa KY, Katsayal AU, Ahmed A, Mohammed Z, Danmalam UH (2006) Pharmacognostic investigation of the leaves of *Gisekia pharnacioides*. African Journal of Biotechnology 5: 956-957.

Roberts JE, Tyler VE (1997) Tyler's Herbs of Choice. The Therapeutic Use of Phytomedicinals, The Haworth Press, New York.

Shinde V, Dhalwal K (2007) Pharmacognosy: the changing scenario. Pharmacognosy Reviews 1: 1-6.

Soni S, Kondalkar A, Tailang M, Pathak AK (2008) Pharmacognostic and Phytochemical Investigation of *Stevia rebaudiana*. Pharmacognosy Magazine 4: 89-94.

The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India (2008) Vol. VI, Government of India, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Department of AYUSH, New Delhi.

Vaghasiya Y, Nair R, Baluja S, Chanda S (2008) Antibacterial and preliminary phytochemical and physico-chemical analysis of *Eucalyptus citriodora* Hk leaf. Natural Product Research 22: 754-762.

WHO (1998) Quality control methods for medicinal plant materials. World Health Organization, Geneva.

WHO (2003) WHO guidelines on good agricultural and collection practices (GACP). World Health Organization, Geneva.

WHO (2004) Guidelines on good agricultural and collection practices (GACP) for medicinal plants. World Health Organization, Geneva.



CHAPTER 6: ACUTE TOXICITY STUDY OF PLUCHEA ARGUTA BOISS. IN MICE

6.1. INTRODUCTION

Toxicology is an aspect of pharmacology that deals with the adverse effect of bioactive substance on living organisms. In order to establish the safety and efficiency of a new drug, toxicological studies are very essential experiments in animals like mice, rat etc. No drug substance is used clinically without its laboratory safety assessment at preclinical phase. Toxicological studies help to make a decision whether a new drug should be adopted for clinical use or not (Anisuzzaman et al. 2001; Alam et al. 2006).

In order to support an application for a clinical trial or for the registration of a new drug, it is necessary to satisfy legislation that requires that certain data should be produced from a variety of toxicological investigations that show the safety profile of the compound to which humans may be exposed. Therefore, in the majority of cases of evaluation of the toxicity of most substances, rodents and non-human primates are first used in preclinical animal safety studies before further studies are done in humans. These animals are mainly used because of their biological similarity to humans that allows them to be regarded as the suitable metabolic models for humans in a broad range of investigations (Pascoe 1983; Loomis and Hayes 1996).

Toxicity testing in animals is carried out on new drugs to identify potential hazards before administrating them to humans. It involves a wide range of tests in different species, with long term administration of the drug, regular monitoring for physiological or biochemical abnormalities, and a detailed postmortem examination at the end of the trial, to detect any gross or histological abnormalities (Rang et al. 2003).

Plants have always been an important source of drugs. From ASPIRIN to TAXOL, modern pharmaceutical industries largely take profit of the diversity of

secondary metabolites from plants for new drug research. In developing countries, a substantial part of the population uses folk medicine for its daily health care. Some of the most common practices involve the use of crude plant extracts, which may contain a broad diversity of molecules with often unknown biological effects (Konan et al. 2007).

The evaluation of the toxic action of plant extracts is indispensable in order to consider a treatment safe; it enables the definition of the intrinsic toxicity of the plant and the effects of acute overdose. Laboratory mice are sensitive to toxic substances occurring in plants. The administration of the extracts in increasing amounts enables the evaluation of the toxicity limits, and the test should be carried out in two ways, for three doses, and for both sexes, taking into account such factors as age, sex, weight, species, diet, and environmental conditions (Lagarto Parra et al. 2001).

6.1.1. Toxicity aspects of use of herbal preparations

The World Health Organization estimated that perhaps eighty percent of the inhabitants of the world rely chiefly on traditional medicines. It, therefore, approved the use of herbal products for national policies and drug regulatory measures in order to strengthen research and evaluation of the safety and efficacy of these products (Saxena 2001). Despite widespread use, few scientific studies have been undertaken to ascertain the safety and efficacy of traditional remedies (Veerappan et al. 2007). An increasing reliance on the use of medicinal plants in the industrialized societies has been traced to the extraction and development of several drugs and chemotherapeutics from these plants as well as from traditionally used herbal remedies (UNESCO 1998).

In the absence of an efficient primary health care system, traditional medicine occupies a central place in the provision of health care, especially among rural communities of developing countries. The strong historical bond between plants and human health is well substantiated by plant species diversity and related knowledge of their use as herbal medicines (Tabuti et al. 2003)

The use of plants for healing purpose is getting increasingly popular as they are believed as being beneficial and free of side effects (Leonardo et al. 2000). Currently, there is an ongoing world-wide "green" revolution which is mainly premised on the belief that herbal remedies are safer and less damaging to the human body than synthetic drugs (Williamson et al. 1996; Parekh and Chanda 2006).

Although many medicinal plant products are used as relief for many ailments in humans, very little is known about their toxicity. Safety should be the overriding criterion in the selection of medicinal plants for use in healthcare systems (Tomlinson and Akerele 1998).

6.1.2. Route of administration

To evaluate toxicity of a compound in animals various routes may be used, but two most commonly used modes of administration for animals studies are intraperitoneal injection or the oral route (Poole and Leslie 1989).

The oral route is probably one of the most common means by which a chemical enters the body. In short, the oral administration is the form of administration involving the gastrointestinal tract, which may be viewed as a tube going through the body, starting at the mouth and ending at the anus. Although it is within the body, its contents are essentially exterior to the body fluids. Most orally administered chemicals can otherwise have a systemic effect on the organism only after absorption has occurred from the mouth or the gastrointestinal tract. Oral administration of chemicals that are rapidly absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract would theoretically expose the liver to concentrations of the agent that would not be obtained if other routes of administration were used (Loomis and Hayes 1996).

The acute toxicity defined by the Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines as the adverse effects occurring within a short time after oral administration of a single dose of a substance or multiple doses given within 24 h (Chan and Hayes 1994).

Usually acute (single dose) toxicity study is carried out on laboratory animals by using high dose (sufficient to produce death or morbidity) of the substance in question and/or based on previous report on its toxicity or toxicity of structurally related compounds (Demma et al. 2007). There was no previous report on toxicity of *Pluchea arguta*.

The present study was undertaken to contribute data on the safety of the methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, focusing on its acute toxicity study and its effect on gross behaviour changes, food and water intake, body weight changes, different organ weight changes and haematological parameters.

6.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

6.2.1. Experimental animals

Swiss albino mice of either sex (20-25g) were used for acute toxicity studies. The animals were obtained from Sarabhai Research Centre (SRC), Baroda. All the mice were housed in standard plastic cages with stainless steel coverlids and wheat straw as bedding material at the animal house of Department of Biosciences, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, Gujarat, India. The animals were kept in a group of 5-6 per cage and facilitated with standard environmental condition of photoperiod (12:12 h dark: light cycle) and temperature ($25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C). They were provided with commercial rat and mice feed (Pranav Agro Industries Ltd., Amrut brand rat and mice pellet feed) and water given *ad libitum*. The use of these animals and the study protocols were approved by CPCSEA local ethical committee.

6.2.2. Plant material

Collection and identification of plant material is described in Chapter 5.2.1.

6.2.3. Extraction

The dried crude powder of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss was extracted by soxhlet extraction method (Vaghasiya et al. 2008). Plant material was defatted by petroleum ether. Defatted plant material was extracted in methanol. The methanol was evaporated from the extract, and the dried extract was collected, weighed and stored in air-tight bottles at 4^oC for further experiments.

6.2.4. Acute toxicity study

6.2.4.1. Experimental groups and drug administration

In order to study any possible toxic effect or changes in normal behaviour 4 groups of 10 mice (five male and five female) were used in this experiment. Group I served as control group (D/W) and the other groups II, III and IV were treated with the extract of *Pluchea arguta* (1000, 3000 and 6000 mg/kg respectively). All the animals were fasted overnight prior to dosing. Before commencing the experiment, the body weight of mice was recorded. All the animals except group I were administered a single oral dose of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at 1000, 3000, and 6000 mg/kg body weight. The control animals received only vehicle (D/W).

6.2.4.2. Behavioural study

The mice were placed one by one at the centre of three concentric circles drawn on a rubber sheet with diameter of 7 cm, 14 cm and 21 cm. After dosing all animals were observed for gross behaviour parameters like CNS depression (Hypoactivity, passivity, relaxation, narcosis and ataxia), CNS stimulation (Hyperactivity, irritability, stereotypy, tremors, convulsions, straub

tail and analgesia) and ANS stimulation (Ptosis and exophthalmia) at 1 h, 2 h, 3 h, 24 h and 48 h (Morpugo 1971). The observed results were recorded as sign of toxicity/number of animals studied. Signs of toxicity and mortality were observed daily for 7 days and were monitored daily for changes in body weight.

6.2.4.3. Food and water consumption

The amount of feed and water consumption was evaluated daily as known amount of food and water was given to each animal in each cage. After 24 h, the remaining food and water was taken from the cage and weighed. To find out the food and water consumption, remaining amount of food and water was deducted from the total amount.

6.2.4.4. Absolute and relative organ weight

The position, shape, size and color of internal organs such as liver, kidney, lung, heart, spleen, brain, adrenal, thymus, testis and uterus was visually observed for any sign of gross lesions. These organs were collected, weighted to determine the relative organ weight. The relative organ weight was calculated using the following formula:

Relative organ weight (g) = [Organ weight (g) / body weight (g)] X 100 Relative organ weight was compared with the control group.

6.2.4.5. Haematological parameters

On 8th day, the animals were made to fast overnight and sacrificed by decapitation and blood was collected. The haematological parameters like haemoglobin, total red blood cell (RBC), leukocyte (WBC), PCV, MCV, MCH, MCHC, neutrophils, lymphocytes, eosinophils and platelet counts were determined using an autoanalyzer (System H1, Bayer Diagnostics).

6.2.5. Statistical analysis

All values are expressed as the mean \pm SEM. The statistical comparisons were made by means of the student's t test and one way ANOVA. Values are considered statistically significant at p<0.05 for t-test and F<0.05 for ANOVA.

6.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phytotheraputic products are many times, mistakenly regarded as safe because they are natural (Gesler 1992). Nevertheless, these products contain bioactive principles with potential to cause adverse effect (Bent and Ko 2004). Therefore, all the natural products used in therapeutics must be submitted to efficacy and safety test by the same methods used for new drugs (Talalay and Talalay 2001). Usually acute (single dose) toxicity study is carried out on laboratory animals by using high dose of the substance in question and/or based on previous report on its toxicity or toxicity test is criticized as a parameter for assessing toxicity but there are still occasions when some useful information could be obtained from such studies. Apart from giving a clue on the range of doses that could be used in subsequent toxicity testing, it could equally reveal the possible clinical signs elicited by the substance under investigation. It is also a useful parameter to investigate therapeutic index (i.e. LD_{50}/ED_{50}) of drugs and xenobiotics (Rang et al. 2001).

6.3.1. Gross behaviour study

No mortality was observed after the administration of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at the doses of 1000, 3000 and 6000 mg/kg body weight. This is an indication that the extract has negligible level of toxicity when administered orally. According to Kennedy et al. (1986), substances with LD_{50} higher than 5 g/kg by oral route are regarded as being safe or practically nontoxic. The behavioral signs of toxicity such as CNS depression (Hypoactivity, passivity, relaxation, narcosis and ataxia), CNS stimulation (Hyperactivity, irritability, stereotypy, tremors, convulsions, straub tail and analgesia) and

ANS stimulation (Ptosis and exophthalmia) were also observed (Table 6.1 and Table 6.2). No signs of toxicity were observed, in eighter sex, in the control or treated groups. In addition, gross necropsy findings did not show any adverse effects in male and female mice of any organs in treated groups as compared to control group. Diarrhoea was observed in male and female mice at the dose of 6000 mg/kg at 1 h, 2 h and 3 h. After 24 h all the animals were normal. Anti-analgesic effect was seen at the doses of 1000, 3000 and 6000 mg/kg (Table 6.1 and Table 6.2).

6.3.2. Food and water consumption

Determination of food consumption is important in the study of safety of a product with therapeutic purpose, as proper intake of nutrients is essential to the physiological status of the animal and to the accomplishment of the proper response to the drug tested instead of a false response due to improper nutritional conditions (Stevens and Mylecraine 1994; Iversen and Nicolayen 2003).

In the present study, food and water consumption values were measured in male and female mice of both control and treated groups (Table 6.3 and Table 6.4). Statistically no significant difference was observed in *Pluchea arguta* methanol extract treated groups when compared with control group.

| | | | Ę | | | | | | | Pa | ramet | ers o | f gros | ss bel | navio | ur | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|-----|---------|-----|-----|--------------|-----|-----|---|--------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-----|--------------------|-----|--------|-----|
| Groups | Doses | | Aortali | | De | CNS press | ion | | | CNS stimulation | | | | | | S | ANS stimulation | | Others | |
| | | | 2 | HP | PS | RL | NC | AT | | HR | IR | ST | TR | CN | SR | AN | F | РΤ | EX | DI |
| | | 1h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 2h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Group-I | 0 ()(abiala) | 3h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | (venicie) | 24h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 3/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 1h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | _ | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 2/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| • | 1000 mg/kg | 2h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Group- | | 3h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| 11 | | 24h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 1h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Group- | 3000 | 2h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | ma/ka | 3h | 0/5 | 3/5 | 3/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 2/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| ••• | | 24h | 0/5 | 3/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | <u> </u> |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 1h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 4/5 |
| Group | 6000 | 2h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 2/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 4/5 |
| IV | ma/ka | 3h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 4/5 |
| | | 24h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 2/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 3/5 | C |)/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |

Table 6.1 Gross behavior study of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at different doses in mice (Males)

Data is presented as response / number of animals; HP- Hypoactivity; PS- Passivity; RL- Relaxation; NC- Narcosis; AT- Ataxia; HR- Hyperactivity; IR- Irritability; ST-Stereotypy; TR- Tremors; CN- Convulsions; SR- Straub tail; AN- Analgesia; PT- Ptosis; EX- Exophthalmia; DI- Diarrhea

| | | | ity | | | | | | | Pa | ramet | ers o | f gros | ss bel | navio | ur | | | | |
|----------|-----------------|-----|---------|-----|-----|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|--------------|--------|-------|-----|-----|----------|--------|-----|
| Groups | Doses | | Mortali | | De | CNS press | ion | | | | | stir | CNS nulat | ion | | | sti | AN nu | Others | |
| | | | E | HP | PS | RL | NC | AT | | HR | IR | ST | TR | CN | SR | AN | P | • | EX | DI |
| | | 1h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 2h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Group-I | 0 ()(abiala) | 3h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| - | (venicie) | 24h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Group- 1 | | 1h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | · - | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 3/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | 1000 | 2h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | ma/ka | 3h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | iiig/kg | 24h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 1h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Crown | 2000 | 2h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| Group- | 3000 ma/ka | 3h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | ilig/kg | 24h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 2/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 1h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 2/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 2/5 |
| • | | 2h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 2/5 |
| Group- | 6000 mg/kg | 3h | 0/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 2/5 |
| IV | iliy/ky | 24h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |
| | | 48h | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 0/5 | 1/5 | 0/5 | 5 | 0/5 | 0/5 |

Table 6.2 Gross behavior study of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at different doses in mice (Females)

Data is presented as response / number of animals; HP- Hypoactivity; PS- Passivity; RL- Relaxation; NC- Narcosis; AT- Ataxia; HR- Hyperactivity; IR- Irritability; ST-Stereotypy; TR- Tremors; CN- Convulsions; SR- Straub tail; AN- Analgesia; PT- Ptosis; EX- Exophthalmia; DI- Diarrhea

Table 6.3 Food consumption values of acute toxicity study of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* extract in male and female mice

| | Food consumption (g/animal/day) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Groups | Control | PAM-1000 | PAM-3000 | PAM-6000 | | | | | | | | | |
| Males | 11.40 ± 0.46 | 10.45 ± 0.26 | 10.70 ± 0.67 | 9.75 ± 0.58 | | | | | | | | | |
| Females | 10.47 ± 0.45 | 10.03 ± 0.44 | 12.07 ± 0.52 | 8.67 ± 0.68 | | | | | | | | | |

Data is presented as mean ± SEM (Average value of seven days), PAMmethanol extract of *P. arguta*

Table 6.4 Water consumption values of acute toxicity study of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* extract in male and female mice

| | Water consumption (ml/animal/day) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Groups | Control | PAM-1000 | PAM-3000 | PAM-6000 | | | | | | | | |
| Males | 11.40 ± 0.46 | 10.12 ± 0.33 | 10.70 ± 0.67 | 9.75 ± 0.58 | | | | | | | | |
| Females | 10.27 ± 0.44 | 10.03 ± 0.44 | 12.07 ± 0.52 | 8.67 ± 0.68 | | | | | | | | |

Data is presented as mean ± SEM (Average value of seven days), PAMmethanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*

Body weight changes are indication of adverse effects of drugs and chemicals and it will be significant if the body weight loss is more than 10% from the initial body weight occurred (Tofovic and Jackson 1999; Raza et al. 2002; Teo et al. 2002).

Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2 show the effects of *P. arguta* extract on body weight of male and female mice, respectively. Statistically no significant difference on body weights of the male and female mice receiving *Pluchea arguta* extract were noticed, as compared to the control group, throughout the experiment.



