



# Središnja medicinska knjižnica

Šimić, G., Mladinov, M., Judaš, M., Hof, P. R., (2006) *Brain asymmetries related to language with emphasis on entorhinal cortex and basal forebrain.* Cognition, Brain, Behavior, 10 (2). pp. 251-268.

http://www.cbbjournal.ro/

http://medlib.mef.hr/366

University of Zagreb Medical School Repository http://medlib.mef.hr/ Cognition, Brain, Behavior 10 (2006): 251-268

#### Indexed in PsychInfo

Invited review for the special issue of *Cognition, Brain and Behavior* journal "Brain asymmetry in development, psychopathology and evolution" in the memory of Joseph E. Bogen (13 July 1926 – 22 April 2005)

# Brain asymmetries related to language with emphasis on entorhinal cortex and basal forebrain

## Goran Šimić<sup>1</sup>, Mihovil Mladinov<sup>1</sup>, Miloš Judaš<sup>1</sup> and Patrick R. Hof<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Croatian Institute for Brain Research, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia

Correspondence to: gsimic@hiim.hr

Anatomical asymmetries of the human brain are important in at least four respects: 1) they can serve as potential indicators of the evolutionary foundations of language, 2) they can be used for comparative analysis of neural specializations for communication in primates, 3) they may provide underlying structural correlates for functional imaging (fMRI, PET) and genetic studies, and finally 4) they can be used for studying disorders which are suspected to result from either disturbed development of cerebral asymmetry or asymmetric damage to the brain. In the first part of this review, we give a general framework of this field through the brief descriptions of the milestone discoveries and major conceptual advances as they emerged throughout the last 150 years. In the second part, we provide a more detailed view on the functional relevance that asymmetries of the entorhinal cortex and basal forebrain may have on the language.

### Language and development of the concept of lateralization of cerebral functions

The specialization of the left hemisphere for language was one of the earliest observations of brain asymmetry. Already at the turn of the 18th century, a number of clinical reports confirmed the decussation of the motor pathways and suggested that left hemispheric damage, that caused right hemiplegia, was associated with loss of speech more frequently than right hemispheric damage, or left hemiplegia. The authors of these studies, however, did not pay enough attention to obvious laterality effects for speech itself (Morgagni, 1769; Bouillaud, 1825; Andral, 1840). This was probably because the numbers of analyzed patients were too small to direct attention to hemispheric differences and that different types of language disturbances were not distinguished. Terms such as "aphonia" and "alalia" were used interchangeably for designation not only of aphasias, but also mutism, articulation deficits and even stuttering. These physicians also knew that lesions and diseases of the cerebellum and brain stem could induce some of such disturbances. In addition, seemingly identical macroscopic appearance was a logical argument for both hemispheres to have identical functions and therefore represent "mirror images of each other" (Bell, 1811; Benton, 1976, 1977, 1984).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, U.S.A.

In 1861, Broca described two men, 51- and 84-year old, who had none of the conventional motor deficits that may affect speech, yet they could neither speak or create complete sentences, nor express ideas in writing. One had suffered from epilepsy since his youth, could not speak since 1840 (when he was 30-year old) and had lost his ability to use his right arm 10 years later. Other patients called him "Tan" because he could pronounce only this sound. The other was able to say only a few simple words, such as "yes", "no", "always", "three", showed good comprehension and used gestures in conversation. At autopsy, Broca found a lesion in the posterior third of the second and third frontal convolutions of the second case's left hemisphere. He concluded that this case was even clearer than the other one, and thought that he had confirmed his previous localization of a center for articulate language (**Broca**, **1861a,b**). Broca described eight similar patients in the next four years, as well as one patient with destroyed inferior frontal gyrus in the *right* hemisphere, but with intact speech. Finally, in his celebrated paper of 1865, Broca reported that disturbances in speech functions occur only after lesions of the left hemisphere (**Broca**, **1865**).

In fact, Dax first recognized a strong association among lesions of the left hemisphere, loss of speech, and paralysis of the right side of the body on a larger sample of human brains (more than 40 patients collected over a 20-year period). However, his report written in 1836 had not been read before the French Academy of Medicine until December 1864, and was only published in 1865 (Dax, 1865). The possibility that Broca may have seen or heard of the Dax's findings prior to his own written reports on cerebral dominance is still a matter of debate among historians of neuroscience. It is believed that Broca's brief and cautious reports from 1861 to 1863 (Broca 1861a, 1861b, 1863), which raised the possibility of left hemisphere specialization for language, were probably written before he knew of the Dax's report (**Finger**, 1994). However, since Broca's application for a membership in the Academy was published on the same page of the Academy's bulletin that announced the receipt of the Dax manuscript in 1863, this would suggest that Broca most probably had noted this before he described his famous eight aphasia cases, all with lesions on the left side (Schiller, 1979). Broca called the loss of articulate speech "aphemia", while the term "aphasia" (which is still in use today) had been introduced by Armand Trousseau in 1864 (Geschwind, 1972; Finger, 1994). To account for some instances of loss of speech after right hemisphere lesions, Broca postulated that speech in left-handed people is controlled by the same frontal region of the right hemisphere. Franz first challenged this view that language dominance and handedness are not perfectly correlated in 1914 when he discussed a case in which he found that a lefthanded person developed an aphasia after a lesion of the left frontal lobe (Franz, 1914). With time, it was recognized that approximately 97% of right-handers have their speech and language localized to the left hemisphere, while only 3% demonstrate a right hemisphere lateralization or bilateral language representation. A majority of left-handed people still has speech localized on the left side of the brain (70%) or exhibits more of a "mixed" dominance. Thus, some right-handed patients have right-hemisphere dominance for language, while lefthandersmay display a leftward dominance (**Desmond et al., 1995**).

In 1870, Fritsch and Hitzig showed that electrical stimulation of the precentral gyrus of the dog invariably produce movements in the contralateral limbs (**Fritsch and Hitzig, 1870**). These and several other findings led scientists of the late 19th century to realize that the left hemisphere controls both speech and the right hand movements, so the left hemisphere became to be regarded as "dominant".

