THE JOURNAL OF PHARMACOLOGY AND EXPERIMENTAL THERAPEUTICS Copyright © 2007 by The American Society for Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics JPET 321:983–995, 2007 provided by University of Regensburg Publication Vol. 321, No. 3

Vol. 321, No. 3 120014/3205275 Printed in U.S.A.

Constitutive Activity and Ligand Selectivity of Human, Guinea Pig, Rat, and Canine Histamine H₂ Receptors

Hendrik Preuss, Prasanta Ghorai,¹ Anja Kraus, Stefan Dove, Armin Buschauer, and Roland Seifert

Department of Pharmaceutical/Medicinal Chemistry II, Institute of Pharmacy, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany (H.P., P.G., A.K., S.D., A.B.); and Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Institute of Pharmacy, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany (R.S.)

Received January 16, 2007; accepted February 28, 2007

ABSTRACT

Previous studies revealed pharmacological differences between human and guinea pig histamine H₂ receptors (H₂Rs) with respect to the interaction with guanidine-type agonists. Because H₂R species variants are structurally very similar, comparative studies are suited to relate different properties of H₂R species isoforms to few molecular determinants. Therefore, we systematically compared H₂Rs of human (h), guinea pig (gp), rat (r), and canine (c). Fusion proteins of hH₂R, gpH₂R, rH₂R, and cH₂R, respectively, and the short splice variant of G_{sα}, G_{sαS}, were expressed in Sf9 insect cells. In the membrane steady-state GTPase activity assay, cH₂R-G_{sαS} but neither gpH₂R-G_{sαS} nor rH₂R-G_{sαS} showed the hallmarks of increased constitutive activity compared with hH₂R-G_{sαS}, i.e., increased efficacies of partial agonists, increased potencies of agonists

The histamine H_2 receptor (H_2R) species isoforms of canine (Gantz et al., 1991b), human (Gantz et al., 1991a), rat (Ruat et al., 1991), and guinea pig (Traiffort et al., 1995) were cloned. The four H_2R species isoforms are closely related to each other, as is reflected by an overall amino acid sequence identity of more than 80%. The highest conservation exists within the seven α -helical transmembrane (TM) domains

with the extent of potency increase being correlated with the corresponding efficacies at hH₂R-G_{sαS}, increased inverse agonist efficacies, and decreased potencies of antagonists. Furthermore, in membranes expressing nonfused H₂Rs without or together with mammalian G_{sαS} or H₂R-G_{sα} fusion proteins, the highest basal and GTP-dependent increases in adenylyl cyclase activity were observed for cH₂R. An example of ligand selectivity is given by metiamide, acting as an inverse agonist at hH₂R-G_{sαS}, gpH₂R-G_{sαS}, and rH₂R-G_{sαS} in the GTPase assay in contrast to being a weak partial agonist with decreased potency at cH₂R-G_{sαS}. In conclusion, the cH₂R exhibits increased constitutive activity compared with hH₂R, gpH₂R, and rH₂R, and there is evidence for ligand-specific conformations in H₂R species isoforms.

(sequence identity of more than 90%), whereas the N-terminal domain together with the extracellular end of TM1 and the C terminus are the least conserved regions (Fig. 1).

Despite this high degree of structural similarity, N-[3-(1H-imidazol-4-yl)propyl]guanidines such as compounds **8** to **10** (Fig. 2) differentially activate guinea pig (gpH₂R) and human (hH₂R) H₂ receptors. In a membrane steady-state GTPase activity assay using fusion proteins of H₂R and the short splice variant of $G_{s\alpha}$, $G_{s\alpha S}$, such H₂R-selective agonists are considerably more potent and efficacious at gpH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$ than at hH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$ (Kelley et al., 2001). By contrast, the small H₂R agonists histamine (**1**, HA), dimaprit (**2**, DIM), amthamine (**3**, AMT), and betahistine (**4**, BET) are unselective between these species. Recently, a novel class of N^{G} -acylated imida-

ABBREVIATIONS: H_2R , histamine H_2 receptor; TM, transmembrane domain of a G protein-coupled receptor; H_1R , histamine H_1 receptor; gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, fusion protein of the guinea pig histamine H_2 receptor and the short splice variant of $G_{s\alpha}$; H_2R , human histamine H_2 receptor; $hH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, fusion protein of the human histamine H_2 receptor and the short splice variant of $G_{s\alpha}$; $G_{s\alpha}$, α -subunit of the G_s , protein that mediates adenylyl cyclase activation; $G_{s\alpha S}$, short splice variant of the G_s protein $G_{s\alpha}$; HA, histamine; DIM, dimaprit; AMT, amthamine; BET, betahistine; IMP, impromidine; ARP, arpromidine; CIM, cimetidine; RAN, ranitidine; FAM, famotidine; APT, aminopotentidine; IAPT, iodoaminopotentidine; AC, adenylyl cyclase; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; rH_2R , rat histamine H_2 receptor; $rH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, fusion protein of $G_{s\alpha}$; S, signal peptide from influenza hemagglutinin; F, FLAG epitope; bp, base pair(s); PAGE, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; cH_2R , canine histamine H_2 receptor; $cH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, fusion protein of H_2 receptor and the short splice variant of $G_{s\alpha}$; AR, adrenoceptor; ANOVA, analysis of variance.

This work was supported by the Research Training Program (Graduiertenkolleg) GRK 760 "Medicinal Chemistry: Molecular Recognition-Ligand-Receptor Interactions" of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

¹Current affiliation: Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Article, publication date, and citation information can be found at http://jpet.aspetjournals.org.

doi:10.1124/jpet.107.120014.

$\label{eq:heat} \begin{array}{c} \text{N-term} \\ \text{hH}_2 \text{R} & \text{MAPNGTASSFCLDSTACKITI} \\ \text{gpH}_2 \text{R} & \text{.F} & \dots \text{VP} & \dots \text{M} & \text{F} & \text{VY} & \text{V} \\ \text{rH}_2 \text{R} & \text{.E} & \dots \text{VH} & \text{.C} & \dots \text{M} & \text{L} & \text{V} \\ \text{cH}_2 \text{R} & \text{.IS} & \dots \text{G} & \dots & \text{PP} & \text{R} & \text{V} \end{array}$	TM1 TVVLAVLILIITVAGNVVVCLAV S.I.IIV S TT I S T I.	i1 GLNRRLRNLTNCFIV S SS S.	TM2 SLAITDLLLGLLVLI 	e1 PFSAIYQLSCKWSFGKVF 	90 90 90 90
TM3 hH2R CNIYTSL VMLCTASILNLFM gpH2R rH2R cH2R	i2 IISLDRYCAVMDPLRYPVLVTPV TI.A TI.	TM4 RVAISLVLIWVISIT: F F V	LSFLSIHLGWNSRN	e2 ETSKGNHTTSKCKVQVNE D.D.IV G.RGD.F SFIPL	180 180 179 180
TM5 hH ₂ R VYGLVDGLVTFYLPLLIMCIT gpH ₂ R rH ₂ RV. cH ₂ RV.	i3 YYRIFKVARDQAKRINHISSWK .FI.E.RG I.E IH.MG	AATIREHKATVTLAA	TM6 VMGAFIICWFPYFT/	e3 AFVYRGLRGDDAINEVLE /KVF. AV. /KAF.	270 270 269 270
TM7 hH ₂ R AIVLWLGYANSALNPILYAAI gpH ₂ R D V rH ₂ R GT .	NRDFRTGYQQLFCCRLANRNSH SH AH.KF.SH AR.P.SH.AQ	C-term KTSLRSNASQLSRTQ EL.NN.S.(L.N.L.P.S. ESA.N.	SREPRQQEEKPLKL(CQWDN. G.W MR	2VWSGTEVTAPQGATDR N. L. HNPI . R	359 359 358 359

Fig. 1. Comparison of the amino acid sequences of hH_2R , gpH_2R , rH_2R , and cH_2R . The amino acid sequences of hH_2R , gpH_2R , rH_2R , and cH_2R are given in the one-letter code. Dots in the sequences of gpH_2R , rH_2R , and cH_2R indicate identity with hH_2R . Amino acids shown in white with black shading represent the interaction sites of HA with the H_2R (Gantz et al., 1992; Nederkoorn et al., 1996). The most conserved residues (X.50 with X being the number of the TM domain, according to the Ballesteros/Weinstein nomenclature) in each TM domain are indicated in gray shading. Amino acids shown in regular font in the sequences of gpH_2R , rH_2R , and cH_2R represent conservative exchanges. Amino acids shown in the sequences of gpH_2R , rH_2R , and cH_2R represent conservative exchanges. Amino acids shown in the sequences of gpH_2R , rH_2R , and cH_2R represent nonconservative exchanges. N-term, extracellular N-terminal domain of H_2Rs ; C-term, intracellular C-terminal domain of H_2Rs ; i1, i2, and i3, first, second, and third intracellular loops; e1, e2, e3, first, second, and third extracellular loops, respectively.

zolylpropylguanidines as represented by compounds 11 to 16 was developed (Ghorai, 2005; Xie et al., 2006a). Generally, by introduction of a carbonyl group adjacent to the guanidine moiety, the species selectivity of the agonists is preserved (Xie et al., 2006a). Comparison of the corresponding agonist efficacies in the GTPase assay and at stabilizing the highaffinity ternary complex of the H₂R with nucleotide-free G_{so} indicate that N-[3-(1H-imidazol-4-yl)propyl]guanidines and their $N^{\rm G}$ -acylated analogs stabilize different ligand-specific active conformations of hH₂R and gpH₂R (Kelley et al., 2001; Xie et al., 2006a). However, it is not known whether these differences also apply for other H₂R species isoforms. Moreover, H₂Rs are known to be constitutively active (Alewijnse et al., 1998), but the degree to which constitutive activity varies among several species isoforms remains elusive. To generate an expanded pharmacological profile of H₂R species isoforms, in the present report, we compare human, guinea pig, rat, and canine H₂Rs.

