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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

This pilot study deals with the problem of diagnosing reading difficulties. In diagnosing children's reading difficulties, or, more specifically, diagnosing problems of children who are not reading as well as they ought to be reading, using a valid mental capacity index as a criterion, data is usually gathered using a diagnostic case study technique. The traditional diagnostic case study is usually based on the administration of twenty or so diagnostic reading tests and other formally gathered information. As of 1968 , there were 209 published reading tests which could be used in diagnosing reading difficulties. Of these 209 tests, eighty-nine are classified as general, and twenty-seven are classified as diagnostic. Forty-two of the 209 tests are classified as new, revised, or supplemented since 1965. ${ }^{1}$ The reading tests, both general and diagnostic, overlap in the abilities they purport to measure.

Courses in the diagnosis of reading difficulties traditionally train teachers and specialists to administer and interpret a battery of such tests. For

[^0]years critical reviews of such reading tests have been published, ${ }^{2}$ although their general quality, validity, and reliability have been debated. Trela pointed out that in five hours of testing with six commonly used tests, thirtytwo reading skills were purported to be measured and that these skills overlapped consicerably. ${ }^{3}$ The six tests, the thirty-two reading skills and evidence of the overlapping of skills are presented in Table I.

New York City tested pupils on a citywide basis using the Metropolitan Achievement Tests: Reading, ${ }^{4}$ and found that:
. . . two of every five pupils in the second through the ninth grades were at least a year behind in reading, one in five was at least two years behind, and one in 10 three or more years behind.

In all . . . 63.3 per cent of the youngsters tested . . . were below the . . . national norm when the 1970 tests were given. ${ }^{5}$

Of course, there were youngsters who tested above the national norm. Hence, fifty-eight per cent of New York City's school population is Black, Puerto

2
Oscar K. Buros (ed.), Mental Measurements Yearbooks: Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth (New Jersey: The Gryphon Press, 1949, 1953, 1959, 1965).
${ }^{3}$ Thaddeus M. Trela, "What Do Diagnostic Reading Tests Diagnose ?" Elementary Engiish, XLII (April, 1966), pp. 370-372.
${ }^{4}$ Walter N. Durost and others, Metropolitan Achievement Tests: Reading, (New York: Harcourt, Brace and World, Inc., 1962).
$5^{5}$ News item in the New York Times, December 20, 1970.

「ABLE: I
WHAT DO DIACNOSTIC RF:NDING '1WSTS I T. \GNOSE?
Sillls meluefed in Six Analytical Roadtng Measures

|  | Developmental |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading Tests |
| Butel | Silent Reading |
| Reading | Diagnustic |
| Inventory | Tests |

Silent Reading Comprehension
Oral Reading Comprehension Oral Reading Aceuracs
Oral Reading Rate
Listioning Compreliension
Word Ficcognition (oral)
Word Recosnition (silent)
Word Recognition in context (silent)
Phrasc Lieading (oral)
Recognition of phonctic word elements (oral)
Recognition of phonetic word parts (silent \& listening)
Root Words (silent)
Rhynting Words (listening or silent)

Word Opposites (listening and/or silent)
Reversible Words (silent)
Visual memory of words (sIlent)
Word Blending (silent)
Word Blending (oral)
Saying Syllables
Number and aceent syllables (listening)
Syllabication (silent)
Idenlifying Letter Sounds (listenlng)
Identifying Beglnuing Word Sounds (listening)
Identifying Word Endiness (listening)
Saying Ietter Sounds
rdentifyinct consonant blends and digraphs (listening)
Saying consonant blends ant/or digraphs
Identifysing long and short vowels (oral)
ldentifyings lonit and short vowels (listening)
Namines eapltal and lower ase letters (oral)
Spellang (listenings)
Sixillng (oc:al)
X

X

| Durricl |  |  | Gates- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis. | Gilinore |  | Mclillop |
| of | Oral | Diarnestic | Reading |
| Reading | Reading | Reading | Dhagnostle |
| Difficulty | Test | Scale | Tests |

$$
x
$$

X

Oral-oral response reguired of one belng lested
Astening - sabiby tester, iestece marlis answer In test beoblet
Silent - from directlons In the test borklat testere respmads in booklete ${ }^{\text {f }}$

Grel: , Qp. (-it., D. $: 171$.

Rican, and other Spanish backgrounds. Publishing these results indicated that the pupils were inferior in reading ability. It was further pointed out, in relation to accepting the national norms based on this test that:

If this result can be achieved with the typical American child, should we not accept it as a goal for the average New York City child, despite the special probiems of New York City children, compared to the nation at large ? ${ }^{6}$

This information suggests to the public that Blacks, and other minority groups are inferior in their reading ability and thus help to perpetuate racism in our society. Obviously, the "typical American child" referred to above is assumed to be white middle-class. "One out of every four students nationwide has significant reading deficiencies. $: 7$ All of these children are not Black or minority group children. Something must be done about the present measuring techniques, and reporting of results. The Metropolitan Achievement Tests: Reading, served "its purpose as a rough measure of reading achievement for comparative purposes, " 18 and nothing more. This pilot study proposes to ameliorate some of the misconceptions about reading achievement among minority and majority groups.
${ }^{6}$ News item, loc. cit.
7 James E. Allen, Jr., "The Right to Read - Target for the 70's" (paper read before the 1969 Annual Convention of the National Association of State Boards of Education, California, September 23, 1969).
${ }^{8}$ Buros, op. cit., p. 6:698.

Specifically, the present study asks the question: What is the concurrent valinity of trained clinicians using games to diagnose reading difficulties? The results of a study such as the present one may provide information which might significantly change procedures for diagnosing reading difficulties. Hours of testing and possible pupil alienation might be eliminated through information gleaned in this pilot study. Reading clinics and teacher training institutions across the country are training specialists to administer a steadily enlarging battery of tests, some of which have questionable validity. The present study suggests alternate ways of diagnosing reading difficulties for teachers and reading-problem diagnosticians.

## THE PROBLEM

Statement of the problem. It is the purpose of this pilot study to determine the concurrent validity of trained clinicians using games to diagnose reading difficulties.

Importance of the study. This study is significant because alte rnative measures for diagnosing reading difficulties may be the eventual result. Farr stated that:
. . .Evaluations based on informal means are more reliable estimates of the student's true reading behavior than standardized reading
tests prccisely because they are not based on the comparison of any one student to any other student. . . 9

Needless to say, the use of games is a very informal technique. The limitations of this study include: (1) training clinicians to administer formal tests through the use of video tapes, (2) the sample is exclusively from the Amherst, Massachusetts' area, and (3) the children were identified by classroom teachers as having reading difficulties. The latter limitation is identified because some argue that, "teachers are unreliable in identifying degrees of reading performance and that they may not have a well developed concept of reading. "10 Therefore, some of the children who were referred and participated in this study may not have a reading deficiency, according to their ability to perform in reading.

## DE FINITION OF TERM USED

Clinicians. The clinicians were twenty-nine students enrolled in a Reading Diagnosis course at the University of Massachusetts. In order to control the variables of training and experience, for the game and test session results, students with no previous formal training in reading diagnosis were selected and trained in the Reading Diagnosis course.