After Broca, probably Wernicke had been the most influential person for the new understanding of the functional organization of the brain. Based on 10 cases of which 4 were examined by autopsy, he described the new type of aphasia ("sensory" aphasia), characterized by a lesion in the dorsal part of the left upper temporal gyrus, without a paralysis of the opposite side of the body, but with seriously damaged ability to understand spoken and written language (Wernicke, 1874). After this discovery, in 1874, he established a new concept about different types of aphasia (that is still in use nowadays). Wernicke pointed out that Broca's speech area lies just in front of those cortical regions where organs of speech (face, tongue, lips, palate, and vocal cords) have their motor representation and therefore assumed that this region is ,,the supposed seat of movement images (rules) necessary for articulate speech". In the aphasia that he described himself (now called Wernicke's aphasia), the damaged portion of the cerebral cortex (known as the Wernicke's area) lies immediately behind the primary auditory cortex. Wernicke proposed that this region is somehow involved in recognition of the patterns of the spoken language and concluded that a lesion of this center only would leave people fluent (since Broca's motor area is preserved), but unable to understand speech or use words properly. In his words, such a lesion would abolish sound images ("Klangbilder"). Wernicke also suggested that the motor center for speech (Broca's area) and the sensory center for speech (Wernicke's area) are interconnected and defined "conduction aphasia", a disorder characterized by misapplied words and an inability to read and possibly write, but in which there is a fairly good language comprehension and fluent output. The postulated large fiber bundle was described somewhat later and called the arcuate fascicle, which confirmed Wernicke's assumptions. Wernicke also defined "total aphasia" as a lack of both expression and comprehension of speech (Wernicke, 1881).

Although Wernicke himself did not believe that writing disorders could be independent of spoken language, this model was confirmed and expanded by Déjérine, who was the first to described the "alexia with agraphia" syndrome (the loss of ability to read and write) in a case study in 1891 (**Déjérine**, 1891). This patient, however, could speak and was able to understand every word he had heard. The postmortem examination showed a lesion of the left angular gyrus. Because this lesion disrupted connections between visual and auditory areas, although the patient could see letters and written words, they were without meaning to him. To understand a word, what is seen needs first to be "converted" into an auditory form; similarly, to write a word, first its auditory form needs to be transformed into a visual one. Upon careful analysis of another patient who could speak, write and understand speech, but could not read written words, Déjérine was also the first to note the importance of information transfer from one hemisphere to the other (Geschwind, 1970). Namely, the autopsy of the brain of this patient showed, together with the damage of the left visual cortex, also a lesion of the posterior part of the splenium. Déjérine proposed that due to the damage of the corpus callosum, visual information could not be transferred from the calcarine region in the healthy right hemisphere to speech areas of the left angular gyrus (Déjérine 1892). Although this syndrome of "pure word blindness (alexia)" (without agraphia) was actually the first direct evidence that a lesion of corpus callosum can disrupt the transfer of information from one hemisphere to the other, at that time, Déjérine did not consider pathology of the callosum to be so critical. Nevertheless, Brissaud and Redlich (Brissaud, 1900; Redlich, 1895) soon pointed out the role of posterior callosal lesions in preventing visual images in the healthy, right hemisphere from crossing to the left hemisphere. Several of Wernicke's students, notably Liepmann, Goldstein and Bonhöffer (Geschwind, 1972), later described many different callosal syndromes that confirmed the crucial role of the corpus callosum for information transfer between hemispheres. For example, in 1906 Liepmann suggested that a disruption in the callosal pathways between the motor- and language-organizing areas on the left side and the motor areas for the left hand on the right side of the brain could cause

ideomotor apraxia for the left side of the body (but not the right side). This could prevent the left hemisphere, which is involved in planning skilled movements, from communicating the necessary information to the right hemisphere, which controls the left hand (**Liepman, 1906**). In 1937 Trescher and Ford described the first case of disturbed information transfer from one hemisphere to another due to a surgical intervention for the removal of a tumor of the third ventricle) (**Trescher and Ford, 1937**). This finding, as well as several later ones, such as pure word deafness syndrome, conduction aphasia syndrome, syndrome of isolation of speech area, easily fitted into the Wernicke's theory. At first, before the late 1920s and 1930s, the concept of dominant hemisphere was noted only in relation to language functions.

## Critics of the classical concept: cortical functions in split-brain subjects

The described "classical" concept of the dominant hemisphere represented the dominant view well into the 1950s (**Geschwind**, 1974). However, description of new syndromes, such as spatial apraxia led slowly to widening of the concept. It was considered that the left hemisphere is dominant ("major hemisphere") in all cognitive functions, while the right ("minor hemisphere") begun to be considered as a "sub-dominant" (**Beaumont**, 1974; **Hécaen and Marcie**, 1974; **Goldstein**, 1974; **Levy**, 1974).

It was Erikson in 1940 that first showed in experimental animals that the corpus callosum is the main pathway for spreading of epileptic seizures from one hemisphere to the other (Sperry, 1964; Sechzer et al., 1977). Encouraged by this finding, Van Wagenen and Herren surgically split hemispheres by cutting a part of or the entire corpus callosum in 24 epileptic patients (Van Wagenen and Herren, 1940). The subsequent reports by Akelaitis on these patients indicates that a number of them were improved (Akelaitis, 1940, 1943; Zangwill, 1974; Finger, 1994). The psychologist K.U. Smith, carefully examined most of these patients, but found no consistent deficits resulting from the procedure. Because of these findings, the functional importance of the corpus callosum remained mysterious at that time (Sperry, 1964): it seems that Anglo-Saxon authors forgot the importance of the corpus callosum or did not know of the works of central-European neurologists who knew this already at the turn of the 19th century (see above) (Geschwind, 1972). It was not until Sperry and Myers did a series of experiments on split-brain cats that the role of the corpus callosum became clearer (Sperry, 1964). After they surgically divided the corpus callosum and optic chiasm, they had two separate, independent systems. These classical experiments of Myers and Sperry on cats and monkeys with surgically separated hemispheres showed that the two hemispheres were not able to communicate with each other and that each learned the required visuomotor task in about the same amount of time (Mvers and Sperry, 1953; Myers, 1955, 1956, 1957; Myers and Sperry, 1958; Sperry, 1967). They concluded that unilateral visuomotor learning leads to the formation of a single, unihemispheric engram in the absence, whether functional or anatomical, of the corpus callosum, i.e. it is only the corpus callosum that enables hemispheres interhemispheric transfer of visuomotor skills.

