

# The Effect of Deuteriation on the Emission Lifetime of Inorganic Compounds

Wesley R. Browne and \*Johannes G. Vos,

National Centre for Sensor Research,

School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland

*Received Aug...2000*

## Abstract

1. Introduction
  2. Deuteriation methods
  3. Theoretical considerations on the effect of deuteriation on excited state processes.
  4. Deuteriation studies.
    - 4.1 Photophysical properties of deuteriated platinum group metal compounds.
      - 4.1.1 Deuteriation and Luminescence lifetimes
      - 4.1.2 Deuteriation and localised emissive excited states in heteroleptic ruthenium(II) complexes
    - 4.2 Photophysical properties of deuteriated rare earth compounds
      - 4.2.2 Solvent deuteriation
      - 4.2.3 Ligand deuteriation and shielding effects
  - 4.3 Application of deuteriation to vibrational spectroscopies
  5. Conclusions and outlook
  6. Acknowledgements
  7. References
- 

\* Corresponding Author: email [Han.Vos@DCU.ie](mailto:Han.Vos@DCU.ie) Fax 00353-(0)1-7045503

**Abstract.**

The application of deuteration of both ligands and solvents on the photophysical properties of transition metal complexes in solution and glassy matrices is reviewed. The reduction in amplitude and frequency of vibrational modes due to deuterium's increased mass, relative to hydrogen, has a significant effect on non-radiative deactivation processes, which can occur through both intra- and inter-molecular vibrational coupling. The effect of deuteration on excited state lifetimes has allowed for its application in probing the nature of excited state decay processes. The effects of isotopic exchange on vibrational spectroscopies such as resonance Raman and low temperature high-resolution emission spectroscopies are also addressed briefly.

**Keywords:**

Deuteration, Ruthenium, Lanthanides, Emission Lifetimes, Excited states, Photophysics

## 1. Introduction

The significance of the deuterium isotope effect on the photophysical properties of organic systems was recognised as early as 1960 [1,2]. Since then many polyaromatic hydrocarbons have been investigated and a theoretical understanding has been developed [3,4,5]. The application of deuteration in organic photophysics has been concerned primarily with probing electronically excited state decay pathways and as such has proven to be an invaluable tool in the study of the nature of these processes. Far fewer investigations of this type have been reported in inorganic chemistry. The earliest applications of deuteration to inorganic photophysics can be found in the work of Kropp and Windsor [6], who investigated the effects of deuteration on the fluorescence of rare earth ions, and of Watts and co-workers on the emission lifetimes of the lowest  $^3dd$  (metal centred) excited state of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NH}_3)_{6-n}\text{X}_n]^{(3-n)+}$  ( $\text{X} = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}, n = 0, 1$ ) [7(a)] and the  $^3\text{MLCT}$  (Metal to Ligand Charge Transfer) excited state of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{3+}$  [8].

This review is concerned primarily with the effect of deuteration, via vibrational coupling, on the excited state decay processes in platinum and lanthanide group metal ions and complexes. A detailed discussion of the mathematical basis of the theory of non-radiative electronic transitions and the origins of the effect of deuteration is beyond the scope of this review and the interested reader is referred to the work of Siebrands, Robinson and Frosch, Jortner and others [3,4,5]. Instead a less mathematical and more qualitative approach will be presented to describe the consequences of deuteration on electronic transitions. Of particular interest in this review is the use of deuteration in probing both the emissive excited states and indirectly in probing other low lying excited states, which attain a significant Boltzman population. In addition brief overviews concerning deuteration

techniques and the use of deuteration as a probe in vibrational spectroscopies such as resonance Raman, Stark effect, low temperature high-resolution emission spectroscopies and line narrowing techniques have been included.

## 2. Deuteration methods

Hydrogen isotope exchange reactions involving O-H, N-H, and C-H (D, T) bonds are of interest in many areas of research, from biological applications to photophysics. Deuteration has been applied to the elucidation of  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra of large polynuclear complexes [9] where the number of inequivalent protons results in spectra, which are otherwise difficult to assign reliably. The number of specific examples of deuteration of organic compounds is too great for an extensive review of the area to be presented here. However, examples of methods most relevant to the synthesis of ligands frequently employed in lanthanide and platinum group photophysical studies will be discussed briefly. Junk *et al.* have recently reviewed exchange reactions involving C-H bonds, placing particular emphasis on mild and regioselective procedures [10].

The methods available for deuteration of ligands and transition metal complexes can be broadly divided into three main synthetic strategies;

- exchange of labile acidic hydrogen atoms
- use of deuterated precursors
- metal catalysed hydrogen-deuterium exchange.

One of the most common methods of deuteration is that of  $^1\text{H}$ - $^2\text{D}$  exchange of labile or acidic hydrogens, such as N-H [11], O-H [12,13], terminal acetylenes [14],  $\alpha$ -methylenic protons [15-17], porphyrins [18,19] and heteroaromatic N-oxides such as 2,2'-bipyridyl-

N,N-dioxide [20]. This H-D exchange method can be effected simply by dissolution in D<sub>2</sub>O (or in a binary protic solvent system using for example MeOD or d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO) with heat, pressure and/or acid/base [14]. Both partial [21-23] and complete [21,23,24], deuteration of transition metal complexes has been achieved in this manner. The use of supercritical deuterioxide solutions for the deuteration of weak organic acids (pK<sub>a</sub> < 50), such as weakly activated methylenic protons, has been investigated by Evilla *et al.* [25]. This method allows for the deuteration of a range of aromatic and weakly activated aliphatic moieties with reaction times of normally between 20 and 40 min. Several research groups have successfully employed boron [26(a)], tin [26(b)] and aluminium [26(c)] catalysts in both H/D exchange and halide deuterium exchange. Recently, microwave radiation has been employed in effectively promoting deuteration of polyaromatic and heterocyclic aromatic compounds under a wide range of conditions [27].

Incorporation of deuterated precursors into ligand synthesis has been used successfully by several research groups, in particular in the preparation of ligands for use in studying the photophysics of rare earth ions [17,19(b),28-31]. However, a disadvantage of this route is that often the use of deuterated solvents is required in order to prevent the re-protonation of acidic hydrogens of the target compound. Despite this limitation this route has proven to be particularly useful in the synthesis of deuterated ligands containing aliphatic moieties, such as polydentate macrocyclic ligands [19(b),28-30] and in the partial deuteration of polyaromatic hydrocarbons via Diels-Alder reactions [31]. Incorporation of deuterated precursors has also been used in the preparation of partially deuterated dppz (d<sub>6</sub>-dipyridophenazine) where oxidation of d<sub>8</sub>-1,10-phenanthroline to the 5,6-diphenone was followed by condensation with o-phenylenediamine to form the target ligand [32].

Many research groups have employed H-D exchange utilising transition metal catalysts for the deuteration of polyaromatic heterocyclic hydrocarbons. In recent years catalysts, such as Lindler's catalyst (deuteration of alkenes) [33], Adam's catalyst ( $\text{PtO}_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) [34],  $\text{RhCl}_3$  [35],  $\text{RuCl}_3$  and  $\text{IrCl}_3$  [36] (deuteration of mono-substituted aromatics and  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated acids) have been used successfully towards deuterium exchange. The use of Pt/C and Pd/C for the stereoselective reduction of alkenes has been extensively investigated by Chiko *et al.* [37]. Although this method involves the reduction of an alkene evidence of H-D exchange was also found. Reductive deuteration of aryl-carbonyls using  $\text{D}_2$  saturated acetic acid- $\text{d}_1$  Pd/C catalysts between 25 and 55 °C has been observed with good yields (~70 %) and with selectivity for the carbonyl carbon [38]. Fischer *et al.* [39] have reported the use of a Pt/asbestos catalyst for the deuteration of a range of nitrogen based heterocyclic polyaromatic hydrocarbons using pressure heat and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  as the deuterium source. This method shows wide range applicability for pyridine type aromatic systems. More recently, Vos and co-workers have reported the use of a more "user-friendly" catalyst of 10% w/w Pd/C and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  under pressure and high temperature (~200 °C) as an effective and general procedure for the deuteration of a whole range of hetero-aromatic compounds (e.g. pyridyls, pyrazyls, triazoles etc) [40,41]. This latter method, which produces yields in excess of 80 % [40-42] without the need for derivatisation, has the advantage over the method of Fischer *et al.* in that the catalyst is commercially available and requires no pre-treatment.

The degree of deuteration is normally obtained from spectroscopic analysis, which is normally carried out using techniques such as infrared [43],  $^1\text{H}$  NMR [37,44] and mass spectrometry [13(a),38].

### 3. Theoretical considerations on the effect of deuteration on excited state process.

In 1960, Hutchinson [1], Wright [2], and co-workers reported the involvement of C-H vibrational modes in the radiationless deactivation of the phosphorescent triplet excited states of naphthalene and benzene. At 77 K in a durene matrix deuteration of naphthalene leads to an eight-fold increase in the triplet lifetime [1]. At that temperature matrix deuteration had no effect on the decay lifetimes. At temperatures above 100 K a significant difference was observed in the temperature dependence of the triplet excited state lifetime [45]. These studies demonstrate that C-H vibrational modes of both the compound under examination and the matrix may participate in radiationless deactivation processes. These observations have stimulated the development of a theoretical understanding of the effect of deuteration [3(b)].

The theoretical understanding of the nature of non-radiative deactivation processes initiated by Robinson and Frosch [3(b)] has since been further developed by Gelbart *et al.* [4], Jortner and coworkers [5], and Siebrand [3(c)]. Several groups [46], have extended the theoretical models developed for aromatic hydrocarbons to investigate non-radiative transitions in inorganic systems. In this section a general overview of the results obtained in these theoretical studies will be given. However, a detailed mathematical basis for these results is beyond the scope of this review and the interested reader is referred to the original work for more detailed analysis [3-5,46].

#### *Competing processes in the deactivation of electronically excited states.*

To understand origins of the effect of deuteration on excited state transitions, it is pertinent to first discuss the nature of the interaction between electronic states and the mechanisms,

which result in their deactivation. The lifetime of an excited state may be expressed by equation 1:

$$1/\tau_{\text{obs}} = k_r + \Sigma k_{\text{nr}} \quad \text{eq. 1}$$

where  $k_r$  is the natural radiative lifetime and  $\Sigma k_{\text{nr}}$  is the sum of the rates of all non-radiative relaxation processes. The component  $k_r$  has been shown to follow an exponential dependence on the energy gap between the emitting state and the ground state [5(a),47]. The component  $\Sigma k_{\text{nr}}$  incorporates all other temperature dependent and independent terms, which contribute to the overall decay rate, such as thermal population of higher energy excited state/s, unimolecular photochemical deactivation, bimolecular (e.g.  $^3\text{O}_2$ ) quenching, vibrationally coupled radiationless deactivation etc.. The relative contribution of each component of the term  $k_{\text{nr}}$  is dependent on several factors, the principle of which are the relative energies of the various interacting excited states and the relative vibrionic and electronic coupling between the states (*vide infra*)[48(a)].