[^1]
## ORGANIZATION OF THE REMAINDER

## OF THE DISSERTATION

The sceond ehapter reviews the literature exploring the use and misuse of standardized and informal reading tests. It also brings into foeus the need for an assessment of the present methods of diagnoses, and possible alternatives to other measures. Chapter III explains the procedure of this pilot study. It gives a step by step deseription of the pupil-elinician involvement. Chapter I V, eites the results of the study. The reader will find the summary, conelusions and reeommendations in Chapter V. A Bibliography and Appendiees are ineluded for further references.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF THE LJTERATURE

Diagnosis of reading abilities is essential to determine the strengths and weaknesses of students before the actual teaching of reading begins. Standardized and informal reading tests are the major means of determining the student's strengths and weaknesses. Occasionally, teacher observation is aeeepted as being the teehnique, or part of the technique in diagnosing students. Generally, following the diagnosis, many teaehers aeeept as irrefutable the results of sueh diagnostic techniques, and they rely on the results for setting up a program of instruetion for pupils with reading diffieulties. For years, Buros, ${ }^{1}$ has published eritieal reviews of reading tests through exploration of their quality, validity, and reliability. Recently, Buros published a single volume ${ }^{2}$ of all the reading tests and reviews eited in the Mental Measurements Yearbooks. Goodman reviewed this latest publieation, and asserted that the information is almost ten years out-of-date. He further eoneluded that:

[^2]Buros' Reading Tests and Reviews has brought all that is known about reading tests into a single volume, out-of-date at the time of publication. The picture this volume presents is one of a still quite primitive art. No one needs to keep that in mind more than reading researchers. 3

## STANDARDIZED AND INFORMAL TESTING

Because reliable standardized tests are consistent in measuring one student's performance in relationship to that of other students, they may be misleading in placing students at their proper grade level. Too often an attempt is made to predict the instructional level from standardized test scores. The instructional reading level is that level of reading which is slightly more difficult than the independent level. This level is defined as "the highest level at which the child makes no more than five uncorrected errors in reading 100 running words with at least 75 per cent comprehension of ideas in the text. ${ }^{14}$

Betts, ${ }^{5}$ reported that standardized reading tests tend to place children at their frustrational level. The frustrational reading level is the lowest level of readability. It is so identified when a pupil laboriously reads a book with

[^3]less than 50 per cent comprchension. His oral reading is without rhythm or phrasing and in an unatural voicc. ${ }^{6}$ Errors committed at this level according to onc authority in the reading field are: "inability to anticipate meaning, pronunciation less than 90 percent, head movements, finger pointing, tension, withdrawal, vocalization, substitutions, repctition, inscrtions and omissjons. "7 The importance of recognizing this level avoids the placing of pupils in levels too difficult for them. If a teacher docs not know the frustrational level of a pupil, the pupil may be assigned material at this level and be expected to perform satisfactorily. Unsatisfactory performance by the pupil may lead the teacher to unjustly categorize the pupil as lazy or indolent, when in reality, this pupil has been overplaced.

Chall stated that:

Standardized reading tests designed for a few grades frequently give a distorted picture of reading achievement, particularly at the extremes among the poorest and best readers. . . if students are significantly retarded or advanced for their grade, they will be unable to reveal their true achievement levels. 8
${ }^{6}$ Miles A. Tinker, Bases for Effective Reading (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1965), p. 274.
${ }^{7}$ Betts, op. cit., p. 448.
${ }^{8}$ Jeanne S. Chall, "Interpretation of the Results of Standardized Readirgg Tests, " Evaluation of Reading, XX (December, 1958), p. 134.

To find out if standardized reading tests placed children at their frustrational level, using basal readers as the criterion, Botel, ${ }^{9}$ compared the results of standardized reading test scores to scores on informal reading inventories. The findings revealed a . 93 correlation in grade two; . 80 correlation in grade three; . 65 correlation in grade four; . 60 correlation in grade five; and .55 correlation in grade six. Since these findings are in agreement with Betts' statement, it is an indication that teachers and diagnosticians should look beyond standardized test scores as valid criteria for setting up an instructional program. Generally, instructional programs are based on the instructional level of pupils. The instructional level, then, is the level at which the pupil is to be instructed. If teachers rely on standardized test scores, which place pupils at their frustration levcls, pupils will be expected to perform at a level which is too advanced for them and beyond their present capabilities.

McCracken, ${ }^{10}$ in a study similar to Botel's, found that standardized test scores placed 63 per cent of the pupils at their frustrational level and 93
${ }^{9}$ Morton Botel, "A Comparative Study of the Validity of the Botei Reading Inventory and Selected Standardized Tests, " Reading and Realism, XIII (1969), pp. 721-727.
${ }^{10}$ Robert A. McCracken, "Standardized Reading Tests and Informal Reading Inventories," Education LXXXII (February, 1962), pp. 366-369.
per cent of the pupils in books that were to difficult for them. This is another indication of what teaehers can expeet from using standardized test scores as a sole eriterion for placing pupils.

If eonelusions can be drawn from the above, the attempt by teachers to aseertain the individual reading instruetional levels of pupils, has been seriously hampered by the use of standardized tests.

Harris ${ }^{11}$ in apparent disagreement with Betts, Chall, MeCraken and Botel, wrote that standardized test scores tend to show the instructional level of pupils. He further pointed out that standardized tests are less aecurate for poor readers than for good readers. The reason is that poor readers tend to guess, eausing their seores to overestimate their instruetional level. This same reason could and does apply to good readers. When good readers are being tested, they may guess and/or take time to re-read a selection for the purpose of dedueing illogieal answers because of their intelligence, not their reading ability. This intelligence could effeet the test seore eausing the child to be placed at his frustration level instead of his instruetional level. Sipay ${ }^{12}$ in eomparing standardized reading aehievement test seores

[^4]to informal reading inventories, found it impossible to generalize as to whether standardized reading achievement test scores tend to indicate the instructional or frustrational level of pupils.

Several leaders in the reading field have suggested the concept of diagnostic teaching (i.e., combining diagnosis with instruction). Diagnostic teaching gives
. . .the student the satisfaction of accomplishing something in every period. The information obtained is immediately used. . . this approach gives definite responsibility for self-appraisal; it encourages the student to take the initiative in solving his own reading problems. ${ }^{13}$

This is an alternative to standardized tests. However, it is still testing in the traditional sense.

Dolch, ${ }^{14}$ suggested tests that test what teachers can teach. The teacher can teach meanings of words, sight words, sounding-out of words, story comprehension, etc. Here are more alternatives to standardized tests. Are they readily adaptable to varying grade levels? Can any teacher make fairly good use of them? How would the pupils respond? Would the school system permit this instrument? Is it convincing enough for the classroom teacher?