Sf9 cell membranes expressing H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ fusion proteins were used to measure steady-state GTPase activity. For this purpose, we studied several classes of H_2R ligands (Fig. 2). HA (1) and related small H_2R agonists DIM (2), AMT (3), and BET (4) similarly interact with the binding site of H_2R . The amino group of HA forms an ionic interaction with Asp-98(3.32) in TM3, and the imidazolyl ring presumably interacts with Tyr-182(5.38) and Asp-186(5.42) in TM5 (Fig. 1). The guanidine-type H_2R agonists impromidine (8, IMP), arpromidine (9, ARP), and BU-E-43 (10), as well as the N^{G} -acylated derivatives 11 to 16 share a common N-[3-(1Himidazol-4-yl)propyl)]guanidine moiety that mimics binding of HA and thus is crucial for agonistic activity (Dove et al., 2004). The 2-(5-methylimidazol-4-ylmethylthio)ethyl moiety of IMP and the 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(2-pyridyl)propyl group of ARP are supposed to interact with a pocket formed by multiple residues in TM3, -6, and -7 (Kelley et al., 2001). The variable side chains of the ARP derivatives 10 to 16 consist of diverse mono- or diarylalkyl groups with different chain lengths between the aromatic ring system and the guanidine group. In compound 16 (Xie et al., 2006b), the aryl ring is replaced by a cyclohexyl moiety. Compound 13 is the pure (R)-enantiomer (eutomer). 2-Benzylhistamine (5) and suprahistaprodifen (6) represent H_1R agonists with partial H_2R agonism (Seifert et al., 2003). Burimamide (7) and metiamide (22) are neutral H₂R antagonists, whereas cimetidine (17,CIM), ranitidine (18, RAN), famotidine (19, FAM), aminopotentidine (20, APT), and iodoaminopotentidine (21, IAPT) act as inverse agonists (Hill et al., 1997; Dove et al., 2004).

Previous studies showed that the determination of a denylyl cyclase (AC) activity in Sf9 cell membranes is a very sensitive system to elucidate differences in the constitutive activities of GPCRs (Seifert et al., 1998b). Therefore, we also assessed AC activity in membranes expressing nonfused H₂Rs (coupling to endogenous G_{sa}-like G proteins), in membranes coexpressing H₂R and mammalian G_{saS}, and in membranes expressing H₂R-G_{saS} fusion proteins.

Materials and Methods

Materials. The cDNA for the rH_2R was kindly provided by Dr. R. Leurs (Leiden/Amsterdam Center for Drug Research, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) (Ruat et al., 1991). The cDNA for the cH_2R was



Fig. 2. Structures of H_2R agonists, partial agonists, and antagonists. 1 to 4, small H_2R agonists; 5 and 6, H_1R agonists with partial agonism at the H_2R ; 8 to 10, guanidine-type H_2R agonists; 11 to 16, N^G -acylated N-[3-(1H-imidazol-4-yl)propyl]guanidines with agonistic H_2R activity; 7 and 17 to 22, H_2R antagonists.

kindly provided by Dr. I. Gantz (University of Michigan, Medical School and Ann Arbor VA Medical Center, Ann Arbor, MI) (Gantz et al., 1991b). The generation of the baculoviruses encoding hH_2R , $gpH_2R,\ hH_2R\mathchar`-G_{s\alpha S},\ and\ gpH_2R\mathchar`-G_{s\alpha S}$ was described previously (Kelley et al., 2001; Houston et al., 2002). The baculoviruses encoding G_{soS} were kindly provided by Drs. R. Sunahara and A. G. Gilman (Department of Pharmacology, University of Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX). The generation of pGEM-3Z-SF- β_1 AR-G_{saS} and pVL1392-SF-β1AR-G_{sαS} was described previously (Wenzel-Seifert et al., 2002). Compounds 11 through 15 (Ghorai, 2005; Xie et al., 2006a) and compound 16 (Xie et al., 2006b) were prepared as described previously (Ghorai, 2005). The preparation of IMP, ARP, BU-E-43, APT, and IAPT was described previously (Dove et al., 2004). Suprahistaprodifen and 2-benzylhistamine were synthesized as described previously (Elz et al., 2000; Seifert et al., 2003). The structures of compounds were confirmed by analysis (C, H, N), ¹H NMR, and mass spectrometry. Purity of compounds was >98% as determined by high-performance liquid chromatography or capillary electrophoresis. The anti-FLAG Ig (M1 monoclonal antibody) was from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The anti- $G_s \alpha$ Ig (C-terminal) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA), and the anti-His₆ Ig was from Clonetech (Mountain View, CA). [y-32P]GTP (6000 Ci/ mmol), [α-³²P]ATP (800 Ci/mmol), and [³H]dihydroalprenolol (85–90 Ci/mmol) were from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Boston, MA). All unlabeled nucleotides were from Roche Diagnostics (Indianapolis, IN). HA, BET, CIM, RAN, and FAM were from Sigma-Aldrich. AMT was from Tocris Cookson Inc. (Ballwin, MO). DIM was from Sigma/RBI (Natick, MA). Burimamide and metiamide were from Dr. W. Schunack (Free University of Berlin, Berlin, Germany). All restriction enzymes, T4 DNA ligase, and calf intestinal phosphatase were from New England Biolabs (Beverly, MA). Cloned *Pfu* DNA polymerase was from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA).

Construction of the cDNAs for rH₂R and rH₂R-G_{sa8}. The cDNAs encoding for the proteins were generated by sequential overlap-extension PCRs. With pGEM-3Z-SF-gpH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$ as template, PCR 1A was used to amplify a DNA fragment consisting of the cleavable signal peptide from influenza hemagglutinin (S), the FLAG epitope (F) recognized by the M1 monoclonal antibody, and the start codon of the rH_2R . The sense primer annealed with 18 bp of pGEM-3Z before the 5' end of SF. The antisense primer annealed with 15 bp of the 3' end of SF and with ATG. In PCR 1B, the cDNA encoding the rH₂R followed by a hexahistidine tag in 3' position was generated. The hexahistidine tag was included to allow future purification and to provide additional protection against proteolysis (Seifert et al., 1998a). The sense primer consisted of 15 bp of the 3' end of SF and the first 22 bp of the 5^\prime end of the $rH_2R.$ The antisense primer consisted of 18 bp of the C terminus of the rH₂R, the hexahistidine tag, the stop codon, and an XbaI site. The cDNA for the rH₂R was extracted from pcDNA-rH₂R after restriction digestion with HindIII and BglII and was used as template. In PCR 2, the products of PCR 1A and PCR 1B annealed in the region encoding SF and ATG. Here, the sense primer of PCR 1A and the antisense primer of PCR 1B were used. In that way, a fragment encoding SF, the rH₂R, the hexahistidine tag, the stop codon, and an XbaI site was obtained. This fragment was digested with SacI and XbaI and cloned into pGEM-3Z-SF-hH₂R digested with SacI and XbaI to yield pGEM-3Z-SF-rH₂R. pGEM-3Z-SF-rH₂R was digested with SacI and XbaI and cloned into the baculovirus transfer vector pVL1392-SF-hH₂R digested with SacI and XbaI. With pGEM-3Z-SF-rH₂R as template, the sense primer of PCR 1A, and an antisense primer encoding six histidines, in PCR 3A a fragment encoding SF, the cDNA for the rH₂R, and the hexahistidine tag was generated. In PCR 3B, a fragment encoding the hexahistidine tag, the cDNA of $G_{s\alpha S}$, the stop codon, and an XbaI site was generated. Here, the sense primer annealed with the hexahistidine tag and the start codon of G_{saS}, and the antisense primer annealed with the cDNA encoding the five C-terminal amino acids of $G_{\!s\alpha S}\!,$ the stop codon, and an XbaI site. pGEM-3Z-SF-gpH₂R-G_{saS} was used as template. In PCR 4, the products of PCRs 3A and 3B annealed in the hexahistidine region, and the sense primer of PCR 1A and the antisense primer of PCR 3B were used. In that way, the complete cDNA for the rH₂R-G_{soS} fusion protein, consisting of SF, the cDNA for the rH₂R, the hexahistidine tag, and the cDNA of $G_{s\alpha S}$ was amplified. The product of PCR 4 was digested with SacI and BglII and cloned into pGEM-3Z-SF-B1AR-G_{sors} digested with SacI and BgIII. In addition, the PCR 4 product was digested with SacI and BglII and directly cloned into pVL1392- $SF-\beta_1AR-_{Gs\alpha S}$ that was digested with SacI and BglII and treated with calf intestinal phosphatase to yield the baculovirus transfer vector pVL1392-SF-rH₂R-G_{saS}.

Construction of the cDNAs for cH_2R and cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. The strategy for the generation of the cDNAs for the epitope-tagged cH₂R and cH2R-GsaS was analogous to the strategy for the generation of the cDNAs for rH_2R and $rH_2R\mathchar`-G_{s\alpha S}$. With pGEM-3Z-SF-gpH_2R-G_{s\alpha S} as template, in PCR 1A the SF region and the start codon of the cH₂R were amplified. The sense primer annealed with 18 bp of pGEM-3Z before the 5' end of SF, and the antisense primer annealed with 15 bp of the 3' end of SF and with ATG. In PCR 1B, the cDNA encoding the sequence for the cH₂R followed by the hexahistidine tag in 3' position was generated. The sense primer consisted of 15 bp of the 3' end of SF and the first 21 bp of the 5' end of cH₂R. The antisense primer consisted of 18 bp of the C terminus of the cH₂R, the hexahistidine tag, the stop codon, and an XbaI site. The cDNA for the cH₂R was extracted from CMVneo-cH₂R after digestion with BgIII and was used as template. In PCR 2, the products of PCR 1A and PCR 1B annealed in the region encoding SF and ATG. Here, the sense primer of PCR 1A and the antisense primer of PCR 1B were used. In that way, a fragment encoding SF, the cH₂R, the hexahistidine tag, the stop codon, and an XbaI site was obtained. This fragment was digested with SacI and XbaI and cloned into pGEM-3Z-SF-hH₂R digested with SacI and XbaI to yield pGEM-3Z-SFcH₂R. pGEM-3Z-SF-cH₂R was digested with SacI and XbaI and cloned into the baculovirus transfer vector pVL1392-SF-hH₂R digested with SacI and XbaI. PCR 3 was used to generate a fragment encoding the C terminus of the cH₂R, the hexahistidine tag, and G_{sors}. The sense primer encoded the last 10 amino acids of the C terminus of the cH₂R, the hexahistidine tag, and the start codon of $G_{s\alpha S}$, and the antisense primer encoded the five C-terminal amino acids of G_{soS}, the stop codon, and an XbaI site. Here, pGEM-3Z-SFhH₂R-G_{soS} was used as template. This fragment was digested with XhoI and XbaI and cloned into pGEM-3Z-SF-cH2R digested with XhoI and XbaI to yield pGEM-3Z-SF-cH2R-G80S. pGEM-3Z-SF-cH2R-GsaS was digested with SacI and BgIII and cloned into pVL1392- $SF-\beta_1AR-G_{s\alpha S}$ that was digested with SacI and BgIII and treated with calf intestinal phosphatase to yield the baculovirus transfer vector pVL1392-SF-cH₂R-G_{s α S}.

