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## General Remarks

1. Melting points were determined on a Fargo melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.
2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR \& ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on 600 MHz , Brucker AVANCE 600 DRX and 400 MHz , Brucker Top-Spin spectrometers. Making a solution of samples in DMSO- $d_{6}$ solvents using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard unless otherwise mentioned, and are given in the ppm ( $\delta$ ) scale. The standard abbreviations $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{m}$, dd, brs refer to singlet, doublet, triplet, quartet, multiplet, doublet of doublet, and broad singlet respectively.
3. Mass spectra were recorded on Shimadzu GC MS-QP 2010 spectrometer operating at 70 eV using direct injection probe technique.
4. Elemental analyses were done on a Heraeus CHN-O Rapid instrument. The elemental analysis of the newly synthesized derivatives was within $\pm 0.4 \%$ range of the calculated $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ data.
5. High performance liquid chromatography analysis for checking purity of synthesized compounds were recorded on a Hitachi D-2000 Elite instrument: column, Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); mobile phase, MeCN/THF (50:50 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); flow rate, $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; injected sample $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, column temp, $27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; wavelength, 254 nm . The purity of all tested compounds was $\geq 95 \%$ based on analytical HPLC.
6. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck precoated silica G60 $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ glass plates. Visualization of the spots on TLC plates was achieved either by exposure to iodine vapor or UV light.
7. All evaporation of solvents was carried out under reduced pressure on Heidolph LABOROTA-400-efficient.
8. All reported yields are isolated yields after chromatography or crystallization.
9. The chemicals used for the synthesis of intermediates were purchased from Aldrich, Meark, Spectrochem, Sisco Research Laboratories (SRL), Thomas Baker, Sd fine chemicals, Loba chemie and SU-Lab.
10. The structures and names of all compounds given in the experimental section and in physical data table were generated using ChemBio Draw Ultra 12.

## Abbreviations

| AcOH | Acetic Acid |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Acetic Anhydride |
| AIDS | Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome |
| $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ | Aluminum chloride |
| Ar | Aromatic |
| ATO | Arsenic Trioxide |
| BP | Boiling Point |
| CAMP | Cyclic Adenosine Mono Phosphate |
| $\mathrm{CCRF-CEM}$ | Human Lymphoblastic Leukemia |
| CNS | Central Nervous System |
| CO |  |
| Concd | Carbon dioxide |
| CuI | Concentrated |
| CR | Copper(I) iodide |
| CL | Complete Tumor Remission |
| DMAD | Double-Stranded Cross-Linking |
| DAPYs | Dimethyl Acetylenedicarboxylate |
| DMAP | Dimethylamino Pyridine |
| DMF-DEA | N, N-Dimethylformamide Diethyl Acetal |
| DME | Dimethyl Ether |
| DMF | $N, N$-Dimethyl formamide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl Sulfoxide |
| DNA | Deoxy Ribo Nucleic Acid |
| DZQ | Diaziridinylquinone |
| Equiv | Equivalent |
| Et | Ethyl |
| EtOH | Ethanol |
| FDA | Food and Drug Administration |
| $5-F U$ | $5-$-Fluorouracil |
| GABA | Gama-amino butyric acid |
|  |  |


| GC | Gas Chromatography |
| :---: | :---: |
| GC -MS | Gas Chromatography Mass Spectra |
| H1299 | Lung Cancer Cell |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Sulphuric acid |
| $\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ | Tetrafluoroboric acid |
| HCl | Hydrochloric acid |
| HCT-116 | Colon Carcinoma |
| HHPQ | Hexahydropyranoquinoline |
| HIV | Human Immunodeficiency Virus |
| HPLC | High-performance liquid chromatography |
| HT-29 | Human Colon Carcinoma |
| H460 | Human Large Cell Lung Carcinoma |
| Hz | Hertz |
| $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ | Iodine |
| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | Inhibitory Concentration |
| $i-\operatorname{Pr}$ | Iso-Propyl |
| $J$ | Coupling Constants |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Potassium Carbonate |
| $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | Potassium Phosphate |
| KBr | Potassium Bromide |
| KOH | Potassium Hydroxide |
| $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ | Lithium Aluminium Hydride |
| L-1210 | Lymphocytic Leukemia cell line |
| LX-1 | lung |
| $m$ | Meta |
| MDC | Dichloro methane |
| Me | Methyl |
| MMC | Mitomycine C |
| MF | Molecular Formula |
| MHz | Mega Hertz |
| Mmol | Mili moles. |
| MP | Melting Point |
| MS | Mass Spectra |


| MX-1 | Breast Carcinoma |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Sodium Carbonate |
| NADPH | Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate |
| $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | Sodium Bicarbonate |
| $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ | Sodium nitrite |
| NaOH | Sodium Hydroxide |
| NCEs | New Chemical Entities |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| $o$ | Ortho |
| $p$ | Para |
| PBS | Phosphate Buffer Saline |
| PC3 | Human Prostate Cancer |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | Palladium diacetate |
| PI | Propidium Iodide |
| PhMe | Toluene |
| ppm | Parts Per Million |
| PC3 | Prostate Adenocarcinoma |
| PAs | Pyrrolizidine alkaloids |
| OECM1 | Oral Carcinoma |
| QSAR | Quantitative Structural Activity Relationship |
| Q2D $\times 2$ | Every Two Days For Two Times |
| QD $\times 4$ | Every Day For Four Times |
| rt | Room Temperature |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | Retention Factor |
| RNA | Ribo Nucleic Acid |
| SAR | Structure Activity Relationship |
| SK-OV-3 | Human Ovarian Adenocarcinoma |
| SS | Single-Stranded DNA |
| TEA | Triethylamine |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin Layer Chromatography |
| TMS | Tetra Methyl Silane |
| UV | Ultra Violet |


| U87 | Human Glioma |
| :--- | :--- |
| VBL | Vinblastine |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ | Zinc Triflate |
| $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ | Zinc Chloride |

## Section A

## Chapter 1. Introduction

### 1.0 Introduction

Clinically, cancer is a name given to the large family of diseases, maybe a hundred or more, that vary in age of onset, rate of growth, state of cellular differentiation, diagnostic detectability, invasiveness, metastatic potential, and response to treatment and prognosis. ${ }^{1}$ Cancer occurs when cells in a part of the body start to grow out of control or cells become abnormal and forming new cells without any control or order. ${ }^{2}$ All organs of the body are made up of cells. Normally, cells divide to form new cells only when the body needs them. If cells divide when new ones does not need, they form a mass of excess tissue, called a tumor. Tumors can be benign (not cancer) or malignant (cancer). The cells in the malignant tumors can damage and invade nearby tissues and organs. Cancer cells can also break away from the malignant tumor and travel through the bloodstream to form new tumors in other parts of the body. The spread of cancer is called metastasis.

Cancer is a disease that has tormented man throughout recorded history. Among the first to document cancer were the ancient Egyptians, whom 1600 B. C. years ago wrote detailed accounts of breast cancer. ${ }^{3,4}$ Continuing through the ages, cancer was researched and described by numerous historical figures including Greek physician Hippocrates (460-370 B.C.), considered the "Father of Medicine", Galen, and Giovanni Morgagni. It was not until the second half of the $20^{\text {th }}$ century, however, that cancer has been more fully researched and its molecular and cellular basis began to be understood. As a result, many effective treatment regimens have been developed. However, cancer continues to be a major killer. ${ }^{5,6}$

In the world cancer is the second leading cause of death behind heart disease. ${ }^{7}$, ${ }^{8}$ Cancers in all forms are causing about 12 per cent of deaths throughout the world. While In India, Cancer has become one of the ten leading causes of death. ${ }^{9}$ It is estimated that there are nearly 1.5-2 million cancer cases at any given point of time. More than 7 lakh new cases of cancer and 3 lakh deaths occur annually due to cancer. ${ }^{10}$ Nearly 15 lakh patients require facilities for diagnosis, treatment and follow up at a given time. Data from the National Cancer Registry Programme indicate that the leading sites of cancer are oral cavity, lungs, esophageal, cervical and colic amongst men and cervix, and breast amongst women. Cancers namely those of oral
and lungs in males, and cervix and breast in females account for over $50 \%$ of all cancer deaths in India.

From the average diagnosis, most common types of cancer have seen at age 67. Although cancer is relatively rare in children, still it is a leading cause of death between ages 1 and 14 . Millions of people alive today have had some type of cancer. Of these, about half are considered cured. The good news is that more and more people are now being cured of their cancers. This progress is due to better techniques of diagnosis and treatment.

In many cases, the causes of cancer are not clear, but both external and internal factors play a role. Due to the complex nature of cancer development, it is not possible to pinpoint one specific agent as the cause of cancer. ${ }^{11-13}$ Rather, it is most likely due to a number of contributing factors. These factors include exposure to certain chemicals, action of viruses, exposure to radiation, and heredity. Today we recognize and avoid many specific substances that cause cancer: coal tars and their derivatives (like benzene), some hydrocarbons, aniline (a substance used to make dyes), asbestos, and others. Radiation from variety of sources, including the sun, is known to cause cancer. To ensure the public safety, the government has set standards for many substances, including benzene, asbestos, hydrocarbons in the air, arsenic in drinking water, and radiation. Collectively, these are known as risk factors. Brief risk factors are summarized in Table 1.1

Table 1.1 Risk factors for the development of cancer.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sr. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Risk Factor | Mechanism of Action | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Chemicals | - Damage DNA, leading to mutations | - Encountered in the manufacture of dyes, chemicals, petroleum products <br> - Associated with tobacco usage, general environmental pollution, diet, some |


|  |  |  | medicines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Radiation | - Damage DNA, leading to mutations | - UV, ionizing radiation, radioactive elements |
| 3 | Viruses | - Not thought to induce Cancer <br> - Participate in early stages leading to cancer | - Epstein-Barr virus, human papilloma Virus, hepatitis B virus, HIV |
| 4 | Heredity | - Transmission of a single gene increases likelihood of cancer | - Inherited cancers are commonly diseases of childhood: retinoblastoma, Wilm's tumor <br> - Adult diseases: colon and breast carcinoma |
|  |  | - Rare hereditary diseases increase likelihood of cancer | - Xenoderma Pigmentosum, Ataxia telangiectasia |

### 1.1 Cancer Prevention

The best way to reduce deaths from cancer is to prevent it. Medical doctors generally agree that about one-third of all human cancers are directly related to cigarette smoking. ${ }^{1}$ For smokers, the risk of cancer is much higher than that of the nonsmokers. Excluding the UV rays of sunlight which cause skin cancer, the next most common cited cancer-causing factor is diet. The National Cancer Institute and the American Cancer Society recommend a diet low in fat, high in natural fiber, and rich in fruits and vegetables. On the other hand Chemoprevention is simply prevention with drugs. The word "drugs" is used to include dietary supplements, hormones, and vitamins etc., as well as real drugs such as aspirin and other synthetic agents used for therapeutic purposes. The number of chemopreventive agents is increasing. ${ }^{14}$

### 1.2 Cancer Treatments

There are three main strategies for cancer treatment: surgery, radiation and chemotherapy, which are used to attempt the damage cancer cell. The role of each depends upon types of tumor and the stage of its development.

### 1.2.1 Surgery $^{15}$

Surgery is the oldest and still the most common local treatment for cancer. Surgery is a procedure to remove or repair a part of the body. Surgery also can help to decrease the tumor bulk and, along with other treatment measures, may provide a cure for certain cancers. However, surgery is not always the best answer. It generally works best on slow-growing cancers.

### 1.2.2 Radiation therapy ${ }^{16}$

Similar to surgical intervention, radiation therapy is a localized treatment. Radiation therapy is a use of high-energy radiation from x-rays, gamma rays, neutrons, protons, and other sources to treat cancer cells. Radiation may come from a machine outside the body (external-beam radiation therapy), or it may come from radioactive material placed in the body near cancer cells (internal radiation therapy). Systemic radiation therapy uses a radioactive substance, such as a radiolabeled monoclonal antibody, that travels in the blood to tissues throughout the body. Radiation therapy is used at some point in the treatment of more than half of all cancer cases.

### 1.2.3 Chemotherapy ${ }^{17}$

Chemotherapy is the use of cancer-fighting medications to stop the growth of malignant cells. During Second World War, naval personnel who were exposed to mustard gas as a result of a military action were found to have toxic effects on the bone marrow cells that developed into blood cells. In the course of that observation, compound called nitrogen mustard was studied and found to work against a cancer of the lymph nodes called lymphoma. The development and use of chemotherapy drugs (chemo) have resulted in the successful treatment of many people with cancer. It works by either killing the cells or preventing them from dividing. Many of cancers can be controlled with chemotherapy for a long period of time, even if they are not cured.

### 1.2.4 Other therapies

Targeted therapy is a type of medication that blocks the growth of cancer cells by interfering with specific targeted molecules needed for carcinogenesis and tumor growth, rather than by simply interfering with rapidly dividing cells. ${ }^{18}$

Immunotherapy is the use of the immune system to reject cancer. The main premise is stimulating the patient's immune system to attack the malignant tumor cells that are responsible for the disease. This can be either through immunization of patient (e.g., by administering a cancer vaccine) or through the administration of therapeutic antibodies as drugs. ${ }^{19}$

Hormone therapy inhibited the growth of some cancers by providing or blocking certain hormones. Common examples of hormone-sensitive tumors include certain types of breast and prostate cancers. ${ }^{20}$

### 1.3 Type of different cytotoxic agents

Agents for cancer chemotherapy are often organized into groups according to their origin or mechanism of action. The six major classes of agents include the alkylating agents, antimetabolites and nucleoside analogs, antitumor antibiotics, antimitotic, hormonal, and miscellaneous agents. The mechanism of action, drug group, and relevant examples of each class are shown in Table 1.2

Table 1.2 Classification of cytotoxic drug, including their mechanism of action, drug group, and example drug. ${ }^{21}$

| Sr. <br> No. | Class of <br> Anticancer | Mechanism <br> of Action | Drug Group | Example Drug |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Alkylating <br> Agents | React with <br> DNA | Aliphatic <br> Nitrogen <br> Mustard | Mechlorethamine |
|  |  |  | Aromatic <br> Nitrogen <br> Mustard | Melphalan, <br> Chlorambucil |
|  |  |  | Phospharamide | Cyclophosphamide, <br> Ifosfamide |


|  |  |  | Nitrosourea | Carmustine, Lomustine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Antimetabolites <br> \& Nucleoside <br> Analogs | Interact with cellular Enzymes | Pyrimidine <br> Antimetabolites | 5-Fluorouracil, Cytarabine, Gemcitabine |
|  |  |  | Purine <br> Antimetabolites | 6-Mercaptopurine, <br> 6-Thioguanine |
| 3 | Antitumor <br> Antibiotics | Intercalate <br> DNA, <br> formation of free radicals | Streptomyces | Doxorubicin, Mitomycin C, E0-9, <br> Bleomycin |
| 4 | Antimitotic | Interfere with microtubule function | Vinca <br> Alkaloids | Vincristine, Vinblastine, Vinorelbine |
|  |  |  | Taxanes | Paclitaxel, Docetaxel |
| 5 | Hormonal | Bind to receptors | Antiestorgenes | Tamoxifen, Estramustine |
| 6 | Miscellaneous | Various interactions with cellular DNA, inhibiting replication, transcription | Platinum Complex | Cisplatin, Carboplatin, Tetraplatin |
|  |  |  | Enzymes | L-asparagina |
|  |  |  | Other class | Hydroxyurea, <br> Mitotane, <br> Camptothecins, <br> Topotecan |

### 1.4 Alkylating Agents

Alkylating agents are the oldest class of anticancer drugs which are still commonly used; they play a vital role in the treatment of varieties of cancers. ${ }^{22-24}$ Alkylating agents that induce permanent DNA damage are often exhibits potent antitumor activity. ${ }^{25}$ A range of DNA alkylating agents is known including monofunctional alkylating (reacts only one DNA strand to form genotoxic monoadducts) and bifunctional alkylating drugs. The latter were found to intrastrand cross-links, interstrand crosslinks, inter-helix or DNA-protein cross-links (ICLs) on DNA, which resulted in more potent and efficacious agents. ${ }^{26}$ Currently, a variety of bifunctional alkylating agents are widely used for the treatment of patients with malignant diseases.

### 1.5 Naturally Occurring DNA Bifunctional Alkylating agents

### 1.5.1 Mitomycine C and its analogues

The existence of bioactive compounds in plants and other natural sources has played an important role in the development of new drugs. ${ }^{27,28}$ For e.g., In the 1950s, the Mitomycines were identified as a new and potent class of antibiotics agents. ${ }^{29,30}$ The mitomycines displays a wide array of substitution patterns inherent to core structure of pyrrolo[1,2- $a$ ]indole. ${ }^{31-33}$ (Figure 1) Originally isolated from Streptomyces caespitosus in Japan, one of the members of the family, Mitomycine C (MMC, 1) has been use for antitumor antibiotic activity. ${ }^{34-39}$ They have obtained a prominent place in cancer chemotherapy as a DNA bifunctional alkylating agents and used to treat a verity of tumors. ${ }^{40-44}$


Mitomycin C (MMC), $\mathbf{1}$


Profiromycin, 4


Mitomycin A, 2


N-Methylmitomycin A, 5


Mitomycin B, 3


Mitiromycin, 6

Figure 1. Examples of naturally occurring Mitomycine derivatives.

The synthetic analogue of the antitumor antibiotic Mitomycin C, EO-9 (7) is a bioreductive alkylating agent indoloquinone which serves as a target for nucleophilic DNA and capable to cross-linked with DNA. ${ }^{45}$ The aziridinylquinone is a derivative of banzoquinone, represented perhaps the simplest of the mitomycine C like prodrugs. ${ }^{46}$ Most studied aziridinylquinone derivative are the simple unsubstituted diaziridinylquinone (DZQ, 8) and the more clinically promising quinone derivative is AZQ (9), active against human brain tumors. ${ }^{47}$


Figure 2. Examples of DNA alkylating Quinone derivatives

Mitomycine C itself inactive, ${ }^{48}$ which is activated by chemical $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4},{ }^{49}\right.$ $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4},{ }^{49} \mathrm{H}_{2},{ }^{49,50} \mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{Na} / h v^{51}$ ), electrochemical ${ }^{52,53}$ or enzymatic (old yellow enzyme, ${ }^{54}$ NADPH-cytochrome $c$ reductase/NADPH, ${ }^{55,56}$ xanthine oxidase/NADH, ${ }^{55,56}$ DT-diaphorase ${ }^{55,57}$ ) reduction of the quinone moiety to
semiquinone or hydroquinone to initiate alkylation. Iyer and Szybalski ${ }^{49}$ proposed a mechanism for the activation and alkylation reactions, their mechanism was purely based on structural considerations and chemical precedent shown in Scheme 1. While its structure exhibits similarities to other quinone natural products, Initial One or twoelectron reduction of the quinone ring $\mathbf{1}$ to either the semiquinone or the hydroquinone (10). ${ }^{58-60}$ Generation of hydroquinone facilitates the loss of the methoxy group, leading to the formation of the hydroquinone intermediate (11). Tautomerization followed by the reaction with the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-amino group of guanine produces monoadduct (14). Elimination of the carbamoyl group producesd the highly reactive vinylogous hydroquinone methide intermediate (15), which alkylates the guanine on the opposite strand of DNA to produce (16), after oxidation, an interstrand cross-link (17).


Scheme 1. Proposed mechanism of DNA cross-linking by two electron reductive activation of Mitomycine C.

### 1.5.2 Pyrrolizidine alkaloids

Other natural products, Pyrrolizidine alkaloids (PAs) are constituents of over 6000 species of plants, most notably Boraginaceae, Graminae, Leguminosae and Compositae, insects including various species of butterflies and even animals such as amphibians. They elicit hepatotoxic, carcinogenic, antineoplastic and genotoxic activity, primarily via DNA cross-linking. ${ }^{61}$ Their ubiquitous presence, as well as their interesting biological activity is through the formation of DNA-protein cross-links. ${ }^{62}$

The common structural feature of all these alkaloids is the presence of a functionalized pyrrolizidine moiety - the necine base. ${ }^{61}$ Although a large variety of necine bases have been isolated (Figure 3), most of the alkaloids contain retronecine (20) as their pyrrolizidine portion.


Figure 3. Example of naturally occurring common necine bases.

The mechanism of DNA cross-linking by pyrrolizidine alkaloids (e.g. retrorsine, 23) has been extensively investigated. Inhibition of DNA synthesis in pyrrolizidine alkaloids treated liver-slices, corroborated with the lack of a similar effect in lung tissue cultures. ${ }^{63}$ It is well established mechanism of action involving oxidative activation of the pyrrolizidine moiety by chemical oxidation in vitro or hepatic oxidation in vivo. ${ }^{64}$ Further studies demonstrated that the retronecine portion of (23) is oxidized by liver cytocrome P 450 to a highly reactive pyrrole (26) ${ }^{65}$ (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Mechamism of oxidatvie pyrrolizidine alkaloid activation

Conjugation with the pyrrole nitrogen lone pair makes the C-7 and C-9 positions of (26) highly reactive toward nucleophilic attack. Thus, such species is extremely reactive was reported by Niwa et al. ${ }^{65}$

Along with mitomycin C (1) and pyrrolizidine alkaloids (23), several other naturally occurring or synthetic antitumor agents contains at least one, often two or three, reactive electrophilic centers in the molecule through which they exert their anticancer activity. For examples (Figure 4), aflatoxin B1(27), ${ }^{66} 7,8$-dihydroxy-9,10-epoxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrobenzo $[a]$ pyrene (BPDE) (28), ${ }^{67}$ naphthoquinone $\beta$ lapachone (29), ${ }^{68}$ anthramycin (30), ${ }^{69}$ azinomycin B (31), ${ }^{70}$ anthracycline derivatives (i.e. doxorubicin, 32), ${ }^{71}$ cyclopropylpyrroloindoles (i.e. duocarmycin, 33). ${ }^{72}$


Studies involving the structure-activity relationship among pyrrolizidine alkaloids suggest that although the presence of the unsaturated pyrrolizidine base (retronecine) is the most important element for the cross-linking capacity of these compounds, there are other, more subtle structural features modulating both the potency and preference of various alkaloids for different types of DNA lesions. The patterns of proteins crosslinked to DNA are similar to those induced by standard bifunctional alkylating agents such as mitomycin C. ${ }^{73}$

Hopkins et al. have demonstrated that pyrrolizidine alkaloids metabolites target the same $5^{\prime} \mathrm{GpC} 3$ ' DNA sequence as antitumor antibiotic mitomycin C (1) albeit with less selectivity. ${ }^{74}$ These findings can be explained by the structural similarities between the alkylating moiety of active mitomycin metabolite 34 and activated pyrrolizidine alkaloids the added sequence promiscuity. In the case of pyrrolizidine alkaloids suggest the lack of some molecular recognition constituents inherent to the mitomycins (Figure 5).


Figure 5

### 1.6 Synthetic DNA Bifunctional Alkylating agents

Structure-activity relationship studies with many of these natural products are often limited by the small quantities of material available and by the relatively limited number of modifications which actually can be performed on these complex molecules. On the other hand, to synthesize this simple molecules for SAR study; one can use biological active natural products as the base template. ${ }^{75-77}$ Based on structural characteristics of the mitomycin C and pyrrolizidine alkaloids, Hopkins et al. have demonstrated that dehydroretrorsine (36), and dehydromonocrotaline (37), dehydroretronecine diacetate (38), 2,3-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1-methylpyrrole (39), and 3,4-bis(acetoxymethyl)-1-methylpyrrole (40) also cross-linked with DNA in same manner like MMC targets, with a high degree of selectivity for $5-\mathrm{CpG} 3$. ${ }^{78,79}$


In additionally, Anderson et al. ${ }^{80,81}$ have designed and synthesized several "acylated vinylogous carbinolamine" tumor inhibitors including (Figure 6); 1-Phenyl-2,5-dimethy1-3,4-bis(hydroxymethyl)-pyrrole-bis(N-methylcarbamate) (41a-j) ${ }^{80}$ and 1,2-dimethyl-3,4-bis(hydroxymethyl)-5-phenylpyrrol bis(N-methylcarbamate) (42ar) ${ }^{81}$ also demonstrated to have DNA interstrand cross-linking activity as same as MMCs.


Figure 6

The rationale design of these agents is based on the concept that these agents can act as bifunctional electrophiles in which [(carbamoy1)oxyl]methyl groups serve as reactive electrophilic centers. The SAR studies of these agents against P338 lymphocytic leukemia revealed that the compounds having 1-substituted derivatives 41, compounds possessed significant reproducible activity against the P-388 lymphocytic leukemia (PS) assay with the lowest dose of $12.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Activity at this dose ranged from $\% \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{C}=190-132$ for compounds 41e and 41c, respectively. In the series of C-2 substituted derivatives 42 the electronic and lipophilic properties of the substituent X can be varied rather extensively without loss of significant antileukemic activity.