Fig. 6.1 Effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* (PAM) on body weight changes in male mice



Fig. 6.2 Effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* (PAM) on body weight changes in female mice

6.3.3. Absolute and relative organ weight

Organ weight also is an important index of physiological and pathological status in man and animals. Table 6.5 and Table 6.6 depict the effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* on the weight of some vital organs in male and female mice. *Pluchea arguta* extract administration did not cause statistical difference in organ weight of male and female mice as compared to

the control group. Moreover, gross examination of internal organs of all the mice revealed no detectable abnormalities. Thus, it can be suggested that *Pluchea arguta* methanol extract of leaf is nontoxic at single dos level.

6.3.4. Haematological parameters

Blood parameters analysis is relevant to risk evaluation as the hematological system has a higher predictive value for toxicity in humans (91%) when assay involve rodents and non-rodents (Olson et al. 2000).

Hematological analyses (haemoglobin, total red blood cell (RBC), leukocyte (WBC), PCV, MCV, MCH, MCHC, neutrophils, lymphocytes, eosinophils and platelet counts) all the parameters were statistically not significant when compared to the control group, discarding the possibility of anemia or disturbances in the erythrocytes or hemoglobin production.

| Organs | Cor | ntrol | PAM | -1000 | PAM | -3000 | PAM | PAM-6000 | | |
|--------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| - gane | AOW | ROW | AOW | ROW | AOW | ROW | AOW | ROW | | |
| Heart | 0.18 ± 0.02 | 0.50 ± 0.03 | 0.18 ± 0.02 | 0.51 ± 0.04 | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 0.51 ± 0.02 | 0.18 ± 0.004 | 0.50 ± 0.02 | | |
| Liver | 1.79 ± 0.09 | 5.16 ± 0.19 | 1.96 ± 0.09 | 5.43 ± 0.2 | 2.02 ± 0.07 | 5.39 ± 0.25 | 1.96 ± 0.18 | 5.41 ± 0.32 | | |
| Brain | 0.5 ± 0.02 | 1.45 ± 0.09 | 0.54 ± 0.02 | 1.51 ± 0.04 | 0.53 ± 0.02 | 1.41 ± 0.05 | 0.51 ± 0.03 | 1.42 ± 0.09 | | |
| Lung | 0.3 ± 0.03 | 0.90 ± 0.14 | 0.36 ± 0.02 | 0.99 ± 0.04 | 0.33 ± 0.03 | 0.87 ± 0.07 | 0.38 ± 0.03 | 1.07 ± 0.07 | | |
| Spleen | 0.13 ± 0.02 | 0.37 ± 0.05 | 0.18 ± 0.03 | 0.49 ± 0.08 | 0.16 ± 0.02 | 0.43 ± 0.06 | 0.17 ± 0.04 | 0.47 ± 0.1 | | |
| Kidney | 0.33 ± 0.3 | 0.94 ± 0.09 | 0.36 ± 0.02 | 1.01 ± 0.04 | 0.37 ± 0.01 | 0.99 ± 0.03 | 0.35 ± 0.02 | 1.00 ± 0.11 | | |
| Testis | 0.10 ± 0.01 | 0.29 ± 0.01 | 0.12 ± 0.01 | 0.33 ± 0.02 | 0.11 ± 0.004 | 0.31 ± 0.02 | 0.10 ± 0.01 | 0.26 ± 0.04 | | |
| Thymus | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.62 ± 0.06 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.58 ± 0.067 | 0.13 ± 0.01 | 0.67 ± 0.051 | 0.13 ± 0.01 | 0.74 ± 0.065 | | |

 Table 6.5
 Absolute organ weight (g) and relative organ weight values (g/100g body weight) of acute toxicity study of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in male mice

Data is presented as mean ± SEM; PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*; AOW- Absolute organ weight; ROW- Relative organ weight

| Table 6.6 Absolute organ weight (g) and relative orga | n weight (g/100g body weight) of acute toxicity study of methanol |
|---|---|
| extract of <i>Pluchea arguta</i> in female mice | |

| Organs | Control | | PAM-1000 | | PAM-3000 | | PAM-6000 | |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| | AOW | ROW | AOW | ROW | AOW | ROW | AOW | ROW |
| Heart | 0.15 ± 0.01 | 0.47 ± 0.03 | 0.16 ± 0.01 | 0.50 ± 0.03 | 0.16 ± 0.01 | 0.50 ± 0.02 | 0.17 ± 0.01 | 0.55 ± 0.02 |
| Liver | 1.59 ± 0.12 | 5.08 ± 0.32 | 1.76 ± 0.05 | 5.64 ± 0.21 | 1.70 ± 0.08 | 5.52 ± 0.34 | 1.74 ± 0.05 | 5.66 ± 0.15 |
| Brain | 0.52 ± 0.02 | 1.65 ± 0.07 | 0.52 ± 0.01 | 1.64 ± 0.08 | 0.53 ± 0.01 | 1.73 ± 0.03 | 0.54 ± 0.01 | 1.75 ± 0.06 |
| Lung | 0.27 ± 0.02 | 0.86 ± 0.06 | 0.34 ± 0.03 | 1.09 ± 0.13 | 0.35 ± 0.03 | 1.12 ± 0.08 | 0.4 ± 0.02 | 1.30 ± 0.08 |
| Spleen | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.34 ± 0.03 | 0.14 ± 0.02 | 0.44 ± 0.06 | 0.15 ± 0.02 | 0.50 ± 0.06 | 0.13 ± 0.02 | 0.41 ± 0.04 |
| Kidney | 0.23 ± 0.01 | 0.73 ± 0.05 | 0.23 ± 0.01 | 0.71 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.02 | 0.77 ± 0.06 | 0.24 ± 0.01 | 0.79 ± 0.05 |
| Uterus | 0.20 ± 0.03 | 0.66 ± 0.11 | 0.23 ± 0.03 | 0.74 ± 0.08 | 0.24 ± 0.02 | 0.78 ± 0.06 | 0.26 ± 0.03 | 0.85 ± 0.1 |
| Thymus | 0.08 ± 0.02 | 0.53 ± 0.05 | 0.10 ± 0.02 | 0.62 ± 0.06 | 0.11 ± 0.01 | 0.70 ± 0.05 | 0.11 ± 0.02 | 0.73 ± 0.06 |

Data is presented as mean ± SEM, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*; AOW- Absolute organ weight; ROW- Relative organ weight

| Hematological parameters | Control | PAM-1000 | PAM-3000 | PAM-6000 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Hemoglobin (gms/100ml) | 12.40 ± 1.49 | 14.45 ± 0.96 | 15.08 ± 0.21 | 14 ± 0.58 |
| Total RBC (ml/cu. mm.) | 7.70 ± 0.92 | 9.22 ± 0.73 | 9.60 ± 0.18 | 8.73 ± 0.36 |
| PCV (%) | 38.02 ± 4.92 | 44.98 ± 3.15 | 45.74 ± 0.64 | 43.08 ± 2.01 |
| MCV (cu. micron) | 49.06 ± 0.70 | 48.97±0.89 | 47.76 ± 0.59 | 49.29 ± 0.48 |
| MCH (Pico gram) | 16.10 ± 0.13 | 15.87 ± 0.21 | 15.72 ± 0.16 | 16.03 ± 0.11 |
| MCHC (%) | 32.83 ± 0.51 | 32.43 ± 0.43 | 32.91 ± 0.14 | 32.54 ± 0.22 |
| Neutrophils (%) | 26.80 ± 4 | 40.40 ± 6.78 | 27.60 ± 3.33 | 36.40 ± 5.69 |
| Lymphocytes (%) | 72.40 ± 4.08 | 58.40 ± 6.93 | 71.20 ± 3.68 | 62.20 ± 6.29 |
| Eosinophils (%) | 0.80 ± 0.37 | 1.20 ± 0.73 | 1.20 ± 0.58 | 1.40 ± 0.68 |

Table 6.7 Hematological parameters of acute toxicity study of Pluchea arguta extract in

Data is presented as mean ± SEM; PAM- methanol extract of *P. arguta*

Table 6.8 Hematological parameters of acute toxicity study of *Pluchea arguta* extract in female mice

| Hematological parameters | Control | PAM-1000 | PAM-3000 | PAM-6000 |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Hemoglobin (gms/100ml) | 15.50 ± 0.32 | 15.10 ± 0.24 | 14.68 ± 0.13 | 15.56 ± 0.25 |
| Total RBC (ml/cu. mm.) | 9.68 ± 0.1 | 9.54 ± 0.15 | 9.19 ± 0.12 | 9.51 ± 0.19 |
| PCV (%) | 47.08 ± 0.89 | 45.50 ± 1.02 | 44.34 ± 0.76 | 46.34 ± 0.73 |
| MCV (cu. micron) | 48.63 ± 0.55 | 47.70 ± 0.70 | 48.26 ± 0.60 | 48.47 ± 0.47 |
| MCH (Pico gram) | 16.01 ± 0.24 | 15.84 ± 0.30 | 15.99 ± 0.21 | 16.28 ± 0.16 |
| MCHC (%) | 32.93 ± 0.40 | 33.21 ± 0.45 | 33.13 ± 0.39 | 33.59 ± 0.56 |
| Neutrophils (%) | 27.60 ± 2.18 | 30.40 ± 2.77 | 24.40 ± 3.54 | 24.40 ± 3.36 |
| Lymphocytes (%) | 72 ± 2.45 | 69 ± 2.97 | 75.20 ± 3.53 | 75.40 ± 3.47 |
| Eosinophils (%) | 0.40 ± 0.40 | 0.60 ± 0.40 | 0.40 ± 0.40 | 0.20 ± 0.20 |

Data is presented as mean ± SEM, PAM- methanol extract of Pluchea arguta

6.4. CONCLUSION

male mice

In conclusion, *Pluchea arguta* extract was found to be fairly nontoxic when oral acute toxicity study in mice was performed at single dose level. Detailed experimental analysis on chronic toxicity is essential for further support of this drug.

6.5. REFERENCES

Alam AHMK, Islam R, Salam KA, Manir MM, Baki MA, Hossain MA, Sadik G (2006) Toxicological studies of N-transferloyl-4methyldopamine isolated from *Achranthes ferruginea*. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 9: 1052-1055.

Anisuzzaman ASM, Sugimoto N, Sadik G, Gufor MA (2001) Sub-acute toxicity study of 5-hydroxy-2(hydroxy-methyl) 4H-pyran-4- One, isolated from *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 4: 1012-1015.

Bent S, Ko R (2004) Commonly used herbal medicine in the United States: A review. The American Journal of Medicine 116: 478-485.

Chan PK, Hayes AW (1994) Acute toxicity and eye irritancy. In: Hayes, A.W. (Ed.), Principles and Methods of Toxicology, 3rd Ed. Raven Press, New York, pp. 579–647.

Demma J, Gebre-Mariam T, Asres K, Ergetie W, Engidawork E (2007) Toxicological study on *Glinus lotoides*: A traditionally used taenicidal herb in Ethiopia. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 111: 451-457.

Gesler WM (1992) Therapeutic landscape: medical tissues in light of the new cultural geography. Social Science and Medicine 34: 735-746.

Iversen PO, Nicolaysen G (2003) Water for life. Tidsskrift for den Norske Laegeforening 123: 3402-3405.

Kennedy GL, Ferenz RL, Burgess BA (1986) Estimation of acute oral toxicity in rats by determination of the approximate lethal dose rather than the LD50. Journal of Applied Toxicology 6: 145-148.

Konan NA, Bacchi EM, Lincopan N, Varela SD, Varanda EA (2007) Acute, subacute toxicity and genotoxic effect of a hydroethanolic extract of the

cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.). Journal of Ethnopharmacology 110: 30–38.

Lagarto Parra A, Silva Yhebra R, Guerra Sardinas I, Iglesias Buela L (2001) Comparative study of the assay of *Artemia salina* L. and the estimate of the medium lethal dose (LD50 value) in mice, to determine oral acute toxicity of plant extracts. Phytomedicine 8: 395-400.

Leonardo DCL, Franco A, Gustavo ATL, Luciano MA, Lius FMES, Gabriele PDS, Isabela DMA, Jose FNN, Israel F, Karla K (2000) Toxicological evaluation by *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays of an aqueous extract prepared from *Echinodorus macrophyllus* leaves. Toxicology Letters 116: 189-198.

Loomis TA, Hayes AW (1996) Loomis's Essentials of Toxicology. 4th ed., California, Academic Press. pp. 208- 245.

Morpugo C (1971) A new design for the screening of CNS-active drugs in mice. Arzneimittel Forschung Drug Research 11: 1727-1734.

Olson H, Betton G, Robinson D, Thomas K, Monro A, Kolaja G, Lilly P, Sanders J, Sipes G, Bracken W, Dorato M, Deun KV, Smith P, Berger B, Heller A (2000) Concordance of toxicity of pharmaceuticals in humans and in animals. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 32: 56-67.

Parekh J, Chanda S (2006) *In-vitro* antimicrobial activities of extracts of *Launaea procumbens* Roxb. (Labiateae), *Vitis vinifera* L. (Vitaceae) and *Cyperus rotundus* L. (Cyperaceae). African Journal of Biomedical Research 9: 89-93.

Pascoe D (1983) Toxicology. England, London, Edward Arnold Limited, pp.1-60.

Poole A, Leslie GB (1989) A Practical Approach to Toxicological Investigations. 1st Ed. Great Britain. Cambridge University Press 2: 30-117.
Raza, M., Al-Shabanah OA, El-Hadiyah TM, Al-Majed AA (2002) Effect of prolonged vigabatrin treatment on haematological and biochemical parameters in plasma, liver and kidney of Swiss albino mice. Scientia Pharmaceutica 70:135–145.

Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK (2001) Pharmacology 3rd Ed. (USA Ed.), Churchill Livingstone.

Rang HP, Dale MM, Ritter JM, Moore PK (2003) Pharmacology 5th Ed. (USA Ed.), Churchill Livingstone, pp. 725.

Saxena MJ (2001) Relevance of herbs in improving health index of livestock animals. Proceedings of 38th congress of Nigeria. Veternary Medical Association pp. 14-16.

Steven KR, Mylecrdfaine L (1994) Issues in chronic toxicology. In: Principles and Methods of Toxicology, 3rd Ed., Hayes AW (Ed.), Raven Press, New York pp.673.

Tabuti JRS, Lye KA, Dhillion SS (2003) Traditional herbal drugs of Bulamogi, Uganda: plants, use and administration. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 88: 19-44.

Talalay P, Talalay P (2001) The importance of using scientific principles in the development of medicinal agents from plants. Academic Medicine 76 :238-247.

Teo S, Stirling D, Thomas S, Hoberman A, Kiorpes A, Khetani V (2002) A 90day oral gavage toxicity study of D-methylphenidate and D, Lmethylphenidate in Sprague-Dawley rats. Toxicology 179: 183-196.

Tomlinson TR, Akerele O (1998) Medicinal Plants their Role in Health and Biodiversity. University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia.

124

Tofovic SP, Jackson EK (1999) Effect of long-term caffeine consumption on renal function in spontaneously hypertensive heart failure prone rats. Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology 33: 360-366.

UNESCO (1998) Terminal Report: promotion of Ethnobotany and the sustainable use of plant Resources in Africa pp. 60.

Vaghasiya Y, Nair R, Chanda S (2008) Antibacterial and preliminary phytochemical and physico-chemical analysis of *Eucalyptus citriodora* Hk leaf. Natural Product Research 22: 754-762.

Veerappan A, Miyazaki S, Kadarkaraisamy M, Ranganathan D (2007) Acute and subacute toxicity studies of *Aegle marmelos* Corr. an Indian medicinal plant. Phytomedicine 14: 209-215.

Williamson EM, Okpado DT, Evans FJ (1996) Selection, Preparation and Pharmacological Evaluation of Plant Material. England, John Wiley & Sons pp.1-25.



CHAPTER 7: ANTI-INFLAMMATORY AND ANALGESIC EFFECTS OF PLUCHEA ARGUTA BOISS

7.1. INTRODUCTION

7.1.1. Inflammation

Inflammation, which was recognized as a simple allergic reaction for decades, is currently being considered to underline pathophysiology of a much broader spectrum of diseases than previously expected. The complex interplay of cellular and humoral mediators during inflammation is unfolding but our understanding of the inflammatory reaction is still incomplete (Sadowski-Debbing et al. 2002; Plytycz and Seljelid 2003). All inflammatory processes develop along a known sequence: locally increased blood supply, leakage of fluid, small molecules and proteins, and infiltration of cells (Roitt 1997).

Based on visual observation, the ancients characterized inflammation by five cardinal signs, viz. redness, swelling, heat, pain and loss of function (Hurley 1972). The swelling (oedema) is the result of increased passage of fluid from dilated and permeable blood vessels into the surrounding tissues, infiltration of cells into the damaged area, and in prolonged inflammatory responses deposition of connective tissue. Pain is due to the direct effects of mediators, either from initial damage or that resulting from the inflammatory response itself, and the stretching of sensory nerves due to oedema. The loss of function refers to either simple loss of mobility in a joint, due to the oedema and pain, or to the replacement of functional cells with scar tissue (Punchard et al. 2004).

