

## DIPLOMARBEIT

Titel der Diplomarbeit

### "The "push-up" as a calling posture in *Nectophrynoides tornieri* (Anura: Bufonidae) in the Amani Nature Reserve, Tanzania"

Verfasserin Iris Starnberger

angestrebter akademischer Grad Magistra der Naturwissenschaften (Mag.rer.nat.)

Wien, 2011

Studienkennzahl It.A 439Studienblatt:Diplomstudium Zoologie (Stzw) UniStGStudienblatt:Diplomstudium Zoologie (Stzw) UniStGBetreuerin / Betreuer:Ao. Univ.-Prof. Mag. Dr. Walter Hödl

Für meine Eltern und meine Schwester Sonja, die mich immer liebevoll unterstützt und ermutigt haben.

#### **Table of Contents**

INTRODUCTION	4
MATERIALS AND METHODS	8
STUDY SITE	
EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN	
HABITAT FEATURES	
DATA ANALYSIS	
RESULTS	
CALL CHARACTERISTICS	
LOCOMOTORY RESPONSES	
VOCAL RESPONSES	
MICROHABITAT CHARACTERISTICS	
DISCUSSION	
REFERENCES	
ABSTRACTS	
ENGLISH ABSTRACT	
DEUTSCHE ZUSAMMENFASSUNG	
CURRICULUM VITAE	

# Die vorliegende Diplomarbeit wird voraussichtlich im Juni 2011 unverändert unter dem folgenden Titel erscheinen:

STARNBERGER, I., P. KAMMINGA, V.C. FOSAH AND C. NUTTMAN. 2011. The "push-up" as a calling posture in *Nectophrynoides tornieri* (Anura: Bufonidae) in the Amani Nature Reserve, Tanzania. Herpetologica (in press).

#### INTRODUCTION

In amphibians, signals have evolved in response to the interaction of phenotypic constraints, essential properties of signals and varied ecological conditions among other factors (Hödl and Amézquita, 2001), underpinned by the need for distinct messages to be transmitted. Sensory channels, whether visual, auditory or chemical, allow communication of information from one individual that might alter the behavior of another. The signals produced are varied and can act across different spatial scales; examples include the familiar calls of frogs, water borne pheromones produced by larvae, and the dramatic coloration of some frogs as well as other visual displays. The information contained in these signals is equally diverse and may relate to warnings and alarms, deterring competition, and mate attraction.

One of the fundamental issues in sexual selection is the sexual dimorphism found in many species where males are more conspicuously ornate than females; males possessing elaborate traits gain improved mating success when competing for access to females that, in turn, are choosing such traits. Sexual selection theory predicts that individuals may gain more matings through increased attractiveness to individuals of the opposite sex and that certain phenotypic attributes may also be correlated with general physical condition or social hierarchy. Information that enables the assessment of an individual's traits is important for females in particular since, in the majority of species, they invest more time and energy in producing offspring than males. The high investment may make access to reproductive females a

limiting factor for males. In male-male interactions, signals can act as a substitute for physical confrontation (avoiding the associated risk of injury). Male signals usually offer information about the individual's condition that underpins the beneficial traits which might be passed on to the next generation and females recognize these signals and use them in mate choice (Zahavi, 1975). Heritable phenotypic traits may even lead to Fisherian runaway selection where the traits selected by females may no longer be associated with other adaptive male characters and are simply beneficial because females still prefer them (Fisher, 1930). Additionally, it has been shown that signal traits might evolve to match already preexisting preferences for certain signal characteristics based on the capacity of the females' sensory system (Ryan, 1998). Sexual selection plays an important role in signaling and communication and in a recent study female choice in an anuran was found to be based on more than one mode of communication (Rosenthal et al., 2004).

Amphibians are either explosive breeders or have a prolonged breeding period; these form two distinct mating systems at the opposite ends of a continuum (Wells, 1977). In explosive breeders, the reproductive activity of a population occurs during a very short time; females coming to a breeding site have little mate choice, since males start amplexus as soon as they encounter a female. In more prolonged breeders, such as *Nectophrynoides tornieri*, mating takes place over a period of several weeks or months. Only a few females arrive at the breeding site at once, which makes the active search for females (by males) presumably costly and males are selected by their calling activity (Davies and Halliday, 1977; Ryan, 1985; Schwartz, 1986; Gerhardt and Huber, 2002). An attractive male can gain several females in succession, whilst female choice may leave other males without any reproductive success. It is also common for males of prolonged breeding species to establish a small territory during the mating season, which they defend against intruding males; a female frog

5

can compare several males and assess their quality and their territories and choose the most attractive mate (Roithmair, 1994; Pröhl and Hödl, 1999).

The great majority of anurans depend on acoustic communication (Dorcas et al., 2010) although visual communication is not uncommon (see, for example, Haddad and Giaretta, 1999; Amézquita and Hödl, 2004; Rosenthal et al., 2004; Hirschmann and Hödl, 2006; and review by Hödl and Amézquita, 2001). Whilst bimodal communication has also been noted, through a combination of visual and acoustic signaling (Hödl and Amézquita, 2001; Narins et al., 2003; Grafe and Wanger, 2007), here, we report a locomotory reaction to an acoustic signal that may serve as an initial visual display towards conspecific males and is commonly followed by an acoustic response.