In the course of exploring the structure-biological activity relationships of pyrrole-derived bifunctional alkylating agents, a series of 6,7-disubstituted pyrrolizine diesters have been synthesized and evaluated for antitumor studies. ${ }^{82}$


Figure 7

The potential electrophilic reactivity of the allylic esters in 43 (via $O$-alkyl cleavage) will be enhanced by participation of the ring nitrogen similar to that of MMC and PAs. All of these compounds exhibited significant antileukemic activity against P-388 in animal model. Of these derivatives, bis(methylcarbamate) derivative 44 showed potent activity at lowest dose tested, $0.78 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $\mathbf{4 5}$, afforded "cures" at dose levels as low as $12.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. Several other compounds showed high activity against P-388 over a four-fold dose range without appeared acute toxicity. The most potent compound of this class was 5-(3,4-dichloropehnyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrrolizidine-6-7-bis(isopropylcarbamate) (46), ${ }^{83}$ which had significant reproducible antitumor activity against a broad range of experimental murine neoplasias and humor tumor xenografts in nude mice. This compound was selected for more extensive preclinical studies, ${ }^{84}$ but there was no further information has been published whether this agent was in clinical trials.

Studies on the structure-activity relationships of derivatives of 5phenylpyrrolizines 43 revealed that the nature of $R$ and $R^{1}$ substituent significantly affected both chemical reactivity and biological activity of the system. The chemical reactivity of $\mathbf{4 3}$ toward nucleophiles was found that compounds having electrondonating substituent(s) (OMe) on the phenyl ring were generally less cytotoxic than those compounds bearing electron-withdrawing substituent(s) (halogen). While, the in vivo antitumor activities were comparable or slightly less potent in compounds having an electron-donating substituent. These studies also suggested that the lipophilicity of compound might affect its antitumor potency. The reactivity of this system depended upon the $\pi$-electron density in the pyrrole ring and the ability of the $\mathrm{C}-5$ substituent
$(\mathrm{R})$ to stabilize the formation of the positive charge on the heterocyclic nitrogen atom during the displacement of the ester moieties (via O-alkyl cleavage). In general, the electrophilic reactivity can be controlled by the electronic influence of the C-5 substituent. The size of the R1 substituent does not appear to have any significant effect upon potency, activity, or toxicity.

The water soluble analogues of (46, IPP) were also prepared for the oral availability, Anderson \& co-workers have designed and synthesized several series of compounds to study their antitumor effect against P388 lymphocytic leukemia and B16 melanocarcinoma in mice. ${ }^{85}$ Among these agents, alcohol derivative 47a (where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ showed to have comparable activity to 46 with a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, while 47b (where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ) showed less active and 47 d with very low activity. In order to prepared more lipophilic compounds, the same authors have synthesized hetero analogues of $\mathbf{4 3}$ with general formula $\mathbf{4 8}^{86}$ in which the $\mathrm{C}-2$ methylene was replaced by $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{SO}$ or $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. However, these agents were found to be less cytotoxic as compare to (46, IPP). The water soluble derivatives 49 were also synthesized by using prodrug approach in which an $\alpha$-halopyridinium moiety as the pyrrolizines C-5 substituent. ${ }^{87}$ The 4- and 5-pyrrolizinyl-2-halopyridinium iodides and the corresponding pyridones were evaluated against P388 lymphocytic leukemia in vivo. The compounds having small size ( $\alpha$-fluoropyridinium) were active but the $\alpha$-chloro compounds were not. Compounds were active in the P388 screen, were evaluated in L1210 leukemia, M5076 carcinoma, and MX-1 mammary xenograft assays in mice.


47
a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$
c, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOK}$
d, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOX}$
$\mathrm{X}=4$-(dimethylamino)pyridine


48
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{SO}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, 3,4-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}$


49

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \\
& \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \\
& \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{I} \\
& \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{I} \\
& \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{I}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 8

From this observation, Lalezari et al. have prepared 1-Thia analogues of 46 (IPP). ${ }^{88}$ Of these derivatives compound having $\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{F}$ and di- Cl were equipotent $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ $=1.5,1.6$, and $1.9 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respective) against the HL-60 (human leukemia) cells as compare to $46\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ while $4-\mathrm{Cl}(51)$ derivative showed an increase potency of $75 \%\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.85 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. All derivatives were also cytotoxic against HT-29 (human colon carcinoma) cells as similar inhibition of 46.


Figure 9

Further Anderson and group have also synthesized a different of heterocyclic analogs (52-56) $)^{89}$ of pyrroles ( $\mathbf{4 1}$ and 42) to examine differ from one and other in several aspects-aromaticity, pKa , lipophilicity, dipole moments, charge-transfer donor/acceptor. All prepared derivatives were tested for antileukemic activity against murine P-338 lymphocytic leukemia. None of the compounds was active when compared to 41 and 42. It was shown that electrophilic reactivity is one requirement for antineoplastic activity in these bis(carbamates). It was also evident that the bis(carbamates) are not functioning as carbamoylating agents since so many of these nonpyrrole bis(carbamates) derivative were inactive.


Figure 10

The rational used for the design of $\mathbf{4 6}$ (IPP) Anderson et al. have extended to imidazoles nucleus to prepared water soluble derivatives. ${ }^{90}$ Appropriate electron donating or electron withdrawing substituents were added to the imidazole ring to modulate the reactivity of the two electrophilic centers. The potent advantage of the imidazole is that it is sufficiently basic to allow for the preparation of salts to enhance water solubility. All synthesized imidazole derivatives were tested against murine P388 lymphocytic leukemia. The structure activity relationship studies of this series of compounds were emerged that the electron-withdrawing susbstituents at either $\mathrm{N}-1$ of C-2 gave rise to inactive compounds. However, the electron-donating substituents gave active compounds and the 2-(methylthio)-1-methyl derivatives 58 (carmethizole) was found to be most potent. The derivative 58, were also found to be active against the MX-1 mammary xenograft, the human amelanotic melanoma cell line LOX xenograft, the M-5076 sarcoma, and L-1210 lymphocytic leukemia. Finally, 58 was reached for clinical evaluation. ${ }^{91-93}$


Studies on the mechanism of action showed that the bis(carbamate)pyrroles (41 or 42) or pyrrolizines derivatives (43-51) exert their antitumor effect was
probably via a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ electrophilic reaction (Scheme 3). ${ }^{79,82}$ These derivatives are capable of forming DNA interstrand cross-link with the short oligonucleotide 5'ACGT at the $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{CG}$ residues at the minor groove region. ${ }^{79}$


Scheme 3. The proposed mechanism of DNA bis-alkylation by pyrrolizidine derivatives

These agents are not carbamoylating agents; instead the carbamate moieties are leaving groups in an alkyl-oxygen cleavage mechanism (60). The reactions take place on methylenic carbons bonded directly to a heteroaromatic pyrrole nucleus (61). The role of the heteroaromatic system is to stabilize reaction transition states, and this provides a means to control the reactivity of the two electrophilic centers. Control may be achieved through the alteration of the heteroaromatic system.

The degree of conjugation observed in any biaryl system is related to the capability of the system to adopt a coplanar conformation. Studies on comparison of nonbonding interactions in C-5-phenyl pyrroles (42a-r) and C-5-phenyl pyrrolizines (43-51) revealed that two significant nonbonded interactions exist in the 5phenylpyrrole derivatives (65, Figure 12) between the ortho hydrogens of the phenyl substituent and the hydrogens on the N-1 methyl group and the C-4 methylene. ${ }^{94}$ While, one of these nonbonded interactions is absent in the pyrrolizine compounds (66, Figure 12): the pyrrolizine C-3 methylene hydrogens lie outside the van der

Waals radii of the ortho-hydrogen atoms of the C-5 phenyl ring and demonstrated that the phenyl and pyrrole rings in $\mathbf{4 3}$ are coplanar (or very nearly so). ${ }^{94}$


65


66

Figure 12. A comparison of nonbonded interactions in pyrrole and pyrrolizine derivatives.

On the basis of this observation, several new set of analogues that possess angular tricyclic structures to limit the deviation from coplanatiry of the phenyl and pyrrole rings. Specifically, tricyclic derivative of pyrrolo[2,1- $a$ ]isoquinolines was first designed and evaluated for antitumor activity against P388 lymphocytic leukemia. ${ }^{94}$ It was demonstrated that the pyrrolo[2,1-a]isoquinolin-bis(isopropylcarbamate) 67a exhibited the best activity of the compounds tested. The carbamate derivative 67a were also found broad spectrum of activity against the various human tumors xenongraft (MX-1 breast, CX-1 colon and LX-1 lung) and murine tumors (B16 melanocarcinoma, L1210 lymphoid leukemia, CD8F $_{1}$ mammary and lewis lung carcinoma colon 38). However, the tricyclic compounds revealed to be more potent than pyrrole and pyrrolizine derivatives.


Figure 13

On the potency of 67, several other angular tricyclic pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinolines (68a-b), pyrrolo $[1,2-a]$ benzazepines (69a-b) and pyrrolo[2,1-a]isobenzazepines (70) were also synthesized and evaluated for antileukemic activity (Figure 13). The bis(carbamates) (68-70) were tested in vivo against P388 lymphocytic leukemia. In the pyrrolo[2,1-a]isoquinoline series (67a-b), the C-3 methyl group had more pronounced effect on activity and toxicity than the C-7 methoxy group where as pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinolines were less active. ${ }^{94,95}$ The pyrrolo[1,2-a]benzazepinebis(carbamates) 69a and 69b showed approximately equivalent activity to the comparable pyrrolo[1,2-a]quinolines. The fused benzazepines 70 were less potent and more toxic than the corresponding pyrrolo[2,1-a]isoquinoline (67a). ${ }^{95}$ It was concluded that ring fusion and ring substitution do alter the potency, activity and toxicity of the bis(carbamates) derivatives. It was also indicated that compounds with the phenyl ring attached directly to the pyrrole nitrogen will have different structureactivity requirements from the compounds in which the phenyl ring is attached to the pyrrole $\alpha$-carbon.

Recently, Su et al. have designed and synthesized a series of DNA bifunctional alkylating agents (Figure 14), bis(hydroxymethyl)-8H-3aazacyclopenta $[a]$ indene-1-yl derivatives and their bis(methylcarbamate) derivatives (71). ${ }^{96}$ These agents can be considered as "benzologues" of bis(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolizines and were able to cross-link to DNA double strands. It was demonstrated that these analogues exhibited potent antitumor activity against human lymphoblastic leukemia and various solid tumor cell growths in vitro and potent antitumor efficacy in vivo with a relatively low toxicity. Detailed SAR studies
were demonstrated that the size and electron properties of the substituent at C-3 affected the cytotoxicity of these agents. Remarkably, complete tumor remission (CR) in nude mice bearing human breast carcinoma MX-1 xenograft by bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives (72 and 73, Figure 14) and bis(methylcarbamate) derivatives (74 and 75) were achieved. Interestingly, compound 73 was able to significantly suppress against prostate adenocarcinoma PC3 xenograft in nude mice. Studies on the DNA interstrand cross-linking suggested that these derivatives were potent bifunctional DNA cross-linking agents. Furthermore, 3a-azacyclopenta[a]indene derivatives were able to induce substantial G2/M phase arrest of the cell cycle.


In additionally, they also demonstrated that the effect of combining alkylating agents 74 and arsenic trioxide (ATO, DNA repair inhibitor) significantly suppressed human large cell lung carcinoma H460 xenograft ( $>82 \%$ ) and cisplatin-resistant NTUB1/P human bladder carcinoma xenografts ( $>92 \%$ ) in nude mice. ${ }^{97}$ From this observation, it was concluded that a combination of bifunctional alkylating agents and ATO may be a rational approach for treating cancers with inherited or acquired drug resistance. These exciting results provoked to continue designing and synthesizing new bis(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolizine analogues for antitumor studies.

### 1.7 Rational Drug Design of Bis(hydroxymethyl) and their Bis(alkylcarbamates) Derivatives.

As mentioned previously, pyrrolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines (67, Figure 13) bear a angular tricyclic ring system and it showed best activity of the other angular tricyclic (68-70, Figure 13). Moreover, tricyclic derivatives do appear to be most cytotoxic
than pyrrole and pyrrolizine derivatives. Based on the potent antitumor activities and mechanism of action of MMC (1) and pyrrolo[2,1-a]isoquinolines (67), to investigate whether analogues of 67 with a linear tricyclic ring system also possess potent antitumor activity. In medicinal chemistry term, the molecule differing one from another by only a methylene group is called homologues. By using ring enlargement approach in 3a-azacyclopenta[a]indene (71) derivatives to design and manipulation of the original B ring systems, it is great interest to synthesize a series of new linear bis(hydroxymethyl) of 5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines and their bis(alkylcarbamates) (76) derivatives having structure in Figure 15. One can expect that the newly synthesized compounds might be able to cross-link to the macromolecular DNA via a similar mechanism of action as that of pyrrolizines derivatives. (Scheme 4)


Figure 15


Scheme 4. Proposed mechanism of action of 5,10-dihydro-pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives
The chemical synthesis of bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2$b]$ isoquinolines and their bis(alkylcarbamates) derivatives are described in the

Chapter 2. The antitumor activities, in vitro, in vivo evaluation and mechanism of action of these agents are described in the Chapter 3.

## Section A

Chapter 2. Synthesis and
Characterization of bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives

### 2.0 Chemistry

The synthetic route for bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2$b]$ isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives (81) and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives (82-84) is shown in Scheme 1. The known 3-carboxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline (78) was synthesized by treating the commercially available D,L-phenylalanine (77) with formaldehyde and conc. HCl by following the procedure developed by Dean. ${ }^{98}$ Compound 78 was N -acylated by treating with various acid chlorides ( $\mathrm{R}^{1} \mathrm{COCl}$ ) in presence of 2 N NaOH to give N -acyl-3-carboxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinolines (79bj) by following the literature procedure ${ }^{99,100}$ Compounds $\mathbf{7 9 b} \mathbf{- j}$ were then reacted with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) in acetic anhydride at $60-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded 5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl esters (80b-j). The methyl ester derivative 80a $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ was prepared in good yields directly from 78 by reacting with acetic anhydride and DMAD. ${ }^{101}$ The ester function of $\mathbf{8 0 a} \mathbf{- j}$ were reduced to bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives 81a-j by treating with $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ in a mixture of ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compounds 81a-j were then further treated with methyl-, ethyl- or iso-propylisocyanate in presence of triethylamine (TEA) to furnish the desired bis(alkylcarbamate)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1-yl derivatives (82-84) in good to high yields. The cycloaddition reaction mechanism of 79b-j with DMAD is depicted in Scheme 2. The reaction of N -acyl-3-carboxy-1,2,3,4tetrahydroisoquinolines ( $\mathbf{7 9 b} \mathbf{- j}$ ) with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) in presence of acetic anhydride provides mesoionic oxazolone intermediates 85b-j. 1,3dipolar addition ${ }^{102}$ of $\mathbf{8 5 b}$-j give 86b-j which spontaneously eliminate $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to give diester 80a-j. Table 2.1 and 2.2 show the yields and the physical data of these compounds.

### 2.1 Reaction Scheme

2.1.1 Scheme 1 Synthetic routes for bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2$b$ ]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives (81) and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives (82-84).



82a, 82e, 82f, $82 \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$
81a-j
80a-j
83a-j $\left(R^{2}=E t\right)$
84a-j ( $\left.\mathrm{R}^{2}=i-\mathrm{Pr}\right)$
a: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}$
f: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{diF}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$
b: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}$
g: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{diCl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$
c: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$
h: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
d: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=4 '-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
i: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-di-MeO- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$
e: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
j: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$ 'tri-MeO-C $\mathrm{C}_{6}$

Reagents and conditions: (i) 37 \% Formalin/Conc. HCl , reflux; (ii) Acid chloride, $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH} /$ acetone, room temperature; (iii) $\mathrm{DMAD} / \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
(v) $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{NCO} / \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, room temperature.
2.1.2 Scheme 2 Plausible reaction mechanism of 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction of 80a-j.


Table 2.1 Yields and physical data of the compounds 79b-j and 80a-j.


| Compd. | BO No. | Substitute $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79b | 1473 | Et | 87 | 173-174 | CHN |
| 79c | 1471 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 78 | 168-169 | CHN |
| 79d | 1477 | 4'-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 98 | 179-180 | CHN |
| 79e | 1114 | 4'-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 93 | 77-79 | CHN |
| 79f | 1110 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ 'di-F-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 95 | 89-91 | CHN |
| 79 g | 1507 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-di- $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 90 | 101-102 | CHN |
| 79h | 1103 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 86 | 178-180 | CHN |
| 79 i | 1474 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-$ di-MeO-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 62 | 235-236 | CHN |
| 79j | 1486 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5 '$-tri-MeO-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 98 | 186-189 | CHN |
| $80 a^{a}$ | 1105 | Me | 78 | 152-154 | CHN |
| 80b | 1489 | Et | 93 | 88-89 | CHN |
| 80c | 1472 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 68 | 137-138 | CHN |
| 80d | 1479 | 4'-F-C6 ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 58 | 149-150 | CHN |
| 80e | 1115 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 64 | 164-166 | CHN |
| $80 f$ | 1111 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-di-F- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 67 | 142-144 | CHN |
| 80g | 1508 | 3',4'-di-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 64 | 169-170 | CHN |
| 80h | 1104 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 66 | 155-158 | CHN |
| 80 i | 1475 | 3',4'- di-MeO-C6 ${ }_{6}{ }_{3}$ | 81 | 202-203 | CHN |
| 80j | 1487 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5{ }^{\prime}$-tri-MeO-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 51 | 165-166 | CHN |

${ }^{a}$ known compound

Table 2.2 Yields and physical data of the compounds 81a-j, 82, 83a-j and 84a-j.


| Compd. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BO } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Substitute $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HPLC } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 81a | 1107 | Me | 65 | 99-101 | 96.94 | CHN |
| 81b | 1524 | Et | 77 | Liq. | 97.09 | CHN |
| 81c | 1476 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 80 | 150-151 | 99.29 | CHN |
| 81d | 1480 | 4'-F-C6 ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 75 | 135-136 | 97.62 | CHN |
| 81e | 1116 | 4'-Cl-C66 ${ }^{\text {, }}$ | 52 | 170-172 | 99.52 | CHN |
| 81f | 1112 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ '-di-F-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 83 | 145-146 | 99.52 | CHN |
| 81g | 1518 | 3',4'-di-Cl-C66 $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 72 | 150-151 | 99.54 | CHN |
| 81h | 1106 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 67 | 174-176 | 99.56 | CHN |
| 81i | 1492 | $3^{\prime}, 4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 77 | 145-146 | 98.68 | CHN |
| 81j | 1490 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-tri-MeO-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 58 | 146-147 | 97.62 | CHN |
| 82a | 1108 | Me | 45 | 174-176 | 97.44 | CHN |
| 82e | 1117 | 4'-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 82 | 194-196 | 99.74 | CHN |
| $82 f$ | 1113 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-di-F- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 77 | 190-192 | 99.79 | CHN |
| 82h | 1109 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 87 | 182-184 | 96.10 | CHN |
| 83a | 1506 | Me | 53 | 208-209 | 99.34 | CHN |
| 83b | 1525 | Et | 51 | 127-129 | 97.01 | CHN |
| 83c | 1493 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 81 | 170-171 | 97.29 | CHN |
| 83d | 1491 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 76 | 183-184 | 97.92 | CHN |
| 83e | 1520 | 4'-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 65 | 191-192 | 99.05 | CHN |
| 83 f | 1517 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ '-di-F-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 71 | 189-190 | 98.75 | CHN |
| 83g | 1519 | 3',4'-di-Cl-C66 $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 83 | 208-209 | 96.91 | CHN |
| 83h | 1516 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 65 | 165-166 | 99.05 | CHN |


| 83i | 1501 | $3^{\prime}, 4{ }^{\prime}$ - di-MeO-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 70 | 124-125 | 95.87 | CHN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83j | 1503 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5 '$-tri-MeO-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 99 | 170-171 | 98.58 | CHN |
| 84a | 1528 | Me | 65 | 215-218 | 99.99 | CHN |
| 84b | 1532 | Et | 59 | 155-158 | 98.28 | CHN |
| 84c | 1504 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 74 | 175-176 | 99.41 | CHN |
| 84d | 1502 | 4'-F-C6 ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 82 | 190-191 | 97.26 | CHN |
| 84e | $1530$ | 4'- ${ }^{\text {Cl }}$ - $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 64 | 191-192 | 96.48 | CHN |
| 84f | 1527 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ '-di-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 83 | 176-178 | 96.63 | CHN |
| 84g | 1526 | 3',4'-di-Cl-C66 $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 83 | 192-193 | 96.73 | CHN |
| 84h | 1529 | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 65 | 199-200 | 97.60 | CHN |
| 84i | 1505 | $3^{\prime}, 4{ }^{\prime}-$ di-MeO-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 56 | 188-189 | 95.84 | CHN |
| 84j | 1531 | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5{ }^{\prime}-$ tri-MeO-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 57 | 189-190 | 97.60 | CHN |

### 2.2 Experimental

### 2.2.1 General methods and materials

All commercial chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and used without further purification unless otherwise specified. Melting points were determined on a Fargo melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel $\mathrm{G}_{60} \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck) with short-wavelength UV light for visualization. All reported yields are isolated yields after chromatography or crystallization. Elemental analyses were done on a Heraeus CHN-O Rapid instrument. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 600 MHz , Brucker AVANCE 600 DRX and 400 MHz , Brucker Top-Spin spectrometers in the indicated solvent. The chemical shifts were reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) relative to TMS and coupling constants ( $J$ ) in Hertz (Hz) and s, d, t, m, brs, refer to singlet, doublet, triplet, multiplet, broad respectively. High performance liquid chromatography analysis for checking purity of synthesized compounds were recorded on a Hitachi D-2000 Elite instrument: column, Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ); mobile phase, MeCN/THF ( $50: 50 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ); flow rate, 1 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$; injected sample $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, column temp, $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; wavelength, 254 nm . The purity of all tested compounds was $\geq 95 \%$ based on analytical HPLC.

All synthesized compounds were characterized by using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and Elemental analysis. For compounds 80a-j, the characteristic proton signals for ester (COOMe) appeared at the range of $3.51-3.76 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR proton signals for the compounds 81a-j , hydroxymethyl proton $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ appeared at the range of 4.33$4.55 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ and methylene proton of isoquinoline ring appeared at 3.96-5.03 $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$. For compounds 82-84, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals for the carbamate residue (i.e., $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCO}$ ) appeared at $3.9-5.1 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$. The characteristic proton for NH of alkylcarbamates appeared at the range of $6.7-7.0 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as multiplate and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable single. The elemental analysis of the newly synthesized derivatives was within $\pm 0.4 \%$ range of the calculated $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ data.

1,2,3,4-Tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid hydrochloride (78). A mixture of D,L-phenylalanine ( $77,50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.03 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(325 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $37 \%$ formalin $(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated to a gentle reflux with vigorous stirring. After 30 min , another portion of formalin $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction mixture was further stirred and heated for 4 h and cooled to room temperature. The white solid separated out was filtered and washed with methanol ( 30 mL ) to give 7864.1 g , yield $98 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{lit} .{ }^{98} \mathrm{mp} 286-290{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.30\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.20-7.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $4 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $9.89(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH); $10.06(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, COOH$)$.

2-Propionyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquiniline-3-carboxylic acid (79b). To a suspension of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylicacid hydrochloride (78, 10 $\mathrm{g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 60 mL ) was added $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution at room temperature. The clear solution obtained then added dropwise into a solution of propionyl chloride ( $5.2 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 20 mL ) at room temperature, simultaneously 2 N NaOH was added dropwise and pH maintained above 10 . The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , the solvent was evaporated under reduce pressure. The solution was acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 5-6$ with 3 N HCl . The white solid separated, filtered it and dried to give 79b 9 g , yield $87 \%$; mp $173-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.13\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.16-7.20(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 12.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, COOH ,). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ : C, 66.94; H, 6.48; N, 6.00 . Found: C, 66.64; H, 6.48; N, 5.89.

By following the same synthetic procedure as that for 79b, the following compounds were synthesized:

2-Benzoyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (79c). Compound 79c was prepared from $78(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 37.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzoyl chloride ( $6.43 \mathrm{~g}, 45.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $9.9 \mathrm{~g}(77 \%)$; mp $168-169{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{99} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{168-169}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $3.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.14-7.22(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.41-7.49 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 12.85 ( 1 H , brs, exchangeable, COOH ).

Compound 79d was prepared from 78 ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride ( $9.91 \mathrm{~g}, 57.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, 13.5 g ( $97 \%$ ); mp $179-180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 3.20\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.19-7.33$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.48-7.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 12.76(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, COOH$)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}\right)$ : C, 68.22; H, 4.71; N, 4.68. Found: C, 68.08; H, 4.57; N, 4.42.

2-(4-Chlorobenzoyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (79e). Compound 79e was prepared from $78(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-chloroenzoyl chloride ( $10.1 \mathrm{~g}, 57.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, 13.8 g ( $93 \%$ ), mp $77-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 3.29\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.01-7.17(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40-7.58(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 12.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, COOH$)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3}\right)$ : C, 64.67; H, 4.47; N, 4.44. Found: C, 64.52; H, 4.56; N, 4.33.

