1

GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies Volume 7(2) 2007

## Review of Animal Equality: Language And Liberation

Joan Dunayer (2001)
Derwood, MD: Ryce Publishing.
Pp. xviii + 265
ISBN 0-9706475-5-7.
US\$25

## Review by George M. Jacobs, Broward Community College (Singapore campus)

What is a book like this - on the language we use when referring to our fellow animals - doing in the Review section of a journal for language educators and researchers? The book is here because language is powerful – it reflects and shapes how we think and act, and language is ever-changing (Crystal, 1995; Halliday, 1978; Whorf, 1956). The power of language and its changing nature make language an area of contention in which activists for societal transformation seek to use language as a tool for change and advocates of the status quo seek to resist change. Language educators are inevitably involved, whether we want to be or not, and language researchers may find such areas fertile grounds for investigation.

For example, the area of human rights has seen and continues to see many conflicts over language. A case in point is that today the term *African-American* is often used for people in the U.S. who are descended from slaves brought from Africa in the 17<sup>th</sup>, 18<sup>th</sup>, and 19<sup>th</sup> centuries. English teachers need to help their students learn that the use of other terms for these people, terms such as *colored*, *negro*, and *nigger*, are generally seen as inappropriate if not derogatory and inflammatory.

Perhaps the best example of how the intersection of language and human rights has put English teachers in the middle of controversy regards language changes related to the issue of the relative place of females and males in human society (Cameron, 1995). A prominent grammatical change that has taken place in this area has been the move from generic he (use of male pronouns -he, his - and the male possessive adjective -his - in a way that implies males are representative of females and males), such as using "A doctor should take care of his patients" to include all doctors, female and male. Instead, people nowadays are more likely to use, "Doctors should take care of his patients," "A doctor should take care of his patients," "A doctor should take care of his patients," and other alternatives that do not place males as representatives of all humans.

Similarly, in the area of vocabulary, alternatives have arisen for generic *man* (the use of male nouns to imply that males are representative of females and males). For instance, instead of *fireman* and *policeman*, people nowadays are more likely to use *firefighter* and *police officer*. Instead of *man and wife*, we might use *husband and wife*.

These language changes in regard to the roles of the sexes have both reflected change and promoted change. However, the changes have not been automatic or uncontroversial. Nor are the changes complete. Generic *he* and generic *man* are still in use, e.g., they remain

the norm in *The Straits Times*, the prestige English language newspaper in Singapore (http://straitstimes.asia1.com.sg).

Animal Equality: Language and Liberation deals with another type of rights issue, not human rights but the extension of some of those rights to other animals. Indeed, the last quarter of the 20<sup>th</sup> century saw an increase both in our understanding that other animals also think and feel, and in our concern for protecting these nonhuman animals (NHAs) from the suffering we humans cause via environmental destruction, eating the flesh of other animals, using them in research, wearing their skin and fur, hunting them for sport, and imprisoning them in zoos and circuses (http://www.infoplease.com/ce6/society/A0804083.html).

Just as other social movements have led to and advocated language changes, so too is this movement for the rights and welfare of our fellow animals (<a href="http://www.ecoling.net">http://www.ecoling.net</a>). And, like other movements have used terms such as racism and sexism to label discriminatory beliefs and practices, Joan Dunayer uses the term *speciesism* and defines it as "a failure, in attitude or practice, to accord any nonhuman being equal consideration and respect" (Dunayer, 2004: 5).

Animal Equality: Language and Liberation is all about why and how to avoid speciesism. Dunayer formerly conducted research using NHAs, such as rats. Having previously earned master's degrees in English education and English literature, she then turned to teaching college English and working as a writer and editor. Thus, she is knowledgeable about language and how it works.

The book's 12 chapters can be read all the way through, or the book can be treated as a reference work, with an 8-page set of style guidelines, 16-page thesaurus of alternatives to speciesist terms, 38-page section of notes, 6-page bibliography, and 18-page index.

For example, the style guidelines include such advice as using "animals to include all creatures (human and nonhuman) with a nervous system" (p. 180) and avoiding "category labels that vilify nonhumans (vermin; pests; trash fish) (p. 181). The thesaurus contains terms to avoid and provides suggested alternatives, e.g., instead of veal, Dunayer recommends calf flesh, and instead of circus animal, circus captive.

The book's 12 chapters begin with an overview of speciesism and language in Chapter 1. Dunayer sees speciesist use of language as a means of self-justification of the way we mistreat our fellow animals. In the same vein, Chapter 2 looks at false categories set up to separate animals into us and them, e.g., talking about *humans and animals* as if we humans aren't animals or talking about primates and apes as if we aren't apes. Similarly, Dunayer decries categorizing animals into lower and higher with humans at the top of the hierarchy.

