Photoinitiated Polymerisation of Monolithic Stationary Phases in Polyimide Coated Capillaries Using Visible Region LEDs

Zarah Walsh^a, Silvija Abele^a, Brian Lawless^b, Dominik Heger^c, Petr Klán^c, Michael C. Breadmore^d, Brett Paull^a and Mirek Macka^a*

Received (in XXX, XXX) 1st January 2007, Accepted 1st January 2007 First published on the web 1st January 2007

5 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The spatially controlled synthesis of poly(glycidyl methacrylateco-ethylene dimethacrylate) monolithic stationary phases in polyimide coated fused silica capillary by visible light induced radical polymerisation using a three-component initiator and a 10 660 nm light emitting diode (LED) as a light source is presented here.

Since the synthesis of the first organic monolith was reported by Svec and Frechet in 1992¹ monolithic stationary phases have been recognised as one of most innovative ¹⁵ developments since the conception of chromatography by Tswett in the early 1900s². Initiation by heat¹ and ultraviolet (UV) radiation³ are the most common methods of inducing polymerisation, while other methods such as initiation by microwaves⁴, γ-radiation⁵ and electron beam⁶ have been ²⁰ reported more recently. Photoinitiation is of particular interest as it is an excellent method of achieveing sharp plugs of monolith in a specific location within a mold in a short amount of time.

Until recently, photoinitiation could only be carried out ²⁵ using ultraviolet light and was therefore restricted to UVtransparent molds such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) coated fused silica capillaries³ and microfluidic chips made from poly(methyl methacrylate)⁷, glass⁸ or cyclic olefin copolymer⁹. In this work the synthesis of organic polymer ³⁰ monoliths by radical polymerisation using red LEDs with emission max. at 660 nm to initiate polymerisation within polyimide (PI) coated fused silica capillary is investigated. To the authors best knowledge this communication is the first example in the literature of the photoinitiated synthesis of ³⁵ organic polymer monoliths in polyimide (PI) coated fused

silica capillaries with visible light in the red region of the spectrum.

The authors are aware of a publication in which Dulay *et* al^{10} describe the synthesis of a silica sol-gel monolith in ⁴⁰ polyimide coated capillary by cationic polymerisation using a

- cool fluorescent lamp equipped with a bandpass filter to produce 470 nm light. Two recent papers also report the use of UV-LEDs to induce polymerisation both in UV-transparent capillary 7 and in solution¹¹.
- ⁴⁵ Unlike PTFE, polyimide is strongly absorbing below approx. 500 nm, Fig.1, therefore UV radiation cannot be used to induce efficient polymerisation within PI coated fused silica capillaries – light will be absorbed by the coating and will not pass into the capillary to initiate polymerisation. As ⁵⁰ the transmission of light through PI coated capillaries is

already above 50% at 550 nm, photoinitiation of polymerisation can be carried out more efficiently using visible light above 550 nm. The ability to carry out polymerisation in polyimide coated capillaries is particularly ⁵⁵ useful as they are more durable than their UV-transparent (PTFE-coated) counterparts and are used in the vast majority of capillary separations applications.





The photoinitiated polymerisations described here have ⁶⁵ been carried out using LEDs as the light source. The benefits of using LEDs over classical light sources in both analytical chemistry¹² and photointiated polymerisation^{7, 11} have been reported elsewhere. LEDs are cheap, small, robust and have long lifetimes (up to 100,000 h)¹¹ but their most important ⁷⁰ feature, with respect to their use in photoinitiated polymerisation, is their relatively small heat generation¹¹. Using a 'cold' light source means that there is a remote chance that thermally initiated polymerisation is occuring simultaneously with the photoinitiation so thermal effects, ⁷⁵ such as enhanced diffusion causing inhomogeneity towards the ends of the monolith are unlikely.