2-(3, 4-Difluorobenzoyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (79f). Compound 79f was prepared from $78(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3,4-difluorobenzoyl chloride ( $10.2 \mathrm{~g}, 57.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $14.2 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$; mp $89-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 3.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.48\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.09-7.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $4 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.46-7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 12.84$ ( 1 H, brs, exchangeable, $\mathrm{COOH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}, 64.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.13 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.41$. Found: C , 64.21; H, 4.26; N, 4.56.

2-(3, 4-Dichlorobenzoyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (79g). Compound 79 g was prepared from $78(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3,4-dichlorobenzoyl chloride ( $12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 57.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $14.5 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 101-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.27\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.45\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 7.08-7.13$ ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.44-7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.66-7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 12.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, COOH$)$. Anal. Calcd. For $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ : C, 58.31; H, 3.74; N, 4.00. Found: C, 58.19; H, 4.04; N, 3.87.

2-(4-Methoxybenzoyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (79h).
Compound 79h was prepared from 78 ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-methoxybenzoyl chloride ( $9.8 \mathrm{~g}, 57.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, 12.6 g ( $86 \%$ ); mp 178- $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\right.$ DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 4.60\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}), 6.90-6.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18-7.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42-7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 12.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, COOH$)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right): \mathrm{C}$, 69.44; H, 5.50; N, 4.50. Found: C, 69.12; H, 5.62; N, 4.27.

## 2-(3,4-Dimethoxybenzoyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (79i).

 Compound 79i was prepared from $78(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 3,4-dimethoxybenzoyl chloride ( $12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $11.9 \mathrm{~g}\left(61 \%\right.$ ); mp 235-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 3.19\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.77(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{MeO}), 4.56\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH})$, 6.99-7.04 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.17-7.23 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 12.88 ( 1 H , brs, exchangeable, $\mathrm{COOH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right)$ : C, 66.85 ; $\mathrm{H}, 5.61$; N, 4.10. Found: C, 66.65 ; H, 5.66; N, 3.86.2-(3, 4, 5-Trimethoxybenzoyl)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (79j). Compound 79j was prepared from 78 ( $7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 32.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3,4,5trimethoxybenzoyl chloride ( $9.3 \mathrm{~g}, 39.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $12.0 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 186-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.19\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 3.80(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{MeO})$, $4.59\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 6.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.13-7.28(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 12.72(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, COOH$)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}\right): \mathrm{C}$, 64.68; H, 5.70; N, 3.77. Found: C, 64.44; H, 5.50; N, 3.44.

3-Methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80a). Dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate ( $6.39 \mathrm{~g}, 45.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into a mixture of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (78, $10 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic anhydride $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was recrystallized from MeOH to give 80a, 11.0 g (74\%); mp $152-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{101} \mathrm{mp}$ $140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR(DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.40$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 3.70 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ ), 3.72 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 4.18\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.06\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.21-7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.35-7.39 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ).

3-Ethyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80b). A mixture of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) ( $6.39 \mathrm{~g}, 45.0$ mmol) and 79b ( $7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic anhydride ( 50 mL ) was heated at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness in vacuo and the residue was recrystallized from MeOH to give $\mathbf{8 0 b}, 8.77 \mathrm{~g}$ ( $93 \%$ ); mp $88-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.85\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.71(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{COOMe}), 4.19\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.29-7.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38-7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ : C, 68.99 ; H, 6.11; N, 4.47. Found: C, 68.96; H, 6.05; N, 4.38.

By following the same synthetic procedure as that for $\mathbf{8 0 b}$, the following compounds were synthesized:

3-Phenyl-5, 10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80c). Compound 80c was prepared from DMAD ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 41.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 79c ( $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 28.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $7.0 \mathrm{~g}(68 \%)$ mp 137-138 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.58$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ ), 3.76 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ ), $4.33\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.21-$ $7.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.41-7.53(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ : C, 73.12; H, 5.30; N, 3.88. Found: C, 72.91; H, 5.30; N, 3.53.

3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80d). Compound 80d was prepared from DMAD (10.7 g, 65.0 mmol ) and 79d ( $15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 50.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, 11.1 g ( $58 \%$ ); mp $149-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR(DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 3.58 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ ), 3.76 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ ), 4.32 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.23-7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.32-7.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40-7.42$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.49-7.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcld. For $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FNO}_{4}\right)$ : C, 69.65; H, 4.78; N, 3.69. Found: C, 69.54; H, 4.82; N, 3.78.

3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80e). Compound 80e was prepared from DMAD ( $6.8 \mathrm{~g}, 47.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 79e ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 31.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $8.0 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%)$; mp $164-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR(DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 4.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 7.20-7.26 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.27-7.33 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.39-7.43 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ),
$7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4}\right)$ : C, 66.75; H, 4.58; N, 3.54. Found: C, 66.52; H, 4.85; N, 3.66.

3-(3,4-Difluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80f). Compound $\mathbf{8 0 f}$ was prepared from DMAD ( $6.71 \mathrm{~g}, 47.0$ mmol ) and 79 f ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 31.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $8.4 \mathrm{~g}(67 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 142-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR(DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) 3.61 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ ), $3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 4.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $5.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.22-7.42(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.54-7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ : C, 66.50; H, 4.31; N, 3.52. Found: C, 66.15; H, 4.44; N, 3.36.

3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80g). Compound 80e was prepared from DMAD (8.5 g, 60.0 mmol ) and 79 g ( $14.0 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $11.0 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 169-170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 4.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.22-7.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40-7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.76-7.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ : C, 61.41; H, 3.98; N, 3.26. Found: C, 61.33; H, 3.95; N, 2.89.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80h). Compound $\mathbf{8 0 h}$ was prepared from DMAD (7.5 g, 53.0 mmol ) and 79h ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 35.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $8.4 \mathrm{~g}(66 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 155-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR(DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO})$, $4.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.05-7.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.21-7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.28-7.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right)$ : C, $70.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.41 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.58$. Found: C, $70.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.49$.

3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-
dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester (80i). Compound 80i was prepared from DMAD ( $5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 39.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $79 \mathrm{i}(9.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.4 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $9.0 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 202-203{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR(DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, COOMe), $3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 4.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 2.0 and $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.02(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$,
7.22-7.25 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.25-7.32 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.40-7.42$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6}\right)$ : C, 68.40; H, 5.50; N, 3.32. Found: C, 68.02; H, 5.53; N, 2.94.

## 3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

dicarboxylic acid dimethyl ester ( $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{j}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{j}$ was prepared from DMAD $(5.7 \mathrm{~g}, 40.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{7 9 j}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $6.2 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 165-166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 3.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}), 3.74(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{COOMe}$ and MeO$), 3.80$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{MeO}$ ), $4.32\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.22-7.26$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.28-7.32$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.35-7.37 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.40-7.42 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{7}\right)$ : C, 66.51 ; H, 5.58; N, 3.10. Found: C, 66.25 ; H, 5.59; N, 3.01.
[3-Methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]dimethanol (81a). A solution of 80a ( $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise in to a stirred suspension of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 66.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous diethyl ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 to $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 15 min after the addition was completed. The excess hydride was destroyed by the sequential addition of water ( 2.5 mL ), $15 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and water $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, the solid residue was washed with dichloromethane. The combined filtrate and washings were evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was recrystallized from ether to give 81a, 4.2 g ( 64.0 \%); mp 99-101 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 3.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.33$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.38\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and exchangeable, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{OH}\right), 4.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.24-$ $7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34-7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}$, 74.05; H, 7.04; N, 5.76. Found: C, 74.24; H, 6.97; N, 5.71.

By following the same synthetic procedure as that for 81a, the following compounds were synthesized:
[3-Ethyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]dimethanol
(81b).
Compound 81b was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 b}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 39.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $3.15 \mathrm{~g}(76 \%)$ as syrup; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Me,$), 2.66\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and
exchangeable, OH ), $4.39\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and exchangeable, OH$), 4.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 7.23-7.28 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.33-7.39 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ).
[3-Phenyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]dimethanol
(81c).
Compound 81c was prepared from 80c ( $7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 19.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 48.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $4.7 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 150-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ) , $4.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $4.54(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable OH ), $4.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$ ), $7.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.36-7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45-7.51$ ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ : C, 78.66; H, 6.27; N, 4.59. Found: C, 78.48; H, 6.42; N, 4.41.
[3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]dimethanol (81d). Compound 81d was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 d}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}$, 65.0 mmol ). Yield, $6.36 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$; mp 135-136 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.05(2 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.49\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ 4.8 Hz , exchangeable, OH ), $4.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.17-7.21$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$,), $7.26-7.34$ ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.48-7.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FNO}_{2}\right)$ : C, 74.29; H, 5.61; N, 4.33. Found: C, 74.38; H, 5.68; N, 3.98.
[3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]dimethanol (81e). Compound 81e was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 e}(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.63 \mathrm{~g}$, 44 mmol ). Yield, $3.1 \mathrm{~g}(52 \%)$; mp $170-172{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 4.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $), 4.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$, $), 4.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, exchangeable, OH ), $4.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.18-7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.21-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}),, 7.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}),, 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 70.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.12$. Found: C, 70.42; H, 5.37; N, 4.05.

## [3-(3,4-Difluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diylddimethanol (81f). Compound 81f was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 f}$ ( $7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $5.3 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 145-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.27\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{z}\right), 4.48\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ) , $4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable, OH$), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable,
$\mathrm{OH}), 5.01\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.18-7.33(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.52-$ $7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ : C, $70.37 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.02 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.10$. Found: C, 70.08; H, 5.01; N, 3.76.

## [3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

 diyl]dimethanol (81g). Compound $\mathbf{8 1 g}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 g}(9.0 \mathrm{~g}, 20.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 52.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $5.7 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 150-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $4.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable OH , $), 4.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable, OH ), $5.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.18-7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.23-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH},), 7.28-7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38-7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.46-7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ : C, $64.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.58 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.74$. Found: C , 63.89; H, 4.40; N, 3.87.
## [3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]dimethanol (81h). Compound 81h was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 h}(7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 19.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $4.3 \mathrm{~g}(67 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 174-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 4.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.48(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and exchangeable, OH ), $4.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 7.04-7.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.17-$ $7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}),, 7.24-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36-7.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}$, ). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ : C, $75.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.31$; N, 4.18. Found: C, $75.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.30 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.13$.

## [3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diylddimethanol (81i). Compound 81i was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 i}(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 18.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $5.34 \mathrm{~g}(77 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 145-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 3.79(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{MeO}), 4.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.29\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.52\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and exchangeable, OH ), $4.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.96-6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$ ), 7.05-7.07 ( 2 H , $\mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.19-7.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ : C, $72.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.83$. Found: C, $72.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.95$.

## [3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]dimethanol ( $\mathbf{8 1 j}$ ). Compound $\mathbf{8 1 j}$ was prepared from $\mathbf{8 0 j}$ ( $6.0 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 33.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $3.1 \mathrm{~g}(58 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 146-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-
$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 3.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 3.82(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{MeO}), 4.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.50\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable, OH$), 5.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.21-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.33-7.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right)$ : C, 69.86; H, 6.37; N, 3.54. Found: C, 69.71; H, 6.37; N, 3.45.

General procedure for preparing bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives (82-84). To a solution of bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives (81a-j, 1.0 equivalent) and triethylamine (2~3 equivalent) in anhydrous dichloromethane was added alkylisocyanate (5 equivalent). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature (for 3-20 h) under an argon atmosphere. After the completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was triturated with ether, and solid separated was collected by filtration. The desired product was either obtained by recrystallization or liquid chromatography.

## [3-Methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)

bis(methylcarbamate) (82a). Compound 82a was synthesized from 81a ( $0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methylisocyanate ( $1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.53 \mathrm{~g}(44 \%)$; $\mathrm{mp} 174-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.53(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 3.99$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.88\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.74(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), $7.21-7.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34-7.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 63.85; H, 6.49; N, 11.76. Found: C, 63.54; H, 6.57; N, 11.64.

## [3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene) bis(methylcarbamate) (82e). Compound 82e was synthesized from 81e ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and methylisocyanate $(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $1.1 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 194-196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.54(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me})$, $4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.81(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 7.17-7.23 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.24-7.30$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.36$7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45-7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), , $7.55-7.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ) ) Anal. Cacld. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 63.50; H, 5.33; N, 9.26. Found: C, 63.33; H, 5.35; N, 9.20 .

## [3-(3,4-Difluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene) bis(methylcarbamate) (82f). Compound 82f was synthesized from $81 \mathrm{f}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and methylisocyanate ( $\left.0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. Yield, $1.0 \mathrm{~g}(77 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 190-192{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.54$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}$ ), $4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.81\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.03\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 7.18-7.23 (1H, m, ArH), 7.25-7.31 (3H, m, 3×ArH), 7.36$7.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.52-7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 63.29; H, 5.09; N, 9.23. Found: C, 63.00; H, 5.12; N, 9.16.

## [3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene) bis(methylcarbamate) (82h). Compound 82h was synthesized from $81 \mathrm{~h}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, methylisocyanate ( $\left.1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. Yield, $1.2 \mathrm{~g}(87 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 182-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 2.53(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 4.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.78\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.01\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $6.81(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$), 7.06-7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.17-7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH ), $7.24-7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.32-7.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, 66.80 ; H, 6.05; N, 9.35. Found: C, 66.72; H, 6.15; N, 9.24.

## [3-Methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)bis

 (ethylcarbamate) (83a). Compound 83a was synthesized from 81a ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate ( $\left.1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. Yield, $0.83 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 208-$ $209{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.25$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.95 $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.00\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.89\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.96$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}$, exchangeable, NH,), $7.23-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34-$ $7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, $65.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.06 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.90$. Found: C, 65.29; H, 7.14; N, 10.89.
## [3-Ethyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)bis

(ethylcarbamate) (83b). Compound 83b was synthesized from 81b ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, ethylisocyanate ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.39 \mathrm{~g}(50 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}$ $127-129^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 0.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me})$, $2.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.97\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.89\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.84(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable,

NH), $7.23-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34-7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right):$ C, $66.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.32 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.52$. Found: C, $66.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.53 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.74$.

## [3-Phenyl-5-10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)bis

(ethylcarbamate) (83c). Compound 83c was synthesized from 81c ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $1.17 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}$ $170-171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=$ $\left.7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.10\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $6.94(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 7.18-7.20 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.26-7.29 (2H, m, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36-7.40(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42-7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcld. For $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, $69.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.53$; N, 9.39. Found: C, $69.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.37$.

## [3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

 diyl]bis(methylene) bis(ethylcarbamate) (83d). Compound 83d was synthesized from 81d ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $1.08 \mathrm{~g}(76$ \%); mp 183-184 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.98$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.97 $\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.93(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 7.20-7.22 (1H, m, ArH), 7.27-7.41 $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.46-7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right): \mathrm{C}$, 67.08; H, 6.06; N, 9.03. Found: C, 67.24; H, 6.02; N, 8.88.
## [3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene) bis(ethylcarbamate) (83e). Compound 83e was synthesized from 81e ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate ( $0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.92 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 191-192^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.98(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.97\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.97(2 \mathrm{H}$, s, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.92(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH,$), 7.18-7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 7.25-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.28-7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.44-7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 64.79 ; H, 5.86; N, 8.72. Found: C, 64.54; H, 5.84; N, 8.82.

## [3-(3,4-Difluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene)bis (ethyl carbamate) (83f). Compound 83f was synthesized
from 81f ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate $(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $1.0 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 189-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 0.98(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.81\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.00(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.95(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$), 7.19-7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH), $7.25-7.39(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.53-7.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 64.59; H, 5.63; N, 8.69. Found: C, 64.50; H, 5.60; N, 8.69.

## [3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl)bis(methylene]bis (ethylcarbamate) (83g). Compound 83g was synthesized from 81g ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate ( $0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 10.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $1.15 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 208-20{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.98(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.97\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.82\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.95(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH , $), 7.19-7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26-7.31$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.39-7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}),, 7.70-7.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 60.47; H, 5.27; N, 8.14. Found: C, 60.34; H, 5.13; N, 8.10.

## [3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene) bis(ethylcarbamate) (83h). Compound 83h was synthesized from 81h ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate ( $\left.0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 11.8 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. Yield, $0.92 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 165-16 \mathrm{C}^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.99(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.78$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.94\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.92(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$)$, 7.05-7.08 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.19-7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.27-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.35-7.39 (3H, m, $3 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, 67.91; H, 6.54; N, 8.80. Found: C, $67.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.86$; N, 8.57.

## [3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

 diyl]bis(methylene) bis(ethylcarbamate) (83i). Compound 83i was synthesized from $\mathbf{8 1 i}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.7 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate ( $\left.0.77 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. Yield, $0.96 \mathrm{~g}(69 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 124-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 0.98(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 4.08$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.93(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs,exchangeable NH), 6.96-6.98 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.07-7.09 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.18-7.22 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.25-7.28$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.30-7.37 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right):$ C, 66.26 ; H, 6.55 ; N, 8.28. Found: C, $66.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.45$; N, 8.11.

## [3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis

 (methylene)bis(ethylcarbamate) (83j). Compound 83j was synthesized from 81j $(0.79 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, and ethylisocyanate $(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. Yield, $0.94 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%) ; m p 170-171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.97(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \times \mathrm{Me}), 2.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 3.80(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{MeO}), 4.09$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.81\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 6.95(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), $7.19-7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23-7.26(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.27-7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.33-7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ : C, 64.79; H, 6.56; $\mathrm{N}, 7.82$. Found: C, 64.68; H, 6.42; N, 7.97.[3-Methyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)bis(isopropylcarbamate) (84a). Compound 84a was synthesized from 81a ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.55 \mathrm{~g}(65$ \%); mp 215-218 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.99$ ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}$ ), 2.25 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 3.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.89\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$), 7.25-7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.34-7.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right): \mathrm{C}, 66.81 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.56 ; N, 10.16. Found: C, 66.69; H, 7.66; N, 10.10.

## [3-Ethyl-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)bis(iso-

 propylcarbamate) (84b). Compound 84b was synthesized from 81b ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, 0.25 g ( 58 \%); mp $155-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.00(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}), 1.10$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}),, 2.69\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), $3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.99(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.89\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.79(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 7.25-7.29 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.33-7.39 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 67.42; H, 7.78; N, 9.83. Found: C, 67.11; H, 7.55; N, 9.71 .[3-Phenyl-5-10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)bis(isopropylcarbamate) (84c). Compound 84c was synthesized from 81c ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $\left.0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. Yield, $0.52 \mathrm{~g}(74$ \%); mp 175-176 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.99(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}), 3.62$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.10\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$), 7.18-7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26-7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.38-7.42(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.49-7.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right):$ C, $70.71 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.99 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.84$. Found: C, $70.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.67$.

## [3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene)bis(iso-propylcarbamate) (84d). Compound 84d was synthesized from 81d ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.62 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 190-191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ $0.99(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}), 3.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$), 7.18-$ $7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26-7.29(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.31-7.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 68.14; H, 6.53; N, 8.51. Found: C, 68.31; H, 6.40; N, 8.60.

## [3-(4-Chloropheny)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene)bis(iso-propylcarbamate) (84e). Compound 84e was synthesized from $81 \mathrm{e}(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.43 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 191-192^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $1.01(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}), 3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.97\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.86(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 7.18$7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25-7.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 65.94; H, 6.32; N, 8.24. Found: C, 65.76; H, 6.17; N, 8.35.

## [3-(3,4-Difluorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene)bis(iso-propylcarbamate) (84f). Compound 84f was synthesized from 81f $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8$ mmol). Yield, $0.62 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.02(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$
$=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}), 3.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.81\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.02\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$), 7.19-7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.26-7.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.37-7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.51-7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcld. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 65.74 ; H, 6.11; N, 8.21. Found: C, 65.51 ; H, 6.05; N, 8.38.
[3-(3,4-Dichlorophenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis(methylene)bis(iso-propylcarbamate) (84g). Compound $\mathbf{8 4 g}$ was synthesized from $\mathbf{8 1 g}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.6 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 192-193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 1.01$ ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}$ ), $3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 4.09\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.81\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $5.02\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH$), 7.19-7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.25-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.30-7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43-7.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.69$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}) 7.73-7.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 61.77$; H , 5.74; N, 7.72. Found: C, 61.84; H, 5.67; N, 7.56.

## [3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene)bis(iso-propylcarbamate) (84h). Compound 84h was synthesized from $\mathbf{8 1 h}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate $(0.5$ $\mathrm{g}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.49 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 199-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.00$ $(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}), 3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 4.07\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.77\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.01\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.85(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), $7.04-7.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.17-7.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25-7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.34-7.36(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right): \mathrm{C}, 68.89$; H, 6.98 ; N, 8.31. Found: C, 68.93; H, 6.96; N, 8.14.

## [3-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-

diyl]bis(methylene) bis(iso-propylcarbamate) (84i). Compound 84i was synthesized from $81 \mathrm{i}(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(56 \%)$; mp 188-189 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.01$ ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me}$ ), 3.57 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.77 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}$ ), 3.82 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}$ ), $4.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.78\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.01\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.84(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 6.95-6.97 (2H, m, $2 \times$ ArH), $7.06-7.08$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.18-
7.21 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.25-7.29$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.30-7.37$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ). Anal. Calcd.
for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ : C, 67.27; H, 6.96; N, 7.84. Found: C, 67.27; H, 7.06; N, 7.62.

## [3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline-1,2-diyl]bis

 (methylene)bis(iso-propylcarbamate) (84j). Compound 84j was synthesized from $\mathbf{8 1 j}(0.39 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL})$, and isopropylisocyanate ( $0.34 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Yield, $0.32 \mathrm{~g}(57 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 189-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.01$ ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \times \mathrm{Me})$, $3.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.73(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{MeO}), 3.80(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{MeO}), 4.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.01\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 6.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, $6.87(2 \mathrm{H}$, brs, exchangeable, NH), 7.19-7.29 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.33-7.39 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times$ ArH $)$. Anal. Calcld. For $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ : C, 65.82; H, 6.95; N, 7.43. Found: C, 65.68; H, 6.74; N, 7.11.
### 2.3 Conclusion

In the present chapter, new chemical entities were prepared to obtain a library of DNA bifunctional alkylating agents. A series of linear bis(hydroxylmethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline derivatives and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives were synthesized. All newly synthesized compounds were tested against various human tumor cell lines In vitro and In vivo. Antitumor activities and outcome of these compounds are shown in Chapter 3.

### 2.4 Representative Spectra

2.4.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 80a.

2.4.2 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 81a.


### 2.4.3 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 83a.



### 2.4.4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 84a.



### 2.4.5 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 79d.



### 2.4.6 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 0 d}$.



### 2.4.7 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 81d.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 81d.


### 2.4.8 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 83d.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 83d.


### 2.4.9 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 84d.


2.4.10 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{7 9 g}$.


### 2.4.11 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 0 g}$.



### 2.4.12 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 1 g}$.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 1 g}$.
(1)

### 2.4.13 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 3 g}$.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 3 g}$.
(1)

### 2.4.14 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 4 g}$.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 4 g}$.


### 2.4.15 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{7 9} \mathbf{j}$.



### 2.4.16 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{j}$.



### 2.4.17 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 1} \mathbf{j}$.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 1} \mathbf{j}$.


### 2.4.18 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 3 j}$.



## $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 3} \mathbf{j}$.



### 2.4.18 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{8 4} \mathbf{j}$.



### 2.5 High Performance Liquid Chromatography Analysis (HPLC)

The prime and specific objective of the work is to develop simple and Rapid Liquid Chromatographic method of newly synthesized compounds bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives (81) and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives (82-84) for the Purity Determination by Highperformance liquid chromatographic method.

### 2.6 HPLC Experimental

## Instrument

Liquid chromatography was performed using Hitachi D-2000 Elite instrument with Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) column.

## Reagents

## Acetonitrile (HPLC grade)

Tetrahydrofuran (HPLC grade)
Water (Milli-Q)

## Blank

Diluent was used as blank.

## Sample Preparation

Weigh accurately 10 mg of different new synthesized compounds into 100 ml volumetric flask. Add 60 ml of diluent into the flask and sonicate for 30 minutes with normal hand-shaking. Cool the flask at room temperature and dilute it to volume with diluent. Filter 10 ml of this solution through $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ nylon syringe filter. The concentration obtained is $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

All samples were prepared as per above mentioned sample preparation method.