#### *Coupling between electronically excited states*

Jortner and co-workers have proposed two limiting cases for the coupling of electronically excited states [5(b),5(c)]. In the strong coupling limit a large horizontal displacement of the excited state potential wells is proposed (see. fig.1)[5(b)]. The strong coupling limit can be used to describe systems (e.g. the  $^3\text{MC}$  state of  $\text{M}^{\text{II}}$  platinum group complexes) where large distortions in the geometry of M-L bonds are observed (e.g. Ru-N, Rh-Cl). In addition to large geometric distortions between the ground and excited state, the potential energy curves of the donor and acceptor states have a cross-over point close to the minimum of the excited state potential well (see fig. 1 (b))[49(a)]. Due to the greater contribution of low



energy vibrational modes to the Franck-Condon overlap term  $F(E)$  for the strong coupling case, all vibrational modes are in principle available to accept electronic energy [49(b)]. In this case the lack of a large isotope effect is expected, as the transition probability is dependent on the mean vibrational frequency, which is expected to show only minor perturbation on isotopic substitution [50].

Coupling between excited states can be described by a weak coupling limit if the horizontal displacement of the potential wells is small and the potential energy curves of the states do not cross close to the energy minimum of the excited state potential well (see. fig.1 (a)). Radiationless deactivation between weakly coupled excited states is expected to involve conversion of electronic energy to vibrational energy. As a result of the relatively large amount of energy (compared to the typical energy difference between vibrationally excited states) that is converted by deactivation by such a route, overlap considerations favour high frequency vibrations and the application of the low temperature limit ( i.e. only the lowest excited vibronic state is populated significantly).

#### *Weak or strong limit!*

The question as to which limit is applicable in specific cases has been considered by Jortner and coworkers [5(b)]. They suggested that the energy of the Stokes shift can be used crudely in estimating the value  $E_m$  (see fig. 1), which in turn can be related to the geometric distortion between the excited and ground state and hence discriminate between the weak and strong coupling limits [5(b)]. The weak coupling limit is the limit normally described by radiationless deactivation theory and is dominant when the Stokes shift is less than the mean vibrational frequency of the molecule ( $S < 1$ ) [51]. In this limit the rate of radiationless decay is normally largely dependent on the frequency of the highest energy

vibrational modes of the molecule e.g. O-H, N-H and C-H stretching modes. If the Stokes shift exceeds twice the mean frequency of the molecular vibrations ( $S > 2$ ) then the strong coupling limit is relevant, and vice versa [51]. The use of the Stokes shift as a diagnostic tool although generally applicable in the case of polyaromatic systems, is problematic in the case of transition metal complexes where spin orbit coupling factors become significant. In particular when phosphorescent excited states are considered, direct absorption into the emitting state is spin-forbidden and hence population of the excited state must occur via a higher lying state of identical spin multiplicity to the ground state, increasing the observed Stokes shift.

A further complication to the assignment of weak and strong coupling limits is their temperature dependence. Gelbart *et al.* [4] have considered both strong and weak coupling of electronic states and their implications towards photoisomerism, in particular stilbene type cis-trans photo-isomerisation [52]. The energy of the lowest triplet excited state of stilbene can be dissipated either via torsional and vibrational processes, with the former resulting in isomerisation. They concluded that non-radiative transition rate ( $k_{nr}$ ) is proportional to the energy gap between the ground and excited electronic states ( $\Delta E$ ) and any changes in equilibrium configuration ( $\Delta_j$ )[50]. For large displacements between ground and excited states, which may result in intramolecular rearrangements, the strong coupling limit is applicable (e.g. photoisomerism of stilbene results in radiationless deactivation of the lowest triplet (twisted) state). However, in glassy matrices at low temperature (77 K) where cis-trans isomerism is “frozen out” or where such isomerisation is sterically hindered, more of the electronic energy is forced to dissipate through vibrational modes rather than torsional modes. The system then becomes better described by the weak-

coupling limit as purely vibrational modes have an increased role in excited state deactivation.

*Origin of the deuterium induced perturbation of electronic excited state decay processes*

It has been suggested that in the case of the weak coupling limit, the vibrational contribution to the overall rate of non-radiative deactivation ( $\sum k_{nr}$ ) depends in part on the magnitude of the vibrational overlap integrals between the initial and final states of a transition [3(b),3(c),5(b),48(a)]. In particular stretching modes have been suggested to be important channels for radiationless deactivation and as a result  $\sum k_{nr}$  includes the term  $k_{X-H}$  ( $X = C, N$  or  $O$ ), the rate of radiationless deactivation due to X-H vibrational coupling [5(b)]. This term can in turn be expressed in terms of equation 2 [22(c)]:

$$k_{X-H} = (2\pi/\eta)\rho JF(E) \quad \text{eq. 2 [48(a)]}$$

where  $\rho$  is the weighted sum of the energy gap between the vibronic states,  $J$  is the electronic coupling between the two electronic states, and  $F(E)$  is the Franck-Condon sum of the products of the overlap integrals [50]. Deuteriation reduces both the amplitude and frequency of X-H vibrational modes and hence C-D vibrations are of lower frequency and amplitude than the equivalent C-H vibrations. As a result, the vibrational overlap between two states will be diminished for the same energy gap (fig. 2), hence the Franck-Condon factor component  $F(E)$  and  $k_{X-H}$  are reduced [3,53(a),54]. This results in an increase of the observed lifetime of the electronically excited state (since non-radiative deactivation is less effective). In addition when X-H vibrational modes are available to act as promoting modes then the electronic coupling term ( $J$ ) is also reduced by deuteriation [46(f)].

If deuteriation effects were solely due to Franck Condon factors  $F(E)$  (and to a lesser extent electronic coupling,  $J$ ) then in principle, each X-H vibrational mode would have equal probability of accepting electronic energy and hence isotopomers would exhibit equal deuterium effects. This was first investigated in detail for naphthalene by Lin *et al.* [55], who have suggested that the principle promoting modes in aromatic hydrocarbons are a few low energy C-C skeletal vibrations whilst the principle accepting modes are a large number of C-H high frequency stretching modes. They reported no positional dependence of deuteriation and that each position regardless of electron density contributes equally [55].

Later studies of the positional dependence of deuteriation, which have been performed by several groups for both organic and inorganic compounds (*vide infra*) [31,56]. Henry *et al.* [31] have reported that location of the excited state electron density distribution is critical to the effect of deuteriation on emission lifetimes [31,56]. For example, for anthracene, deuteriation of the alpha position has an increased effect over deuteriation of the beta position. This effect has also been observed for trans-stilbene [57,48(b)].

Although the role of high energy vibrational modes in particular X-H ( $X = N, C, O$ ) modes as acceptors of electronic energy (energy sinks) is largely due to the larger  $F(E)$  values for these modes compared with lower energy skeletal modes, the role of such vibrational modes in promoting non-radiative transitions is less clear. Promoting modes can be loosely described as vibrational modes (usually symmetric), which stimulate transitions between excited states and under go a single quantum (vibrational) change during the transition. Robbins *et al.* have examined the effect of the number of near neighbour hydrogens on the emission properties of octahedral chromium(III) complexes in glass matrices [46(f)]. The  $^3\text{dd}$  emission originates from the  $^2\text{E}_g$  (excited state) to  $^4\text{A}_{ug}$  (ground state) transition, both of

which are  $T_{2g}$  based and hence shows only minimal perturbation by variations in ligand field strength. Hence in a series of complexes  $\{[\text{Cr}(\text{NH}_3)_x(\text{Y})_{6-x}]^{(3-x)+}$  (where  $\text{Y} = \text{NCS}$   $x = 0,2$ );  $[\text{Cr}(\text{LL})_3]^{3+}$  (where  $\text{LL} = \text{acetoacetate, formoacetate and malonate}$ ) $\}$  the ground – excited state energy gap is generally invariant, and the rate of radiationless decay is dependent only on the number of high-frequency acceptor modes and low frequency promoter modes. The results of these studies have suggested that involvement of C-H vibrational modes not only as acceptor modes but also as promoter modes. In the case of the latter set of complexes ( $[\text{Cr}(\text{LL})_3]^{3+}$ ) where the delocalisation of the excited state by  $\pi$ -conjugation occurs, the contribution of  $\alpha$ -C-H vibrational modes as promotor modes is apparent. The rate of radiationless decay is dramatically reduced by their substitution by methyl groups. The existence of such “active H-atom” vibrational modes i.e. C-H bending modes capable of promoting electronic relaxation was already suggested by Henry [31] and Siebrand [3(c)]. This explanation of the observed effect of removal of C-H oscillators may according to the Robbins et al. also explain the effect of phenyl or methyl substitution of bipyridyl and phenanthroline complexes of  $d^6$  ruthenium(II) and osmium(II) in reducing  $k_{nr}$  as being due to loss of promoting C-H modes [46(f), 48(b)].

Deuteriation has shown in many cases, in particular in organic systems, to have a significant effect on vibrationally induced excited state non-radiative decay process. However, particularly in the case of inorganic systems, the effect of deuteriation may not be as apparent. It can be said, however, that provided the coupling between electronic states can be described by the weak coupling limit [5], and provided that X-H vibrational modes make a significant contribution to the overall non-radiative rate constant, ( $\sum k_{nr}$ ) an effect of deuteriation will be observed. In addition, an important observation is that the location of the excited state on a particular moiety of a compound (i.e. the electron density in the

region of the accepting X-H oscillator), determines the extent of the effect of isotopic substitution. This point is of particular relevance to the application of deuteriation in the study of excited state electronic structure of transition metal complexes. It is to be expected that deuteriation studies will lead to an improved understanding of excited state properties of inorganic compounds.

#### **4. Deuteriation studies: probing excited state decay processes.**

Although deuteriation is widely applied to the study of ground state properties of both organic and inorganic complexes, its application to the study of photophysical properties in particular as a probe into excited state processes is much less extensive. The majority of the studies that have been reported in the literature involve metal complexes based on lanthanides and platinum group metals. The discussion in this review will therefore centre on these two groups and in particular their ions and complexes. Where appropriate other examples of the application of deuteriation will be considered.