[^5]What are the advantages and disadvantages of these instruments as compared to standardized tests? These are questions for individual teachers to consider if they decide to use these instruments.

$$
\text { Betts, } 15 \text { and Sheldon, }{ }^{16} \text { suggested that the teacher begin diagnosing }
$$ by administering a standardized test of reading achievement. This information would be an indication of the pupils' achievement in relationship to other pupils of about the same age or grade level based on a set of norms. Standardized tests by their very nature are not based on specific classroom materials. They recommend that the second step should be to administer informal reading inventories.

It is argued that informal reading tests are valid because they are constructed from functional materials; that is, the textbooks or reading matter that the pupil is going to use. It is further argued that because of this factor they are more accurate than standardized tests, at least insofar as they are uscd to place pupils in reading materials for instruction. 17
${ }^{15}$ Emmett A. Betts, The American Adventure Series Handbook (Evanston: Row, Peterson and Company, 1960), pp. 10-16.
${ }^{16}$ William D. Sheldon and Mary C. Austin, Sheldon Basic Series: Teacher's Manual (Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1964), pp. 27-43.
${ }^{17}$ Joseph P. Kender, "How Useful Are Informal Reading Tests ?" The Journal of Reading, II (February, 1968), p. 337.

One authority stated that, 'both standardized and informal tests can help in grouping students for instruction, determining reading levels, and diagnosing reading achievement. ${ }^{\circ} 18$

According to Betts, the informal reading inventory may provide "the basal reading level, independent reading level, instructional reading level, and specific reading needs at the instructional level。"19 The basal reading level is the level of supplementary and independent reading. At this level the pupil should read with 90 per cent comprehension, 99 per cent pronunciation, no head movement, finger-pointing, or vocalization, and read with good phrasing. ${ }^{20}$ Thus, if a pupil's reading grade score is approximately 3.2 on the informal reading inventory, that pupil should be placed in the first reading book at the third grade level. Similarly, a pupil scoring approximately 1.8 on an informal reading inventory would naturally be placed in the second reading book at the first grade level. The independent reading level refers to the level at which the pupil is able to read fluently and with personal satisfaction without the aid of the teacher or some other help. At this level the pupil encounters practically

[^6]no mechanical difficulties with the words and no problems with understanding the material. "The level is generally defined as that level where the child makes no more than one error in 100 words in the mechanics of reading and where he has no difficulties in comprehension. "21

Some specific reading needs at the instructional level of the pupil may be dèficiencies in syllabication, word recognition, word analysis, vocabulary development, comprehension, interpretation, etc. The pupil's inventory will be an assessment of his particular reading needs.

Another important level for the diagnostician, teacher and pupil to consider is a possible mental capacity level. This level is sometimes determined when an intelligence quotient score is not available or when an intelligence quotient score is in doubt for various reasons. This level is sometimes tested by determining a student's listening capacity level. Material of increasing conceptual difficulty is read to the pupil. The criteria for establishing a listening capacity level is determining whether the pupil understands 75 per cent of the material read to him. This is done by asking him questions, or having him describe some of the facts or experiences cited in the material. ${ }^{22}$ Knowing the capacity level may be one indication of the child's reading potential.
${ }^{21}$ Zintz, loc. cit.
${ }^{22}$ Betts, loc. cit.

It is important for teachers and diagnosticians to be familiar with the different levels of reading. Such expertise should bring about a better assessment of the pupil's reading levels. The main use of these levels should be in guiding teachers to set up appropriate instructional programs for pupils.

The literature yielded different opinions among reading authorities about standardized and informal means of arriving at levels suitable for instruction. In establishing a suitable level for instruction onc must know the reading deficiencies of pupils. Standardized reading tests purport to give teachers and diagnosticians that level. Do they? Most of the literature reviewed indicates that they do not. If standardized tests are insufficient in providing teachers and diagnosticians with accurate information for instruction, should not researchers and teachers explore alternatives beyond standardized reading tests?

This pilot study proposes an alternative to tests, both informal and standardized. The use of games as diagnostic tools may be especially valuable in their appeal to children and diagnostic value to teacher and pupil. Games are often used in classrooms to teach, review, and reinforce different reading skills. Professional and non-professional publications, and numerous teachers' manuals of basal reading series recommend the use of games in teaching and learning situations. One source cited that:
. . .The impulse to play games is part of your child's nature. . . what a child learns in his games adds to and is incorporated into his school instruction. His games awaken the eagerness to learn, to think, to imagine, to listen, to create, and to express his idcas. . . . With games it is easy to overcome. . . hostility to the work involved in studying, memorizing, or reviewing, and to transform these negative attitudes into a lifelong love of learning。 ${ }^{23}$

Perhaps diagnosing can be transformed into a love of being diagnosed, through commercial, teacher-made and, pupil-made games.

## DIAGNOSIS INVOLVES THE APPRAISAL OF PHYSICAL, EMOTIONAL

## AND ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

It must be understood by diagnosticians and teachers that a reasonable measure of a pupil's reading success is related to physical health.
.-. In order that he may succeed in learning to read the child must focus on words and a line of print, move along a line, make return sweeps to the next line, change focus, note similarities and differences, recognize figure-ground relationships, and concentrate visually. When the tcacher notices the child squinting, rubbing eyes, holding material too close or too far away, reversing letters, or blinking, he may rightly question the efficiency of the child's visual
functioning. Hearing is one of the senses that is important for the reading process. When the child confuses the phonemes he hears, he cannot correctly form the phoneme-grapheme relationships. His response will usually reflect this difficulty. ${ }^{24}$

[^7]In addition to sight and hearing, "low energy level. . . nervous tension. . . physical fatigue . . . and vitamin deficiencies have been associated with poor reading. ${ }^{25}$

Children suffering from a low energy level may appear to be sluggish, apathetic, dull, and unattentive. If the diagnostician and teacher are aware of this energy level preceding, during, or after the diagnostic period, a better assessment of the child's difficulties could be made. Hence, programs dealing with the amelioration of such difficulties could be explored and possibly set up through the school to help the child overcome this hindrance or low energy level.

A child who is experiencing nervous tension may be described as one who is jumpy, jittery, fidgety, tense, fearful and sensitive. The child possessing these characteristics, generally, will be unable to follow a line of print, nor participate in a discussion because of the discomfort he is experiencing. Understanding the child's problem should guide the diagnostician in setting up a program of instruction, whereby the child can function with a feeling of security.

Children who are suffering from physical fatigue and vitamin deficiencies may be unable to function to their capacity level. They may be characterized by tiredness, boredom, exhaustion, and inadequate work. Knowledge of these deficiencies should aid in contacting the proper sources for assistance.
${ }^{25}$ John J. DeBoer and Martha Dallmann, The Teaching of Reading, (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1970), p. 20.

Smith and Dechant, ${ }^{26}$ mentioned such conditions as adenoids, infected tonsils, poor teeth, rickets, asthma, allergic 3, tuberculosis, rheumatic fever, and other prolonged illnesses as possible factors in reading retardation. If any of the aforementioned defects are causing poor reading, as much assistance as possible should be made available in order that a suitable program for instruction is established.

The physical health of a child is essential to learning. Poor health can cause retardation in reading. Teachers and diagnosticians must be aware of the pupil's physical health and the assistance that can be given the child to ameliorate some of the physical discomfort that may be plaguing him.

