Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy (1986) 17, 517-527

Pharmacokinetics, *in-vitro* activity, therapeutic efficacy and clinical safety of aztreonam vs. cefotaxime in the treatment of complicated urinary tract infections

K. G. Naber*, G. A. Dette[†], F. Kees[‡], H. Knothe[†] and H. Grobecker[‡]

*Urologic Clinic, Elisabeth Krankenhaus Straubing; †Hygiene Institut, University of Frankfurt, Frankfurt; ‡Department of Pharmacology, University of Regensberg, Regensburg, Federal Republic of Germany

The minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of aztreonam and cefotaxime were determined against 400 isolates from urological in-patients with complicated and/or hospital acquired urinary tract infections (UTI). Against the Gram-negative rods the activities of both antibiotics were comparable except for higher activity of aztreonam against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The pharmacokinetic study in nine elderly patients showed a prolonged plasma half life of aztreonam (2.7 h) as compared to younger volunteers (1.6–1.9 h).

In a prospective randomized study 39 urological patients with complicated and/or hospital acquired UTI were treated with 1 g aztreonam or cefotaxime iv twice daily for 4 to 15 days. Cure was obtained in 5 out of 18 patients in the aztreonam and 7 out of 20 patients in the cefotaxime group. There were 3 superinfections, 7 relapses and 3 reinfections in the aztreonam group and 1 failure, 1 superinfection, 6 relapses and 5 reinfections in the cefotaxime group. There was no significant difference in therapeutic efficacy between the two antibiotics. Both antibiotics were tolerated well and seem to be equally effective in the treatment of complicated UTI caused by sensitive organisms.

Introduction

Aztreonam is a new monocyclic β -lactam antibiotic (Sykes *et al.*, 1981) with high activity against aerobic Gram-negative bacteria. Previous studies have shown that aztreonam is eliminated predominantly through the kidneys and that its serum half-life is about 1.6–1.9 h (Swabb *et al.*, 1982, 1983 *a, b, c,* Wise *et al.*, 1982). The present paper describes the *in-vitro* activity of aztreonam compared with that of cefotaxime against bacterial isolates from urological patients with complicated and/or hospital acquired urinary tract infections (UTI), the pharmacokinetics of aztreonam and the therapeutic efficacy of aztreonam compared with that of cefotaxime in the treatment of complicated and/or hospital acquired UTI.

Materials and methods

Antibiotics and chemicals

The antibiotics were supplied as follows: aztreonam by Squibb-Heyden, Munich, Germany, and cefotaxime by Hoechst AG, Frankfurt, Germany. Antibiotic medium 1

517

0305-7453/86/040517+11 \$02.00/0

©1986 The British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

K. G. Naber et al.

and Mueller-Hinton broth were obtained from Difco Laboratories, Detroit, Michigan, USA and Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. Nutrient broth and blood agar base no. 2 were from Oxoid Deutschland GmbH, Wesel, Germany.

Inulin was obtained from Laevosan, Linz, Austria; Conray 70^{R} (a mixture of iothalamic acid, sodium salt and megluminate) and pure iothalamic acid were from Byk-Gulden, Konstanz, Germany.

In-vitro study: clinical isolates

In-vitro minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of aztreonam and cefotaxime were determined against 400 strains isolated from the urine of urological in-patients with complicated and/or hospital acquired UTI (bacterial counts $\geq 10^5$ cfu/ml). Only patients with monoinfections were included in the study.

Subjects

Group I. Nine elderly patients (8 male, 1 female) with a mean age of 72.6 years (range 64-82 years), a mean body weight of 65.3 kg (range 53.1-79.8 kg) and a mean height of 1.63 m (range 1.54-1.69 m) were recruited for the pharmacokinetic study. The subjects had serum creatinine levels < 15 g/l. Written informed consent was obtained.

Group II. The subjects of the clinical study were 39 urological patients (27 male, 12 female) between the ages of 18 and 83 years. They had complicated and or/hospital acquired UTI and significant bacteriuria with urinary counts of $\geq 10^5$ cfu/ml. All patients gave informed written consent.

