

## Geostatistical Mapping and Spatial Variability of Surficial Sediment Types on the Beaufort Sea Shelf **Based on Grain Size Data**



Deviation (error bars) from measured and predi-

to 10 20 30 40 50 80 70 80

400 200 . . .

values resultant from the cross-validation

View

metadata

citation

and

similar papers

at core

ac.uk

### K. Jerosch<sup>1</sup>, V.E. Kostvlev<sup>2</sup>, S.E. Blasco<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany, (kerstin.jerosch@awi.de +49-471-4831 1518) <sup>2</sup>Bedford Institute for Oceanography, Natural Resources Canada (Atlantic), Geological Survey of Canada

# Beaufort Chall 1

59-2008 Expedition Database (ED), 2010.

EBA Engineering Consultants and LTD Beaufort-Delta Oil Project limited, 1976.

amples located using offsets from

Data alsofication of grain size elemented water & breek & fir or water &

115

1 ANY

Canada Canada Canada Ressources naturelle

3.1

Here's

1561

10 20 20 40 60 60 70 50 20 10

nd in a field ebook provided by Dr. H. Kerfoot.

onder: locations for

Kauppaymuthoo V 199 Dewis, F.J., 197

1969-2008

100 4

Number or Samples

1114

42

22

13

1240

#### ABSTRACT

A new seament record map, based on the grant size and sediment type provided according to commonly used grain size and sediment types classification systems. We describe an approach for a quality controlled mapping of grain sizes and sediment types for the Beaufort Shelf in the Canadian Arctic. The approach is based on grain size data collected during 1969-2008. A replenishment of grain size data since the 1980's, as well as the consideration of correlating sensets. Methymotic Jeno and sertiment input) to a cokriging size data since the 1980s, as well as the consideration of correlating parameters (bathymetry, slope and sediment input) to a cokriging algorithm, amends the former way of mapping the surficial sediments of the Beaufort Shelf. The cokriging analysis showed that the simulation of a sediment input by the Mackenzie River, modeled as a cost-distance function, was the key variable in reducing the errors of the output estimate. The predicted mean standard errors showed that in this study cokriging was the superior interpolation method for clay, silt and sand while ordinary kriging was more suitable for gravel.

#### **INTRODUCTION - Beaufort Sea Sediments**

The nearshore Beaufort Sheft (Figure 1) is a sensitive marine environment that is the focus of oil and gas exploration. Offshore, the Beaufort Sea contains large potential reserves of hydrocarbons. Any future exploitation of these resources will present unique engineering challenges and will require an understanding of the processes that govern sediment properties in the Beaufort Sheft Knowledge of the sufficial sediment distribution is, therefore, necessary to understand sediment stability, sediment transport and hearshore morphology. Sediment distribution is also needed balance engineering challenges with environmental concerns, resource development and precautionary sustainable management. We describe an aporoach with environmental concerns, resource development and precautionary sustainable management. We describe an approach for a quality controlled mapping of grain sizes and sediment types for the Beaufort Sheff in the Canadian Arctic. The approach is based on grain size data sampled during the period 1969-2008 (Figure 2 and Table 1). A replenishment of grain size data since the 1980's, as well as the consideration of correlating parameters (bathymetry, slope and sediment input) to a cokriging algorithm, amends the former way of mapping the surficial sediments of the Beaufort Sea Shelf.

#### **METHODS - Kriging and Standardization**

Subsequent to data exploration, processing and analyzing autocorrelation, four single grids (clay, silt, sand and gravel) were generated from grain size data by ordinary kriging and cokriging Figure 3). Cokriging also considered parameters that influence sediment texture such as bathymetry, slope, cost distance from the cokriging algorithm expressed as variograms was quality controlled by cross-validation. For a detailed description please refer to Pesch et al., 2008). By subtracting each measured value from its estimated value an estimation or cross-validation error can be calculated resulting in an error estimation for the whole dataset:

- mean standardized error (MSE) the standardized average value of the cross-validation errors which at best should be 0
- root mean square standardized error (RMSSE) ratio of mean squared cross-validation errors and the kriging variances which at best should equal 1
- correlation coefficient after Spearman (C<sub>s</sub>) in case of an ideal correlation the Cs-value should equal 1, if no such correlation exists Cs tends towards 0
- predicted standard errors (PSEs) express a maximum deviation of modeled from the real values and therefore help to estimate the quality in these regions regarding the interpolation results for each grain size range

PSEs were used to define the extent of a reliable interpolation area.

Due to the kriging algorithm over- or an underestimation for the predicted values can appear. Therefore, each grain size grid was standardized using a "100%-grid" (cell values = 100) as follows: grain size grid<sub>standardized</sub> = grain size grid / over-underestimation grid x 100%-grid (Figure 4).

The mono-parametric grids of sand, silt and clay were reclassified into four percentage classes: 0-25%, 25-50%, 50-75% and 75-100% and the gravel grid reclassified into two classes: 0-10%, 10-50% (no values higher than 50% occurred in the dataset)

#### RESULTS & CONCLUSIONS - Comparing ordinary kriging and cokriging

Contiging Ladv test is a lowin in was applied to Shephard's (1954) classification system. The grains size gravel consists of a separate GIS layer and is overlaid as a grey hatched polygon. Cokriging provided superior interpolation results for sitt, clay and sand compared to ordinary kriging by using secondary variables (bathymetry, slope and sediment input of the Mackenzie River). Cokriging delivered improved statistical mean values for clay and sand as given in Table 2. Ordinary kriging achieved better prediction probabilities for gravel and was, therefore, used for generation of the final distribution. Cokriging was able to capture most of the small variations in the sediment type distribution. Further, a reduced nugget-effects confirmed that the cost distance grid was a better indicator for sediment types when compared to bathymetry and slope. Two main issues concerning the grain size datasets used in this study are obvious: the variability of the sampling method (grab samples and topmost layer of piston cores) and the variability in the resolution of information. Especially in the shallow areas, as in the Mackenzie Bay, the sampling is not very dense. Local events could have been missed. Nevertheless, the procedure of cokriging and ordinary kriging greatly enhanced interpolation estimates without additional sampling. Especially in nearshore regions, like the Beaufort Sheff, these genostalistical interpolation techniques are needed because sampling is often difficult or impossible due to ice conditions or even prohibited near oil platforms. The described methodology along with the inclusion of recent data, provided an improved mapping of the surficial sediments of the Beaufort Sea Sheff.