##### *4.1 Photophysical properties of deuteriated platinum group metal compounds.*

Within the platinum group metals the majority of the more recent investigations have been carried out on ruthenium polypyridyl complexes. This is not surprising since these compounds have well-defined excited state properties and an extensive synthetic chemistry allows for the systematic variation of the ligands around the metal centre [58]. Deuteriation has also been applied to the study of platinum, rhodium, chromium and molybdenum complexes. The application of deuteriation in inorganic photophysics can be broadly divided into two areas. In the first deuteriation has been applied to probing excited state decay processes. In these studies the effect of deuteriation on emission lifetimes (and

occasionally unimolecular photochemical activity) has been studied. The second area deuteriation has been used is as a tool in spectroscopic techniques such as resonance Raman [22(a),22(c),19(b),30,59] and high resolution emission spectroscopy [60], due to its significant isotopic effect on the energies of vibrational transitions. These latter studies are aimed at obtaining detailed information on the nature of electronically excited states through a study of their vibrational properties. In the present discussion we will concentrate on the former investigations, while some typical examples of the application of deuteriation to vibrational techniques will be discussed in section 4.3.

#### 4.1.3 Deuteriation and Luminescence lifetimes

Thomas *et al.* have examined the effect of deuteriation on the lifetime of the lowest energy <sup>3</sup>dd excited state, for a series of related Rh(III) complexes of the type  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NH}_3)_{6-n}\text{X}_n]^{(3-n)+}$  (X = Cl, Br, I, n = 0,1) [7(a)]. The increase in emission lifetime (at 77K and 110 K in H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH 1:4 v/v) observed for these complexes upon solvent and ligand deuteriation is between 12 and 62 fold {for X = NH<sub>3</sub>- $\tau_D/\tau_H$  = 62, Cl- $\tau_D/\tau_H$  = 52, Br- $\tau_D/\tau_H$  = 36, I- $\tau_D/\tau_H$  = 12}. The decrease in effect with increasing mass of X is most likely due to the increased importance of spin orbit coupling effect of the heavy halide atom, which facilitates deactivation by increasing the contribution of the radiative rate constant ( $k_r$ , see eq. 1) [61]. Ford and coworkers [62] have also studied the effect of deuteriation on the <sup>3</sup>dd emission of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{NH}_3)_{6-n}\text{X}_n]^{(3-n)+}$  (X = Cl, Br, n = 0,1) in acidic aqueous solutions (to prevent H-D exchange of amine protons) at 298 K. For both Cl and Br complexes ligand (NH<sub>3</sub>-ND<sub>3</sub>) deuteriation resulted in a doubling of emission lifetime in all solvents examined whilst solvent deuteriation resulted at most in a 20 % increase. When the effect of ligand deuteriation on the photochemistry of the complexes was examined the value of  $k_p$ , the rate

constant for photochemical deactivation, increased in all cases by 20-25 %. It was proposed that this increase in the rate  $k_p$  is due to a significant reduction in the  $k_{N-H}$  term (upon deuteration) contribution to the overall non-radiative excited state decay rate  $\sum k_{nr}$  and hence a relative increase in the importance of other terms. The effect of both ligand and solvent deuteration on the  $^3dd$  emission (at 77 K in  $H_2O/MeOH$  1:4 v/v) of the complex *trans*- $[Rh(py)_4Br_2]Br$  (where  $py$  = pyridine) has also been examined [7]. Solvent deuteration results in a 1.16-1.92 fold increase, whereas ligand deuteration results in a more substantial 2.7 – 4.5 fold increase in emission lifetime. In a similar study, Islam *et al.* [63] have used deuteration of the amine groups in the complex [*trans*- $Rh(en)_2Cl_2$ ] $Cl$  (where  $en$  = ethylene diamine), to compare the efficiency of excited state deactivation due to N-D/N-H vibrational modes and the symmetric Cl-Rh-Cl stretching vibrational modes and have found the latter to be the most important. The two primary accepting modes were determined using spectral fitting and temperature dependent lifetime studies. Huang Rhys factors ( $S$ ) of 0.1 and 21 were determined for N-H/D and Cl-Rh-Cl stretching vibrational modes respectively. The weak coupling nature of the former was expected to result in an observable deuteration effect, which was found to be the case. Deuteration of N-H leads to a 3.4 to 11  $\mu s$  increase at 298 K and 17 to 274  $\mu s$  increase in emission lifetime at 80 K. Krausz *et al.* [64] have shown that such dramatic effects of deuteration on the emission lifetime of rhodium complexes are not restricted to systems exhibiting  $^3dd$  emission. The emission of rhodium tris diimine complexes (e.g.  $[Rh(bpy)_3]^{3+}$ ) has been classified as  $^3IL$  in origin. Upon ligand deuteration of  $[Rh(bpy)_3]^{3+}$  an increase in emission lifetime of 280 to 570  $\mu s$  (at 77K) has been observed [64]. Watts *et al.* have examined the effect of deuteration on  $[Ir(bpy)_2Cl_2]^+$  [7(b)] This complex has demonstrated a dual emissive behaviour arising from two close lying weakly interacting excited states (i.e. metal centred  $^3dd$  emission and a higher energy  $^3d\pi^*$  charge transfer state. At 77 K the two excited states



are not equilibrated and exhibit different emission lifetimes ( ${}^3\text{dd}$  (5.9  $\mu\text{s}$ ) and  ${}^3\text{d}\pi^*$  (4.8  $\mu\text{s}$ )) and upon deuteration the  ${}^3\text{dd}$  emission lifetime increases to 12.2  $\mu\text{s}$ . Above 231 K the excited states are thermally equilibrated and show equal emission lifetimes. Above 231 K the lifetimes of both emissions increase equally upon deuteration (570 to 1190 ns). The origins of this difference in the effect of deuteration has been explained on the basis of reduced involvement of C-H modes in deactivating the  ${}^3\text{d}\pi^*$  excited state due to the change in vibrational force constants due to increased electron density on the aromatic ligands, reducing interaction between ground and excited vibronic states. In contrast for the metal centred transition, less change in electron density on the aromatic ligands, and hence force vibrational force constants, occurs.

Riesen *et al.* have compared examined the role of C-H oscillators as acceptor modes in the non-radiative decay of the  ${}^3\text{dd}$  excited state of ruthenocene [65] by per-deuteration and per-methylation of the cyclopentadienyl rings. The emission lifetimes of ruthenocene (127 $\mu\text{s}$ ) is increase to 627  $\mu\text{s}$  upon per-methylation (decamethylruthenocene) and 990  $\mu\text{s}$  upon perdeuteration ( $\text{d}_{10}$ -ruthenocene) at 1.5 K. The large increase in emission lifetime strongly supports the idea in which C-H modes act as energy acceptors.

Milder *et al.* [66] have studied the effect of solvent and ligand deuteration on the complex  $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{POP})_2]^{4-}$  ( $\text{POP} = \mu\text{-P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2$ ). The complex shows both phosphorescence ( ${}^3\text{A}_{2u}(\text{E}_u)$ - ${}^1\text{A}_{1g}$ ) and fluorescence ( ${}^1\text{A}_{2u}$ - ${}^1\text{A}_{1g}$ ) at 293 K. The very low quantum yield of fluorescence has been due to the rapid ISC to a slightly higher energy state ( ${}^3\text{B}_{2u}$ ) with which it has “strong coupling” and the “weak coupling” phosphorescent  ${}^3\text{A}_{2u}$  state. The coupling in both cases refers to the displacement with respect to the fluorescent  ${}^1\text{A}_{2u}$  state and not the ground state. Ligand deuteration did not result in significant changes in the fluorescence lifetime

of the complex, either in propionitrile/2-methyltetrahydrofuran glass or in polymethylmethacrylate at 77 K. However, solvent deuteration in the case of ethanol/methanol matrices resulted in dramatic increases in fluorescence lifetimes; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH/CH<sub>3</sub>OH - 750 ps, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OD/CH<sub>3</sub>OD - 1900 ps, C<sub>2</sub>D<sub>5</sub>OD/CD<sub>3</sub>OD - 2170 ps. The origin of this increase in fluorescence lifetime was determined to be primarily to the reduction in the rate of ISC to the weak coupling phosphorescent <sup>3</sup>A<sub>2u</sub> excited state from the fluorescent <sup>1</sup>A<sub>2u</sub>, a process that is suggested to be coupled to O-H and C-H solvent vibrational modes.

Although the effect of deuteration on several transition metal systems has been examined in the past, more recently significant attention has been given to ruthenium(II) diimine complexes. The first observation of an effect of deuteration on the emission lifetime of [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> was made by Watts et al. [7]. They have found that deuteration of 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy) results in a doubling of its emission lifetime (0.9 sec to 2.2 sec at 77K), whilst deuteration of the complex [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> results in a more modest 20 % increase in (5.1 to 6.1 μsec at 77 K in EtOH/MeOH and 0.58 to 0.69 μsec at 298 K in H<sub>2</sub>O). In contrast solvent deuteration (H<sub>2</sub>O to D<sub>2</sub>O) leads to a doubling of the emission lifetime of [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (0.58 to 1.02 μsec at 298 K). It was suggested, based on the theories of radiationless transitions developed for organic compounds [3-5], that this difference between ligand C-H and solvent O-H vibrational modes' ability to deactivate the lowest <sup>3</sup>MLCT states is due to the charge-transfer-to-solvent character (CTTS) of the <sup>3</sup>MLCT state. They suggested that a significant proportion of the excited state's electron density is distributed over the solvent cage, facilitating transfer of electronic energy to solvent vibrational modes. A similar difference in the effect of solvent and ligand X-H vibrational modes on the emitting states of rare earth ions has been observed (*vide infra*). In addition,

O-H vibrational modes tend not to be as well defined as C-H vibrations and hence an energy match between the excited and ground state of the complex and the vibrational transition of the solvent is more efficient. More recently Krausz *et al.* [64] (table 1.) have examined the effect of partial and complete deuteration of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  on emission lifetime in a range of matrices showing a linear dependence of emission lifetime on number of hydrogens exchanged in agreement with the findings of Robbins *et al* (*vide supra*) [46(f)].