In this study, a novel three-component photoinitiator mixture, consisting of a cyanine dye sensitiser with a borate counter ion radical initiator and an alkoxypyridinium salt, was ⁸⁰ used to start polymerisation of poly(glycidyl methacrylate-*co*ethylene dimethacrylate). The initiator system is based on a commercially available *n*-butyltriphenyl borate salt of a cyanine dye, 3-butyl-2-[5-(1,3-dihydro-3,3-dimethyl-1propyl-2H-indolylidene)-penta-1,3-dienyl]-1,1-dimethyl-1*H*- benzo[e]indolium (HNB 660, Spectra Group Ltd, USA). Efficient single electron transfer from the borate anion to the excited cyanine sensitiser is known to generate the cyanine radical and the butyl radical, along with phenyl borane¹³⁻¹⁵.

- ⁵ The butyl radical species are then able to initiate chain polymerisation. In contrast, the second co-initiator, *N*methoxy-4-phenylpyridinium tetrafluoroborate (Sigma-Aldrich, Ireland) can abstract an electron from the excited state of the cyanine dye to produce a methoxy radical and
- ¹⁰ pyridine via reductive cleavage of the N-O bond¹⁶⁺. Such a three-component system, proposed by Kabatc *et al*¹⁷, was shown to initiate polymerisation of 2-ethyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol triacrylate more efficiently than the cyanine/borate salt itself because it is capable of
- ¹⁵ releasing two radical species as polymerisation initiators upon absorption of a single photon, while the overall rate of free radical formation is not controlled by the reverse electron transfer¹⁷. Referring to the work of Kabatc¹⁷, a 10-fold molar excess of the alkoxypyridinium salt was used in this study to
- ²⁰ ensure that the photoinitiated polymerisation of the monolithic stationary phases was as efficient as possible. The absorption properties of the cyanine ($\lambda_{max} = 660$ nm) allow the use of red light which easily penetrates the polyimide coating to the internal cavity where the polymerisation mixture is held (Fig. ²⁵ 1).

A standard polyimide coated fused silica capillary (Tab. 1) was used as the mold for the synthesis of the organic polymer monolith by photoinitiated polymerisation. Before polymerisation within the mold the internal walls were

- ³⁰ pretreated with a silanising agent, 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (TMSPM) to ensure that the growing monolith is covalently anchored to the walls^{18,19}. Glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) and ethylene dimethacrylate (EDMA) were chosen as the monomer and cross-linker, respectively, with a mixture of
- ³⁵ acetonitrile, isopropanol and decanol as porogenic solvents[†]. After the pretreated capillaries were filled with the polymerisation solution, the capillaries were masked using black electrical tape to ensure that only certain sections of the capillary were exposed to visible light to show that spatial ⁴⁰ control of the monolith formation within the polyimide coated
- capillary was possible.

The masked capillary was placed on a flat surface with the LED positioned perpendicular to it at a distance of 15 mm. A 660 nm LED (Soanar, Australia) with a forward current of 30

- ⁴⁵ mA was used and the polymerisation was allowed to proceed for 30 min. The resulting monolithic polymer was more completely formed on the side of the mold adjacent to the LED, while the opposite side had a thinner layer of polymer. The lens-like properties of the capillary walls and the high
- ⁵⁰ absorbancy of the dye sensitiser ($\epsilon_{max}^{660} = 230,000 \text{ L mol}^{-1}$ cm⁻¹) are believed to be the reason for this occurrence. When the LED is perpendicular to the capillary the majority of light passes through the polyimide coating and the fused silica wall in a straight line with little scattering of the light rays. On
- ⁵⁵ reaching the cavity, the transmission of light through the polymerisation solution is hindered by the high absorbancy of the dye. Using the Beer-Lambert law to make an approximation of the light transmitted through the cavity, it is

estimated that while approx. 48 % of light is absorbed at the $_{60}$ adjacent wall (within 1 µm of the wall) only 1.5 % can be absorbed at the opposite wall (100 µm distance)†. While diffusion of radicals and some light scattering do occur, the more common outcome is an inhomogeneous wall coating.

