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#### Abstract

Anoa are fully protected under Indonesian Law since 1931 (Law of Protection of Wild Animals 1931, no 134). Increasing law enforcement regarding hunting as well as promoting awareness of the Anoas unique threatened the existence of conservation measures. The modern concept of conservation based on the sustainability utilization, and therefore the knowledge of the Anoa preference in feeding to support the sustainability conservation should be studied. In the present study, the combination of direct observation methods which was done in the Lore Lindu National Park in Toro village at District Kulawi, Central Sulawesi and the epidermal analysis method which was carried out to Anoa's dung were aimed to identify the vegetations preferred by Anoa in their habitat. The result showed 28 species of vegetations was used as feed by Anoa on in situ area. According to its percentage, the first ten were Freycinetia insignis Blume (17\%), Microlepia todayensis Christ (8.9\%), Disoxylum sp (8.6\%), Lasianthus clementis Merr (7.7\%), Clusia sp (7.5\%), Schleria sp (6\%), Podocarpus imbricatus (5.4\%), Smilax leucophylla (5.1\%), Elastostema sp (4.2\%), and Garcinia $s p(3.8 \%)$, respectively. Furthermore, it can be concluded that Anoa was eat more leafs and shrubs/bushes (each $24 \%$, respectively) compared to flowers ( $18 \%$ ), fruits ( $12 \%$ ), shoots ( $8 \%$ ), grasses, tubers, young grooves (each $4 \%$, respectively) and moss ( $2 \%$ ). Nutritionally, Anoa consumed $8.8 \%$ protein and $25.6 \%$ crude fiber.
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## INTRODUCTION

Study on Anoa was relatively limited compared to another protected animals (Orang Utan, Rhinoceros, Sumatran Elephant, Tiger). Up to now, the research that carried out was small and in a limited scoped, such as on population and taxonomic distribution of these endemic species. Learning on what was eaten by an animal, why they use to consume certain type of feed and how the animal get the feed represent the base information which is needed to know the influence of the feed concerning with the animal physiology (Kamukuru and Mgaya, 2004). This basic information will assist the effort of animal cultivation
in conservation frame and its exploitation. Modern concepts of conservation do not interpret as 'may not use', but 'may utilize on sustainability bases'.

One of method used to identify the feed is direct observation method (Hügi et al., 1999) that is cheaper than other method and has a similar accuracy to telemetric method (Franco et al., 2004). Feed identification method through dung epidermis analysis often been used together with direct observation method. Refer to Storr (1960), dung analysis may know herbivore feeding type by identifying microscopic epidermis fraction in the feces. Type of vegetation consumed was known by comparing identified epidermis fraction in the dung with the
references of vegetation epidermis. Dietary composition was known by using quantitative analysis of the sum and size of epidermis fragmentation. Quantitative analysis was done by modifying the vegetation analysis technique resulting in an important value index for each vegetation type (Bhadresa, 1986 cited by De Boer et al., 2000).

Information on Anoa's feed identified at Sulawesi island concluded that Anoa is adaptable to feed and places. In order to support the cultivation based on sustainability, the knowledge of nutrient requirement, as well as Anoa's meat quality, is needed.

Kasim (2002) informed that Anoa has muscle group index better than cattle, buffaloes and bull. Moreover, Anoa's horn and skull were traditionally used for medicine. Therefore, the effort on Anoa exploitation requires the balance between the animal and human needs to ensure the sustainability. Information of feed vegetation preferred by Anoa that continually provided in a good quality and quantity may support Anoa performance.

This research was generally aimed to support the ex situ conservation of Anoa (Bubalus sp.), by exploring the data of feed vegetation type and its part of vegetation consumed by Anoa as well as protein and crude fiber composition of the feed which is needed to formulate Anoa's diet that could be applied in the conservation area for the first step of domesticating Anoa.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

## Experimental location

The Research was conducted from May 2007 to January 2008 at Toro village in the area of National Lore Lindu Park (NLLP), Central Sulawesi. Anoa's feed identification at that in situ conservation area was done by following the foot-step of the animal.