The anuran genus *Nectophrynoides* (Bufonidae) is restricted in distribution to the submontane and montane forests of the Eastern Arc Mountains and the Southern Highlands of Tanzania (Menegon et al., 2007). Tornier's forest toad, *Nectophrynoides tornieri* Roux, 1906, is a viviparous anuran that is endemic to the African Eastern Arc Mountains (Channing and Howell, 2006), being common in the East Usambara Mountains. Barbour and Loveridge (1928) report a clear sexual dimorphism in *N. tornieri* stating that the sides and ventral surface of the male are uniformly white or grey, whereas the female's underside is translucent.

In amphibians, parental care is associated with terrestrial breeding (e.g. urodeles (Salthe, 1969); anurans (Wells, 1981)) and may prevent dehydration or mould growth and/or deters predators (Wells, 1981). This suggests that, although female *N. tornieri* have a high parental investment, they could potentially breed over extended time periods in areas experiencing less favorable conditions than those required by the majority of aquatic breeders. In order to successfully reproduce, females have to find their mate during a breeding season of several months and are attracted to advertisement calls from males; males climb trees and bushes and

call from about 30 cm height during the breeding period (Channing and Howell, 2006). The vocalization is a characteristic 'pink' (Channing and Howell, 2006) given as a sequence of single-, double-, or occasionally three- and four-note calls (authors' personal observations). During observations made at the Amani Nature Reserve in the Usambara Mountains, Tanzania, prior to the current study, a considerable number of calling male *N. tornieri* were observed in a peculiar posture. Vocalizing males were observed with their bodies raised above the leaf surface on which they were sitting, by adopting a posture with their fore and hind legs completely extended. Sometimes males remained in the upright posture for some time after they stopped vocalizing. We henceforth describe this posture as the 'push-up' (Fig. 1c and see Figs. 1a–b for full postural behaviors).



FIG. 1.— Postural change in *Nectophrynoides tornieri* (drawn from photographs taken by J. van Leeuwen, P. Kamminga and I. Starnberger). a) Flat position with body and legs pressed to plant surface. b) Sit-up with fore legs stretched to raise anterior part of body. c) Push-up with both fore and hind legs fully extended and entire body raised from plant surface.

Following these initial observations, we tested whether the push-up was used by male *N*. *tornieri* as: a defensive posture to confuse predators by mimicking a larger body size; a visual signal to attract females, or a visual display to deter intruding males. We performed manipulative behavioral experiments using males or females introduced to calling male *N*. *tornieri*, as well as playbacks of male calls, to examine the focal male's response, and determine possible functions of the push-up. Due to the scarcity of information on habitat characteristics favored by *N. tornieri*, we also describe features associated with the microhabitat surrounding male calling positions.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Study Site

The data were collected in the forest of the Amani Nature Reserve (ANR) (5° 6' 3.95" S, 38° 37' 45.26" E; geographical coordinates of ANR research centre) in the East Usambara Mountains, Tanzania, in August 2009. The East Usambaras cover approximately 30,000 ha and comprise 30% sub-montane forest and 63% lowland forest, much fragmented through human activity. Altitude is the factor differentiating these forest types (Hamilton, 1989), with sub-montane forest generally occurring above 850 m; the study site was at ca. 900 m and the majority of male *N. tornieri* used in the study were found along main trails in the Mbomole Hill area.

#### Experimental Design

Exploratory field observations revealed that the male calling activity was at its maximum on humid nights, between 2000 h and 0130 h. Individual males were located along paths by their conspicuous push-up postures, or by their calls; such males were repeatedly observed within  $1 \text{ m}^2$  of first sighting and in most cases were relocated on the same plant or even on the exact leaf used as a calling perch on consecutive nights. Searches during the day did not successfully locate any males; individuals were not marked between initial and subsequent

sightings, thus territoriality, though probable, cannot be assumed. On finding a vocalizing male, manipulative behavioral experiments were carried out under natural conditions using the following procedure.

A tripod with a platform (23 cm x 35 cm) was placed 50 cm from the resident male in all treatments, set to the same height (and never more than 20 cm below the calling male where this was not possible due to steep slope or reaching the maximum extension of the tripod legs). Forty-nine male *N. tornieri* were exposed to one of the following treatments (the order was randomized for each block of four treatments): control (empty platform positioned close to the calling male) (n = 14); introduction of a female (n = 9); introduction of another male (n = 13); playback of a conspecific male call (n = 13). The introduced frogs remained on the platform unrestrained for the duration of the introductions.

A male (Snout-Vent Length (SVL) = 23.1 cm) and a female (SVL = 24.7 cm)) *N. tornieri* from the tested population were caught prior to the study, kept in an enclosure and these individuals were used for testing responses of all males in treatments 2 and 3. Both individuals were released at the site of capture after the completion of the experiments. Individuals of similar size were chosen to ensure that any reaction in the focal males was likely elicited through gender and not size differences (see Barbour and Loveridge, 1928). The male individual used for introductions was also recorded prior to capture at its natural calling perch using a combined digital audio player and recorder (Sony MZRH1B.EU8 Hi-MD with integrated speakers used throughout; response bandwidth 20–20,000 Hz) and its vocalizations (comprising two-note calls) used for all trials in treatment 4. Using the same equipment, calling males (n = 9) were recorded in July 2010 for further characterization of vocalizations. To the human listener there was no perceptible difference between the playback, the real call (sound pressure level was not recorded) and observations indicated no difference in a male's reaction to either. Sound pressure levels were not recorded but the

amplitude of the playback was controlled by maintaining a similar distance between sound source and focal individual, using a fixed volume setting, and by always utilizing fullycharged batteries for the audio player.

