

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvii
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xviii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Location	1
	1.3 Historical Background	2
	1.4 Air Traffic Control Tower	3
	1.5 Problem Statement	4
	1.6 Objective	5
	1.7 Scope	5
	1.8 Organization of Report	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 General	7
	2.2 Main Control Tower	8
	2.3 Development OF Current Seismic Design Practice	10
	2.4 Structural Damper System	12
	2.4.1 Passive Control Devices	13
	2.4.1.1 Metal Yielding Dampers	14

	2.4.1.2 Viscoelastic Dampers	17
	2.4.1.3 Fluid Viscous Dampers	21
	2.4.1.4 Newly-Developed Control Devices	23
2.5	Retrofitting System by Friction Damper Under-Study	28
2.6	Structural Design And Control	33
2.7	Seismic Retrofitting Design	34
2.8	Summary Of Literature Review	35
<b>3</b>	<b>THEORETICAL BACKGROUND</b>	
3.1	General	37
3.2	Basic Principles	39
3.3	Frictional Dampers	44
3.4	Friction Damping Devices	45
3.5	Slip Load of Friction Damper	48
3.6	Design Criteria	49
3.7	Non-Linear Time-History Dynamic Analysis	50
3.8	Numerical Model of a Friction Damper System	50
3.9	Mathematical Formulation	53
	3.9.1 Formulation	53
	3.9.2 Material Property Matrices	59
	3.9.3 Participating Mass Ratio	60
3.10	Summary of Theoretical Background	61
<b>4</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
4.1	General	62
4.2	Modelling by SAP2000 Version 11	64
	4.2.1 Rigidity	64
	4.2.2 Material Properties	66
	4.2.3 Energy Dissipation By Damper	67
4.3	Verification of Finite Element Technique	67

	4.3.1	Control Tower Application	69
	4.4	Data Collection	69
	4.5	Linear Response Due to Earthquake	70
	4.6	Free Vibration Analysis	71
	4.7	Response Spectrum Analysis	73
	4.8	Finite Element Analysis	74
	4.9	Summary of Methodology	75
<b>5</b>		<b>RESULTS AND ANALYSIS</b>	
	5.1	Introduction	77
	5.2	Finite Element Analysis of Section Properties	77
	5.3	RAPID-KL Time History Analysis	78
	5.4	RAPID-KL Response Spectrum Analysis	80
	5.5	Models' Signage	81
	5.6	Frame And Shell Modelling	82
	5.7	Free Vibration Analysis	84
	5.8	Beam Model	87
		5.8.1 Bending Moment, $M_3$	90
		5.8.2 Shear Force, $V_2$	93
	5.9	Shell Model	95
	5.10	Summary Of Friction Damper Energy Dissipation Rheology	102
	5.11	Drift By U1 Displacement (m)	106
	5.12	Drift By Earthquake Intensity In Summary	111
<b>6</b>		<b>RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSION</b>	
	6.1	Overview	112
	6.2	Conclusions	112
	6.3	Suggestions For Future	114
		<b>REFERENCES</b>	116
		<b>APPENDICES</b>	
		<b>APPENDIX A</b>	120
		<b>APPENDIX B</b>	121
		<b>APPENDIX C</b>	123

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Purposes of Control Tower in order of precedence to height.	8
3.1	Structural protective systems	37
5.1	Geometrical Properties for Concrete Components	78
5.2	Geometrical Properties for Steel Components	78
5.3	Loading Combination Components	78
5.4	Mode Shape based on Period (second) Criteria	84
5.5	Mode Shape based on Frequency (Hertz) Criteria	85
5.6	Mode shape to Periods in second on Non-friction damper model	85
5.7	Mode shape to Periods in second on friction damper model	85
5.8	Beam Model capacity validation of axial force (KN) - <i>shearwall0.63thk</i> (Without Damper)	87
5.9	Beam Model capacity validation of axial force (KN) - <i>shearwall0.63thk</i> (With Damper)	88
5.10	Bending Moment to percentage passing capacity in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g for elevated height	91
5.11	Shear Force to percentage passing capacity in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g for elevated height	94