The inflammatory process initiated in response to a pathogen or an injury is maintained at a certain, adequate level till the offending stimulus is neutralized, after which the reaction resolves on its own. In an auto-immune disorder, a harmless antigen is mistaken by the immune system as being foreign, thus initiating the inflammatory reaction. The persistence of a stimulus that physiologically resides in the organism prevents the natural, resolving mechanisms from prevailing (Levy and Serhan 2003). As a result one's own defense mechanism can turn into a perpetuator of a persistent injury which although not fatal, can lead to loss of function of the organs involved. Additionally, many of the mediators can leak from the local region and initiate inflammatory reactions elsewhere (Cleeland et al. 2003). Animal experiments have been extremely useful in understanding the entire inflammatory reaction since they demonstrate a complete window of events, from the time when the stimulus is given till the reaction naturally resolves (Dawson et al. 1991; Finlay-Jones et al. 1999).

Inflammation is an essential and beneficial process in the protection against pathogens. Occasionally the inflammatory process is directed against autologous antigens, or the process does not alleviate after its onset and escalates into long-term persistence of inflammation, i.e., chronic inflammation (Robbins et al. 1999). The excessive release of proteases and ROS by the activated leukocytes and endothelial cells in such conditions can ultimately result in severe tissue damage (Conner and Grisham 1996). In the pathogenesis of several diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, atherosclerosis, multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, and transplant rejection, inflammation- mediated tissue injury plays an important role (Cotran and Mayadas-Norton 1998).

Inflammation is a pathophysiological response of mammalian tissues to a variety of hostile agents including infectious organisms, toxic chemical substances, physical injury or tumor growth leading to local accumulation of plasmic fluid and blood cells (Sobota et al. 2000). Although inflammation is a defense mechanism, the complex events and mediators involved in the inflammatory reaction can induce, maintain and aggravate many disorders. Hence, the employment of anti-inflammatory agents would be helpful in the therapeutic treatment of those pathologies associated with inflammatory reactions (Sosa et al. 2002).

There are several mediators involved in inflammation. Histamine, serotonine, bradykinin and prostaglandins (PG) are involved in the increased vascular

permeability (Posadas et al. 2004). Histamine, bradikinin and serotonin are released in the early phase (Di Rosa et al. 1971; Hori et al. 1988) while prostaglandins (PGs) are involved in both early and late phases of inflammation (Kikuchi et al. 1996). Prostaglandins (PGs) elicit a variety of important biological responses. Among the properties of PGs are their abilities to induce pain, fever and symptoms associated with inflammatory responses. Most of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which are clinically used to date, inhibit the production of PGs by inhibition of COX enzymes and are the main drugs used to reduce the untoward consequences of inflammation (Albert et al. 2002). They are also involved in maintaining normal physiological processes (Moon et al. 1999). There are two isoforms of COX: COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 is detectable, but COX-2 is not detectable in most normal tissues, however, COX-2 can be induced by many factors such as proinflammatory cytokines, phlogistic factors, etc. Studies indicated that COX-2 plays an important role in inflammation (Subbaramaiah et al. 1996). Thus, those agents that could suppress the activity or protein expression of COX-2 are likely to be valuable medicine for anti-inflammation and easing of pain (Shu et al. 2006). Recent development of selective COX-2 inhibitors as antiinflammatory agents is considered a major therapeutic breakthrough in the treatment of inflammatory diseases since the inhibition of COX-2-derived PGs is thought to be the major pharmacodynamic action responsible for their antiinflammatory effects (Abad et al. 2006).

Acute and chronic inflammatory diseases are still one of the most important health problems in the world. Various agents are adapted to treat inflammatory disorders; their prolonged use often leads to serious adverse reactions such as gastric intolerance, bone marrow depression, water and salt retention. Consequently, development of new anti-inflammatory drugs with low side-effects is still necessary (Xiao et al. 2005; Yonathan et al. 2006; Kupeli et al. 2007).

Acute inflammation is characterized by rapid onset and is of short duration. It is characterized by the exudation of fluids and plasma proteins and the migration of leukocytes, most notably neutrophils into the injured area. This acute inflammatory response is believed to be a defense mechanism aimed at killing of bacteria, viruses and parasites while still facilitating wound repair (Iwalewa et al. 2007). Inflammatory response is triggered by tissue mast cells and resident macrophages whose degranulation and activation sequentially release a battery of inflammatory mediators, including bioactive amines (Histamine and 5-HT), cytokines, chemokines as well as lipid mediators that collectively recruit and activate inflammatory cells as well as bring about oedema formation (Lawrence and Gilroy 2007).

Chronic inflammation is the reaction arising when the acute response is insufficient to eliminate the pro-inflammatory agents. Chronic inflammation includes a proliferation of fibroblasts and infiltration of neutrophils with exudation of fluid. It occurs by means of development of proliferative cells which can either spread or form granuloma. Efficacy of anti-inflammatory agents in chronic inflammatory states is indicated by their ability to inhibit the increase in the number of fibroblasts during granular tissue formation (Recio et al. 1995; Gupta et al. 2003). The persistent chronic inflammation increases the development of the degenerative diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, atherosclerosis, heart disease. Alzheimer's, asthma. acquired immunodeficiency disorder (AIDS), cancer, congestive heart failure, multiple sclerosis, diabetes, infections (bacterial, fungal, parasitic), gout, inflammatory bowel disease, aging and neurodegenerative CNS depression, all of which are associated with immunopathology that appears to play a key role in the onset of the condition (Dalgleish and O'Byrne 2002; Barnes 2004).

7.1.2. Analgesia

Pain is the most common symptomatic reason for seeking a medical consultation. Everyone is affected by pain at some point in their lives, whether it is from headaches, cuts and bruises or more severe pain resulting from surgery, which would be pre-controlled in anticipation of the event. Although chronic types of pain may generally appear to have no purpose, acute pain acts as an important warning mechanism to the person by instructing the brain to isolate the individual from that particular pain stimulus. The treatment

of pain, a major problem in medicine, is complicated by many factors. Pain is not a uniform sensation, as illustrated by its many common descriptions, e.g. sharp, dull, aching, burning, shooting, cramping, stabbing and throbbing. There are several ways to classify pain, but the first distinction usually made is that between acute and chronic pain. Pain is a subjective sensation which cannot be measured objectively (Buschmann et al. 2002).

The management and treatment of pain is probably one of the most common and yet difficult aspects of medicinal practice. Analgesic therapy is domain by two major classes of analgesic drugs; viz. opioids and non steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Both classes of analgesic drugs produce serious side effects, such as gastrointestinal disturbance, renal damage (with NSAIDs drugs), etc. (Domaj et al. 1999; Dahl and Reader 2000).

7.1.3. Standard drugs as anti-inflammatory agents and their side effects

Inflammatory diseases are very common throughout the world and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are the most prescribed drugs for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. However, prolonged use of both steroidal and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs is well known to be associated with gastric injury and ulceration, renal damage and bronchospasm due to their non-selective inhibition of both isoforms of the COX enzymes (Tapiero et al. 2002). The use of steroidal drugs as anti-inflammatory agents is also becoming highly controversial due to their multiple side effects (Van den Worm et al. 2001).

Therapy of inflammatory diseases is usually directed at the inflammatory processes. Through years of ingenious syntheses and structural modifications, which usually accompany design and development of new drug substances, many non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) have been prepared and marketed (Osadebe and Okoye 2003). NSAIDs are the most common medications taken worldwide for the treatment of pain, inflammation and fever. Although chemically disparate they produce therapeutic effects by

the common ability to inhibit the activity of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes (Ferreira et al. 1971; Smith and Willis 1971; Vane 1971).

The nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as aspirin, indomethacin and ibuprofen inhibit early steps in the biosynthesis pathway of prostaglandins by inhibition of COX enzymes and are the main drugs used to reduce the untoward consequences of inflammation (Albert et al. 2002). However, the side effects of the currently available anti-inflammatory drugs pose a major problem in their clinical use (Mattison et al. 1998). They provide sympathetic relief, but they do not modify the pathogenesis of inflammation and do not reduce the disabling bone and cartilage damage (Ford-Hutchinson et al. 1981). Therefore, people suffering from chronic inflammatory conditions have turned to the use of complementary and alternative medicines including herbal products to restore their health (Kaboli et al. 2001). As a result the search for other alternatives seems necessary and beneficial (Vasudevan et al. 2007).

7.1.4. Use of medicinal plants

Herbal drugs are being proved as effective as synthetic drugs with lesser side effects. So, there is a continuous search for indigenous drugs, which can provide relief from inflammation (Balasubramanian et al. 2005). Medicinal herbs have been used as a form of therapy for the relief of pain throughout history (Almeida et al. 2001). Medicinal plants are believed to be an important source of new chemical substances with potential therapeutics (Farnsworth 1989; Eisner 1990). The search for plants with reported folkloric use as pain relievers, anti-inflammatory agents, should therefore be viewed as a fruitful and logical research strategy in the search for new anti-inflammatory drugs.

Plants have been used by human beings since ages in traditional medicine due to their therapeutic potential and search for medicinal plants has led the discovery of novel drugs (Orhan et al. 2006). Plant extracts have been used for centuries as a popular method for treating several health disorders. Over the last ten years the study of plant extracts has attracted attention in different fields of the biological sciences (Penna et al. 2003; Ratheesh and Helen 2007). The use of botanical and herbal medicines as a complementary approach for the treatment of inflammatory diseases has been steadily increasing, possibly because of the adverse effects associated with the use of non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. One approach to discover newer anti-inflammatory agents is to search from natural sources (Mazura et al. 2007).

Natural products from some plants, fungi, bacteria and other organisms continue to be used in pharmaceutical preparations either as pure compounds or as extracts. There is a great variety of compounds that can be extracted and characterized from plants, which can provide a wide spectrum of medicinal properties. The herbal products seems to be a potential source, having different substances to be investigated, including alkaloids, curcuminoids, terpenoids, flavonoids, etc, which have been commonly used in folk medicine and have efficacy against many diseases.

A number of natural products are used in the traditional medical systems in many countries. Alternative medicine for treatment of various diseases is getting more and more popular. Many medicinal plants provide relief from symptoms comparable to that obtained from allopathic medicines. The majority of clinically important medicines belong to steroidal or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory chemical therapeutics for treatment of various inflammatory diseases. Though these drugs have potent properties, they have various and severe adverse effects. Therefore, agents of natural origin with very little side effects are required as substitute chemical therapeutics (Ahmadiani et al. 1998; Verpoorte 1999).

Hence, search for new anti-inflammatory agents that retain therapeutic efficacy and yet are devoid of side effects is the need of the hour. Thus, study of plant species that traditionally have been used as pain killers should still be seen as a logical research strategy, in search for new anti-inflammatory and analgesic drugs (Mantri and Witiak 1994; Elisabetsky et al. 1995). There are many reports of natural products which show anti-inflammatory and analgesic

activity (Vaghasiya et al. 2007; Rao et al. 2008; Owoyele et al. 2009; Tanna et al. 2009).

7.1.5. Pluchea arguta

Pluchea arguta Boiss is a member of family Asteraceae and about 1000 genera of this family are well known in the world (Jaffri 1966). Different species of *Pluchea* are used in Ayurvedic system of medicine in various diseases. Pharmacological studies demonstrated anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities of different *Pluchea* species (Barros et al. 2006; Fernandez and Torres 2006). In view of the therapeutic properties attributed by people to different species of this plant, anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss in rats and mice was studied using various inflammatory and analgesic models.

7.1.6. Models for anti-inflammatory study

Rat paw edema is the most commonly used model for acute inflammation while subcutaneous implantation of biomaterial is usually used for the chronic inflammatory model. There are various models of acute inflammation which is induced by formalin, dextran, histamine, serotonin, bradykinin, prostaglandin and carrageenan and they are commonly used to investigate antiinflammatory effects of drugs (Maling et al. 1974; Suleyman and Buyukokuroolu 2001). The cotton pellet method is widely used to evaluate the transudative and proliferative components of the chronic inflammation. The dry weight of the pellets correlates with the amount of the granulomatous tissue (Suleyman et al. 2002).

Considering the above, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the antiinflammatory and analgesic potency of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss. (leaf + stem)

7.2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

7.2.1 Plant material and extraction

The collection of the plant material and the extraction of *Pluchea arguta* was done by soxhlet extraction method as described in Chapter 6.2.1. and Chapter 6.2.2.

7.2.2. Drug dose

The doses considered for the experiment on rat and mice were obtained from conversion of human dose of *Pluchea arguta* (3 g kg⁻¹). The conversion factor of human dose to rat (Per 200 g body weight) dose is 0.018 (Paget and Barnes 1964) and the conversion factor of human dose to mice (Per 20g body weight) dose is 0.0026. Hence the calculated dose for the rat is 270 mg/kg and for the mouse is 390 mg/kg. From this calculation, all the anti-inflammatory and analgesic experiments were carried out in two different doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg body weight.

7.2.3. Preparation of test drug and standard drug

Test drug (Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*) and standard drug (Indomethacin) were prepared as a suspension in distilled water using mortal and pestle.

7.2.4. Animal grouping

The animals were divided into four groups for anti-inflammatory and analgesic studies. Each group consisted of six animals of either sex. The groups were:

Group I: Negative control - Distilled water

Group II: Test drug- Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*- 200 mg/kg body weight (PAM-200)

- Group III: Test drug- Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* 400 mg/kg body weight (PAM-400)
- Group IV: Positive control- Standard drug indomethacin- 2.5 mg/kg body weight (Indo-2.5)

7.2.5. Carrageenan induced rat paw edema (Winter et al. 1962)

Acute inflammation was produced by subplantar injection of 0.1 ml of 1% 0.1 ml of 1% carrageenan in normal saline in the hind paw of rats 1 h after the administration of the test drug as well as positive and negative controls. The paw volume was measured at 1 h, 2 h and 3 h after carrageenan injection, using plethysmograph as described in Chapter 4.2.5.

7.2.6. Dextran induced rat paw edema (Winter and Porter 1957)

The animals were treated in a manner similar to that of carrageenan induced paw edema model. 0.1 ml 1% dextran (60,000-90,000 m.w., HiMedia, India) was used for the study. Paw volume was measured as mentioned in carrageenan induced paw edema model at 1 h, 2 h and 3 h.

7.2.7. Histamine induced rat paw edema (Suleyman et al. 1991)

In this model paw edema of a rat was induced by subplantar injection of 0.1 ml of 1% freshly prepared histamine in normal saline and the paw oedema was measured as mentioned in carrageenan induced paw edema model. The paw volume was measured at 0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h and 3 h.

7.2.8. Formalin induced paw edema (Brownlee 1950)

The test drug was administered once daily for seven consecutive days to all the groups. On seventh day, initial paw volume was measured before drug administration. After 1 h of drug administration, paw edema of the rat was induced by subplantar injection of 0.1 ml of 3% formalin solution in normal saline. Paw volumes were measured at 3 h, 24 h and 48 h after formalin injection as described earlier in carrageenan model.

7.2.9. Cotton pellet induced granuloma in rats (Swingle and Shideman 1972)

Cotton pellet induced granuloma formation in rats was performed for chronic anti-inflammatory study. This model represents the exudative and proliferative phases of inflammation. The cotton pellets weighing 100 mg were made by rolling of cotton piece and sterilizing by autoclaving. The rats were anaesthetized with ether; dorsum was shaved clear and swabbed with 70% (v/v) alcohol. Midline incision of 1 cm was made in the intrascapular region. A small tunnel was made on either side of the incision with the help of a small blunt forceps. Sterile cotton pellet (100 mg) was implanted in each tunnel. Air was removed from the tunnel and then incision was closed with sutures. The test drugs were administered for 7 consecutive days starting from the day of implantation. The rats were sacrificed on the 8th day, cotton pellets were removed and cleaned of extraneous tissue and dried by placing them in a hot air oven overnight at 80°C and then weighed. The difference between the initial weight and the final weight of the pellet after drying was taken as the granuloma tissue weight. The results were expressed as mg granulation tissue formed per 100 g body weight. The adrenal gland, spleen, thymus and lymph nodes were dissected carefully and weight of these organs was noted. Further, these organs were placed in 4% formalin solution and sent to the pathological laboratory for histopathological investigations. Blood samples were collected of all the animals and serum was separated. From the serum sample, following biochemical parameters were estimated:

7.2.9.1. Serum total protein:

The total serum protein was estimated by modified Biuret method (Vatzidis 1977) using the total protein test kit (Span Diagnostics Ltd.).

7.2.9.1.1. Principle

Peptide bonds of proteins react with cupric ions in alkaline solution to form a colored chelate, the absorbance of which is measured at 578 nm. The biuret reagent contains sodium-potassium tartrate, which helps in maintaining solubility of this complex at alkaline pH. The absorbance of final colour is proportional to the concentration of total protein in the samples.

Protein + Cu⁺⁺ Alkaline pH Cu-protein complex

7.2.9.1.2. Reagents

- Reagent I: Biuret Reagent (Copper sulphate, 7 mM; sodium hydroxide 200 mM; sodium potassium tartrate 20 mM; surfactant)
- Reagent II: Protein Standard (BSA, 6.5 g/dl; preservative)

7.2.9.1.3. Procedure

3 ml of Reagent I was added to all the test tubes. Thereafter, 30 µl serum was added for the test and 30µl Reagent II was added for the standard, while in blank 30µl of D/W was added. They were then mixed well and incubated at 37 °C for 5 minutes. The absorbance was read at 578 nm.

7.2.9.1.4. Calculation:

| Total protein concentration | _ | Absorbance of test | X 6 5 |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|-------|
| (g/dL) | - | Absorbance of standard | Λ 0.5 |

7.2.9.2. Serum albumin:

The serum albumin was estimated by the method given by Corcoran and Durnan (1977) using albumin test kit (Span Diagnostics Ltd.).