Chapter 3 is my favorite, filled with stories of the attributes of our fellow animals. To illustrate that the dichotomy between animal instinct and human intelligence may be a false one, Dunayer reports the story of the relationship between the cocker spaniel Rusty

and the raccoon Snoopy (North, 1966, pp. 151-152). When Rusty needed help opening the screen door of his house, he would go off to the woods to find Snoopy, who would open the door and then return to the woods. Other false nonhuman-human dichotomies explored include maternal instinct vs. motherly love, mating vs. romantic love, and brutality vs. human kindness.

Chapters 4-9 take on specific areas in which humans mistreat other animals: hunting, sportfishing, zoos, aquariums, vivisection, and consumption of flesh, eggs, and milk. Chapter 10, "Pronoun Politics," looks at issues such as the use of *who* with all animals, not just human animals. For more on this issue, see <a href="http://www.ecoling.net/who.html">http://www.ecoling.net/who.html</a>

Chapter 11, "Bitches,' 'Monkeys,' and 'Guinea Pigs," looks at metaphors and links the use of metaphors that support discrimination against non-human animals with discrimination against females (e.g., "bitches") and blacks (e.g., "monkeys"). Chapter 12, the last chapter before the style guidelines and thesaurus, examines legal roadblocks to animal equality.

For a sample of some of what Dunayer means by speciesist language use and alternatives, please see Table 1 below. In the table, the first column contains speciesist language, the second column contains nonspeciesist alternatives, and the third column contains sentences that provide first speciesist and then nonspeciesist examples of the language element depicted in that row. Explanations accompany language items in columns one and two. To join an internet discussion group on this topic, go to: http://www.freeforum101.com/forum/?mforum=ecolinguistics.

Table 1: Examples of speciesist and nonspeciesist language use (Dunayer, 2001)

Speciesist	Vegetarian	Examples
vocabulary (with	vocabulary (with	
explanation)	explanation)	
Anything	Anyone, anybody	There are many crows and other birds in
(NHAs are seen	(NHAs are sentient	that tree. If a bullet is fired into the tree,
as things)	beings)	anything could be hit and die.
		There are many crows and other birds in
		that tree. If a bullet is fired into the tree,
		anyone/anybody could be hit and die.
It (NHAs are	She, he, they, he or	When an <u>animal</u> is ill, take <u>it</u> to a
sexless things)	she (NHAs have	veterinarian.
	sexual	When a <u>nonhuman animal</u> is ill, take
	characteristics, just	them/her or him to a veterinarian.
	like humans)	
Which (which is	Who (who is used	The monkeys which live near the temple are
used for NHAs,	with sentient	a gregarious lot.
plants, and	beings)	The monkeys who live near the temple are a
objects)		gregarious lot.

Animals, dumb animals, lower animals (separates humans from other animals and other animals from each other in a prejudicial or hierarchical way)	NHAs, other animals, fellow animals, nonhuman persons (links humans and other animals as one group of sentient beings)	Vegetarianism is better for human health and the health of animals.  Vegetarianism is better for human health and the health of our fellow animals.
Animal instinct (suggests that useful behaviors of NHAs are not the result of intelligence)	Instinct, intelligence (stresses that some similarities exist between human mental capacity and that of other animals)	The clever behaviors of the crow are based on <u>animal instinct</u> .  The clever behaviors of the crow are based on <u>intelligence</u> .
Higher animals (implies that some animals are superior to others and have superior claim to rights)	Mammals, vertebrates (a physiological classification, not a value judgment)	Gorillas are one of the <u>higher animals</u> which eat a vegetarian or largely vegetarian diet.  Gorillas are one of the <u>vertebrates</u> who eat a vegetarian or largely vegetarian diet.
Inhumane (implies that humans are the only typically kind animal and that cruelty is normal for other animals)	Cruel (doesn't accord humans special status)	Factory farm owners are inhumane to keep animals in cages so small that they can't even turn around.  Factory farm owners are cruel to keep animals in cages so small that they can't even turn around.
Sire, gestation, feed on (separate terms for NHAs)	Father, pregnancy, eat (same terms for humans and NHAs)	Whether the mother is a Great Dane, or a tiny Chihuahua, the gestation period is the same, approximately nine weeks.  Whether the mother is a Great Dane, or a tiny Chihuahua, the pregnancy period is the same, approximately nine weeks.
Aquarium animal, zoo animal (don't call aquariums and zoos what they really are)	Aquaprison inmate, zoo inmate (call aquariums and zoos what they really are)	One of the <i>zoo animals</i> , an orangutan, just gave birth. Will the baby be returned to the wild?  One of the <i>zoo inmates</i> , an orangutan name Myrtle, just gave birth. Will the baby be returned to the wild?