To achieve identical conditions at the start of each trial, the plant on which the tested male was resident, was slightly shaken to trigger a 'flat' posture (see Fig. 1a and Results and Discussion). The treatment was introduced immediately, and behavior was observed for 10 minutes. The response of the male *N. tornieri* was recorded for the following behavioral displays: flat position; sit-up position; push-up position (the measured variable was time in seconds in each position). The call pattern was also recorded and timed for the same period. In case of external interferences (e.g. another *N. tornieri* calling nearby or an acoustic interference from another animal), the time and reaction was noted.

After each experiment, the host plant was marked with numbered flagging tape in order to gather data about the microhabitat characteristics the next day during daylight hours. The temperature during all trials ranged from 16–19 C.

#### Habitat Features

To evaluate the characteristics of the microhabitat utilised for calling position by male *N*. *tornieri*, a 1 m<sup>2</sup> plot around the previously marked plant was examined. The following characteristics were recorded: host plant species; the height of the male above ground level; the leaf litter depth; the percentage canopy cover; the estimated percentage cover of vegetation at various heights within the plot (0–0.5 m, 0.5–2 m, 2–5 m), bare ground, rock, and extent of plot on trail; presence of conspecifics within the plot; aspect and slope of the plot.

#### Data Analysis

The data were analyzed with Minitab statistical software (Release 13.32). Where data were normally distributed, One-way ANOVAs were used to test dependent variables (time in seconds for each behavior within observation period) versus treatment (independent variable). Non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U) were used to test differences in the median time to first vocalization where data were not normally distributed. Results are reported  $\pm$  1 standard error of the mean. We analyzed the calls using Raven Pro 1.3 (build 32) software for Windows.

#### RESULTS

#### Call Characteristics

The call of *N. tornieri* is a distinctive, slightly metallic 'pink', in almost all instances commencing with a single note before progressing to a rapid, repetitive double note. In rare cases, outside our manipulative experiments, we heard males producing triple notes followed very occasionally by quadruple notes. The calls could be easily perceived from distances up to 25 m. Males of *N. tornieri* (n = 9) produced calls of mean duration  $0.19 \pm 0.02$  s consisting of 1-4 notes (mean note duration  $0.04 \pm 0.01$  s) separated by silent inter-note intervals (mean duration  $0.31 \pm 0.50$  s). There was no apparent gross temporal pattern in call production amongst individuals (mean inter-call interval  $1.43 \pm 0.06$  s). The peak energy of the notes was between  $3033.5-3205.8 \pm 22.2$  Hz with additional harmonic frequency bands at approximately 6100, 9400 and 12,400 Hz. A sonogram of a typical advertisement call of *N*.

*tornieri* in the studied population is shown in Fig. 2 and a short video sequence showing the calling behavior of the male will be made available on www.amphibiaweb.org.



FIG. 2.— Double-note advertisement call of *Nectophrynoides tornieri*: (A) spectrogram and (B) corresponding waveform.

#### Locomotory Responses

The initial reaction to disturbance in all individuals (n = 49) was to flatten themselves to the substrate; this flat position was maintained throughout the experimental period by 23 individuals. The remaining focal individuals (all of which stayed on their original calling perch) produced movements, usually in a set sequence; introduced males and females also remained on the platform, most often in a static position and rarely hopping. Introduced

males occasionally adopted a sit-up position; the push-up posture was only observed in the resident focal males.

During the control experiments (n = 14), the majority of the tested males remained in the flat posture; four individuals produced a sit-up and, of these, two progressed to a push-up. Of the four males in sit-up, one returned to the flat posture and of the two males in push-up, one returned to a flat posture.

Introducing a female (n = 9) did not affect time spent in either sit-up or push-up positions. Six resident males did not react when females were introduced and remained in the flat posture for the whole time period. Only two males displayed a sit-up and one of these continued to the push-up position with the other returning to a flat posture. The remaining male went from a flat posture to a push-up display without performing a sit-up display and was the sole individual in all treatment groups to produce this behaviour.



FIG. 3.— Change in behavior by male *Nectophrynoides tornieri* during 10-minute period following introduction of playback call by conspecific male.

When a male was introduced, sit-up display increased over time, thereby reducing time spent in the flat position. Nine of the 13 males (69%) in this treatment group exhibited a sit-up display but no subsequent push-up followed. One male returned from a sit-up position to the flat posture.

The playback treatment produced the greatest locomotory response; nine of 13 males performed sit-up and push-up displays with the majority of individuals progressing through the full behavioral repertoire (Fig. 3). During the experiment, four focal males returned from a push-up to a sit-up and two of these then adopted a flat posture.



FIG. 4.— Proportion of time (%) in full observation period spent in flat position by male *Nectophrvnoides tornieri* following introduction of experimental treatment.