The NLLP situated on $200-2,500 \mathrm{~m}$ above sea level with most area lies on $1,000 \mathrm{~m}$. Topographically Toro presented as land ( $36.64 \%$ ) and mountain ( $65.36 \%$ ), which refers to the classification by Schmitt and Fergusson climatically were categorized as A type. Daily temperature was ranged at $18-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The average of the rainfall was ranged at $1,700-2,400$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{year}$.

## Samples collection

Observation was carried out in field and laboratory. Field observation to gain samples and fecal was conducted as follow.

* Eighteen plots of $10 \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$ were set up purposively on the location where Anoa's used to eat by following Anoa's footprint and the information from local people. Observation was done on the type and part of vegetation eaten by Anoa (leaf, shoot, young grooves, tuber, flower and fruit). Each predicted vegetation consumed by Anoa was sampled as well as Anoa's dung around the plots location.
* Observation conducted on 6 months periods of transition season from dry to wet season. Anoa's preferable vegetation was then compared to density vegetation in the location by combination method of Viljoen (1989), Snedecor and Cochran (1967) and Hansen et al.(1984). The composite samples followed the method of Cavender and Hansen (1970).
Laboratory observation was carried out to identify the epidermis of vegetation and Anoa's dung as well as the protein and crude fiber content of these samples. Protein and crude fiber were analyzed with proximate analysis procedures. Parameter observed were vegetation type in the in situ area, feed proportion, protein and crude fiber content on the Anoa's feces and Anoa's vegetation feed.


## Data analysis

## Feed proportion analysis in dry matter content

The data observed from quantitative analysis were used to calculate the proportion of feed after converted to dry matter basis following the formulas:
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{W}: \mathrm{A}$; where the W is the weight of herbarium sample on dry matter basic (gram); A is surface area of each feed sample ( $\mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), and:
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{k}$; where x is feed proportion on dry matter basis (gram) the k is index surface area of each feed type/species (gram/mm²); P is multiplication of index surface area to feed type coverage value. Therefore, feed proportion relative (xr) which presents the percentage proportion of feed type on the fecal sample can be calculated by following formula:

$$
\mathrm{xr}=\frac{\mathrm{x} \text { of a feed type } \times 100 \%}{\text { sum of } \mathrm{x} \text { in total feed types }}
$$

## Feed identification by using dung analysis

To identify the vegetation type in dung, it was needed to have the data base of Anoa's feed vegetation that was made by determining epidermis of Anoa's leaf samples. The Anoa's feed was then determined by matching the epidermis fragment of vegetation in feces with the data base. The Epidermis identification was referred to the method by Sparks and Malecheck (1968) and also Foppe (1984) continued by the calculation of dung and vegetation epidermis percentage according to the method of Hansen et al.(1984).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Identification of Anoa's feed vegetation

Measurement made from 18 plots resulted 342 vegetation samples predicted as Anoa's feed by field direct observation method. It was come from 36 familia, 58 species and 6 familia including 37 species of unidentified vegetation. This condition was caused by one type of familia has more than 1 local name, and vice versa. The identification using combination methods of direct observation and dung analysis found that Anoa's feed vegetation consist of 24 familia and 28 species; which only one of them was unidentified (Table 1).

Table 1. Anoa's Feed After Combination Method of Observation in National Lore Lindu Park