Pharmacokinetic study

Aztreonam, 1 g, was administered intravenously over 3 min in 100 ml of sterile physiological saline containing 5.0 g inulin and 20 ml Conray 70^{R} , corresponding to 13.2 g iothalamic acid. Blood samples were taken immediately before and 5, 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90 min and 2, 4, 6 and 8 h after the dose. Urine samples were collected before and at the following intervals: 0 to 2; 2 to 4; 4 to 6; 6 to 8 and 8 to 12 h after the administration of aztreonam. The urine volumes were measured and aliquots were taken for assay.

Clinical study

The subjects of the clinical study group were randomized and treated intravenously either with aztreonam (AZ) or with cefotaxime (CTX), 1 g bd, over 4–15 days. The patients had not received antimicrobial therapy within 4 weeks before the study. Bacterial urine cultures were performed prior to the therapy, during therapy, one or two days after treatment (post-treatment), and one to four weeks (one patient six weeks) later (follow-up). In addition urinalysis, haematology (erythrocyte, leucocyte and platelet counts) and chemistry profiles (creatinine, BUN, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, SGOT, SGPT) were followed in each patient pre- and post-treatment. The patients were closely watched for local and systemic adverse reactions to the antibiotics. Bacteriological cure implied eradication of the primary offending organism and the absence of superinfection or reinfections with different species during the following therapy. The pathogens, isolated by standard microbiological techniques, were checked for purity on blood agar plates and their identities were confirmed by Gram's stain, colonial morphology and by use of the API-20 systems (API bioMerieux GmbH, Nürtingen, Germany).

518

Aztreonam vs. cefotaxime

Determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC)

For the *in-vitro* study the activities of aztreonam and cefotaxime were determined by the agar dilution technique using doubling dilutions of the antibiotics from 128 to 0.015 mg/l in Mueller-Hinton agar (Merck) as the test medium. Inoculum size was 10^4 cfu using a multipoint inoculator (Dynatech). For the clinical study the activities of aztreonam and cefotaxime were assayed by the broth dilution method in Mueller-Hinton broth (Difco) as the test medium. The isolated clinical strains were grown overnight in nutrient broth (Oxoid) to give a viable count of about 10^9 cfu/ml. The final inoculum size was 5×10^5 cfu/ml. After 18 h of incubation at 37° C the minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were read by eye. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the antibiotic that prevented visible growth of the micro-organism.

Assay of aztreonam

Aztreonam concentrations in serum and urine samples were determined microbiologically by the agar plate diffusion technique. The agar medium was antibiotic medium 1 and the test organism was *Escherichia coli* 6311/65 (Hoescht AG). Serum urine standards ranging from 0.5 to 10.0 mg/l were prepared in human serum and in phosphate buffered saline, respectively. Samples and standards were assayed in triplicate. After incubation overnight at 37°C the inhibition zones were measured with an accuracy of 0.1 mm.

Assay of inulin

Inulin in serum and urine was estimated chemically according to Heyrovsky's method (Heyrovsky, 1956). The chemical estimation of inulin is based on the hydrolysis of inulin to fructose, which is determined photometrically by the colour formed upon reaction with indole-3-acetic acid in hydrochloric acid. The interference by glucose was found to be negligible.

Assay of iothalamic acid

Iothalamic acid was determined by HPLC according to a published procedure (Kees, Grobecker & Naber, 1984) with minor modifications. In brief, serum (400 μ l) was deproteinized with acetonitrile (400 μ l). The latter was removed by extraction into dichloro-methane (2 ml) and an aliquot of the aqueous phase containing the iothalamic acid was injected into the chromatographic system. Urine was centrifuged and injected directly after dilution (1:20) with water. The liquid chromatograph consisted of a pump M 6000 A, an autoinjector WISP 710 B, a fixed wavelength detector M 440, operating at 254 nm, a data module M 730 and a system controller M 720, all from Waters, Ass., D-6236 Eschborn, Germany. For separation a HIBAR^R column (ID 125 × 4 mm) was used prefilled with LiChrosorb^R RP 18 silica (particle size 5 μ m) from Merck, D-6200 Darmstadt, Germany. The mobile phase was a mixture of 880 ml water, 120 ml acetonitrile, 1.40 g sodium acetate trihydrate, and 450 mg tetrabutylammonium hydrogensulphate. The pH was adjusted to 4.8 with acetic acid. The flow rate was maintained at 1.0 ml/min resulting in a back pressure of 110 bar and a retention time of 4.0 min for iothalamic acid.