7.2.9.2.1. Principle

Determination of albumin in serum or plasma is based on the binding behaviour of the protein with the dye bromocresol green. At pH 3.68, albumin acts as a cation and binds to the anionic dye, forming a green complex, the absorbance of which is measured at 630 nm.

7.2.9.2.2. Reagents

- Reagent I: Albumin reagent (Bromocresol green; Buffer pH 3.68; preservative; surfactant).
- Reagent II: Albumin standard (BSA, 4 g/dl; Buffer, preservatives).

7.2.9.2.3. Procedure

3 ml of albumin reagent (Reagent I) was added to all the test tubes. Thereafter, 30 µl serum was added for the test and 30µl Reagent II was added for the standard, while in blank 30µl of D/W was added. They were then mixed well and incubated at room temperature for 1 min.

7.2.9.2.4. Calculation:

7.2.9.3. Serum aspartate transaminase (AST):

Serum aspartate transaminase was estimated by the method of Reitman and Frankel (1957) using AST test kit (Span Diagnostics Ltd.).

7.2.9.3.1. Principle

α ketoglutarate and L- aspartate react to form L-glutmate and oxaloacetate. This reaction is catalysed by aspartate transaminase. Oxaloacetate so formed is coupled with 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazine (2,4-DNPH) to give the corresponding hydrazone, which gives brown color in alkaline medium and this can be measured colorimetrically.

7.2.9.3.2. Reagents

Reagent I: Buffered Aspartate α-KG substrate, pH 7.4 Reagent II: DNPH colour reagent Reagent III: Sodium Hydroxide, 4 N Reagent IV: Working Pyruvate Standard, 2 mM Solution I: Dilute 1 ml of Reagent III up to 10 ml with D/W.

7.2.9.3.3 Procedure

0.25 ml of Reagent I was added in clean test tubes and incubated at 37 °C for 5 minutes. 0.05 ml of serum was added in the test, 0.05 ml Reagent IV was added in standard and 0.05 ml distilled water was added in the blank. They were mixed well and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. Thereafter, 0.25 ml of Reagent II was added to all the tubes, mixed well and allowed to stand at room temperature (15°-30°C) for 20 minutes. Then 2.5 ml of Solution I was added to all the tubes, mixed well and at room temperature (15°-30°C) for 20 minutes. Then 2.5 ml of Solution I was added to all the tubes, mixed well and allowed to stand at room temperature (15°-30°C) for 10 min. The absorbance of blank, standard and test were read at 505 nm.

7.2.9.3.4. Calculation

Standard curve of O.D. of test on Y-axis against enzyme activity on X-axis was drawn. O.D. of test was marked on the Y-axis of the standard curve and extrapolated into the corresponding enzyme activity curve. Serum aspartate transaminase activity is expressed as IU/L.

7.2.9.4. Serum alanine transaminase (ALT):

Serum alanine transaminase was estimated by the method of Reitman and Frankel (1957) using ALT test kit (Span Diagnostics Ltd.).

7.2.9.4.1. Principle

 α ketoglutarate and L- alanine react to form L-glutmate and pyruvate. This reaction is catalysed by alanine transaminase. Pyruvate so formed is coupled with 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazine (2,4-DNPH) to give the corresponding hydrazone, which gives brown color in alkaline medium and this can be measured colorimetrically.

7.2.9.4.2. Reagents

Reagent I: Buffered Alanine α-KG substrate, pH 7.4 Reagent II: DNPH colour reagent Reagent III: Sodium Hydroxide, 4 N Reagent IV: Working Pyruvate Std., 2 mM Solution I: Dilute 1 ml of Reagent III up to 10 ml with D/W.

7.2.9.4.3. Procedure

0.25 ml of Reagent I was added in clean test tubes and incubated at 37 °C for 5 minutes. 0.05 ml of serum was added in the test, 0.05 ml Reagent IV was added in the standard and 0.05 ml distilled water was added in the blank. They were mixed well and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. Thereafter, 0.25 ml of Reagent II was added to all the tubes, mixed well and allowed to stand at room temperature (15°-30°C) for 20 minutes. Then 2.5 ml of Solution I was added to all the tubes, mixed well and at room temperature (15°-30°C) for 20 minutes. Then 2.5 ml of Solution I was added to all the tubes, mixed well and allowed it to stand at room temperature (15°-30°C) for 10 min. The absorbance of blank, standard and test were read at 505 nm. Serum alanine transaminase activity is expressed as IU/L.

7.2.9.4.4. Calculation

Standard curve of O.D. of test on Y-axis against enzyme activity on X-axis was drawn. O.D. of test was marked on the Y-axis of the standard curve and extrapolated in to the corresponding enzyme activity curve. Serum alanine transaminase activity is expressed as IU/L.

7.2.9.5. Alkaline Phosphatase (ALP)

Alkaline phosphatase activity was estimated by the method of Kind and King (1954) using ALP test kit (Span Diagnostics Ltd.).

7.2.9.5.1. Principle

Alkaline phosphatase from serum converts phenyl phosphate to inorganic phosphate and phenol at pH 10.0. Phenol so formed reacts in alkaline medium with 4-aminoantipyrine in the presence of oxidizing agent potassium ferricyanide and forms an orange-red coloured complex, which is measured colorimetrically at 510 nm. The color intensity is proportional to the enzyme activity.

7.2.9.5.2. Reagents

Reagent I: Buffered Substrate, pH 10.0 Reagent II: Chromogen Reagent Reagent III: Phenol Standard, 10 mg% Working solution: Reconstitute one vial of reagent I, buffered substrate with 2.2 ml of purified water.

7.2.9.5.3. Procedure

All the test tubes were marked properly as blank (B), standard (S), control (C), and test (T). 0.5 ml of working buffered substrate was added in clean tubes. 1.5 ml of purified water was added in all the tubes. They were mixed well and

incubated at 37°C for 3 min. 0.05 ml of serum was added in test (T), 0.05 ml of reagent III (Phenol standard) was added in standard (S) and 0.05 ml of purified water was added in blank (B) tubes. All the tubes were mixed well and incubated at 37° for 15 min. 1 ml of reagent II was added in all the tubes. 0.05 ml of serum was added in control (C). All the tubes were mixed well and O.D. was measured at 510 nm. Serum alkaline phosphatase activity is expressed as KA units.

7.2.9.5.4. Calculation

| Alkaline phosphatase | | O.D. of test - O.D. of control | V 10 |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|------|
| activity (KA units) | = | O.D. of standard - O.D. of blank | 10 |

7.2.9.6. Acid phosphatase (ACP):

Acid phosphatase activity was estimated by the method of King and Jagatheesan (1959) using ACP test kit (Span Diagnostics Ltd.).

7.2.9.6.1. Principle

Acid phosphatase from serum converts phenyl phosphate to inorganic phosphate and phenol at pH 4.9. Phenol so formed reacts in alkaline medium with aminoantipyrine in the presence of oxidizing agent potassium ferricyanide and forms an orange red colored complex, which is measured colorimetrically. The color intensity is proportional to the enzyme activity.

7.2.9.6.2. Reagents

Reagent I: Buffered Substrate, pH 4.9 Reagent II: Sodium Hydroxide, 0.5 N Reagent III: Sodium Bicarbonate, 0.5 N Reagent IV: 4-aminoantipyrine, 0.6% Reagent V: Potassium Ferricyanide, 2.4% Reagent VI: Tartrate, 1 M Reagent VII: Stock phenol Standard, 10 mg%

7.2.9.6.3. Working solutions

Solution I: Reconstitute one vial of reagent I, buffered substrate with 2 ml of purified water.

Solution II: Dissolve reagent 4 in 25 ml of purified water

Solution III: Dissolve reagent 5 in 25 ml of purified water

Working standard: Dilute stock phenol standard 0.5 to 5 with purified water

7.2.9.6.4. Procedure

All the test tubes were marked properly as blank (B), standard (S), control (C) and test (T). 0.5 ml of solution I was added in control (C) and test (T). 0.5 ml of purified water was added in control (C) and test (T). 0.6 ml of purified water was added in standard (S) and 1.1 ml of purified water was added in blank (B). All the tubes were mixed well and incubated at 37° C for 3 min. 0.1 ml of serum was added in test (T), 0.5 ml of working standard was added in standard (S). All the tubes were mixed well and incubated at 37° for 60 min. 0.5 ml of reagent II was added in all the tubes. 0.1 ml of serum was added in control (C). 0.5 ml of reagent III, 0.5 ml of solution II and 0.5 ml of solution III was added in all the tubes. All the tubes were mixed well and O.D. was measured at 510 nm.

7.2.9.6.5. Calculation



7.2.9.7. Ascorbic acid content in adrenal gland:

The adrenal glands collected at the end of cotton pellet granuloma test were processed to estimate the adrenal ascorbic acid content as per Roe and Kuether (1943).

7.2.9.7.1. Reagents

- 1. Trichloroacetic Acid (TCA) 6% w/v
- 2. 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) (2%) in 9 N H₂SO₄
- 3. Thiourea (10%)
- 4. H₂SO₄ (85%)
- 5. Activated Charcoal
- 6. Ascorbic Acid Standard (100 mg/dL) in D/W

7.2.9.7.2. Procedure

Adrenal glands were homogenized with 4 ml of 6% TCA by grinding in a mortar kept on ice blocks. The solutions were transferred to centrifuging tubes and approximately 10 mg (from a calibrated scoop) of acid washed norit was added and mixed thoroughly and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min.

Two ml of the supernatant was taken in labeled test tubes and 2ml of 6% TCA in blank test tube. 1 drop of thiourea was added to all the tubes followed by 0.5ml of DNPH except to blank. The tubes were transferred to a water bath maintained at 37° C and incubated for 3 hours. The tubes were removed and placed in an ice water bath and 2.5ml of 85 % H₂SO₄ was added drop by drop while stirring in the ice-cold condition. Next 0.5 ml of DNPH was added to the blank tube. The tubes were maintained at room temperature for 30 min. After removing them from the ice bath, optical density of the colour developed was measured spectrophotometrically (Systronic) at 540 nm. Ascorbic acid content is expressed as μ g/mg adrenal gland.

7.2.9.8. Histology of studied organs

7.2.9.8.1. Adrenal gland

The adrenal gland is reported to be the most common endocrine organ associated with chemically induced lesions (Ribelin 1984). It is especially important to understand the structure and function of the adrenal gland to correctly interpret the significance and mechanisms of drug induced lesions. The adrenal medulla is the central core of the adrenal gland, surrounded by the adrenal cortex. The adrenal cortex is composed of 3 distinct zones. The outer zone is the zona glomerulosa and is composed of a thin region of columnar cells arranged in an arched or arcuate pattern. This zone is also called the zona multiformis in animals because of its different patterns of arrangement of secretory cells. The zona glomerulosa produces the steroid hormone aldosterone, which is responsible for increasing sodium reabsorption and stimulating potassium excretion by the kidneys and thereby indirectly regulating extracellular fluid volume. Loss of this zone or the inability to secrete aldosterone may result in death due to retention of high levels of potassium with excess loss of sodium, chloride, and water. The zona fasciculate is the thickest zone (70% of the cortex) and is composed of columns of secretary cells separated by prominent capillaries. The cells are polyhedral and have many intracellular lipid droplets. This zone produces glucocorticoids. The zona reticularis is also composed of polyhedral cells, whose arrangement is less linear and more as round nests or clumps of cells. The zona reticularis produces glucocorticoids and in some species small amounts of sex steroids, namely, androgens, estrogens, and progestins (Rosol et al. 2001).

7.2.9.8.2. Lymph node

Pathogens can set up infections anywhere in the body. However, lymphocytes will meet the antigens in the peripheral lymphoid organs, which include lymph nodes. Lymph is derived from interstitial fluid and originates in the interstitial spaces of most of the body's tissues. A vast system of converging lymphatic

vessels funnels lymph to the thorax where it is returned to the circulation via the thoracic duct. When foreign antigens invade the body, antigenic material, antigen presenting cells known as dendritic cells and inflammatory mediators generated by local immunological activity at the site of infection are all picked up by the lymphatic vessels and swept along in the flow of lymph. The system of lymphatic vessels has been called an "information superhighway" because lymph contains a wealth of information about local inflammatory conditions in upstream drainage fields (von Andrian and Mempel 2003).

The lymph node is surrounded by a fibrous capsule, and inside the lymph node the fibrous capsule extends to form trabeculae. The substance of the lymph node is divided into the outer cortex and the inner medulla surrounded by the former all around except for at the hilum, where the medulla comes in direct contact with the surface.

Thin reticular fibers, elastin and reticular fibers form a supporting meshwork called reticular network inside the node, within which the white blood cells (WBCs), most prominently, lymphocytes are tightly packed as follicles in the cortex. Elsewhere, there are only occasional WBCs (Kaldjian et al. 2001).

7.2.9.8.3. Spleen

The spleen is a dark red to blue-black organ located in the left cranial abdomen. It is adjacent to the greater curvature of the stomach and within the omentum. It is an elongated organ, roughly triangular in cross section. The gross appearance and size of the spleen are variable, depending on the species and the degree of distension; nonetheless, spleen weights can be important in its evaluation. The ratio of spleen weight to body weight remains fairly constant regardless of age and, in rats, is typically around 0.2% (Losco 1992). The spleen is the site of direct and indirect toxicity and a target for some carcinogens and also a site for metastasis of malignant neoplasms arising in other sites.

The functions of the spleen are centered on the systemic circulation. It is comprised of 2 functionally and morphologically distinct compartments, the red pulp and the white pulp. The red pulp is a blood filter that removes foreign material and damaged and effete erythrocytes. It is also a storage site for iron, erythrocytes, and platelets. In rodents, it is a site of hematopoiesis, particularly in fetal and neonatal animals. The spleen is also the largest secondary lymphoid organ containing about one-fourth of the body's lymphocytes and initiates immune responses to blood-borne antigens (Nolte et al. 2002; Balogh et al. 2004). This function is charged to the white pulp which surrounds the central arterioles. The white pulp is composed of three subcompartments: the periarteriolar lymphoid sheath, the follicles and the marginal zone. The spleen is surrounded by a capsule composed of dense fibrous tissue, elastic fibers, and smooth muscle.

The red pulp is a soft mass of a dark reddish-brown color, resembling grumous blood. It consists of a fine reticulum of fibers, continuous with those of the splenic trabeculae, to which are applied flat, branching cells.

7.2.9.8.4. Thymus

The thymus is the first of the lymphoid organs to be formed and grows considerably immediately after birth in response to postnatal antigen stimulation and the demand for large numbers of mature T cells. Genetic factors also influence the age of onset, rate and magnitude of thymus dependant immunological function. In rats and mice, the thymus reaches maximal size by sexual maturity and then gradually involutes (Pearse 2006).

A thin connective tissue capsule surrounds each lobe and, in most species, gives rise to septae, that partially subdivide the thymus into interconnecting lobules of variable size and orientation. The capsule is composed of an outer and inner layer of collagen and reticular fibers between which are occasional clusters of lymphocytes. This inner layer invaginates to form the septae. The bulk of the supporting framework in the thymus is composed of the network of epithelial reticular cells.

7.2.9.9. Methods for histopathological studies:

The organs were transferred to 4% formalin solution for fixation and later on processed for histopathological studies following the standard procedure described by Raghuramulu et al. (1983). The microtome sections were cut processed and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. The section thus obtained was scanned in Trinocular Carl-Zeiss microscope (Germany) under different magnifications. Changes if any in the cytoarchitecture were noticed.

7.2.9.9.1. Fixation

Fixation is the process of preserving, hardening and preventing postmortem changes of the tissues. The tissues were excised out immediately after sacrificing, cleaned of extraneous matter, cut in to pieces of such thickness that the fixative readily penetrated throughout the tissue to be fixed. Tissue was transferred to the 4% formalin solution and allowed to remain in it till they were taken up for processing.

7.2.9.9.2. Tissue processing

Tissue processing involves dehydration, clearing and infiltration of the tissue with paraffin. The usual dehydrating agent is ethyl alcohol; acetone and isopropyl alcohol can also be used. Following dehydration, the tissue was transferred to a paraffin solvent, which is miscible with the dehydrating agent as well. These are known as clearing agents such as chloroform and xylene. Tissue were thoroughly washed by placing them under running tap water and then conveyed through a series of the following solvents as per schedule for dehydration, clearing and paraffin infiltration.

| Alcohol 70% | - | 20 minutes |
|-------------------------|---|-----------------|
| Alcohol 80% | - | 20 minutes |
| Alcohol 90% | - | 20 minutes |
| Alcohol 95% (2 changes) | - | 20 minutes each |

| Isopropyl alcohol | - | 20 minutes |
|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Acetone (2 changes) | - | 20 minutes each |
| Chloroform (3 changes) | - | 20 minutes each |
| Melted paraffin wax (60°C |) (3 changes) | - 30 minutes each |

Next the tissues were embedded in paraffin wax to prepare tissue blocks, which were oriented so that sections could be cut in desired plane of the tissue. Tissues were then fixed to metal object holder after trimming them to suitable size.

7.2.9.9.3. Section cutting

A smear of 5% Mayer's egg albumin was prepared and smeared onto the slide and dried. The tissue sections of 6 μ m thickness were cut with the help of Spencer type rotating microtome. The tissue sections were put on slide and then section were floated in water on slide at 55-60^oC, water drained off and slide dried on hot plate at about 50^oC for 30 minutes. This section was ready for staining.