5.12	Shell Model capacity validation of S11 (KN/m <sup>2</sup> ) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (Without Damper)	96
5.13	Shell Model capacity validation of S11 (KN/m <sup>2</sup> ) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (With Damper)	97
5.14	Shell Model capacity validation of S22 (KN/m <sup>2</sup> ) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (Without Damper)	99
5.15	Shell Model capacity validation of S22 (KN/m <sup>2</sup> ) - <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i> (With Damper)	100
5.16	Beam Model Capacity Validation of P (KN) - <i>braceibeam203dx102w</i> (With Damper)	103
5.17	Shell Model Capacity Validation of P (KN) - <i>braceibeam203dx102w</i> (With Damper)	103
5.18	Joints at U1 displacement in 0.19g Intensity	107
5.19	Joints at U1 displacement in 0.29g Intensity	108
5.20	Joints at U1 displacement in 0.39g Intensity	109

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	KLIA Air Traffic Control Tower (picture by Kara H., 2007)	2
2.1	View from North West Up-close	9
2.2	View from North East Up-close	9
2.3	West view of Kuala Lumpur International Airport (Kara, 2006)	10
2.4	Site view from satellite application of Google Earth as secondary support to literature review purposes	10
2.5	NEHRP Intended Performance of Seismic Use Groups (NEHRP, 2000)	11
2.6	ADAS Device	15
2.7	ADAS Device in Frame	16
2.8	Unbonded Brace Damper	16
2.9	Comparison of computed results for Wells Fargo Bank Building envelope of response values in the <i>X</i> -direction (Perry, 1993)	17
2.10	Viscoelastic Damper	19
2.11	Viscoelastic Damper in Frame	19
2.12	Fluid Viscous Damper (Taylor,1999)	22
2.13	Experimental friction damping device in frame	26

2.14a	Experimental friction damping device; Unloaded	27
2.14b	Experimental friction damping device; Rotating Under Load	27
2.15a	Proposed wall-type frictional damper and its application to the RC frame; wall-type frictional damper device	29
2.15b	Proposed wall-type frictional damper and its application to the RC frame; retrofit of R/C frame	29
2.16a	Proposed upgrading technique; Upgrade soft-story building	29
2.16b	Proposed upgrading technique; Connection between friction devices and existing structure (Martinez-Rueda and Elnashai,1995)	29
2.17	Precast frame with proposed dampers (Morgen and Kurama, 2004)	30
2.18	Subassembly experiment verification analytical model (Morgen and Kurama, 2004)	31
2.19	Detail of isolated damper test setup (Morgen and Kurama, 2004)	31
3.2	Implementation of PED in North America for seismic applications (Soong and Spencer, 2002)	38
3.3a	Conventional Structure	42
3.3b	Structure with Passive Energy Dissipation (PED)	43
3.3c	Structure with Active Control	43
3.3d	Structure with Hybrid Control	43
3.3e	Structure with Semi Active Control	44
3.4	Slotted-Bolted Friction Damper	45
3.5	Pall Cross-Type Friction Damper	46
3.6	Self-Centering Friction Damper	47

3.7	Response versus Slip Load (Pall et al, 2000)	48
3.8	Bracing-friction damper system (Lee, et al., 2007)	50
3.9	Hysteretic loop of a braced damper system with a Coulomb friction element (Lee, et al., 2007)	51
3.10	The hysteretic loop of a bracing-friction damper system including a Coulomb friction element is expressed (Garcia and Soong, 2002)	52
3.11	The friction process	54
3.12a	Illustration of the friction device	57
3.12b	Free-body diagram	57
4.1	Methodology Route for vulnerability analysis	63
4.2a	Structural Friction Damper Replica Modelling of two Control Towers; by 3D finite element model	65
4.2b	Structural Friction Damper Replica Modelling of two Control Towers by Platform level of floor height	65
4.3a	Beam element two dimensional	68
4.3b	Beam element three dimensional	68
4.4a	Shell element two dimensional	68
4.4b	Shell element three dimensional	68
4.5	Basics principle for forces	70
5.1	Time History of Rapid KL	79
5.2	Response Spectrum of Rapid KL	80
5.3a	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Shear Wall and Lobby of lift-core	81
5.3b	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Roof Top and	