Pharmacokinetic analysis

The pharmacokinetic parameters were obtained from serum concentrations by use of an open two compartment model and the following equation for fitting the experimental data: concentration = $A.e^{-\alpha t} + B.e^{-\beta t}$.

Species		≰0-016	0.03	0-06	Mi) 0-125	Minimal inhibitory concentration (mg/l) 5 0-25 0-5 1 2 4	ibitory co 0.5	oncentra 1	ation (r 2	ng/l) 4	00	16	32	2	≥ 128
E. coli (137)*	AZ	6	49	56	12	4	1		ŝ	7		1			
	Ě	∞ g	8	49	13		ŝ	6	2						
Pr. mirabilis (53)	Z	53	đ	ŗ	-										
Klehciella enn (78)	VIX ▲7	717	87	2 A	- c	"	ć				-				
month app. (20)	įĘ	r	15	- 9	10	n 14	1	-		T	-			-	
Pseudomonas spp. (20)	¥.	ı	1	,	I	Ì		,	7	· 10 ·	9.		- 9		•
Enterobacter spp. (10)	¥۲	2	2	2		-			-	- 14	-	-	<u>.</u>	J.	-
	Х С	7		Γ	7	-	-				l	-	-		
Ser. marcescens (5)	Z E			1	1	-		-	1	ъ		-	ſ	•	
Acinetobacter spp. (6)	SZ					4		4	1			- 4			
	CTX	1								ы		ы	-		
Citrobacter spp. (4)	Z		4-	4 (-										
Prov. stuartii (1)	۶۲	1	-	7	-										
	CIX	1													
M. morganii (1)	₹Ę	1		-											
Enterococci (63)	ŠZ			-											63
Staph. epidermidis (49)	AZ C						:	I		1					86
	Ë					2	13	-	÷	7	6	4			4 /
Staph. aureus (10)	¥È						ć	"	Ŷ	"	~				9
Streptococci (6)	AZ						1	ı	b	'n	4		I	-	4
	CTX	7	-	-		-	-								
Total (400)	ZĚ	69 %	2 <u>5</u>	65 67	10	00 F	30 3	14	8 y	9 9	۲ <u>۳</u>	90	1	3	133 69

Table I. Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of aztreonam (AZ) and cefotaxime (CTX) against 400 bacterial isolates from the urine of patients

*Number of isolates.




Figure 1. Serum concentrations of aztreonam in nine elderly patients after intravenous administration of 1 g aztreonam (mean \pm s.D.).

Results

In-vitro study

The MIC values of aztreonam and cefotaxime for the 400 isolates cultured from the urine are shown in Table I. The MICs of aztreonam against all but nine of the 265 Gram-negative isolates were 8 mg/l or lower. The MICs against all but two of the 134 Gram-positive isolates were 128 mg/l or higher. Cefotaxime inhibited 90.2% of the Gram-negative and 47.0% of the Gram-positive isolates at 8 mg/l or lower.

Pharmacokinetic study

The serum concentrations after injection of 1 g of aztreonam are shown in Figure 1. The mean \pm s.D. (range) serum half-life amounted to 2.7 ± 0.8 h (1.8-4.3 h). The mean \pm s.D. (range) urinary concentrations of aztreonam were: 0-2 h 1598 ± 554 mg/l (906-2716 mg/l), 2-4 h 1195 ± 598 (647 ± 2293), 4-6 h 964 ± 564 (272-1799), 6-8 h 443 ± 198 (109)-795), and 8-12 h 244 ± 140 mg/l (7.9-449 mg/l).

In Table II the calculated pharmacokinetic parameters of aztreonam, inulin and iothalamic acid are listed. The mean serum half-life of aztreonam (2.7 h) and iothalamic acid (2.7 h), as well as the volume of distribution (each 27.5% of body weight) were slightly higher than the corresponding parameters of inulin which is a marker for the extracellular space. On the other hand, total clearances were of the same order (around 90 ml/min). The mean urinary recovery (Figure 2) within 12 h was 70% for aztreonam and nearly 90% for the other two compounds. The high standard deviation of the urinary recovery of aztreonam is striking.