The child's emotional status must be appraised in diagnosis. Tinker and McCullough described immature children as: "shy and fearful, sclf-centered, uncooperative in routine school activities, unable to get along with other children in play or work, and easily upset. "27 It was further pointed out that:
. . .Inadequate emotional stability, insufficient self-reliance, and inability to cooperate may handicap a child in his efforts to learn to read. However, it has been shown again and again that a child with symptoms of emotional maladjustment need not neccssarily fail in reading. In fact many such children learn to read well. ${ }^{28}$

[^8]Knowledge of one or more of the situations mentioned above will give the teacher and diagnostician a clearcr picture of the child's performance in the classroom. With this helpful information, the tcacher and diagnostician can proccdc in setting up an instructional reading program for the child. It is important to note that one should not wait until all of these situations are corrected before reading instruction is begun or continued, because reading does help children to become secure in the classroom.

A child's environment is "whatever operates as a signal to direct it toward. . . or away from. . . conditions. " 29 Family, friends, enemies, social surroundings, and physical conditions are related factors which touch the child's life. The conditions may be impoverishcd, desolate, non-stimulating, depressed, and vociferous. Children coming from an area such as this may lack some of the necessary skills needed for reading. This environment may not have provided them with the pre-school and out-of-school experiences required for reading success. Teachers and diagnosticians must be aware of the fact that all children coming from this type of environment are not handicapped by it. On the other hand, an environment can be stimulating, encouraging, reassuring, inspiring, rewarding, and demanding. In such an environment the child is permitted to explore freely, to enjoy, to participate in, become

[^9]more fully aware of, and to be a part of situations surrounding him. Children living in such an environment are more apt to enter school ready to begin reading or continuc reading than the children coming from the aforementioned environment.

Naturally, the physical, emotional, and environmental factors are discrete entities which must be considered in diagnosing children. During the diagnostic period thesc factors must be contemplated because they have an effect on the child's reading performance. The significance of including these factors in the diagnostic process is to get a total picture of the child. The total picture would allow the teacher and diagnostician to view the complcte child, thus permitting them to delete facts not related to reading retardation. This information is usually obtained from a medical report, intelligence quotient score, and formal and informal observations. Knowledge gleaned through these rccords would be retained and analyzed.

This pilot study proposes to obtain comparable information through informal observation, and by playing the fifteen games with pupils, as advocated by this study.

## SUMMARY

The literature reviewed yielded various opinions among leading reading authorities about standardized and informal measures of testing. From this review, it ean be asserted that children are eontinually being tested, retested, labeled, grouped, treated for their diagnosed reading defieieneies aceording to reading tests, and still eontinuing to experience reading failure. To this investigator's knowledge, based on eareful review of the literature, no study has attempted to diagnose ehildren through the use of eommereial games. This pilot study explores possible alternatives to traditional testing.

## CHAPTER III

## PROCEDURE

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the procedure utilized in completing this pilot study.

## SAMPLE

The sample, forty-three children, with a mean IQ of 101, came from Crocker Farm Elementary, East Strẻet Elementary, Mark's Meadow Elementary, Amherst Junior High, St. Michael's Elementary, and South Deerfield Elementary Schools. All of the schools are located in Amherst, Massachusetts with the exceptions of St. Michael's, which is located in Northampton, Massachusetts, and South Deerfield Elementary, located in Deerfield, Massachusetts. Table II presents the schools, distribution of grades within each school, the number of enrollment, the operating cost per pupil, and the special services provided within the schools. The average annual expenditure per pupil for Massachusetts' cities and towns is $\$ 670.00$ 。

Amherst, Northampton, and South Deerfield are New England towns located in the Western part of Massachusetts. Amherst, and Northampton have their share of higher learning institutions. Amherst contains the University of Massachusetts, 1863, Amherst College, 1821, and Hampshire, College, 1970.
TABLE II
OPERATING FACTS ABOUT THE SCHOOLS

| Elementary Schools | Distribution of grades | Enrollment | Operating Cost Per Pupil | Special Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crocker F'arm | K-6 | 475 | 601.00 | RS | PE | ST | MT | GC | N |
| East Street | 3-4 | 86 | 601.00 | RS | PE | ST | MT | GC | N |
| Mark's Meadow | K-6 | 360 | 601.00 | RS | PE | ST | MT | GC | N |
| Amherst Junior High | 5-6 | 114 | 601.00 | RS | PE | ST | MT | GC | N |
| St. Michael's | 1-8 | 245 | 240.64 | U | CT | U | CT | GC | N |
| South Deerfield | K-6 | 311 | 650.00 | RS | PE | ST | MT | GC | N |

[^10]Northampton has Smith College, established in 1875, an all women's college. All of the towns are predominantly white, and reflect a middle-class environment. In September, 1970, thirty students from a reading diagnosis course at the University of Massachusetts were introduced to the design of this study. Their course requirement was to learn through video taped demonstrations, how to diagnose children for reading deficiencies through traditional testing, and to play commercial games ${ }^{1}$ with pupils to make judgments about the criteria as they appear in Table III. The criteria selected are comparable to information gathered through traditional diagnostic case studies.

In order for the children to participate, permission had to be granted by both schools and parents. Letters, parent information forms, summary school record forms, and release forms for school records were sent to the schools. This information is presented in Appendix A. The teachers selected the students and forwarded letters, parent information forms, and release forms for school records to the parents. Notification of permission was received through the returned signed letters, release forms, and the completed parent information forms.
$1_{\text {Games were donated by the Milton Bradley Company (Springficld, }}$ Massachusetts). The company is interested in research done with games.

TABLE III

CLINICIAN'S FORM (GAMILS)

Clinician $\qquad$ Name of Child $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$ Session H $\qquad$

| Criteria | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ + \text { or }- \end{array}$ | 2 <br> Age Grade yr. -mos. | $3$ <br> Game \# | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { Time Played } \\ \text { (mins.) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word Anal ${ }^{\text {a }}$ is |  |  |  |  |
| Syllabication |  | $\\|/\\| 1\\|\\|\\|\\|\\| 11$ |  |  |
| Word Iiccosnition |  | $111111111111111$ |  |  |
| Reading G malc Level | 11711111111 |  |  |  |
| Intelliarnce cuotic.it | 1111111111111 | I. Q. |  |  |
| Oral Reaclind Fluency | M1111111. | 1. |  |  |
| Oral Readins Comprehension | 1/11111111111 |  |  |  |
| Silent Readine Commehension | /1111111111] |  |  |  |
| Listening Compreherision | /1/1/\\|\||1/] |  |  |  |
| Presence of Reversals |  | 71111117171711 |  |  |
| Lateral Dominance | 71111111111 | R. L. M. |  |  |
| Visual Acuity |  | ग1117T11711/ |  |  |
| Visual Discrimination |  | /1/1711/17/1/1 |  |  |
| Anditory Acuity |  | П111T\|1/IIT| |  |  |
| Auditory Ifiscrimination |  | T11711/1/171/7 |  |  |
| Emotional Arliustment |  | V1117T111777] |  |  |
| Intorests |  | \\|/\|ा1/1/111] |  |  |
| Attitudos |  | 7171111111117 |  |  |
| Visual Momory |  | 77171/111717171 |  |  |
| Auditory Memory |  | 71111111/11]\| |  |  |
| Concent Formation |  | T171717117117\| |  |  |
| Visuai-motor Coordination |  | age |  |  |
| Physical Problems |  | 111111117117 |  |  |
| General Language |  | ag, |  |  |

Column 1 Enter " + " if you think the child passed the eriterion.
Fnter "-" if you think he failed the criterion. (The "+" means good for the shild's age, the "-" means poor for the child's age.)