### 2.7 Chromatograms

## Chromatographic condition

Sample Code : 81a (BO-1107)
Mobile phase : MeCN : THF (50:50 v/v)
Column : Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
Column Temperature: $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Flow rate : $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
Detection : 254 nm
Injection volume : $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Diluent : Mobile phase



| No. | RT | Area | Conc 1 | BC |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.88 | 115294 | 2.493 | BV |
| 2 | 2.68 | 4483360 | 96.949 | VV |
| 3 | 3.31 | 18592 | 0.402 | TBB |
| 4 | 3.99 | 7196 | 0.156 | TBB |
|  |  | 4624442 | 100.000 |  |

## Chromatographic condition

Sample Code : 81f (BO-1112)
Mobile phase : MeCN : THF (50:50 v/v)
Column : Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
Column Temperature: $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Flow rate : $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
Detection : 254 nm
Injection volume : $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Diluent : Mobile phase



| No. | RT | Area | Conc 1 | BC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.57 | 18180 | 0.243 | BV |
| 2 | 1.77 | 14411 | 0.192 | VB |
| 3 | 2.69 | 7456588 | 99.521 | BB |
| 4 | 3.97 | 3294 | 0.044 | TBB |
|  | 7492473 | 100.000 |  |  |

## Chromatographic condition

Sample Code : 82f (BO-1113)
Mobile phase : MeCN : THF (50:50 v/v)
Column : Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
Column Temperature: $27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Flow rate : $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
Detection : 254 nm
Injection volume : $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Diluent : Mobile phase



| No. | RT | Area | Conc 1 | BC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.75 | 4275 | 0.203 | BB |
| 2 | 2.65 | 2102996 | 99.797 | BB |
|  | 2107271 | 100.000 |  |  |

## Chromatographic condition

Sample Code : 83h (BO-1516)
Mobile phase : MeCN : THF (50:50 v/v)
Column : Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
Column Temperature: $27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Flow rate : $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
Detection : 254 nm
Injection volume : $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Diluent : Mobile phase



| No. | RT | Area | Conc 1 | BC |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 1.78 | 10357 | 0.396 | BV |
| 2 | 2.66 | 2589139 | 99.051 | VB |
| 3 | 14.02 | 1047 | 0.040 | BB |
| 4 |  | 2613945 | 0.513 | BB |
|  |  | 100.000 |  |  |

## Chromatographic condition

Sample Code : 84c (BO-1504)
Mobile phase : MeCN : THF (50:50 v/v)
Column : Mightysil RP-18 GP 250-4.6 ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )
Column Temperature: $27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Flow rate : $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
Detection : 254 nm
Injection volume : $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
Diluent : Mobile phase



| No. | RT | Area | Conc 1 | BC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.78 | 9315 | 0.590 | BB |
| 2 | 2.69 | 1570790 | 99.410 | BB |
|  | 1580105 | 100.000 |  |  |

Table 2.3 Elemental analysis of compounds 79b-j and 80a-j.


| Compd. | MF | MW | CHN Calculated (\%) |  |  | CHN Found (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | C | H | N | C | H | N |
| 79b | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | 233.26 | 66.94 | 6.48 | 6.00 | 66.64 | 6.48 | 5.89 |
| 79c ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | 281.11 | 72.58 | 5.37 | 4.98 | ND | ND | ND |
| 79d | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}$ | 299.30 | 68.22 | 4.71 | 4.68 | 68.08 | 4.57 | 4.42 |
| 79e | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3}$ | 315.75 | 64.67 | 4.47 | 4.44 | 64.52 | 4.56 | 4.33 |
| 79 f | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | 317.29 | 64.35 | 4.13 | 4.41 | 64.21 | 4.26 | 4.56 |
| 79g | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | 350.20 | 58.31 | 3.74 | 4.00 | 58.19 | 4.04 | 3.87 |
| 79h | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | 311.33 | 69.44 | 5.50 | 4.50 | 69.12 | 5.62 | 4.27 |
| 79i | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | 341.13 | 66.85 | 5.61 | 4.10 | 66.65 | 5.66 | 3.86 |
| 79j | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ | 371.38 | 64.68 | 5.70 | 3.77 | 64.44 | 5.50 | 3.44 |
| $80 a^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | 299.12 | 68.21 | 5.72 | 4.68 | ND | ND | ND |
| 80b | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | 313.13 | 68.99 | 6.11 | 4.47 | 68.96 | 6.05 | 4.38 |
| 80c | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | 361.39 | 73.12 | 5.30 | 3.88 | 72.91 | 5.30 | 3.53 |
| 80d | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FNO}_{4}$ | 379.38 | 69.65 | 4.78 | 3.69 | 69.54 | 4.82 | 3.78 |
| 80e | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4}$ | 395.84 | 66.75 | 4.58 | 3.54 | 66.52 | 4.85 | 3.66 |
| $80 f$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | 397.37 | 66.50 | 4.31 | 3.52 | 66.15 | 4.44 | 3.36 |
| 80 g | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | 430.28 | 61.41 | 3.98 | 3.26 | 61.33 | 3.95 | 2.89 |
| 80h | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | 391.42 | 70.58 | 5.41 | 3.58 | 70.25 | 5.44 | 3.49 |
| 80 i | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ | 421.15 | 68.40 | 5.50 | 3.32 | 68.02 | 5.53 | 2.94 |
| 80j | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ | 451.16 | 66.51 | 5.58 | 3.10 | 66.25 | 5.59 | 3.01 |

[^0]Table 2.4 Elemental analysis of compounds 81a-j, 82, 83a-j, and 84a-j.


| Compd. | MF | MW | CHN Calculated (\%) |  |  | CHN Found (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | C | H | N | C | H | N |
| 81a | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 243.30 | 74.05 | 7.04 | 5.76 | 74.24 | 6.97 | 5.71 |
| $81{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 257.33 | 74.68 | 7.44 | 5.44 | ND | ND | ND |
| 81c | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 305.37 | 78.66 | 6.27 | 4.59 | 78.48 | 6.42 | 4.41 |
| 81d | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FNO}_{2}$ | 323.36 | 74.29 | 5.61 | 4.33 | 74.38 | 5.68 | 3.98 |
| 81e | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}$ | 339.82 | 70.69 | 5.34 | 4.12 | 70.42 | 5.37 | 4.05 |
| 81f | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 341.35 | 70.37 | 5.02 | 4.10 | 70.08 | 5.01 | 3.76 |
| 81g | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 374.26 | 64.18 | 4.58 | 3.74 | 63.89 | 4.40 | 3.87 |
| 81h | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | 335.40 | 75.20 | 6.31 | 4.18 | 75.36 | 6.30 | 4.13 |
| 81i | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ | 365.16 | 72.31 | 6.34 | 3.83 | 72.25 | 6.34 | 3.95 |
| 81j | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ | 395.17 | 69.86 | 6.37 | 3.54 | 69.71 | 6.37 | 3.45 |
| 82a | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 357.40 | 63.85 | 6.49 | 11.76 | 63.54 | 6.57 | 11.64 |
| 82e | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 453.93 | 63.50 | 5.33 | 9.26 | 63.33 | 5.35 | 9.20 |
| $82 f$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 455.47 | 63.29 | 5.09 | 9.23 | 63.00 | 5.12 | 9.16 |
| 82h | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 449.51 | 66.80 | 6.05 | 9.35 | 66.72 | 6.15 | 9.24 |
| 83a | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 385.46 | 65.44 | 7.06 | 10.90 | 65.29 | 7.14 | 10.89 |
| 83b | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 399.48 | 66.14 | 7.32 | 10.52 | 66.35 | 7.53 | 10.74 |
| 83c | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 447.53 | 69.78 | 6.53 | 9.39 | 69.64 | 6.54 | 9.37 |
| 83d | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 465.52 | 67.08 | 6.06 | 9.03 | 67.24 | 6.02 | 8.88 |
| 83e | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 481.97 | 64.79 | 5.86 | 8.72 | 64.54 | 5.84 | 8.82 |
| 83f | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 483.51 | 64.59 | 5.63 | 8.69 | 64.50 | 5.60 | 8.69 |
| 83g | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 516.42 | 60.47 | 5.27 | 8.14 | 60.34 | 5.13 | 8.10 |
| 83h | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 477.55 | 67.91 | 6.54 | 8.80 | 67.73 | 6.86 | 8.57 |


| $\mathbf{8 3 i}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 507.58 | 66.26 | 6.55 | 8.28 | 66.34 | 6.45 | 8.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 3 j}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | 537.60 | 64.79 | 6.56 | 7.82 | 64.68 | 6.42 | 7.97 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 a}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 413.51 | 66.81 | 7.56 | 10.16 | 66.69 | 7.66 | 10.10 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 b}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 427.54 | 67.42 | 7.78 | 9.83 | 67.11 | 7.55 | 9.71 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 c}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 475.58 | 70.71 | 6.99 | 8.84 | 70.59 | 6.92 | 8.67 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 d}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 493.57 | 68.14 | 6.53 | 8.51 | 68.31 | 6.40 | 8.60 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 e}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 510.02 | 65.94 | 6.32 | 8.24 | 65.76 | 6.17 | 8.35 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 f}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 511.56 | 65.74 | 6.11 | 8.21 | 65.51 | 6.05 | 8.38 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 g}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 544.47 | 61.77 | 5.74 | 7.72 | 61.84 | 5.67 | 7.56 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 h}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 505.61 | 68.89 | 6.98 | 8.31 | 68.93 | 6.96 | 8.14 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 i}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 535.63 | 67.27 | 6.96 | 7.84 | 67.27 | 7.06 | 7.62 |
| $\mathbf{8 4 j}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | 565.66 | 65.82 | 6.95 | 7.43 | 65.68 | 6.74 | 7.11 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ not determine

## Section A

## Chapter 3. Antitumor Activity of bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives

### 3.0 Experimental Protocols

### 3.0.1 Cytotoxicity Assays

The effects of the newly synthesized compounds on cell growth were determined in T-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia CCRF-CEM) and their resistant subcell lines (CCRF-CEM/Taxol and CCRF-CEM/VBL) by the XTT assay ${ }^{103}$ and human solid tumor cells (i.e. breast carcinoma MX-1 and colon carcinoma HCT-116) the SRB assay ${ }^{104}$ in a 72 h incubation using a microplate spectrophotometer as described previously. ${ }^{105}$ After the addition of phenazine methosulfate-XTT solution at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , absorbance at 450 and 630 nm was detected on a microplate reader (EL 340; Bio-Tek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT). The cytotoxicity of the newly synthesized compounds against non-small cell lung cancer H1299, human prostate cancer PC3, oral carcinoma OECM1 and human glioma U87 were determined by the Alamar blue assay ${ }^{106}$ in a 72 h incubation using a microplate spectrophotometer as described previously. After the addition of Alamar blue solution, it was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . Absorbance at 570 and 600 nm was detected on a microplate reader. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined from dose-effect relationship at six or seven concentrations of each drug by using the CompuSyn software by Chou and Martin ${ }^{107}$ based on the median-effect principle and plot. ${ }^{108,109}$ Ranges given for taxol and vinblastine were mean $\pm \operatorname{SE}(\mathrm{n}=4)$.

### 3.0.2 In vivo studies

Athymic nude mice bearing the nu/nu gene were used for human breast tumor MX-1 and human ovarian adenocarcinoma SK-OV-3 xenograft. Outbred Swissbackground mice were obtained from the National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD). Male mice 8 weeks old or older weighing about 22 g were used for the experiments. Drug was administrated via the tail vein by i.v. injection. ${ }^{105}$ Tumor volumes were assessed by measuring length $\times$ width $\times$ height (or width) by using caliper. Vehicle used was DMSO $(50 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and Tween $80(40 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in saline $(160 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The maximal tolerable dose of the tested compound was determined and applied for the in vivo antitumor activity assay. All animal studies were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Animals
and the protocol approved by the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

### 3.0.3 Alkaline agarose gel shift assay

Formation of DNA cross-linking was analyzed by alkaline agarose gel electrophoresis. ${ }^{110}$ In brief, purified pEGFP-N1 plasmid DNA ( $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was mixed with various concentrations ( $1-20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of 81a, 83a, 81h and 84h in $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ binding buffer ( 3 mM sodium chloride $/ 1 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium phosphate, pH 7.4 , and 1 mM EDTA). The reaction mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . At the end of reaction, the plasmid DNA was linearized by digestion with BamHI and followed by precipitation with ethanol. The DNA pellets were dissolved and denatured in alkaline buffer ( 0.5 N $\mathrm{NaOH}-10 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA). An aliquot of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DNA solution ( $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was mixed with a $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 6 X alkaline loading dye and then electrophoretically resolved on a $0.8 \%$ alkaline agarose gel with $\mathrm{NaOH}-E D T A$ buffer at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The electrophoresis was carried out at 18 V for 22 h . After staining the gels with an ethidium bromide solution, and the DNA was then visualized under UV light.

### 3.0.4 Flow cytometric analysis

The effects of 81a on cell cycle distribution were analyzed with a flow cytometer as previously described. ${ }^{96}$ Briefly, human non-small cell lung carcinoma H1299 cells were treated with 81a at $1.25,2.5$, and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 h . The attached cells were then trypsinized, washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS), and fixed with icecold $70 \%$ ethanol for 30 min . The cells were stained with $4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ propidium iodide (PI) in PBS containing $1 \%$ Triton X-100 and $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNase A. The stained cells were then analyzed using the FACS SCAN flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Joes, CA, USA). The percentage of the cells in each cell cycle phase was determined using the ModFit LT 2.0 software based on the DNA histograms.

### 3.1 In vitro cytotoxicity

Table 3.1 shows the antiproliferative activities of the newly synthesized bis(hydroxylmethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline derivatives (81) and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives $(\mathbf{8 2}, \mathbf{8 3}$ and $\mathbf{8 4})$ against human lymphoblastic leukemia (CCRF-CEM) and its drug-resistant sublines resistant to Taxol (CCRFCEM/Taxol) and Vinblastine (CCRF-CEM/VBL) cell growth in vitro. It demonstrated that the newly synthesized conjugates possess significant cytotoxicity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in submicro molar range. In the series of bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives, it showed that 3-alkyl (Me or Et) substituted derivatives are more cytotoxic than the 3-phenyl substituted compounds. The order of their potency, for example, is $\mathbf{8 1 a}(3-\mathrm{Me})>\mathbf{8 1 b}$ (3-Et) $>$ 81c $(3-\mathrm{Ph})$, indicating that the compounds having a smaller size of substituent at C3 have greater cytotoxicity. A similar observation is found in 3-phenyl derivatives: the cytotoxicity decreases when the number of the methoxy functions increases ( $\mathbf{8 1 h}$ vs. $\mathbf{8 1 i}$ vs. $\mathbf{8 1 j}$ ). Furthermore, the cytotoxicity decreases when the number of the halo function increases in the halo substituted 3-phenyl derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 1 d}>\mathbf{8 1 f}$ and $\mathbf{8 1 e}>\mathbf{8 1 g}$ ). In this study, one can observe that the C3-methoxyphenyl derivatives are somewhat more cytotoxic than the corresponding halophenyl compounds. This suggests that the electron properties of the substituent(s) on the phenyl ring have very little influence over their potency.

Table 3.1 The cytotoxicity of newly synthesized bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives (81) and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 2}$ to 84) against human lymphoblastic leukemia (CCRF-CEM) and its drug-resistant sublines (CCRF-CEM/Taxol and CCRF-CEM/VBL) ${ }^{\text {a }}$


Cell Growth inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{M}$ )

| Compd. | $\mathbf{R}^{1}$ | CCRF-CEM | CCRF-CEM/ <br> Taxol ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { CCRF-CEM/ } \\ \text { VBL }^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ |
| 81a | Me | $0.08 \pm 0.02$ | $0.10 \pm 0.001$ | $0.09 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  |  | $[1.22 \times]^{c}$ | $[1.1 \times]$ |
| 81b | Et | $0.18 \pm 0.01$ | $0.21 \pm 0.003$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ |
|  |  |  | [1.15×] | [1.03×] |
| 81c | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $0.51 \pm 0.01$ | $0.50 \pm 0.04$ | $0.29 \pm 0.02$ |
|  |  |  | [0.99×] | [0.56×] |
| 81d | 4'-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.51 \pm 0.01$ | $0.88 \pm 0.03$ | $0.49 \pm 0.002$ |
|  |  |  | [1.72×] | [0.95×] |
| 81e | 4'-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.60 \pm 0.002$ | $0.77 \pm 0.17$ | $0.72 \pm 0.18$ |
|  |  |  | [1.28×] | [1.2×] |
| 81f | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ - Di-F-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $1.14 \pm 0.22$ | $1.26 \pm 0.26$ | $0.95 \pm 0.21$ |
|  |  |  | [1.11×] | [0.84×] |
| 81g | $3^{\prime}, 4{ }^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{Di}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $8.44 \pm 0.06$ | $4.82 \pm 0.01$ | $6.42 \pm 0.05$ |
|  |  |  | [0.57x] | [ $0.76 \times$ ] |
| 81h | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.23 \pm 0.03$ | $0.25 \pm 0.001$ | $0.11 \pm 0.001$ |
|  |  |  | [1.02×] | [0.48×] |


| 81i | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{Di}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $1.10 \pm 0.04$ | $0.76 \pm 0.02$ | $0.62 \pm 0.01$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | [ $0.69 \times$ ] | [0.56×] |
| 81j | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-Tri- MeO - | $1.97 \pm 0.46$ | $2.88 \pm 0.05$ | $2.78 \pm 0.09$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |  | [1.45×] | [1.40×] |
| 82a | Me | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ | $0.11 \pm 0.002$ | $0.08 \pm 0.001$ |
|  |  |  | [0.86×] | [0.59×] |
| 82e | 4'-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.19 \pm 0.01$ | $0.22 \pm 0.004$ | $0.16 \pm 0.05$ |
|  |  |  | [1.18×] | [0.87×] |
| 82f | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ - Di-F-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $0.49 \pm 0.09$ | $0.45 \pm 0.20$ | $0.47 \pm 0.05$ |
|  |  |  | [0.91×] | [0.97x] |
| 82h | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.18 \pm 0.03$ | $0.13 \pm 0.03$ | $0.09 \pm 0.02$ |
|  |  |  | [0.72×] | [ $0.49 \times$ ] |
| 83a | Me | $0.24 \pm 0.002$ | $0.11 \pm 0.001$ | $0.12 \pm 0.003$ |
|  |  |  | [ $0.48 \times$ ] | [0.51×] |
| 83b | Et | $0.44 \pm 0.01$ | $0.21 \pm 0.01$ | $0.24 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  |  | [ $0.48 \times$ ] | [0.53×] |
| 83c | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $0.26 \pm 0.01$ | $0.26 \pm 0.03$ | $0.23 \pm 0.001$ |
|  |  |  | [1.01×] | [0.90×] |
| 83d | 4'-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.31 \pm 0.01$ | $0.43 \pm 0.05$ | $0.57 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  |  | [1.39×] | [1.85×] |
| 83e | 4'-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.59 \pm 0.01$ | $0.35 \pm 0.01$ | $0.57 \pm 0.06$ |
|  |  |  | [0.59×] | [0.97×] |
| 83f | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ - Di-F-C $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $2.08 \pm 0.02$ | $1.25 \pm 0.02$ | $0.67 \pm 0.003$ |
|  |  |  | [0.60×] | [0.32×] |
| 83g | $3^{\prime}, 4{ }^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{Di}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $2.37 \pm 0.02$ | $0.90 \pm 0.002$ | $1.19 \pm 0.04$ |
|  |  |  | [0.38×] | [0.50×] |
| 83h | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.37 \pm 0.02$ | $0.22 \pm 0.003$ | $0.35 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  |  | [0.58×] | [0.93×] |
| 83i | 3',4'-Di- MeO-C6 ${ }^{\text {H }}{ }_{3}$ | $1.07 \pm 0.001$ | $0.81 \pm 0.01$ | $0.65 \pm 0.02$ |
|  |  |  | [0.75×] | [0.60×] |
| 83j | $3{ }^{\prime}, 4$ ', $5^{\prime}$-Tri- MeO- | $1.41 \pm 0.02$ | $2.14 \pm 0.08$ | $3.13 \pm 0.03$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |  | [1.52×] | [2.23×] |


| 84a | Me | $0.27 \pm 0.004$ | $0.23 \pm 0.002$ | $0.14 \pm 0.003$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | [0.84×] | [ $0.50 \times$ ] |
| 84b | Et | $0.57 \pm 0.01$ | $0.32 \pm 0.01$ | $0.18 \pm 0.0002$ |
|  |  |  | [0.56×] | [1.42×] |
| 84c | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $0.45 \pm 0.01$ | $0.23 \pm 0.02$ | $0.25 \pm 0.01$ |
|  |  |  | [0.50×] | [0.55×] |
| 84d | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.76 \pm 0.03$ | $0.23 \pm 0.01$ | $0.23 \pm 0.001$ |
|  |  |  | [0.30×] | [0.31×] |
| 84e | $4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.54 \pm 0.003$ | $0.63 \pm 0.01$ | $0.57 \pm 0.04$ |
|  |  |  | [1.16x] | [1.06x] |
| 84f | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ - Di-F-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $1.33 \pm 0.01$ | $0.78 \pm 0.003$ | $0.61 \pm 0.05$ |
|  |  |  | [0.58×] | [0.46×] |
| 84g | $3^{\prime}, 4{ }^{\prime}$-Di-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $3.55 \pm 0.02$ | $2.47 \pm 0.07$ | $1.35 \pm 0.04$ |
|  |  |  | [0.69×] | [ $0.38 \times$ ] |
| 84h | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $0.34 \pm 0.002$ | $0.19 \pm 0.002$ | $0.16 \pm 0.001$ |
|  |  |  | [0.56×] | [0.47×] |
| 84i | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{Di}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $1.29 \pm 0.10$ | $0.60 \pm 0.02$ | $0.77 \pm 0.05$ |
|  |  |  | [0.46×] | [ $0.59 \times$ ] |
| 84j | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5$ '-Tri- MeO- | $0.89 \pm 0.01$ | $1.20 \pm 0.01$ | $1.42 \pm 0.05$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |  | [1.35×] | [1.59×] |
| Taxol |  | $0.003 \pm 0.0003$ | $0.43 \pm 0.05$ | $1.27 \pm 0.05$ |
|  |  |  | [330×] | [980×] |
| Vinblas tine |  | $0.0007 \pm 0.001$ | $0.08 \pm 0.01$ | $0.50 \pm 0.12$ |
|  |  |  | [106.2×] | [679.5×] |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cell growth inhibition was measured by the XTT assay ${ }^{103}$ for leukemic cells after 72-h incubation using a microplate spectrophotometer as described previously. ${ }^{105}$ Similar in vitro results were obtained by using the Cell Counting Kit-8 for the CCK-8 assays as described by technical manual of Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc. (Gaithersburg, MD; Website: www.dojindo.com). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were determined from dose-effect relationship at six or seven concentrations of each drug by using the CompuSyn software by Chou and Martin ${ }^{104}$ based on the median-effect principle and plot using the serial deletion analysis. ${ }^{108,109}$ Ranges given for taxol and vinblastine were mean $\pm$ SE $(\mathrm{n}=4)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ CCRF-CEM/Taxol and CCRF-CEM/VBL are subcell lines of CCRF-CEM cells that are 330 -fold resistant to Taxol, and 680 -fold resistant to vinblastine, respectively, when comparing with the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the parent cell line.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Numbers in the brackets are fold of cross-resistant determined by comparison with the corresponding $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of the parent cell line.

In the series of bis(alkylcarbamates) derivatives, the alkylcarbamate moiety may serve as a better leaving group than that of the OH group. Thus, the bis(alkylcarbamates) derivatives may easily generate nucleophilic cations on both methylene functions that allow the cations to become more favorable targets of DNA. In the series of 3-phenyl substituted derivatives, the bis(alkylcarbamates) are generally more potent than their corresponding bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives, except for compound bis(ethylcarbamate) 83i, which is as potent as the corresponding bis(hydroxymethyl) 81i and more cytotoxic than bis(iso-propylcarbamates) 84i. However, in the series of C3-alkyl derivatives, the bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives (81a and 81b) are more potent than their corresponding bis(alkylcarbamates) (82a, 83a, and 84a; 83b and 84b, respectively).

Our previous research on the SAR studies of 1,2bis(hydroxylmethyl)cyclopenta[a]indenes and their counterparts 1,2bis(methylcarbamate) derivatives (72-75, Figure 14) demonstrated that the size and the electron property of the substituents at the C3 position affected the cytotoxicity of these agents. ${ }^{96}$ However, we found that the cytotoxicity of pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines is mainly affected by the size of the substituents at the C3 position rather than the electron property in the current studies. In comparison with the potency of both these series, the bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives of pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline are more cytotoxic than the corresponding cyclopenta[a]indenes. In contrast, the bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives of cyclopenta[a]indenes are more potent than the corresponding pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines.

Our previous report demonstrated that cyclopenta[a]indenes have no multidrug resistance toward antitumor agents such as Taxol and Vinblastine. To realize whether the newly synthesized pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline derivatives also have no cross-resistance to these two agents, we evaluated their cytotoxicity against CCRF-

CEM/Taxol and CCRF-CEM/VBL, which are subcell lines of CCRF-CEM cells that are 330 -fold resistant to Taxol, and 680 -fold resistant to Vinblastine, respectively. As shown in Table 3.1, the newly synthesized pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolines have no crossresistance to either Taxol or Vinblastine. This suggests that all derivatives are neither a good substrate of membrane multidrug resistance transporters (i.e., p-glycoprotein) nor mutated tubulin.

The antiproliferative activity of the selected pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline derivatives in inhibiting human solid tumors such as breast carcinoma MX-1, colon carcinoma HCT-116, non-small cell lung carcinoma H1299, prostate PC3, oral carcinoma OECM1 and glioma U87 cell growth in vitro (Table 3.2) were also investigated. Of these compounds, C3-Me derivative (81a) was found to have potent cytotoxicity in inhibiting MX-1 cell growth in vitro with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.66 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compounds 81a, 82e, and 82f exhibited potent inhibitory activity against human colon carcinoma HCT-116 with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $0.29,0.04$ and $0.36 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The tested compounds have good to moderate effects against H1299, PC3, OECM1 and U87 cell growth in vitro.