These experiments opened the way to analyses of callosal function in man. Vogel, Fischer and Bogen, performed commissurotomy on a 49-year old man who suffered from intractable epilepsy due to wounds inflicted during the World War II (Bogen et al., 1965). The patient showed no radical changes in personality, mood, or intelligence. However, under controlled testing conditions, it was revealed that his left hemisphere was more verbal than his right, as well as more analytic and more rational, while the right came out being more holistic, emotional and impulsive. The cerebral functions of this and other similar patients were tested in a series of psychological tests (Gazzaniga, Bogen and Sperry, 1965; Gazzaniga and

**Sperry, 1967; Gazzaniga, 1972**). In short, it was shown that the right hemisphere is not, as previously thought, deaf and blind to words. It is to some extent, but capable of understanding some words, and read and comprehend meaning of words that were displayed in the left part of the visual field (that is, projected to the right hemisphere). In addition, it was shown that, upon the cutting the corpus callosum, each hemisphere used its own perceptions, mental images, associations and ideas, and had its own processes for learning and memory, unrelated to conscious experience in the opposite hemisphere (**Sperry, 1981**). However, although in laboratory conditions, the separated hemispheres functioned as two distinct minds ("twinbrain"), these patients continued to exhibit a unified mind in everyday life (**Bogen, 1969, 1979**). After these findings, many researchers again turned to analyze structural backgrounds of these (functional) asymmetries.

## Morphological asymmetries of the brain related to language

The asymmetrical trajectory of the Sylvian (lateral) fissure was one of the first anatomical asymmetries to be described. At the end of the 19th century, Eberstaller and Cunningham were the first to describe differences in length and course of the lateral cerebral fissure of Sylvius. At its posterior limit, the right Sylvian fissure curves upwards more anteriorly than the left (so that the left is 12% longer than the right) (Eberstaller, 1884, 1890; Shellshear, 1937; Rubens, 1977), while the left has a gentler slope (with respect to the horizontal line) (Cunningham, 1892; Geschwind and Levitsky, 1968; Rubens, 1977). Due to such an arrangement of the lateral fissure, the surface area of the left parietal operculum is larger than right, particularly in the region of the angular gyrus (von Economo and Horn, 1930; Pfeiffer, 1936; Connoly, 1950; Hochberg and LeMay, 1975). This was later confirmed by the analysis of carotid arteriograms and coronal sections of the brain through the caudal part of the Sylvian fissures (LeMay and Culebras, 1972). The analysis of fossil endocasts suggested that this difference was already present in Neanderthals (LeMay and Culebras, 1972; LeMay, 1982), as a part of a geometric distortion of the hemispheres also known as "Yakovlevian twisting effect" or "anticlockwise torque" (structures surrounding the right Sylvian fissure are "torqued forward" relative to their counterparts on the left) (LeMay, **1976**).

Bilateral intracranial arteriograms proved useful in assessing cerebral dominance. Bilateral intracranial arteriograms of 123 right-handed patients and 38 left-handed patients showed that the angulation of the branches of the right and left middle cerebral arteries as they leave the Sylvian fissure are quite different (Hochberg and LeMay, 1975, LeMay, 1976). The height of the end-point ("posterior tip") of the Sylvian fissure has been shown to correlate negatively with the volume of the posterior part of the superior temporal lobe (known as planum temporale) and was higher on the left side in about 67% of right-handers, but in only 21% of non-right-handers. In a sample of 100 human brains, Geschwind and Lewitsky (Geschwind and Levitsky, 1968) have shown that planum temporale is larger on the left side in approximately 65% of human brains, the same in 24%, and larger on the right side in 11%. This asymmetry was visible grossly because the left planum temporale is, on average, one third larger from the right. This finding was confirmed later by several authors: the size of the planum temporale was shown to be larger on the left side in 69-90% of reported cases, averaging of 78% of the subjects in 22 studies (for a review, see **Shapleske et al., 1999**). The anatomic pattern and left hemisphere size predominance of the planum temporale are also present in chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes). The left planum temporale was found to be significantly larger in 94% (17 of 18) of chimpanzee brains examined (Gannon et al., 1998). Therefore, anatomic hemispheric asymmetry of this cortical region is clearly not unique to

humans: the evolutionary origin of human language may have been founded on this basal anatomic substrate, which was already lateralized to the left hemisphere in the common ancestor of chimpanzees and humans 8 million years ago (Gannon et al., 1998). Moreover, the results of the recent study of Gannon and colleagues indicate a rightward asymmetry of the parietal plane in chimpanzee and orangutan brains, that is comparable to the one observed in humans and independent of the temporal brain asymmetry (Gannon et al., 2005). The planum temporale also shows a marked leftward volume asymmetry that is related to the degree of right-handedness: an analysis of 121 MRI scans of right-handers and 33 MRI scans of lefthanders found that right-handers have greater planum temporale asymmetry (-0.30 vs. -0.16) (Steinmetz, 1996). Actually, the left planum temporale, which is an extension of Wernicke's posterior receptive language area responsible for phonological encoding during speech perception, is up to ten times larger than its right-hemisphere counterpart and therefore probably represent the most prominent human brain asymmetry (Steinmetz, 1996). This asymmetry is recognizable already in fetus between the 29th (Wada et al., 1975) and the 31st (Chi et al., 1977) week of gestation, and can be easily seen in newborns (Witelson and Pallie, 1973). During the 29th week of gestation, the asymmetry of the frontal operculum is also readily observable (Wada et al., 1975).

Although to a lesser extent than the temporal planum, larger volume than their homologues in the right hemispheres (that is probably related to language functions) has been also documented for Heschl's gyrus (primary auditory area) (Rademacher et al., 1993), Broca's speech area (Falzi et al., 1982, Amunts et al., 1999), cytoarchitectonic area Tpt (Galaburda et al., 1978), entorhinal cortex (Šimić et al., 2005), and many other cortical regions (for a review, see Toga and Thompson, 2003). Interestingly, some subcortical structures such as the lateral posterior nucleus of the thalamus (Eidelberg and Galaburda, 1982) and the subputaminal nucleus (Ayala) of the basal forebrain (Šimić et al., 1999) have been documented for leftward asymmetry as well.