Kincaid and coworkers [22] have examined the positional dependence of the deuteration effect in  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ . The studies showed that deuteration of the 3,3' or 4,4' positions of the bpy ligand had no observable effect on the emission lifetimes (610 and 605 ns respectively) compared with non-deuterated  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  (590 ns). Deuteration of the 5,5' or 6,6' positions in contrast increased the emission lifetime to 635 and 645 ns respectively. Similar trends were observed when two or three of the available positions were deuterated. These studies are in agreement with similar studies carried out on organic compounds (*vide supra*) and again suggest that the ability of X-H vibrational modes to deactivate an excited state is dependent on the electron density distribution in the excited state.

Studies of the effect of deuteration on the photochemical properties of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  showed that at elevated temperatures competing processes for excited state deactivation become more important and the effects of ligand and solvent deuteration are equally reduced [7,67]. It was suggested that a set of excited states ( $^3\text{MC}$  or metal centred) lying higher than the lowest excited states ( $^3\text{MLCT}$ ) are thermally populated from the same. Importantly, deactivation via these states ( $^3\text{MC}$ ) was found to independent of both ligand and solvent deuteration [67], as would be expected for a strong coupling system. These

results are in agreement with the conclusions reached in section 3 in that in order for a deuteriation effect to be observed, X-H vibrational coupling must make a significant contribution to the overall non-radiative decay rate constant of the excited state.

#### 4.1.4 Deuteriation and localised emissive excited states in heteroleptic ruthenium(II) complexes

The studies on ruthenium polypyridyl complexes discussed above are concerned with the excited state properties of homoleptic complexes. The effect of partial and complete deuteriation on the emission lifetimes of heteroleptic ruthenium(II) and osmium(II) diimine complexes has also been examined. Krausz *et al.* have observed an increase in the emission lifetime of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(5,5\text{-dicarboxybipyridine})]^{2+}$  (6.3-6.9  $\mu\text{s}$  at 77 K in EtOH/MeOH 4:1 v/v) [64] upon deuteriation of the bpy ligand. This increase is of the close to the increase in emission lifetime from  $[\text{Ru}(\text{h}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{bpy})]^{2+}$  to  $[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{bpy})]^{2+}$  (5.2-6.1  $\mu\text{s}$  at 77 K in PVA) [64]. Kober *et al.* have reported the effect of bpy deuteriation on a range of heteroleptic osmium(II) complexes [68(a)] ( $[\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{LL})]^{2+}$ , LL = dppy, dppm, diars, bpy). They observed increases of between 40 and 100 % in the emission lifetime showing that the relative effect of ligand deuteriation for osmium(II) complexes is greater than that observed for of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ . Bergkamp *et al.* have found similar increases in emission lifetime upon perdeuteriation of  $[\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  at 10 K (1.05 $\mu\text{s}$  to 2.5 $\mu\text{s}$ ) [68(b)].

In mixed ligand compounds the issues as to which ligand the emitting  $^3\text{MLCT}$  state is localised on is of interest. This location of excited states is of particular interest to the field of supramolecular chemistry, where energy vectoring is of significant interest and

where the design suitable molecular assemblies the nature and location of the lowest excited state is often a controlling factor [58(a)]. In heteroleptic complexes, such as  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{LL})]^{n+}$ , the excited state may lie on either the bipyridine ligand or the ligand (LL) or even on a component of a ligand [30]. Methods of determining its location are required for the correct assignment of spectroscopic bands and LUMO energies. Resonance Raman spectroscopy [30], electrochemistry [58(a)] and acid base studies [69], have proven useful in determining excited state electronic distribution, however a more recent approach has investigated the application of deuteration of ligands for this purpose. Partial deuteration in combination with the measurement of the excited state lifetime has been proposed as a method for the location of the emitting states of heteroleptic ruthenium polypyridyl complexes [30,40-42]. It has been suggested that deuteration of one of the ligands in a mixed ligand complex will only affect the emission lifetime if the emitting state is based on that ligand. To illustrate this Vos and co-workers [40,41] have carried out partial deuteration of two mixed ligand complexes,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{HL}^1)]^{2+}$  **1**,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L}^2)]^{2+}$  **2** (see fig. 3). For the non-deuteriated complexes the emission lifetimes of 225 (**1**) and 740 ns (**2**) were obtained, deuteration of the 2,2'-bipyridine ligands leads to lifetimes of 480 (**1**) and 780 ns (**2**). So deuteration of (**1**) leads to almost a doubling of the emission lifetime while for complex (**2**) deuteration has little effect (Table 2). They concluded from this that the emitting states were located on the bpy ligand for complex (**1**) and electron poor triazine ring  $\text{L}^2$  ligand for complex (**2**) [40,41]. These observations were in agreement with resonance Raman studies on these compounds. In a similar study partial deuteration of the complexes,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L}^3)]^+$  **3**,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L}^4)]^+$  **4** and  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{HL}^4)]^{2+}$  **5** (fig. 3) [42] was carried out and lifetime measurements in conjunction with excited state RR were carried out to determine the nature of the emitting state. For complex **3** deuteration of the bpy ligand leads to an increase in the emission lifetime from 145 ns to 250 ns, (fig. 4) whilst

deuteriation of the  $L^3$  had no effect. For complex **4** deuteriation of the bpy ligand leads to a small increase in emission lifetime (230 ns to 290 ns) whilst deuteriation of  $L^4$  had little or no effect on the emission lifetime. RR suggests that in both **3** and **4** the emitting state is bpy based. The increase observed for bpy deuteriation in **4** was however less than expected. Detailed studies carried on this compound suggest that this reduced sensitivity is explained by the presence of two emitting states, one bpy and one pyrazine based. Complex **5** showed no increase in emission lifetime upon deuteriation of the 2,2'-bipyridine. Deuteriation of  $HL^4$  however did result in a doubling of the emission lifetime (230 to 470 ns) indicating that in the protonated state the lowest excited state is  $HL^4$  based, again in agreement with resonance Raman studies [42].

The issue of the temperature at which measurements are made has been raised by Krausz *et al.* [64]. At low temperatures where only the lowest excited state has a significant Boltzmann population, the effect of deuteriation observed is the effect on that state alone. At higher temperatures when several low-lying electronically excited states of similar orbital parentage are populated then the effect of deuteriation observed is an averaged effect on the populated states. At yet higher temperatures thermal population of states, which exhibit strong coupling with the ground state and undergo fast decay directly to the ground state may result in a reduction or even elimination of any observable effect of deuteriation. This is particularly important when assignment of excited state localisation is being attempted by selective deuteriation techniques, where excited states of similar character (e.g.  $^3MLCT$ ) but different orbital parentage are close in energy. The effect of deuteriation on the emission lifetime of platinum group transition metal complexes shows a strong dependence of the deactivating ability of X-H vibrational modes. In addition the effect of selective deuteriation experiments can potentially provide information on the nature emissive states

and hence can be applied to investigating the localisation of excited state on specific ligands. However, in contrast to many organic systems, X-H vibrational modes are seldom the primary route towards radiationless deactivation. For example, in the case of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$ , it has been found that skeletal C-C-C vibrational modes, deactivation via the lowest  $^3\text{MC}$  excited state and quenching (e.g.  $^3\text{O}_2$  quenching) constitute a dominant contribution towards radiationless deactivation, with C-H vibrational modes only being of secondary importance [22]. As would be expected based on equation 1, this limits the observable effects of deuteration and as a result the application of deuteration as a probe in these studies may not be universally applicable, particularly in systems which can be described best by the strong coupling limit of Jortner *et al.* [5(c)].

#### 4.2 Photophysical properties of deuterated rare earth ions and complexes

Rare-earth ions have been used as probes in biological systems, as substitutes for spectroscopically inert  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Zn}^{2+}$  ions and as materials for laser applications [53,70]. However, the low quantum yields and short emission lifetimes, which are typical of these ions, have prevented full exploitation of their photophysical properties. Deuteration techniques have been used both to increase the lifetime of the emitting states and to investigate the interaction between the excited metal ions and the surrounding medium. In these studies the deuteration of both solvents and ligands has been employed.

The  $\text{M}^{3+}$  (M = rare-earth ion) ions show narrow fluorescence bands over a broad range of wavelengths from the near-infrared  $\{\text{Tb}^{3+}, \text{Eu}^{3+}\}$  or the ultra violet. region  $\{\text{Gd}^{3+}\}$ . The shape and energies of these *f-f* transitions show little solvatochromic behaviour. They are formally Laporte forbidden and their absorptivities and quantum yields are therefore low,

particularly in solution [6]. Overcoming these shortcomings has been achieved by enhancement of absorptivities by use of aromatic sensitizers, and by the reduction in the contribution of non-radiative deactivation to the overall decay rate of the emitting excited states [6(b)].

#### 4.2.1 Solvent Deuteration.

Kropp *et al.* have investigated the influence of deuteration of ligands and solvents on the photophysical properties of the  $\text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  salts of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ , in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O}$  [6]. These studies have shown that the relative emission intensity ( $I_D/I_H$ ), between deuterated and non-deuterated solvents is dependent on the nature of the metal ion, with values of 19.7 for  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ , 8.2 for  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  and 1 for  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$ . This variation was accounted for by the energy gap between the emitting and ground states ( $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  12,300  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  14,200  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $\text{Gd}^{3+}$  32,100  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) [24]. As the energy gap increases, the contribution of O-H vibrational modes to the overall rate of radiationless deactivation diminishes because of a reduced Franck-Condon overlap and hence a reduced deuteration effect is observed [71]. Similar results for deuterated and non-deuterated hydrated rare earth chloride crystals were obtained by Freeman *et al.* [72]. Deuteration of methanol (0.37 to 1.49 ms) and acetone (0.38 to 1.18 ms) has also been found to increase the lifetime of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  ions, demonstrating that C-H as well as O-H vibrational modes are capable of deactivating the metal based excited state in these systems [6(b)].

For  $\text{Sm}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Dy}^{3+}$  the emission energies (7,300  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 7,800  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) are considerably lower than for the previously mentioned lanthanide ions. As a result, the excited state lifetimes of these ions are short and emission signals have been difficult to observe in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .



Both lifetimes and emission intensities show a considerable increases ( $I_D/I_H = 12$ ) in  $D_2O$ , however it is difficult to quantify these increases reliably due to the difficulties in accurately measuring these values in  $H_2O$  [6(b)].