Table 3.2 The cytotoxicity of newly synthesized bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives (81) and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives ( 82 to 84 ) against human solid tumors (breast carcinoma MX-1, colon carcinoma HCT-116, lung carcinoma H1299, prostate carcinoma PC3, oral carcinoma OECM1 and glioma U87) cell growth in vitro.

| Compd. | Cell Growth inhibition ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MX-1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | HCT-116 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | H1299 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | PC3 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | OECM1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | U87 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 81a | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $0.29 \pm 0.01$ | $2.48 \pm 1.22$ | $6.07 \pm 1.70$ | $6.10 \pm 1.11$ | $27.91 \pm 3.90$ |
| 81d | $4.01 \pm 0.05$ | $2.46 \pm 0.08$ | $8.76 \pm 1.15$ | $5.93 \pm 1.52$ | $6.99 \pm 0.12$ | $23.25 \pm 0.26$ |
| 81e | $3.28 \pm 0.01$ | $3.06 \pm 0.46$ | $24.73 \pm 8.16$ | $9.85 \pm 0.79$ | $12.05 \pm 0.67$ | $26.68 \pm 5.79$ |
| 81f | $6.99 \pm 0.35$ | $3.21 \pm 0.21$ | $\mathrm{ND}^{\text {c }}$ | ND | ND | ND |
| 81h | $1.99 \pm 0.07$ | $1.13 \pm 0.07$ | $13.94 \pm 0.83$ | $10.93 \pm 1.21$ | $6.12 \pm 0.21$ | $10.57 \pm 0.23$ |
| 82a | $1.68 \pm 0.01$ | $1.17 \pm 0.02$ | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 82e | $1.18 \pm 0.01$ | $0.04 \pm 0.002$ | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 82 f | $2.73 \pm 0.05$ | $0.36 \pm 0.001$ | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 82h | $1.33 \pm 0.02$ | $1.07 \pm 0.05$ | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| 83a | $2.27 \pm 0.01$ | $0.90 \pm 0.03$ | $19.42 \pm 0.42$ | $15.45 \pm 1.84$ | $11.34 \pm 2.07$ | $13.89 \pm 4.90$ |
| 83d | $1.51 \pm 0.03$ | $0.79 \pm 0.05$ | $3.94 \pm 1.13$ | $9.59 \pm 1.31$ | $12.52 \pm 1.70$ | $28.36 \pm 4.86$ |
| 83e | $1.69 \pm 0.06$ | $0.62 \pm 0.10$ | $37.25 \pm 0.89$ | $10.98 \pm 1.14$ | $25.32 \pm 0.18$ | $33.59 \pm 3.91$ |
| 83h | $1.27 \pm 0.05$ | $0.75 \pm 0.004$ | $27.61 \pm 1.65$ | $18.54 \pm 0.82$ | $10.48 \pm 0.13$ | $17.06 \pm 1.78$ |


| 84a | $1.28 \pm 0.01$ | $1.38 \pm 0.02$ | $9.77 \pm 3.75$ | $16.87 \pm 7.42$ | $11.80 \pm 2.58$ | $26.61 \pm 4.21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84d | $1.17 \pm 0.19$ | $0.63 \pm 0.001$ | $8.13 \pm 1.34$ | $12.29 \pm 1.34$ | $11.05 \pm 2.61$ | $36.87 \pm 5.98$ |
| 84e | $1.70 \pm 0.09$ | $0.69 \pm 0.01$ | $25.15 \pm 4.05$ | $9.97 \pm 1.32$ | $26.10 \pm 7.86$ | $22.72 \pm 2.03$ |
| 84h | $0.98 \pm 0.03$ | $0.97 \pm 0.04$ | $13.16 \pm 1.94$ | $6.79 \pm 1.01$ | $8.83 \pm 0.74$ | $21.04 \pm 0.67$ |
| Taxol | $0.035 \pm 0.0$ <br> 0514 | $0.0013 \pm 0.0$ <br> 005 | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| Vinblas <br> tine | $0.0029 \pm 0$. <br> Cisplati <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | 0002 | $0.0018 \pm 0.0$ |  |  |  |
| 004 | ND | ND | $4.95 \pm 0.60$ | $26.65 \pm 4.19$ | ND | ND |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cell growth inhibition was measured by the SRB assay ${ }^{104}$ for solid tumor cells after 72-h incubation using a microplate spectrophotometer as described previously. ${ }^{105}$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Cell growth inhibition was determined by the Alamar blue assay ${ }^{106}$ in a 72 h incubation using a microplate spectrophotometer as described previously.
${ }^{c}$ Not determined.

### 3.2 In vivo antitumor activity

To investigate the antitumor activity of pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline derivatives in animal models, we selected compound 81a for evaluating its therapeutic efficacy in animal models since this agent has the most potent cytotoxicity among all of the compounds tested and exhibits a broad spectrum of antitumor activity in inhibiting both CCRF/CEM and other solid tumors in vitro. Nude mice implanted with human breast carcinoma MX-1 xenograft were given $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, every two days for two times ( $\mathrm{Q} 2 \mathrm{D} \times 2$ ), intravenous injection (i.v. injection) on day 8 and 10 (Figure 16). Remarkably, it shows that complete tumor remission against human breast carcinoma MX-1 in nude mice was achieved (Figure 16A). This concentration was established from tolerability studies. Under this dosage, one can see that nude mice's body weights recovered after cessation of the treatment, indicating the low toxicity of the compound to the host (Figure 16B). In another experiment, we found that 81a was able to effectively suppress human ovarian tumor SK-OV-3 implanted in nude mice on day 22 at the dose of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, every day for four times ( $\mathrm{QD} \times 4$ ), i.v. injection (Figure 17AB). The results of MX-1 and SK-OV-3 xenografts studies show the potential utility of compound 81a in inhibiting the growth of both tumors.



Figure 16 Therapeutic effects of 81a in nude mice bearing MX-1 human mammary xenograft (i.v. inj., $\mathrm{n}=4$ ). A: average tumor size changes. $\mathbf{B}$ : average body weight changes.



Figure 17 Therapeutic effects of 81a in nude mice bearing ovarian adenocarcinoma SK-OV-3 xenograft (i.v. inj, n=4). A: average tumor size changes. B: average body weight changes.

### 3.3 DNA cross-linking study

To realize whether the newly synthesized compounds are capable of crosslinking with DNA double strands, pEGFP-N1 plasmid DNA was treated with bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives (81a and 81h) and their corresponding bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives ( $\mathbf{8 3 a}$ and $\mathbf{8 4 h}$, respectively) at various concentrations as indicated ( 1,10 , and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) using alkaline agarose gel shifting assay (Figure 18). ${ }^{110}$ Melphalan $(1,5$, and $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was used as the positive control. As revealed in Figure 18, one can see that all of the tested compounds were able to induce DNA interstrand cross-linking, suggesting that DNA cross-linking may be the main mechanism of action for these agents.


Figure 18 Representative DNA cross-linking gel shift assay for bis(hydroxymethyl) derivatives (81a and 81h) and their corresponding bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives (83a and $\mathbf{8 4 h}$, respectively) at various concentrations as indicated. Control lane shows single-stranded DNA (SS), while CL shown in all tested lanes is DNA doublestranded cross-linking. Melphalan ( 1,5 , and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was used as a positive control.

### 3.4 Cell cycle inhibition

It is well known that DNA interacting agents can alter the cell cycle progression by arresting the cell cycle at the G2/M phase. ${ }^{111}$ Previously, we have demonstrated that 3a-aza-cyclopenta[a]indene derivatives were able to induce G2/M arrest. ${ }^{96}$ We therefore studied the inhibitory effect of 81a on cell cycle distribution (Table 3.3). The human non small lung carcinoma H1299 cells were treated with 81a at the concentrations of $1.25,2.5$, and $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 24 h . The cells were harvested, stained with propidium iodide (PI) and analyzed with a flow cytometer. It clearly shows that 81a remarkably accumulated the cells at G2/M phase. Furthermore, increased sub-G1 populations were noticed in cells treated with 81a at each concentration.

Table 3.3 Effects of compound 81a on cell cycle progress in human non-small cell lung adenocarcinoma H1299.

| Concentr <br> ation <br> $(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0 | 1.25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| sub G1 | $14.1 \pm 0.7$ | $21.6 \pm 4.2$ | $26.6 \pm 0.7$ | $26.5 \pm 3.0$ |
| G1 | $42.9 \pm 0.8$ | $5.6 \pm 0.6$ | $7.7 \pm 0.7$ | $0.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| S | $22.5 \pm 0.3$ | $32.7 \pm 5.9$ | $18.3 \pm 8.4$ | $24.7 \pm 4.6$ |
| G2/M | $20.5 \pm 0.9$ | $40.1 \pm 6.5$ | $47.3 \pm 2.1$ | $48.4 \pm 2.2$ |

### 3.5 Conclusion

Both bis(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinoline and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives show potent antitumor activity in inhibiting various human tumor xenografts in vitro. Among these analogues, we discovered compound 81a, which was selected for antitumor studies in animal models, exhibits potent therapeutic efficacy against human breast MX-1 xenograft in nude mice, as complete tumor remission was observed. This agent is also able to significantly suppress human ovarian tumors implanted in nude mice. The results reported herein warrant further investigation to optimize the schedule and dosage to get greater suppression of other human tumor growth in animal models. Additional, the evaluation of the antitumor activity of 81a in combination with DNA repair inhibitor (e.g. ATO) is currently undergoing in our laboratory.
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## Section B

## Chapter 4. Introduction of Pyrano[3,2-c]Quinolone Derivatives

### 4.0 Introduction

4-hydroxy-2-quinolone is an important compound, because this moiety is exits in natural products exhibit variety of interesting pharmacological properties. ${ }^{1}$ While pyranofused heterocycles are biologically important as antibacterial ${ }^{2}$, antihistamines ${ }^{3}$, antimicrobials ${ }^{4}$, enzyme substrates ${ }^{5}$ and alkaloids ${ }^{6}$. Pyranoquinolinones show good pharmacological activities ${ }^{1,7}$ such as, antibacterial, antimicrobial, anti-HIV and antiviral and antitumor. The derivatives of fused 2-quinolones are useful as cardiovascular agents ${ }^{7}$. Quinolone alkaloids are also known to possess antimicrobial activity and marked cytotoxicity against animal and plant tumors. ${ }^{8}$ A novel class of 4-hydroxyquinolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-ones has recently been described ${ }^{9}$ as selective glycinesite NMDA antagonists with potent in vivo activity after oral administration. However, depending on their structural types, quinolone derivatives exhibit different activities. ${ }^{10}$ Furo[2,3-c]quinolin-4(5H)-one and $2 H$-pyrano[3,2-c]quinolin-5(6H)-one derivatives are abundantly distributed in nature. ${ }^{11}$

### 4.1 Quinolone alkaloids

1-Methyl tetradecylquinolin- $4(1 \mathrm{H})$-one (1), was isolated from the fruits of Evodia rutaecarpa together with evocarpine (2) and related alkadienes (3) and (4). ${ }^{12}$

These are the first quinolone alkaloids have been tested as DGAT inhibitors; the moderate activity of purified compounds $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} 69.5,23.8,20.1\right.$ and $13.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) indicates possible utility in the design of hypolipidaemic and antiobesity agents. Evocarpine and the positional isomer (5) show strong antibacterial activity against Helicobacter pylori both in vitro and in vivo by inhibiting respiration, the results reinforcing previous indications of this class of alkaloids as novel therapeutical agents for the treatment of ulcers. ${ }^{13}$ Another Evodia alkaloid, 1-methyl-2-undecylquinolin-4(1H)-one (6), has proved to be an irreversible and selective inhibitor of type B monoamine oxidase, suggesting potential value in the treatment of neurological disorders such as Parkinson's and Alzheimer's diseases. ${ }^{14}$


1, $\mathrm{n}=13$
6, $n=10$


2, $\mathrm{m}=7 ; \mathrm{n}=13$
5, $m=6 ; n=4$


3, $\mathrm{m}=3 ; \mathrm{n}=4$
4, $m=5 ; n=4$

Figure 1

The herb rue (Ruta graveolens) is known for its medicinal properties: New alkaloids for ex., graveoline (7) was found to be cytotoxic towards the HeLa cancer cell line ( $\mathrm{ED}_{50} 3.35 \mathrm{\mu g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ ), and its isomer graveolinine (8) was an effective inhibitor of platelet aggregation induced by arachidonic acid and collagen at low concentration $\left(5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{15}$

It is well known that simple quinoline alkaloids from Galipea and related rutaceous genera show substantial trypanocidal, antileishmanial and antimalarial activity. Some of these findings have now been reviewed by Fournet and Munoz. ${ }^{16}$

The unique structural feature in helietidine (9), a new alkaloid was isolated from the Brazilian medicinal plant Helietta longifoliata ${ }^{17}$, the pyrano[3,2-g]quinoline ring system, hitherto unprecedented among the hemiterpenoid quinoline alkaloids; indeed, even 6-prenylquinolines, likely precursors for this tricyclic ring system, were unknown as natural products. The structure was presented as a quinolin-2-ol, but the quinolin-2-one tautomer is undoubtedly more plausible.


A cytotoxic fraction from the stem bark extract of Stauranthus perforatus, was analyzed by the comparatively rare technique of HPLC-NMR, HPLC-MS measurements, showed the new compounds to be analogues of veprisine (10) (7,8-dimethoxy- $N$-methyl.indersine), which had been found in this species previously and
was again detected in this study. One of the new alkaloids was (11), 7,8methylenedioxy equivalent of veprisine, which is known as stauranthine. The remaining alkaloids were oxidized analogues of both veprisine and stauranthine, and included the trans-3, 4-dihydroxy-3,4-dihydro derivatives (12) and (13), the 3,6-dihydroxy-3,6-dihydro derivatives (14) and (15), and the 6-hydroxy-3-keto analogues (16) and (17). The 3,4-dihydroxypyran component in (12) and (13) has previously been found in other rutaceous quinoline and acridone alkaloids, but the involvement of the hemiterpenoid moiety in the remaining alkaloids in hemiketal formation with a quinolin-4-one is unprecedented. Trans-3,4-dihydroxy-3,4-dihydroveprisine (13) is identical to the alkaloid araliopsinine, reported from Araliopsis tabouensis by Ngadjui et al. in $1988 .{ }^{18}$ Interestingly, the alkaloids of the stauranthine series were all more stable than those of the veprisine series, perhaps reflecting the greater tendency towards oxidation of the vicinal dimethoxy grouping as compared with the methylenedioxy substituent. A pyrano[3,2-c]quinolone alkaloid ravesoline (18) was isolated from the leaves of Ravenia spectabilis. ${ }^{19}$


Figure 3

Pyranoquinoline alkaloids simulenoline $(\mathbf{1 9}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH})^{20,21}$ and huajiaosimuline (20) ${ }^{22}$ were isolated from root barks of Zanthoxylum simulans, a shrub found in Taiwan and mainland China. While simulenoline ( $19, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$ ) was the most recently isolated of the two alkaloids, a third pyranoquinoline alkaloid zanthodioline (21) from the same species was recently disclosed in the literature. ${ }^{20}$ These novel monoterpenoid pyranoquinolines are potent inhibitors of platelet aggregation. For example, at a concentration of $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, simulenoline ( $\mathbf{1 9}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$ ) demonstrates a nearly complete suppression of platelet aggregation induced in vitro by collagen, arachidonic acid, and PAF in general. ${ }^{20}$ While simulenoline (19, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$ ) and zanthodioline (21) are not cytotoxic, huajiaosimuline (20) is toxic toward several human cultured cell
lines, especially the estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cells, ZR-75-127. Structurally, these alkaloids contain three fused six-membered rings with a unique terpenoid side chain at C-2. The BC-ring is essentially the quinolone nucleus, and the A-ring is a $2 H$-pyran.


### 4.2 Pharmacological Profile

### 4.2.1 Antibiotic activity profile of Quinolone derivatives

The whole quinolone anti-infective agents are synthetic origin and not modeled knowingly after any natural antibiotic. Several ring systems are or have been involved (Figure 5). Those of greatest prominences and their numbering systems are illustrated in figure below. ${ }^{23}$


The first antimicrobial quinolone was discovered called nalidixic acid demonstrated Gram negative antibacterial activity, remains in the market today, socalled first generation quinolones. Despite its convenient oral activity, bactericidal action, and ease of synthesis, its limited antimicrobial spectrum (primarily activity
against Escherichia coli) and poor pharmacokinetic characteristics limit. It is used primarily in treatment of sensitive community acquired urinary tract infections.

Norfloxacin, the first of the second-generation family of quinolones. ${ }^{24}$ This agent had dramatically enhanced and broader spectrum anti Gram-negative activity and possessed significant anti Gram-positive activity as well. The potency of norfloxacin was in the same range as that of many fermentationderived antibiotics, its comparative structural simplicity and synthetic accessibility lead to a very significant effort to find even more improved analogues. Norfloxacin and its $N$-methyl analogue pefloxacin ultimately failed to find major use outside of the genitourinary tract because of poor active blood levels and limited potency against Gram-positives.

Shortly thereafter, ciprofloxacin ${ }^{25-27}$ and ofloxacin, ${ }^{27,28}$ as well as its optically active form levofloxacin ${ }^{29}$, were introduced. The second-generation agents have significant broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity including important Gram-positive pathogens. This is coupled with gratifying safety and pharmacokinetic characteristics.

A wide variety of clinical indications have been approved for quinolones including many infections commonly encountered in community practice including upper and lower respiratory, gastrointestinal and gynecologic infections, sexually transmitted diseases, prostatitis, and some skin, bone, and soft tissue infections. ${ }^{30}$

Recently the fluoroquinolone family members were introduced belong to the third generation. It includes gatifloxacin ${ }^{31}$ and moxifloxacin ${ }^{32}$ which possessed further enhanced activity against Gram-positive infections, and anti-anaerobic coverage. Although at present only trovafloxacin ${ }^{33}$ is approved for this indication. Among the agents, in preclinical study, still clinifloxacin ${ }^{34}$ is the most promising anti-anaerobic agent. When first introduced, there was no idea of the molecular mode of action of these agents.

In a later study of 15 quinolones, they were divided into three groups on the basis of their relative ability to inhibit S. aureus strains with a resistance mutant toward one or the other enzyme. With group 1 (norfloxacin, enoxacin, fleroxacin,
ciprofloxacin, lomefloxacin, trovafloxacin, grepafloxacin, ofloxacin, and levofloxacin) topoisomerase IV were the more sensitive target. With group 2 (sparfloxacin and nadifloxacin) DNA gyrase was the more sensitive target. With group 3 (gatifloxacin, pazufloxacin, moxifloxacin, and clinafloxcin) were equivalently sensitive. The later were termed the dual targeting quinolones. ${ }^{35}$


### 4.2.2 Anticancer activity

Ih-Sheng Chen, et al. ${ }^{22 b}$ have isolated pyranoquinolone alkaloids zanthosimuline (44) and huajiaosimuline (45) from the root bark of Zanboxylum simulans, exhibited cytotoxic activity. In addition, compound (45) showed significant antiplatelet aggregation activity and induced terminal differentiation with cultured HL-60 cells.

Compound (44) demonstrated a general cytotoxic response when evaluated with a variety of cultured human cancer cell lines and cultured P-388 cells. ${ }^{36}$ Multidrug-resistant KB-VI cells demonstrated a sensitivity that was approximately equivalent to the observed with cultured KB cells. In the presence of vinblastine, activity was enhanced about 3 -fold with KB-VI cells, suggesting reversal of the drug resistance of the phenotype. ${ }^{37}$ Conversely, oxidation of the side-chain produced a more selective profile of cytotoxic activity, as exhibited by compound (45). From particular note, the human tumor cell lines were tested, greatest activity was observed with the estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer cells, ZR-75-1, and even more pronounced reversal of resistance to vinblastine was demonstrated with KB-VI cells. In addition, prompted by the structural similarity of the compound (44) and (45) sidechains and vitamin D metabolites, studies were performed with cultured HL-60 cells.


Figure 7

Olivia Jansen, et al. ${ }^{38 a}$ during their systematic chemotaxonomic study of Uzbek Haplophyllum A. Juss. plants selected on ethnopharmacological data, have screened 14 alkaloids for their cytotoxic properties. In first selection for interesting compounds, each alkaloid was tested against two human cancer cell lines (HeLa and HCT-116), using WST-1 reagent.

Of the 14 alkaloids, 5 were cytotoxic when tested against the HeLa line with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<100 \mu \mathrm{M}$. These five compounds consisted of three furoquinolines: skimmianine (46), $\gamma$-fagarine (47), and haplopine (48) and two pyranoquinolones: flindersine (49a) and haplamine (49b). Only haplamine was active against the HCT116 line.

The cytotoxic properties of these five alkaloids were further investigated against five additional human cancer cell lines. Of these five pre-selected alkaloids, only haplamine showed significant cytotoxic activity against all the tested cell lines. Finally, this pyranoquinolone alkaloid was tested against 14 different cancer cell lines and against normal skin fibroblasts.


Figure 8

Meark Serono has worked extensively on KSP inhibitor, tetrahydroquinoline core derivatives which led into clinical trials. ${ }^{38 b}$ The most extensive of this is based on the hexahydropyranoquinoline (50a, HHPQ) scaffold, including compound (50b). ${ }^{38 \mathrm{c}}$ Following a high-throughput screen, derivatives from this series were identified as potent, selective inhibitors of KSP and inhibition of cellular proliferation in a variety of tumor cell lines (Colo205, HCT116 and SW707). ${ }^{38 \mathrm{~d}}$


### 4.2.3 Anti-HIV activity

Antiviral nucleoside agents such as AZT, ddC, ddl, $\mathrm{d}_{4} \mathrm{~T}$ and 3 TC being Reverse Transcriptase (R.T.) inhibitors are approved for clinical use. Although these
nucleoside based drugs can extend the life of the patient, they are associated with several side effects and not capable of curing the disease. The urge for the promising RT inhibitors to cure AIDS, resulted in the identification of a group of coumarin derivatives isolated from genus Calophyllum as HIV-1 specific non-nucleoside inhibitors, among which Calanolide A represented by ( $51, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}$ ) is the most potent and is currently undergoing clinical trials (phase III). ${ }^{39}$

Calanolides, a 'dipyrano-coumarin' class of compounds are active not only against the AZT-resistant strain of HIV-1, but also against virus strains resistant to some other non-nucleoside inhibitors such as TIBO pyridinone, and nevirapine. Calanolides are in the advance stage of biological evaluation as anti-HIV agents in clinical trials (phase III). The main drawbacks of this class of compounds are (a) poor solubility of this class of compounds in the physiological medium and (b) lesser stability of the coumarin ring system in biological environment. It, thus, would be desirable to prepare the New Chemical Entities (NCEs) having calanolide skeleton but with better therapeutic index. In addition, the NCEs are desirable to overcome the problems associated with calanolides such as stability and solubility in the physiological medium.

Quinolinones are shown to be part structures of several bio-active compounds with profound bio-efficacy. Unlike the lactone bond in coumarins, the lactam bond in quinolinones is highly stable.
M. K. Gurjar, et al. ${ }^{40}$ have patented a novel 'dipyrano-quinolinone' class of compounds related to calanolide structural frame work as NCEs and envisaged to circumvent the problems associated with calanolides and has improved therapeutic indices.


Figure 10

The invention deals with the synthesis of novel and new 'dipyranoquinolinone'class of compounds, where the major differences in the structural arrangement are the replacement of coumarin ring oxygen (at position 1) of calanolide structure with nitrogen (at position 1). New 'quinolinone' ring system was represented by (52). These quinolinone analogues of calanolides are NCEs and envisaged as potential candidate molecules as anti-HIV agents. The rationale for the synthesis of these 'dipyrano-quinolinones' reported in this specification are as follows: (1) Replacement of oxygen (at position 1) of natural products, calanolides, with nitrogen leads to dipyranoquinolinones as anti-HIV agents with better therapeutic index. (2) The inherent problems associated with naturally occurring calanolides such as metabolic stability and solubility in physiological medium can be circumvented with the new dipyrano-quinolinone derivatives that are reported in this patent. (3) The derivatisation of water-soluble derivatives of these new chemical entities represented in this patent are easily possible. (4) The metabolic stability is expected to enhance due to the presence of nitrogen atom in the skeleton of dipyrano-quinolinones derivative presented in this patent. (5) The structure activity relationship coupled with positive activity against calanolide resistant strain of HIV virus can be explored due to the presence of nitrogen atom in the dipyrano-quinolinone system.

The dipyrano-quinolone of the present invention screened for anti-HIV activity (reverse transcriptase inhibition), have shown potential therapeutic values as "Candidate Molecules". The above compound (51, X=NH) was reported in this invention shown equal potency of activity against HIV infected cell lines that observed for calanolide in the in vitro preliminary screening studies. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}, \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ and TI values of both calanolides and the 'new dipyrano-quinolinone chemical entities (51, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}$ ) were described in the present invention have equal and more
protecting capacity against the HIV infection. The NCE has prepared in this invention, shown a mean therapeutic index value of 12 and indicative of superior activity for the new compound compared to the natural one.