Euthanize, put down (euphemisms; soft words for hard deeds)	Kill, murder (reflects what too often happens when NHAs are used in research)	After the experiment, the researchers euthanized the chimp, because it was in a great deal of pain, pain that the experimenters had caused.  After the experiment, the researchers killed the chimp, because she was in a great deal of pain, pain that the experimenters had caused.
Abattoir, meat- packing plant, processing plant (conceals the facility's main purpose from an NHA perspective)	Slaughterhouse (from an NHA perspective, clearly names what the facility does)	The broilers were taken to the meat-packing plant for processing.  The Tyson employees took the captive chickens to the slaughterhouse.
beef, pork, giblets, foie gras, veal (disguises the food's origins)	Cow flesh, pig flesh, bird organs, goose or duck liver, calf flesh (candid, out-in-the-open name)	Tender white <u>veal</u> lightly breaded and pan fried, served with a romaine onion salad and <u>foie gras</u> .  Tender white <u>calf flesh</u> lightly breaded and pan fried, served with a romaine onion salad and <u>goose liver</u> .
Hedging when attributing emotions and thought to NHAs (implies NHAs don't have emotions and thoughts)		The pigs <u>appeared</u> to be scared, and they seemed to be thinking of a way to escape.  The pigs <u>were</u> scared, and they were thinking of a way to escape.
Quotation marks when emotions and thoughts of NHAs are discussed (implies that NHAs don't have thoughts and emotions)	No quotation marks when emotions and thoughts of NHAs are discussed (acknowledges that NHAs have thoughts and emotions)	The pigs were <u>"scared"</u> and <u>"thinking of"</u> a way to escape.  The pigs were <u>scared</u> and were <u>thinking of</u> a way to escape.
Passive voice to refer to what humans do to NHAs (hides who is responsible) Referring to NHAs by the	Active voice to refer to what humans do to NHAs (names those responsible) Referring to NHAs themselves	The new-born male chicks were disposed of.  The supervisor instructed the staff to kill the new-born male chicks, because males don't lay eggs.  The pig farm fouls the air for miles around. The tightly-crowded, imprisoned pigs create

place they are	(suggests NHAs as	so much waste that the air is fouled for
held captive	,	miles around.
1	beings)	innes around.
(treats NHAs as		
commodities)		
Almost always	Sometimes placing	One person and 185 sheep were killed in the
placing NHAs	NHAs before	flood.
after humans in a	humans in a	One hundred eighty-five sheep and one
sentence (implies	sentence (implies	human were killed in the flood.
that NHAs are	equality)	
secondary, lesser)		
Theoretical,	Personalized,	Pigs have committed no crime, yet they face
general, abstract	specific, concrete	life imprisonment on factory farms.
discussion of	discussion of NHAs	Alice was born on Giant Agribusiness Farm
NHAs (makes it	(encourages	in Pittsfield, Iowa. Her cell is 6'x2' with a
less likely that	readers/listeners to	steel floor and steel bars.
readers/listeners	identify with	
will identify with	NHAs)	
NHAs)	, , ,	
Idioms that	Non-speciesist	Always remember that "there's more than
trivialize violence	idioms (promote	one way to skin a cat."
against NHAs	language use that	Always remember that "there's more than
(make violence	promotes respect	one way to eat a mango."
against NHAs	for all animals)	_
seem acceptable)		

No doubt, some people will groan when they hear the ideas in this book and say, "Oh no, not another way for the politically correct (PC) police to tyrannize people." To this, Dunayer would probably respond that the changes she suggests are not about twisting language but about clarifying language use. For example, using *calf flesh* instead of *veal* makes clear exactly what people are eating. Furthermore, avoiding speciesism in language rather than being about some kind of tyranny is about uniting humans with our fellow animals to move toward a better, more egalitarian world. After all, where is the joy in imprisoning billions of our fellow animals?

## References

Cameron, D. (1995). Verbal hygiene. London: Routledge.

Crystal, D. (1995). *The Cambridge encyclopedia of the English language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dunayer, J. (2004). Speciesism. Derwood, M.D: Ryce Publishing.

Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). Language as social semiotic. London: Edward Arnold.

North, S. (1966). *Raccoons are the brightest people*. New York: E. P. Dutton.

Whorf, B. L. (1956). *Language, thought and reality: Selected writings of Benjamin Lee Whorf.* J. B. Carroll (Ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

## About the reviewer

Dr George Jacobs - <a href="www.georgejacobs.net">www.georgejacobs.net</a> - is a freelance educationist living in Singapore. He has published widely on topics in second language education and general education. Among his publications are four books on cooperative learning. His other areas of interest include extensive reading, student-centred instruction, writing pedagogy, environmental education, and the language humans use to talk about our fellow animals. In addition to Singapore, George has also taught in China, Nicaragua, Thailand and his native United States. In Singapore, he has taught for RELC, the National Institute of Education, Broward Community College (Singapore), and the Ministry of Education. He can be contacted at this e-mail address: <a href="mailto:george@vegetarian-society.org">george@vegetarian-society.org</a>