| No | Familia | Scientific Name | Local Name | Part consumed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Graminae | Bambusa sp. | Bambu | leaf, young growth, young grooves |
| 2. | Poaceae | Dinochloa barbata | Rumput | leaf, flower |
| 3. | Cyperaceae | Schleria sp. | Rumput | leaf, flower |
| 4. | Arecaceae | Calamus inops; <br> Areca vestiara Giseke | Rotan noko Harao Pinang Hutan | young growth, young grooves |
| 5. | Pandanaceae | Freycinetia insignis Blume | Lambori, Pandan | leaf, tuber, stem |
| 6. | Hypnaceae | Trichosteleum sp. | Tamomo | leaf (moss) |
| 7. | Podocarpaceae | Podocarpus imbricatus | Pangkao | bushes, leaf, flower |
| 8. | Polypodiaceae | Hymenopteris sp.; <br> Histiopteris sp.; <br> Blechnum sp.; <br> Drynaria rigidula (s.w) bedd | Paku-pakuan | bushes, leaf, young grooves |
| 9. | Cyatheaceae | Cyathea sp. | Pakis | bushes, leaf, young grooves |
| 10. | Selaginellaceae | Selaginella caudata | Paku | leaf, flower |
| 11. | Urticaceae | Elastostema sp. | Katatuma | bushes |
| 12. | Piperaceae | Piper sp. | Sirih Hutan | leaf, tuber |
| 13. | Moraceae | Ficus sp. | Beringin | leaf, fruit |
| 14. | Myrtaceae | Syzigium accuminatissima | Palohawana | bushes, leaf, flower, fruit |
| 15. | Clusiaceae | Calophyllum soulatri | Marantapi | leaf, flower |
| 16. | Rubiaceae | Lasianthus clementis Merr | Binutu/Bonitu | bushes, leaf, flower, fruit |
| 17. | Melastomataceae | Medinilla myrtiformis Triana | unidentified | leaf, flower |
| 18. | Meliaceae | Dysoxylum sp. | Walangkome | leaf, flower, young growth, fru it |
| 19. | Smilaceae | Smilax leucophylla | unidentified | leaf, flower, tuber root |
| 20. | Apocynaceae | Clusia sp | Bakanggaroka | leaf |
| 21. | Cluciaceae | Garcinia sp | Binutu | leaf, fruit |
| 22. | Denstaedtiaceae | Microlepia todayensis Christ | Pakuwana (A) | leaf |
| 23. | Caprifoliaceae | Tak teridentifikasi | Tomanete (B) | bushes, leaf |
| 24. | Fagaceae | Lythocarpus celebicum | Palili (C) | leaf, fruit, flo wer |

The result of present study was in agree with the previous study by Pujaningsih et al. (2005) who recommended that Anoa in their own habitat consumed leaf of the bushes, shoots, ferns, palms, tubers, fruits and even moss. This study also confirmed similar results in the previous studies (Mackinon and Mackinon, 1979; Bostid, 1983; Balgooy and Tantra, 1986 cited by Whitten et al., 1987, Labiro, 2001 and Mustari, 2003) that used direct observation method only Some type of feed found in this study was found similarities to the previous studies. Similarities in ferns was in Polypodiaceae familia, namely: Hymenopteris sp., Histiopteris sp., Blechnum sp., Drynaria rigidula (s.w) bedd, Sellaginellaceae (Sellaginella caudate), Cyatheaceae (Cyathea sp.\}. Another similarities were found in moss type of Hypnaceae (Trichosteleum sp.), palms of Arecaceae (Calamus inops and Areca vestiara), type of field grasses of Cyperaceae (Schleria sp), pandan of Pandanaceae (Frecynetia insignis), type of Bamboo of Poaceae (Bambusa sp. and Dinochloa barbata), type of Gymnospermae of Podocarpaceae (Podocarpus imbricatus). Other type of vegetation observed were: Elastostema sp., Piper sp., Ficus sp., Syzigium accuminatissima, Callophylum soulatri, Lasianthus clementis Merr, Medinilla myrtiformis Triana, Disoxylum sp., and Smilax leucophylla. However, the feeds come from fruits and tubers could not be proved by dung analysis method. There were some possibilities to explain that phenomena, one of them was the animal only use the water content in fruits or tubers, or another possibility is the epidermis which
was ingested into his stomach was completely digested, so that was not found in feces. However, from the present study it can be concluded that the type of Anoa's feed from year to year is not changed.

## Proportion of Anoa's feed based on dung analysis

Anoa are able to select the type of feed in a certain proportion to meet the needs of her body as shown in the difference proportion of each type of feed. Based on the dung analysis, the first ten types of vegetation identified was: Freycinetia insignis Blume (17\%), Microlepia todayensis Christ (8.9\%), Disoxylum sp (8.6\%), Lasianthus clementis Merr (7.7\%), Clusia sp (7.5\%), Schleria sp (6\%), Podocarpus imbricatus (5.4\%), Smilax leucophylla (5.1\%), Elastostema sp (4.2\%), Garcinia sp (3.8\%).