### 4.2.4 Calcium Channel Blockers

Karnail Atwal ${ }^{41}$ have reported novel pyranyl quinolines (53) having calcium channel blocking activity. It was suggested that compounds (53) and their pharmaceutically acceptable salts were cardiovascular agents. They act as calcium entry blocking vasodilators and are useful as antihypertensive agents. Thus, by the administration of a composition containing one (or a combination) of the compounds of this invention, the blood pressure of a hypertensive mammalian (e.g., human) host is reduced. A single dose, or two to four divided daily doses, provided on a basis of about 0.1 to 100 milligrams per kilogram of body weight per day, preferably from about 1 to about 50 milligrams per kilogram per day, is appropriate to reduce blood pressure.


Figure 11

As a result of the calcium entry blocking activity of the compounds (53), and the pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof, these compounds, in addition to being antihypertensive agents, are especially useful as antiischemic agents, and also useful as anti-arrhythmic agents, anti-anginal agents, anti-fibrillatory agents, anti-asthmatic agents, as an agent to increase the ratio of HDL-cholesterol to total serum cholesterol in the blood and in limiting myocardial infarction.

### 4.2.5 Antiallergic activity

Repirinast (54, MY-5116; isoarnyl 5,6-dihydro-7,8-dimethyl-4,5-dioxo-4H-pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-2-carboxylate) is an anti-allergic effective drug for treatment of bronchial asthma in humans. N.Yamada, et al. ${ }^{42}$ have demonstrated that (55, MY1250; 5,6-dihydro-7,8-dimethyl-4,5-dioxo-4H-pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-2-carboxylic acid), a major metabolite of repirinast, inhibits antigen induced histamine release from rat peritoneal mast cells. MY-1250 causes a rapid increase in cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) levels in rat peritoneal mast cells MY-1250 inhibited cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase activities from rat peritoneal cells and guinea pig lung tissue in a concentrat dependent manner with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and $1.67 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively. However MY-1250 showed no effect on adenylate cyclase activity from rat peritoneal cells. These results suggested that the inhibitory effect of MY-1250 on histamine release might be partly due to the inhibition of cyclic AMP phosphodiesterase activity.

54, (MY 5116)
55, (MY 1250)

Figure 12

### 4.2.6 Antimicrobial activity

Trkovnik et al. ${ }^{43}$ have reported ethyl-2,6-dioxo-6,9-dihydro-substituted2 Hpyrano[3,2-g]quinoline-7-carboxylates (56) and their antimicrobial activity against S.aureus, P.aenrgiizosa, Streptococcus pyogens, Streptococcus faecalis, Staphiloc epiderms etc. El-Taweel et al. ${ }^{44}$ have evaluated polysubstituted pyrano[3,2c] quinolones (57) for their bactericidal activity against various gram positive and gram negative bacterial species.


Figure 13

### 4.3 Synthetic Approaches

Majumdar K. C. et al. ${ }^{45}$ have synthesized pyrano[3,2-f]quinolin-2(7H)-ones by a thermal [3, 3]-sigmatropic rearrangement with $60-65 \%$ yield by heating the propargyl ethers in N,N-dimethylaniline.(Scheme-1)


Wang X. et al. ${ }^{46}$ have described one-step synthesis of 2-amino-4-aryl-1,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrano[3,2-c]quinolin-5-one derivatives from arylaldehyde, malononitrile and 4-hydroxyquinolin-2-one with $\mathrm{KF}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ treatment as a catalyst in refluxing ethyl alcohol. Prior to this investigation, the synthesis of pyrano[3,2-h]quinoline derivatives was reported ${ }^{47}$ from arylmethylidene malononitriles and 8 -hydroxyquinoline using $\mathrm{KF}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ catalyst which was a versatile solid support reagent for many reactions such as Knoevenaegel condensation. ${ }^{48}$ (Scheme-2)


Dandia A. et al. ${ }^{49}$ have prepared fluorine-containing substituted spiro derivatives of pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline by microwave irradiation and conventional methods with 80-85 \% yield. (Scheme 3)


The condensation of $\alpha$-ketoesters with phenolic compounds in the presence of sulfuric acid yields coumarin derivatives. ${ }^{50}$ This synthesis is known as Pechmann condensation ${ }^{51}$ and has extensive application to prepare many naturally occuring coumarins. Acidic catalysts such as aluminium trichloride, zinc chloride, phosphoryl oxychloride, phosphoric acid, polyphosphoric acid, trifluoro acetic acid and hydrochloric acid were used. C. Mayer ${ }^{52}$ and T. Kappe ${ }^{53}$ have found that ammonium acetate can be used as a source of ammonia and that readily reacted with phenolic heterocyclic compounds to yield corresponding $\alpha$-pyrone derivatives.
$\alpha$-acetyl-butyrolactone, an interesting cyclic $\alpha$-ketoesters can be used for the synthesis of heterocyles containing a hydroxyethyl side chain. ${ }^{54}$ R. Toche, et al ${ }^{55}$ have reported the pechmann reaction of 4-hydroxy-2(1H)-quinolones with $\alpha$-acetylbutyrolactone. Moreover this reaction was extended to $\alpha$-formyl-butyrolactone (used as its sodium salt). (Scheme-4)

Thus, the condensation of 4-hydroxy-2-quinolones with $\alpha$-acetylbutyrolactone in the presence of ammonium acetate at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-2,5-diones with $70-75 \%$ yield, while sodium salt of $\alpha$-formylbutyrolactone ${ }^{56}$ (obtained by formylation of butyrolactone) reacted smoothly with 4-hydroxy-2-quinolones in presence of ammonium acetate to yield 3-hydroxyethyl-2pyranone derivatives.


2-Quinolones were synthesized by several methods like (i) thermal cyclization of aniline with diethyl malonate at $180-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{57}$, (ii) condensation of aniline with diethylmalonate in the presence of phosphorous oxychloride ${ }^{58}$, or (iii) condensation of anilines with bis-2,4-dichlorophenyl or bis-2,4,6-trichlorophenyl malonate. ${ }^{59}$ A. Knierzinger and O . Wolfbeis ${ }^{60}$ suggested that the conversion of 2-quinolones into their 3-anilino methylene derivatives was effected by heating with an equimolar amount of and a 1.5 molar excess of triethoxy methane in glacial acetic acid or DMF.

2-Aminomethylene-1,3-diketones are synthetic equivalents to the less readily available and less stable 2 -formaldehyde of 1,3-diketones. Thus when the enamine was reacted with equimolar amounts of a nitrile in the presence of strong base in

DMF, aniline was replaced by nitrile anion and subsequent acidification affords the corresponding pyrones. (Scheme-5)

S. Yamaguchi et al. ${ }^{61}$ have synthesized eight number of 2- and 3-halo-11,12-dihydro-5H-[1]-benzopyrano[4,3-c]quinolin-5,11-dione by demethyl cyclization of corresponding halo-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carboxylic acids, which were synthesized by Pfitzinger condensation of 5 - or 6 -halo isatins with (2-methoxyphenyl)acetic acid. (Scheme-6)


Morinaka and Takahashi have patented ${ }^{62}$ ester derivatives of pyrano[3,2-c]quinolin-2-carboxylic acid, synthesized by following route. (Scheme-7)


Scheme 7

A couple of 2,3,4,6-tetrahydro-2-hydroxy-2-methylpyrano[3,2-c]quinolin-5ones were prepared by the reaction of 4-hydroxyquinolin-2(1H)-ones with 1-(dimethylamino)butan-3-one in the presence of potassium hydroxide and methyl iodide. ${ }^{63}$ Some 6 -alkyl-3,4-dihydropyrano[3,2-c]quinolin-5-ones were prepared by the reaction of 4-hydroxyquinolin-2 $2(1 H)$-ones 4 with acetylenic halides and the subsequent intramolecular cyclization of the acetylenic ethers. ${ }^{64}$ (Scheme-8)


Jai-Hai Ye et al. ${ }^{65}$ have reported convenient one pot synthesis of 2,3,4,6-tetrahydro-2-hydroxypyrano[3,2-c]quinolin-5-one derivatives from 4-hydroxyquinolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-ones by tandem Knoevenagel condensation. In $1^{\text {st }}$ step 4-hydroxyquinolin- $2(1 \mathrm{H})$-ones reacted with aliphatic aldehyde-Michael-type 1,4addition of the enamine (derived from the aldehyde and diethylamine in situ) with the quinone methide (quinomethane). This reaction sequence was achieved in one pot by direct thermal reaction of with an aldehyde in the presence of diethylamine as a base in refluxing benzene, which afforded the corresponding 2,3,4,6-tetrahydropyrano-[3,2-c]quinolin-5-one as a main product together with the 3,3'-alkane-1,1-diylbis(4-hydroxyquinolin- $2(1 H)$-one) as a minor product.(Scheme-9)



2-hydroxypyrano[3,2-c] quinolin-5-one

Scheme 9
H. Abd el-Nabi ${ }^{66}$ suggested that 4-hydroxy-2-quinolone reacted with cinnamonitrile derivatives in presence of catalytic amounts of triethylamine to afford pyrano[3,2-c]quinolines. The reaction of pyrano[3,2-c]quinolines with reagents such as acetic anhydride/pyridine, formamide and formic acid/formamide gave the fused heterotetracyclic system of the type of pyrimido[ $\left.4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}: 6,5\right]$ pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline. (Scheme-10)


Scheme 10
N. Venkatesh Kumar and S. Rajendran ${ }^{67}$ have described that 4-hydroxy-2quinolone being endowed with both nucleophilic and electrophilic properties furnish the dimeric quinoline in a base catalyzed self-condensation process. A one step synthesis, starting from 4-hydroxy-2-quinolone with ethylacetoacetate and pyridine proceeded through the Michael addition, which was followed by cyclization, gave an angular isomer 4-methylpyrano[3,2-c]quinolin-2,5[6H]-dione. (Scheme-11)

V. Mulwad and M. Lohar ${ }^{68}$ have synthesized novel spiro-[3H-indole-3,7'-(9'-amino-8'-cyano-[7'H]-pyrano-[3,2-c]-quinolin)]-2,6'-[1H]-diones by the reaction of N -methyl-4-hydroxy-2-quinolone with isatine and malononitrile. (Scheme-12)


Igor V. Magedov et al. ${ }^{69}$ have recently reported a three component reaction of pyridone with malononitrile and various aromatic aldehydes in a 1:1:1 ratio proceeds smoothly in refluxing ethanol containing a small quantity of triethyl amine. (Scheme 13) Pyranopyridones were precipitated directly from the refluxing reaction mixtures and required no further purification. The pyrano[3,2-c]quinolones analogues were found low nanomolar (down to 3 nM ) antiproliferative properties in HeLa and MCF-7 human cancer cell lines.


### 4.4 Work Done at Our Laboratory

The reaction of phenols with malonic acid in the presence of an admixture of condensing agents zinc chloride and phosphorous oxychloride gave yields of 4hydroxy coumarins. ${ }^{70}$ Moreover the specific condensing action of this admixture have also been observed. ${ }^{71}$

From the beginning of our ongoing research on studies of 2,4dihydroxyquinoline derivatives and their analogues, Dodia ${ }^{72}$ extended this reaction with primary aromatic amines and found that with malonic acid and admixture of the condensing agents zinc chloride and phosphorous oxychloride at a suitable temperature $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give fairly good yields of 2,4-dihydroxyquinolines.

By using 2,4-dihydroxyquinolines as a central intermediate, a good number of substituted fused and dimeric analogues have been prepared, which includes 3-substituted-2,4-dihydroxyquinolines, pyrano[3,2-c]quinolines, pyrimido[ $\left.5^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}: 5,6\right]$ pyrano[3,2-c]quinolines and dimeric 2,4-dihydroxy quinoline derivatives were screened them for various biological activity. A number of pyrano[3,2-c]quinolones analogues had shown potent activity as anti-HIV, antitubercular, antimicrobial and antimalarial activity.

Acharya ${ }^{73}$, Mishra ${ }^{74}$, Manvar ${ }^{75}$ and Upadhyay ${ }^{76}$ have extended the analogue making with the condensation of N -methylaniline, N -ethylaniline and N benzylanilines with diethylmalonates in 1:2 mole proportion to afford pyrano[3,2c]quinolones which further reacted with cinnamonitrile derivatives to yield pyrano[ 2 ', 3 ': 4,5 ]pyrano[3,2-c]quinolone derivatives. (Figure 14)


### 4.5 Research Aim

The quinoline derivatives exhibited wide variety of biological activities and evaluated its potential in pharmaceutical areas, such as central nervous system, ${ }^{77}$ haematology, ${ }^{78}$ virology, ${ }^{79}$ chemotherapy such as stomach cancer, brain tumor, and large intestine cancer, ${ }^{80,81}$ transmissible spongiform encephalopathies, ${ }^{82}$ and proliferative diseases. ${ }^{83}$ It is well-known that $4 H$-pyran derivatives have different pharmacological activities, e.g., antifungal activity, ${ }^{84}$ anti-inflammatory activity, ${ }^{85}$ and antimicrobial activity. ${ }^{86}$ Moreover, it is demonstrated that pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline derivatives, which contain both a quinoline ring and pyran moieties, and their synthetic analogues are currently research interest because they have a wide range of biological activities and have potential of medical and other applications. ${ }^{87,88}$

In continuation of our earlier work and results obtained of pharmacological properties of quinolines and pyrans we designed several new compounds featuring substituted pyran rings fused onto the quinoline moiety with the aim of obtaining more potent and active compounds.

The current work encompasses with dihydropyrido fused system of quinoline ring to study the changes in the biological activity. The current work presents direct, efficient and operationally convenient approach to the synthesis of some novel dihydropyrido fused Pyranoquinolone derivatives. The Knoevanagel condensation and Micheal addition reaction between 1-hydroxy-6,7-dihydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin- $3(5 \mathrm{H})$-one and ethylcyano-acetate derivatives of aromatic and heteroaromatic aldehydes were studied. (Figure 15)


Figure 15 4H,5H,6H,9H-Pyrido[ij]Pyrano[3,2-c]Quinolone derivatives

The chemical synthesis and characterization of $4 H, 5 H, 6 H, 9 H-$ Pyrido[ij]Pyrano[3,2-c]Quinolone derivatives are described in Chapter 5.

## Section B

## Chapter 5. Synthesis and Characterization of some novel 4H,5H,6H,9H-Pyrido[ij]Pyrano[3,2-c]Quinolone Derivatives

### 5.0 Chemistry

The synthetic route for Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(substituted)$4 H, 5 H, 6 H, 9 H$-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate derivatives are shown in Scheme 2. The known 1-hydroxy-6,7-dihydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-3(5H)-one (67) was synthesized by commercially available $1,2,3,4$-tetrahydroquinoline (65) with diethyl malonate (66) in diphenyl ether by following the procedure. ${ }^{89}$ Compound 67 was treated with various aromatic aldehyded and/or heteroaromatic aldehyded (69a-t, RCHO ) and ethylcyanoacetate (68) in the presence of weak base (piperidine) to give ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(substituted)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano-[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71a-t). The spiro derivative 74 was prepared with good yields from 67 by reacting with isatine (72) and ethylcyanoacetate (68) (Scheme $3)$. Table 5.1 show the yields and the physical data of these compounds.

### 5.1 Reaction Scheme

5.1.1 Scheme 1 Synthetic routes for 1-hydroxy-6,7-dihydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-3(5H)-one (67).

5.1.2 Scheme 2 Synthetic routes for Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(substituted)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate derivatives (71a-t).

|   <br> 67 <br> 70a-t <br> 68 <br> 69a-t $\begin{aligned} & \text { a: } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \\ & \text { b: } \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathbf{c}: \mathrm{R}=3^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \text { d: } \mathrm{R}=4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathbf{e}: \mathrm{R}=3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Di}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}, \\ & \mathrm{f}: \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Tri}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \\ & \text { g: } \mathrm{R}=3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 55^{\prime}-\mathrm{Tri}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \\ & \mathbf{h}: \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \text { i: } \mathrm{R}=4^{\prime}-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \text { j: } \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4},} \end{aligned}$ |  <br> 71a-t $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{k}: \mathrm{R}=4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathrm{l}: \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathbf{m}: \mathrm{R}=4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathbf{n}: \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathbf{o}: \mathrm{R}=3^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathbf{p}: \mathrm{R}=4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \\ & \mathbf{q}: \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}-5^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}, \\ & \mathbf{r}: \mathrm{R}=4^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}-3^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}, \\ & \mathbf{s}: \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\mathrm{Furanyl}, \\ & \mathbf{t}: \mathrm{R}=2^{\prime}-\text { Thiapheneyl } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |

5.1.3 Scheme 3 Synthetic routes for Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-\{spiro-[3-H-isatine]\}-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate
74.


Table 5.1 Yields and physical data of the compounds 71a-t and 74.


| Compd. | Substitute R | Yield \% | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 71a | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 82 | 270-271 | CHN |
| 71b | $2{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 69 | 248-249 | CHN |
| 71c | $3{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 68 | 197-198 | CHN |
| 71d | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 78 | 245-246 | CHN |
| 71e | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$ - di-MeO-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 65 | 230-231 | CHN |
| 71f | $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-tri-MeO-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 66 | 215-216 | CHN |
| 71g | $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}-$ tri-MeO-C ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 71 | 229-230 | CHN |
| 71h | 2'-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 78 | 228-229 | CHN |
| 71i | 4'-F-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 77 | 222-223 | CHN |
| 71j | $2{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 75 | 226-227 | CHN |
| 71k | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 82 | 234-235 | CHN |
| 711 | $2{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 74 | 248-249 | CHN |
| 71m | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 83 | 241-242 | CHN |
| 71n | $2^{\prime}$ - $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 74 | 229-230 | CHN |
| 710 | $3^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 71 | 208-209 | CHN |
| 71p | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 77 | 222-223 | CHN |
| 71q | 2'-OH-5'-Cl- ${ }_{6}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 65 | 213-214 | CHN |
| 71r | $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}-3{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | 74 | 224-225 | CHN |
| 71s | 2'-Furanyl | 62 | 190-191 | CHN |
| 71t | 2'-Thiapheneyl | 64 | 189-190 | CHN |
| 74 | Isatine | 61 | >290 (d.) | CHN |

### 5.2 Experimental

### 5.2.1 General methods and materials

All commercial chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and used without further purification unless otherwise specified. Melting points were determined on a Fargo melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel $\mathrm{G}_{60} \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck) with short-wavelength UV light for visualization. All reported yields are isolated yields after chromatography or crystallization. Elemental analyses were done on a Heraeus CHN-O Rapid instrument. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 600 MHz , Brucker AVANCE 600 DRX and 400 MHz , Brucker Top-Spin spectrometers in the indicated solvent. The chemical shifts were reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) relative to TMS and coupling constants $(J)$ in Hertz (Hz) and s, d, t, m, brs, refer to singlet, doublet, triplet, multiplet, broad respectively.

All the synthesized compounds were characterized by using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and Elemental analysis. For compounds 71a-t, the characteristic proton signals for pyran $-\mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{CH})$ appeared at the range of $4.81-4.88 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$, and for ethyl ester $\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ appeared at the range of $1.11-1.14$ and $3.96-4.02 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$. The characteristic proton for $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ appeared at the range of $7.70-7.79 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as singlet and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable single. The elemental analysis of the newly synthesized derivatives was within $\pm 0.4 \%$ range of the calculated $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ data.

Synthesis of 1-hydroxy-6,7-dihydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-3(5H)-one (67).
Diethyl malonate ( $66,64 \mathrm{~g}, 400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into a mixture of $1,2,3,4-$ tetrahydroquinoline ( $65,26.6 \mathrm{~g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diphenyl ether ( 200 mL ). The reaction mixture was heated at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ equipped with a distillation apparatus. At about $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ liberation of ethanol took place and the temperature was increased slowly to $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, where it was kept until no more ethanol was formed. Then the reaction mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature. The precipitates were filtered and washed with hexane to give $67,24.9 \mathrm{~g}(57 \%)$; $\mathrm{mp}>280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{89} \mathrm{mp} 282-284^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-phenyl-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71a). A solution of ethylcyanoacetate (68, $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1$ mmol ), benzaldehyde ( $5 \mathrm{a}, 1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalytic amounts of piperidine ( $1-2$ drops) in methanol 10 mL was stirred at room temperature. After $45 \mathrm{~min}, 1$-hydroxy-6,7-dihydropyrido[3,2,1-ij]quinolin-3(5H)-one (67, $2 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was further stirred and reflux for 3 h . After completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC, ethyl acetate:hexane (1:1)), the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and 1 mL of water was added. The separated solid product was collected by filtration and recrystallized from Ethanol to give 71a, $3.3 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 270-271{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me})$, $1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.91\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, pyran $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), $7.07-7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.17-7.28(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.2,20.0,26.8,35.0,41.8,58.8,77.4,111.6,112.6,120.0,121.6$, 125.1, 125.9, 127.8, 127.9, 130.4, 135.2, 145.9 150.0, 159.4, 159.6, 167.8. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 71.63; H, 5.51; N, 6.96. Found: C, 71.41; H, 5.56; N, 6.72.

By following the same synthetic procedure as that for 71a, the following compounds were synthesized:

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij] pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71b). Yield, 69 \%; mp 248-249 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.91\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, 6.78-6.82 (2H, m, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.06-7.10 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.23-7.27 (2H, m, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.66\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, 69.43; H, 5.59; N, 6.48. Found: C, 69.21; H, 5.62; N, 6.31.

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(3-methoxyphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij] pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71c). Yield, 68 \%; mp 197-198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,
$3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 4.02\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, 6.67-6.78 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.73\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right): \mathrm{C}, 69.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.59 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.48$. Found: C, $69.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.22 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.61$.

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij] pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71d). Yield, 78 \%; mp 245-246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 4.02\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.81\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.75$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.24-7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH), $7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.70\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 7.6 Hz, ArH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 14.3,20.1,26.8,34.1,41.8,54.9,58.8,77.7$, $111.9,112.6,113.1,119.9,121.5,125.1,128.8,130.3,135.1,138.1,149.8,157.5$, 159.5, 159.6, 167.9. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, 69.43; H, 5.59; N, 6.48. Found: C, 69.11; H, 5.43; N, 6.57.

## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-

 pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71e). Yield, 65 \%; mp 230-231 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.94\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.98\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, $4.84\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.59-6.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.91-6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.67\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ : C, $67.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.67 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.06$. Found: C, 67.62; H, 5.61; N, 5.84.
## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(2,3,4-trimethoxyphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-

pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71f). Yield, 66 \%; mp 215-216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.94\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.57(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.84\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.91-6.95$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right): \mathrm{C}$, 65.84; H, 5.73; N, 5.69. Found: C, 65.62; H, 5.61; N, 5.84.

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71g). Yield, 71 \%; mp 229-230 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.98\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.93$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OMe}), 3.66(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{OMe}), 4.01\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ \& $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.86\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.74\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} d_{6}\right) \delta 14.2,19.9,26.7,35.0,41.8,55.6,58.7,59.7,77.1$, $105.0,111.4,112.4,119.9,121.4,125.0,130.2,135.1,135.8,150.1,152.1,159.6$, 167.7. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)$ : C, 65.84; H, 5.73; N, 5.69. Found: C, 65.54; H, 5.81; N, 5.58.

## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(2-fluorophenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]

 pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71h). Yield, 78 \%; mp 228-229 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.78-6.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23-7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $7.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}\right):$ C, 68.56 ; H, 5.03; N, 6.66. Found: C, 68.24; H, 4.88; N, 6.53.
## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(4-fluorophenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]

 pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71i). Yield, 77 \%; mp 222-223 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.80-6.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.16-$ 7.20 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.25-7.27$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.43 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.68(2 \mathrm{H}$, s, exchangeable $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), $7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}\right)$ : C, 68.56; H, 5.03; N, 6.66. Found: C, 68.34; H, 4.76; N, 6.58.Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(2-chlorophenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij] pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71j). Yield, $75 \%$; mp 226-227 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.94\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.82-6.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.20-7.24$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.44 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.68
$\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ : C, $65.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.41$. Found: C, $65.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.28$.

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(4-chlorophenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij] pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71k). Yield, $82 \%$; mp 234-236 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d d $_{6}$ ) $1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.01\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.80\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ : C, 65.98; H, 4.84; N, 6.41. Found: C, 65.88; H, 4.71; N, 6.25 .

## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(2-bromophenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]

 pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (711). Yield, 74 \%; mp 248-249 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.84-6.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.35-7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.79$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\right)$ : C, 59.89; H, 4.40; N, 5.82. Found: C, 59.74; H, 4.21; N, 5.89.Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(4-bromophenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71m). Yield, 82 \%; mp 241-242 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.96\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 14.2,20.0,26.8,34.8,41.8,58.9,76.8,110.9,112.5,118.9$, $120.0,121.6,125.2,130.2,130.5,130.6,135.3,145.4,150.0,159.5,159.6,167.7$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\right)$ : C, 59.89; H, 4.40; N, 5.82. Found: C, 59.64; H, 4.31; N, 5.99.