Generation of Recombinant Baculoviruses, Cell Culture, and Membrane Preparation. Recombinant baculoviruses encoding rH₂R, cH₂R, rH₂R-G_{sαS}, and cH₂R-G_{sαS} were generated in Sf9 cells using the BaculoGOLD transfection kit (BD Biosciences PharMingen, San Diego, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After initial transfection, high-titer virus stocks were generated by two sequential virus amplifications. Sf9 cells were cultured in 250-ml disposable Erlenmeyer flasks at 28°C under rotation at 125 rpm in SF 900 II medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 5% (v/v) fetal calf serum (Cambrex Bio Science Walkersville Inc., Walkersville, MD) and 0.1 mg/ml gentamicin (Cambrex Bio Science Walkersville Inc.). Cells were maintained at a density of 0.5 to 6.0×10^6 cells/ml. For infection, cells were sedimented by centrifugation and suspended in fresh medium. Cells were seeded at 3.0×10^6 cells/ml and infected with a 1:100 dilution of high-titer baculovirus stocks encoding H₂Rs, G_{saS}, and H₂R-G_{saS} fusion proteins. Cells were cultured for 48 h before membrane preparation. Sf9 membranes were prepared as described previously (Seifert et al., 1998a), using 1 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 10 μ g/ml benzamidine, and 10 μ g/ml leupeptin as protease inhibitors. Membranes were suspended in binding buffer (12.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, and 75 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4) and stored at -80° C until use.

SDS-PAGE and Immunoblot Analysis. Membrane proteins were separated on SDS polyacrylamide gels containing 12% (w/v) acrylamide. Proteins were then transferred onto Immobilon-P transfer membranes (Millipore Corporation, Bedford, MA). Membranes were reacted with M1 antibody, anti- $G_{s\alpha}$ Ig, or anti-His₆ Ig (1:1000 each). Immunoreactive bands were visualized by enhanced chemoluminescence (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL) using sheep anti-mouse IgG (M1 and anti-His₆ Ig) and donkey anti-rabbit IgG (anti- $G_{s\alpha}$ Ig), respectively, coupled to peroxidase.

Steady-State GTPase Activity Assay. Membranes were thawed, sedimented, and resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. Assay tubes contained Sf9 membranes expressing H₂R-G_{so8} fusion proteins (10 µg of protein/tube), 1.0 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM ATP, 100 nM GTP, 0.1 mM adenylyl imidodiphosphate, 5 mM creatine phosphate, 40 μ g of creatine kinase, and 0.2% (w/v) bovine serum albumin in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, and H₀R ligands at various concentrations. Reaction mixtures (80 μ l) were incubated for 2 min at 25°C before the addition of 20 μ l of [γ -³²P]GTP (0.1 μ Ci/ tube). All stock and work dilutions of $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP were prepared in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. Reactions were conducted for 20 min at 25°C. Preliminary studies under basal conditions and with HA, IMP, and ARP showed that under these conditions, GTP hydrolysis was linear. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 900 μ l of slurry consisting of 5% (w/v) activated charcoal and 50 mM NaH₂PO₄, pH 2.0. Charcoal absorbs nucleotides but not P_i. Charcoal-quenched reaction mixtures were centrifuged for 7 min at room temperature at 15,000g. Six hundred microliters of the supernatant fluid of reaction mixtures was removed, and ³²P, was determined by liquid scintillation counting. Enzyme activities were corrected for spontaneous degradation of $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP. Spontaneous $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP degradation was determined in tubes containing all of the above-described components plus a very high concentration of unlabeled GTP (1 mM) that, by competition with $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP, prevents $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP hydrolysis by enzymatic activities present in Sf9 membranes. Spontaneous $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP degradation was <1% of the total amount of radioactivity added using 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, as solvent for $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP. The experimental conditions chosen ensured that not more than 10% of the total amount of $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ GTP added was converted to ${}^{32}P_i$.

AC Activity Assay. AC activity in Sf9 membranes was determined as described previously (Houston et al., 2002). In brief, membranes were thawed and sedimented by a 15-min centrifugation at 4°C and 15,000g to remove residual endogenous guanine nucleotides as far as possible, and they were subsequently resuspended in binding buffer. Tubes contained Sf9 membranes expressing H₂Rs (100 μ g of protein/tube), H₂Rs coexpressed with mammalian G_{saS} (50 μ g of protein/tube), or H₂R-G_{saS} fusion proteins (20 μ g of protein/tube), additionally 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.4 mM EDTA, and 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. Assay tubes containing membranes and various additions in a total volume of 30 μ l were incubated for 3 min at 37°C before starting reactions by the addition of 20 μ l of reaction mixture containing (final) [α -³²P]ATP (0.3 μ Ci/tube) plus 40 μ M unlabeled ATP, 2.7 mM mono(cyclohexyl)ammonium phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.125 IU of pyruvate kinase, 1 IU of myokinase, and 0.1 mM cAMP. Reactions were

conducted for 20 min at 37°C. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 20 μ l of 2.2 N HCl. Denatured protein was sedimented by a 3-min centrifugation at 25°C and 15,000g. Sixty-five microliters of the supernatant fluid was applied onto disposable columns filled with 1.3 g of neutral alumina (Sigma A-1522, super I, WN-6). [³²P]cAMP was separated from [α -³²P]ATP by elution of [³²P]cAMP with 4 ml of 0.1 M ammonium acetate, pH 7.0. Recovery of [³²P]cAMP was ~80%. Blank values were routinely ~0.01% of the total amount of [α -³²P]ATP added. [³²P]cAMP was determined by liquid scintillation counting. The experimental conditions chosen ensured that not more than 1 to 3% of the total amount of [α -³²P]ATP added was converted to [³²P]cAMP.

Miscellaneous. Protein concentrations were determined using the DC protein assay kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). [³H]Dihydroalprenolol saturation binding was performed as described previously (Seifert et al., 1998a). All analyses of experimental data were performed with the Prism 4 program (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). $K_{\rm B}$ values were calculated using the Cheng and Prusoff equation (1973) equation. Expression levels of recombinant proteins were determined using the Bio-Rad GS-710 calibrated imaging densitometer and the software tool Quantity One, version 4.0.3 (Bio-Rad).

Results

Immunological Detection of Recombinant Proteins in Sf9 Cell Membranes. The predicted molecular mass of the H₂R is ~33 kDa (Gantz et al., 1991a,b; Fukushima et al., 1997). H₂R species isoforms presumably exhibit similar glycosylation patterns, because the putative *N*-glycosylation sites for the H₂R, Asn-4, and Asn-162 are fully conserved within their sequences (Fig. 1) (Fukushima et al., 1995). However, only rH₂R and hH₂R migrated as the expected bands for monomeric GPCRs (Fig. 3, A and B). Both bands occur as doublets, representing different glycosylation forms (Houston et al., 2002). Additional bands were detected at ~70 kDa, presumably representing receptor dimers. A similar pattern of immunoreactive bands was previously observed for the hH_2R (Houston et al., 2002). In contrast, both cH_2R and gpH_2R displayed strong doublet bands at ~60 kDa that coincide with the expected bands of differentially glycosylated H₂R dimers, whereas the bands for monomers were absent. Additional bands were detected at ~ 150 kDa and above 250 kDa, possibly corresponding to H₂R tetramers and higher oligomers, respectively. Dimerization and oligomerization of the cH₂R has been described previously (Fukushima et al., 1997), but in those experiments, also receptor monomers were detected. Hence, gpH₂R and cH₂R possibly migrated atypically in SDS-PAGE, i.e., the bands at \sim 60 and ${\sim}150$ kDa could correspond to monomers and dimers, respectively, and not to dimers and tetramers. With the anti-His₆ Ig, in membranes expressing cH_2R , an additional doublet band at ~ 23 kDa was detected, and in rH₂R- and hH₂R membranes, an ~27-kDa band was present. However, no such bands were detected in gpH_2R membranes. The $\sim 23-$ 27-kDa bands may represent differentially and atypically migrating H₂R monomers not recognized by the M1 antibody because of a lack of epitope exposure. By analogy to formyl peptide receptors (Wenzel-Seifert and Seifert, 2003) differences in the C termini of H₂R species isoforms may constitute the molecular basis for the species-selective migration pattern. Thus, H₂R species isoforms are well expressed in Sf9 membranes, but due to their widely different migration, it is impossible to precisely assess their expression levels using β_2 AR-membranes calibrated with [³H]dihydroalprenolol saturation binding as standard (Kelley et al., 2001).

 $\rm H_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$ fusion proteins of canine, rat, guinea pig, and human occurred as strong bands at ${\sim}80$ kDa (Fig. 3C). Because $\rm G_{s\alpha S}$ has an apparent molecular mass of ${\sim}45$ kDa, these bands correspond to $\rm H_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$ monomers. Weaker



Fig. 3. Immunological detection and analysis of the expression of recombinant proteins in Sf9 cells. Sf9 membranes expressing various proteins were prepared, separated by SDS-PAGE on gels containing 12% (w/v) acrylamide, transferred onto Immobilon-P membranes, and probed with the respective Ig indicated on top of each panel. In each lane, 10 μ g of membrane protein was loaded onto the gel, unless otherwise indicated below membranes. Exceptionally, 40 μg of a membrane expressing gpH2R-G_{soS} were loaded onto the gels in C and D. Numbers on the left of membranes designate masses of marker proteins in kilodaltons. In A and C, 1, 2, 5, and 10 µg of protein of Sf9 membranes expressing the $\beta_2 AR$ at 7.5 pmol mg⁻¹ (as determined by [³H]DHA saturation binding) were used as standard to assess the expression levels of H_2R species isoforms. In D, membranes expressing H₂R-G_{soS} fusion proteins and membranes coexpressing H₂R species and mammalian

bands were detected at ~110 kDa, most probably representing differently glycosylated fusion proteins. With all species, additional bands at ~250 kDa were detected, presumably representing H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ dimers or oligomers. cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ was expressed at ~5 pmol mg⁻¹, rH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$ at ~4 pmol mg⁻¹, gpH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$ at ~1 pmol mg⁻¹, and hH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$ at ~3 pmol mg⁻¹ using β_2 AR-membranes as standard. To account for the decreased expression level of gpH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$, in this case the amount of protein applied to the gel was adjusted to 40 μg .