Solvent deuteration has since been developed as a probe into the solvation of  $M^{3+}$  ions, in particular into the estimation of the number of solvent molecules in the innermost solvation sphere of the ion. Several groups have investigated the relationship between emission lifetime ( $\tau_D/\tau_H$ ) and intensities ( $I_D/I_H$ ) and the percentage of deuterated solvents [6,53,70,73,74]. It has been shown that deuteration can be applied to estimate the apparent hydration state ( $q$ ) in the first co-ordination sphere of  $Eu^{3+}$  ions using ‘‘Horrocks’’ equation:

$$q = 1.05 (1/\tau_{H_2O} - 1/\tau_{D_2O}) \quad \text{eq.3}$$

This equation has since been adapted to other solvent systems, in particular methanol [28(a),75], and to other rare earth ions [28(c),70,75];

$$q = 2.1 (1/\tau_{CH_3OH} - 1/\tau_{CH_3OD}) \quad \text{eq. 4}$$

This approach has been used extensively [29,76-79] and is accurate to  $\pm 0.5$  O-H bonds. In the case of  $M^{3+}$  ions complexed with polydentate ligands, the error can be higher than 0.5. Polydentate encapsulating ligands are often capable of excluding solvent molecules from the inner coordination sphere of the  $M^{3+}$  ion [76], however the exclusion is not complete and hence solvent- $M^{3+}$  ion distances can vary dramatically depending on environment. In addition, whereas deuteration of solvent ( $H_2O$ ) can increase lifetime of  $EuCl_3$  from 0.26

to 2.53  $\mu\text{s}$ , this increase can be reduced due to proximal C-H vibration modes of the ligands employed [24].

Recently Faulkner and coworkers [29,71] have examined the effectiveness of O-H, N-H and C-H oscillators in deactivating luminescent excited states of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ , and  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$ . Based on the results of these studies they have proposed that equation 3 be rewritten to the more general form;

$$q = A'(k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} - k_{\text{D}_2\text{O}})_{\text{corr}}, \quad \text{eq. 5}$$

where  $q$  is again the hydration state and  $A'$  the ion specific factor:  $A'$  for  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  (1.2 ms),  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$  (5 ms), and  $\text{Yb}^{3+}$  (1  $\mu\text{s}$ ). Faulkner *et al.* [71(a)] have also noted that in addition to the use of the revised  $A'$  values a further error should be corrected for in the use of Horrocks equation. Closely diffusing solvent molecules can potentially make a significant contribution to the overall non-radiative decay rate, and hence must be accounted for before the true effect of coordinated solvent molecules can be accurately assessed [71].

Deuteriation has also been employed to study the mechanism for energy transfer to solvent [4,24,80]. Three possible mechanisms for the transfer of electronic energy to O-H modes have been suggested [53(b)]. Either, energy transfer takes place to a single O-H bond, leading to a single transition from a low lying vibrational level to a higher one, energy occurs to several vibrational modes of one molecule or to vibrational modes of several molecules. The quantum yield of emission from an  $f-f$  transition is given by the following equation:

$$\Phi = k_r / (k_r + k_H[H]^n + k_D[D]^m + \sum k_i[Q_i]) \quad \text{eq. 6}$$

where n and m are the number of hydrogen/deuterium atoms involved in the quenching process. The ratio of intensity of a mixed (H<sub>2</sub>O/D<sub>2</sub>O) system to a purely deuteriated solvent system (I<sub>x</sub>/I) is given by:

$$I_x/I = \Phi_x/\Phi = 1 + \{k_H / (k_D[D]^m + k_r + \sum k_i[Q_i])\} [H]^n. \quad \text{eq. 7}$$

Assuming that  $(k_r + \sum k_i[Q_i]) \geq k_D[D]^m$  then ;

$$\Phi_x/\Phi = 1 + C[H]^n \quad \text{eq. 8}$$

where C is =  $\{k_H / (k_r + k_D[D]^m + \sum k_i[Q_i])\}$

Hence a plot of I<sub>x</sub>/I against % H<sub>2</sub>O should be linear if n = 1, which is what is found for Eu<sup>3+</sup> and Tb<sup>3+</sup> [24,53(b),81], suggesting that energy transfer is to a single O-H bond. Calculations [24] show that  $\Delta v \geq 5$  for Tb<sup>3+</sup>,  $\Delta v \geq 4$  for Eu<sup>3+</sup>,  $\Delta v \geq 2$  for Sm<sup>3+</sup> and Dy<sup>3+</sup>, where  $\Delta v$  is the number of O-H vibrational quanta required to deactivate the ions excited emissive state.

#### 4.2.2 Ligand deuteration and shielding effects.

The presence of ligands containing aromatic moieties has been shown to enhance the emission intensities and lifetimes of rare earth ions. The increase in emission intensity is

due to the increased absorption and rapid energy transfer to the emitting excited states due to the ligands. The increase in the emission lifetime observed is not, however, due to delayed energy transfer for the sensitising ligand but rather due to a shielding of the excited state from the surrounding solvent and thus a reduction in solvent induced quenching. This has been demonstrated by the reduced effect of solvent deuteration on the emission lifetime compared with the free ions. It was observed that ligand deuteration was effective in increasing the fluorescence lifetime of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Tb}^{3+}$ , although to a lesser extent than solvent deuteration [6(b)]. The results obtained suggest that C-H and N-H oscillators may open an intra-molecular vibronic deactivation pathway of the  $\text{Eu}^{3+} \ ^5\text{D}_0$  emissive state [28(b),76]. In the presence of strong chelating ligands such as EDTA the increase in fluorescence lifetime, upon solvent deuteration, has been found to be diminished (0.58-1.04 ms) compared with uncoordinated  $\text{EuCl}_3$  (0.26-2.53 ms) [28(a)] indicating shielding of the metal centred excited state from the solvent molecules. Similar results were obtained for  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$  and acetate complexes [28(a)].

The relative abilities of the various vibrational modes involved is dependent on the coupling e.g. energy match between the vibrational modes of the excited and ground states and the distance of the X-H bond from the emissive state. The effect of distance between the potentially deactivating X-H oscillator and the metal centre has been examined [28(a),76]. Partial and complete deuteration of 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane ligands (fig. 5) containing carboxylate pendant arms has demonstrated that C-H and N-H bonds close to the ligating atoms of the ligands are most efficient at quenching the  $\text{M}^{3+}$  emission [28(a),81]. Dickens *et al.* have also found that for the Eu(III) complexes based on the ligands of the type 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecane, deuteration of moieties of the ligand more distant to the metal centre results in a much reduced effect on the emission lifetime (5

$s^{-1}$  per C-H oscillator) compared with deuteration of moieties close to the metal ion ( $26 s^{-1}$  per C-H oscillator) [76,82]. {The values of 5 and  $26 s^{-1}$  refer to the contribution to the term  $k_{nr}$  in eq. 1}

In light of the work of Kropp *et al* [6] and Dickens *et al.* [76], Schwendemann *et al.* [17] have reported a study of the effect of deuteration on the emission decay of anhydrous single crystals of Eu(III) complexes containing the 2,2,6,6,-tetramethyl-3,5- heptanedionato ligand (thd) (fig. 5) and its partially and fully deuteriated analogues. In addition to eliminate the possibility of energy transfer effects in the single crystal a 0.5 % Eu:Gd(thd)<sub>3</sub> single crystal was prepared for comparison. Measurement of the emission decay rate constants at temperatures below 210 K, where contributions due to thermal population to non emissive deactivating states is minimised, shows that the single  $\alpha$ -methylene C-H oscillator contributes  $157 s^{-1}$  to the overall rate constant whilst the *tert*-butyl C-H oscillators in total contribute  $175 s^{-1}$ . This is in agreement with the distance dependence of the oscillator to the location of the emissive state. It should, however, be noted that due to contributions from a low lying MLCT state the results from this system can only be comparable in a qualitatively manner to results obtained for other systems. These results are in agreement with those reported by Ermaloeav *et al.* [83], who have recently reviewed the use of deuteration in luminescence kinetic studies of the formation and stability of lanthanide complexes in solution and have discussed the general theory behind the mechanisms involved in energy transfer to vibrational bands. They suggest that the energy transfer mechanism described by Forster's theory [84] can be extended to encompass the inductive-resonance transfer theory of non-radiative transitions in lanthanide ions, the difference being that in the latter the energy acceptor is a purely vibrational oscillator. Hence energy transfer from the luminophore to the solvent or ligand occurs via a Förster mechanism y i.e.

$k_{12} = Cr^{-6}$  (where  $k_{12}$  is the rate of energy transfer between emissive and ground states,  $r$  is the distance between the states and  $C$  is a constant for the system). From this it is immediately apparent that the strongest interactions inducing the non-radiative transition involve vibrational modes of groups closest to the emissive  $La^{3+}$  ion, i.e. the innermost coordination sphere of the ion.

Recently Yanagida *et al.* [85] have reported the “remarkable luminescence” of a Nd(III) complex incorporated into a polymeric matrix. By complete elimination of the majority C-H and O-H vibrational oscillators from both the complex  $Nd(HFA-D)_3(D_2O)_2$  where HFA is hexafluoroacetylacetone, (the  $D_2O$  molecules are replaced by preferential coordination of  $(CD_3)_2SO$  in preparation of the polymer matrix) and polymer matrix (poly-(hexafluoroisopropyl)-methacrylate, P-FiPMA) using not only deuteration but also fluorination (which in itself can be viewed as “ultra heavy hydrogen”). The effectiveness of the enhancement in quantum yield of emission of this system can be easily seen compared with systems of higher concentrations of C-H and O-H oscillators. For  $Nd(HFA-H)_3$  in Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) the quantum yield of emission ( $\Phi$ )  $< 0.01$ ,  $Nd(HFA-D)_3$  in PMMA  $\Phi = 0.1$ ,  $Nd(HFA-D)_3$  in PMMA/ DMSO- $d_6$   $\Phi = 0.5$ ,  $Nd(HFA-D)_3$  in P-FiPMA/ DMSO- $d_6$   $\Phi = 0.7$ . Such dramatic enhancements clearly demonstrate that the removal of high energy oscillators effective in photophysical studies of rare earth ions but can be extended to more applied systems such as in the development of solid state devices such as plastic fibre lasers, plastic photoamplifiers, and light emitting materials.

#### 4.3 Application of deuteration to vibrational spectroscopies

The use of isotope exchange and in particular deuteration in assigning vibrational modes is of significant interest. An extensive discussion of the results of studies involving the use of deuteration in vibrational spectroscopies is beyond the scope of this review and is dealt with elsewhere [60]. However some notable examples of the use of deuteration in assigning vibrational bands are presented to illustrate the effectiveness of deuteration in both interpreting vibrational spectra and in applying the results to understanding photophysical processes and electronic excited state structure.