The mean \pm s.D. (range) urinary recovery of aztreonam with respect to inulin was $82 \pm 36\%$ (33-143%) whereas that of iothalamic acid was $103 \pm 5\%$ (96-111%).

There was no statistically significant linear correlation between the serum clearances of aztreonam and inulin, but there was between iothalamic acid and those of inulin (r=0.91; P<0.05).

		Aztreonam $(n=9)$	Inulin $(n=9)$	din $(n=7)$	Iothalamic acid $(n=7)$
Plasma half-life: Volume of distribution	$T^{a}_{La}(\mathbf{h})$ $Y^{a}_{dat}(\mathbf{j})$ $V^{a}_{dat}(\mathbf{j})$	2.67±0.79 17.5±4.6 27.5±8.0	2·18±0·36 13·8±2·1 21·3±3·8	2·18±0·39 14·4±1·4 21.6±3.8	2.68±0.63 18.4±2.16 27.5±4.08
Area under the curve Plasma clearance	$\begin{array}{c} AUC_{\mu\nu}(MEE) \\ AUC_{\mu\nu}(m[min]) \\ Cl_{\mu\nu}(m[min]) \\ Cl_{\nu\nu}(m[min]) \\ Cl_{\nu\nu$	203±67 208±67 86·9±24·0	21.51.53 967土273 91・5土22・7 08・6土273	904±273 904±273 95:4±20:1	2464±571 2464±571 93·6±22·4
Renal excretion	$U_{0-12h}(\% \text{ of dose})$	72.0±45.4	84·5±17·5	86.4±19.3	89-0±12000

*One patient did not receive iothalamic acid; another patient was injected with a substance which interfered with the assay of iothalamic acid.

Aztreonam vs. cefotaxime




Figure 2. Urinary concentrations (mean \pm s.D.) and cumulative urinary excretion (% of dose injected) in nine elderly patients after intravenous administration of 1 g aztreonam.

Clinical study

In the patients with UTIs the following causative organisms (AZ/CTX) were found: *E. coli* (8/9), *Proteus mirabilis* (3/5), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (1/3), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (5/0), *Pr. vulgaris* (1/1), *Providencia stuartii* (0/1), *Enterobacter* sp. (1/1), *Citrobacter freundii* (0/2). There were three mixed infections (1/2) with *E. coli* and enterococci or two different *Proteus* spp. respectively.

The causative organisms were eradicated in all patients in the aztreonam group and in 19 of 20 patients in the cefotaxime group (Table III).

One to two days after treatment significant bacteriuria was still found in three out of 19 patients in the aztreonam and in two out of 20 in the cefotaxime group. Definite cure (no significant bacteriuria in post treatment or follow up among specimens) was obtained in five out of 18 patients in the aztreonam and in seven out of 20 patients in the cefotaxime group. There were three superinfections (different species present one to two days after therapy), seven relapses (same species present again at follow up), three reinfections (different species found at follow up) in the aztreonam group (one patient was not assessable); and one failure (same species one to two days after therapy), one superinfection, six relapses and five reinfections in the cefotaxime group. There was no significant difference in therapeutic efficacy between the two antibiotics.

In a total of nine patients (aztreonam 5, cefotaxime 4) all with pyuria, enterococci were cultured from the urine on at least two occasions after the antibacterial treatment. Seven of these patients were treated because of urinary tract infections which occurred postoperatively. One patient had stones in the bladder and one patient a renal tumour.