#### **OUALITY ASSESSMENT - I**

To assess the quality of the surface estimations key parameters were calculated from the results of cross-validation. The MSE, RMSSE, Cs as well as the nugget-sill ratio values are listed in Table 2. MSE shows that the average cross-validation errors equal almost zero in all cases. RMSSE equals almost 1 for all parameters indicating that variances calculated from the cross-validation errors by average equal the theoretical kriging variances. In all other cases, except sand, the Cs lies above 0.8 indicating high degrees of associations between the measured and estimated values. With the exception of sand and the cokriging result for gravel, the nugget-sill ratios lie below 0.5 which is indicative for low small-scale variances and strong autocorrelations of the measurement values. For all grain sizes beside gravel, the MSE and the RMSSE can be observed to be improved by applying cokriging.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Canada (NSERC) for granting a Visiting Fellowship in Canadan Government Laboratories as a part of the International Polar year (IPY). We also appreciate Natural Resources Canada (NRCan) for providing the sediment grain size data (Expedition Database NRCan). Thanks to my colleague KA Jenner at the Geological Survey of Canada, Altanic for furtuit discussions. We would like to send special thanks and thoughts to S.M. Solomon who tragically passed away during this stud

	LITY	ACCECCALENIT
QUA		ASSESSMENT -

**QUALITY ASSESSMENT - II** The charts in Figure 5 show the frequency of samples falling into the Opercent-intervals of each grain size and their corresponding averaged errors of the predictions. The errors bars describe the leviation from the measured and the predicted values resulting from the cross-validation for each interval. They provide the sediment exture map with a comprehensible quality assessment showing, for nstance, that best predictions were achieved for low sand and gravel contrast, there are considerable deviations in predicting high percentage values (> 80%) for all grain sizes.

#### COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS

COMPARISON WITH EXISTING MAPS Both Pelletier's (1984) study and this study have used almost the same data base for the time period 1969-1983. This study also includes recent data (1969-2008) which extends the data set, particularly in shallow areas close to the coast. To enable a direct comparison of the single grain size maps, the intervals for the grain size maps were classified according to those of Pelletier (1984) (Figure 7). The grain size maps show similar patterns, however, regional differences can be recognized from the map pairs. Pelletier (1984) highlights single measurements with considerable gradients by drawing circles around them, while kriging algorithms tend to smooth measured gradients. The variogram values for gravel are suboptimal. This is caused by the statistically sparse occurrence of gravel in the data set as well as a reduced correlation of gravel to the cokriging parameters. Pelletier's (1984) method might, therefore, present superior results for gravel. When comparing the silt and clay map pairs, the variogram analyses were more reliable and this corroborates the methodology of this study (Figure 2).

# 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 0 25 35 40 50 65 70 80 grain size range [%]

#### OUTLOOK

Sediment type distribution is closely linked with the discipline of benthic habitat mapping but also with geochemical properties of the sediments since increased methane contents e.g. are correlated with muddy sediments. Since textural or morphological classes are relevant to seabed ecology, the new sediment type map could be used for benthic ecosystem mapping and for predictive occurrence of gassy sediments in the Beaufort Sea. Additionally, the interpolated grain size distribution maps can be used to supplement our understanding of sediment deposition on the Beaufort Shelf.

#### REFERENCES

MSE RMSSE C<sub>s</sub> N-S ratio OK CK OK CK OK CK OK CK

 One
 One</th

0.02 0.02 0.97 1.01 0.93 0.97 0.20 0.12

50-75

ty sand and clay

Clay [%] Silt [%] Sand [%] Area [km 75-100 0-25 0-25 1246.3

50-75 0-25 25-50 5377.51 50-75 0-25 0-25 8575.4

0-25 0-25 75-100 1012.5

25-50 0-25 50-75 4133.0

0-25 25-50 50-75 548.69

and 0-25 0-25 50-75 9859.46

 0-25
 50-75
 50-75
 1157.72

 0-25
 75-100
 0-25
 54.68

 0-25
 50-75
 25-50
 3708.85

25-50 50-75 0-25 2815.10 0-25 50-75 0-25 1114.38

r clay and silt 25-50 25-50 0-25 1800.69 and and clay 25-50 0-25 25-50 940.88

ey silt and sand 0-25 25-50 25-50

0-25 50-75 203.64

Net Exercted Systems: Ceostatistical mapping and spatial variability of sufficial sediment types on the Beaufort Shelf based on grain size data. Journal of Marine Systems. Pelletier, B.R., 1984. Marine science atlas of the Beaufort Sea sediments, Geological Survey of Canada. Miscellaneous Report 38. Pesch, R., Pehlike, H., Jarcosh, K., Schröder, W., Schlüter, M., 2008. Using Decision Trees to Predict Benthic Communities within and near the German Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of the North Sea. Environmental Minimizing and Assessment, 136, 313–325. Sheptrard, F.P., 1954. Nomerclature Based on Sand-silt-clay Ratios. J. Sediment. Res. 24(3) 16-56.

24(3), 15–58. entworth, C.K., 1922. A scale of grade and class terms for