- Keeping all other conditions constant, the LED was shifted ⁶⁵ incrementally towards the parallel. Moving the LED away from the perpendicular significantly improved the quantity and homogeneity of the polymer formed within the cavity. The optimum degree of polymerisation was achieved when the LED was positioned at approximately 60° to the normal (Fig.
- ⁷⁰ 2). At this angle there is increased light scattering within the walls of the capillary, which allows more radicals to be generated giving a more homogeneous monolith. A small motor was also used to rotate the capillary at a rate of 17 rpm so light can penetrate more evenly through the capillary, this ⁷⁵ has previously been discussed by Eeltink *et al*²⁰.



Fig. 2 Position of LED relative to the capillary during polymerisation

A summary of the optimum conditions used to synthesise poly(GMA-*co*-EDMA) monoliths by visible light induced ⁸⁰ polymerisation are outlined in Table 1.

| Table 1 Optimum conditions for synthesis of organic monolithic |
|--|
| materials by visible light induced polymerisation |

| Monolith synthesis conditions | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| LED | 660 nm |
| Angle | approx. 60° to the normal |
| Distance | 15 mm |
| Current | 30 mA |
| Reaction time | 30 min |
| Mold | PI coated FS 375 μm o.d., 100 μm i.d. |
| Composition of | See ESI† |
| polymerisation solution | |

To characterise the monoliths synthesised under these conditions, optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy ⁸⁵ and the measurement of flow resistance with respect to flow rate were used.

Scanning Electron Microscopy was used to show that the monoliths had completely filled the capillary and were well attached to the walls (Fig.3a).

Optical microscopy using blue LEDs to back light the capillary at a magnification of 10x (Fig.3b) showed that the plug of monolith has straight, sharp edges.



Fig. 3 (a) Scanning electron micrograph of a poly(GMA-*co*-EDMA) monolith synthesised under the optimum conditions and (b) light microscope image (10x) showing the sharp edges of the monolith within the capillary

Finally, the flow resistance of the monoliths was measured using a S-100 HPLC pump (Knauer, Germany) with HPLC grade methanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Ireland) as the eluent. These measurements were carried out on a batch of monoliths s synthesised under the optimum conditions and were found to be reproducible, Fig. 4 (n = 3).



Fig. 4 Plot of flow rate (μ L min⁻¹) vs. flow resistance (MPa cm⁻¹) for a
poly(GMA-co-EDMA) monolith synthesised by visible light initiated
polymerisation, error bars show an error of ±1 σ

The flow resistance at 5 μ L min⁻¹ is 0.16 MPa cm⁻¹, which shows that these monoliths are suitable for low pressure separations applications such as those involving microfluidic chips and Lab-on-a-Chip systems.

- The monoliths were encased in a poly(methyl methacrylate) chip similar to those used by Nie et al21, 22 to be used as electroosmotic pumps (EOPs). The monolith was flushed with 1M NaOH for 3 h to attack the epoxy ring of the GMA and produce some negative charge on the surface which should 20 create electroosmotic flow. Doing this produced a maximum
- flow rate of 274 nL min⁻¹ using 2 mM phosphate buffer at pH 11 when the applied voltage was 2 kV, for comparison an unmodified commercial silica C18 monolith as EOP have been shown to give a flow rate of approx. 160 nL min⁻¹ with 2
- ²⁵ mM NaCl buffer at 2 kV²². This value obtained from the modified GMA-EDMA copolymer shows an acceptable performance as an EOP. When the flow rate was measured without modification of the monolith a negligible flow rate was obtained regardless of the voltage applied.
- To conclude, for the first time the synthesis of organic polymers has been demonstrated by photoinitiated polymerisation in polyimide coated fused silica capillaries using visible region LEDs as the light source. In comparison to thermal initiation, polymerisation time is significantly
- ³⁵ reduced with polymer generated in 30 min. Using LEDs as the light source instead of classical high powered light sources interference from thermal effects during polymerisation is minimised. The monoliths synthesised here have been demonstrated as EOPs for microfluidic devices.
- ⁴⁰ The here presented visible light photoinitiated polymerisation has a potential for use in other polyimideencased microfluidic devices such as polyimide chips. In addition to photoinduced polymerisation within polyimide coated capillary, there is potential for this method to be used