Male *N. tornieri* spent significantly less time in the flat position when subjected to conspecific calls in comparison to other experimental treatments (One-way ANOVA;  $F_{3,45}$  = 3.63, df = 3, P = 0.02); post-hoc tests (Tukey's multiple pairwise comparison) found differences between playback and both female introduction and control treatments but not between playback and male introduction treatments (Fig. 4). Mean time to the production of

the first sit-up display was  $301.3 \pm 67.1$  s when a male was introduced and  $200.0 \pm 47.2$  s in the playback group. This difference was not statistically significant (Mann-Whitney test, using medians: W = 100.5, P = 0.4140) and no meaningful statistical comparisons could be made with the control and female introduction groups due to very low response levels. The mean time to first push-up was  $299.9 \pm 44.1$  s in the playback group, the only treatment where a mean time could be established. Hence, the locomotory response to playback calls was greater than in any of the remaining treatment groups.

#### Vocal Responses

Eighteen of 49 tested males produced vocalizations following adoption of the sit-up or, most often, the push-up. Of these, all but one exhibited the typical vocalization sequence of a short period of single notes, followed by a bout of two-note calls. One individual began with a two-note call and almost immediately followed with single-note calls. Three introduced males gave single-note calls in response to calls from the focal male. Just two males in each of the control (n = 14) and female introduction (n = 9) groups produced calls, whereas the introduction of a male (n = 13) lead to vocalizations in four tested males and playback calls initiated calling in ten males (n = 13). In the playback group the mean time to production of the first single-note call was  $383.7 \pm 49.9$  s; for the seven males that progressed to two-note calls the mean time to switch call type was just  $19.57 \pm 3.3$  s. Overall, tested males spent significantly more time calling within the experimental period in response to playback calls than when either males or females were introduced, or under control conditions (One-way ANOVA: F = 6.45, df = 3, P = 0.001); post-hoc tests (Tukey's multiple pairwise comparison) confirmed differences between playback and all other treatments (Fig. 5). Hence, vocalization in *N. tornieri* was strongly linked to conspecific calls.



FIG. 5.— Mean time (in seconds) within 10-minute period spent calling by male *Nectophrynoides tornieri* in 10-minute period following introduction of experimental treatment.

#### Microhabitat Characteristics

Male *Nectophrynoides tornieri* were found on 27 different host plants (Table 1), most often on *Clidemia hirta* (16 %) and *Marattia fraxinea* (10 %), and at a mean height of 79.83  $\pm$  4.69 cm from the ground (median = 70.0 cm, range 40–170 cm). An earthen trailside bank was present in nearly three quarters of the territories and none of the males were found in or near forest gaps along the trail (daytime observation). There were no other apparent common features found between calling position and microhabitat characteristics.

<u>Species</u>	Family	Frogs observed calling on each plant species
Clidemia hirta	Melastomataceae	16%
Marattia fraxinea	Marattiaceae	10%
<i>Blotiella</i> sp.	Dennstaedtiaceae	6%
Bolbitis gemmifera	Lomariopsidaceae	6%
Sp. 3	Rubiaceae	6%
Cephalosphaera usambarensis	Myristicaceae	4%
Cinnamomum camphora	Myristicaceae	4%
Cyathea manniana	Cyatheaceae	4%
Dracaena deremensis	Dracaenaceae	4%
Hypolytrum testui	Cyperaceae	4%
<i>Syzygium</i> sp.	Myrtaceae	4%
Aframomum sp.	Zingiberaceae	2%
Alchornea hirtella	Euphorbiaceae	2%
Annickia kummeriae	Annonaceae	2%
Asplenium nidus	Aspleniaceae	2%
Costus sarmentosus	Zingiberaceae	2%
<i>Cyclosorus</i> sp.	Thelypteridaceae	2%
Dracaena laxissima	Dracaenaceae	2%
Justicia anagalloides	Acanthaceae	2%
Memecylon cogniauxii	Melastomataceae	2%
Memecylon sp.	Melastomataceae	2%
Maesopsis eminii (dead twig)	Rhamnaceae	2%
Sorindeia madagascariensis	Anacardiaceae	2%
Whitfieldia elongata	Acanthaceae	2%
Sp. 1	Annonaceae	2%
Sp. 2	Euphorbiaceae	2%
Sp. 4	Rubiaceae	2%

#### DISCUSSION

Of three competing hypotheses to explain the function of the push-up posture in calling male *N. tornieri*, this study indicates that the behavior is most likely a visual display to deter intruding males with the possibility that improved transmission of the call also plays a role (either to attract females or further repel other males). Also, we report in detail for the first time the characteristics of vocalizations in this species.

Of the three initial alternatives for the utility of the push-up, a defensive posture seems least likely; the initial reaction by all males to disturbance by the experimenters was to adopt the flat position. This suggests that the frogs are reacting to possible danger by flattening themselves against the plant surface (Fig. 1a) to make themselves less visible rather than creating a larger image that would be the case with the push-up when raised from the substrate (Fig. 1c). Although jumping is the main escape and survival ploy for most adult frogs, other strategies have been noted. Deimatic behavior, such as display of warning markings and changes of body shape, has been reported in frogs (e.g. Martins, 1989; Lenzi-Mattos et al., 2005; Das et al., 2010); these responses entail threatening postures and reactions found in diverse taxa, including insects, reptiles and mammals, when dealing with potential predators. In *N. tornieri*, the opposite occurs as individuals 'reduce' their appearance when disturbed and the push-up position is most often elicited under other circumstances. Complete lowering of the body has been noted before (Hödl and Amézquita, 2001) and submissive behavior is the most likely explanation.