Column 2 Enter the age or grado level at which the child performs where appropriate (blank). Under Intelligence enter your best guess as to I. Q.

Column 3 Enter number of games used for diagnosing the difficulty after each eriterion.
Column 4 Enter time you played the game in minutes.
How do you feel?

| good |
| :---: |
| sad |
| play |

## DATA GATHERING

This pilot study covered a ten week period of diagnosing reading difficulties with formal tests and commercial games. It began with thirty clinicians and sixty pupils with a range of second through sixth grade. During the ten week period, seventeen of the pupils, and one clinician were dropped from the study because of insufficient data recording for thirteen children, one child's parents refused to let him continue, three children refused to continue participating, and one clinician dropped the course. The study continued with one second grader, sixteen third graders, seven fourth graders, eighteen fifth graders, and four sixth graders. The number of students per grade level are presented in Table IV. Table IV includes Group A and Group B. Group A was tested, and Group B played games during the first five weeks. During the second five weeks the order was reversed. Throughout the period fifteen different commercial games were played and a battery of tests were administered to forty-three pupils individually.

Clinicians participating in the study administered the following battery of tests to pupils, utilizing a varied number of testing sessions.

1. Roswell-Chall Diagnostic Reading Test of Word Analysis Skills
2. University of Massachusetts Reading Center Comprehensive Informal Reading Inventory
3. Durrell Analysis of Reading Difficuliy
4. Phonics Knowledge Survey
5. Gray Oral Reading Test

TABLE IV

GRADE LEVEL AND NUMBER OF PUPILS

|  |  | G R O U P | A |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grades | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Pupils | 1 | 6 | 2 | 12 | 2 |
|  |  | G R O U P | B |  |  |
| Grades | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Pupils | 0 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 2 |

6. Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Tests (the schools administercd this test)
7. The Harris Test of Lateral Dominance
8. Keystone Visual Survey Telebinocular Test
9. Auditory Discrimination Test
10. Inventory of Intcrest and Attitudes
11. John N. Buck Time Appreciation Test

The test results were interpreted and compiled into the Test Battery Form prescnted in Appendix B. Copies of the tests administercd are presented in Appendix C.

The clinicians playcd games with pupils in ten sessions. Following is
a descriptive list of the fifteen commercial games used in this study:

## 1. Guess Again

This game consists of an elcctric box with pegged wires, 250 questions, and 750 answers on punched cards. Players take turns reading the questions and pegging their answers. If the right answer is pegged a white electric bulb lights, if wrong, a red bulb lights, and the player must gucss again. All players should answer the same number of questions. The player who has the highest score at the end of the game is the winner.

## 2. Dial 'N Spell

Dial ' N Spell is set up to look like a telephone dial, but instead of numbers in each dial holc there are alphabet letters. Surrounding the dial wheel are various pictures. At the top of the board are the names of the pictures spelled correctly. The child can spell the names of the pictures by dialing letters. If he spells the word correctly, an arrow on the dial points to the object. Five additional cards accompany this game providing a total of ninety-nine different words and objects.

## 3. Look and Learn Lotto

This game consists of six large Lotto cards, and a small deck of matching cards. Every large card has eight objects, the name of the object printed below, and the first letter of the word in the upper left hand corner. To play this game, each player must sclect a large Lotto card. The leader takes a small card, and holds it up for all to see. The first player to recognize the object as one on his Lotto card, and
say its name is given the card to place over his matching object. The object $c_{=}^{=}$the game, is to bc the first to cover all of the objects on a player's card with matching small cards.

## 4. Concentration

Concentration is a game for two to five playcrs. The equipment consists of a concentration box with different sets of puzzles, a gift rack for the winners' prizes, and play money. In playing this game players try to uncover matching pairs of gift cards, which are removed from the concontration board and put on his rack. As the cards are taken away, part of a hidden rebus, or word puzzlc appears. The object of the game is to solve the puzzle, and win the prizes in the playcr's rack.

## 5. Game of the States

Game of the States consists of a large imprinted United States playing board, state cards, miniature trucks, wooden counters, play money, and spinners. The players must read the state cards to find out the name of the state, the state products, its population, and other general information. The game's focal point is on traveling by plastic truck from one state to another, buying, delivering and selling state products. Players compete with their opponents to see who can sell the most from coast to coast.

## 6. Animal Lotto

Animal Lotto consists of six large Lotto cards, and a small deck of matching cards. Every large card has pictures of eight diffcrent animals, and the name of the animal printed next to it. To play this game, each player must select a large Lotto card. The leader takes a small card, and holds it up for all to see. The first player to recognize the animal as one on his Lotto card, and say its name is given the card to place over his matching animal. The object of the game is to be the first to cover all of the animals on a player's card with matching small cards.

## 7. Geography Lotto

Geography Lotto consists of six large Lotto cards, and a small deck of matching cards. Every large card has a picture of eight different states with the names of the states given, and other general information about the states. To play this game, each player must select a large Lotto card. The leader takes a small card, and holds it up for all to see. The first player to recognize the state as one on his card, and call
it by name is given the card to place over his matching state. The object of the game is to be the first to cover all of the states on a player's card with matching small cards.

## 8. Foresight

Foresight is a challenging word game. The game consists of sets of letters, and an alphabet rack. Each player receives an identical set of letters which are placed in their alphabet rack. One player calls a letter, and both must try to use it to begin to form a word. Both players form words secretly on their racks, alternating in the calling of letters. The object of the game is to form words using a limited number of letters. Points are scored according to the number of letters in each word, and the number of words formed. The player with the most points at the end of the game is the winner.

## 9. Recall

Recall is a game for two, three, or four players. The equipment consists of a turn table, show tray, design cards, recall trays, spinner, and score pad. The object of this game is to racall a design exposed briefly on a revolving turntable. The players are expected to duplicate in as much detail as possible the design with their design cards in their recall trays. Points are given for each correctly placed card. The player with the most points at the end of the game is the winner.

## 10. Old Maid

Old Maid consists of nineteen pairs of cards, and one odd card. The odd card is the Old Maid. All cards are dealt to the players. The object of the game is to match pairs of cards by drawing cards from a neighboring opponent. The player caught holding the odd card after all pairs are matched is called the "Old Maid."

## 11. Jumbo Old Maid

Jumbo Old Maid is played with giant size cards with full color illustrations of the Old Maid and other characters. Each player, in turn selects a card from an opponent's hand, and tries to eliminate all of his cards by pairing characters. The player caught holding the Old Maid card at the end of the game is called the "Old Maid."

