

Jun 20th, 3:10 PM - 3:30 PM

# The concept of the Icelandic Master Plan for Nature Protection and Energy Utilization and an integrated process based ecosystem approach to evaluating river basins

Skúli Skúlason  
*Hólar University College*

Ása L. Aradóttir  
*The Agricultural University of Iceland*

Birna Lárusdóttir

Gísli Már Gíslason  
*University of Iceland*

Kristján Jónasson  
*University of Iceland*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.umass.edu/fishpassage\\_conference](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/fishpassage_conference)

---

Skúlason, Skúli; Aradóttir, Ása L.; Lárusdóttir, Birna; Gíslason, Gísli Már; Jónasson, Kristján; Pálsdóttir, Sólborg U.; Pétursdóttir, Sólveig K.; Gunnarsson, Tómas G.; Þórðarson, Þorvaldur; and Árnason, Þorvarður, "The concept of the Icelandic Master Plan for Nature Protection and Energy Utilization and an integrated process based ecosystem approach to evaluating river basins" (2017). *International Conference on Engineering and Ecohydrology for Fish Passage*. 18.  
[https://scholarworks.umass.edu/fishpassage\\_conference/2017/June20/18](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/fishpassage_conference/2017/June20/18)

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by the Fish Passage Community at UMass Amherst at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Conference on Engineering and Ecohydrology for Fish Passage by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@library.umass.edu](mailto:scholarworks@library.umass.edu).

---

**Presenter Information**

Skúli Skúlason, Ása L. Aradóttir, Birna Lárusdóttir, Gísli Már Gíslason, Kristján Jónasson, Sólborg U. Pálsdóttir, Sólveig K. Pétursdóttir, Tómas G. Gunnarsson, Þorvaldur Þórðarson, and Þorvarður Árnason

# The concept of the Icelandic Master Plan for Nature Protection and Energy Utilization and an integrated process based ecosystem approach to evaluating river basins

Skúli Skúlason, Ása L. Aradóttir, Birna Lárusdóttir,  
Gísli Már Gíslason, Kristján Jónasson,  
Sólborg U. Pálsdóttir, Sólveig K. Pétursdóttir,  
Tómas G. Gunnarsson, Þorvaldur Þórðarson  
and Þorvarður Árnason



<http://www.ramma.is/english>

Fish-Passage Oregon 19-21 June 2017




# Energy production and use in Iceland

Uppsett afl í virkjunum eftir uppruna árið 2014




Hydro power plants in Iceland

From the National Energy Authority



Virkjanasaga frá 1930 til 2014  
Virkjanir sem eru stærri en 5 MW eru merktar inn á grafið



# Master Plan for Nature Protection and Energy utilization

- First phase – Master Plan 1 completed in 2003
- Second phase – Master Plan 2 completed in 2011
- Third phase – Master Plan 3 completed in 2016/17
- Legislation in 2013
- Based largely on the Norwegian “Master plan for water resources” 1984
- A form of strategic environmental assessment (SEA)

---

2011 nr. 48 16. maí

## Lög um verndar- og orkunýtingaráætlun<sup>1)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Lögum var breytt með L. 60/2013, 95. gr. Breytingarnar taka gildi 1. apríl 2014 skv. 94. gr. s.l.

L.-3. gr. tóku gildi 20. maí 2011 en að öðru leyti tóku lögín gildi 14. janúar 2013 þegar Alþingi samþykkti tillögu til þingsályktunar skv. 3. gr. Breytt með L. 126/2011 (tóku gildi 30. sept. 2011), L. 157/2012 (tóku gildi 3. jan. 2013) og L. 60/2013 (taka gildi 1. apríl 2014).

Ef í lögum þessum er getið um ráðherra eða ráðuneyti án þess að málefnavið sé tilgreint sérstaklega eða til þess vísað, er átt við umhverfis- og auðlindaráðherra eða umhverfis- og auðlindaráðuneyti sem fer með lög þessi.