Throughout the study, all male frogs displayed the behavioral responses in a particular sequence and the push-up posture was strongly associated with call production (Fig. 3). Males exposed to the playback of another male's call spent less time in flat position (Fig. 4), but more in both sit-up and push-up postures. Moreover, these males were more likely to

18

initiate calling and spent more time calling than in other treatments (Fig. 5). The reaction to an introduced male was similar but less pronounced unless the introduced male started to vocalize; this leads us to assert that the acoustic cue is a very important stimulus for *N*. *tornieri*. Furthermore, our results show that male *N. tornieri* never call in the flat position and rarely call in sit-up position. This suggests that calling is linked with the push-up position and that both signals are produced in response to other males, possibly combining as an aggressive display. The stronger reaction in movement and calling response to playback than to female *N. tornieri* suggests that the behavior is directed towards conspecific males.

We did not unequivocally determine whether the behavior is aimed at attracting females or defending calling perches from rival males; the locomotory movements reported in this study represent a novel sequence of movements that may serve either purpose. Adoption of the push-up posture for calling could be beneficial for the male frog as both an aid to sound transmission and/or as a close range visual cue. Equivalent positions to the push-up have been previously recorded; 'lifting of the body' during territorial disputes in the diurnal frog Hylodes asper has been described by Haddad and Giaretta (1999) and 'body raising' is documented by Hödl and Amézquita (2001) although neither study relates the behavior to calling. Many anurans stretch their fore legs to adopt an upright posture (or sit-up) in various contexts but these have not been considered as a visual display (Hödl and Amézquita, 2001). Here, the full push-up position may act as a visual display as the ventral surface of the males always appeared brighter than the dorsal skin to the human eye (authors' personal observations; and see Barbour and Loveridge (1928)). The change of posture itself is likely to alter visual appearance and allow visiting females or males to more easily locate resident calling males, with the posture potentially exposing the lighter parts to further enhance the image (and see Sztatecsny et al., 2010). In this study, the visual display always precedes vocalization and may allow approaching conspecifics to rapidly distinguish whether the

19

resident is a potential mate or competitor. Grafe and Wanger (2007), Böckle et al. (2009) and Preininger et al. (2009) all reported calls which acted as an alert signal and are usually followed by a visual signal (e.g. foot-flagging); in *N. tornieri* the acoustic signal was always produced after the change of posture. Rosenthal et al. (2004) found that female *Physalaemus pustulosus* preferred males where the added stimulus of an inflated vocal sac was visible to those without; a combination of visual and vocal cues used by female *Hyla arborea* in choice of mate was found to vary between individuals in manipulative experiments by Richardson et al. (2010). Visual display in a nocturnal frog is uncommon though relatively understudied (but see Taylor et al., 2007; Vasquez and Pfennig, 2007; Gomez et al., 2009) and the phenomenon in diurnal frogs is most common in habitats where ambient noise levels are high e.g. by fast-running streams and waterfalls. As this is not the case for the terrestrial *N. tornieri* at Amani (and although the possibility of bimodal communication cannot be ruled out), the push-up posture is possibly associated with an aspect of sound transmission.

Amplitude and frequency of the call may be a cue used by females for mate selection (Ryan, 1980) and it is possible that vocalizing in the push-up posture might improve call quality and/or transmission for *N. tornieri* through inflation of the lungs or the vocal sac to their full extent. Pombal et al. (1994) described a 'high posture' in calling male *Brachycephalus ephippium* and linked this to the enlarged vocal sac that reached down to the chest. No morphometric measurements of the vocal sac were made for *N. tornieri* and further investigation of call volume, the modulation of calls, frequency, and their correlation to body size would be helpful to better understand the behavior of the resident males. Further manipulative experiments could also enlighten female choice criteria.

We found no obvious microhabitat features common to calling positions; however, in contrast to Channing and Howell (2006), who suggested a calling height of 30 cm, we found a mean calling position nearly three times higher than this. Greer and Wells (1980) presented

evidence that call heights > 60 cm lead to increased mating success for male *Centrolenella fleischmanni* but noted that aspects of the male display or attributes of the territory may also be important. Other studies have also highlighted the effects of position of calling frogs in relation to sound propagation, transmission and attenuation (e.g. Penna and Solis, 1998; Kime et al., 2000; Parris, 2002) and the acoustic properties of the calling micro-site can also be crucial (Wells and Schwartz, 1982). Whether these factors, in combination with the unusual calling posture of male *N. tornieri*, are important in enabling females to more easily locate potential mates remains to be studied.

Although visual signaling to attract females is a possibility, our findings support the hypothesis that the push-up posture of *N. tornieri* is a calling posture which serves as a close range visual threat to intruding males or may modulate some aspect of the call that deters such conspecifics. More research is necessary to assess the relative importance of the cues and establish whether bimodal signaling is taking place. Certainly, while the presence of another male mostly evokes sit-up displays, the male call seems to be necessary to evoke a display of the push-up position and reciprocal calling. Additionally, a lesser reaction to female presence indicates that the push-up is a component of a male-male agonistic interaction as opposed to a mate attraction display.