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(2-methylphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij] pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71n). Yield, $74 \%$; mp 229-230 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me})$, $2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, 7.16-7.19 (1H, m, ArH), 7.23-7.27 (2H, m, ArH), 7.37-7.41 (2H, m, ArH), 7.40 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, $72.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.73$. Found: C, $72.34 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.97; N, 6.67.

## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(3-methylphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]

 pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (710). Yield, $71 \%$; mp 208-209 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 1.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.26(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.92$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, ArH), 7.26-7.29 (2H, m, ArH), 7.35-7.39 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $7.76\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, $72.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.81 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.73$. Found: C, $72.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.94 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.82$.Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(4-methylphenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij] pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71p). Yield, $77 \%$; mp 222-224 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.95\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\mathrm{Me})$, $2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.87\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, $7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25-7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.39(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 72.10 ; H, 5.81 ; N, 6.73. Found: C, 72.24; H, 5.99; N, 6.57.

Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(2-hydroxy-5-chlorophenyl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71q). Yield, 65 \%; mp 213-214 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.99(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable OH$), 3.99\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}$, s, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.02-7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.36-7.40(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.59-7.62\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH} \&\right.$ exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

ArH). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ : C, $63.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.67 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.19$. Found: C, 63.47; H, 5.01; N, 6.23.

## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-

4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71r). Yield, $74 \%$; mp 224-225 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{-}\right) \delta 1.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable OH$), 4.02\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right.$ \& $\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $5.23\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 7.02-7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.23-7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.36-7.38 (1H, m, ArH), 7.59-7.64 (3H, m, ArH \& exchangeable $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ), 7.88 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$ : C, 66.95; H, 5.39; N, 6.25. Found: C, 66.81; H, 5.24; N, 6.34.

## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(furan-2-yl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]

 pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71s). Yield, 62 \%; mp 190-194 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.03\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 5.04\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.26$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26-7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34-7.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.75\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, 67.34; H, 5.14; N, 7.14. Found: C, 67.44; H, 5.27; N, 7.02.
## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-(thiophene-2-yl)-4H,5H,6H,9H-pyrido[ij]

 pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (71t). Yield, 64 \%; mp 189-190 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.92\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.05\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 5.22\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, pyran $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right), 6.83-6.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.18-$ $7.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m} \operatorname{ArH}), 7.24-7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.34-7.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.79\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 7.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ : C, 64.69; H, 4.94; N, 6.86. Found: C, 64.78; H, 5.02; N, 7.01.
## Ethyl-11-amino-7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-9-\{spiro-[3-H-isatine]\}-4H,5H,6H,9H-

 pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline-3-carboxylate (74). Yield, $61 \%$; mp $>290{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d d $_{6}$ ) $0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 1.93\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.77\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \& \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 6.69-6.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,ArH), $7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ArH), $7.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.04\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right), 10.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable NH). Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ : C, 67.71 ; H, 4.77; N, 9.48. Found: C, 67.54; H, 4.86; N, 9.32.

### 5.3 Conclusion

We discovered that catalytic amount of piperidine (1-2 drop) can function as an effective catalyst for one-pot three-component Tendem Knoevenagel Michael addition reaction. This protocol has notable advantages, such as good to excellent product yields, efficient methodology, cleaner reaction profiles, ease of work-up, all of which make it a attractive strategy for the preparation of $4 H, 5 H, 6 H, 9 H-$ pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline derivatives. The biological study of newly synthesized compounds is underway.

### 5.4 Representative Spectra

5.4.1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71a.

$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71a.


### 5.4.2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71d.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71d.


### 5.4.3 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 71m.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71m.


### 5.4.4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 74.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 74.
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5.4.5 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71a.

5.4.6 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71d.
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5.4.7 135 DEPT ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71d.

5.4.8 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{7 1 g}$.


### 5.4.9 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71 m .


5.4.10 135 DEPT ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 71m.
(10)

Table 5.2 Elemental analysis of compounds 71a-t and 74.


| Compd. | MF | MW | CHN Calculated (\%) |  | CHN Found (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | C | H | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| 71a | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 402.44 | 71.63 | 5.51 | 6.96 | 71.41 | 5.56 | 6.72 |
| 71b | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 432.47 | 69.43 | 5.59 | 6.48 | 69.21 | 5.62 | 6.31 |
| 71c | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 432.47 | 69.43 | 5.59 | 6.48 | 69.52 | 5.22 | 6.61 |
| 71d | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 432.47 | 69.43 | 5.59 | 6.48 | 69.11 | 5.43 | 6.57 |
| 71e | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 462.49 | 67.52 | 5.67 | 6.06 | 67.62 | 5.61 | 5.84 |
| 71f | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | 492.52 | 65.84 | 5.73 | 5.69 | 65.62 | 5.61 | 5.84 |
| 71g | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | 492.52 | 65.84 | 5.73 | 5.69 | 65.54 | 5.81 | 5.58 |
| 71h | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ | 420.43 | 68.56 | 5.03 | 6.66 | 68.24 | 4.88 | 6.53 |
| 71i | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}$ | 420.43 | 68.56 | 5.03 | 6.66 | 68.34 | 4.76 | 6.58 |
| 71j | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 436.89 | 65.98 | 4.84 | 6.41 | 65.86 | 4.75 | 6.28 |
| 71k | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 436.89 | 65.98 | 4.84 | 6.41 | 65.88 | 4.71 | 6.25 |
| 71l | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ | 481.34 | 59.89 | 4.40 | 5.82 | 59.74 | 4.21 | 5.89 |
| 71m | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ | 481.34 | 59.89 | 4.40 | 5.82 | 59.64 | 4.31 | 5.99 |
| 71n | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 416.47 | 72.10 | 5.81 | 6.73 | 72.34 | 5.97 | 6.67 |
| 710 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 416.47 | 72.10 | 5.81 | 6.73 | 72.28 | 5.94 | 6.82 |
| 71p | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 416.47 | 72.10 | 5.81 | 6.73 | 72.24 | 5.99 | 6.57 |
| 71q | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 452.89 | 63.65 | 4.67 | 6.19 | 63.47 | 5.01 | 6.23 |
| 71r | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 448.47 | 66.95 | 5.39 | 6.25 | 66.81 | 5.24 | 6.34 |
| 71s | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 392.40 | 67.34 | 5.14 | 7.14 | 67.44 | 5.27 | 7.02 |
| 71t | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ | 408.47 | 64.69 | 4.94 | 6.86 | 64.78 | 5.02 | 7.01 |
| 74 | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 443.45 | 67.71 | 4.77 | 9.48 | 67.54 | 4.86 | 9.32 |
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## Section C

## Chapter 6. Introduction of ( $\mathbf{1 H -}$ benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)aminopyrimidine derivatives

### 6.0 Introduction

Benzimidazole is a fused aromatic imidazole ring system where a benzene ring is fused to the 4 and 5 positions of an imidazole ring. Benzimidazoles are also known as benziminazoles and 1,3-benzodiazoles., ${ }^{1,2}$ (Figure 1) They possess both acidic and basic characteristics. The NH group present in benzimidazoles is relatively strongly acidic and also weakly basic. Another characteristic of benzimidazoles is that they have the capacity to form salts. Benzimidazoles with unsubstituted NH groups exhibit fast prototropic tautomerism, which leads to equilibrium mixtures of asymmetrically substituted compounds. ${ }^{1}$


The benzimidazole scaffold is a useful structural motif for the development of molecules of pharmaceutical or biological interest. ${ }^{3-5}$ Appropriately substituted benzimidazole derivatives have found diverse therapeutic applications such as in antiulcers, ${ }^{3}$ antihypertensives, ${ }^{6,7}$ anti-HIV, ${ }^{8}$ antiinflammatory, ${ }^{9}$ anticancers, ${ }^{10}$ antioxidant, ${ }^{11}$ antitrichinellosis, ${ }^{12}$ and anxiolytics. ${ }^{13}$ The optimization of benzimidazole-based structures has resulted in various drugs which are currently in the market, such as omeprazole $\mathbf{1}$ (proton pump inhibitor), pimobendan 2 (ionodilator), and mebendazole 3 (anthelmintic) (Figure 2).


Figure 2

### 6.1 Benzimidazoles

Benzimidazole-derived alkaloids are rare in nature, and only few examples of these natural products can be found in the literature. On other hand, the occurrence of the imidazole skeleton in various natural sources is quite common. ${ }^{14-16}$ The benzimidazole alkaloid kealiiquinone (Figure 3) was isolated from a yellow buttonlike Micronesian sponge species of Leucetta. ${ }^{16}$

Recently, Nakamura et al. has successfully synthesized a regioisomer of kealiiquinone. ${ }^{17}$ The kealiiquinone 4 and its synthetic regioisomer 5 both have relatively weak activities against a panel of 39 human cancer cell lines but are considered to have a unique mechanism of action. ${ }^{17}$


Figure 3 Benzimidazole alkaloid and its regioisomer

Makaluvamines (pyrroloiminoquinones) 6 (Figure 4) was isolated from a Fijian sponge in the early 1990s display in vitro cytotoxicity against human colon tumor cell lines and also inhibited human topoisomerase II in vitro. The benzimidazole analog of this indole-based marine natural product, imidazoquinoxalinone 7, has been synthesized by LaBarbera D.V. and co-workers. ${ }^{18}$


Figure 4

In comparison with the natural inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate, the adenophostins 8 (Figure 5) exhibit higher receptor binding activity and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ mobilizing potencies and thus have significant biological importance. A total synthesis of a benzimidazole analog of adenophostin A 9 was described by Shuto et al. ${ }^{19}$


Figure 5 Adenophostin and its benzimidazole analog

### 6.2 Synthetic Methodologies for Benzimidazoles

Most commonly benzimidazoles have been prepared from the reaction of 1,2diaminobenzenes with carboxylic acids under harsh dehydrating reaction conditions, utilized strong acids such as polyphosphoric acid, hydrochloric acid, boric acid, or ptoluenesulfonic acid. ${ }^{20}$ However, the use of milder reagents, particularly Lewis acids, ${ }^{21}$ inorganic clays, ${ }^{22}$ or mineral acids, ${ }^{23}$ has improved both the yield and purity of this reaction. ${ }^{24}$ On the other hand, the synthesis of benzimidazoles via the condensation of 1,2-diaminobenzenes with aldehydes requires an oxidative reagent to generate the benzimidazole nucleus. Various oxidative reagents, such as nitrobenzene, benzoquinone, sodium metabisulfite, mercuric oxide, lead tetraacetate, iodine, copper(II) acetate, indium perfluorooctane sulfonates, ytterbium perfluorooctane sulfonates, and even air, have been employed for this purpose. ${ }^{25}$ Moreover, a variety of benzimidazoles could also be produced via coupling of 1,2-diaminobenzenes with carboxylic acid derivatives such as nitriles, imidates, orthoesters, anhydrides or lactones. ${ }^{26}$

In recent years, some innovative and improved pathways for the synthesis of benzimidazoles have been developed and these are discussed in the following.

A palladium-catalyzed $N$-arylation reaction provided a novel synthesis of benzimidazoles from (o-bromophenyl)amidine precursors under microwave irradiation. The route was found to be flexible with respect to various substituents and allowed for the preparation of highly substituted benzimidazoles, including N substituted examples (Scheme 1). ${ }^{27}$ The method was later improved and optimized to achieve the rapid formation of benzimidazoles in high yield. ${ }^{28}$ It has been found that $50 \%$ aqueous dimethyl ether (DME) is an optimal solvent for the reaction and that catalyst loading of palladium can be reduced to $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$.


Recently, 2-alkyl- and 2-aryl-substituted benzimidazole derivatives have been synthesized from 1,2-diaminobenzene and its corresponding acids in the presence of polyphosphoric acid using microwave assisted methods (Scheme 2). ${ }^{29}$ The reaction time required for the synthesis of benzimidazole derivatives was reduced to minutes by this method compared to conventional synthesis, which required up to four hours of heating to complete the reaction. Furthermore, it was found that the application of microwave irradiation increased yields by $10-50 \%$.


Conventional condensation of 1,2-diaminobenzene with 6-fluoro-3,4-dihydro2 H -chroman-2-carboxylic acid under Phillip's conditions or using Eaton's reagent (1: 10 mixture of phosphorus pentoxide/methanesulfonic acid) yielded 2-(6-fluorochroman-2-yl)-1 H -benzimidazole (Scheme 3). ${ }^{30}$
Scheme 3

Recently, microwave-assisted synthesis of 2-(alkyloxyaryl)-1H-benzimidazole derivatives related to the natural stilbenoid family has been reported (Scheme 4). ${ }^{31}$


Recently, a facile, rapid one-pot procedure for the generation of 2-substituted benzimidazoles directly from 2-nitroanilines using a microwave procedure has been demonstrated (Scheme 5). An advantage of this approach is that the intermediate N acyl derivatives need not be isolated prior to cyclization. ${ }^{32}$
Scheme 5

| 2-substituted benzimidazoles |
| :--- |
| R $=\mathrm{H}, 4,5-$ dimethyl, 5-OH, 5-OMe |
| $R^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ |

2-nitroanilines

Classical condensation-cyclization reactions using 1,2-diaminobenzenes, 2mercaptoacetic acid and appropriately substituted aromatic aldehydes in dry benzene under reflux required a long reaction time to afford the thiazobenzimidazoles, which are potent anti-HIV agents. (Scheme 6) ${ }^{33}$


Functionalization of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of heterocycles to C -arylation is an important synthetic reaction and is used to build important bioactive structures. Palladium catalyst and copper-mediated C-2 arylations of benzimidazole with aryl iodides under ligandless and base-free conditions have been described by Bellina et al. (Scheme 7). ${ }^{34}$


### 6.3 Pharmacological profile of Benzimidazoles

### 6.3.1 Antibacterial and Antifungal Agents

2-substituted benzimidazole derivatives are known to possess varied biological activities. ${ }^{35}$ Recently, an efficient and rapid synthesis of novel benzimidazole azetidin-2-ones $\mathbf{1 0}$ has been established, ${ }^{36}$ and antibacterial screening revealed that all newly synthesized azetidin- 2 -ones $\mathbf{1 0}$ exhibited potent antibacterial activity against Bacillus subtilis, Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. Among all of the compounds investigated, $\mathbf{1 0 i}$ and $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{j}$ exhibited the greatest antibacterial activity against Gram-negative E. coli as compared to the antibiotic streptomycin. ${ }^{36}$ In addition, 5-fluoro benzimidazole carboxamide derivatives $\mathbf{1 1}^{37}$ and benzimidazole isoxazolines $\mathbf{1 2}{ }^{38}$ were reported to show antibacterial and antifungal activities.


### 6.3.2 Anthelmintic Agents

Bearing in mind previous benzimidazole anthelmintics (e.g., albendazole, mebendazole), the search for new anthelmintic drugs is being actively pursued. Synthetic benzimidazole piperazine derivatives exhibited $50 \%$ anthelmintic activity in mice infected with Syphacia obvelata. ${ }^{39}$ Furthermore, piperazine derivatives of 5(6)-substituted-(1 H-benzimidazol-2-ylthio) acetic acids 13-15 ${ }^{40}$ and benzimidazolyl crotonic acid anilide $\mathbf{1 6}$ have shown good anthelmintic activity ${ }^{41}$ (Figure 7).

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$


$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$
15
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Me}$
14


16

Figure 7 Benzimidazole anthemintic agents

### 6.3.3 Anti-inflammatory and Antiulcer Agents

Structure-activity relationship studies of the 5,6-dialkoxy-2-thiobenzimidazole derivatives 17 have revealed that compounds $17 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{k}$ possess pronounced antiinflammatory properties ${ }^{42}$ (Figure 10). Using the carrageenan model, the most significant anti-inflammatory effects were observed for compounds 17a, 17d, 17h, $\mathbf{1 7 i}$, and $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{j}$. While using the bentonite model, the maximum activities were observed for compounds 17 e and $\mathbf{1 7 h}$. These results indicated that benzimidazoles are promising leads for the development of new anti-inflammatory agents.


Figure 8 Anti-inflammatory benzimidazole derivatives

In addition, N -benzoyl and N -tosyl benzimidazole compounds 18 showed significant anti-inflammatory activity, as indicated by ear swelling induced by xylene in mice, and their ulcer indices were all lower than those of aspirin. ${ }^{43}$ Furthermore, Nmorpholinomethylbenzimidazole 19 and its derivatives have been recently reported to show significant anti-inflammatory activity. ${ }^{44}$

### 6.3.4 Cytotoxic and Antitumor Agents

Novel bisbenzimidazoles with general formula 20-23 incorporating benzimidazole, pyridoimidazole, and imidazoquinone moieties as one of the units of bisbenzimidazole with a piperazinyl functional group have been synthesized (Figure 9). ${ }^{45}$ The series of bisbenzimidazoles contains different leaving groups along with $p$ methoxy substituents. The latter may be expected to have some influence on the nitrogen lone pair and consequently on the binding characteristics of the ligand. These novel bisbenzimidazoles are found to be actively cytotoxic against many human cancer cell lines, with $\mathrm{GI}_{50}$ values of between 0.01 and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$, especially in the cases of renal cancer, CNS cancer, colon cancer, melanoma, and breast cancer cell lines.

$20 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$
$21 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}$
$23 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{CH} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{Cl}$
$22 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}$
$\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{OEt}, \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{OH}$
Figure 9 Cytotoxic benzimidazole derivatives

In addition, the alkyl-linked bisbenzimidazole $24^{46}$ and thiazolylbenzimidazole-4,7-diones $\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{\mathbf{4 7}}$ exhibited cytotoxic activity against tumor cell lines (Figure 10).


Figure 10

Moreover, novel head-to-head bisbenzimidazole compound 26 showed potent growth inhibition in human ovarian carcinoma cell lines $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=200-300 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, with no significant cross-resistance in two acquired cisplatinresistant cell lines and a low level of cross-resistance in the $p$-glycoprotein over expressing doxorubicin-resistant cell line. In addition, compound 26 was found to have significant in vivo activity in the allowed fiber assay and tumor xenografts. ${ }^{48-50}$


Figure 11

### 6.4 Introduction of Pyrimidine

Pyrimidine is a heterocyclic aromatic organic compound similar to benzene and pyridine, containing two nitrogen atoms at positions 1 and 3 of the six-member ring. ${ }^{51}$ It is isomeric with two other forms of diazine. A pyrimidine has many properties in common with pyridine, as the number of nitrogen atoms in the ring increases the ring pi $(\pi)$ electrons become less energetic and electrophilic aromatic substitution gets more difficult while nucleophilic aromatic substitution gets easier.


Azaheterocycles constitute a very important class of compounds. In particular, pyrimidine derivatives include a large number of natural products, pharmaceuticals, and functional materials (Figure 13). ${ }^{52}$ Several examples of pharmaceutically important compounds include trimethoprim 27, ${ }^{53}$ sulfadiazine 28, ${ }^{54}$ Gleevec (29, imatinib mesilate), ${ }^{55}$ and Xeloda ( 30 ,capecitabine). ${ }^{56}$


Figure 13 Representative compounds containing a pyrimidine substructure.

### 6.5 Pyrimidine Natural Products

In nature, the pyrimidine ring is synthesized from glutamine, bicarbonate, and aspartate. ${ }^{57}$ These starting materials are converted to orotate (31, Figure 14). Several (mainly uracil, thymine and cytosine) pyrimidines have been isolated from the nucleic acid hydrolyses. The nucleic acid are essential constituent of all cell and thus of all living matter cytosine is found to be present in both types of nucleic acid i.e. ribonucleic acid (RNA) and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) while uracil present only in RNA and thymine only in DNA. ${ }^{58}$


In addition, Pyrimidine ring is also found in vitamin like thiamine 32, riboflavin 33 and folic acid 34 . ${ }^{59}$ Barbitone1 35, the first barbiturate hypnotic sedative and anticonvulsant is a pyrimidine derivative. ${ }^{60}$


Figure 15

### 6.6 Synthetic Methodologies for Pyrimidines

In 1818, Brugnatelli synthesized the first pyrimidine derivative, alloxan by nitric acid oxidative degradation of uric acid (Scheme 8). ${ }^{61}$ Another early report, by Frankland and Kolbe in 1848, described the first synthesis of a pyrimidine cyanalkine by heating propionitrile with potassium metal (Scheme 8 ). ${ }^{62}$


Scheme 8 Early reports on the synthesis of pyrimidine
Nitriles are a common $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ source and have been used to form pyrimidines in many syntheses. Cyanamide is a particularly useful nitrile derivative in the synthesis of pyrimidines as illustrated in Scheme $9 .{ }^{63}$


The 4,6-di-substituted pyrimidines and 2-amino-4,6-di-substituted pyrimidines formed by the reaction of chalcone with thiourea and guanidine hydrochloride in presence of sodium hydroxide.(Scheme 10) ${ }^{64}$


Scheme 10

Anilido compound are produced by treatment of different aryl amine with ethylacetoacetate which cyclized with various aromatic aldehyde and thiourea furnishing corresponding pyrimidinethione derivatives (Scheme 11). ${ }^{65}$


Bag Seema et al. has reported single step reaction for 2,4-diaminopyrimidine from guanidine and orthoester in presence of sodium ethanoate in ethanol as solvent (Scheme 12). ${ }^{66}$


4-Aryl-2-anilinopyrimidines and 2,4-dianilinopyrimidines (i.e., DAPYs) represent privileged structures ${ }^{67}$ found in an ever increasing number of drug-like molecules including $\mathrm{VEGF}^{68}$ and $\mathrm{CDK}^{69}$ inhibitors, reverse transcriptase inhibitors (e.g., dapivirine), and tyrosine kinase inhibitors (e.g., Gleevec). Brian I. Bliss et al. describe the convenient preparation of novel 4-aryl-2-(heteroarylamino)-pyrimidines and 4-anilino-2-(heteroarylamino)-pyrimidines. ${ }^{70}$ (Scheme 13)


Terry V. Hughes and co-workers have synthesized 4-Aryl-5-cyano-2aminopyrimidines as VEGF-R2 inhibitors. ${ }^{71}$ The key step involved reaction of a vinylogous amide with a guanidinium salt to form the pyrimidine ring. Specifically, conversion of an aryl methyl ester $3\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\right.$ aryl) to the corresponding $\alpha$-cyanoketone was achieved via formation of the lithium salt of acetonitrile by treatment with $n$ BuLi at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by reaction with the ester at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequent treatment of the $\alpha$-cyanoketone with N , N -dimethylformamide diethyl acetal (DMF-DEA) formed a vinylogous amide in situ that was reacted with guanidine nitrate in DMF at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to form the 2 -amino-4-aryl-5-cyanopyrimidine. The Sandmeyer reaction of the aminopyrimidine was accomplished smoothly afford the 2 -chloropyrimidine. The displacement of the Cl of pyrimidine with aliphatic amines proceeded at room temperature and with aromatic amines in refluxing THF to afford the pharmacophore. (Scheme 14)


Giblin et al. ${ }^{72}$ developed an efficient synthesis of 2-anilino pyrimidine derivatives has been achieved via reaction of 2-chloro-4-trifluoromethyl pyrimidine ester and aromatic amine in dioxane. (Scheme 15) These compounds were found very potent analgesic in the FCA model of inflammatory pain and have a high therapeutic index and a promising pharmacokinetic profile in the rat.

The prepared 2-anilino pyrimidine ester and amide derivatives showed micromolar potency at the CB 2 receptor and good selectivity against $\mathrm{CB} 1 .^{73}$


Scheme 15

Youssef et al. ${ }^{74}$ recently reported the synthesis and anticancer, antimicrobial activity of 4-amino-2-(benzo[d]thiazol-2-ylamino)pyrimidine-5-carbonitrile derivative. This compound was prepared from 2-guanidinobenzothiazole with ethoxymethylenemalononitrile in presence of anhydrous potassium carbonate reflux in absolute ethanol. (Scheme 16)


Scheme 16

Sherif et al. ${ }^{75}$ has designed, synthesized and investigated the anti-HIV activity of some new 4-amino-2-(benzoxazol-2-ylamino)-pyrimidines-5-carbonitrile derivatives, which was found to inhibit the spread of the HIV infection by $95 \%$ in $\mathrm{MT}^{4}$ cell culture. The 2-(benzoxazol-2-ylamino)-pyrimidines derivative was prepared by conventional method as described below. (Scheme 17)


Scheme 17

### 6.7 Pharmacological Profile of Pyrimidines

### 6.7.1 Antineoplastics and anticancer agents

There are a large number of pyrimidine-based antimetabolites. They are usually structurally related to the endogenous substrates that they antagonize. One of the early metabolites prepared was 5 -fluorouracil ${ }^{76}$ (5-FU, 36a), a pyrimidine derivative. 5-Thiouracil 36b also exhibits some useful antineoplastic activities. ${ }^{77}$


Figure 16
There are many more in recent times, like nimustine $37^{78}$, uramustine $\mathbf{3 8}^{79}$ and trimetrixate $\mathbf{3 9}{ }^{80}$. It is mainly used as an anticancer agent and also exhibits significant therapeutic effects in patients with herpes virus infections and herpes encephalitis.