7.2.9.9.4. Staining procedure

Reagents:

- 1). Mayer's heamotoxyline stain
- 2). Eosin stain, 2% w/v in alcohol

After fixing the sections on slides, they were stained by serially placing them in the following reagents:

| Xylol (2 changes) | - | 3 minutes |
|---------------------|---|------------|
| Acetone | - | 3 minutes |
| Alcohol 95% | - | 3 minutes |
| Haematoxyline stain | - | 20 minutes |
| Running water | - | 20 minutes |
| Eosin stain | - | 5 minutes |

| Alcohol 95% (3 changes) | - | 3 minutes each |
|-------------------------|---|----------------|
| Acetone (2 changes) | - | 3 minutes each |
| Xylol (2 changes) | - | 3 minutes each |

After passing through all the above reagents and stains, the slides were mounted with D.P.X. (Diphenyl Phthalate Xylene) and cover slip was placed. Care was taken to avoid air bubbles while mounting the slide. The slides were viewed under Trinocular research Carl Zeiss microscope (Germany) at various magnifications to note down the changes in the microscopic features of the tissues studied.

7.2.10. Analgesic studies

Analgesic study was determined in two different models:

7.2.10.1. Acetic acid induced writhing in mice (Hernandez-Perez and Rabanal 2002)

Intraperitoneal injection of diluted solution of acetic acid is a well established animal model for tonic visceral pain in rodents (Martinez et al. 1999). Swiss albino mice were used for acetic acid induced writhing test. Mice were divided in to four groups as described earlier in Chapter 7.2.4. Test drug or standard drug or distilled water was administered orally, 1 h prior to the injection of acetic acid. Writhing was induced by administrating of 3% aqueous solution of acetic acid (10ml/kg body weight) intraperitoneally. Immediately after the acetic acid injection, each animal was placed in a transparent observation cage and the number of writhes per mouse was counted for 30 min. Writhing movement is accepted as contraction of the abdominal muscles accompanied by stretching of hind limbs. The percentage inhibition was calculated using the following ratio:

[(Control mean - treated mean)/control mean] X 100

7.2.10.2. Formalin induced paw licking response in rats

The effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss upon formalin induced paw licking response was evaluated by adopting the procedure of Magali et al. (2000).

The procedure is same as that followed for formalin induced hind paw edema, which is mentioned above (7.2.8.). After the injection of formalin, the animals were kept under observation for half an hour. The amount of time spent licking the injected paw was noted, and was considered to be indicative of pain. The time taken for the onset of paw licking was initially measured. The first of the nociceptive responses normally peaked 5 min after formalin injection and the second phase 15-30 min after formalin injection, representing the neurogenic and inflammatory pain (Hunskaar and Hole 1987). Therefore the frequency of paw licking was measured in five intervals at 0-5 min., 6-10 min., 11-15 min., 16-20 min. and 21-30 min.

7.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

7.3.1. Anti-inflammatory activities

From the results of screening of anti-inflammatory activity of 6 plants in carrageenan induced rat paw edema (Chapter 4), *Pluchea arguta* was selected for further pharmacological studies. Carrageenan-induced edema has been commonly used as an experimental animal model for acute inflammation and is believed to be biphasic. The early phase (1-2 h) of the carrageenan model is mainly mediated by histamine, serotonin and increased synthesis of prostaglandins in the damaged tissue surroundings. The late phase (3 h) is associated with neutrophil originated free radicals, such as hydrogen peroxide, superoxide and hydroxyl radicals, as well as prostaglandin release (Mazzon et al. 2001; Ogonowski et al. 1997; Vineger et al. 1969; Brito and Antonio1998)

7.3.1.1. Carrageenan induced paw edema

The results of anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in carrageenan induced paw edema is shown in Table 7.1. PAM-200 group showed potent anti-inflammatory activity at 1 h (71.47%, P≤0.001), 2 h (45.25%, P≤0.001) and 3 h (39.06%, P≤0.05). PAM-400 group showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (72.97%, P≤0.001), 2 h (68.45%, P≤0.001) and 3 h (49.08%, P≤0.05). Indomethacin group showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (67.45%, P≤0.001), 2 h (66.53%, P≤0.001) and 3 h (72.61%, P≤0.01). PAM-200 showed more anti-inflammatory activity than reference drug indomethacin at 1 h, while PAM-400 group showed more anti-inflammatory activity than reference drug indomethacin at 1 h and 2 h. Thus it can be concluded that methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* has potent anti-inflammatory activity in carrageenan induced rat paw edema in early phase (1 h) and also in later phase (3 h).

Hence, further anti-inflammatory studies were carried out on methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in different anti-inflammatory models.

| | After 1 h | | After 2 I | h | After 3 h | |
|----------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Groups | Increase in paw volume (%) | % Change | Increase in paw volume (%) | % Change | Increase in paw volume (%) | % Change |
| Control | 28.45 ± 2.88 | - | 38.98 ± 2.45 | - | 38.94 ± 5.33 | - |
| PAM-200 | 8.12 ± 2.65** | 71.47↓ | 19.90 ± 2.20** | 45.25↓ | 23.73 ± 2.05* | 39.06↓ |
| PAM-400 | 7.69 ± 1.64*** | 72.97↓ | 12.30 ± 1.87*** | 68.45↓ | 19.83 ± 1.55* | 49.08↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | 9.26 ± 1.25*** | 67.45↓ | 13.05 ± 3.17*** | 66.53↓ | 10.67 ± 3.39** | 72.61↓ |

 Table 7.1 Anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of Pluchea arguta in carrageenan induced paw edema

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM; P≤ (0.05^{*}, 0.01^{**}, 0.001^{***}); F ≤ 0.05; PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.2. Dextran induced paw edema

It is well established that carrageenan and dextran induce rat paw oedema by different mechanisms. Dextran is a polysaccharide of high molecular weight that induces anaphylactic reaction after injection in rat's extremities, which is characterized by extravasation and oedema formation, as a consequence of liberation of histamine and serotonin from mast cells (Rowley and Benditt 1956; Van Wauve and Goosens 1989).

The results of anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in dextran induced paw edema is shown in Table 7.2. PAM-200 group and PAM-400 group showed significant anti-inflammatory activity in dextran induced paw edema model when compared with the control group. PAM-200 group showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (31.97%, P≤0.05), 2 h (53.70%, P≤0.01) and 3 h (72.04%, P≤0.01). PAM-400 group showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (32.26%, P≤0.01), 2 h (55.64%, $P \le 0.001$) and 3 h (79.31%, $P \le 0.01$). Indomethacin group showed significant decrease in paw volume at 1 h (49.39%, P≤0.001), 2 h (38.85%, P≤0.05) and 3 h (65.61%, P≤0.01). Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* showed antiinflammatory activity in dose dependant manner. PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed almost similar anti-inflammatory activity at 1 h and 2 h, while at PAM-400 group showed higher anti-inflammatory activity than PAM-200 group at 3 h. PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed higher anti-inflammatory activity than reference drug indomethacin group at 2 h and 3 h, while it was lower at 1 h. The results tend to suggest that the anti-inflammatory activity of the methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* is possibly backed by its antihistamine or anti-serotonin activity.

| | After 1 h | | After 2 | h | After 3 h | |
|----------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Groups | Increase in paw volume (%) | % Change | Increase in paw volume (%) | % Change | Increase in paw volume (%) | % Change |
| Control | 67.73 ± 2.87 | - | 51.19 ± 4.28 | - | 42.59 ± 5.69 | - |
| PAM-200 | $46.08 \pm 5.85^*$ | 31.97↓ | 23.70 ± 3.30** | 53.70↓ | 11.91 ± 2.79** | 72.04↓ |
| PAM-400 | 45.88 ± 4.96** | 32.26↓ | 22.71 ± 1.50*** | 55.64↓ | 8.81 ± 2.61** | 79.31↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | 34.28 ± 3.53*** | 49.39↓ | 31.30 ± 3.41* | 38.85↓ | 14.65 ± 2.11** | 65.61↓ |

Table 7.2 Anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in dextran

 induced paw edema

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM, P≤ (0.05^{*}, 0.01^{**}, 0.001^{***}), F ≤ 0.05, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.3. Histamine induced paw edema

The histamine is a basic amine related with inflammatory and allergic process causing, among several effects, both vasodilatation and increase of vascular permeability (Cuman et al. 2001; Linardi et al. 2002). The results of antiinflammatory activity of methanol extract of Pluchea arguta in histamine induced paw edema is shown in Table 7.3. Anti-inflammatory activity of PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups was statistically significant at 0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h and 3 h as compared with the control group. PAM-200 group showed significant decrease in paw volume at 0.5 h (24.39%, P≤ 0.05), 1 h (25.99%, P≤ 0.01), 2 h (36.40%, P \leq 0.05) and 3 h (39.27%, P \leq 0.05). PAM-400 group showed significant decrease in paw volume at 0.5 h (26.10%, P \leq 0.05), 1 h (26.16%, $P \le 0.01$) and highly significant at 2 h (42.72%, $P \le 0.001$) and 3 h (46.42%, $P \le$ 0.001). Reference drug indomethacin group showed highly significant decrease in paw volume at 0.5 h (51.15%, P≤ 0.001), 1 h (57.08%, P≤ 0.001), 2 h (66.45%, P≤ 0.001) and 3 h (66.34%, P≤ 0.001) as compared with the control group. Thus PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups did not show higher antiinflammatory activity than reference drug indomethacin group.

| | After | After 0.5 h | | After 1 h Afte | | r 2 h After 3 h | | ⁻ 3 h |
|----------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Groups | Increase in paw volume | % Change | Increase in paw volume | % Change | Increase in paw volume | % Change | Increase in paw volume | % Change |
| Control | 60.65 ± | - | 58.42 ± | - | 45.23 ± | - | 35.98 ± | - |
| | 3.33 | | 3.15 | | 2.76 | | 2.52 | |
| PAM-200 | 45.85 ± | 24.39↓ | 43.24 ± | 25.99↓ | 28.77 ± | 36.40↓ | 21.85 ± | 39.27↓ |
| | 4.15* | | 2.48** | | 3.53* | | 3.30* | |
| PAM-400 | 44.82 ± | 26.10↓ | 43.14 ± | 26.16↓ | 25.91 ± | 42.72↓ | 19.28 ± | 46.42↓ |
| | 3.36* | | 2.46** | | 1.64*** | | 1.11*** | |
| Indo-2.5 | 29.63 ± | 51.15↓ | 25.07 ± | 57.08↓ | 15.18 ± | 66.45↓ | 12.11 ± | 66.34↓ |
| | 3.42*** | | 2.72*** | | 2.80*** | | 2.20*** | |

 Table 7.3 Anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of Pluchea arguta in histamine induced paw edema

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM, P \leq (0.05*, 0.01**, 0.001***), F \leq 0.05, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea* arguta, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.4. Formalin induced paw edema

The results of anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in formalin induced paw edema is shown in Table 7.4. It is well known that inhibition of formalin induced paw edema in rats is one of the most suitable test procedures to screen anti-arthritic and anti-inflammatory agents as it closely resembles human arthritis (Greenwald 1991). Thus formalin-induced paw edema is a model used for the evaluation of an agent with antial. Injection of formalin proliferative activity (Banerjee et 2000). subcutaneously into hind paw of rats produces localized inflammation. The administration of PAM-200, PAM-400 and indomethacin daily for 7 days successfully inhibited edema induced by formalin (Table 7.4). PAM-200 group showed decrease in paw volume at 3 h (40.79%, P≤0.05), 24 h (34.98%, P≤0.05) and 48 h (35.96%). PAM-400 group showed decrease in paw volume at 3 h (42.96%, P≤0.05), 24 h (36.50%, P≤0.05) and at 48 h (39.67%). Indomethacin group showed decrease in paw volume at 3 h (30.98%, P≤0.05), 24 h (42.64%, P≤0.01) and 48 h (21.67%). PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed almost similar anti-inflammatory activity at 3 h, 24 h and 48 h.

PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed higher anti-inflammatory activity than reference drug indomethacin at 3 h and 48 h. Thus, from the results, it can be concluded, that methanol extract of *P. arguta* has higher anti-inflammatory activity in formalin induced paw edema test. PAM showed significant decrease in paw volume till 48 h with both doses, which suggests its long duration of action.

 Table 7.4 Anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of Pluchea arguta in formalin induced paw edema

| | | Q | % Increase in Pa | w volume | | |
|----------|--------------------|--------|------------------|----------|--------------|--------|
| Groups | After 3 h | | After 24 | h | After 4 | 8 h |
| | Increase | % | Increase | % | Increase | % |
| | In paw | Change | In paw | Change | In paw | Change |
| | volume | enange | volume | enange | volume | enange |
| Control | 53.03 ± 4.98 | - | 54.03 ± 4.97 | - | 38.57 ± 4.23 | - |
| PAM-200 | 31.40 ± 3.76* | 40.79↓ | 35.13 ± 2.12* | 34.98↓ | 24.70 ± 4.31 | 35.96↓ |
| PAM-400 | $30.25 \pm 4.76^*$ | 42.96↓ | 34.31 ± 3.45* | 36.50↓ | 23.27 ± 4.71 | 39.67↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | $36.60 \pm 3.73^*$ | 30.98↓ | 30.99 ± 2.52** | 42.64↓ | 30.21 ± 3.89 | 21.67↓ |

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM, P≤ (0.05*, 0.01**), F ≤ 0.05, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea* arguta, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.5. Cotton pellet induced granuloma

Cotton pellet granuloma test is a chronic inflammation model commonly used to evaluate the anti-proliferative activities of drugs (Panthong et al. 2004; Gupta et al. 2005). Tissue granulation, one of the distinctive features of chronic inflammation, which is composed of marked infiltration macrophages and neovascularization, was induced by subcutaneous implantation of biomaterials. The implanted material induces a host's inflammatory response and modulates the release of inflammatory mediators which finally leads to tissue proliferation and granular formation (Tang and Eaton 1995; Hu et al. 2001). The results of anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in cotton pellet induced granuloma is shown in Table 7.5. In the present study, PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed dose dependent activity and markedly inhibited granuloma formation surrounding the pellets compared with the control group. PAM-200 group showed significant decrease in granuloma formation with 24.01% (P≤ 0.05), while PAM-400 group showed significant decrease in granuloma formation with 28.68% (P≤ 0.05) which was almost near to the reference drug indomethacin (33.97%, P≤ 0.01) group. Thus, the results showed that methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* has potent anti-inflammatory activity in chronic inflammatory model.

 Table 7.5 Anti-inflammatory activity of methanol extract of Pluchea

 arguta in cotton pellet induced granuloma formation

| Groups | Pellet weight (g / 100g body weight) | % Change |
|----------|---|----------|
| Control | 0.157 ± 0.011 | - |
| PAM-200 | 0.119 ± 0.006* | 24.2↓ |
| PAM-400 | 0.112 ± 0.009* | 28.66↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | $0.104 \pm 0.007^{**}$ | 33.76↓ |

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM, P \leq (0.05^{*}, 0.01^{**}), F \leq 0.05, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.5.1. Organ weight

7.3.1.5.1.1. Adrenal

The results of organ weight for the adrenal gland in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.8. Increase in the absolute weight of the adrenal for PAM-200, PAM-400 and indomethacin groups was 3.7%, 7.41% and 29.63% respectively as compared with the control group. Increase in the relative weight of the adrenal for PAM-200, PAM-400 and indomethacin groups was 3.15%, 14.94% and 40.42% respectively as compared with the

control group. Indomethacin group showed higher increase in absolute and relative weight of the adrenal than PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups.

| Groups | Absolute | % | Relative | % |
|---------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|
| | weight | Change | organ weight | Change |
| Control (D/W) | 0.027 ± 0.002 | - | 0.01 ± 0.001 | - |
| PAM-200 | 0.028 ± 0.002 | 3.7↑ | 0.011 ± 0.001 | 3.15↑ |
| PAM-400 | 0.029 ± 0.002 | 7.41↑ | 0.012 ± 0.001 | 14.94 ↑ |
| Indo-2.5 | 0.035 ± 0.003 | 29.63↑ | 0.015 ± 0.002 | 40.42↑ |

Table 7.6 Effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* on weight of adrenal in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats

Data is expressed as mean ± SEM, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, ↑ Increase

7.3.1.5.1.2. Lymph node

The results of organ weight for the lymph node in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.7. The absolute weight of the lymph node for PAM-200 group was 33.33% less as compared with the control group, while it was similar for PAM-400 group and reference drug indomethacin group. The relative weight of the lymph node for PAM-200 group was 14.57% less and for PAM-400 it was 28.16% more as compared with the control group.

Table 7.7 Effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* on weight of lymph node

 in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats

| Groups | Absolute | % | Relative | |
|----------|------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|
| | weight | Change | organ weight | % Change |
| Control | 0.03 ± 0.005 | - | 0.011 ± 0.002 | - |
| PAM-200 | 0.02 ± 0.004 | 33.33↓ | 0.009 ± 0.002 | 14.57↓ |
| PAM-400 | 0.03 ± 0.004 | - | 0.014 ± 0.002 | 28.16 ↑ |
| Indo-2.5 | 0.03 ± 0.003 | - | 0.011 ± 0.002 | - |

Data is expressed as mean ± SEM, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \uparrow Increase, \downarrow decrease
7.3.1.5.1.3. Spleen

The results of organ weight for the spleen in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.6. The absolute weight of the spleen for PAM-200 group was 1.61% more as compared with the control group, while it was similar for PAM-400 group. The absolute weight of the spleen for standard drug indomethacin group was 29.03% more as compared with the control group. The relative weight of the spleen for PAM-200 group was similar to the control group, while it was 5.16% more in PAM-400 group and much more with 36.8% ($P \le 0.05$) in indomethacin group as compared with the control group.