Acknowledgments.— We thank the British Ecological Society and the BAT Biodiversity Partnership for supporting us financially and enabling us to attend the Tropical Biology Association course (09/2) where this research was carried out. We thank G. Lövei, W. Hödl, J. Zierman and two anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper; D. Patrick and A. Kuria for their input into the project during the course; J. Hall for identifying the plants, and J. van Leeuwen for sharing his photographs. We

21

are grateful to S. Chege for assisting us with equipment used in this project and special thanks to the staff of Amani Nature Reserve for making us feel at home.

#### REFERENCES

- AMÉZQUITA, A., AND W. HÖDL. 2004. How, when and where to perform visual displays? The case of the Amazonian frog *Hyla parviceps*. Herpetologica 60:20–29.
- BARBOUR, T., AND A. LOVERIDGE. 1928. A comparative study of the herpetological faunae of the Uluguru and Usambara Mountains, Tanganyika Territory with descriptions of new species. Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoology L(2):85–265.
- BÖCKLE, M., D. PREININGER, AND W. HÖDL. 2009. Communication in noisy environment I. Acoustic signals of *Staurois latopalmatus* Boulenger 1879. Herpetologica 65:154–165.
- CHANNING, A., AND K.M. HOWELL. 2006. Amphibians of East Africa. Cornell University Press, New York, U.S.A.
- DAS, I., S. SENGUPTA, AND A. DAS. 2010. Short note: *Hylarana leptoglossa* (Long-tongued Frog). Defensive behavior. Herpetological Review 41:196–197.
- DAVIES, N.B., AND T. R. HALLIDAY. 1977. Optimal mate selection in the toad, *Bufo bufo*. Nature 269:56–58.
- DORCAS, M.E., S.J. PRICE, S.C. WALLS, AND W.J. BARICHIVICH. 2010. Auditory monitoring of anuran populations. Pp. 281–298. *In* K.C. Dodd (Ed.), Amphibian Ecology and Conservation: a Handbook of Techniques. Oxford University Press, Oxford, U.K.
- FISHER, R.A. 1930. The genetical theory of natural selection. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- GERHARDT, H.C., AND F. HUBER. 2002. Acoustic communication in insects and anurans. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, U.S.A.
- GOMEZ, D., C. RICHARDSON, T. LENGAGNE, S. PLENET, P. JOLY, J-P. LENA, AND M.THERY.
  2009. The role of nocturnal vision in mate choice: females prefer conspicuous males in the European tree frog (*Hyla arborea*). Proceedings of the Royal Society London, Series B 276:2351–2358.
- GRAFE, T.U., AND T.C. WANGER. 2007. Multimodal signaling in male and female footflagging frogs *Staurois guttatus* (Ranidae): An alerting function of calling. Ethology 113:772–781.
- GREER, B.J., AND K.D. WELLS. 1980. Territorial and reproductive behavior of the tropical American frog *Centrolenella fleischmanni*. Herpetologica 36:318–326.
- HADDAD, C. F. B., AND A. GIARETTA. 1999. Visual and acoustic communication in the
  Brazilian torrent frog, *Hylodes asper* (Anura: Leptodactylidae). Herpetologica 55:324–333.
- HAMILTON, A.C. 1989. African forests. Pp. 155–182. *In* H. Leith and M.J.A. Werger (Eds.),Tropical Rain Forest Ecosystem: Ecosystems of the World, Vol. 14B. Elsevier, NewYork, U.S.A.
- HIRSCHMANN, W., AND W. HÖDL. 2006. Visual signaling in *Phrynobatrachus krefftii* Boulenger, 1909 (Anura: Ranidae). Herpetologica 62:18–27.
- HÖDL, W., AND A. AMÉZQUITA. 2001. Visual signaling in anuran amphibians. Pp. 121–141.*In* M.J. Ryan (Ed.), Anuran Communication. Smithsonian Institute Press, Washington, U.S.A.
- KIME, N.M., W.R. TURNER, AND M.J. RYAN. 2000. The transmission of advertisement calls in Central American frogs. Behavioral Ecology 11:71–83.

- LENZI-MATTOS, R., M.M. ANTONIAZZI, C.F.B. HADDAD, D.V. TAMBOURGI, M.T. RODRIGUES, AND C. JARED. 2005. The inguinal macroglands of the frog *Physalaemus nattereri* (Leptodactylidae): structure, toxic secretion and relationship with deimatic behavior. Journal of Zoology 266:385–394.
- MARTINS, M. 1989. Deimatic behavior in *Pleurodema brachyops*. Journal of Herpetology 23:305–307.
- MENEGON, M., S. SALVIDIO, W. NGALASON, AND S.P. LOADER. 2007. A new dwarf forest toad (Amphibia: Bufonidae: *Nectophrynoides*) from the Ukaguru Mountains, Tanzania. Zootaxa 1541:31–40.
- NARINS, P.M., W. HÖDL, AND D.S. GRABUL. 2003. Bimodal signal requisite for agonistic behavior in a dart-poison frog, *Epipedobates femoralis*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 100:577–580.
- PARRIS, K.M. 2002. More bang for your buck: the effect of caller position, habitat and chorus noise on the efficiency of calling in the spring peeper. Ecological Modelling 156:213– 224.
- PASSMORE, N.I., AND V.C. CARRUTHERS. 1995. South African Frogs A complete guide. Witwatersrand University Press, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- PENNA, M., AND R. SOLIS. 1998. Frog call intensities and sound propagation in the South American temperate forest region. Behavioral Ecology & Sociobiology 42:371–381.
- POMBAL, J.P., I. SAZIMA, AND C.F.B. HADDAD. 1994. Breeding behavior of the Pumpkin Toadlet, *Brachycephalus ephippium* (Brachycephalidae). Journal of Herpetology 28:516– 519.
- PREININGER, D., M. BÖCKLE, AND W. HÖDL. 2009. Communication in noisy environment II. Visual signaling behavior of male foot-flagging frogs *Staurois latopalmatus*. Herpetologica 65:166–173.