The application of H-D exchange to vibrational spectroscopy has been well demonstrated in the study of the complexes  $[\text{Mo}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_4]$  and  $[\text{Mo}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCD}_3)_4]$  by Hempleman *et al.* [86]. In the Raman spectra (at 20 K) of these complexes the band at  $404\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which had been assigned to Mo-Mo stretching modes by metal isotope substitution, is essentially unchanged by deuteration whilst Mo-O and OCO bands are noticeably shifted to lower energy. Clark *et al.* have extended the use of isotopic labelling as a tool for the unambiguous assignment of vibrational bands of Raman and Resonance Raman spectra to the complex  $\text{Rh}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CCH}_3)_4(\text{PPh}_3)_2$  [87]. Deuteration has also been used to probe the excited state ( $T_1$ ) structure of copper(II) porphyrins using time resolved resonance Raman ( $\text{TR}^3$ ) spectroscopy [19].

Coates *et al.* [30(b)] have used the partial deuteration of dppz (dipyridophenazine) to  $\text{d}_6$ -dppz to aid assignment of the transient resonance Raman spectra of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{dpp})_2(\text{dppz})]^{2+}$  (where dpp is 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline) and  $[\text{Ru}(\text{dpp})_2(\text{d}_6\text{-dppz})]^{2+}$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , DNA and  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$ . These studies have shown that although many of the bands observed are attributable to vibrations which involve the entire dppz ligand skeleton, isotopic

substitution suggests the involvement of vibrational modes more confined a particular moiety of the dppz ligand.

In addition to providing information on the origin of vibrational modes by isotopic shift effects, the use of deuteration has been extended by Kincaid *et al.* [22] to providing additional data to allow for refinement of ground state force field calculations obtained from normal co-ordinate analysis (NCA). They have carried out rR and TR<sup>3</sup> studies in fluid solution on a series of isotopomers of [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> using selective deuteration and <sup>15</sup>N labelling. The data obtained has allowed for the derivation of a corresponding force field for the anion radical fragment of the <sup>3</sup>MLCT excited state. This has allowed identification the presence of vibrations in the excited state Raman spectra of [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>, which are similar to those observed for the bpy<sup>-</sup> radical anion. Based on the results of these empirical and theoretical studies, Kincaid *et al.* [22] have suggested that for \*[Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> a localised excited state with the formula [Ru<sup>III</sup>(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(bpy<sup>-</sup>)]<sup>2+</sup> exists, in agreement with the conclusions of Krausz *et al.* [60(b),60(c)].

The use of vibrational spectroscopy, in particular resonance Raman and TR<sup>3</sup>, in probing excited states although useful may be ambiguous as has been demonstrated by Humbs *et al.* [59] in the study of the complexes [Pt<sup>II</sup>(h<sub>8</sub>-bpy)<sub>2-n</sub>(d<sub>8</sub>-bpy)<sub>n</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (where n = 0,1,2). Raman spectra of these complexes were obtained as their neat perchlorate powders and interestingly the Raman scattering intensities due to the per-deuterio ligand in the mixed ligand complex were found to be significantly higher than for the per-protio ligand. This is not an unusual occurrence and has also been found the Humbs *et al.* to occur in the analogous [Rh<sup>III</sup>(h<sub>8</sub>-bpy)<sub>3-n</sub>(d<sub>8</sub>-bpy)<sub>n</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (where n = 1,2) complexes. The results of these Raman studies were then used to aid assignment of vibrational features of the highly



resolved low temperature emission spectrum (*vide infra*) of the Pt(II) complexes  $\{[\text{Pt}^{\text{II}}(\text{h}_8\text{-bpy})_{2-n}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_n]^2+\}$  (where  $n = 0,1,2$ ), albeit where only vibrational modes due to the per-protio ligands were observed in all but the perdeuterated complex, indicating that emission from the per-protio ligand based excited state alone is occurring. Danzer *et al.* have examined the rR and TR<sup>3</sup> spectra of ruthenium complexes containing bpy, pypz, bpz and their partially deuterated analogues in order to assign Raman bands and assign the location of the excited state [30(a)]. The results of these studies allowed for the confirmation of the localisation of the excited state on the pyrazine moiety in heteroleptic complexes. Similar studies on the pyridinyl- and pyrazinyl-1,2,4-triazole complexes (fig. 3) described in section 3.1 have been carried out. In these studies partial and complete deuteration have allowed for the determination of the emitting state for these compounds [40-42].

Low temperature ( $< 5$  K) high resolution emission studies on a series of platinum group complexes have been carried out by Yersin *et al.* [60(a)] and Krausz *et al.* [16,88]. Both matrix and ligand deuteration have been employed in these studies. The results of these studies have recently been reviewed in detail by Yersin *et al.* [60(a)] and Krausz *et al.* [60(b),60(c)] and only the experimental effects of deuteration will be dealt with here.

Obtaining highly resolved emission and excitation spectra of transition metal complexes is often hampered by the lack of adequate matrices. However several matrices have been found which are suitable such as  $[\text{Pt}(\text{bpy})_2](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ ,  $[\text{Zn}(\text{bpy})_3](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ ,  $[\text{Rh}(\text{bpy})_3](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ ,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3](\text{ClO}_4)_2$ ,  $[\text{Os}(\text{bpy})_3](\text{ClO}_4)_2$  etc. [60]. These are suitable due to the presence of inequivalent sites, the so called X traps, which allow the transition metal complexes in neat crystal matrices to have their emitting states several tens of  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  lower than those of the majority of complexes and/or below the exciton band. At very low temperatures these “sites” can be populated selectively and hence highly resolved spectra obtained [60(a)]. A second strategy for isolating transition metal complexes is based on the

effect of deuteration on electronic transition energies. By doping per-deuterated matrices with the partially or completely per-protonated metal complexes a similar effect to the X-trap effect can be observed [60(a)], i.e. the electronic origins of the non and partially deuterated complexes are several 10's of  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  lower than those of the completely deuterated matrix.

Deuteration has been applied to similar studies of chromium(III) amine complexes by Flint *et al.* [89] and later Kirk *et al.* [90], of Ruthenocene by Riesen *et al.* [65], of vanadium(II) hexaquo complex by McDonald *et al.* [91], of  $\text{Pd}(2\text{-thpy})_2$  [92] and of  $[\text{Rh}(\text{h}_8\text{-bpy})_{3-x}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_x]^{2+}$  ( $x = 0$  to 3) by Yersin *et al.* [59(a)]. In general the application of deuteration to these studies have been to allow for assignment of vibrational bands rather than as a direct probe into electronically excited state decay processes. In a similar manner deuteration has also been applied in investigations involving Stark effect spectroscopy [93], fluorescence and excitation line narrowing and Transient spectral hole burning [59(a),93-95].

## 6. Concluding remarks and outlook.

The effect of deuteration on vibrational energies, in the case of the Pt group metals, has been of primary interest and its application to vibrational spectroscopies is already very well developed [60]. Deuteration has also been used as a probe of the excited state electronic structure of inorganic complexes, in particular  $\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}$  diimine and  $\text{Rh}^{\text{III}}$  amine complexes [section 4.1]. The potential of deuteration in both elucidating molecular structure and in probing excited state electronic structure is of particular relevance to the field of supramolecular chemistry where large complex molecular assemblies are frequently employed and where characterisation of complex molecular systems is inherently difficult.

In contrast the application of deuteration to the study of free rare-earth ions and their complexes has been almost exclusively directed towards improving quantum yields of emission for potential laser applications and probing their coordination environment in inorganic systems and bioinorganic systems [section 4.2].

The effect of deuteration of inorganic complexes on non-radiative deactivation processes has been found to mirror that found for organic systems. However, whereas X-H vibrational modes can dominate the overall rate of non-radiative deactivation in organic systems, for inorganic complexes and ions other vibrational modes such as skeletal vibrations and solvent modes can dominate. Despite this, the application of deuteration as a probe of the nature of electronically excited states has been demonstrated and shows considerable potential. It is also of note that while ostensibly excited state decay processes of organic systems seem of only minor relevance to the study of the rare earth and platinum group metals, it is in fact possible to extend such organic photophysical theories to inorganic systems [46].

It is ironic however that although the earliest applications of deuteration have been in the probing of electronically excited states, it has not been exploited to its full potential in this regard. The main reason for this in the past is likely to be due to the difficulties encountered in preparing deuterated complexes, however with the ever-increasing number of relatively facile routes becoming available this situation is changing for the better.

### **Acknowledgements.**

The Authors would like to thank Enterprise Ireland for financial support and M. Duati, K Murphy and H. Burke of Dublin City University and Prof. J. M. Kelly of Trinity College Dublin for their useful comments on this review.

## References

1. C.A. Hutchinson, Jr., B.W. Mangum, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 32 (1960) 1261
2. M.R. Wright, R.P. Frosch, G.W. Robinson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 33 (1960) 934
3. (a) G.W. Robinson, R.P. Frosch, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 37 (1962) 1962 (b) G.W. Robinson, R.P. Frosch, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 38 (1963) 1187 (c) W. Siebrand, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 46 (1967) 440 (d) ref. 1-9 in 27(c) (e) W. Siebrand, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 44 (1966) 4055
4. W.M. Gelbart, K.F. Freed, S.A. Rice, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 52 (1970) 2460.
5. (a) J. Jortner, S.A. Rice, R.M. Hochstrasser, *Adv. Photochemistry*, 7 (1969) 149 (b) K.F. Freed, J. Jortner, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 52 (1970) 6272 (c) R. Englman, J. Jortner, *Mol. Physics*, 18 (1970) 145
6. (a) J.L. Kropp, M.W. Windsor, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 39 (1963) 2769 (b) J.L. Kropp, M.W. Windsor, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 42 (1965) 1599
7. (a) T.R. Thomas, R.J. Watts, G.A. Crosby, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 59 (1973) 2123 (b) R.J. Watts, S. Efrima, H. Metiu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 101 (1979) 2742