Table 7.8 Effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* on weight ofspleen in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats

| Groups | Absolute weight | % Change | Relative weight | % Change |
|----------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Control | 0.62 ± 0.02 | - | 0.24 ± 0.01 | - |
| PAM-200 | 0.63 ± 0.04 | 1.61↑ | 0.24 ± 0.01 | - |
| PAM-400 | 0.62 ± 0.03 | - | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 5.16↑ |
| Indo-2.5 | 0.80 ± 0.08 | 29.03 ↑ | $0.32 \pm 0.03^{*}$ | 36.80↑ |

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM, P \leq (0.05^{*}), PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea* arguta, \uparrow Increase

7.3.1.5.1.4. Thymus

The results of organ weight for the thymus in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.9. The absolute weight of the thymus for PAM-200 group showed 8.51% increase, PAM-400 group showed 11.35% decrease and indomethacin group showed 4.61% decrease as compared with the control group. The relative weight of the thymus in PAM-200 group showed 8.85% increase, PAM-400 group showed 7.78% decrease and indomethacin group showed 2.59% increase as compared with the control group.

showed more decrease in absolute and relative weight of the thymus than in other two groups, while PAM-200 showed more increase in absolute and relative weight of the thymus than in other two groups.

Table 7.9 Effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* on weight of thymus in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats

| Groups | Absolute weight | % Change | Relative organ weight | % Change |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Control (D/W) | 0.564 ± 0.044 | - | 0.21 ± 0.01 | - |
| PAM-200 | 0.612 ± 0.026 | 8.51↑ | 0.23 ± 0.01 | 8.85↑ |
| PAM-400 | 0.500 ± 0.052 | 11.35↓ | 0.20 ± 0.01 | 7.78↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | 0.538 ± 0.029 | 4.61↓ | 0.22 ± 0.01 | 2.59↑ |

Data is expressed as mean ± SEM, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \uparrow Increase, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.5.2. Biochemical parameters

7.3.1.5.2.1. Total protein and albumin levels

The results of the total protein level in serum in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.10. PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed increase in protein levels by 4.32% and 5.76% respectively, while indomethacin group showed decrease in protein level by 3.68% as compared with the control group. In present study, increase in protein level was dose dependent.

The results of the albumin level in serum in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.10. PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed increase in albumin level by 4.03% and 18.65% respectively, while indomethacin group showed an increase of 2.87% as compared with the control group. In present study, increase in albumin level was statistically significant in PAM-400 group ($P \le 0.01$).

| Groups | Total protein | % Change | Albumin | % Change |
|----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Control | 6.25 ± 0.09 | - | 3.11 ± 0.09 | - |
| PAM-200 | $6.52 \pm 0.07^*$ | 4.32↑ | 3.23 ± 0.05 | 4.03↑ |
| PAM-400 | 6.61 ± 0.06* | 5.76↑ | $3.69 \pm 0.04^{***}$ | 18.65 ↑ |
| Indo-2.5 | 6.02 ± 0.19 | 3.68↓ | 3.2 ± 0.16 | 2.87↑ |

 Table 7.10 Effect of methanol extract of Pluchea arguta on protein and albumin level in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats

Data is expressed as mean ± SEM, P \leq (0.05*, 0.001***), PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea* arguta, \uparrow Increase, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.5.2.2. Serum aspartate transaminase (AST) and serum alanine transaminase (ALT) activities

AST and ALT are considered as markers for liver function (Hilaly et al. 2004). The results of the serum AST and ALT activity in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.11. PAM-200 group showed a decrease in AST level by 11.44%, PAM-400 group showed significant increase in AST level by 54.71% (P \leq 0.05) and indomethacin group showed an increase of 90.41% which was highly significant ($P \le 0.01$) as compared with the control group. All the three groups showed significant increase in ALT level as compared with the control group. PAM-200 group showed 10.56% (P \leq 0.05) increase in ALT level, PAM-400 group showed 15.85% (P≤ 0.05) increase in ALT level and indomethacin group showed 15.53% ($P \le 0.01$) increase in ALT level. Thus, in the present study, there was significant increase in AST and ALT levels in PAM-400 and indomethacin group. However, the ALT values were still within the normal range in all the groups. ALT is located primarily in cytosol and hepatocytes, and this enzyme is considered a more sensitive marker of hepatocellular damage than AST. AST is an enzyme found in the cytoplasm and mitochondria in different tissues, chiefly in the heart and skeletal muscles, liver, kidneys, pancreas and erythrocytes (Chaves and Silva 1998; Aniagu et al. 2004). The increase in AST level in the treated groups may be due to the release of enzymes from the cells of the damaged organ, or to a change in the membrane permeability of the cells (Obici et al. 2008).

Table 7.11 Effect of methanol extract of Pluchea arguta on serum
aspartate transaminase (AST) and serum alanine
transaminase (ALT) activity in cotton pellet induced granuloma
rats

| Groups | AST | % Change | ALT | % Change |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Control | 80.88 ± 2.91 | - | 40.25 ± 073 | - |
| PAM-200 | 71.63 ± 3.18 | 11.44↓ | 44.5 ± 1.32* | 10.56↑ |
| PAM-400 | 125.13 ± 9.82* | 54.71 ↑ | $46.63 \pm 2.4^*$ | 15.85↑ |
| Indo-2.5 | 154 ± 12.32** | 90.41↑ | 46.5 ± 2.54* | 15.53↑ |

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM, P \leq (0.05*, 0.01**), PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \uparrow Increase, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.5.2.3. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) and acid phosphatase (ACP) activities

The results of alkaline phosphatase and acid phosphatase activity in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.12. PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed 15.92% and 11.9% decrease in alkaline phosphatase level respectively as compared with the control group. Indomethacin group showed 30.71% increase in alkaline phosphatase level as compared with the control group. PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed 13.15% and 7.78% decrease in acid phosphatase level respectively as compared with the control group. Indomethacin group showed 1.49% increase in acid phosphatase level as compared with the control group. Indomethacin group showed 1.49% increase in acid phosphatase level as compared with the control group. Indomethacin group showed 1.49% increase in acid phosphatase level as compared with the control group. Indomethacin group showed 1.49% increase in acid phosphatase level as compared with the control group.

| induc | ed granuloma rat | | | |
|----------|------------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| Groups | ALP | % Change | ACP | % Change |
| Control | 23.87 ± 4.44 | - | 14.14 ± 1.57 | - |
| PAM-200 | 20.07 ± 2.99 | 15.92↓ | 12.28 ± 1.55 | 13.15↓ |
| PAM-400 | 21.03 ± 2.98 | 11.90↓ | 13.04 ± 1.05 | 7.78↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | 31.20 ± 5.45 | 30.71↑ | 14.35 ± 1.12 | 1.49↑ |

Table 7.12 Effect of methanol extract of Pluchea arguta on alkalinephosphatase and acid phosphatase activity in cotton pelletinduced granuloma rats

Data is expressed as mean ± SEM, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \uparrow Increase, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.5.2.4. Ascorbic acid content in adrenal gland

The results of ascorbic acid content in adrenal gland in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Table 7.13. The ascorbic acid content increased in PAM-400 group (13.38%), while it decreased in PAM-200 group (5.72%) and indomethacin group (1.34%).

Table 7.13 Effect of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* on ascorbic acid content in adrenal gland in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats

| Groups | Ascorbic acid (µg/mg adrenal) | % Change |
|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Control | 8.22 ± 1.08 | - |
| PAM-200 | 7.75 ± 1.27 | 5.72↓ |
| PAM-400 | 9.32 ± 0.78 | 13.38↑ |
| indo-2.5 | 8.11 ± 0.33 | 1.34↓ |

Data is expressed as mean ± SEM, PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \uparrow Increase, \downarrow decrease

7.3.1.5.3. Histopathological studies

The histopathological studies of four tissues (Adrenal, lymph node, spleen and thymus) in cotton pellet induced granuloma rats are shown in Plate 1 and Plate 2. All the four groups did not produce any changes on cytoarchitecture of adrenal, lymph node, spleen and thymus.

7.3.2. Analgesic activities

7.3.2.1. Acetic acid writhing test

Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was used to distinguish between the central and peripheral analgesic action by acetic acid induced writhing response in mice. This method is not only simple and reliable but also affords rapid evaluation of peripheral type of analgesic action (Gene et al. 1998). In this test the animals react with characteristic stretching behavior, which is called writhing (Ganesh et al. 2008). The writhing response of the mouse to an intraperitoneal injection of noxious chemical is used to screen for both peripherally and centrally acting analgesic activities (Trongsakul et al. 2003).

Intraperitoneal injection of diluted solutions of acetic acid is a well established animal model for tonic visceral pain in rodents. Intraperitoneal injection of acetic acid induces a stereotypic response pattern in the form of abdominal contractions (lengthwise stretches of the torso with a concomitant concave arching of the back) that may persist beyond 6 h after the administration, although most contractions occur within 30 min of the application of the irritant (Koster et al. 1959; Mogil et al. 1996).

The results of analgesic activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in acetic acid induced writhing test is shown in Table 7.14. PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups showed 31% and 35% inhibition significantly in abdominal writhes produced by acetic acid respectively as compared with the control group. Thus, PAM-200 and PAM-400 groups produced a significant ($P \le 0.05$) and dose dependent inhibition of analgesic effect produced by acetic acid. Indomethacin group showed significant inhibition with 46% ($P \le 0.01$) as compared with the control group. This probably means that the extract is able to reduce the receptor sensitivity to the acetic acid induced pain in a dose dependent manner. The abdominal constriction is related to the sensitization

of nociceptive receptors to prostaglandins. This therefore shows antinociceptive activity (Nwinyi et al. 2006).

| Groups | Onset time (Sec.) | % Change | Frequency | % Change |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| Control (D/W) | 29 ± 5 | - | 84 ± 7 | - |
| PAM-200 | 226 ± 49** | 675↑ | $58 \pm 5^{*}$ | 31↓ |
| PAM-400 | 246 ± 52** | 7 43↑ | $54 \pm 5^{*}$ | 35↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | 495 ± 51*** | 1594 ↑ | 45 ± 8** | 46↓ |

 Table 7.14 Analgesic activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in acetic acid writhing test in Swiss albino mice

Data is expressed as mean \pm SEM, P \leq (0.05^{*}, 0.01^{**}, 0.001^{***}), PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, \uparrow Increase, \downarrow decrease

7.3.2.2. Formalin induced paw licking test

The formalin test is a valid and reliable model of nociception, and it is sensitive to various classes of analgesic drugs (Karabay-Yavasoglu et al. 2007). The formalin test may be more useful as model of pain in which the first phase seems to be due to direct chemical activation on nociceptive afferent fibers, whereas the second phase is dependent of peripheral inflammation and changes in central processing (Tjolsen et al. 1992; Sayyah et al. 2004).

The results of analgesic activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in formalin induced paw licking are shown in Table 7.15. The onset time of paw licking was measured after formalin injection. PAM-200 and PAM-400 showed 7.29% and 21.53% increase in onset time respectively, while indomethacin group showed 25% increase in onset time as compared with the control group. Onset time of paw licking response of PAM-400 group was almost the same as that of the indomethacin group. PAM-400 and indomethacin groups showed statistically significant increase in paw licking response ($P \le 0.05$).

After formalin injection, the frequency of paw licking was measured between 0-5 min, 6-10 min, 11-15 min, 16-20 min and 21-30 min. As compared with the control group, PAM- 200 group showed 14.04% decrease in frequency between 0-5 min, 38.34% between 6-10 min, 62.24% between 11-15 min, 2.74% between 16-20 min and 13.04% between 21-30 min. PAM-400 group showed 38% decrease in frequency between 0-5 min, 80.83% between 6-10 min, 54.13% between 11-15 min, 14.24% between 16-20 min and 13.93% between 21-30 min. Indomethacin group showed 28.01% decrease in frequency between 0-5 min, 72.98% between 6-10 min, 48.62% between 11-15 min, 5.66% between 16-20 min and 11.32% between 21-30 min. Statistically significant decrease in frequency between 0-5 min was seen in PAM-400 group (P \leq 0.05) and indomethacin group (P \leq 0.05). Statistically significant decrease in frequency between 6-10 min was seen in PAM-400 group ($P \le 0.01$) and indomethacin group ($P \le 0.05$). Higher level of frequency in paw licking was observed between 0-5 min and 21-30 min.

| | Onset % | | iset 0-5min | | 6-10min 11-15 | | nin 16-20min | | 21-30min | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Groups | time (Sec) | Change | Frequency | % Change | Frequency | % Change | Frequency | % Change | Frequency | % Change | Frequency | % Change |
| Control | 48 ± | _ | 16.67 ± | _ | 4.33 ± | _ | 6.17 ± | _ | 5.83 ± | _ | 19.17 ± | _ |
| 3. ⁻ | 3.13 | - | 1.05 | - | 0.56 | - | 1.58 | - | 0.91 | - | 2.52 | - |
| DVN 200 | 51.50 ± | 7 20+ | 14.33 ± | 14 04 | 2.67 ± | 20 24 1 | 2.33 ± | 62 24 | 5.67 ± | 2 74 | 16.67 ± | 12 04 |
| PAM-200 4.1 | 4.19 | 7.29 | 1.96 | 14.04↓ | 0.61 | 30.34↓ | 0.95 | 02.24↓ | 1.43 | ∠./4↓ | 2.03 | 13.04↓ |
| | 58.33 ± | 01 524 | 10.33 ± | 201 | 0.83 ± | 00 021 | 2.83 ± | E4 12 | 5 ± | 14 04 | 16.50 ± | 12 02 |
| PAIVI-400 | 1.56* | 21.55 | 2.23* | 30↓ | 0.40** | 00.03↓ | 1.14 | 54.13↓ | 0.68 | 14.24↓ | 1.09 | 13.93↓ |
| Indo-2.5 | 60 ± | 25 * | 12 ± | 29.01 | 1.17 ± | 72.001 | 3.17 ± | 49 621 | 5.50 ± | E GG | 17 ± | 11 201 |
| | 2.14* | 25↑ | 1.34* | 20.01↓ | 0.98* | 72.90↓ | 48.62↓ 0.91 | 4 0.02↓ | 1.45 | J.00↓ | 1.86 | 11.32↓ |

Table 7.15 Analgesic activity of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* in formalin induced paw licking test in rats

Data is expressed as mean ± SEM, P≤ (0.05*, 0.01**), PAM- methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*, ↑ Increase, ↓ decrease

7.4. CONCLUSION

The action of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* observed in the first phase of carrageenan induced inflammation may be due to the inhibition of early mediators such as histamine and serotonin. The action in the second phase may be due to the inhibition of bradykinin and prostaglandins. The methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was active on dextran-induced rat paw edema; it seems that its activity is related to histamine and serotonine mediators from the mast cells (Nishida et al. 1979; Nishida and Tomizawa 1980). Histamine, one of the important inflammation mediators, is a potent vasodilator substance and increases the vascular permeability (Cuman et al. 2001; Linardi et al. 2002). This study showed that all doses of PAM significantly suppressed the edema produced by histamine at 3 h, so it may be suggested that its anti-inflammatory activity is possibly backed by its antihistaminic activity. From the results, it showed that antiedematogenic effects of the PAM on carrageenan, dextran and histamine induced oedema may be related to inhibition of inflammation mediator formation.

It is well known that inhibition of formalin induced edema in rats is one of the most suitable test procedures to screen anti-arthritic and anti-inflammatory agents as it closely resembles human arthritis (Greenwald 1991). Thus formalin-induced arthritis is a model used for the evaluation of an agent with anti-inflammatory activity (Banerjee et al. 2000). Injection of formalin subcutaneously into hind paw of rats produces localized inflammation (Vasudevan et al. 2006). PME and indomethacin administered continuously for 7 days successfully inhibited edema induced by formalin.

As a model of chronic inflammation, cotton pellet induced granuloma in rats was utilized in the present study. During the repair process of inflammation, there is proliferation of macrophages, neutrophils, fibroblasts and multiplication of small blood vessels, which are the basic sources of forming a highly vascularised reddish mass, termed granulation tissue (Swingle 1974; Bhattacharya et al. 1992; Gepdiremen et al. 2004). The results of the present

study showed that PAM reduced the formation of granuloma tissue in a dosedependent manner, which represented an ability of PAM to inhibit the proliferation phase of inflammatory process.

Acetic acid induced writhing test was non selective anti nociceptive model, since indirectly it induced the release of endogenous mediators stimulated the nociceptive neurons that were sensitive to non steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (Sanchez-Mateo et al. 2006). The results of the present study indicated that methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* at both the doses could reduce the number of writhing, thus showed powerful anti nociceptive effects.