- PRÖHL, H. AND W. HÖDL. 1999. Parental investment, potential reproductive rates and mating system in the strawberry dart-poison frog, *Dendrobates pumilio*. Behavioral Ecology & Sociobiology 46:215–220.
- RICHARDSON, C., D. GOMEZ, R. DURIEUX, M. THERY, P. JOLY, J-P. LENA, S. PLENET, AND T. LENGANGE. 2010. Hearing is not necessarily believing in nocturnal anurans. Biology Letters 6:633–635.
- ROITHMAIR, M.E. 1994. Male territoriality and female mate selection in the dart-poison frog *Epipedobates trivittatus* (Dendrobatidae, Anura). Copeia 1994:107–115.
- ROSENTHAL, G.G., A.S. RAND, AND M.J. RYAN. 2004. The vocal sac as a visual cue in anuran communication: an experimental analysis using video playback. Animal Behavior 68:55–58.
- RYAN, M.J. 1985. The túngara frog. Chicago University Press, Chicago, U.S.A.
- RYAN, M.J. 1980. Female mate choice in a Neotropical frog. Science 209:523–525.
- RYAN, M.J. 1998. Sexual selection, receiver biases, and the evolution of sex differences. Science 281:1999–2003.
- SALTHE, S.N. 1969. Reproductive modes and the number and size of ova in the urodeles. American Midland Naturalist 81:467–490.
- SCHWARTZ J.J. 1986. Male calling behavior and female choice in the neotropical treefrog *Hyla microcephala*. Ethology 73:116–127.
- SZTATECSNY M., C. STRONDL, A. BAIERL, C. RIES, AND W. HÖDL. 2010. Chin up are the bright throats of male common frogs a condition-independent visual cue? Animal Behaviour 79:779–786.
- TAYLOR, R.C., B.W. BUCHANAN, AND J.L. DOHERTY. 2007. Sexual selection in the squirrel treefrog *Hyla squirella*: the role of multimodal cue assessment in female choice. Animal Behaviour 74:1753–1763.

VASQUEZ, T., AND K.S. PFENNIG. 2007. Looking on the bright side: females prefer coloration indicative of male size and condition in the sexually dichromatic spadefoot toad, *Scaphiopus couchii*. Behavioral Ecology & Sociobiology 62:127–135.

WELLS, K.D. 1977 Social behavior of anuran amphibians. Animal Behaviour 25:666-693.

- WELLS, K.D. 1981. Parental behavior of male and female frogs. Pp. 184–197. *In* R.D.Alexander and D.W. Tinkle (Eds.), Natural selection and social behavior: recent research and new theory. Chiron Press, New York, U.S.A.
- WELLS, K.D., AND J.J. SCHWARTZ. 1982. The effect of vegetation on the propagation of calls in the Neotropical frog *Centrolenella fleischmanni*. Herpetologica 38:449–455.
- ZAHAVI, A. 1975. Mate selection A selection for a handicap. Journal of Theoretical Biology 53:205–214.

#### ABSTRACTS

#### English Abstract

Males of Tornier's forest toad, *Nectophrynoides tornieri*, were observed to perform a peculiar display posture, the 'push-up': the males raised themselves from a substrate (always a plant structure) by first stretching their forelegs into a 'sit-up' and then their hind legs to assume the position. We examined possible functions for the push-up position in manipulative behavioral experiments. In a majority of the tested males, the introduction of a conspecific male only evoked the less conspicuous sit-up display, whereas a playback of male vocalization more often triggered the full push-up position, usually followed by a vocal response. We found no association between the sit-up and the push-up display and the presence of a female *N. tornieri* near a male's calling perch. Our findings support the hypothesis that the push-up posture is a display in response to other calling males; whilst being the usual calling posture, it might also be important in visual communication. We describe in detail the characteristics of the call for the first time; vocalizing males were found at more elevated positions than previously reported and there were no common distinctive microhabitat features between calling sites.