## Asymmetry of the entorhinal cortex and its possible functional importance

The entorhinal cortex spreads over the gyrus ambiens and a considerable part of the parahippocampal gyrus (**Brodmann**, 1909; **Braak**, 1972). On the surface of these gyri, small elevations are present with shallow grooves in between. Retzius was the first to observe these elevations, and to describe them, coining the term "verrucae gyri hippocampi" (**Retzius**, 1896). Ramón y Cajal (1901-1902) and von Economo and Koskinas (**von Economo and Koskinas**, 1925; **von Economo**, 1927) showed that verrucae are formed from groups ("glomeruli") of layer II neurons which cause an elevation on the cortical surface. Verrucae are human specific feature and allow delineation of the entorhinal region macroscopically even with the unaided eye (**Klinger**, 1948; **Braak**, 1980; **Braak and Braak**, 1992; **Insausti et al.**, 1995; **Solodkin and Van Hoesen**, 1996).

Based on dissociated verbal and nonverbal retrieval and learning in a subject with circumscribed anterior temporal damage, a functional asymmetry of the entorhinal cortex with the left side being more involved in verbal and the right in non-verbal processing has been proposed (**Tranel, 1991**). More recent findings obtained using voxel-based mapping of the correlations between cognitive scores and resting state brain glucose utilization measured by PET in early Alzheimer's disease further support this observation (**Eustache et al., 2001**). By using MRI volumetry, decreased memory performance in Alzheimer's disease has also been shown to correlate with an increased rate of entorhinal atrophy, with the left side being more affected (**Du et al., 2003**).

A recent cast analysis of the number and area of entorhinal verrucae in brains from 60

neurologically normal patients found that both number and area of verrucae are higher in the left than in the right hemisphere, indicating an anatomical asymmetry (Šimić et al., 2005). The dominance of the left hemisphere in the number and size of entorhinal verrucae is best illustrated by the fact that only two out of sixty brains had comparable total area of verrucae in both hemispheres (all other were larger on the left). This finding is potentially making the entorhinal region one of the most consistently lateralized part of the brain. An illustration of the asymmetry of the entorhinal cortex is given in Figure 1. Layer II entorhinal neurons receive major inputs from the ipsilateral auditory association areas, such as Brodmann area 22 (in the superior temporal gyrus) that projects mostly to the medial part of the entorhinal cortex, and Brodmann area 52 (parainsular cortex) that projects mostly to the lateral division of the entorhinal cortex (Amaral et al., 1983; Insausti et al., 1987). Since areas 22 and 52, together with Broca's motor-speech area (Brodmann areas 44 and 45) (Uvlings et al., 1999). consistently show anatomical and functional asymmetry from early infancy (Karbe et al., 1995; Galuske et al., 2000; Amunts et al., 2003), it is reasonable to speculate that the larger size of the entorhinal cortex on the left side may play a role in memory processing of language in the left hemisphere. Because the entorhinal cortex serves as a gateway between the neocortex and the hippocampus, thus playing a key role in the processes of memory and learning (Zola-Morgan et al., 1989; Fernández et al., 1999), this may also explain the specialization of the left hippocampus (or left medial temporal lobe in general) compared with the right in its increased capacity for verbal episodic memory (Milner, 1970; Damasio and Geschwind, 1984; Kelley et al., 1998).

### Asymmetry of the basal forebrain and its possible functional importance

The most important feature of the basal forebrain is the presence of a complex chain of the magnocellular nuclei, which represent an extension of the brainstem reticular core (Brockhaus, 1942). The largest cytoarchitecural entity is the basal nucleus. The well-known eponym "nucleus basalis of Meynert" was given by Kölliker (Kölliker, 1896), although Meynert's work does not exactly show this cell group (Meynert, 1872). It was Brockhaus who first realized that the magnocellular neurons in the Meynert's nucleus are only one component of the whole complex of basal forebrain magnocellular groups (Brockhaus, 1942). The prominence of the basal nucleus is explained by the extraordinary expansion of the cortical mantle, which represents its main innervation target (Gorry, 1963; Divac, 1975). Different divisions of the basal nucleus have physiologically and morphologically heterogeneous neurons with discrete projection patterns. One cell group, which is topographically, cytochemically and cytoarchitectonically intimately related to the basal nucleus, was named and described as the nucleus subputaminalis in 1915 by Ayala (Ayala 1915, 1924). Still, with the exception of five studies (Hedreen et al., 1984; Kostović, 1986; Kračun and Rösner, 1986; Halliday et al., 1993; Ulfig, 1989), the subputaminal nucleus has been neglected in both classical (Brockhaus, 1942; Kölliker, 1896) and recent studies. A detailed analysis of subputaminal nucleus in 36 neurologically normal subjects revealed several surprising and potentially important findings (Šimić et al., 1999)(Fig. 1). The human subputaminal nucleus was found to be best developed at the anterointermediate basal forebrain level, which is much smaller or missing in monkeys (Jones et al., 1976; Hedreen et al., 1984). Moreover, at the most rostrolateral level a previously non-described component of the lateral (periputaminal) subdivision of the subputaminal nucleus was found (Šimić et al., 1999). This part of the subputaminal nucleus has not been described in non-human primates (Gorry 1963, Divac 1975). The obviously larger size of subputaminal nucleus on the left side at the most rostral and anterointermediate levels (Šimić et al, 1999, Halliday et al., 1993), the ascension of subputaminal cholinergic fibers through the external capsule (Kostović, 1986) towards the inferior frontal gyrus (Šimić et al., 1999), its most progressive phylogenetic cytochemical properties (in comparison to other cholinergic cell groups) (Šimić et al., 1999) and the most protracted development among all the magnocellular aggregations within the basal forebrain (Kračun and Rösner, 1986) strongly suggest that the subputaminal nucleus is human specific and connected with the cortical speech area (Šimić et al., 1999, Boban et al., 2006).