Probing membranes expressing H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ species with the anti- $G_{s\alpha}$ Ig yielded ~80- and ~250-kDa bands (Fig. 3D), which are consistent with those bands observed with the anti-FLAG Ig. Additional bands occurred at ~45 kDa, representing atypically migrating or partially degraded fusion proteins. In all membranes coexpressing H_2R species and $G_{s\alpha S}$, the expected bands for $G_{s\alpha S}$ monomers were detected at ~45 kDa. The expression levels of $G_{s\alpha S}$ in membranes coexpressing H_2R and $G_{s\alpha S}$ were estimated using the ~80-kDa peak intensities of H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ species as standard and were ~2 pmol mg⁻¹ in membranes coexpressing rH₂R and $G_{s\alpha S}$, ~2 pmol mg⁻¹ in membranes coexpressing gpH₂R and $G_{s\alpha S}$, and ~1 pmol mg⁻¹ in membranes coexpressing hH₂R and $G_{s\alpha S}$.

Efficacies and Potencies of Agonists at H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ Species Isoforms Derived from the GTPase Assay. Efficacies and potencies of compounds 1 to 22 at $H_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$ fusion proteins of human, guinea pig, rat, and canine are summarized in Table 1. The small H₂R agonists acted as full (1-3) or as nearly full (4) agonists at the four receptors with approximately similar efficacies. HA (1) and DIM (2) were equipotent at human, guinea pig, and rat H₂R-G_{sos}, they but showed lower EC_{50} values at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. AMT (3) was slightly more potent at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ than at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. At rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, the potency of AMT (3) was further decreased. BET (4) acted with increased potencies at gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, compared with hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and rH₂R-G_{soS}. In agreement with previous studies (Kelley et al., 2001; Xie et al., 2006a,b), N-[3-(1H-imidazol-4-yl)propyl]guanidines (8-10) and their N^{G} -acylated analogs (11-16) were more potent and more efficacious at gpH₂R-G_{saS} than at hH₂R-G_{soS} (Table 1). At gpH₂R-G_{soS}, UR-PG222A (13) was more efficacious than HA (1). At hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, the compounds exhibited similar efficacies and potencies. Only UR-PG214 (11) was slightly more potent at rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ than at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. Apart from ARP (9) and its N^G-acylated analog UR-PG136 (15) that acted with similar efficacies at $cH_2R\text{-}G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha S}$ and $hH_2R\text{-}G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha S},$ compounds $\boldsymbol{8}$ to $\boldsymbol{16}$ were more efficacious at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ than at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. Compounds 8 to 16 were also more potent at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ than at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. An exception of this rule was UR-PG123 (14) that exhibited the largest efficacy increase (~4-fold) but was somewhat less potent at cH₂R-G_{sαS} than at hH₂R-G_{sαS}. In summary, small H_2R agonists 1 to 4 acted with similar efficacies at all H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ species isoforms investigated, but they were more potent at cH₂R-G_{saS} compared with hH₂R-G_{saS}, gpH₂R-G_{saS}, and rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. Guanidines and N^G -acylated guanidines 8 to 16 acted with increased efficacies and potencies at gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and $cH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$ compared with $hH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S},$ whereas no selectivity was observed between rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$.

Compounds 5 and 6 are representatives of H_1R agonists with partial H_2R agonism (Seifert et al., 2003). Both compounds were less efficacious at gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ than at hH_2R - $\rm G_{s\alpha S}$ and similarly efficacious at $\rm rH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$ and $\rm hH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$ (Table 1). In the GTPase assay at $\rm H_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$ fusion proteins, burimamide (7) was a weak partial agonist with similar efficacies at human, guinea pig, and rat species. Strikingly, compounds 5, 6, and 7 acted with significantly increased efficacies at $\rm cH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$ compared with $\rm hH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$. Apart from 2-benzylhistamine (5) with \sim 2-fold increased potency at gpH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}, the potencies of 5 to 7 did not significantly differ between the species investigated. Taken together, partial H_2R agonists were considerably more efficacious at $\rm cH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$.

Potencies and Inverse Agonist Efficacies of Antagonists at H₂R-G_{saS} Species Isoforms Derived from the **GTPase Assay.** $K_{\rm B}$ values and inverse agonist efficacies of the H₂R antagonists CIM (17), RAN (18), FAM (19), APT (20), and IAPT (21) are listed in Table 2. The compounds decreased GTPase activities below basal values and thus acted as inverse agonists at all four species. At hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ compounds 17 to 21 decreased the basal GTPase signal (0%) by $\sim 10\%$ if the maximal stimulatory effect of 100 μ M HA was set to 100%. At rH₂R-G_{s α S} the inverse agonist efficacies of 17 to 21 were somewhat smaller. At cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ all compounds except CIM (17) showed a significantly higher reduction of the basal GTPase activity by \sim 20%. The $K_{\rm B}$ values of 17 to 21 were similar at hH₂R-G_{s α S} and gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. At rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, 17 to 19 were less potent, and 20 and 21 were similarly potent compared with hH_2R - $G_{so S}$. By contrast, all compounds except FAM (19) were less potent at $cH_2R\text{-}G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha\mathrm{S}}$ than at $hH_2R\text{-}G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha\mathrm{S}}.$ Taken together, most of the H₂R antagonists studied displayed increased inverse agonist efficacies and decreased potencies at cH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$ compared with hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$.

Constitutive Activities of hH₂R-G_{saS}, gpH₂R-G_{saS}, $rH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, and $cH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$ in the GTPase Assay. As was reported for a constitutively activated mutant of the $\beta_2 AR$ (Samama et al., 1993), the following major hallmarks distinguish constitutively active GPCRs from not (quiescent) or less constitutively active GPCRs. First, the efficacies of partial agonists are increased at the more constitutively active receptor. To uncover differences in the constitutive activities among H2R-GsaS species, efficacies of partial and full agonists 1 to 16 and inverse agonist efficacies of antagonists 17 to 21 were compared at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ with gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, and cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, respectively (Fig. 4, A, C, and E). Second, constitutively active receptors exhibit an increased affinity for agonists but not antagonists, with the extent of affinity increase being correlated with the efficacy of the ligand (Lefkowitz et al., 1993). Essentially, the potencies in the GTPase assay represent apparent affinities and can be therefore related, as $logEC_{50}$ differences between hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and the other H₂R species isoforms, to the corresponding efficacies at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ (Fig. 4, B, D, and F). Finally, at receptors with increased constitutive activity inverse agonists have an elevated inhibitory effect on GTP hydrolysis (Seifert et al., 1998b).

Similar inverse agonist efficacies of antagonists **17** to **21** and the absence of selectivity in the efficacies of partial agonists **5** to **7** and **14** indicate equal magnitudes of constitutive activities for gpH₂R-G_{sαS} and hH₂R-G_{sαS} (Fig. 4A). As Fig. 4B illustrates, a poor but significant correlation ($r^2 = 0.27$; p = 0.016) was observed between the log (potency ratio) of these species and the efficacies of compounds **1** to **21** at

TABLE 1 Amnist officiarias and metanoias

Agonist efficacies and potencies at H_2R - G_{sees} species isoforms in the GTP ase assay

Steady-state GTPase activity in Sf9 membranes expressing hH₂R-G_{acs}, FH₂R-G_{acs}, and cH₂R-G_{acs}, and charate concentration-response curves. Curves were analyzed by nonlinear regression and were best fitted to sigmoidal expressing fusion proteins and agonists at concentrations from 1 nM to 1 mM as appropriate to generate saturated concentration-response curves. Curves were analyzed by nonlinear regression and were best fitted to sigmoidal efficacion-response curves. Typical basel GTPP as extiruition-response between ~11 and 2 pm0 mg⁻¹ min⁻¹, and the maximal stimulatory effect of that (100 µM) amounted to 400 to 600% even concentration regressions are referred to this value. Data shown are the meanst performed in duplicate. Efficacies, the maximal stimulatory effect of instantive spectrowers, respectively, of ligands at hH₂R-G_{acs} errore compared with the corresponding parameters at gpH₂R-G_{acs}, rH₂R-G_{acs}, and cH₂R-G_{acs}, respectively, using one-way ANOVA. The control data for hH₂R-G_{acs} and potencies, respectively, of ligands at hH₂R-G_{acs} are compared with the corresponding parameters at gpH₂R-G_{acs}, and cH₂R-G_{acs}, respectively, using one-way ANOVA. The control data for hH₂R-G_{acs} are appresented with the corresponding parameters at gpH₂R-G_{acs}, and cH₂R-G_{acs}, respectively, using one-way ANOVA. The control data for hH₂R-G_{acs} are appresented with the corresponding parameters at gpH₂R-G_{acs}, and cH₂R-G_{acs} and cH₂R-G_{acs} are correspectively.