### ■ 1. gr. Markmið.

□ Markmið laga þessara er að tryggja að nýting landsvæða þar sem er að finna virkjunarkosti byggist á langtímasjónarmiðum og heildstæðu hagsmunamati þar sem tekið er tillit til verndargildis náttúru og menningarsögulegra minja, hagkvæmni og arðsemi ólíkra nýtingarkosta og annarra gilda sem varða þjóðarhag, svo og hagsmuna þeirra sem nýta þessi sömu gæði, með sjálfbæra þróun að leiðarljósi.

# The process

Act 48/2011 on conservation and energy use

Power-plant projects proposed by industry




# Steering committee

- Is responsible to guarantee that "*... the utilization of geographical areas where there are power plant options is based on long-term views and on a comprehensive assessment of interests ... having sustainable development as a guide*"
- Work is based on expert evaluations and consultation with stakeholders and the public
- Areas and power-plant options are ranked
- Propose categories for areas and power plant options:  
*(1) conserve – (2) on hold – (3) use (for EIA)*
- *Conservation* category is fundamental

6 persons' Steering committee combines results of Expert Groups and classify areas and power plant ideas into *conserve*, *on hold* or *use* categories


## 4 Expert-Groups

Evaluate and rank power-plant options for given values





# Impact areas of power plant proposals in the 3rd cycle




# Values

# Attributes

Classes	subclasses	richness, diversity	rarity	size, completeness fragmentation disturbance	inter-national responsi- bility	information & symbolic value	visual value
Geology & hydrology	bedrock unconsolidated sediments & processes subterranean water (incl. groundwater & geothermal) rivers & lakes	■	■	■		■	
Species	vascular plants birds freshwater fish freshwater invertebrates thermophilic microbes	■	■	■	■		
Ecosystems and soils	ecosystems/habitats soils	■	■	■	■		
Landscape & wilderness	wilderness Landscape	■	■	■			■
Cultural heritage	archaeological, historical, legends, superstitions	■	■	■		■	

Scale 1, 4, 8, 13 and 20. Relative estimates based on best information and expert knowledge

Scores are weighted, and total scores calculated



# What reflects the value of an area?

- Estimations of diversity are fundamental, e.g. in conservation acts and management plans worldwide
- Biological diversity refers to diversity among organisms from diverse origins, including ecosystems and their combinations: this applies to diversity within species, among species and ecosystems (Rio 1992)
- Geological diversity has been approached similarly; from rock forms to tectonic, volcanic and erosion processes
- Cultural diversity is important e.g. in UN declarations of human development – *without diversity there are no choices*
- Geological diversity is reflected in biological and cultural diversity

# What reflects value of an area?



- Concepts of diversity have strong conceptual/ philosophical foundation, relating to the organization of the world and our perception of it
- A dynamic view of diversity is growing; seeing patterns, but also relations and processes
- Iceland is unique when it comes to nature's diversity – e.g. volcanism and geographic isolation, that stimulate dynamic processes, e.g. in terms of evolution of life, geological formations, landscape and culture



# Geographic isolation, tectonism and volcanism characterize Icelandic nature

103 000 km<sup>2</sup>


Lakes and rivers 1 400 km<sup>2</sup> (1.4%)



- Volcanic system
- Active central volcano
- High temperature area
- Holocene sediments
- Basic and intermediate lavas, younger than 10,000 years
- Acid extrusives
- Basic and intermediate hyloclastic, pillow lava and associated sediments. Younger than 0.7 m.y.

- Basic and intermediate interglacial and supraglacial lavas with intercalated sediments. Younger than 0.7 m.y.
- Basic and intermediate extrusive rocks with intercalated sediments. 0.7 - 3.1 m.y.
- Basic and intermediate extrusive rocks with intercalated sediments. Older than 3.1 m.y.
- Basic and intermediate intrusions, gabbro, dolerite and diorite
- Acid intrusions, rhyolite, granophyre and granite

## Conclusion from Steering committee 2016



# Concluding remarks

- The Icelandic Master Plan has had major positive effects on land use for power plants
- It is a major leadership task
- Its methodology needs constant attention; e.g. now more sophistication in how value of area is assessed
- More knowledge of nature is needed
- Tourism is an important player; broader assessment of area values should be considered
- Public and political views of nature are changing, e.g. now majority for the highlands as a national park



# Thank you!

[skuli@holar.is](mailto:skuli@holar.is)