#### Deutsche Zusammenfassung

Bei männlichen Individuen der in den Usambara - Bergen endemischen Kröte Nectophrynoides tornieri wurde eine eigentümliche Körperhaltung beim Rufen beobachtet: Die Tiere erhoben sich vom Untergrund (in allen beobachteten Fällen eine Pflanze) indem sie zuerst die Vorderbeine ausstreckten wodurch sie eine sitzende Haltung (engl.: sit-up) einnahmen. Nach einiger Zeit wurden überlicherweise auch die Hinterbeine völlig ausgestreckt, was zu der hier beschriebenen "Liegestütz-Haltung" (engl.: push-up) führte. Mögliche Funktionen dieser bislang unbeschriebenen Körperhaltung wurden mit Hilfe von Verhaltensexperimenten im Freiland untersucht. Hierbei wurden den untersuchten Männchen auf einem Podest entweder ein arteigenes Männchen, ein Weibchen oder ein Tonbandgerät mit dem Anzeigeruf präsentiert und die Reaktion auf den jeweiligen Stimulus für 10 Minuten beobachtet und notiert. Eine Kontrollgruppe wurde unter den selben Bedingungen mit einem leeren Podest konfrontiert. Bei dem Großteil der getesteten Individuen führte das Präsentieren eines arteigenen Männchens nur zum Einnehmen der sitzenden Haltung. Auf das Vorspielen eines arteigenen Anzeigerufes reagierten hingegen mehrere Männchen mit der "Liegestütz-Haltung", die in den meisten Fällen von Anzeigerufen begleitet wurde. Die Anwesenheit eines Weibchens nahe der Rufwarte eines Männchens schien keine Auswirkung auf dessen Körperhaltung zu haben. Die Ergebnisse dieser Studie legen nahe, dass die "Liegestütz-Haltung" als Reaktion auf rufende Männchen im näheren Umfeld eingenommen wird. Obwohl sie die übliche Körperhaltung beim Rufen zu sein scheint, wäre eine Funktion als visuelles Signal an andere Männchen denkbar. Zusätzlich wird der Anzeigeruf und seine Parameter zum ersten Mal beschrieben. Rufende Männchen wurden an höheren Rufwarten

gefunden als bisher angenommen. Die untersuchten Rufwarten wiesen keine signifikant ähnlichen Mikrohabitateigenschaften auf.

#### **CURRICULUM VITAE**

#### Persönliche Daten

Vorname: Iris Zuname: Starnberger Geburtsdatum: 11.3.1987 Adresse: Eduard-Walderdorff-Str.1, 3580 Horn Email: starnberger@gmx.at

#### Schulausbildung

09/1993 - 06/1997:	Volksschule St. Bernhard - Frauenhofen
09/1997 - 06/2005:	Bundesgymnasium Horn, Gymnasium mit zweiter lebender Fremdsprache: Matura mit Auszeichnung am 20.6.2005

#### Studienverlauf

- 10/2005 10/2007: Diplomstudium Biologie
- 10/2007 02/2011 Diplomstudium Zoologie (Stzw)

#### Studienschwerpunkte:

- Evolution und Systematik
- Verhaltensökologie
- Kommunikation

#### Besondere Auszeichnungen

01/2010:	Leistungsstipendium der Universität Wien
07/2010:	KWA-Stipendium (kurzfristiges wissenschaftliches Arbeiten im Ausland) der Universität Wien
01/2011:	Leistungsstipendium der Universität Wien
_	

#### Studienbezogene Auslandsaufenthalte

08/2007 - 09/2007	Hellenic Ornithological Society (www.ornithologiki.gr)
	Freiwillige Mitarbeit bei einem Chamäleon-Monitoring-
	Projekt in Gialova/Griechenland

02/2009	<b>Universität Wien</b> Projektpraktikum "Ethoökologie und Diversität neotropischer Amphibien" in Französisch Guyana
07/2009 - 08/2009	<b>Tropical Biology Association</b> (www.tropical-biology.org) Teilnahme an einem internationalen Kurs über Tropenbiologie und Naturschutz in Amani/Tanzania
08/2010-09/2010	<b>Tropical Biology Association</b> Feldforschung im Kibale Forest National Park/Uganda Mithilfe bei der Betreuung der KursteilnehmerInnen
Arbeitserfahrung	
2003 – 2005	<b>Stift Altenburg, NÖ</b> Fremdenführerin (jeweils April – Oktober)
2003 – 2006	<b>Mehrere Reithöfe in Österreich</b> (z.B. Islandhof Marchegg www.islandpferde.at) Leitung von Kinderferienlagern während der Sommerferien
08/2004	<b>Kodak</b> Verkäuferin im "OAKA-Stadion" während der Olympischen Spiele in Athen
05/2006 – 02/2009	Konrad Lorenz Institut für Vergleichende Verhaltensforschung (www.oeaw.ac.at/klivv) Wissenschaftliche Angestellte
05/2007 – 02/2011	Österreichische HochschülerInnenschaft Stellvertretende Vorsitzende der Studienrichtungsvertretung Biologie
02/2009 – 02/2011	<b>Universität Wien</b> Studienassistentin am Department für Evolutionsbiologie
seit 09/2009	<b>Auf Zack – Lernhilfe-Institut</b> (www.auf-zack.at) Nachhilfelehrerin für Französisch, Englisch, Chemie, Physik und Biologie
seit 10/2009	<b>Naturschutzbund NÖ</b> (www.noe.naturschutzbund.at) Freiwillige Mitarbeit (Büroarbeit, Pflegeeinsätze, Datenbankbetreuung)
seit 03/2010	Österreichische Gesellschaft für Herpetologie Freiwillige Mitarbeit (Redaktion der Mitgliederzeitschrift "ÖGH aktuell")