	-	μH _i	$_2$ R-G $_{ m sas}$	gpH	$_{2}$ R-G $_{s\alpha S}$	rH_2R	$G_{s\alpha S}$	cH ₂	$ m R-G_{slpha S}$
	Compound	Efficacy	EC_{50}	$Efficacy^a$	$\mathrm{EC}_{50}{}^{b}$	Efficacy	EC_{50}	$\operatorname{Efficacy}^a$	$\mathrm{EC}_{50}{}^{b}$
			Mn		Mm		Mm		Mm
1	HA	1.00	990 ± 92	1.00	850 ± 340	1.00	1200 ± 16	1.00	$290\pm 50^{++}$
2	DIM	0.85 ± 0.02	910 ± 430	$0.94\pm0.06^{*}$	740 ± 360	0.89 ± 0.03	1100 ± 92	0.90 ± 0.04	480 ± 82
က	AMT	0.91 ± 0.02	190 ± 50	$1.04 \pm 0.01^{**}$	190 ± 42	0.91 ± 0.03	$360\pm100^+$	$0.82 \pm 0.03^{**}$	110 ± 66
4	BET	0.81 ± 0.07	$44,000 \pm 8900$	0.72 ± 0.02	$28,000 \pm 4400^+$	0.71 ± 0.03	$47,000 \pm 9100$	0.78 ± 0.08	$27,\!000\pm 6900^+$
5 L	2-Benzylhistamine	0.45 ± 0.05	$13,000 \pm 1000$	$0.26 \pm 0.01^{**}$	$6800 \pm 1900^{++}$	0.43 ± 0.01	$13,000 \pm 1000$	$0.68 \pm 0.02^{**}$	$13,000 \pm 1200$
9	Suprahistaprodifen	0.54 ± 0.08	240 ± 41	$0.43\pm0.02^*$	310 ± 62	$0.43\pm0.02^*$	$400\pm81^+$	$0.77 \pm 0.03^{**}$	260 ± 18
7	Burimamide	0.16 ± 0.01	$14,000 \pm 12,000$	0.17 ± 0.02	$12,000 \pm 3600$	0.18 ± 0.02	$15,000 \pm 2100$	$0.40 \pm 0.01^{**}$	$11,000\pm210$
8	IMP	0.82 ± 0.02	160 ± 42	0.96 ± 0.06	$18\pm9^{++}$	$0.99\pm0.06*$	110 ± 9	$0.99\pm0.10^{*}$	$41\pm18^{++}$
6	ARP	0.84 ± 0.03	72 ± 9	$0.94\pm0.05^{*}$	$7\pm1^{++}$	0.80 ± 0.03	$90 \pm 7^{++}$	0.84 ± 0.07	$10\pm1^{++}$
10	BU-E-43	0.71 ± 0.11	130 ± 13	0.87 ± 0.05	$43\pm10^{++}$	0.70 ± 0.04	140 ± 22	$0.88\pm0.05^{*}$	$46\pm6^{++}$
11	UR-PG214	0.91 ± 0.08	130 ± 45	0.94 ± 0.05	$25\pm10^{++}$	1.03 ± 0.06	84 ± 8	1.00 ± 0.06	$28 \pm 8^{++}$
12	UR-PG215	0.80 ± 0.04	120 ± 45	$0.94\pm0.05^{*}$	$14\pm4^{++}$	0.82 ± 0.06	96 ± 12	$0.99 \pm 0.05^{**}$	$40\pm10^{++}$
13	UR-PG222A	0.90 ± 0.04	18 ± 6	$1.18 \pm 0.08^{**}$	$5 \pm 1^{++}$	0.93 ± 0.01	13 ± 1	0.98 ± 0.03	$3 \pm 2^{++}$
14	UR-PG123	0.14 ± 0.03	250 ± 13	$0.40 \pm 0.02^{**}$	220 ± 22	0.15 ± 0.02	250 ± 48	$0.59 \pm 0.02^{**}$	$340 \pm 33^+$
15	UR-PG136	0.82 ± 0.05	100 ± 9	$1.02\pm0.11^*$	$29\pm10^{++}$	0.73 ± 0.02	100 ± 16	0.86 ± 0.08	$52\pm4^{++}$
16	UR-AK57	0.86 ± 0.05	15 ± 4	0.97 ± 0.18	14 ± 6	0.73 ± 0.02	14 ± 1	0.92 ± 0.03	$5 + 3^+$
22	Metiamide	-0.08 ± 0.01	1400 ± 900	-0.06 ± 0.01	750 ± 460	$-0.04\pm 0.01^{**}$	670 ± 510	$0.06 \pm 0.01^{**}$	$21,000\pm 2200^{++}$

 a Comparison with the efficacy at hH_2R-G_a as; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01. b Comparison with the BC₅₀ value at hH_2R-G_a s, +, p<0.05; +, p<0.05; +, p<0.01.

TABLE 2

Potencies and inverse agonist efficacies of antagonists at H_2R-G_{sas} species isoforms in the GTPase assay

Steady-state GTPase activity in Sf9 membranes expressing $hH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, $gpH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, $rH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, and $cH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$ was determined as described under *Materials and Methods*. Reaction mixtures contained Sf9 membranes expressing fusion proteins, 1 μ M HA as agonist and antagonists at concentrations from 1 nM to 100 μ M as appropriate to generate saturated competition curves. Competition curves were analyzed by nonlinear regression. To determine the inverse agonist efficacies (Inv. Ago. Eff.), the effects of antagonists at fixed concentrations (10 µM of 18-21: 100 µM of 17) on basal GTPase activity were assessed and referred to the stimulatory effect of 100 µM HA (=1.00). Data shown are the means \pm S.D. of three experiments performed in duplicates. $K_{\rm B}$ values and inverse agonist efficacies, respectively, of antagonists at hH_2R-G_{soS} were compared with the corresponding parameters at gpH_2R-G_{soS} , rH_2R-G_{soS} , and cH_2R-G_{soS} , respectively, using one-way ANOVA. The control data for hH_2R-G_{soS} and gpH_2R-G_{soS} are identical with the control data for these constructs in Table 2 of Preuss et al. (2007).

	C	$\rm hH_2R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$		$\rm gp H_2 R\text{-}G_{s\alpha S}$		rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$		$ m cH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$	
	Compound	K _B	Inv. Ago. Eff.	$K_{ m B}{}^a$	Inv. Ago. Eff.	$K_{ m B}{}^a$	Inv. Ago. Eff. ^b	K_{B}	Inv. Ago. Eff. ^{b}
		nM		nM		nM		nM	
17	CIM	1700 ± 430	-0.08 ± 0.01	1300 ± 270	-0.09 ± 0.02	$2800 \pm 340^{*}$	-0.06 ± 0.01	$7500 \pm 400^{**}$	-0.07 ± 0.02
18	RAN	840 ± 94	-0.09 ± 0.01	1000 ± 170	-0.08 ± 0.01	$2100 \pm 410^{**}$	$-0.06 \pm 0.01^{++}$	$1500 \pm 160^{*}$	$-0.18 \pm 0.01^{++}$
19	FAM	48 ± 10	-0.10 ± 0.02	38 ± 3	-0.10 ± 0.01	$91 \pm 7^{**}$	$-0.07 \pm 0.01^+$	59 ± 1	$-0.22\pm0.01^{++}$
20	APT	180 ± 12	-0.09 ± 0.01	$260 \pm 43^{*}$	-0.09 ± 0.01	170 ± 27	$-0.06 \pm 0.01^+$	$620 \pm 49^{**}$	$-0.20\pm 0.01^{++}$
21	IAPT	35 ± 7	-0.10 ± 0.01	26 ± 4	-0.10 ± 0.01	32 ± 8	$-0.07\pm 0.01^{++}$	$83\pm21^{**}$	$-0.22 \pm 0.01^{++}$

 a Comparison with the $K_{\rm B}$ value at hH2R-Gaos; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01. b Comparison with Inv. Ago. Eff. at hH2R-Gaos; +, p<0.05; ^++, p<0.01.

 hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. However, this correlation was determined by ligand-specific interactions, namely, the high potencies of guanidines (8 to 10) and N^{G} -acylguanidines (11 to 16) at $gpH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$ (Kelley et al., 2001; Xie et al., 2006a,b), and disappeared if only compounds 1 to 7 and 17 to 21 were considered ($r^2 = 0.04$; p = 0.527). The efficacies of compounds 1 to 21 at rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ were almost identical (Fig. 4C). Moreover, no correlation between the log (potency ratio) and the efficacies at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ was evident ($r^2 = 0.16$; p = 0.077) (Fig. 4D). Thus, in the steady-state GTPase assay, $rH_2R\text{-}G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha\mathrm{S}}$ and $hH_2R\text{-}G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha\mathrm{S}}$ exhibited similar levels of constitutive activities. By contrast, cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ showed the hallmarks of a GPCR with increased constitutive activity compared with hH_2R - $G_{so S}$. Specifically, partial agonists 5 to 7 and 14 were considerably more efficacious at cH₂R-G_{sors} and the inverse agonist efficacies of antagonists 18 to 21 were increased compared with hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ (Fig. 4E). A highly significant correlation between the log (potency ratio) and the efficacies of compounds 1 to 21 at hH₂R-G_{sors} was determined $(r^2 = 0.77; p < 0.0001;$ Fig. 4F). It is noteworthy that this correlation was independent of distinct interactions of guanidines and $N^{
m G}$ -acylguanidines with cH $_2
m R$ -G $_{
m s\alpha S}$ as omitting compounds 8 to 16 did not change the fit ($r^2 = 0.75$; p =0.0003).

Ambiguous Response of Metiamide (22) in the **GTPase Assay.** At hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, metiamide (22) decreased the basal GTP ase signal by 8 \pm 1% and thus acted as weak inverse agonist (Table 1; Fig. 5). At gpH₂R-G_{so5} and rH₂R- $G_{s\alpha S}$, metiamide inhibited the basal GTPase signals by 6 ± 1 and 4 \pm 1%, respectively, and was ${\sim}2\text{-fold}$ more potent than at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. Intriguingly, at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ metiamide did not act as an inverse agonist but rather as a very weak partial agonist (efficacy of $6 \pm 1\%$). This is in marked contrast to the results of antagonists 18 to 21 reducing the basal GTPase signal at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ (increased constitutive activity) more effectively than at the other less constitutively active species. Furthermore, the potency of 22 was lowered by approximately 15-fold compared with hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and not increased as would have been expected for a partial agonist (Samama et al., 1993). Attempts to detect changes in AC activity upon stimulation with metiamide in membranes coexpressing cH_2R and $G_{s\alpha S}$ failed due to the much lower sensitivity of this system compared with the GTPase activity assay using fusion proteins (data not shown).