8. J. Van Houten, R.J. Watts, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97 (1975) 3843
9. (a) R.P. Thummel, D. Williamson, C. Hery, *Inorg. Chem.*, 32 (1993) 1587 (b) S. Chirayil, R.P. Thummel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 28 (1989) 812 (c) J.E. O'Brien, T.B.H. McMurry, C.N. O'Callaghan, *J. Chem. Research (s)*, (1998) 448 and ref. therein.
10. T. Junk, W.J. Catallo, *Chem. Soc. Reviews*, 26 (1997) 401
11. T.R. Thomas, R.J. Watts, G.A. Crosby, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 59 (1973) 2123
12. M.E. Frink, P.C. Ford, *Inorg. Chem.*, 24 (1985) 1033
13. (a) R.W. Henning, A.J. Schultz, M.A. Hitchman, G. Kelly, T. Astley. *Inorg. Chem.*, 39 (2000) 765 (b) R.E. Echols, G.C. Levy, *J. Org. Chem.*, 39 (1974) 1321
14. G.W. Kabalka, R.M. Pagni, P. Bridwell, E. Walsh, H.M. Hassaneen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 46 (1981) 1513.
15. (a) A. Corval, C. Kryschi, H.P. Trommsdorff, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 98 (1994) 7376 (b) S. Rasku, K. Wahala, *Tetrahedron*, 56 (2000) 913
16. H. Riesen, L. Wallace, E. Krausz, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 100 (1996) 17138

17. T.C. Schwendemann, P.S. May, M.T. Berry, Y. Hou, C.Y. Meyers, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 102 (1998) 8690
18. (a) K.M. Smith, D.W. Parish, W.S. Inouye, *J. Org. Chem.*, 51 (1986) 666 (b) L. Bonomo, E. Solari, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa, C Rizzoli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 120 (1998) 12972 (c) S.M. Bishop, A. beeby, A.W. Parker, M.S.C. Foley, D. Phillips, *J. Photochem. & PhotoBio. A: Chemistry*, 90 (1995) 39
19. (a) P. Drożdżewski, E. Kordon, *Spectrochimica Acta Part A*, 56 (2000) 1299-1304 (b) M. Asano-Someda, S. Sato, K. Aoyagi, T. Kitagawa, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 99 (1995) 13800-13807
20. M.J. Cook, A.P. Lewis, G.S.G. McAuliffe, V. Skarda, A.J. Thomson, J.L. Glasper, D.J. Robbins, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, (1984) 1293
21. S.F. McClanahan, J.R. Kincaid, *J. Raman Spectroscopy*, 15 (1984) 173
22. (a) P.K. Mallick, G.D. Danzer, D.P. Strommen, J.R. Kincaid, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 92 (1988) 5628 (b) D.P. Strommen, P.K. Mallick, G.D. Danzer, R.S. Lumpkin, J.R. Kincaid, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 94 (1990) 1357 (c) K. Maruszewski, K. Bajdor, D.P. Strommen, J.R. Kincaid, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 99 (1995) 6286 (d) S.F. McClanahan, J.R. Kincaid, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 108 (1986) 3840
23. E.C. Constable, K.R. Seddon, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, (1982) 34

24. G. Stein, E. Würzburg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 62 (1975) 208
25. J. Yao, R.F. Evilia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 116 (1994) 11229
26. (a) J.W. Larsen, L.W. Chang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 43 (1998) 3602 (b) G. Keck, D. Krishnamurthy, *J. Org. Chem.*, 61 (1996) 7638 (c) J.L. Garnett, M.A. Long, R.F.W. Vining, T. Mole, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 94 (1972) 5913
27. (a) J.R. Jones, W.J.S. Lockley, S.Y. Lu, S.P. Thompson, *Tet. Letts.* 42 (2001) 331  
(b) N. Elander, J.R. Jones, S.Y. Lu, S. Stone-Elandor, *Chem. Soc. Reviews*, 29 (2000) 239
28. (a) M.P.O. Wolbers, F.C.J.M. van Veggel, J.W. Hofstraat, F.A.J. Geurts, D.N. Reinhoudt, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, (1997) 2275 (b) M.P.O. Wolbers, F.C.J.M. van Veggel, B.H.M Snellink-Ruël, J.W. Hofstraat, F.A.J. Geurts, D.N. Reinhoudt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 119 (1997) 138-144 (c) M.P.O. Wolbers, F.C.J.M. van Veggel, B.H.M Snellink-Ruël, J.W. Hofstraat, F.A.J. Geurts, D.N. Reinhoudt, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, (1998) 2141
29. A. Beeby, S. Faulkner, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 266 (1997) 116
30. (a) G.D. Danzer, J.A. Golus, J.R. Kincaid, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 115 (1993) 8643 (b) C.G. Coates, P.L. Callaghan, J.J. McGarvey, J.M. Kelly, P.E. Kruger, M.E. Higgins, *J. Raman Spect.*, 31 (2000) 283

31. B.R. Henry, J L. Charlton, *J.Am.Chem.Soc.*, 95 (1973) 2782
32. C.M.Dupureur, J.K Barton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 116 (1994) 10286
33. J.Saltiel, A.S.Waller, D.F. Sears Jr., C.Z. Garrett, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 97 (1993) 2516
34. R.R.Fraser, R.N. Renaud., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 88 (1966) 4365
35. D. Hesk, J.R. Jones, W.J.S. Lockley, *J. Pharm. Chem.*, 80 (1991) 887
36. W.J.S.Lockley, *Tet. Letts.*, 23 (1982) 3819
37. J.S. Chiko, *J. Org. Chem.*, 51 (1986) 553 and references therein.
38. K. Ofusa-Asante, L.M. Stock, *J. Org. Chem.*, 52 (1987) 2938.
39. G. Fischer, M. Puza, *Synthesis*, 4 (1973) 218
40. T.E. Keyes, F. Weldon, E. Müller, P. Pechy, M. Grätzel, J.G. Vos, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1995) 2705
41. S. Fanni, T. Keyes, C.M. O'Connor, H. Hughes, R.Y. Wang, J.G. Vos, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 208 (2000) 77



42. T.E. Keyes, C.M. O'Connor, U. O'Dwyer, C.G. Coates, P. Callaghan, J.J. McGarvey, J.G. Vos, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 103 (1999) 8915
43. D. Podsiadla, W. Ryba-Romanowski, S. Golab, O. Czupinski, Z. Czapla, *J. Molecular Structure*, 555 (2000) 335
44. C.K. Brush, M.P. Stone, T.M. Harris, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 110 (1988) 4405
45. N. Hirota, C.A Hutchinson, Jr, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 43 (1965) 1561
46. (a) H. Kupka, *Mol. Physics*, 37 (1979) 1673 (b) H. Kupka, *Mol. Physics*, 37 (1979) 1683 (c) H. Kupka, W. Ensslin, R. Wernicke, H. Schmidtke, *Mol. Physics*, 37 (1979) 1693 (d) H. Kupka, *Mol. Physics*, 36 (1978) 685 (e) V.L. Ermolaev, E.B. Sveshnikova, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 23 (1973) 349 (f) D.J. Robbins, A.J. Thomson, *Mol. Physics*, 25 (1973) 1103
47. J. V. Casper, E.M. Kober, B.P. Sullivan, T. Meyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 104 (1982) 630
48. (a) The equation (a) described by Jortner and coworkers [5] for the vibrationally induced non-radiative excited state decay rate has been furthered by Gelbart *et al.*[4]
- $$k_{nr} = (2\pi/\hbar) \sum_r \sum_s p(mr) |v_{mr,ns}|^2 \delta(E_{mr} - E_{ns}) \quad \text{eq. (a)}$$
- Where  $p(mr)$  is the Boltzman factor for the state of energy  $E_{mr}$ ,  $v_{mr,ns}$  is the matrix element for the total Hamiltonian for the zero order Born-Oppenheimer state,  $E_{mr}$  and  $E_{ns}$  is the energy of the donor and acceptor states respectively. By applying the

low temperature limit the term  $r$  is only  $r = 0$  and hence  $\delta(E_{mr}-E_{ns})$ , the weighted sum of the energy gap, becomes  $\delta(E_{m0}-E_{ns})$  and thence equation (a) may be written as eq. (b):

$$k_{nr} = (2\pi/\hbar) \sum_s p(m0) |v_{m0,ns}|^2 \delta(E_{m0}-E_{ns}) \quad \text{eq. (b)}$$

and hence:

$$k_{nr} = (2\pi/\hbar) \rho v_{mn}^2 \quad \text{eq. (c)} \quad \{\text{where } v_{mn}^2 \approx |v_{m0,ns}|^2 \text{ and } \rho \approx \sum_s \delta(E_{m0}-E_{ns})\}$$

$v_{mn}^2$  is a simple function of electronic factors and vibrational overlaps ( $v_{(mr,ns)} = \sum_k J_{(m,n)}^k F_{(mr,ns)}^k$ ). By separating  $v_{mn}^2$  into its electronic  $\{J \text{ or } J_{(m,n)}^k\}$  and vibrational components  $\{F(E) \text{ or } F_{(mr,ns)}^k\}$  equation 2 is obtained:

$$k_{X-H} = (2\pi/\hbar) \rho J F(E) \quad \text{eq 2}$$

(b) The integral in eq. (d) is only significant when  $\zeta_{mn}$  is large in the region of the vibrating nuclei and hence increasing electron density ( $\zeta_{mn}$ ) in the region where vibrations act as promoting modes should therefore lead to larger values of  $k_{nr}$ .

$$J \propto \int \zeta_{mn}(r) (dV/dQ_k) dr \quad \text{eq. (d)}$$

where  $\zeta_{mn}$  is the electronic transition density from  $\psi_m$ -  $\psi_n$ ,  $dQ_k$  are the nuclear coordinates of the promoting modes and  $V$  is the one electron electron-nuclear interaction. {Promoting modes undergo change of one quantum in the radiative transition; accepting modes appear in the FC overlap integral in eq 2}

49. Two consequences of the low value of  $E_a$  (fig.1) are (a) the thermal energy required to overcome the potential barrier is generally available at low temperatures and (b) as the probability of the vibronic state is greatest at the edge of the potential well

then the excited state distortion will result in large overlaps of the lowest vibrionic states of the excited state with the isoenergetic ground vibrionic states

50. The mean vibrational frequency of a molecule is given by the weighted average of all vibrational frequencies (i.e.  $\frac{\sum_j \Delta_j^2 \omega_j}{\sum_j \Delta_j^2}$  where  $\Delta_j$  is the excited state fractional displacement w.r.t. the ground state and  $\omega_m$  is the frequency of the deactivating vibrational mode). Due to the large contribution of C-C (and in the case of transition metal complexes metal ligand vibrations e.g. Ru-N, Ru-Cl etc.) to the overall value of the mean molecular vibrational frequency then deuteration of a large molecule would be expected to show only very minor perturbations to this value
51. The Huang Rhys factor (S) corresponds to the electron vibrational coupling constant, which is related to the displacement of the excited state potential well along the molecular co-ordinates corresponding to the vibrational mode in question.  $S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \Delta_j^2$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$  the sum of the square of the fractional displacement of the ground and excited states for the deactivating mode/s.
52. The energy of the lowest triplet excited state of stilbene is can be dissipated either via torsional and vibrational processes, with the former resulting in isomerisation. The non-radiative rate constant in such systems contains contributions from both torsional (which may result in photochemical deactivation) and vibrational modes
53. (a) A. Heller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 2058 (b) A. Heller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 167

