

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of the Problem	1
	1.2 Statement of the Problem	2
	1.3 Objective of the Research	2
	1.4 Scope of the Study	3
	1.5 Significance of the Study	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	4
	2.2 Rigid Pavement	4
	2.2.1 Jointed Plain Concrete Pavement (JPCP)	5
	2.2.2 Jointed Reinforce Concrete Pavement (JRCP)	6

2.2.3	Continuous Reinforced Concrete Pavement (CRCP)	7
2.3	Design of Rigid Pavement Thickness	8
2.3.1	Portland Cement Association (PCA) Method	8
2.3.1.1	Axle Load Distribution	13
2.3.2	AASHTO Method	15
2.4	Software Application Solution	17
2.4.1	APCA StreetPave Software	17
2.4.2	WinPAS Software	17
2.5	Comparison of PCA and AASHTO Methods	18
2.6	Introduction to Visual Basic 6.0	19
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Data Collection	23
3.3	Flow Chart of Rigid Pavement Thickness Design	23
3.4	Comparison of the PCA and AASHTO Methods	25
3.5	Software Application	26
3.5.1	Application of Microsoft Visual Basic	26
3.5.2	Software Verification Test	27
4	FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	28
4.2	AASHTO and PCA Method Parameters / Variables	28
4.3	AnP Pave Software	31
4.3.1	Start Menu	31
4.3.2	Design Method Selection Menu	32
4.3.3	Traffic Input Data Form	32
4.3.4	PCA Method - Input Data Form	34
4.3.5	PCA Method - Axle Data Form	35
4.3.6	PCA Method – Result Form	37
4.3.7	AASHTO Method – Input Data Form	38
4.3.8	AASHTO Method – Result Form	39

	4.3.9	PCA and AASHTO Results	40
	4.4	Verification of AnP Pave Software	41
	4.5	Comparison of Thickness Due to Traffic	44
5		CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
	5.1	Conclusion	47
	5.2	Recommendation	48
		REFERENCES	49
		APPENDICES	51

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Axle load distributions	13
2.2	Axle load categories	14
2.3	Comparison of PCA and AASHTO slab thickness	18
2.4	Comparison of PCA and AASHTO slab thickness	19
2.5	CRCP Plan View	7
2.6	CRCP Side View	8
2.7	AASHTO Method Nomograph	11
3.1	Study Flow Chart	15
3.2	PCA Method Flow Chart	18
3.3	AASHTO method flow chart	19
4.1	Input Parameters for AASHTO and PCA method	29
4.2	Input Parameters for AASHTO and PCA	42
4.3	Comparison of design thickness for AASHTO and PCA method	43
4.4	Comparison of total fatigue and erosion for PCA method using AnP Pave and manual calculation	43
4.5	Conversion factor between customary unit and metric unit	44
4.6	Comparison due to traffic for first condition	45
4.7	Comparison due to traffic for second condition	46

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	JPCP Plan View	5
2.2	JPCP Side View	6
2.3	JRCP Plan View	6
2.4	JRCP Side View	7
2.5	CRCP Plan View	7
2.6	CRCP Side View	8
2.7	AASHTO Method Nomograph	16
3.1	Study Flow Chart	22
3.2	PCA Method Flow Chart	24
3.3	AASHTO method flow chart	25
4.1	Start menu for AnP Pave software	31
4.2	Design Method Selection Menu	32
4.3(a)	Traffic data input for PCA method	33
4.3(b)	Traffic data input for AASHTO method	34
4.4	PCA method input data	35
4.5	PCA method axle data	36
4.6	Description on traffic category in PCA	36
4.7	PCA method result	37
4.8	PCA method recalculation	38
4.9	AASHTO method input data form	39
4.10	AASHTO method result	40
4.11	Comparison between PCA and AASHTO	41
4.12	Comparison due to traffic for first condition	45
4.13	Comparison due to traffic for second condition	46

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	-	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
APCA	-	American Concrete Pavement Association
PCA	-	Portland Cement Association
JPCP	-	Jointed Plain Concrete Pavement
JRCP	-	Jointed Reinforced Concrete Pavement
CRCP	-	Continuously Reinforced Concrete Pavement
SA	-	Single Axle
TA	-	Tandem Axle
NS	-	No Shoulder
WS	-	With Shoulder
ND	-	No Dowel
WD	-	With Dowel
LSF	-	Load Safety Factor
ADT	-	Average Daily Traffic
ADTT	-	Average Daily Truck Traffic
ESAL	-	Equivalent Single Axle Load

LIST OF SYMBOLS

W_{18}	-	Total 18-kip ESAL Application, AASHTO
E_c	-	Concrete Elastic Modulus,
k	-	Modulus of Subgrade Reaction
S_c	-	Modulus of Rupture
J	-	Load Transfer Coefficient
C_d	-	Drainage Coefficient
ΔPSI	-	Design Serviceability Loss
S_o	-	Overall Standard Deviation
R	-	Reliability
Z_R	-	Standard Normal Deviate

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Table and Figure for pavement thickness design Using PCA Method	51
B	Coding written in module (VB Coding)	59
C	Coding written in Start Menu (VB Coding)	63
D	Coding written in Design Method Selection Menu (VB Coding)	64
E	Coding written in PCA Method - Input Data Form (VB Coding)	66
F	Coding written in PCA Method PCA Method - Axle Data Form (VB Coding)	69
G	Coding written in PCA Method – Result Form (VB Coding)	85
H	Coding written in AASHTO Method – Input Data Form (VB Coding)	90
I	Coding written in AASHTO Method – Result Form (VB Coding)	93
J	Sample of AASHTO method using manual calculation (nomograph)	96
K	Sample of PCA method using manual calculation (worksheet, nomograph)	97