## 12. Memory

Memory is a matching game. It consists of fifty-four matching pairs of cards, and a plastic card tray. In this game, players try to locate and collect matching pairs of cards. The player who succeeds in locating the most matched pairs is the winner.

## 13. Password

This game contains two word holders, over 700 words, score pad, rulc book, and scoring dial. The game is played by two teams of four pcople. Each team has a word holder, and a list of words. One person on one team begins the game by giving a verbal clue to his partner. If the word is not guessed the other tcam repeats the process. The objcct of the game is to score points by guessing the correct password from one of the clues given by a partner. The team with the most points for correctly guessed passwords wins the game.

## 14. Word Building Lotto

Word Building Lotto consists of six large Lotto cards, and a small deck of matching cards. Every large card has a picture of eight diffcrent objects with the name of each object printed beside the picture. To play this game, each player must select a large Lotto card. The leader takes a small card, and holds it up for all to see. The first playcr to recognize the object as one on his card, and calls it by name is given the card to place over his matching object. The object of the game is to be the first to cover all of the objects on a player's card with matching small cards.

## 15. Snap Judgment

This game consists of word cards, word holders, magic slates with wooden marking pencils, and a bundle of play money. The game is played by two teams of four people. Each team has a list of words. The game begins with one person giving a verbal word-association clue to his partner. If the word is not guessed, the other team repeats the process. Money is given for the words guessed correctly. The team with the largest sum of money at the end of the game is the winner.

After each game session the clinicians made a judgment about the following criteria listed on the clinician's rating form for games presented in

## Table III.

Clinicians and pupils were assigned numerical numbers, and will be referred to as clinician twenty-nine, twenty-eight, etc., and pupil one, two, etc. The procedure for testing, and gaming was the following: The first week, clinicians one through twenty-three administered one or more of the tests from
the test battery to pupils one through twenty-three. Within the same week clinicians two, five, seven, eight, eleven through eighteen, and twenty through twenty-seven had game sessions with pupils twenty-four through forty-three. The second week clinicians one through twenty-three administered more tests to pupils from the test battery, and clinicians two, five, seven, eight, eleven through eighteen, and twenty through twenty-seven had more game sessions with pupils twenty-four through forty-three. There were ten game sessions, but the number of test sessions were determined by the clinicians. The mean number of test sessions was 5.0. Notes on timing per test session were recorded haphazardly for various reasons, and are therefore not recorded. However, administration of the entire battery of tests requires a maximum of six hours and thirty minutes. Hence, the mean time for playing games was 5.40. Figure I presented this procedure and explains the pairing up of pupils with clinicians throughout the first five week period.

During the first week of the second period clinicians one through six, nine through thirteen, and fifteen through twenty-six had game sessions with pupils one through twenty-three. Within the same week clinicians one through three, seven, eight, eleven through eighteen, twenty, twenty-one, twenty-three, twenty-four, twenty-six, twenty-eight, and twenty-nine administered tests from the battery to pupils twenty-four through forty-three. The second week clinicians one through six, nine through thirteen, and fifteen through twenty-six had more game sessions with pupils one through twenty-three. Clinicians one


NOTE: This figure thould be read a followa: Clintelans one through twenty-thren teated pupll one through twenty-threc. Clinicians two, five, ecven. eight eleven throuph eiphtecn, and twinty throuch twenty-geven had game sessions with pupila twenty-four through forty-thiree.
through three, seven, eight, eleven through eighteen, twenty, twenty-one, twenty-three, twenty-four, twenty-six, twenty-eight, and twenty-nine administered more tests from the test battery to pupils twenty-four through forty-three.

There were ten game sessions, but the number of test sessions were determined by the elinicians. The mean number of test sessions for this period was 3.5 . Notes on timing per test session were recorded haphazardly for various reasons, and are therefore not recorded. However, administration of the entire battery of tests requires a maximum of six hours and thirty minutes. Hence, the mean time for playing games was 4.28. Figure II presents this proeedure, and explains the pairing up of pupils with elinicians throughout the seeond five week period.

## DATA RECORDING

Data from the tests were reeorded for the twenty-four variables in the following manner.

1. Roswell-Chall Diagnostic Reading Tests of Word Analysis Skills

From the Roswell-Chall the following variables were reported as a plus or minus.
a. Single consonant sounds
b. Consonant combinations
c. Short vowels
d. Rule of silent e
e. Vowel combinations

CLIN1CIANS
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NOTE: This figure should be read as follows: Clinicians one througb
six, nine through thirteen, and fifteen through twenty-six concucted
game session with pupils one through twenty-threc. Clinicians one
through three, seven, eight, eleventhrough eighteen, twenty, twenty-
one, twenty-three, twenty-four, twenty-six, twenty-eight, and twenty-
nine tested pupils twenty-four through forty-three.

Five of the variables encompassed word analysis and word recognition. If the clinician ch cked "needs help" for three or more of the variables, the pupil received a minus, indicating failure. If the pupil needs help in less than three of the variables, he received a plus, meaning pass. Syllabication was reported as a plus or minus directly from the test.

1. Word analysis
2. Syllabication
3. Word recognition
4. University of Massachusetts Reading Center Comprehensive Informal Reading Inventory

Word analysis and word recognition was assessed through the thirteen analysis skills for recognizing words on page one of the Inventory presented in Appendix C. Ten of the thirteen skills arbitrarily chosen must be known in order to be rated plus. Less than ten was rated minus.

Syllabication, reading grade level, oral reading fluency, oral reading comprehension, silent reading comprehension, visual discrimination, and auditory discrimination was reported directly from the Inventory by grade level or as good or poor. Good was rated as plus and poor as minus.

1. Word analysis-------------------------
2. Syllabication-
3. Word recognition
4. Reading grade level
5. Oral reading fluency
6. Oral reading comprehension-
7. Silent reading comprehension
8. Visual discrimination-
9. Auditory discrimination

## 3. Durrell Analysis of Reading Difficulty

Pupil ratings were plus or minus for variables numbered one, two, eight and nine below. A plus indicated pass according to the test and a minus indicated failure according to the test. Variables numbered three, four, five, six and seven were reported by grade level as taken directly from the test norms. Variable number ten was rated as plus or minus from observing the pupil, plus his handwriting on the test.

1. Word analysis--------------------------
2. Word recognition---------------------
3. Reading grade level-------------------
4. Oral reading fluency------------------
5. Oral reading comprehension-
6. Silent reading comprehension--------
7. Listening comprehension--------------
8. Visual memory
9. Auditory memory
10. Visual-motor coordination

## 4. Phonics Knowledge Survey

Word analysis, syllabication, and word recognition was reported from this test. A plus or minus was given to indicate pass or fail. Word analysis and word recognition was assessed through the fourteen analysis skills for recognizing words on the summary pages of the Survey presented in Appendix C. Of the fourteen skills tested, eleven correct was arbitrarily chosen as the criterion for a plus rating. Less than eleven was rated minus. Syllabication was reported directly from the Survey as a plus or minus.