	Lift-core to Operation Room	81
5.3c	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Base Shear Restraints	82
5.3d	Elements indications to refer Table 4.1 and Table 4.2 as in Control Tower Neck Level	82
5.4a	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Beam Model (Frame Element)	83
5.4b	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Beam + Brace Model (Frame Element)	83
5.4c	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Shell Model (Frame and Shell Element)	83
5.4d	Control Models with Friction Damper for Verification Purposes; Shell + Brace Model (Frame and Shell Element)	83
5.5	Axial forces percentage to capacity limit of element <i>shearwall0.63thk.</i>	89
5.6	Percentage of passing bending moment to elevated height in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g	93
5.7	Percentage of passing Shear Force to elevated height in undamped and damped Beam Model at 0.19g, 0.29g and 0.39g	95
5.8	Stress S11 (KN/m <sup>2</sup> ) of element <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i>	98
5.9	Stress S22 (KN/m <sup>2</sup> ) of element <i>LIFTCORE0.63Dx1.7W</i>	101
5.10	Axial Force P (KN) of element <i>braceibeam203dx102w</i>	104
5.11	Floor heights to U1, joint displacements	

	at 0.19g intensity	107
5.12	Floor heights to U1, joint displacements at 0.29g intensity	108
5.13	Floor heights to U1, joint displacements at 0.39g intensity	109
5.14	Summary of drift in earthquake intensity Comparison	111

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

### TITLE

KLIA	-	Kuala Lumpur International Airport
DYMM SPB	-	Duli Yang Maha Mulia Seri Paduka Baginda
ICC	-	International Code Council
IBC	-	International Building Code
SBC	-	Standard Building Code
UBC	-	Uniform Building Code
BOCA	-	Building Officials and Code Administrators, Inc
NEHRP	-	National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program
TM	-	Trademark
ADAS	-	Added Damping and Stiffness
CA	-	United State of California
SMRF	-	Special Moment Resisting Frame
FEMA	-	Federal Emergency Management Agency
RCDF	-	Rural Communications and Development Fund
SMA	-	Shape Memory Alloys
RC	-	Reinforced Concrete
SBC	-	Slotted Bolted Connection
PED	-	Passive Energy Dissipation
VE	-	Viscoelastic
SDOF	-	Single-Degree-of Freedom
U.S.	-	United State of America
DBE	-	Design Basis Earthquake
MCE	-	Maximum Considered Earthquake
SEER	-	Engineering Seismology and Earthquake Engineering Research

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

	<b>TITLE</b>	
$\text{km}^2$	-	Kilometre square
m	-	Meter
mm	-	Milimetre
KN	-	Kilo Newton
$\text{N/mm}^2$	-	Newton per millimetre square
$\text{KN/mm}^2$	-	Kilo Newton per millimetre square
g	-	Gravitational ground acceleration
U1	-	Global x-direction
FE	-	Finite Element
2D	-	2 Dimensions
3D	-	3 Dimensions
in	-	Inch
kip	-	Kilo pounds
%	-	Percentage
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Celsius degree
$^{\circ}\text{F}$	-	Fahrenheit Degree
$\ddot{x}$	-	Ground Acceleration
$\dot{x}$	-	Ground Velocity
$x$	-	Ground Displacement
$t$	-	Time/Period
Hz	-	Hertz
$k$	-	Linear elastic stiffness

$m$	-	Mass
$c$	-	Damping coefficient
$\Gamma$	-	Integro-differential operator
$u$	-	Displacement
$\pm$	-	Approximation
$\delta$	-	Inter story drift
$b$	-	Brace
$d$	-	Damper
$f$	-	Shear Force/Friction coefficient
$\lambda_i$	-	Structural Dynamics Motion
$\dot{U}$	-	Velocity
$N$	-	Applied Normal Force
$\Delta t$	-	Time Step
$f_y$	-	Strength of Reinforcement
$f_c'$	-	Strength of Concrete
$E$	-	Modulus Elastic
$G$	-	Shear Modulus
$\nu$	-	Poisson Ratio
$\alpha$	-	Coefficient of Linear Thermal Expansion
$y_e$	-	Yield Strength
$U_e$	-	Tensile Strength
$P$	-	Axial Force
$M$	-	Bending Moment
$V$	-	Shear Force
$T$	-	Torsion
i.e.	-	Initialism; “in other words”
$sgn$	-	Signum Function