523

	Pre-treatment			Post-treatment			Follow up	•	
Age Days (Yrs) of R _X	Pathogen	MIC (mg/l)	Day Rx Rx	Day after Pathogen ¹ Rx	MIC (mg/l)	Day after Rx	Pathogen	MIC Result (mg/l)	Result
7	E. coli	0-06	-	1	l	œ	cocci	> 128 I	> 128 Reinfection
Ś	E. coli	0-015	-	ł	ł			90.0	Relapse
9	E. coli	0.25	-	I	ł	Ś	soli	<0.015 I	Relapse
4	E. coli	0.125		Enterococci >	>128 I	>128 Inapplicable			Superinfection
S	E. coli	n.d.	-	1	ł	1			Cure
	Enterococci	> 128							
9	E. coli	0-06	6	Ι	ļ	n.d.			Not evaluable
7	E. coli	0.125	-	Ι		6	E. coli	0·125	Relapse
2	E. coli			1	1	7	1		Cure
S	K. pneumoniae	n.d.	-	1	1		K. pneumoniae	0·125 I	Relapse
ŝ	K. pneumoniae	0-06		1	1	œ	K. pneumoniae		Relapse
ŝ	K. pneumoniae	0.125	-	Enterococci >	>128 I	Inapplicable	tble		Superinfection
7	K. pneumoniae	n.d.	-	I	ļ	12	1		Cure
7	K. pneumoniae	0-06	–	1	ł		10 ⁶ not identif.		Reinfection
2	Pr. mirabilis	п.d.	-	1	ļ		Enterococci	> 128 I	Reinfection
7	Pr. mirabilis	0-06	-	1	ł		Pr. mirabilis	≤0-015 I	Relapse
15	Pr. mirabilis	< 0.015	3	1	ļ	10	1		Cure
œ	Pr. vulgaris	< 0.015	-	Enterococci		napplica	ible		Superinfection
ŝ	Ps. aeruginosa	4	-]		œ	Ps. aeruginosa	~	Relapse
Ś	Ent. cloacae	n.d.	1	Candida	١	7	,		Cure
81 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	ر 8 م م 8 م م	-	7 Pr. mirabilis 7 Pr. mirabilis 15 Pr. mirabilis 8 Pr. vulgaris 5 Ps. aeruginosa 5 Ent. cloacae	7 $Pr.$ mirabilis $n.d.$ 1 7 $Pr.$ mirabilis 0.06 1 15 $Pr.$ mirabilis 0.015 2 8 $Pr.$ vulgaris <0.015 1 5 $Ps.$ aeruginosa 4 1 5 $Ent.$ cloacae $n.d.$ 1	7Pr. mirabilisn.d.1 $$ 7Pr. mirabilis 0.06 1 $$ 15Pr. mirabilis <0.015 2 $$ 8Pr. vulgaris <0.015 1Enterococci5Ps. aeruginosa41 $$ 5Ent. cloacaen.d.1Candida	7 $Pr.$ mirabilis $n.d.$ 1 $$ $$ 7 $Pr.$ mirabilis 0.06 1 $$ $$ 15 $Pr.$ mirabilis <0.015 2 $$ 8 $Pr.$ vulgaris <0.015 1 $Enterococci$ $n.d.$ 5 $Ps.$ aeruginosa 4 1 $$ $$ 5 $Ent.$ cloacae $n.d.$ 1 $$ $$	7 Pr. mirabilis n.d. 1 8 7 Pr. mirabilis 0.06 1 9 15 Pr. mirabilis 0.015 2 10 8 Pr. vulgaris <0.015	7 $Pr.$ mirabilis $n.d.$ 1 $$ $$ 7 $Pr.$ mirabilis 0.06 1 $$ $$ 15 $Pr.$ mirabilis < 0.015 2 $$ $$ 8 $Pr.$ vulgaris < 0.015 1 Enterococci $n.d.$ Inal 5 $Ps.$ aeruginosa 4 1 $$ $$ 5 $Ent.$ cloacae $n.d.$ 1 $Candida$ $$	7 Pr. mirabilis n.d. 1 8 Enterococci >128 7 Pr. mirabilis 0.06 1 9 Pr. mirabilis ≤ 0.015 15 Pr. mirabilis 0.06 1 9 Pr. mirabilis ≤ 0.015 15 Pr. mirabilis < 0.015 2 10 8 Pr. vulgaris < 0.015 1 Enterococci n.d. Ind. Inapplicable 5 Ps. aeruginosa 4 1 8 Ps. aeruginosa 8 5 Ent. cloacae n.d. 1 Candida 7

Table III(a). Bacteriological findings in the urine of 19 patients pre- and post-treatment with aztreonam