54. Y. Hasegawa, K. Murakoshi, Y. Wada, S. Yanagida, J. Kim, N. Nakashima, T. Yamanaka, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 248 (1996) 8
55. S.H. Lin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 44 (1966) 3759 (c) S.H. Lin, R. Bersohn, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 48 (1968) 2732
56. (a) R.J.Watts, S.J.Strickler, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 49 (1968) 3867 (b) T.D.Gierke, R.J.Watts, S.J.Strickler, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 50 (1969) 5425 (c) J.D.Simpson, H.W.Offen, J.G.Burr, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 2 (1968) 383
57. V.G. Heinrich, H. Gusten, F. Mark, G. Olbrich, D. Schulte-Frohlinde, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.*, 77 (1973) 103
58. (a) A. Juris, V. Balzani, F. Barigelletti, S. Campagna, P. Belser, A. Von Zelewsky, *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, (1988) 86 (b) K. Kalyansundaram, *Photochemistry of polypyridine and porphyrins complexes*, Academic Press Ltd. London, 1992 ISBN 0-12-394992-0
59. (a) W. Humbs, H. Yersin, *Inorg. Chem.*, Vol. 35 (1996) 2220 (b) W. Humbs, H. Yersin, *Inorganica Chimica Acta*, 265 (1997) 139
60. (a) H. Yersin, W. Humbs, J. Strasser, *Topics in Current Chemistry*, 191 (1997) 154 (b) H. Riesen, E. Krauz, *Comments on Coord. Chem.*, 18 (1995) 27 (c) H. Riesen, E. Krauz, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.*, 16 (1997) 291

61. J.N. Demas, G.A. Crosby, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 92 (1970) 7262
62. (a) J.D. Petersen, P.C. Ford, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 78 (1974) 1144 (b) M.E. Frink, P.C. Ford, *Inorg. Chem.*, 24 (1985) 1033 (c) M.A. Bergkamp, J. Brannon, D. Magde, R.J. Watts, P.C. Ford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 101 (1979) 4549 (d) M.A. Bergkamp, R.J. Watts, P.C. Ford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 2627 (e) W. Weber, R. Van Eldik, H. Helm, J. DiBenedetto, Y. Du Commun, H. Offen, P.C. Ford, *Inorg. Chem.*, 22 (1983) 623
63. A. Islam, N. Ikeda, K. Nozaki, T. Ohno, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 109 (1998) 4900
64. E. Krausz, G. Moran, H. Riesen, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 165 (1990) 401
65. H. Riesen, E. Krausz, W. Luginbuhl, M. Biner, H.U. Gudel, A. Ludi, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 96(1992) 4131
66. S.J.Milder, B.S. Brunschwig, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 96 (1992) 2189
67. (a) J. Van Houten, R.J. Watts, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 98 (1976) 4853 (b) J. Van Houten, R.J. Watts, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17 (1978) 3381
68. (a) E. M. Kober, J. V. Casper, R.S. Lumpkin, T.J. Meyer, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 90 (1986) 3722 (b) M.A. Bergkamp, P. Gutlich, T.L. Netgel, N. Sutin, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 87 (1983) 3877

69. J.G. Vos, *Polyhedron*, 11 (1992) 2285
70. (a) W. DeW. Horrocks Jr, D.R. Sudnick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 101 (1979) 334 (b) R.C. Holz, C.A. Chang, W. DeW. Horrocks, Jr., *Inorg. Chem.*, 30 (1991) 3270
71. (a) A. Beeby, I.M. Clarkson, R.S. Dickens, S. Faulkner, D. Parker, L. Royle, A.S. de Sousa, J.A.G. Williams, M. Woods, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkins Trans. 2*, (1999) 493  
(b) P.S. May, F.S. Richardson, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 179 (1991) 277 (c) I.M. Clarkson, A. Beeby, J.I. Bruce, L.J. Govenlock, M.P. Lowe, C.E. Mathieu, D. Parker, K. Senanayake, *New Journal of Chemistry*, 24 (2000) 377
72. J.J. Freeman, G.A. Crosby, K.E. Lawson, *J. Mol. Spectry.*, 13 (1964) 399
73. P.K. Gallagher, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 43 (1965) 1742
74. (a) E.B. Sveshnikova, V.E. Lanin, E.V. Krutineva, V.L. Ermolaev, *Optics and Spectroscopy*, 89 (2000) 360 (b) V.L. Ermolaev, E.B. Sveshnikova, *Soviet J. Opt. Tech.*, 60 (1993) 792
75. S.I. Klink, L. Grave, D.N. Reinhoudt, F.C.J.M Van Veggel, M.H.V. Werts, F.A.J. Geurts, J.W. Hofstraat, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 104 (2000) 5457
76. R.S. Dickens, D. Parker, A.S. de Sousa, J.A.G. Williams, *Chem. Commun.*, (1996) 697

77. B. Alpha, V. Balzani, J. Lehn, S. Perathoner, N. Sabbatini, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 26 (1987) 1266
78. F. Tanaka, Y. Kawasaki, S. Yamashita, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1*, 84 (1988) 1083
79. Y.Z. An, M.T. Berry, F.C.J.M Van Veggel, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 104 (2000) 11243
80. Y.Haas, G.Stein, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 75 (1971) 3668
81. P.L. Anelli, V. Balzani, L. Prodi, F. Uggeri, *Gaz. Chim. Italiana*, 121 (1991) 359
82. This is in agreement with studies on the effect of distance on the ability of solvent molecules to deactivate the emissive state of lanthanide ions (cf solvent exclusion by encapsulating ligands)
83. (a) V.L. Ermolaev, E.B. Sveshnikova, *Russian Chemical Reviews*, 63 (1994) 905  
(b) K.L. Ermolaev, E.B. Sveshnikova, *Acta Physica Polonica A*, 95 (1991) 299
84. Th. Forster, *Ann. Phys. (Liepzig)* 2 (1948) 55
85. S. Yanagida, Y Hasegawa, Y. Wada, *J. Lumin.*, 87 (2000) 995-998
86. A.J. Hempleman, R.J.H. Clark, C.D. Flint, *Inorg. Chem.*, 25 (1986) 2915

87. R.J.H. Clark, A.J. Hempleman, C.D. Flint, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 108 (1986) 518
88. H. Riesen, L. Wallace, E. Krausz, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 99 (1995) 16807
89. (a) C.D. Flint, P. Greenhough, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, 68 (1972) 897 (b) C.D. Flint, A.P. Matthews, P.J. O'Grady, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, 73 (1977) 655
90. A.D. Kirk, H.U. Gudel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 31 (1992) 4564
- 9.1 R.G. McDonald, R. Starnger, M.A. Hitchman, P.W. Smith, *Chem. Phys.*, 154 (1991) 179
92. D. Becker, H. Yersin, A. von Zelewsky, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 235 (1995) 490
93. (a) H. Riesen, E. Krausz, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 99 (1993) 7614 (b) H. Riesen, L. Wallace, E. Krausz, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 102 (1995) 4823 (c) H. Riesen, E. Krausz, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 260 (1996) 130 (a) H. Riesen, E. Krausz, *Chem. Phys. Letts.*, 287 (1998) 388
94. H. Riesen, E. Wallace, L. Krausz, *Inorganic Chem.*, 39 (2000) 5044-5052 and references 13-16 therein
95. (a) H. Riesen, E. Krausz, *Chem. Phys Letts.*, 151 (1988), 65 (b) H. Riesen, L. Wallace, E. Krausz, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 100 (1996) 4390



**Index of Figures and Tables.**

Figure 1. (a) Weak coupling limit and (b) strong coupling limit between electronic states. The Franck-Condon absorption ( $\Delta E + E_m = h\nu_{\text{abs}}$ ) and emission energies ( $\Delta E - E_m = h\nu_{\text{em}}$ ), allow for the approximate calculation of the Stoke's shift  $\approx (\Delta E + E_m) - (\Delta E - E_m) = 2E_m$

Figure 2. Changes in vibrational levels and overlap, which occur upon deuteration

Figure 3. Triazole and triazine based ligands used in the study of the effect of partial deuteration on mixed ligand ruthenium polypyridyl complexes.

Figure 4. Time Correlated Single Photon Counting traces of the complexes  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L}^3)]^+$  (lower trace) and  $[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{L}^3)]^+$  (upper trace).

Figure 5 Ligands described in the text.

Table 1. The effect of extent of deuteration and matrix on the emission lifetime of  $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$

Table 2. Effect of deuteration on the emission lifetime of complexes 1 to 5. Measured in  $\text{N}_2$  degassed acetonitrile.




Figure 1.




Figure 2




Figure 3







Figure 4




2-thiopyridine (2-thpy)




2,3-dipyridopyrazine (dppz)



Heptafluoroacetoacetate



Substituted 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclodecane



2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedione (thd)

Figure 5.

	D <sub>0</sub>	D <sub>8</sub>	D <sub>16</sub>	D <sub>24</sub>
H <sub>2</sub> O 298 K	610 ns	640 ns	690 ns	760 ns
D <sub>2</sub> O 298 K	950 ns	1080 ns	1180 ns	1340 ns
5 K Single crystal	64 μs	68 μs	75 μs	80 μs
77 K - PVA	5.2 μs	5.7 μs	6.1 μs	6.7 μs

Table 1.

	$\tau$ (ns)		$\tau$ (ns)
$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{HL}^1)]^+ 1$	225	$[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{HL}^1)]^+$	480
$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L}^2)]^{2+} 2$	740	$[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{L}^2)]^{2+}$	780
$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L}^3)]^+ 3$	145	$[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{L}^3)]^+$	250
$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{L}^4)]^+ 4$	230	$[\text{Ru}(\text{d}_8\text{-bpy})_2(\text{L}^4)]^+ 4$	290
$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{HL}^4)]^{2+} 5$	230	$[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_2(\text{d}_4\text{-HL}^4)]^{2+} 5$	470

Table 2.