The most predictive of the models of acute pain is undoubtedly the formalin test (Dubuisson and Dennis 1977). In the formalin test, pretreatment of rats with the PAM at the doses of 200 and 400 mg/kg showed decrease in the paw licking at the first phase of the test (0–5 min) and the second phase (15– 30 min). The ability of PAM to have an effect on both phases shows that the PAM contains active analgesic principle acting both centrally and peripherally.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrated that methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* produced dose related acute anti-inflammatory activity (Carrageenan, dextran, histamine and formalin), chronic anti-inflammatory activity (Cotton pellet) and analgesic activity (Acetic acid and formalin).

These studies have shown that the methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* contains some active ingredients with the potential of being good antiinflammatory and analgesic agents. There is a need for detailed investigation of the mechanism of action of methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* based on which a possible therapy can be visualized.

7.5. REFERENCES

Abad MJ, Bessa AL, Ballarin B, Aragon O, Gonzales E, Bermejo P (2006) Anti-infammatory activity of four Bolivian *Baccharis* species (Compositae). Journal of Ethnopharmacology 103: 338-344.

Ahmadiani A, Fereidoni M, Semnanian S, Kamalinejad M, Saremi S (1998) Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory effects of *Sambucus ebulus* rhizome extract in rats. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 61: 229-235.

Albert D, Zundorf I, Dingermann T, Muller WE, Steinhilber D, Werz O (2002) Hyperforin is a dual inhibitor of cyclooxygenase-1 and 5- lipoxygenase. Biochemical Pharmacology 64: 1767-1775.

Almeida RN, Navarro DS, Barbosa-Filho JM (2001) Plants with central analgesic activity. Phytomedicine 8: 310-322.

Balasubramanian A, Ramalingam K, Krishnan S, Chisitina AJM (2005) Antiinflammatory activity of *Morus indica* Linn. Iranian Journal of Pharmacology and Therapeutics 4: 13-15.

Balogh P, Horvath G, Szakal AK (2004) Immunoarchitecture of distinct reticular fibroblastic domains in the white pulp of mouse spleen. Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry 52: 1287-1298.

Banerjee S, Sur TK, Mandal S, Das PC, Sikdar S (2000) Assessment of the anti-inflammatory effects of *Swertia chirata* in acute and chronic experimental models in male albino rats. Indian Journal of Pharmacology 32: 21-24.

Barnes PJ (2004) Mediators of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Pharmacological Reviews 56: 515-548. Barros IMC, Lopes LDG, Borges MOR, Borges ACR, Ribeiro MNS, Freire SMF (2006) Anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive activities of *Pluchea quitoc* (DC.) ethanolic extract. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 106: 317-320.

Bhattacharya S, Pal S, Nag-Chaudhuri AK (1992) Pharmacological studies of the anti-inflammatory profile of *Mikania cordata* (Burm) B.L. Robinson root extract in rodents. Phytotherapy Research 6: 255-260.

Brito ARMS, Antonio MA (1998) Oral anti-inflammatory and antiulcerogenic activities of a hydroalcoholic extract and partitioned fractions of *Turnera ulmifolia* (Turneraceae). Journal of Ethnopharmacology 61: 215-228.

Brownlee G (1950) Effect of deoxycotone and ascorbic acid in formaldehydeinduced arthritis in normal and adrenalectomized rats. The Lancet 1: 157-159.

Buschmann H, Christoph T, Friderichs E, Maul C, Sundermann B (2002) Analgesics. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

Cleeland CS, Bennett GJ, Dantzer R, Dougherty PM, Dunn AJ, Meyers CA, Miller AH, Payne R, Reuben JM, Wang XS, Lee BN (2003) Are the symptoms of cancer and cancer treatment due to a shared biologic mechanism? A cytokine-immunologic model of cancer symptoms. Cancer 97: 2919-2925.

Conner EM, Grisham MB (1996) Inflammation, free radicals and antioxidants. Nutrition 12: 274-277.

Corcoran RM, Durnan SM (1977) Albumin determination by a modified bromocresol green method. Clinical Chemistry 23: 765-766.

Cotran RS, Mayadas-Norton T (1998) Endothelial adhesion molecules in health and disease. Pathologie Biologie 46:164-170.

Cuman RKN, Bersani-Amadio CA, Fortes ZB (2001) Influence of type 2 diabetes on the inflammatory response in rat. Inflammation Research 50: 460-465.

Dahl V, Reader JC (2000) Non-opioid postoperative analgesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 44: 1191-1203.

Dalgleish AG, O'Byrne KJ (2002) Chronic immune activation and inflammation in the pathogenesis of AIDS and cancer. Advances in Cancer Research 84: 231-276.

Dawson J, Sedgwick AD, Edwards JC, Lees P (1991) A comparative study of the cellular, exudative and histological responses to carrageenan, dextran and zymosan in the mouse. International Journal of Tissue Reactions 13: 171-185.

Di Rosa M, Giround JP, Willoughby DA (1971) Studies of the acute inflammatory response induced in rats in different sites by carrageenan and turpentine. Journal of Pathology 104: 15-29.

Domaj MI, Glassco W, Aceto MD, Martin BR (1999) Antinociceptive and pharmacological effects of metanicotina, a selective nicotine agonist. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapy 291: 390-398.

Dubuisson D, Dennis SG (1977) The formalin test: a quantitative study of the analgesic effects of morphine, meperidine and brain stem stimulation in rats and cats. Pain 4: 161-174.

Eisner T (1990) Chemical prospecting. A call for action. In: Ecology, Economic and Ethics (Eds.) Borman FH, Kellert SR. The Broken Circle, Yale University Press, New Haven.

Elisabetsky E, Amodor TA, Albuquerque RR, Nunes DS, Carvalho ACT (1995) Analgesic activity of *Psychotria colorata* (Wild. ex R&S). Muell.-Arg. alkaloids. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 48: 77-83.

Farnsworth NR (1989) Screening Plants for New Medicines. In: Biodiversity, Part II (Ed.) Wilson EO. National Academy Press, Washington pp. 83-97.

Fernandez F, Torres M (2006) Evaluation of *Pluchea carolinensis* extracts as antioxidants by the epinephrine oxidation method. Fitoterapia 77: 221-226.

Ferreira SH, Moncada S, Vane JR (1971) Indomethacin and aspirin abolish prostaglandin release from the spleen. Nature New Biology 231: 237-239.

Finlay-Jones JJ, Davies KV, Sturm LP, Kenny PA, Hart PH (1999) Inflammatory processes in a murine model of intra-abdominal abscess formation. Journal of Leukocyte Biology 66: 583-587.

Ford-Hutchinson AW, Bary MA, Cunningham FM, Davidson EM, Smith MJH (1981) Isomers of leukotriene B possess different biological potencies. Prostaglandins 21: 143-151.

Ganesh M, Vasudevan M, Kamalakannan K, Saravana Kumar A, Vinoba M, Ganguly S, Sivakumar T (2008) Anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects of *Pongamia glabra* leaf gall extract. Pharmacologyonline 1: 497-512.

Gene RM, Segura L, Adzet T, Marin E, Inglesias J (1998) Heterotheca inuloides: anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 60: 157-162.

Gepdiremen A, Mshvildadze V, Suleyman H, Elias R (2004) Acute and chronic anti-inflammatory effects of *Hedera colchica* in rats. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 94: 191-195.

Greenwald RA (1991) Animal models for evolution of arthritic drug. Methods and Findings in Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology 13: 75-83.

Gupta M, Mazumder U, Ramanathan SK, Thangavel SK (2003) Studies on anti-inflammatory, analgesic and anti-pyretic properties of methanol extract of *Caesalpinia bonducella* leaves in experimental animal models. Iranian Journal of Pharmacology and Therapeutics 2: 30-34.

Gupta M, Mazumjder UK, Sambathkumar R, Gomathi P, Rajeshwar Y, Kakoti BB, Tamil Selven V (2005) Anti-inflammatory, analgesic and antipyretic effects of methanol extract from *Bauhinia racemosa* stem bark in animal models. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 98: 267–273.

Hernandez-Perez M, Rabanal RM (2002) Evaluation of the anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of *Sideritis canariensis* var. pannosa in mice. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 81: 43-47.

Hilaly J, El Israili ZH, Lyoussi B (2004) Acute and chronic toxicological studies of *Ajuga iva* in experimental animals. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 91: 43-50.

Hori Y, Jyoyama H, Yamada K, Takagi M, Hirose K, Katori M (1988) Time course analyses of kinins and other mediators in plasma exudation of rat kaolin-induced pleurisy. European Journal of Pharmacology 152: 235-245.

Hu WJ, Eaton JW, Ugarova TP, Tang L (2001) Molecular basis of biomaterialmediated foreign body reactions. Blood 98: 1231-1238.

Hunskaar S, Hole K (1987) The formalin test in mice: dissociation between inflammatory and non-inflammatory pain. Pain 30: 103-114.

Hurley JV (1972) Acute Inflammation. Edinburgh, London: Churchill Livingstone.

Iwalewa EO, McGaw LJ, Naidoo V, Eloff JN (2007) Inflammation: the foundation of diseases and disorders. A review of phytomedicines of South

African origin used to treat pain and inflammatory conditions. African Journal of Biotechnology 6: 2868-2885.

Jaffri SMH (1966) Flora of Karachi, Pakistan pp.335.

Jarald EE, Edwin S, Saini V, Deb L, Gupta VB, Wate SP, Busari KP (2008) Anti-inflammatory and anthelmintic activities of *Solanum khasianum* Clarke. Natural Product Research 22: 269-274.

Kaboli PJ, Doebbeling BN, Sagg KG, Rosenthal GE (2001) Use of complementary and alternative medicine by older patients with arthritis: a population-based study. Arthritis Care and Research 45: 398-403.

Kaldjian EP, Gretz JE, Anderson AO, Shi Y, Shaw S (2001) Spatial and molecular organization of lymph node T cell cortex: a labyrinthine cavity bounded by an epithelium-like monolayer of fibroblastic reticular cells anchored to basement membrane-like extracellular matrix. International Immunology 13: 1243-1253.

Karabay-Yavasoglu NU, Karamenderes C, Baykan S, Apaydin S (2007) Antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities and acute toxicity of *Achillea nobilis* subsp. Neilreichii extract in mice and rats. Pharmaceutical Biology 45: 162-168.

Kikuchi M, Tsuzurahara K, Suzuki T, Yato N, Naito K (1996) Involvement of leukotrienes in allergic pleurisy in actively sensitized rats: inhibition by the lipoxygenase inhibitor T-0757 of the increase in vascular permeability and leukotriene E4 production. Inflammation Research 45:192-197.

Kind PRN, King EJ (1954) Estimation of plasma phosphatase by determination of hydrolysed phenol with amino-antipyrine. Journal of Clinical Pathology 7: 322-326.

King EJ, Jagatheesan KA (1959) A method for the determination of tartratelabile, prostatic acid phosphatase in serum. Journal of Clinical Pathology 12: 85-89.

Koster R, Anderson M, De Beer EJ (1959) Acetic acid for analgesic screening. Federation Proceeding 18: 418-420.

Kupeli E, Orhan I, Yesilada E (2007) Evaluation of some plants used in Turkish folk medicine for their anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activity. Pharmaceutical Biology 45: 547-555.

Lawrence T, Gilroy DW (2007) Chronic inflammation: a failure of resolution?. International Journal of Experimental Pathology 88: 85-94.

Levy BD, Serhan CN (2003) Exploring new approaches to the treatment of asthma: potential roles for lipoxins and aspirin-triggered lipid mediators. Drugs Today (Barc) 39: 373-384.

Linardi A, Costa SKP, De Silva GR, Antunes E (2002) Involvement of kinins, mast cells and sensory neurons in the plasma exudation and paw edema induced by styphylococcal entrotoxin B in the mouse. European Journal of Pharmacology 399: 235–242.

Losco P (1992) Normal development, growth, and aging of the spleen. In: Pathobiology of the Aging Rat, Mohr U, Dungworth DL, Capen CC (Eds.). ILSI Press, Washington, D.C. Vol. 1 pp.75-94.

Magali B, DeSonza MM, Yunus RA, Lento R, Monache FD, Fillo VC (2000) Antinociceptive activity of 13, 118 Binaringenin, a biflavonoid present in plants of the Guttiferae. Planta Medica 66: 84-86.

Maling HM, Webster ME, Williams MA, Saul W, Anderson WJ (1974) Inflammation induced by histamine, serotonin, bradykinin and compound 48/80 in the rat: antagonists and mechanism of action. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 191: 300-310.

Mantri P, Witiak DT (1994) Inhibition of cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase. Current Medicinal Chemistry 1: 328-355.

Martinez V, Thakura S, Mogilb JS, Tache Y, Mayera EA (1999) Differential effects of chemical and mechanical colonic irritation on behavioral pain response to intraperitoneal acetic acid in mice. Pain 81: 179-186.

Mattison N, Trimple AG, Lasagna I (1998) New drug devlopment in the United States, 1963 through 1984. Clinical Pharmacology and Therapy 43: 290-301.

Mazura MP, Susanti D, Rasadah MA (2007) Anti-inflammatory action of components from *Melastoma malabathricum*. Pharmaceutical Biology 45: 372-375.

Mazzon E, Serraino I, Li JH, Dugo L, Caputi AP, Zhang J, Cuzzocrea S (2001) GPI 6150, a poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor, exhibits an antiinflammatory effect in rat models of inflammation. Europian Journal of Pharmacology 415: 85-94.

Mogil JS, Kest B, Sadowski B, Belknap JK (1996) Differential genetic mediation of sensitivity to morphine in genetic models of opiate antinociception: influence of nociceptive assay. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 276: 532-544.

Moon TC, Murakami M, Kudo I, Son KH, Kim HP, Kang SS, Chang HW (1999) A new class of COX-2 inhibitor, rutaecarpine from *Evodia rutaecarpa*. Inflammation Research 48: 621-625.

Nishida S, Kagawa K, Tomizawa S (1979) Dextran-induced paw edema and 5-hydroxytryptamine release. Biochemical Pharmacology 28: 3149-3150.

Nishida S, Tomizawa S (1980) Effects of compound 48:80 on dextran-induced paw edema and histamine content of inflammatory exudate. Biochemical Pharmacology 29: 1073-1075.

Nolte MA, Hamann A, Kraal G, Mebius RE (2002) The strict regulation of lymphocyte migration to splenic white pulp does not involve common homing receptors. Immunology 106: 299-307.

Nwinyi FC, Ajoku GA, Aniagu SO, Kubmarawa D, Enwerem N, Dzarma S, Inyang US (2006) Pharmacological justification for the ethnomedicinal use of *Amblygonocarpus andongensis* stem bark in pain relief. African Journal of Biotechnology 5: 1566-1571.

Obici S, Otobone FJ, da Silva Sela VR, Ishida K, da Silva JC, Nakamura CV, Cortez DAG, Audi EA (2008) Preliminary toxicity study of dichloromethane extract of *Kielmeyera coriacea* stems in mice and rats. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 115: 131-139.

Ogonowski AA, May SW, Moore AB, Barret LT, O'Bryant CL, Pollock SH (1997) Anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity of an inhibitor of neuropeptide amidation. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 280: 846–853.

Orhan I, Kupeli E, Aslan M, Kartal M, Yesilada E (2006) Bioassay-guided evaluation of anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of pistachio, *Pistacia vera* L. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 105: 235-240.

Osadebe PO, Okoye FBC (2003) Anti-inflammatory effects of crude methanolic extract and fractions of *Alchornea cordifolia* leaves. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 89: 19-24.

Owoyele BV, Nafiu AB, Oyuwole IA, Oyuwole LA, Soladoye AO (2009) Studies on the analgesic, anti-inflammatory and antipyretic effects of *Parquetina nigrescens* leaf extract. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 122: 86-90.

Paget GE, Barnes JM (1964) In: Evaluation of drug activities. Pharmacometrics, Lawrence DR, Bachrach AL (Eds.) Vol. 1, Academic press, New York.

Panthong A, Kanjanapothi D, Taesotikul T, Phankummoon A, Panthong K, Reutrakul V (2004) Anti-inflammatory activity of methanolic extracts from *Ventilago harmandiana* Pierre. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 91: 237-242.

Pearse G (2006) Normal structure, function and histology of the thymus. Toxicologic Pathology 34: 504-514.

Penna SC, Medeiros MV, Aimbire FSC, Faria-Neto HCC, Sertie JAA, Lopes-Martins RAB (2003) Anti-inflammatory effects of the hydroalcoholic extract of *Zingiber officinale* rhizomes on rat paw and skin edema. Phytomedicine 10: 381-385.

Plytycz B, Seljelid R (2003) From inflammation to sickness: historical perspective. Archivum Immunologiae et Therapiae Expperimentalis 51: 105-109.

Posadas I, Bucci M, Roviezzo F, Rossi A, Parente L, Sautebin L, Cirino G (2004) Carrageenan-induced mouse paw oedema is biphasic, age-weight dependent and displays differential nitric oxide cyclooxygenase-2 expression. British Journal of Pharmacology 142: 331-338.

Punchard NA, Whelan CJ, Ian Adcock I (2004) The journal of inflammation. Journal of Inflammation 1: 1-4.

Raghuramulu N, Nair MK, Kalyanasundaram S (1983) A Manual Laboratory Technique, NIN, Indian Council of Medical Research, Jamia Osmania, Hyderabad, pp. 92.

Rao YK, Fang SH, Tzeng YM (2008) Antiinflammatory Activities of Flavonoids and a Triterpene Caffeate Isolated from *Bauhinia variegate*. Phytotherapy Research 22: 957-962.