Regulation of AC Activities in Membranes Expressing Fused and Nonfused H₂R Species Isoforms. AC activity was measured in Sf9 cell membranes expressing H₂Rs (coupling to endogenous $G_{s\alpha}$ -like G proteins), in membranes coexpressing H_2R and mammalian $G_{s\alpha S}$, and in membranes expressing H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ fusion proteins. Basal AC activities were similar in membranes expressing hH₂R, gpH₂R, and rH_2R (Table 3) and \sim 2-fold higher in the case of the cH_2R . GTP (10 μ M) by itself increased AC activities at all four H₂R species by \sim 2-fold above the basal level. HA (1) further increased, and IAPT (21) inhibited this GTP-dependent signal increase, indicative for constitutive activity of all four H₂R species isoforms in Sf9 membranes (Fig. 6, A–D). These observations are in agreement with previous studies at the β_2 AR (Seifert et al., 1998a). The stimulatory effects of GTP, as determined by relating the effects of GTP (10 μ M) to the effects of HA (100 μ M) plus GTP (10 μ M), were largest at cH_2R and rH_2R , compared with hH_2R and gpH_2R . Both the high basal AC activity and the strong stimulation with GTP indicate an elevated level of constitutive activity in membranes expressing the cH₂R relative to membranes expressing hH₂R, gpH₂R, and rH₂R. It is noteworthy that the constitutive activity of rH₂R seemed to be slightly increased compared with hH₂R and gpH₂R.

The GPCR/G protein stoichiometry affects the magnitude of response (Kenakin, 2001). In H₂R membranes coexpressing mammalian $G_{{}_{\mathrm{s}\alpha\mathrm{S}}}\text{,}$ 5- to 18-fold increased basal levels of AC activity were measured relative to membranes expressing H_2R alone (Table 3). Basal AC activities were ~6-fold higher at cH_2R plus $G_{{\rm s}\alpha S}$ and ${\sim}2\mbox{-fold}$ higher at rH_2R plus $G_{s\alpha S}$, respectively, compared with hH_2R plus $G_{s\alpha S}$. With gpH_2R plus $G_{s\alpha S}$, the basal AC activity was somewhat lower than with hH_2R plus $G_{s\alpha S}$. As was observed in membranes expressing H₂R alone, the highest stimulatory effects of GTP in the coexpression system were observed with cH₂R and rH₂R compared with gpH₂R and hH₂R. The inverse agonist IAPT (10 μ M) decreased the GTP-dependent increases of AC activity at all species isoforms (Fig. 6, E-H), but even strongly reduced basal AC activities at the lowest concentrations of added GTP. These effects were probably due to traces of GDP being converted to GTP by the action of nucleoside diphosphate kinase and were most prominent in membranes expressing cH_2R plus $G_{s\alpha S}$ (~69% reduction below basal) and rH_2R plus $G_{s\alpha S}$ (~59% reduction), compared with hH_2R plus



Fig. 4. Efficacies and potencies of ligands 1 to 21 at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ in pairwise comparison with gpH2R-GsaS, rH2R-GsaS, and cH2R-GsaS, respectively, as determined in the steady-state GTPase assay. GTPase activity in Sf9 membranes was measured as described under Materials and Methods. Data shown are the means taken from Tables 1 and 2. A, C, and E, relation between the efficacies of compounds 1 to 21 at hH2R-G_{sors} versus gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ (A), rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ (C), and cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ (E), respectively. The dashed line has a slope of 1.0 and represents a theoretical curve of identical efficacies in both systems. B, D, and F, relation between log (potency ratio) of compounds 1 to 21 at gpH2R-GsoS (B), rH2R-GsoS (D), and cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ (F), respectively, and the corresponding efficacies at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. The potency ratio is the ratio of EC_{50} values of full and partial agonists (1–16) at hH₂R-G_{soS} and at gpH₂R-G_{soS}, rH₂R-G_{soS}, and rH₂R-G_{soS}, respectively. Accordingly, the potency ratio of antagonists (17–21) is the ratio of the corresponding $K_{
m B}$ values at hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ and at gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, and cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, respectively. The vertical dashed line intersects the abscissa at 0.0 and represents a theoretical curve of identical potencies in both systems.

 $G_{\rm s\alpha S}~(\sim\!29\%$ reduction) and gpH_2R plus $G_{\rm s\alpha S}~(\sim\!23\%$ reduction). Taken together, among H_2R species isoforms coexpressed with $G_{\rm s\alpha S},~cH_2R$ was the most constitutively active GPCR.

Due to the efficient coupling of the signaling partners in GPCR- $G_{s}\alpha$ fusion proteins (Seifert et al., 1999), in membranes expressing H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, strongly elevated basal AC activities were measured, compared with membranes express-



Fig. 5. Effects of metiamide on GTPase activity in membranes expressing $H_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$ species isoforms. GTPase activity in Sf9 membranes was determined as described under *Materials and Methods*. Reaction mixtures contained membranes (10 μ g of protein/tube) expressing $hH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, $gpH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, $rH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, $rH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$, fusion proteins and metiamide at concentrations indicated on the abscissa. Data are expressed as percentage change in GTPase activity induced by metiamide compared with the GTPase activity stimulated by HA (100 μ M). Data shown are the means \pm S.E.M. of three independent experiments performed in duplicates. Data were analyzed by nonlinear regression and were best fitted to sigmoidal concentration-response curves. The significance of the deviation from zero was calculated for each mean value using *t* test; *, *p* < 0.05; **, *p* < 0.01; ***, *p* < 0.001.

ing nonfused $\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{Rs}$ coexpressing $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}\alpha\mathrm{S}}$ (Table 3). In agreement with the results obtained for membranes expressing nonfused $H_2Rs,$ among the four species isoforms, $cH_2R\mathchar`-G_{s\alpha S}$ and rH₂R-G_{soS} exhibited the highest basal AC activities. As shown in Fig. 6, K and L, GTP increased AC activity in those membranes so effectively that HA could not produce a further increase, reflecting exhaustion of the limiting pool of AC molecules (Seifert at al., 1998a). At $hH_2R\mathchar`-G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha\mathrm{S}}$ and $gpH_2R\mathchar` G_{\mathrm{s}\alpha S},$ GTP induced only smaller increases, allowing HA to further enhance AC activity. By contrast, in the absence of added GTP, HA (100 μ M) yielded a reduction of basal AC activities at all four species (Fig. 6, I-L). Very similar effects were observed previously for the $\beta_2 AR\text{-}G_{_{\mathrm{S}\alpha\mathrm{S}}}$ fusion protein (Seifert et al., 1998b) and are due to dissociation of GDP from G_{soc} following agonist binding to the receptor without subsequent binding of GTP. Because $G_{s\alpha-GDP}$ is more effective in activating AC than nucleotide-free $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{s}\alpha},$ AC activity was reduced below basal. Due to much less efficient coupling in membranes coexpressing receptors and $G_{_{\!\!\!\rm S\alpha}\!S}$ (Seifert et al., 1998a; Houston et al., 2002; Gille and Seifert, 2003), in this case HA did not reduce basal AC activity (Fig. 6, E-H). Similar differences in the coupling efficiencies between fusion proteins and nonfused expression systems were observed in terms of ternary complex formation, guanosine 5'-O-(3-thio)triphosphate binding, GTP hydrolysis, and AC activation in the presence of GTP (Seifert et al., 1998a; Wenzel-Seifert et al., 2002; Gille and Seifert, 2003). Thus, in membranes expressing H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ fusion proteins the apparent constitutive activities were considerably higher than in membranes expressing nonfused H_2Rs . In the case of cH_2R - $G_{{\rm s}\alpha {\rm S}}$ and $r H_2 R\text{-}G_{{\rm s}\alpha {\rm S}},$ saturation of AC molecules became manifest upon agonist (HA) stimulation.

Discussion

Increased Constitutive Activity of the cH₂R Compared with hH₂R, gpH₂R, and rH₂R. The use of GPCR-

TABLE 3

AC activities in Sf9 membranes expressing H_2R species isoforms without or with $G_{s\alpha S}$, and in Sf9 membranes expressing H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ fusion proteins Basal AC activities and the effects of GTP and HA on AC activity were assessed. AC activity in Sf9 membranes was determined as described under *Materials and Methods*. Reaction mixtures contained Sf9 membranes expressing 20 to 100 μ g protein/tube as appropriate and distilled water (basal), GTP (10 μ M), or GTP (10 μ M), and HA (100 μ M). Data shown are the means \pm S.D. of three to four experiments performed in triplicates. To calculate the stimulatory effect of GTP (Rel. GTP Effect), the effect of GTP (10 μ M) plus HA (100 μ M). The control data for hH₂R-G_{S\alpha S} are identical with the control data for these constructs in Table 3 of Preuss et al. (2007).

	Basal, AC Activity	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \hspace{0.1 cm} \mu \text{M GTP, AC} \\ \text{Activity} \end{array}$	10 μ M GTP + 100 μ M HA, AC Activity	Rel. GTP Effect
		$pmol \ mg^{-1} \ min$	-1	%
hH ₂ R	0.3 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.1	3.4 ± 0.2	10
$gp\tilde{H}_{2}R$	0.3 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.0	2.6 ± 0.2	9
$\bar{r}H_{2}\bar{R}$	0.4 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.2	15
cH_2R	0.7 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.7	22
$hH_2R + G_{s\alpha S}$	2.3 ± 0.4	6.3 ± 0.8	11.8 ± 0.5	42
$gpH_2R + G_{s\alpha S}$	1.5 ± 0.3	4.4 ± 0.5	10.8 ± 1.5	31
$rH_2R + G_{s\alpha S}$	4.9 ± 0.4	11.1 ± 1.3	16.1 ± 0.7	55
$cH_2R + G_{s\alpha S}$	12.8 ± 1.9	24.7 ± 4.1	31.1 ± 3.7	65
hH ₂ R-G _{sqS}	12.1 ± 2.1	24.3 ± 4.8	28.7 ± 5.3	73
$gpH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$	13.4 ± 3.1	25.0 ± 0.6	28.5 ± 2.2	77
$rH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$	30.5 ± 2.6	43.5 ± 1.7	44.4 ± 3.0	94
$cH_2R-G_{s\alpha S}$	26.3 ± 3.6	41.9 ± 5.3	40.9 ± 5.2	107

 $G_{s\alpha}$ fusion protein in combination with the determination of GTPase activity in Sf9 cell membranes was previously shown to be an appropriate system to quantify constitutive activity (Seifert et al., 1998b; Seifert and Wenzel-Seifert, 2002). This system fixes GPCR/G protein coupling and stays at a proximal level, thus avoiding potential bias caused by more downstream effects, such as effector activation or changes in gene expression. Moreover, due to the defined 1:1 stoichiometry of receptor and $G_{s\alpha}$ in fusion proteins, ligand potencies and efficacies in the steady-state GTPase assay are independent of the expression levels, allowing for the comparison of various membrane preparations with different expression levels (Seifert et al., 1999; Milligan, 2000).