				Pre-treatment	lent		Post-treatment	tent		Follow up		
No.	Sex M/F	Age (Yrs)	Days of Rx	Pathogen	MIC (mg/l)	Day after Rx	Pathogen	MIC (mg/l)	Day after Rx	Pathogen	MIC (mg/l)	Result
	Ц	84	s	E. coli	0-06	-		1	4		I	Cure
11	Σ	8	Ś	E. coli	0·0	ы	1	1	28	E. coli	n.d.	Relapse
ო	ц	73		E. coli	n.d.	6	I	I	9	1	ł	Cure
7	Σ	78	Ś	E. coli	0·125	n.d.			6	K. pneumoniae	0.06	Reinfection
L	Σ	80		E. coli	90-0	7	Enterococci	> 128	Inapplicable	able		Superinfection
2	ц	63		E. coli	0.06	1	1	I	5	I	I	Cure
				Pr. mirabilis	< 0.015							
<u>س</u>	ц	30	14	E. coli	0.06	-	1	ļ	10	ł	l	Cure
4	Σ	74	7	E. coli	0-06	1	ļ	ł	14	E. coli	0.125	Relapse
4	ц	81	7	E. coli	0.06	-	1	ľ	~	ļ	l	Cure
~	ц	72	S	Pr. mirabilis	0-015	1	1		7	Enterococci	> 128	Reinfection
				Pr. vulgaris	n.d.							
				E. coli	0.06							
9	Σ	71	Ś	Pr. mirabilis	< 0.015	1	1	I	7	I	l	Cure
9	Σ	83	1	Pr. mirabilis	0-015	1	I	I	7	Staph. epidermidis	-	Reinfection
~	ł۲	69	٢	Pr. mirabilis	< 0.015	1	I	1	œ	Enterococci	n.d.	Reinfection
Ś	Σ	72		Ps. aeruginosa	n.d.	-	Ps. aeruginosa	32	Inapplicable	cable		Failure
4	Σ	78	S	Ps. aeruginosa	32	1	, 	ł	∞	Ps. aeruginosa		Relapse
~	Σ	71		Ps. aeruginosa	> 128	1	I	I	9	1	l	Cure
0	Σ	77	Ś	Ent. aerogenes	0-125	n.d.			4	Ent. aerogenes	0·125	Relapse
02	Ľ.	8	11	Citro. freundii	1	1	1		6	Enterococci	> 128	Reinfection
0	Σ	78	٢	Citro. freundii	0.25	I	1	I	7	Citro. freundii	0.125	Relapse
Q	Z	60	2	Deput descention	7	¢			č		200	Delence

Table III(b). Bacteriological findings in the urine of 20 patients pre- and post-treatment with cefotaxime

This last patient was treated with aztreonam for four days because of an *E. coli* infection. Since the patient remained febrile and superinfection with enterococci occurred, treatment was successfully changed to bacampicillin.

Both antibiotics were well tolerated so that it was not necessary to stop therapy because of adverse reactions. There were no biochemical signs or symptoms of renal, hepatic or haematological toxicity.

Discussion

The therapeutic spectrum of cefotaxime includes the majority of staphylococci but not enterococci. All Gram-positive strains are resistant to aztreonam. The Gram-negative strains cultured from the urine of 400 urological in-patients were comparably sensitive to aztreonam and cefotaxime. Aztreonam, however, showed a greater activity against *Pseudomonas* species.