1. Word analysis
2. Syllabication
3. Word recognition

## 5. Gray Oral Reading Test

Word analysis was assessed through the number and types of errors made in the passages read, final ratings being determined by: number of mistakes per passage, and specific errors in the passage read. Types of errors are: gross mispronunciation, repetition, and inversion. Five errors equaled a minus, and less than five errors equaled a plus. Reading grade level, oral reading fluency, and oral reading comprehension was assigned a grade level directly from the test.

1. Word analysis $\qquad$
2. Reading grade level-
3. Oral reading fluency
4. Oral reading comprehension-
5. Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test

The Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Test, or any standardized intelligence test, was an assessment of the intelligence quotient.

1. Intelligence quotient

## 7. The Harris Test of Lateral Dominance

Presence of reversals, lateral dominance, and visual-motor coordination was reported from this test. Presence of reversals was reported as a plus or minus. A plus means there are no reversals present. A minus indicates reversals are present. Lateral dominance was reported with a 1,2 , or 3 . 1 indicates right dominance, 2 indicates left dominance, and 3 indicates mixed
dominance. Visual-motor coordination was an overall assessment of simultaneous writing and tapping from the test presented in Appendix C. If the pupil was coordinated enough to perform the task, his rating was plus. If he could not perform the writing and tapping tasks, his rating was minus.


3. Visual-motor coordination-----------

## 8. Keystone Visual Survey Telebinocular Test

The variable, visual acuity, was reported from this test. A minus was given if the pupil failed the test, and a plus was given if the pupil passed the test.


## 9. Auditory Discrimination Test

Auditory discrimination was reported directly from the test. A plus indicated adequate, and a minus indicated inadquate.

1. Auditory discrimination----------------
2. Inventory of Interest and Attitudes

The investigator pin-pointed specific items from the Inventory to
indicate an interest in reading. Items nineteen and twenty were chosen. They are:
19. What subjects do you like best? Why?
20. What subjeets do you like least? Why?

Positive answers about reading were rated with a plus, and negative answers were rated with a minus. Both answers must be positive in order to receive a plus.

An attitude toward reading was determined by the following items:
23. Do you like to have someone read to you? Who?
24. Do you enjoy reading to yourself?
25. What kinds of stories do you like?
26. Do you have any books of your own?

Positive answers, in the opinion of the investigator, were rated with a plus and negative answers were rated with a minus. Three answers must be positive in order to receive a plus rating for attitude toward reading.

General language was rated with a plus if the child responded to $90 \%$ of the items on the Inventory of Interest and Attitudes. Less than $90 \%$ was rated minus. Ninety per cent was arbitrarily chosen by the investigator.

1. Interest-
2. Attitude-
3. General language-------------------------
4. John N. Buck Time Appreciation Test

Concept formation abilitics were determined through this test. If a pupil received a score of less than sixteen for third gracic, less than twentyfive for fourth grade, less than thirty-one for fifth grade, or less than thirtyfive for sixth grade, he received a minus, indicating failure. If he received a score of what was indicated for grade level, he received a plus, indicating pass. The scores chosen per grade level are based on the mental age column and test point score column of the tentative norms table set up for the Time Appreciation Test presented in Appenclix C.

Data from the games were recorded for the twenty-four variables in the following manner.
-1. Word analysis - was rated a plus or minus, and assigned a grade level.
-2. Syllabication - was rated with a plus or minus.
3. Word recognition - was rated with a plus or minus.
4. Reading grade level - was assigncd a grade level.
5. Intelligence quotient - was assigned a numerical number.
6. Oral reading fluence - was rated a plus or minus, and assigned a grade level.
7. Oral reading comprehension - was assigned a grade level.
8. Silent reading comprehension - was assigned a grade level.
9. Listening comprehension - was assigned a grade level.
10. Presence of reversals - was rated with a plus or minus.
11. Lateral dominance - was rated $R$, $L$, or M. R indicated right, $L$ indicated left, and $M$ indicated mixed. For computer analysis $R$ equaled 1, L equaled 2, and $M$ equaled 3 .
12. Visual acuity - was rated with a plus or minus.
13. Visual discrimination - was rated with a plus or minus.
14. Auditory acuity - was rated with a plus or minus.
15. Auditory discrimination - was rated with a plus or minus.
16. Emotional adjustment - was rated with a plus or minus.
17. Interest - was rated with a plus or minus.
18. Attitudes - was rated with a plus or minus.
19. Visual memory - was rated with a plus or minus.
20. Auditory memory - was rated with a plus or minus.
21. Concept formation - was rated with a plus or minus.
22. Visual-motor coordination - was rated plus or minus, and assigned an age level.
23. Physical problems - was rated with a plus or minus.
24. General language - was rated with a plus or minus, and assigned an age level.

For computer analysis the plus equaled 9 , and the minus equaled 8 .

## SUMMARY

This chapter gives a description of the procedures of this pilot study. The results are reported in Chapter IV. Copies of the tests used are located in Appendix C.

## CHAPTER IV

## RESULTS OF THE PILOT STUDY

## INTRODUCTION

The primary purpose of this chapter is to report:

1. the Pearson product-moment coefficients of correlation between test data and game data.
2. an analysis of variance between the following relationships:
a. are there significant differences in scores between the first five weeks and the second five weeks (order effect or practice effect)?

| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ |

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{2}
$$

b. are there significant differences in scores between the two groups (pupils 1-23 and pupils $24-43$ )?

Pupils 1-23
Pupils 24-43

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \mathrm{T}_{1} & \mathrm{G}_{2} \\
\hline \mathrm{G}_{1} & \mathrm{~T}_{2} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

c. are there significant differences between test scores and game scores?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \mathrm{T}_{1} & \mathrm{G}_{2} \\
\hline \mathrm{G}_{1} & \mathrm{~T}_{2} \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
\mathrm{~T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2} \text { and } \mathrm{G}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## ANALYSIS OF DATA

To report:

1. the Pearson product-moment coefficients of correlation between test data and game data.
2. an analysis of variance (of significant differences among mean scores) between:
a. the first five weeks and the second

| $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ |

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{1} \text { and } \mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{2}
$$

b. the groups of pupils (1-23 and 24-43)

| $T_{1}$ | $G_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $G_{1}$ | $T_{2}$ |

c. the test scores and the game scores,

| $T_{1}$ | $G_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{G}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ |

$\mathrm{~T}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{2}$
each criterion has a game score and a test score. The tests overlapped considerably in measuring the criteria as is noted in Chapter III under "Data Gathering." For the analysis, specific tests from the test battery were deliberately chosen as the measuring instruments. The tests chosen and the twenty-four criteria are presented in Table V.

Only in cases where more than one test was used to test one variable, were some tests deleted. For example, for "word analysis skills," the Informal Inventory, the Durrell Analysis, the Roswell-Chall Diagnostic Reading Tests, Phonics Knowledge Survey, and the Gray Oral Reading Test were all used. The investigator chose the Gray Oral Reading Test, probably the most sophisticated test of all, for analysis purposes.

