# New records of the Southern Ocean species Cumella emergens (Cumacea: Nannastacidae) that emerged from the deep

Peter Rehm<sup>1</sup>, Angelika Brandt<sup>2</sup>, Ute Mühlenhardt-Siegel<sup>2</sup>, and Sven Thatje<sup>3</sup>

#### Introduction

A closer relationship of the fauna of the Antarctic and the Magellan region might be expected as the Scotia Arc islands could link both areas. Nevertheless, the species overlap of cumaceans from these areas is less than 10 percent. Therefore, the Antarctic was probably not only colonized from South America via the Scotia Arc, two other scenarios were possible. Antarctic cumaceans possibly originate from


an ancient Gondwana fauna or are related to the deep-sea fauna. Little is known about the cumaceans of the deep sea around Antarctica. Further deep-sea sampling is necessary to illuminate the origin of Antarctic cumaceans. However, the widespread occurrence of Cumella emergens Cobera, 2000, in the Antarctic is an example of a biogeographic connection between Antarctic shallow-water



## Cumella emergens

#### Difference in gender\*

Attribute	Ŷ	ď		
Paraeopods bearing exopods	1-2	1-4		
2 <sup>nd</sup> antenna	absent	present		
Carapax				
-ratio between length and width	< 1.3	> 1.3		
-anterior margin	straight	angle		
* Differences given in this table were observed between all populations				



# Morphological differences in populations

Attribute	Livingstone Island	Weddell Sea	Ross Sea

### Conclusion

All discoveries of Cumella emergens were made with gear equipped with small mesh sizes (0.5 mm), which were only employed in recent years. The geographic range of the species covers the western part of the Antarctic. Using proper sampling gear it might be expected to find in eastern Antarctica as well.

Some of the differences between Cumella emergens off Livingstone Island and the specimens from the Weddell Sea and the Ross Sea can possibly be ascribed to intraspecific variation. Differences between the animals from the Ross Sea and the Weddell Sea are less distinct. Nevertheless, these variations might be due to sibling species, because of the great distance between the two populations and the different ecological situations of the sample areas. A genetic analysis is planned to test whether these specimens from the three populations of Cumella emergens belong to one, two, or even three different species.

number of dorsal spines	2-3	5 8 / 6-7 9	6-7	
distal spine of carpus of 2 <sup>nd</sup> paraeopod	absent	present	present	
Carapax	flattened	normal	normal	
Pseudorostrum pointing	forward	upward	upward	
ratio between uropodal peduncle and 5 <sup>th</sup> abdominal segment	1	1.2	1.2	

- <sup>(1)</sup> Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research (AWI), Marine Animal Ecology, Columbusstrasse, D-27568 Bremerhaven, Germany, E-mail: prehm@awi-bremerhaven.de
- <sup>(2)</sup> Zoologisches Institut und Museum, Universität Hamburg, Martin-Luther-King Platz 3, 20146 Hamburg
- National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton, European Way, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK





National Oceanography Centre, Southampton JNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON AND NATURAL ENVIRONMENT RESEARCH COUNCIL