We comprehensively characterized the human, guinea pig, rat, and canine H₂R species isoforms in steady-state GTPase assays in Sf9 cell membranes expressing H₂R-G_{sαS} fusion proteins. Structurally diverse H₂R full and partial agonists and antagonists unmasked considerable differences in the constitutive activities of the receptors. Specifically, cH₂R-G_{sαS} but neither rH₂R-G_{sαS} nor gpH₂R-G_{sαS} displayed the hallmarks of increased constitutive activity compared with hH₂R-G_{sαS} (Lefkowitz et al., 1993; Samama et al., 1993): 1) increased efficacies of partial agonists, 2) increased potencies of agonists with the extent of potency increase being correlated with the efficacy, and 3) increased inverse agonist efficacies and decreased potencies of antagonists.

The determination of AC activity in Sf9 cell membranes is an alternative and sensitive method to investigate constitutive activity of GPCRs (Seifert et al., 1998a). With respect to AC, differences in the basal activity and in the magnitudes of signal increases upon stimulation with GTP are indicators for various levels of constitutive activity. In the AC activity assay with membranes expressing nonfused H₂R species isoforms either without or together with mammalian $G_{s\alpha S}$, both effects were most pronounced for canine relative to human, guinea pig, and rat, corroborating the outstanding role of cH₂R in terms of constitutive activity.

However, our analysis of AC activity in membranes expressing H_2R species isoforms also illustrates the limitations of this system. Most importantly, the low concentration levels of AC molecules constrain the maximal signal output, thereby yielding large stimulatory effects of GTP and large

inhibitory effects of inverse agonists on AC activity. In contrast, the stimulatory effects of the agonist HA are small, if at all detectable. In addition, in the case of the rH₂R, basal AC activities and the increases of AC activity upon stimulation with GTP were moderately higher compared with the hH₂R, whereas in the GTPase activity assay rH₂R-G_{sαS} and hH₂R-G_{sαS} showed similar constitutive activity. The accumulation of rH₂R in Sf9 cell membrane microdomains rich in AC molecules could be an explanation for the observed effects (Ostrom and Insel, 2004).

It is now widely accepted that GPCR activation involves disruption of an ionic lock between Asp(3.49) and Arg(3.50) of the highly conserved (E/D)RY motif in TM3 and Glu(6.30) in the cytoplasmatic extension of TM6 (Ballesteros et al., 2001; Visiers et al., 2002). The effects of mutations in the DRY motif on constitutive activity and structural instability of the rat H₂R were shown previously (Alewijnse et al., 2000). Asp-115(3.49), Arg-116(3.50), and Glu-228/229(6.30) are conserved among all H₂R species isoforms. However, preceding Glu-229(6.30) in hH_2R and gpH_2R and the corresponding Glu-228(6.30) in rH₂R, human, guinea pig, and rat H₂Rs exhibit an arginine (6.29), compared with a glycine (6.29), in cH₂R. Strikingly, many class A GPCRs contain a basic amino acid at the corresponding position, and accordingly, a stabilizing role of this residue in the network of ionic interactions was proposed (Ballesteros et al., 2001). Hence, the lack of this additional constraint in cH₂R could facilitate the transition from the inactive to the active state, resulting in the observed enhancement in constitutive activity.

Other differences in amino acid sequences could contribute to the differences in constitutive activity as well. Specifically, in G649, an allelic variant of the hH_2R , Asn-217 in i3, is replaced by Asp-217. This mutant displays low basal activity and is resistant to up-regulation upon antagonist exposure (Fukushima et al., 2001). Intriguingly, Asn-217 is conserved within hH_2R , gpH_2R , and rH_2R , but it is replaced by a histidine in cH_2R . Moreover, major variations in the sequences of H_2R species isoforms occur in the C-terminal domain. Because the C terminus of H_2R is important for G_s protein activation (Smit et al., 1996), the observed variations in the constitutive activities may alternatively or additionally be due to differences in this domain. In fact, an influence of the



Fig. 6. Regulation of AC activities in Sf9 membranes expressing H_2R species isoforms (A–D), H_2R species isoforms plus G_{saS} (E–H), or H_2R - G_{saS} fusion proteins (I–L). AC activity in Sf9 membranes was determined as described under *Materials and Methods*. Reaction mixtures contained Sf9 membranes expressing the proteins indicated on top of each panel and GTP at concentrations indicated at the abscissa. Reaction mixtures additionally contained $H_2O(\blacksquare)$, HA (100 μ M) (\blacktriangle), or IAPT (10 μ M) (\heartsuit). Data shown are the means \pm S.E.M. of one representative experiment performed in duplicates. The statistical analysis of AC activities is provided in Table 3. Data were analyzed by nonlinear regression and were best fitted to sigmoidal concentration-response curves. The control data for hH_2R - $G_{S\alpha S}$ and gpH_2R - $G_{S\alpha S}$ are identical with the control data for these constructs in Fig. 4 of Preuss et al. (2007).

C terminus on the constitutive activities of various GPCRs was described previously (Prezeau et al., 1996; Wenzel-Seifert and Seifert, 2003).

Ligand-Specific Interactions at H_2R Species Isoforms. In the GTPase activity assay, N-[3-(1H-imidazol-4yl)propyl]guanidines and their N^{G} -acylated analogs were more potent and more efficacious at gpH₂R-G_{saS} than at hH₂R-G_{saS}, which is in agreement with previous studies (Kelley et al., 2001; Xie et al., 2006a). Because both species isoforms exhibit similar constitutive activities, our present data further support the concept of distinct interactions as a rationale for this species selectivity. As was predicted by molecular modeling studies and subsequently verified by site-directed mutagenesis, the species selectivity of guanidine-type agonists is based on two distinct amino acids, Tyr-17(1.31) in TM1 and Asp-271(7.36) in TM7 in the gpH₂R, presumably interacting via a charge assisted H-bond and thereby stabilizing an active agonist-bound conformation (Kelley et al., 2001). In the hH₂R [Cys-17(1.31), Ala-271(7.36)] and the rH₂R [Leu-17(1.31), Gly-270(7.36)], this interaction is impossible. Consistently, the guanidinetype agonists were similarly efficacious and potent at rH₂R-G_{sαS} and hH₂R-G_{sαS}. Both cH₂R and hH₂R contain Cys-17(1.31) and Ala-271(7.36) and the differences in potencies and efficacies of the compounds between cH₂R-G_{sαS} and hH₂R-G_{sαS} were not specific to the guanidines. Thus, these differences can be explained by the increased constitutive activity of $cH_2R\text{-}G_{\rm soS}$ rather than by distinct ligand/GPCR interactions.

Recently, certain N^{G} -acylated guanidines have shown to be more efficacious than HA at gpH₂R-G_{sαS} in the GTPase assay (Xie et al., 2006b), similar to the observations made with UR-PG222A (13) in the present study. These effects can be attributed to the concept of ligand-specific gpH₂R conformations as well, i.e., these compounds stabilize active gpH₂R conformations that lead to more efficient interactions with G_{sαS} than achieved with the endogenous ligand HA. By analogy, at the β_2 AR labeled with a fluorescent probe, the synthetic ligand isoproterenol induced a stronger change in fluorescence intensity than the endogenous ligand norepinephrine (Swaminath et al., 2004).

A further example of ligand-specific interactions at H₂R species isoforms is given by metiamide, acting as a weak partial agonist with low potency at cH₂R-G_{sαS} in the GTPase assay compared with being an inverse agonist with increased potency at human, guinea pig, and rat H_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. Moreover, in contrast to increased inverse agonist efficacies of antagonists 18 to 21 at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$ relative to hH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, gpH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, and rH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$, the inverse agonist efficacies of cimetidine (17), a cyanoguanidine analog of metiamide, were similar at all four species whereas its potency was significantly decreased at cH_2R - $G_{s\alpha S}$. Presumably because of the common 2[(5-methylimidazol-4-yl)methylthio]ethyl moiety, both metiamide and cimetidine stabilize distinct conformations in cH₂R relative to the other species isoforms, thus leading to an altered interaction with $G_{s\alpha S}$, which, in the extreme case of metiamide, causes weak partial agonism rather than increased inverse agonism.

Conclusions

In the present study, we demonstrate that the cH₂R exhibits increased constitutive activity compared with hH₂R, gpH₂R, and rH₂R. Species-specific differences in constitutive activity were previously reported for the cholecystokinin-B/ gastrin receptor that, like the H_2R , stimulates gastric H^+ production (Kopin et al., 2000). Thus, differences in constitutive activities of GPCRs regulating H⁺ production may reflect species-specific requirements for "basal" and peak H⁺ secretion in the stomach. For example, following stimulation with HA, gastric acid secretion rates in dogs exceed those of the human (Kararli, 1995). Moreover, by studying the H₂R antagonist metiamide, further evidence for ligand-specific conformations of H₂R species isoforms was obtained. Our present study validates the notion that quantitative comparison of species isoforms of GPCRs provides unique insights into the molecular mechanisms of GPCR activation and ligand/GPCR interactions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. I. Gantz for providing the cDNA of cH_2R and Dr. R. Leurs for providing the cDNA of rH_2R . We also thank Dr. E. Schneider (Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany) for helpful discussions and G. Wilberg for technical support.

References

Alewijnse AE, Smit MJ, Hoffmann M, Verzijl D, Timmerman H, and Leurs R (1998) Constitutive activity and structural instability of the wild-type human H₂ receptor. J Neurochem **71**:799–807.