Ratheesh M, Helen A (2007) Anti-inflammatory activity of *Ruta graveolens* Linn on carrageenan induced paw edema in wistar male rats. African Journal of Biotechnology 6: 1209-1211.

Recio MC, Giner RM, Menez S, Ros JL (1995) Structural requirements for the anti-inflammatory activity of natural triterpenoids. Planta Medica 6: 182-185.

Reitman S, Frankel S (1957) A colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetic and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 28: 56-63.

Ribelin WE (1984) The effects of drugs and chemicals upon the structure of the adrenal gland. Fundamental and Applied Toxicology 4: 105-119.

Robbins SL, Cotran RS, Kumar V, Collins T, Albert DM (1999) Pathological Basis of Disease, W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, PA.

Roe JH, Kuether CA (1943) The determination of ascorbic acid in whole blood and urine through the 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine derivative of dehydroascorbic acid. Journal of Biology and Chemistry 147: 399-407.

Roitt IM (1997) Essential immunology, 9th Ed., Oxford: Blackwell Scientific: Oxford.

Rosol TJ, Yarrington JT, Latendresse J, Capen CC (2001) Adrenal gland: structure, function, and mechanisms of toxicity. Toxicologic Pathology 29: 41-48.

Rowley DA, Benditt EP (1956) 5-Hydroxytryptamine and histamine as mediators of the vascular impurity produced by agents which damage mast cells in rats. Journal of Experimental Medicine 103: 399-415.

Sadowski-Debbing K, Coy JF, Mier W, Hug H, Los M (2002) Caspases - their role in apoptosis and other physiological processes as revealed by knock-out studies. Archivum Immunologiae et Therapiae Expperimentalis 50: 19-34.

Sanchez-Mateo CC, Bonkanka CX, Hernandez-Perez M, Rabanal RM (2006) Evaluation of analgesic and topical anti-inflammatory effects of *Hypericum reflexum* L. fil. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 107: 1-6.

Sayyah M, Hadidi N, Kamalinejad M (2004) Analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity of *Lactuca sativa* seed extract in rats. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 92: 325-329.

Shu XS, Gao ZH, Yang XL (2006) Anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of *Smilax china* L. aqueous extract. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 103: 327-332.

Smith JB, Willis AL (1971) Aspirin selectively inhibits prostaglandin production in human platelets. Nature New Biology 231: 235-237.

Sobota R, Szwed M, Kasza A, Bugno M, Kordula T (2000) Parthenolide inhibits activation of signal transducers and activators of transcription (STATs) induced by cytokines of the IL-6 family. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 267: 329-333. Sosa S, Balick MJ, Arvigo R, Esposito RG, Pizza C, Altinier G, Tubaro A (2002) Screening of the topical anti-inflammatory activity of some Central American plants. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 81: 211-215.

Subbaramaiah K, Telang N, Ramonetti JT, Araki R, Devito B, Weksker BB, Dannenberg AJ (1996) Transcription of cyclooxygenase-2 is enhanced in transformed mammary epithelial cells. Cancer Research 56: 4424-4429.

Suleyman H, Buyukokuroolu ME (2001) The effects of newly synthesized pyrazole derivatives on formaldehyde, carrageenan and dextran-induced acute paw edema in rats. Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin 24: 1133-1136.

Suleyman H, Demirezer LO, Kuruuzum A, Banoglu ZN, Gocer F, Ozbakir G, Gepdiremen A (1991) Anti-inflammatory effect of the aqueous extract from *Rumex patientia* L. roots. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 65:141-148.

Suleyman H, Yyldyrym D, Aslan A, Goçer F, Gepdiremen A, Guvenalp Z (2002) An investigation of the anti-inflammatory effects of an extract from *Cladonia rangiformis* Hoffm. Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin 25: 10-13.

Swingle KF (1974) Anti-inflammatory Agents. Chemistry and Pharmacology, vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, p. 33.

Swingle KF, Shideman FE (1972) Phases of the inflammatory response to subcutaneous implantation of cotton pellet and their modification by certain anti-inflammatory agents. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 183: 226-234.

Tang L, Eaton JW (1995) Inflammatory responses to biomaterials. American Journal of Clinical Pathology 103: 466-471.

Tanna A, Nair R, Chanda S (2009) Assessment of anti-inflammatory and hepatoprotective potency of *Polyalthia longifolia* var. pendula leaf in Wistar albino rats. Journal of Natural Medicines 63: 80-85.

Tapiero H, Ba GN, Couvreur P, Tew KD (2002) Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) and eicosanoids in human health and pathologies. Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy 56: 215-222.

Tjolsen A, Berge OG, Hunskaar S, Rosland JH, Hole K (1992). The formalin test: an evaluation of the method. Pain 51: 5-17.

Trongsakul S, Panthong A, Kanjanapothi D, Taesotikul T (2003) The analgesic, antipyretic and anti-inflammatory activity of Diospyros variegata Kruz. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 85: 221-225.

Vaghasiya Y, Nair R, Chanda S (2007) Investigation of some *Piper* species for antibacterial and anti-inflammatory property. International Journal of Pharmacology 3: 400-405.

Van den Worm E, Beukelman CJ, Van den Berg AJJ, Kores BH, Labadie RP, Van Dijk H (2001) Effects of methoxylation of apocynin and analogs on the inhibition of reactive oxygen species production by stimulated human neutrophils. European Journal of Pharmacology 433: 225-230.

Van Wauve JP, Goosens JG (1989) Arabinolactan and dextran induced ear inflammation in mice: differential inhibition by H1-antihistamines, 5- HT-serotonin antagonists and lipoxygenase blockers. Agents Action 28, 78–82.

Vane JR (1971) Inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis as a mechanism of action for aspirin-like drugs. Nature New Biology 231: 232-235.

Vasudevan M, Gunnam KK, Parle M (2006) Antinociceptive and Antiinflammatory properties of *Daucus carota* seeds extract. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 52: 598-606. Vasudevan M, Gunnam KK, Parle M (2007). Antinociceptive and antiinflammatory effects of *Thespesia populnea* bark extract. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 109: 264-270.

Vatzidis H (1977). A practical procedure for determination of total serum protein in blood. Clinical Chemistry 23: 908-911.

Verpoorte R (1999) Exploration of nature's chemodiversity: the role of secondary metabolites as leads in drug development. Drug Discovery Today 3: 232-238.

Vineger R, Schreiber W, Hugo R (1969) Biphasic development of carrageenan edema in rats. Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics 166: 96-103.

Von Andrian UH, Mempel TR (2003) Homing and cellular traffic in lymph nodes. Nature Reviews Immunology 3: 867-878.

Winter CA, Porter CC (1957) Effect of alteration in side chains upon antiinflammatory and liver glycogen activities in hydrocortisone ester. Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association 46: 515-519.

Winter CA, Risley EA, Nuss GV (1962) Carrageenan-induced edema in hind paw of the rat as an assay for anti inflammatory drugs. Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine 111: 544-547.

Xiao J, Jiang X, Chen X (2005) Antibacterial, anti-inflammatory and diuretic effect of flavonoids from *Marchantia convolute*. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines 2: 244-252.

Yonathan M, Asres K, Assefa A, Bucar F (2006) *In vivo* anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of *Cheilanthes farinose*. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 108: 462-470.



SUMMARY

An estimate of the World Health Organization (WHO) states that around 80% of the world's population consumes traditional herbal medicines. Use of herbal remedies is on the rise in developing and developed countries. Many plant extracts have been used as a source of medicinal agents to cure urinary tract infections, vaginitis, gastrointestinal disorders, respiratory diseases, cutaneous affections, helmintic infections, parasitic protozoan diseases, inflammatory processes, liver diseases etc. Herbal products have served as a major source of drugs for centuries, and about half of the pharmaceuticals in use today are derived from herbal products. The efficacy and safety of herbal medicines have turned the major pharmaceutical population towards medicinal plants research.

With the availability of primary information, further studies can be carried out like phytopharmacology of different extracts, standardization of the extracts, identification and isolation of active principles and pharmacological studies of isolated compounds. This may be followed by development of lead molecules as well as it may serve for the purpose of use of specific extracts in specific herbal formulation.

In recent years, pathogenic microorganisms have developed resistance to antibiotics in response to the indiscriminate use of commercial antimicrobial drugs commonly employed in the treatment of infectious diseases. This situation and the undesirable side effects of certain antibiotics, and the emergence of previously uncommon infections, has forced scientists to look for new antimicrobial substances from various sources, such as medicinal plants.

In the present work, 53 plants were screened for their antimicrobial activity against 15 standard microbial strains (5 Gram positive bacteria, 7 Gram negative bacteria and 3 fungal strains). 53 plants were defatted with petroleum ether and then extracted in methanol and acetone by cold percolation method.

Antimicrobial screening of acetone and methanol extracts of 53 plants was performed by agar disc diffusion method at a concentration of 600 µg/disc. Out of 53 plant extracts, methanol extracts showed 34.72% antibacterial activity against Gram positive bacteria, 19.95% antibacterial activity against Gram negative bacteria and 25.79% against fungal strains studied. Acetone extracts showed 24.53% antibacterial activity against Gram positive bacteria, 19.41% against Gram negative bacteria and 10.69% against fungal strains. Methanol extracts showed more antibacterial activity than acetone extracts. Methanol extracts of Aristolochia indica (AIM), Argemone mexicana (AMM), Mangifera indica (MIM) and Woodfordia fruticosa (WFM) showed higher antimicrobial activity than other extracts. Therefore, these four plant extracts were selected for further antimicrobial activity against 74 clinically isolated microorganisms and 24 standard microorganisms at two different concentrations (600 and 1200 µg/disc). Methanol extract of Mangifera indica showed 100% antibacterial activity against 41 clinically isolated bacterial strains at both the concentrations followed by methanol extract of Aristolochia indica. Methanol extracts of Mangifera indica, Woodfordia fruticosa and Aristolochia indica showed more antibacterial activity against 20 standard bacterial strains. The four extracts did not show any antifungal activity. Eleven antibiotics were studied for antibiotic susceptibility test against 41 clinically isolated bacterial strains and 20 standard bacterial strains. Four antibiotics were studied against 33 clinically isolated *Candida* species and 4 standard fungal strains. Imipenem was the most effective antibiotic against bacterial strains and Nystatin was the most effective antibiotic against fungal strains. From the results it can be concluded that Gram-positive microorganisms more were sensitive to the plant extracts than the Gram-negative microorganisms. This in vitro study demonstrated that folk medicine can be as effective as modern medicine to combat pathogenic microorganisms.

A growing body of evidence indicates that secondary plant metabolites play critical roles in human health and may be nutritionally important. Phytochemical screening of plants has revealed the presence of numerous chemicals including alkaloids, tannins, flavonoids, steroids, glycosides, saponins etc. The results of preliminary qualitative phytochemical study of the crude powder of 53 plants showed presence of alkaloids, tannins, cardiac glycosides, steroids, flavonoids and saponins. Tannins were present in more number of plants followed by cardiac glycosides and steroids. The results of total phenolic and flavonoid content showed that the methanol extract of *Mangifera indica* showed highest total phenolic content, while acetone extract of *Aristolochia bracteolate* showed highest flavonoid content than other plant extracts.

Inflammatory diseases are very common throughout the world and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are the most prescribed drugs for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. The greatest disadvantage in presently available potent synthetic drugs is their toxicity and reappearance of symptoms after discontinuation. Therefore, screening and development of drugs for antiinflammatory activity is still in progress and there is much hope for finding antiinflammatory drugs from indigenous medicinal plants. Carrageenan induced rat paw edema is a suitable *in vivo* model to predict the value of anti-inflammatory agents, which act by inhibiting the mediators of acute inflammation. Methanol extracts of Aristolochia indica, Argemone mexicana, Alpinia speciosa, Causarina equissetifolia, Gymnema sylvestre and Pluchea arguta were screened for acute anti-inflammatory study by carrageenan induced rat paw edema model. Out of six plant extracts, the methanol extract of Pluchea arguta showed a potent antiinflammatory activity followed by Causarina equissetifolia and Alpinia speciosa. Thus, on the bases of availability of plant material and literature search, Pluchea *arguta* (Leaf + stem) was selected for further pharmacognostic, toxicological and pharmacological studies.

Pluchea arguta Boiss is a member of family Asteraceae and about 1000 genera of this family are well known in the world. Different species of *Pluchea* are used in Ayurvedic system of medicine in various diseases. Various pharmacognostic standards like botanical description, microscopy, ash values, extractive values,

microscopical characteristics of powder, heavy metals, pH, solubility, HPTLC and preliminary phytochemical analysis of *Pluchea arguta* (Leaf + stem) was studied for proper identification of the plant. Standardization procedures and pharmacognostical studies of medicinal plants would reduce drastically much of the accidents in wrong prescriptions of traditional herbal medicines.

Toxicity testing in animals is carried out on new drugs to identify potential hazards before administrating them to humans. Acute toxicity study was undertaken to contribute data on the safety of the methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta*. Methanol extract *Pluchea arguta* extract was found to be nontoxic when oral acute toxicity study in mice was performed at single dose level.

Inflammation is a pathophysiological response of mammalian tissues to a variety of hostile agents including infectious organisms, toxic chemical substances, physical injury or tumor growth leading to local accumulation of plasmic fluid and blood cells. Acute and chronic inflammatory diseases are still one of the most important health problems in the world. Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* was studied for anti-inflammatory and analgesic activity by using different models. Methanol extract of *Pluchea arguta* produced dose related acute anti-inflammatory activity (Carrageenan, dextran, histamine and formalin), chronic anti-inflammatory activity (Cotton pellet) and analgesic activity (Acetic acid and formalin). Over all it can be concluded that *Mangifera indica* possessed good antibacterial property and *Pluchea arguta* possessed good anti-inflammatory and analgesic property. Both these plants warrant further investigation to elucidate their mechanism of action.



Fig. 5.1 Macroscopic characteristics of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss.



1- Epidermis; 2- Glandular trichome; 3- Endodermis; 4-Collenchyma; 5- Cork layer; 6- Pericycle; 7- Group of sclaride; 8- Cortex; 9- Phloem; 10- Medullary rays; 11-Scleranchymatous cell; 12- Xylem 13- Pith

Fig. 5.2 Microscopic characteristic s of *Pluchea arguta* Boiss. stem



Fig. 5.4 Powdered characteristics of *Pluchea* arguta Boiss. stem



Mesophyll cell



Stomata



Anisocytic stomata with wavy surface



Multicellular trichome



Phloem fiber



Spiral / annular xylem vessel

Fig. 5.5 Powdered characteristics of *Pluchea* arguta Boiss. leaf



Fig. 5.7 HPTLC fingerprinting of methanol extract of *P. arguta* at (A) 254 nm (B) Visible and (C) 366 nm






С

Fig. 5.6 HPTLC spectra of methanol extract of *P. arguta* at (A) 230 nm (B) 290 nm and (C) 580 nm



1- Multicellular trichome; 2- Cuticle; 3- Upper epidermis; 4- Palisade layer with oil glands; 5- Phloem; 6-Xylem; 7- Lower epidermis; 8- Parenchymatous cells

Fig. 5.3 Microscopic characteristics of Pluchea arguta leaf



Hypoactivity



Passivity



Relaxation



Analgesia



Tremors



Straub tail

Fig. 6.1 Photographs of different behaviour in toxicity study



DRUG ADMINISTRATION (P.O.)



MEASUREMENT OF PAW VOLUME



SUBPLANTAR INJECTION

Fig. 7.1 Photographs of acute anti-inflammatory study

Plate-1 : Photomicrographs of Adrenal & Lymph node





Plate-2 : Photomicrographs of Spleen & Thymus



Plate 1

Fig. 1A: Photomicrograph of respective section of adrenal gland of albino rats from control group (1 X 400 magnification)Md- Medulla; Cr- Cortex; Cp- CapsuleNote: Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 1B: Photomicrograph of respective section of adrenal gland of albino rats from PAM-200 group (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 1C: Photomicrograph of respective section of adrenal gland of albino rats from PAM-400 group (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig.1D: Photomicrograph of respective section of adrenal gland of albino rats from standard group (Indomethacin-2.5) (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 1E: Photomicrograph of respective section of lymph node of albino rats from control group (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 1F: Photomicrograph of respective section of lymph node of albino rats from PAM-200 group (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig.1G: Photomicrograph of respective section of lymph node of albino rats from PAM-400 group (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 1H: Photomicrograph of respective section of lymph node of albino rats from standard group (Indomethacin-2.5) (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Plate 2

Fig. 2A: Photomicrograph of respective section of spleen of albino rats from control group (1 X 100 magnification)Rp- Red pulp; Wp- White pulp; Cp- CapsuleNote: Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 2B): Photomicrograph of respective section of spleen of albino rats from PAM-200 group (1 X 100 magnification)Note: Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 2C: Photomicrograph of respective section of spleen of albino rats from PAM-400 group (1 X 100 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 2D: Photomicrograph of respective section of spleen of albino rats from standard group (Indomethacin-2.5) (1 X 100 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 2E: Photomicrograph of respective section of thymus of albino rats from control group (1 X 400 magnification)Md- Medulla; Lc- Lymphocytes; L- LobuleNote: Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 2F: Photomicrograph of respective section of thymus of albino rats from PAM-200 group (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 2G: Photomicrograph of respective section of thymus of albino rats from PAM-400 group (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture

Fig. 2H: Photomicrograph of respective section of thymus of albino rats from standard group (Indomethacin-2.5) (1 X 400 magnification) **Note:** Normal cytoarchitecture