These findings opened many questions about the possible role of subputaminal nucleus in various neurodegenerative, neurological and psychiatric disorders, particularly Alzheimer's disease, primary progressive aphasia and schizophrenia. A significant subgroup of Alzheimer's disease patients present with characteristics of primary progressive aphasia during the early course of the disease (Goldblum et al., 1994). Moreover, it would be of particular importance to investigate possible pathological changes of subputaminal nucleus in the primary progressive aphasia (Heath et al., 1983; Mesulam, 1982) since causes and mechanisms underlying the anatomical selectivity of this neurologically distinct disorder have not been elucidated (Espert at al., 1996; Kertesz and Munoz, 1997). Since the cholinergic system is the most important for the generation and modulation of the P300 amplitude and latency, the negativity of the P300 potential in the affected (left) hemisphere in four patients with primary progressive aphasia (Onofrj et al., 1995) strongly suggest that pathological changes in the subputaminal nucleus may represent the missing link to explain this enigmatic disease (Šimić et al., 1999). Finally, the subputaminal subdivision of the basal nucleus may be also important in respect to disturbed speech functions in schizophrenia (Heimer, 2000).

## **Summary and conclusions**

Physicians and neurologists of the 19th century, particularly Broca, Wernicke and Déjérine, have first shown lateralization of functions, particularly those related to language, in the human brain. However, they did not know much about cognitive abilities of the right hemisphere. Further discoveries around the mid 20th century have revealed that the right hemisphere is indeed much involved in different cognitive domains, and in some respects more so the left. The key experiments were those done in experimental animals and humans with surgically divided hemispheres by Myers, Sperry and Bogen. These studies showed that each hemisphere has its own "intellectual" and "emotional" life, while the "unity" or "wholeness" of the human experience and behavior is achieved by communication between hemispheres through the corpus callosum. Morphological, neurohistological and neurochemical studies were the first to show multiple asymmetries in the shape and structure of the brain. Most of these asymmetries are related to language functions. It is now clear that the greatest asymmetries of the brain structure are localized to the perisylvian language areas. Recent neuroanatomical studies have, among other regions, revealed asymmetries in the entorhinal cortex and subputaminal nucleus, possibly also in relation to language. These findings have implications for both normal and altered brain function. The degree to which functional asymmetries parallel those observed anatomically still have to be fully described. It is believed that the integration of data from all of the available approaches (such as genetic databases, studies of molecular mechanisms and functional brain imaging) will eventually provide a clearer understanding of the mechanisms of brain lateralization.

#### Literature

- 1. **Akelaitis AJ (1940)** A study of gnosis, praxis and language following partial and complete section of the corpus callosum. Trans Am Neurol Assoc 66: 182-185.
- 2. **Akelaitis AJ (1943)** Studies on the corpus callosum. VII. Study of language functions (tactile and visual lexia and graphia) unilaterally following section of the corpus callosum. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 2: 226-262.
- 3. **Amaral DG, Insausti R, Cowan WM (1983)** Evidence for a direct projection from the superior temporal gyrus to the entorhinal cortex in the monkey. Brain Res 275: 263-277.
- 4. Amunts K, Schleicher A, Bürgel U, Mohlberg H, Uylings HBM, Zilles K (1999) Broca's region revisited: Cytoarchitecture and intersubject variability. J Comp Neurol 412: 319-341.
- 5. Amunts K, Schleicher A, Ditterich A, Zilles K (2003) Broca's region: cytoarchitectonic asymmetry and developmental changes. J Comp Neurol 465: 72-89.
- 6. Andral G (1840) Clinique médicale (4th ed.). Paris: Fortin, Masson.
- 7. **Ayala G (1915)** A hitherto undifferentiated nucleus in the forebrain (nucleus subputaminalis). Brain 37: 433-438.
- 8. **Ayala G (1924)** Weitere Untersuchungen über den Nucleus Subputaminalis. J Psychol Neurol 30: 285-299.
- 9. **Beaumont GJ (1974)** Handedness and hemisphere function. In: Dimond SJ and Beaumont JG (eds.) Hemisphere function in the human brain, Elek Science, London, pp. 89-120.
- 10. **Bell C** (1811) Idea of a new anatomy of the brain. London: Strahan and Preston (reprinted in Medical Classics 1936; 1: 105-120)
- 11. **Benton A** (1976) Historical development of the concept of hemispheric cerebral dominance. In Spicker SF, Engelhardt (eds.) Philosophical dimensions of the neuromedical sciences. D. Reidel, Dordrecht (Holland), pp. 35-57.
- 12. **Benton A (1977)** Historical notes on hemispheric dominance. Arch Neurol 34: 127-129.
- 13. **Benton A** (1984) Hemispheric dominance before Broca. Neuropsychologia 22: 807-811.
- 14. **Boban M, Kostović I, Šimić G (2006)** Nucleus subputaminalis: Neglected part of basal nucleus of Meynert. Brain (in press)
- 15. **Bogen JE, Fisher EP, Vogel PS (1965)** Cerebral commissurotomy: A second case report. JAMA 174: 1328-1329.
- 16. **Bogen JE** (1969) The other side of the brain. II. An appositional mind. Bull LA Neurol Soc 34: 135-162.
- 17. **Bogen JE** (1979) The callosal syndrome. In: Heilman KM, Valenstein E (eds) Clinical neuropsychology. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 308-357.
- 18. **Bouillaud J-B** (**1825**) Traité clinique et physiologique de l'encéphalite ou inflammation du cerveau. Paris: J.B. Ballière.
- 19. **Braak H** (1972) Zur Pigmentarchitektonik der Grosshirnrinde des Menschen: I. Regio entorhinalis. Z Zellforsch 127: 407-438.
- 20. **Braak H** (1980) Architectonics of the human telencephalic cortex, p. 37. Berlin: Springer.
- 21. **Braak H, Braak E (1992)** The human entorhinal cortex: normal morphology and lamina-specific pathology in various diseases. Neurosci Res 15: 6-21.
- 22. **Brodmann C** (1909) Vergleichende Lokalisationslehre der Groβ hirnrinde. Leipzig:

Barth.