- ⁴⁵ for the grafting of species onto monolithic supports, which are currently impossible to photo-graft using conventional UVinitiatied grafting methods, such as chromophoric monomers with strong absorbance in the UV region. This application is currently being examined.
- ⁵⁰ Z.W., S.A. and M.M. would like to thank the Marie Curie Excellence Grants funding (MC EXT FP6/MEXT-CT-2004-014361). D.H and P.K acknowledge support from the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport (MSM0021622413). Special thanks go to M. Ryvolová for fruitful discussions on ⁵⁵ EOF and buffer composition.

Notes and references

^a National Centre for Sensor Research and School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland . Fax: 00353 1 8360830; Tel: 00353 1 700 5611; E-mail: <u>mirek.macka@dcu.ie</u>

- 60 ^b School of Physical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland.
 - ^c Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Kamenice 5/A8, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic
- ^d Australian Centre for Research on Separation Science, Private Bag 75, 65 Hobart TAS 7001, Australia
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- 1 F. Svec and J. M. J. Frechet, Analytical Chemistry, 1992, 64, 820.
- 70 2 M. Al-Bokari, D. Cherrak and G. Guichon, Journal of Chromatography A, 2002, 975, 275.
 - 3 C. Viklund, E. Ponten, B. Glad, K. Irgum, P. Horstedt, and F. Svec, Chem. Mater., 1997, 9, 463.
- 4 E. A. Moschou, A.D. Nicholson, G. Jia, J.V. Zoval, M.J. Madou, L.G. Bachas and S. Daunert, Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, 2006, 285, 596.
- 5 B. Beiler and A. Safrany, Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 2007, **76**, 1351.
- R. Bandari, W. Knolle, A. Prager-Duschke, H-J. Gläsel and M.R.
 Buchmeiser, Macromolecular Chemistry and Physics, 2007, 208, 1428.
- 7 S. Abele, F-Q. Nie, F. Foret, B. Paull and M. Macka, The Analyst 2008, **133**, 864.
- 8 D. J. Throckmorton, T.J. Shepodd and A.K. Singh, Analytical 5 Chemistry, 2002, **74**, 784.
- 9 G. Chen, F. Svec and D.R. Knapp, Lab on a Chip, 2008, 8, 1198.
- 10 M. T. Dulay, H.N. Choi and R.N. Zare, Journal of Separation Science, 2007, 30, 2699.
- 11 S. L. McDermott, J. E. Walsh and R. G. Howard, Optics & Laser Technology, 2008, **40**, 487.
- 12 P. K. Dasgupta, I-Y. Eom, K.J. Morris and J. Li, Analytica Chimica Acta, 2003, 500, 337.
- 13 S. Chatterjee, P. Gottschalk, P.D. Davis and G.B. Schuster, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 1988, 110, 2326.
- 95 14 S. Murphy, X. Yang and G.B. Schuster, Journal of Organic Chemistry, 1995, 60, 2411.
 - 15 G. B. Schuster, X. Yang, C. Zou and B. Sauerwein, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry, 1992, 65, 191.
- 16 I. R. Gould, D. Shukla, D. Giesen and S. Farid, Helvetica Chimica Acta, 2001, **84**, 2796.
 - 17 J. Kabatc and J. Paczkowski, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry, 2006, **184**, 184.
- 18 F. Svec, T. B. Tennikova and Z. Deyl, 'Monolithic materials; Preparation, properties and applications ', Elsevier, 2003.
- 105 19 F. M. Okanda and Z. El Rassi, Electrophoresis, 2006, 27, 1020.
 - 20 S. Eeltink, F. Svec and J.M.J. Frechet, Electrophoresis, 2006, 27, 4249.
 - 21 F. Q. Nie, M. Macka, L. Barron, D. Connolly, N. Kent and B. Paull, Analyst, 2007, 132, 417.
- 110 22 F. Q. Nie, M. Macka and B. Paull, Lab on a Chip, 2007, 7, 1597.