The pharmacokinetics of aztreonam exhibited a prolonged plasma half life (2.7 h) in elderly patients as compared to healthy volunteers (1.6-1.9 h). In an earlier study of cefotaxime pharmacokinetics in geriatric patients (Naber & Adam, 1980), a prolonged half life of about two hours was also found. In both the studies plasma concentrations showed a fairly wide range. In elderly patients with prolonged half lives of aztreonam and cefoxatime, doses given twice daily should be sufficient for the treatment of UTI. The total plasma clearances of aztreonam, inulin and iothalamic acid are very comparable, indicating that the major route by far for the renal excretion of aztreonam is via glomerular filtration. Since at low concentrations the chemical analysis of inulin is difficult, plasma concentrations could only be measured up to 4-6 h after iv administration of 5 g. Therefore in the study iothalamic acid was also administered. This compound, clinically used as contrast medium for urography, is as suited as inulin for the determination of glomerular filtration rate (Sigman, Elwood & Knox, 1965). After injection of 20 ml Conray 70^{R} , corresponding to 13.2 g iothalamic acid, plasma concentrations can easily be measured by HPLC up to 24 h after injection. During and immediately after therapy high success rates were achieved with both the antibiotics. However, definite cure (no bacteriuria found within follow up period), could only be observed in about one-third of the patients regardless of the antibiotic used. Comparable results were obtained in two other studies of urological patients treated with different antimicrobial compounds (Naber & Bauernfeind, 1982; Naber & Wittenberger, 1985). Relapses and reinfections are common phenomena following the treatment of complicated UTI. In these cases underlying anatomical or functional abnormalities may serve as a nidus of infection and prevent complete eradication of bacteria.

The high rate of reinfections with enterococi (nine cases) occurred predominantly in postoperative patients. This may have been due to the epithelial lesions present within the urinary tract and the resistance of this species against both of these antibiotics.

Aztreonam and cefotaxime were tolerated well without any side effects. Both the antibiotics seem to be equally suited for the treatment of complicated UTIs caused by sensitive organisms. However, one should be aware of possible reinfections with enterococci.

References

Heyrovsky, A. (1956). A new method for the determination of insulin in plasma and urine. Clinica Chimica Acta 1, 470-4.

Aztreonam vs. cefotaxime

- Kees, F., Grobecker & Naber, K. G. (1984). High-performance liquid chromatographic analysis of cefotetan epimers in human plasma and urine. Journal of Chromatography 305, 363-71.
- Naber, K. & Adam, D. (1980). Pharmakokinetik von Cefotaxim bei geriatrischen Patienten. Münchner Medizinische Wochenschrift 122, 1651–4.
- Naber, K. & Bauernfeind, A. (1982). Behandlung des komplizierten Harnwegsinfektes mit parenteralen Chephalosporinen. Fortschritte antimikrobieller und antineoplastischer Chemotherapie 1, 229-35.
- Naber, K. G. & Wittenberger, R. (1985). Behandlung von Harnwegsinfektionen mit Penicillinen. Forschritte antimikrobieller und antineoplastischer Chemotherapie 4, 1545-61.
- Sigman, E. M., Elwood, C. M. & Knox, F. (1965). The measurement of glomerular filtration rate in man with sodium iothalamate ¹³¹I (Conray). Journal of Nuclear Medicine 7, 60–8.
- Swabb, E. A. Sugerman, A. A. Platt, T. B., Pilkiewicz, F. G. and Frantz, M. (1982). Single-dose pharmacokinetics of the monobactam azthreonam (SQ 26,776) in healthy subjects. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 21, 944-9.
- Swabb, E. A., Suggermann, A. A. & Stern, M. (1983^e). Oral bioavailability of the monobactam azthreonam (SQ 26,776) in healthy subjects. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 23, 548-50.
- Swabb, E. A., Sugermann, A. A. & Mckinstry, D. N. (1983^b). Multiple-dose pharmacokinetics of the monobactam azthreonam (SQ 26,776) in healthy subjects. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 23, 125-32.
- Swabb, E. A., Sugermann, A. A. Frantz, M., Platt, T. B. & Stern M. (1983^c). Renal handling of the monobactam azthreonam in healthy subjects. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 33, 609-14.
- Sykes, R. B., Cimarusti, C. M., Bonner, D. P., Bush, K., Floyd, D. M., Georgopapadakou, N. H., Koster, W. H., Liu, W. C., Parker, W. L., Principe, P. A., Rathnum, M. L., Slusarchyk, W. A., Trejo, W. H., Wells, J. S. (1981) Monocyclic β-lactam antibiotic produced by bacteria. *Nature* 291, 498-91.
- Wise, R., Dyas, A., Hegarty, A. & Andrews, J. M. (1982). Pharmacokinetics and tissue penetration of azthreonam. *Antimcrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 22, 969-71.

(Manuscript accepted 8 August 1985)