- 23. **Brissaud E** (1900) Cécité verbale sans aphasie ni agraphie. Rev Neurol 8:757.
- 24. **Broca P** (**1865**) Sur la faculté du langage articulé. Bull Soc Anthropol (Paris) 6:493-494.
- 25. **Broca P (1861a)** Perte de la parole: ramollisement chronique et destruction partielle du lobe antérieur gauche du cerveau. Bull Soc Anthropol (Paris) 6: 493-494.
- 26. **Broca P (1861b)** Remarques sur le siège de la faculté du langage articulé; suivies d'une observation d'aphémie (perte de la parole). Bull Soc Anat (Paris) 6:330-357, 398-407.
- 27. **Broca P** (**1863**) Localisation des fonctions cérébrales. Siège du langage articulé. Bull Soc Anthropol (Paris) 4:200-203.
- 28. **Brockhaus H (1942)** Vergleichend-anatomische Untersuchungen über den Basalkernkomplex. J Psychol Neurol 51: 57-95.
- 29. **Chi JG, Dooling EC, Gilles FH (1977)** Left-right asymmetries of the temporal speech areas of the human fetus. Arch Neurol 34: 346-348.
- 30. **Connoly CJ (1950)** External morphology of the primate brain. Charles C. Thomas. Springfield, Illinois.
- 31. **Cunningham DJ** (1892) Contribution to the surface anatomy of the cerebral hemispheres. Dublin: Royal Irish Academy 7, p. 372.
- 32. **Damasio AR, Geschwind N (1984)** The neural basis of language. Annu Rev Neurosci 7: 127-147.
- 33. **Dax M** (**1865**) Lésion de la moitié gauche de l'encéphale coïncident avec l'oubli des signes de la pensée. Gazette Hebdomadaire de Médecine et de Chirurgie, 2 (2nd ser.), 259-260.
- 34. **Déjérine J (1891)** Sur un cas de cécité verbale avec agraphie, suivi d'autopsie. Mem Soc Biol 3: 197-201.
- 35. **Déjérine J** (**1892**) Contribution à l'étude anatomopathologique et clinique des différentes variétés de cécité verbale. Mem Soc Biol 44: 61-90.
- 36. Desmond JE, Sum JM, Wagner AD, Demb JB, Shear PK, Glover GH, Gabrieli JD, Morrell MJ (1995) Functional MRI measurement of language lateralization in Wada-tested patients. Brain 118: 1411-1419.
- 37. **Divac I (1975)** Magnocellular nuclei of the basal forebrain project to neocortex, brainstem and olfactory bulb. Review of some functional correlates. Brain Res 93: 385-398.
- 38. Du AT, Schuff N, Zhu XP, Jagust WJ, Miller BL, Reed BR, Kramer JH, Mungas D, Yaffe K, Chui HC, Weiner MW (2003) Atrophy rates of entorhinal cortex in Alzheimer's disease and normal aging. Neurology 60: 481-486.
- 39. **Eberstaller, O** (**1884**) Zür Oberflachen Anatomie der Grosshirn Hemisphären. Wien. Med. **7**, pp. 479, 642, 644
- 40. Eberstaller O (1890) Das Stirnhirn. Wien: Urban und Schwartzenberg.
- 41. **Eidelberg D, Galaburda AM (1982)** Symmetry and asymmetry in the human posterior thalamus: I. Cytoarchitectonic analysis in normal persons. Arch Neurol 39: 325-339.
- 42. **Espert R, Navarro JF, Deus J, Gadea M, Chirivella J (1996)** A review of primary progressive aphasia (Mesulam syndrome) (1982-1996). Psicol Conduct 4: 437-452.
- 43. Eustache F, Desgranges B, Giffard B, de la Sayette V, Baron JC (2001) Entorhinal cortex disruption causes memory deficit in early Alzheimer's disease as shown by PET. Neuroreport 12: 683-685.
- 44. Falzi G, Perrone P, Vignolo L (1982) Right-left asymmetry in anterior speech

- region. Arch Neurol 39: 239-240.
- 45. Fernández G, Brewer JB, Zhao Z, Glover GH, Gabrieli DE (1999) Level of sustained entorhinal activity at study correlates with subsequent cued-recall performance: a fMRI study with high acquisition rate. Hippocampus 9: 35-44.
- 46. **Finger S** (**1994**) Origins of neuroscience: a history of explorations into brain function. Oxford: Oxford University Press, p. 392.
- 47. Franz SI (1914) The functions of the cerebrum. Psychol Bull 11: 131-140.
- 48. **Fritsch G, Hitzig E (1870)** Über die elektrische Erregbarkeit des Grosshirns. Arch Anat Physiol Wiss Med, pp 300-332; reprinted 1960 in: von Bonin G (translation) Some papers on the cerebral cortex, pp. 73-96. Thomas, Springfield, IL.
- 49. **Galaburda AM, Sanides F, Geschwind N** (1978) Human brain: Cytoarchitectonic left-right asymmetries in the temporal speech region. Arch Neurol 35: 812-817.
- 50. Galuske RA, Schlote W, Bratzke H, Singer W (2000) Interhemispheric asymmetries of the modular structure in the human temporal cortex. Science 289: 1946-1949.
- 51. Gannon PJ, Holloway RL, Broadfield DC, Braun AR (1998) Asymmetry of chimpanzee planum temporale: Human-like brain pattern of Wernicke's area homolog. Science 279: 220–221.
- 52. **Gannon PJ, Kheck NM, Braun AR, Holloway RL** (2005) Planum parietale of chimpanzees and orangutans: A comparative resonance of human-like planum temporale asymmetry. Anat Rec 287A: 1128-1141.
- 53. Gazzaniga MS (1972) One brain two minds. Amer Scientist 60: 311-317.
- 54. Gazzaniga MS, Bogen JE, Sperry RW (1965) Observations on visual perception after disconnection of the cerebral hemispheres in man. Brain 88: 221-230.
- 55. **Gazzaniga MS, Sperry RW** (1967) Language after section of the cerebral commissures. Brain 90: 131-148.
- 56. **Geschwind N, Levitsky W** (1968) Human brain: left-right asymmetries in temporal speech region. Science 161: 186-187. **Geschwind N** (1970) The organization of the language and the brain. Science 170: 940-944.
- 57. **Geschwind N (1972)** Language and the brain. Sci Am 226: 76-83.
- 58. **Geschwind N** (1974) The anatomical basis of hemispheric differentiation. In: Dimond SJ and Beaumont JG (eds.) Hemisphere function in the human brain, Elek Science, London, pp. 7-24.
- 59. Goldblum MC, Tzortis C, Michot JL, Panisset M, Boller F (1994) Language impairment and rate of cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease. Dementia 5: 334-338.
- 60. **Goldstein G** (1974) The use of clinical neuropsychological methods in the lateralization of brain lesions. In: Dimond SJ and Beaumont JG (eds.) Hemisphere function in the human brain, Elek Science, London, pp. 279-310.
- 61. **Gorry JD** (1963) Studies on the comparative anatomy of the ganglion basale of Meynert. Acta Anat 55: 51-104.
- 62. Halliday GM, Cullen K, Cairns MJ (1993) Quantitation and three-dimensional reconstruction of Ch4 neurons in the human basal forebrain. Synapse 15: 1-16.
- 63. **Heath PD, Kennedy P, Kapur N** (1983) Slowly progressive aphasia without generalized dementia. Ann Neurol 13: 687-688.
- 64. **Hécaen H, Marcie P (1974)** Disorders of written language following right hemisphere lesions: Spatial dysgraphia. In: Dimond SJ and Beaumont JG (eds.) Hemisphere function in the human brain, Elek Science, London, pp.345-366.
- 65. Hedreen JC, Struble RG, Whitehouse PJ, Price DL (1984) Topography of the magnocellular basal forebrain system in human brain. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 43:

- 1-21.
- 66. **Heimer L** (**2000**) Basal forebrain in the context of schizophrenia. Brain Res Rev 31: 205-235.
- 67. **Hochberg FH, LeMay M (1975)** Arteriographic correlates of handedness. Neurology 25: 218-222.
- 68. **Insausti R, Amaral DG, Cowan WM (1987)** The entorhinal cortex of the monkey: II. Cortical afferents. J Comp Neurol 264: 356-395.
- 69. Insausti R, Tuñón T, Sobreviela T, Insausti AM, Gonzalo LM (1995) The human entorhinal cortex: a cytoarchitectonic analysis. J Comp Neurol 355: 171-198.
- 70. Jones EG, Burton H, Saper CB, Swanson LW (1976) Midbrain, diencephalic and cortical relationships of the basal nucleus of Meynert and associated structures in primates. J Comp Neurol 167: 385-419.
- 71. Karbe H, Wurker M, Herholz K, Ghaemi M, Pietrzyk U, Kessler J, Heiss WD (1995) Planum temporale and Brodmann's area 22: magnetic resonance imaging and high resolution PET demonstrate functional left-right asymmetry. Arch Neurol 52: 869-874.
- 72. Kelley WM, Miezin FM, McDermott KB, Buckner RL, Raichle ME, Cohen NJ, Ollinger JM, Akbudak E, Conturo TE, Snyder AZ, Petersen SE (1998)

  Hamispheria specialization in the human dersel frontal cortex and medial temporal

Hemispheric specialization in the human dorsal frontal cortex and medial temporal lobe for verbal and nonverbal memory encoding. Neuron 20: 927-936.

- 73. **Kertesz A, Munoz DG (1997)** Primary progressive aphasia. Clin Neurosci 4: 95-102.
- 74. **Kölliker K** (**1896**) Handbuch der Gewebelehre des Menschen. Nervensystem des Menschen und der Thiere, Vol. 2. Leipzig: Engelman.
- 75. **Klinger J** (1948) Die makroskopische Anatomie der Ammonsformation. Denkschriften der Schweizerischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft. Zürich: Gebrü der Fretz Ag.
- 76. **Kostović I (1986)** Prenatal development of nucleus basalis complex and related fiber systems in man: a histochemical study. Neuroscience 17: 1047-1077.
- 77. **Kračun I, Rösner H** (1986) Early cytoarchitectonic development of the anlage of the basal nucleus of Meynert in the human fetus. Int J Dev Neurosci 4: 143-149.
- 78. **LeMay M (1976)** Morphological cerebral asymmetries of modern man, fossil man, and nonhuman primate. Ann NY Acad Sci 280: 349-366.
- 79. **LeMay M (1982)** Morphological aspects of human brain asymmetry (An evolutionary perspective). Trends Neurosci 1982: 273-276.
- 80. **LeMay M, Culebras A (1972)** Human brain mophologic differences in the hemispheres demonstrable by carotid arteriography. New Engl J Med 287: 168-170.
- 81. **Levy J** (**1974**) Psychobiological implications of bilateral asymmetry. In: Dimond SJ and Beaumont JG (eds.) Hemisphere function in the human brain, Elek Science, London, pp.121-183.
- 82. **Liepman H** (1906) Der weitere Kranksheitsverlauf bei dem einseitig Apraktischen und der Gerirnbefund auf Grund von Serienschnitten. Monatsschrift für Psychiatrie und Neurologie 19: 217-243.
- 83. **Mesulam M-M (1982)** Slowly progressive aphasia without generalized dementia. Ann Neurol 11: 592-598.
- 84. **Meynert T** (**1872**) Vom Gehirn der Saugeiere. In: Handbuch der Lehre von den Geweben des Menschen und Thiere. Leipzig: Engelman, pp. 694-808.
- 85. **Milner B (1970)** Memory and the medial temporal regions of the brain. In: Pribram KH, Broadbent DE, eds.) Biology of memory, pp. 29-70. New York: Academic Press.