- Alewijnse AE, Timmerman H, Jacobs EH, Smit MJ, Roovers E, Cotecchia S, and Leurs R (2000) The effect of mutations in the DRY motif on the constitutive activity and structural instability of the histamine H₂ receptor. *Mol Pharmacol* **57**:890–898.
- Ballesteros JA, Jensen AD, Liapakis G, Rasmussen SG, Shi L, Gether U, and Javitch JA (2001) Activation of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor involves disruption of an ionic lock between the cytoplasmic ends of transmembrane segments 3 and 6. J Biol Chem **276**:29171–29177.
- Cheng Y and Prusoff WH (1973) Relationship between the inhibition constant (K_i) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (I_{50}) of an enzymatic reaction. *Biochem Pharmacol* **22**:3099–3108.
- Dove S, Elz S, Seifert R, and Buschauer A (2004) Structure-activity relationships of histamine H₂ receptor ligands. *Mini Rev Med Chem* 4:941–954.
- Elz S, Kramer K, Pertz HH, Detert H, ter Laak AM, Kühne R, and Schunack W (2000) Histaprodifens: synthesis, pharmacological in vitro evaluation, and molecular modeling of a new class of highly active and selective histamine H₁-receptor agonists. J Med Chem 43:1071–1084.
- Fukushima Y, Asano T, Saitoh T, Anai M, Funaki M, Ogihara T, Katagiri H, Matsuhashi N, Yazaki Y, and Sugano K (1997) Oligomer formation of histamine H₂ receptors expressed in Sf9 and COS7 cells. *FEBS Lett* **409**:283–286.
- Fukushima Y, Oka Y, Saitoh T, Katagiri H, Asano T, Matsuhashi N, Takata K, van Breda E, Yazaki Y, and Sugano K (1995) Structural and functional analysis of the canine histamine H₂ receptor by site-directed mutagenesis: N-glycosylation is not vital for its action. *Biochem J* 310:553–558.
- Fukushima Y, Saitoh T, Anai M, Tsukuda K, Onishi Y, Sakoda H, Inukai K, Ogihara T, Funaki M, Ono H, et al. (2001) G649, an allelic variant of the human H₂ receptor with low basal activity, is resistant to upregulation upon antagonist exposure. *Pharmacogenomics J* 1:78-83.
- Gantz I, DelValle J, Wang LD, Tashiro T, Munzert G, Guo YJ, Konda Y, and Yamada T (1992) Molecular basis for the interaction of histamine with the histamine H₂ receptor. J Biol Chem 267:20840-20843.
- Gantz I, Munzert G, Tashiro T, Schäffer M, Wang L, DelValle J, and Yamada T (1991a) Molecular cloning of the human histamine H₂ receptor. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 178:1386–1392.
- Gantz I, Schäffer M, DelValle J, Logsdon C, Campbell V, Uhler M, and Yamada T (1991b) Molecular cloning of a gene encoding the histamine H_2 receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 88:429–433.
- Ghorai P (2005) Arpromidine-Related Acylguanidines: Synthesis and Structure-Activity Relationships of a New Class of Guanidine-Type Histamine H₂ Receptor Agonists with Reduced Basicity. Ph.D. thesis. University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany.
- Gille A and Seifert R (2003) Co-expression of the β_2 -adrenoceptor and dopamine D₁-receptor with G_{sa} proteins in Sf9 insect cells: limitations in comparison with fusion proteins. *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1613**:101–114.
- Hill SJ, Ganellin CR, Timmerman H, Schwartz JC, Shankley NP, Young JM, Schunack W, Levi R, and Haas HL (1997) International Union of Pharmacology. XIII. Classification of histamine receptors. *Pharmacol Rev* 49:253–278.
- Houston C, Wenzel-Seifert K, Bürckstümmer T, and Seifert R (2002) The human histamine H₂-receptor couples more efficiently to Sf9 insect cell G_s-proteins than to insect cell G_q-proteins: limitations of Sf9 cells for the analysis of receptor/G_q-protein coupling. J Neurochem 80:678–696. Kararli TT (1995) Comparison of the gastrointestinal anatomy, physiology, and
- Kararli TT (1995) Comparison of the gastrointestinal anatomy, physiology, and biochemistry of humans and commonly used laboratory animals. *Biopharm Drug Dispos* 16:351–380.
- Kelley MT, Bürckstümmer T, Wenzel-Seifert K, Dove S, Buschauer A, and Seifert R (2001) Distinct interaction of human and guinea pig histamine H₂-receptor with guanidine-type agonists. *Mol Pharmacol* **60**:1210–1225.
- Kenakin T (2001) Inverse, protean, and ligand-selective agonism: matters of receptor conformation. FASEB J 15:598-611.
- Kopin AS, McBride EW, Schaffer K, and Beinborn M (2000) CCK receptor polymorphisms: an illustration of emerging themes in pharmacogenomics. *Trends Phar*macol Sci 21:346–353.
- Lefkowitz RJ, Cotecchia S, Samama P, and Costa T (1993) Constitutive activity of receptors coupled to guanine nucleotide regulatory proteins. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 14:303–307.
- Milligan G (2000) Insights into ligand pharmacology using receptor-G-protein fusion proteins. Trends Pharmacol Sci 21:24–28.
- Nederkoorn PH, van Gelder EM, Donne-Op den Kelder GM, and Timmerman H (1996) The agonistic binding site at the histamine H_2 receptor. II. Theoretical investigations of histamine binding to receptor models of the seven α -helical transmembrane domain. J Comput Aided Mol Des 10:479–489.
- Ostrom RS and Insel PA (2004) The evolving role of lipid rafts and caveolae in G protein-coupled receptor signaling: implications for molecular pharmacology. Br J Pharmacol 143:235-245.
- Preuss H, Ghorai P, Kraus A, Dove S, Buschauer A, and Seifert R (2007) Mutations of Cys-17 and Ala-271 in the human histamine H₂ receptor determines the species selectivity of guanidine-type agonists and increase constitutive activity. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 321:975–982.
- Prezeau L, Gomeza J, Ahern S, Mary S, Galvez T, Bockaert J, and Pin JP (1996) Changes in the carboxyl-terminal domain of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 by alternative splicing generate receptors with differing agonist-independent activity. Mol Pharmacol 49:422-429.
- Ruat M, Traiffort E, Arrang JM, Leurs R, and Schwartz JC (1991) Cloning and tissue expression of a rat histamine H₂-receptor gene. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 179:1470–1478.
- Samama P, Cotecchia S, Costa T, and Lefkowitz RJ (1993) A mutation-induced activated state of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor. Extending the ternary complex model. J Biol Chem **268**:4625–4636.
- Seifert R, Lee TW, Lam VT, and Kobilka BK (1998a) Reconstitution of β_2 -

adrenoceptor-GTP-binding-protein interaction in Sf9 cells: high coupling efficiency in a β_2 -adrenoceptor-G_s α fusion protein. Eur J Biochem 255:369–382.

- Seifert R and Wenzel-Seifert K (2002) Constitutive activity of G-protein-coupled receptors: cause of disease and common property of wild-type receptors. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol* 366:381-416.
- Seifert R, Wenzel-Seifert K, Bürckstümmer T, Pertz HH, Schunack W, Dove S, Buschauer A, and Elz S (2003) Multiple differences in agonist and antagonist pharmacology between human and guinea pig histamine H₁-receptor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther **305**:1104–1115.
- Seifert R, Wenzel-Seifert K, and Kobilka BK (1999) GPCR-G α fusion proteins: molecular analysis of receptor-G-protein coupling. Trends Pharmacol Sci **20**:383–389.
- Seifert R, Wenzel-Seifert K, Lee TW, Gether U, Sanders-Bush E, and Kobilka BK (1998b) Different effects of $G_{a\alpha}$ splice variants on β_2 -adrenoreceptor-mediated signaling. The β_2 -adrenoreceptor coupled to the long splice variant of $G_{a\alpha}$ has properties of a constitutively active receptor. *J Biol Chem* **273**:5109–5116.
- Smit MJ, Timmerman H, Blauw J, Beukers MW, Roovers E, Jacobs EH, Hoffmann M, and Leurs R (1996) The C terminal tail of the histamine H₂ receptor contains positive and negative signals important for signal transduction and receptor down-regulation. J Neurochem 67:1791-1800.
- Swaminath G, Xiang Y, Lee TW, Steenhuis J, Parnot C, and Kobilka BK (2004) Sequential binding of agonists to the β₂ adrenoceptor. Kinetic evidence for intermediate conformational states. J Biol Chem 279:686-691.
- Traiffort E, Vizuete ML, Tardivel-Lacombe J, Souil E, Schwartz JC, and Ruat M (1995) The guinea pig histamine H_2 receptor: gene cloning, tissue expression and

chromosomal localization of its human counterpart. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 211:570-577.

- Visiers I, Ebersole B, Dracheva S, Ballesteros JA, Sealfon S, and Weinstein H (2002) Structural motifs as functional microdomains in G-protein-coupled receptors: Energetic considerations in the mechanism of activation of the serotonin 5-HT_{2A} receptor by disruption of the ionic lock of the arginine cage. Int J Quantum Chem 88:65–75.
- Wenzel-Seifert K, Liu HY, and Seifert R (2002) Similarities and differences in the coupling of human β_1 and β_2 -adrenoceptors to $G_{s\alpha}$ splice variants. Biochem Pharmacol 64:9–20.
- Wenzel-Seifert K and Seifert R (2003) Functional differences between human formyl peptide receptor isoforms 26, 98, and G6. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol 367:509–515.
- Xie SX, Ghorai P, Ye QZ, Buschauer A, and Seifert R (2006a) Probing ligand-specific histamine H₁- and H₂-receptor conformations with N^G-acylated imidazolylpropylguanidines. J Pharmacol Exp Ther **317**:139–146.
- Xie SX, Kraus A, Ghorai P, Ye QZ, Elz S, Buschauer A, and Seifert R (2006b) N^{1} -(3-Cyclohexylbutanoyl)- N^{2} -(3-(1*H*-imidazol-4-yl)propyl]guanidine (UR-AK57), a potent partial agonist for the human histamine H₁- and H₂-receptors. J Pharmacol Exp Ther **317**:1262–1268.

Address correspondence to: Dr. Roland Seifert, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Regensburg, Universitätsstraße 31, D-93053 Regensburg, Germany. E-mail: roland.seifert@chemie.uni-regensburg.de