Figure 17

### 6.7.2 Antibacterials and antiprotozoals

In 1948, Hitchings made an important observation that a large number of 2,4diaminopyrimidines and some 2-amino-4-hydroxypyrimidines are antagonists of folic acid. ${ }^{81}$ Since then, a large number of 2,4-diaminopyrimidines have been synthesized as antifolates. It was eventually proved that these pyrimidines are inhibitors of the enzyme dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR). ${ }^{82}$ Notable amongst the 2,4diaminopyrimidine drugs are pyrimethamine $\mathbf{4 0}$, a selective inhibitor of the DHFR of malarial plasmodia; trimethoprim 41, an antibacterial drug which selectively inhibits bacterial DHFR.


### 6.7.3 Sulfa drugs

Pyrimidine derivatives of sulfa drugs, namely sulfadiazine, sulfamerazine and sulfadimidine are superior to many other sulfonamides and are used in some acute UT infections, cerebrospinal meningitis and for patients allergic to pencillins ${ }^{83}$. Sulfonamide-trimethoprim combinations are used extensively for opportunistic infections in patients with AIDS $^{84}$. Sulfadoxine ${ }^{85}$ 42a, a short and intermediate acting sulfonamide with a half-life of 7-9 days is used for malarial prophylaxis. Sulfisomidine 42b with a halflife of 7 h is used as a combination sulfa therapy in veterinary medicine ${ }^{86}$. Sulfadiazine 43a, sulfamerzine 43b and sulfadimidine 43c possess good water solubility and therefore carry minimum risk of kidney damage, which makes them safe even for patients with impaired renal functions.


Figure 19

### 6.7.4 Antivirals and anti-AIDS

Pyrimidine derivatives have generated widespread interest due to their antiviral properties. 5-Iododeoxyuridine ${ }^{87} 44$ is an antiviral agent of high selectivity. 5-Trifluromethyl-2'-deoxyuridine (F3 TDR, 45) has been found useful against infections resistant to IDU therapy. ${ }^{87}$


Several members of a series of acyclic nucleosides, which contain a pyrimidine ring, are found to be effective antiviral. (Figure 21)


### 6.7.5 Antifungals

Pyrimidines also exhibit antifungal properties. Flucytosine $5 \mathbf{5 0}^{88}$ is a fluorinated pyrimidine used as nucleosidal antifungal agent for the treatment of serious systemic infections caused by susceptible strains of candida and cryptococcus. ${ }^{89}$


### 6.8 Research Aim

Three component condensations with the participation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ acids, aldehydes or orthoesters, and $N$-containing mono- or binucleophiles lead to a variety of derivatives, which possess a wide spectrum of biological activity. ${ }^{90}$ For example, interaction of aromatic aldehydes, (thio)urea, and $\beta$-diketones in Biginelli conditions gave dihydro(thia)pyrimidones, which are calcium channel activators, antagonists of adrenoreceptors, etc. ${ }^{91-93}$

While development of important methodologies for the synthesis of pyrimidines enjoys a rich history, the discovery of new strategies for the convergent synthesis of pyrimidines remains a vibrant area of chemical research.

At present there are no reports for the use of such reactions on guanidines, in this work, the three component condensation of benzoimidazole-2-guanidines with orthoesters and active methylene compounds containing a carbonyl function were studied. (Figure 23)


Figure 23

The chemical synthesis and characterization of Substituted-( 1 H -benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino-pyrimidine derivatives are described in Chapter 7.

## Section C

Chapter 7. Synthesis and
Characterization of Some Substituted-( $\mathbf{1 H}$-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino-pyrimidine derivatives

### 7.0 Chemistry

The synthetic route for substituted 2-[(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino]pyrimidine derivatives are shown in Scheme 2. The known 2benzimidazolylguanidine (53a,b) was synthesized from substituted ophenylenediamine (51a,b) and cyanoguanidine (52) by following literature procedure. ${ }^{94}$ Compound 53 was treating with tri ethyl orthoformate $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)$ and active methylene compounds containing carbonyl function (54, 1,3-diketones) to furnish substituted $2-[(1 \mathrm{H}$-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino]-pyrimidine (55a-h). The synthetic route for carboxamide derivatives are shown in Scheme 3. The substituted acetoacetanilide (57) was directly prepared from substituted aniline (56) by our laboratory established method. ${ }^{95}$ The compounds (57) were reacted with tri ethyl orthoformate $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right)$ and 2-benzimidazolylguanidine (53a,b) to give regioisomers intermediate (58a-n). The cyclization of regioisomers intermediate was carried out by heating in glacial acetic acid with sodium acetate to furnish $2-[(1 \mathrm{H}-$ benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino]-4-methyl-N-(substituted)-phenyl-pyrimidine-5carboxamide derivatives (59a-n). Table 7.1 and 7.2 show the yields and the physical data of these compounds.

### 7.1 Reaction Scheme

7.1.1 Scheme 1 Synthetic route for 2-benzimidazolylguanidine (53a, b).


### 7.1.2 Scheme 2 Synthetic routes for substituted 2-[(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-

 yl)amino]-pyrimidine derivatives (55a-h).
a: $R^{1}=H ; R^{2}=R^{3}=M e$,
e: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Me}$,
b: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OMe}$,
$\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OMe}$,
c: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OEt}$,
g: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OEt}$,
d: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OEt}$,
h: $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=i-\operatorname{Pr} ; \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OMe}$,

### 7.1.3 Scheme 3 Synthetic routes for 2-[(1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino]-4-

 methyl-N-(substituted)phenylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide derivatives (59a-n).

Table 7.1 Yields and physical data of the compounds 55a-h.


| Compd. | Substitute |  |  |  | Yield <br> $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{M P}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Analysis

Table 7.2 Yields and physical data of the compounds 59a-n.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compd. | Substitute |  | Yield \% | MP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Analysis |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ |  |  |  |
| 59a | H | H | 82 | 268-270 | CHN |


| 59b | H | 2-MeO | 75 | 248-249 | CHN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59c | H | 4-MeO | 77 | 240-241 | CHN |
| 59d | H | 2-F | 73 | 242-243 | CHN |
| 59e | H | 4-F | 79 | 247-248 | CHN |
| 59 f | H | $2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 69 | 252-253 | CHN |
| 59g | H | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 71 | 240-241 | CHN |
| 59h | Cl | $3-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 74 | 255-256 | CHN |
| 59i | H | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | 82 | 262-263 | CHN |
| 59j | H | 2-Me | 72 | 286-287 | CHN |
| 59k | Cl | 2-Me | 76 | 271-272 | CHN |
| 591 | H | 2,6-di-Me | 67 | > 300 | CHN |
| 59m | H | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 63 | 265-266 | CHN |
| 59n | Cl | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 61 | 272-273 | CHN |

### 7.2 Experimental

### 7.2.1 General methods and materials

All commercial chemicals and solvents were reagent grade and used without further purification unless otherwise specified. Melting points were determined on a Fargo melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel G60 $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ (Merck) with short-wavelength UV light for visualization. All reported yields are isolated yields after chromatography or crystallization. Elemental analyses were done on a Heraeus CHN-O Rapid instrument. Mass spectra were recorded on Shimadzu GC-MS QP-2010 model using direct injection probe technique. The molecular ion peak was found in agreement with molecular weight of the respective compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 400 MHz , Brucker Top-Spin spectrometers in the indicated solvent. The chemical shifts were reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) relative to TMS and coupling constants $(J)$ in Hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$ and $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{m}$, brs, refer to singlet, doublet, triplet, multiplet, broad respectively.

All synthesized compounds were characterized by using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, Mass and Elemental analysis. For compounds 55a-h, the characteristic proton signals for pyrimidine ring (Ar-CH) appeared at the range of $8.96-9.09 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as singlet. The ethyl ester $\left(\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ proton appeared at the range of $1.31-1.35$ and $4.30-4.34 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as triplet and quartet respective. The characteristic proton for benzimidazole ring and bridge (NH) appeared at the range of $11.87-12.24 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as singlet and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable single. While the compounds 59a-n, the characteristic proton signals for pyrimidine ring (Ar-CH) appeared at the range of $8.73-8.79 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as singlet and amide (CONH) proton appeared at the range of $10.19-10.51 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as singlet $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable single. The characteristic proton for benzimidazole ring and bridge $(\mathrm{NH})$ appeared at the range of $11.89-12.01 \delta \mathrm{ppm}$ as singlet and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable single. The molecular ion peak was found in agreement with molecular weight of the respective compound. The elemental analysis of the newly synthesized derivatives was within $\pm 0.4 \%$ range of the calculated $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ data.

Synthesis of 2-benzimidazolylguanidine (53a). A mixture of o-phenylenediamine (51, $10.8 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cyanoguanidine ( $52,8.4 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and concd $\mathrm{HCl}(20$ $\mathrm{mL})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 1 h . The reaction mixture was cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{KOH}(10 \% ; 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly. The precipitates of 2guanidinobenzimidazole were collected by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dried, and used in next reactions without further purification. Yield $14 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 240-242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{94} \mathrm{mp} 243-244^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); MS $m / z=175\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

By following the same synthetic procedure as that for 53a, the following compounds were synthesized:

5-Chloro-2-benzimidazolylguanidine (53b). Yield, $79 \%$ mp $258-259{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=209\left(\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}\right)$.

## 1-[2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-methylpyrimidin-5-yl]ethanone (55a).

A mixture of 2-guanidinobenzimidazole (53a, $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetylacetone (54, 1 $\mathrm{g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tri ethyl orthoformate $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at reflux temperature for 40 min . Upon the completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC, ethyl acetate:hexane (1:1)), the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and 1 mL of water was added. The separated solid product was collected by filtration and recrystallized from DMF to give 55a $2.3 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$; mp $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{96} \mathrm{mp}>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); MS $m / z=267\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)$ : C, 62.91; H, 4.90; N, 26.20. Found: C, 62.78; H, 4.98; N, 26.44.

By following the same synthetic procedure as that for 55a, the following compounds were synthesized:

## Methyl-2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-methylpyrimidine-5-carboxylate

 (55b). Yield, $79 \% ; m p 210-211{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.78$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ ), 3.85 (3H, s, OMe), 7.09-7.11 (2H, m, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.51-7.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 8.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, ArH), $11.99\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}\right.$, exchangeable NH). MS $m / z=283\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ : C, 59.36; H, 4.63; N, 24.72. Found: C, 59.69; H, 4.54; N, 24.88.Ethyl-2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-methylpyrimidine-5-carboxylate (55c). Yield, $76 \%$; mp 221-222 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{Me}), 2.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 4.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 7.08-7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.47-7.49 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $8.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 11.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, exchangeable NH). MS $m / z=297\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right): \mathrm{C}, 60.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.09 ; \mathrm{N}, 23.56$. Found: C, 60.34; H, 5.31; N, 23.41.

Ethyl-2-((1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-(trifluoromethyl)pyrimidine-5carboxylate (55d). Yield, $72 \%$; mp 214-215 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}), 4.31\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 7.15-7.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.45-$ $7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 9.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 12.24(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, exchangeable NH$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.7,61.5,112.6,112.8,1211.8,133.3,148.9,161.5,161.7$, 162.7. MS $m / z=351\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right): \mathrm{C}, 51.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.44 ; \mathrm{N}$, 19.94. Found: C, 51.46; H, 3.57; N, 19.73.

1-[2-((5-Chloro-1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-methylpyrimidin-5-
yl]ethanone (55e). Yield, $78 \% ; \mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=301\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl}\right): \mathrm{C}, 55.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.01$; N, 23.21. Found: C, 55.46; H, 3.89; N, 23.34.

Methyl-2-((5-Chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-methylpyrimidine-5carboxylate (55f). Yield, $74 \%$; mp 222-223 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=317\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ : C, $52.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.81$; N, 22.44. Found: C, 52.78; H, 3.98; N, 22.32.

## Ethyl-2-((5-Chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-methylpyrimidine-5-

 carboxylate (55g). Yield, 71 \%; mp 234-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS $m / z=331\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ : C, 54.30 ; H, 4.25; N, 21.11. Found: C, 54.12; H, 4.47; N, 21.38.Methyl-2-((5-chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-isopropylpyrimidine-5carboxylate (55h). Yield, 79 \%; mp 218-219 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} m / z=311\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ : C, 61.72; H, 5.50; N, 22.49. Found: C, 61.40; H, 5.24; N, 22.38.

## 2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-4-methyl-N-phenylpyrimidine-5-

carboxamide (59a). A mixture of 2-guanidinobenzimidazole (53a, $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 3-oxo-N-phenylbutanamide ( $57,1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tri ethyl orthoformate ( 15 mL ) was stirred at reflux temperature for 30 min . The precipitates were collected by filtration and recrystallized from dioxane to give (58a). Compound 58a was added into a mixture of anhydrous sodium acetate ( 3 g ) in glacial acetic acid ( 30 mL ) and the reaction mixture was boiled for 30 min . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and dropped into cold water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitates was collected by filtration and recrystallized from DMF to give 59a 2.8 g ( $82 \%$ ); mp 268-270 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; MS $m / z=344\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, 66.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 24.40$. Found: C, 66.43; H, 4.47; N, 24.59.

By following the same synthetic procedure as that for 59a, the following compounds were synthesized:

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide (59b). Yield, $75 \%$; mp 248-249 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS $m / z=374\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ : C, 64.16; H, 4.85; N, 22.45. Found: C, 64.38; H, 4.77; N, 22.31 .

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide (59c). Yield, 77 \%; mp 240-241 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} m / z=374\left(\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ : C, 64.16; H, 4.85; N, 22.45. Found: C, 64.01; H, 4.67; N, 22.28 .

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(2-fluorophenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5carboxamide (59d). Yield, 73 \%; mp 242-243 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS $m / z=362\left(\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}\right)$ : C, 62.98; H, 4.17; N, 23.19. Found: C, 62.77; H, 4.02; N, 23.41.

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5carboxamide (59e). Yield, 79 \%; mp 247-248 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.66(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 7.06-7.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.19-7.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.46-7.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.73-7.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 8.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}), 10.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable CONH), $11.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s$, exchangeable NH$) . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m/z}=362\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal.

Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}\right)$ : C, 62.98; H, 4.17; N, 23.19. Found: C, 62.80; H, 4.30; N, 23.01.

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(2-chlorophenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5carboxamide (59f). Yield, $69 \%$; mp 252-253 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 2.71(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}), 7.08-7.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{ArH}), 7.28-7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.39-7.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, ArH) 7.51-7.58 (3H, m, $3 \times \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 7.71-7.73 (1H, m, ArH), 8.79 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 10.19 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s, exchangeable CONH), 11.90 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, exchangeable NH ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 23.1,121.1,121.9,127.8,127.9,128.2,129.0,129.9,134.9,148.3$, 157.5, 158.5, 165.0, 168.0. MS $m / z=378\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}\right): \mathrm{C}$, 60.24; H, 3.99; N, 22.19. Found: C, 60.41; H, 3.78; N, 22.03.

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(3-chlorophenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5carboxamide (59g). Yield, $71 \%$; mp 240-241 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} m / z=378\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}\right)$ : C, $60.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.99 ; \mathrm{N}, 22.19$. Found: C, $60.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.21 ; \mathrm{N}, 22.33$.

## 2-((5-Chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(3-chlorophenyl)-4-

 methylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide (59h). Yield, $74 \%$; mp 255-256 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $413\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}_{2}\right)$ : C, 55.22; H, 3.41; N, 20.34. Found: C, 55.03; H, 3.21; N, 20.25.2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(4-bromophenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5carboxamide (59i). Yield, $82 \%$; mp $262-263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} m / z=423\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OBr}\right)$ : C, 53.91 ; H, 3.57; N, 19.86. Found: C, 53.74; H, 4.18; N, 19.73.

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(2-methylphenyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-5carboxamide (59j). Yield, $72 \%$ mp $286-287^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} m / z=358\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for ( $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ ): C, 67.02; H, 5.06; N, 23.45. Found: C, 67.18; H, 5.19; N, 23.34.

2-((5-Chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(2-methylphenyl)-4-
methylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide (59k). Yield, $76 \%$; mp 271-272 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $392\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}\right)$ : C, 61.15; H, 4.36; N, 21.39. Found: C, 61.34; H, 4.18; N, 23.47.

## 2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(2,6-dimethylphenyl)-4-

methylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide (591). Yield, $67 \%$; mp $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=372$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, 67.73; H, 5.41; N, 22.57. Found: C, $67.58 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.29; N, 22.71.

2-((1H-Benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(3-trifluoromethyl)-4-methylpyrimidine-
5-carboxamide (59m). Yield, 63 \%; mp 265-266 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=412\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}_{3}\right)$ : C, 58.25; H, 3.67; N, 20.38. Found: C, 58.37; H, 3.87; N, 20.22.

## 2-((5-Chloro-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino)-N-(3-trifluoromethyl)-4-

methylpyrimidine-5-carboxamide (59n). Yield, $61 \%$ mp $272-273{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ $446\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. Calcd. for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ : C, 53.76 ; H, 3.16; N, 18.81. Found: C, 53.41; H, 3.48; N, 18.67.

### 7.3 Conclusion

In present chapter, first time reported three component condensations of benzoimidazole-2-guanidines, orthoester and active methylene carbonyl compounds leading to several novel new chemical entities substituted-( $1 H$-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino-pyrimidine derivatives. The biological activity of newly synthesized compounds is under investigation.

### 7.4 Representative Spectra

### 7.4.1 Mass Spectrum for compound 53a.


7.4.2 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound $55 \mathbf{b}$.


### 7.4.3 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 55c.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 55 c .


### 7.4.4 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 55d.


$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 55 d .


### 7.4.5 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 57.


7.4.6 ${ }^{1}$ H NMR Spectrum for compound 59e.

$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 59 .

7.4.7 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{5 9 f}$.

$\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 59 9 .

7.4.8 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 55d.


### 7.4.9 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectrum for compound 59 f .


7.4.10 Mass Spectrum for compound 55a.


### 7.4.11 Mass Spectrum for compound 55g.


7.4.12 Mass Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{5 5 h}$.


### 7.4.13 Mass Spectrum for compound 59e.


7.4.14 Mass Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{5 9 g}$.


### 7.4.15 Mass Spectrum for compound $\mathbf{5 9 j}$.


7.4.16 Mass Spectrum for compound $59 \mathbf{k}$.


### 7.4.17 Mass Spectrum for compound 591.


7.4.18 Mass Spectrum for compound 59 m .

7.4.19 Mass Spectrum for compound 59 n.


Table 7.3 Elemental analysis of compounds 55a-h and 59a-n.


| Compd. | MF | MW | CHN Calculated (\%) |  |  | CHN Found (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | C | H | N | C | H | N |
| 55a | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ | 267.29 | 62.91 | 4.90 | 26.20 | 62.78 | 4.98 | 26.44 |
| 55b | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 283.29 | 59.36 | 4.63 | 24.72 | 59.69 | 4.54 | 24.88 |
| 55 c | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 297.31 | 60.60 | 5.09 | 23.56 | 60.34 | 5.31 | 23.41 |
| 55d | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3}$ | 351.28 | 51.29 | 3.44 | 19.94 | 51.46 | 3.57 | 19.73 |
| 55e | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{OCl}$ | 301.73 | 55.73 | 4.01 | 23.21 | 55.46 | 3.89 | 23.34 |
| $55 f$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 317.73 | 52.92 | 3.81 | 22.04 | 52.78 | 3.98 | 22.32 |
| 55 g | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 331.76 | 54.30 | 4.25 | 21.11 | 54.12 | 4.47 | 21.38 |
| 55h | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 311.3 | 61.72 | 5.50 | 22.49 | 61.40 | 5.24 | 22.38 |
| 59a | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ | 344.37 | 66.27 | 4.68 | 24.40 | 66.43 | 4.47 | 24.59 |
| 59b | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 374.40 | 64.16 | 4.85 | 22.45 | 64.38 | 4.77 | 22.31 |
| 59c | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 374.40 | 64.16 | 4.85 | 22.45 | 64.01 | 4.67 | 22.28 |
| 59d | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}$ | 362.36 | 62.98 | 4.17 | 23.19 | 62.77 | 4.02 | 23.41 |
| 59e | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}$ | 362.36 | 62.98 | 4.17 | 23.19 | 62.80 | 4.30 | 23.01 |
| $59 f$ | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}$ | 378.82 | 60.24 | 3.99 | 22.19 | 60.41 | 3.78 | 22.03 |
| 59g | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}$ | 378.82 | 60.24 | 3.99 | 22.19 | 60.08 | 4.21 | 22.33 |
| 59h | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}_{2}$ | 413.26 | 55.22 | 3.41 | 20.34 | 55.03 | 3.21 | 20.25 |
| 59i | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OBr}$ | 423.27 | 53.91 | 3.57 | 19.86 | 53.74 | 4.18 | 19.73 |
| 59j | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ | 358.40 | 67.02 | 5.06 | 23.45 | 67.18 | 5.19 | 23.34 |
| 59k | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OCl}$ | 392.84 | 61.15 | 4.36 | 21.39 | 61.34 | 4.18 | 21.47 |
| 591 | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ | 372.42 | 67.73 | 5.41 | 22.57 | 67.58 | 5.29 | 22.71 |
| 59m | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ | 412.37 | 58.25 | 3.67 | 20.38 | 58.37 | 3.87 | 20.22 |
| 59n | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{OF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 446.81 | 53.76 | 3.16 | 18.81 | 53.41 | 3.48 | 18.67 |
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## Summary

The work represented in the thesis entitled "Studies on Some Oxygen, Nitrogen and Sulfur Containing Heterocycles" is divided into three sections with seven chapters which can be summarized as below.

Section A deals with the potent antitumor DNA bifunctional alkylating agents and is further divided into three chapters.

Chapter 1 comprises of the general introduction of the DNA bifunctional alkylating agents. Initially, the naturally occurring antitumor Mitomycin C (MMC), which possess two reactive nucleophilic centers are capable of cross-linking with DNA. The other synthetic antitumor bifunctional alkylating agents, bis(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolizines derivatives were also able to induce DNA crosslinking via a similar mechanism of action of MMC. Based on the mechanism of action of these agents, in this chapter, we recently design several bis(hydroxymethyl) and bis(alkylcarbamates) pyrrolo derivatives.
In Chapter 2, planned to synthesize Target Molecules (TMs). A series of linear bis(hydroxymethyl)-5,10-dihydropyrrolo[1,2-b]isoquinolin-1-yl derivatives and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives were prepared. In this chapter, 53 compounds enlisted which are newly synthesized and bioactive compounds for various antitumor activities.

Chapter 3, consists of antitumor activity of both bis(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolo[1,2$b$ ]isoquinoline and their bis(alkylcarbamate) derivatives. All the prepared derivatives show potent antitumor activity in various human tumor xenografts in vitro. Among these analogues, we discovered compound 81a, which was selected for antitumor studies in animal models, exhibits potent therapeutic efficacy against human breast MX-1 xenograft in nude mice, as complete tumor remission was observed. This agent is also able to significantly suppress human ovarian tumors (SK-OV-3) implanted in nude mice. The results reported herein warrant further investigation to optimize the schedule and dosage to get greater suppression of other human tumor growth in animal models. Studies on the DNA interstrand cross-linking suggested that the newly synthesized derivatives are potent bifunctional DNA cross-linking agents. Furthermore, these agents induced substantial G2/M phase arrested of the cell cycle.

Section B encompasses the pyrano[3,2-c]quinolone derivatives and is further divided into two chapters.

Chapter 4 covers the history and background of pyrano[3,2-c]quinolone analogues. Various biological activities like Antibiotic, Anticancer, Anti-HIV, Calcium Channel Blockers, Antiallergic, Antimicrobial etc are summarized. Various synthetic procedures for the synthesis of pyrano[3,2-c]quinolone core structure are also shown.

In Chapter 5, attempts were made to generate small library of pyrano[3,2c]quinolone derivatives. The one-pot three-component Tendem Knoevenagel Michael addition reaction to furnish novel $4 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 9 \mathrm{H}$-pyrido[ij]pyrano[3,2-c]quinoline derivatives. The biological activity of newly synthesized compounds is under investigation.

Section C deals with the ( 1 H -benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino-pyrimidine derivatives and is further divided into two chapters.

Chapter 6 narrates the background and biological activity study of the Benzimidazole and Pyrimidine derivatives as well as in here including some recent synthetic strategies which have been employed to synthesize this class of compounds. The literature survey revealed that such class of which contains both heterocycles are show various biological activity.

In Chapter 7, first time reported three component condensations of guanidines, orthoester and active methylene carbonyl compounds leading to several novels substituted-( 1 H -benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)amino-pyrimidine derivatives. 22 new molecules are prepared and characterized in this chapter. The yield of these compounds is good to excellent.

In all 96 derivatives have been synthesized in current work which are characterized by spectral data. Some of the compounds were tested for preliminary antitumor activity and advanced study. Other mechanistic studies like DNA interstrand cross-linking, cell cycle inhibition and rat plasma stability was also performed in the current work. The remaining synthesized compounds are also under screening for Anticancer, Antitubercular as well as antimicrobial activity study, the results of which are awaited.
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