- 86. **Morgagni G** (1769) The seats and causes of diseases investigated by anatomy. (Alexander BA, transl.). London: Millar and Cadell.
- 87. **Myers RE, Sperry R (1953)** Interocular transfer of a visual form discrimination habit in cats after section of the optic chiasma and corpus callosum. Anat Rec 115: 351-352.
- 88. **Myers RE** (1955) Interocular transfer of pattern discrimination in cats following section of crossed optic fibers. J Comp Physiol Psychol 48: 470-473.
- 89. **Myers RE** (1956) Function of corpus callosum in interocular transfer. Brain 79: 358-363.
- 90. **Myers RE** (1957) Corpus callosum and interhemispheric communication: Enduring memory effects. Fed Proc 16:92.
- 91. **Myers RE, Sperry RW** (1958) Interhemispheric communication through the corpus callosum: Mnemonic carryiover between the hemispheres. Arch Neurol Psychiat 80: 298-303.
- 92. **Onofrj M, Fulgente T, Thomas A, Locatelli T, Comi G (1995)** P300 asymmetries in focal brain lesions are reference dependent. EEG Clin Neurophysiol 94: 432-439.
- 93. **Pfeiffer RA** (**1936**) Pathologie der Horstrahlund und der corticalen Horspähre. In: Bumke O, Foerster O (eds.) Handbuch der Neurologie, vol. 6, Berlin: Springer, p. 533.
- 94. **Redlich E** (**1895**) Über die Sogenannte Subcorticale Alexie. Jahrbuch für Psychiatrie und Neurologie 13: 1-60.
- 95. **Retzius G** (**1896**) Das Menschenhirn Studien in der makroskopischen Morphologie (Table 51, Fig. 5). Stockholm: Königliche Buchdruckerei P.A. Norstedt & Söner.
- 96. **Rubens AB** (1977) Anatomical asymmetries of human cerebral cortex. In: Harnad S et al. (eds.) Lateralization in the Nervous System. Academic Press, New York, pp. 503-516.
- 97. Rademacher J, Caviness VS Jr, Steinmetz H, Galaburda AM (1993)
- Topographical variation of the human primary cortices: implications for neuroimaging, brain mapping and neurobiology. Cereb Cortex 3: 313-329.
- 98. **Schiller F** (1979) Paul Broca: Founder of French anthropology, explorer of the brain. Berkeley: University of California Press. Reprinted in 1992 by Oxford University Press (paperback)
- 99. **Sechzer JA, Folstein SE, Geiger EH, Mervis DF** (1977) Effects of neonatal hemispheric disconnection in kittens. In: Harnad S et al. (eds) Lateralization of the nervous system. Academic Press: New York, pp 89-108.
- 100. **Shapleske J, Rossell SL, Woodruff PWR, David AS (1999)** The planum temporale: a systematic, quantitative review of its structural, functional and clinical significance. Brain Res Rev 29: 26-49.
- 101. **Shellshear JL** (1937) The brain of aboriginal Australian: A study in cerebral morphology. Phil Trans R Soc London, Ser. B, 227: 293-409.
- 102. **Solodkin A, Van Hoesen GW** (**1996**) Entorhinal cortex modules of the human brain. J Comp Neurol 365: 610-627.
- 103. Šimić G, Mrzljak L, Fučić A, Winblad B, Lovrić H, Kostović I (1999) Nucleus subputaminalis (Ayala): the still disregarded magnocellular component of the basal forebrain may be human specific and connected with the cortical speech area. Neuroscience 89: 73-89.
- 104. Šimić G, Bexheti S, Kelović Z, Kos M, Grbić K, Hof PR, Kostović I (2005) Hemispheric asymmetry, modular variability and age-related changes in the human entorhinal cortex. Neuroscience 130: 911-925.
- 105. Sperry RW (1981) Some effects of disconnecting the cerebral hemispheres. Science

- 217:1223-1226.
- 106. Sperry RW (1964) The great cerebral commissure. Sci Amer 210: 42-52.
- 107. **Sperry RW** (**1967**) Split-brain approach to learning problems. In: Quarton GC, Melnechuk T and Schmitt FO (eds.), The neurosciences, Rockefeller Univ. Press, New York, pp. 714-723.
- 108. **Steinmetz H (1996)** Structure, functional and cerebral asymmetry: *in vivo* morphometry of the planum temporale. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 20: 587–591.
- 109. **Toga AW, Thompson PM (2003)** Mapping brain asymmetry. Nature Rev Neurosci 4: 37-48.
- 110. **Tranel D (1991)** Dissociated verbal and nonverbal retrieval and learning following left anterior temporal damage. Brain Cogn 15: 187-200.
- 111. **Trescher JH, Ford FR (1937)** Colloid cyst of the third ventricle. Report of a case; operative removal with section of the posterior half of the corpus callosum. Arch Neurol Psychiatry 37: 959-973.
- 112. **Ulfig N** (1989) Configuration of the magnocellular nuclei in the basal forebrain in the human adult. Acta Anat 134: 100-105.
- 113. **Uylings, HBM, Malofeeva LI, Bogolepova IN, Amunts K, Zilles K (1999)** Broca's language area from a neuroanatomical and developmental perspective. In: Neurocognition of language processing, edited by P. Hagoort and C. Brown, Oxford University Press, 319-336.
- 114. **Van Wagenen WP, Herren RY (1940)** Surgical division of commissural pathways in the corpus callostim. Relation to spread of an epileptic attack. Arch Neurol Psychiatry 44:740-759.
- 115. **von Economo C** (**1927**) Zellaufbau der Grosshirnrinde des Menschen. Berlin: Springer.
- 116. **von Economo C, Horn L** (**1930**) Über Windungsrelief, Masse und Rindarchitektonik der Supratemporalflache. Z Ges Neurol Psychiat 130: 678-757.
- 117. **von Economo C, Koskinas GN (1925)** Cytoarchitektonik der Hirnrinde des erwachsenen Menschen, p. 747. Wien: Springer.
- 118. **Wada JA**, **Clarke R**, **Hamm A** (1975) Cerebral hemispheric asymmetry in humans (cortical speech zones in 100 adult and 100 infant brains). Arch Neurol 32: 239-246.
- 119. **Wernicke C** (**1874**) Der aphasische Symptomenkomplex: eine psychologische Studie auf anatomischer Basis. Breslau: Cohn and Weigert. (translation: Eggert GH, in: Wernicke's works on aphasia: a sourcebook and review. The Hague: Mouton, 1977).
- 120. Wernicke C (1881) Lehrbuch der Gehirnkrankheiten. Kassel: Fischer.
- 121. **Witelson SF, Pallie W** (1973) Left hemisphere specialization for language in newborn: neuroanatomical evidence of asymmetry. Brain 96: 641-646.
- 122. **Zangwill OL** (1974) Consciousness and the cerebral hemispheres. In: Dimond SJ and Beaumont JG (eds.) Hemisphere function in the human brain, Elek Science, London, pp. 264-278.
- 123. **Zola-Morgan S, Squire LR, Amaral DG, Suzuki WA (1989)** Lesions of perirhinal and parahippocampal cortex that spare the amygdala and hippocampal formation produce severe memory impairment. J Neurosci 9: 4355-4370.

**Figure 1.** An illustration of the asymmetry of the entorhinal cortex. Picture is a reconstruction of entorhinal cortex islands from tangential sections. Male subject, 32 years of age, scale bar = 1 mm. L = left, R = right.