Storr (1960) indicated that large proportion of the feed was affected by leaf age, which was increasing leaf age will give a higher value of feed. Relative proportion of feed value also showed the level of Anoa preference on certain types of vegetation. The higher relative proportion of feed type value will increase the level of Anoa's preference. Figure 1 presented Anoa preference to consume leaves and bushes ( $24 \%$ ), flower ( $18 \%$ ), fruit ( $12 \%$ ), young growth ( $8 \%$ ), grasses, tuber, young grooves (each $4 \%$, respectively) and moss ( $2 \%$ ) as well.

Flower was ranked in the third place because it was consumed along with leaf, leaf buds or the flowering bushes, not merely eaten the flower. In contrast with fruit, this was consumed on purpose. This was in agree with Parakkasi (1995) who stated


Figure 1. Anoa's feed proportion (\%) based on dung analys is
that generally animal and especially for in ruminant that have naturally feed selection.

Anoa on the study area fulfilled their water requirement by consuming pokae fruit coming from Ficus sp., by stabbing the stem of the tree to get the falling fruits. Mustari (2003) found that Anoa in Tanjung Peropa, at Southeast Sulawesi Conservation area also consumed dongi fruit (Wormia ochreata), toho fruit (Artocarpus sp.), konduri fruit (Parkia timoriana) and huhubi fruit (Artocarpus dasyphyllus). Moreover, Basri (2008) recommended that Anoa able to consume 10 kg of pokae fruit within $2-3$ hours on the ex situ condition.

Bloembergen in Whitten et al. (1987) stated that in Nokilalaki Mountain (one of the highest mountain close to Roroka Timbu Mountain in NLLP on the height of 2100 m above sea level was found many types of climbing pandanus (Freycinetia sp.) If it was correlated to the discovery of Anoa's feces on the area at 1500 m above sea level, it means that Anoa need to climb 600 m more to find the plant species most identified in Anoa's feces. This condition may lead to the conclusion that Anoa has a high moving ability or living adaptability in order to fulfill the feed requirement.

Protein and crude fiber content of Anoa's feed
Nutritional values of forest plants that consumed by Anoa was widely ranged, for protein at 0.6 $18.86 \%$ averaged of $8.8 \%(\mathrm{sd}=4.4)$, crude fiber at $8.4-37.26 \%$ averaged of $25.6 \%(\mathrm{sd}=7.8)$, as well as moisture content ranged at $65.21-94.38 \%$ averaged of $80 \%$ ( $\mathrm{sd}=11.7$ ), respectively. It showed the selected plant species as feed by Anoa was widely varied. Therefore, the complete nutritional analysis of the feed plants was needed to support the nutrient requirement of Anoa prior to the conservation and development as well.

## CONCLUSION

Dietary composition of Anoa which discovered from its habitat consisted vegetation on the part of leaf, leaf bud, young growth, young grooves, tuber, fruit and flower. Based on the combination method between direct observation and Anoa's dung epidermis analysis, it was observed that Anoa consumed more leaves and bushes (each $24 \%$,
respectively) compare to flower ( $18 \%$ ), fruit ( $12 \%$ ), shoots ( $8 \%$ ), grasses, tuber, young grooves (each $4 \%$, respectively) and also moss ( $2 \%$ ). The ten types of vegetation from the highest percentage were Freycinetia insignis Blume (17\%), Microlepia todayensis Christ (8.9\%), Disoxylum sp (8.6\%), Lasianthus clementis Merr (7.7\%), Clusia sp (7.5\%), Schleria sp (6\%), Podocarpus imbricatus (5,4\%), Smilax leucophylla (5.1\%), Elastostema sp (4.2\%), and Garcinia sp (3.8\%), respectively. Moreover, the range value of protein was at 0.6 $18.86 \%$, crude fiber was at $8.4-37.26 \%$, and moisture was at $65.21-94.38 \%$, respectively.
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