## CRITERIA AND TESTS



Table VI reports the actual scores made by pupils 1-43 on the tests and the games. For nineteen of the variables a plus "+" or minus "-" rating was given. The plus "+" was arbitrarily assigned the numerical value of " 9 " (which represents a pass), and the minus "-"i was arbitrarily assigned the numerical value of " 8 " (which represents a fail), for the purpose of computer processing where numbers are needed.

The Pearson product-moment coefficients of correlation between test data and game data for the criteria are reported in Table VII.

For what most reading specialists would consider the most important variables, or at least the variables which are most easily defined, the correlations are relatively high and positive, that is, over . 50. These variables are: (1) Word analysis (grade level), (2) Word recognition, (3) Reading grade level, (4) Oral reading fluency (grade level), (5) Oral reading and comprehension, (6) Silent reading comprehension, and (7) Listening comprehension.

For only four of the variables are there negative correlations. These are: (1) Visual acuity, (2) Visual discrimination, (3) Attitudes, and (4) Visual memory. Perhaps it takes a certain kind of training to recognize these abilities while playing games. Or, in the case of the latter three, perhaps the tests are not valid.

All but four of the correlations are positive, and as mentioned earlier, seven of the most traditionally important variables are positive and over . 50 。

TABLEVI
ACTUAL TEST SCORES AND GAME SCORES AS REPORTED
BY THE TESTS AND CLINICIANS JCDGMENT



TABLE VII
PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR NUMBER OF CASES BETWEEN TEST DATA
ND GAME DAT

| CRITERIA | Number of Cases | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | Std. <br> Dev <br> X | Mean <br> Y | Std. <br> Dev <br> Y | Corr. Coeff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word analysis (+ or -) | 37 | 8.217 | 0.45 | 8.62 | 0.49 | 0.35 |
| Word analysis (grade level) | 36 | 3.71 | 1.83 | 4.03 | 1.60 | 0.58 |
| Syllabication | 40 | 8.35 | 0.48 | 8.75 | 0.44 | 0.42 |
| Word recognition | 41 | 8.54 | 0.50 | 8.68 | 0.47 | 0.52 |
| Reading grade level | 41 | 2.20 | 1.37 | 4.07 | 1.47 | 0.64 |
| Intelligence quotient | 34 | 101.94 | 12.84 | 108.18 | 8.95 | 0.14 |
| Oral reading flueney ( + or -) | 40 | 8.18 | 0.38 | 8.68 | 0.47 | 0.18 |
| Oral reading flueney (grade level) | 36 | 2.33 | 1.39 | 3.98 | 1.50 | 0.57 |
| Oral reading comprehension | 37 | 3.40 | 1.71 | 4.04 | 1.55 | 0.56 |
| Silent reading comprehension | 32 | 2.38 | 1.40 | 4.19 | 1.53 | 0.67 |
| Listening comprehension | 16 | 4.11 | 1.04 | 4.47 | 1.40 | 0.68 |
| Presence of reversals | 40 | 8.90 | 0.30 | 8.95 | 0.22 | 0.31 |
| Lateral dominance | 42 | 1.17 | 0.44 | 1.14 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| Visual aeuity | 42 | 8.98 | 0.15 | 8.98 | 0.15 | -0.02 |
| Visual dserimination | 43 | 8.98 | 0.15 | 8.93 | 0.26 | -0.04 |
| Auditory acuity | 41 | 8.95 | 0.22 | 8.95 | 0.22 | 0.47 |
| Auditory dscrimination | 42 | 8.88 | 0.33 | 8.93 | 0.26 | 0.18 |
| Einotional adjustment | 40 | 8.75 | 1.43 | 8.95 | 0.22 | 0.04 |
| Interest | 42 | 8.50 | 0.51 | 8.93 | 0.26 | 0.09 |
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| Gencral language | 41 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 8.78 |  |  |
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[^11]One might conelude then, as judged by a preliminary pilot study, that for the important variables, a trained clinieian playing games with children may yield the same results as a battery of standardized tests.

Table V III shows the produet-moment coefficients of correlation between the test scores and the game seores for the nineteen variables which were rated either pass or fail (9 or 8). These partieular correlations are lower than .50 , with the exeeption of word reeognition, beeause of the dichotomous nature of the data. A better way to look at these partieular data is presented in Table IX.

Table IX presents the numbers of cases and pereentages of times the clinicians reported exaetly the same and different scores on both games and tests for the nineteen variables. This table indicates how eorrelation coefficients are not always the appropriate means of statistically treating dichotomous data. These pereentages, or more specifically, the percentages in the "same" column, indicate even further evidence for aceepting the notion that trained clinicians are able to elieit comparable scores by using games in lieu of standardized tests. The pereentages are amazingly high for all the variables with the exeeption of Visual memory, Interest, Oral reading fluency, Syllabication and Word analysis.
TABLEVIII

| CRITERIA | Number of Cases | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \mathrm{X} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std } \\ \text { Dev } \\ \text { X } \end{gathered}$ | Mean Y | $\begin{gathered} \text { Std } \\ \text { Dev } \\ \mathrm{Y} \end{gathered}$ | Corr Coeff |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word analysis | 37 | 8.27 | 0. 45 | 8.62 | 0. 49 | 035 |
| Syllabication | 40 | 8.35 | 0. 48 | 8. 75 | 0. 44 | 0. 42 |
| Word recognition | 41 | 8.54 | 0.50 | 8.68 | 0.47 | 0. 52 |
| Oral reading fluency | 40 | 8. 18 | 0. 38 | 8.68 | 0.47 | 0. 18 |
| Presence of reversals | 40 | 8. 90 | 0. 30 | 8.95 | 0.22 | 031 |
| Lateral dominance | 42 | 1. 17 | 0. 44 | 1. 14 | 0.47 | 0. 47 |
| Visual acuity | 43 | 8.98 | 0.15 | 8.98 | 0.15 | -0.02 |
| Visual discrimination | 43 | 8.98 | 0.15 | 8. 93 | 0.26 | -0. 04 |
| Auditory acuity | 41 | 8.95 | 0.22 | 8.95 | 0.22 | 0. 47 |
| Auditory discrimination | 42 | 8. 88 | 0.33 | 8. 93 | 0.26 | 0. 18 |
| Emotional adjustment | 40 | 8.75 | 1. 43 | 8. 95 | 0.22 | 0. $0 \stackrel{\text { ¢ }}{=}$ |
| Interest | 42 | 8.50 | 0. 51 | 8.93 | 0.26 | 0.09 |
| Attitudes | 40 | 8.93 | 0.27 | 8. 95 | 0.22 | -0.07 |
| Visual memory | 15 | 7. 80 | 2. 21 | 8.93 | 0.26 | -0.03 |
| Auditory memory | 41 | 8.88 | 0.33 | 8. 90 | 0.30 | 0. 38 |
| Concept formation | 40 | 8.85 | 0.36 | 8.88 | 0.33 | 0. 26 |
| Visual-motor coordination | 36 | 8.92 | 0.28 | 8.67 | 1. 51 | 0.00 |
| Physical problems | 39 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 8.74 | 1. 45 | 0.00 |
| General language | 41 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 8. 78 | 1. 40 | 0.00 |

NOTE: $\mathrm{X}=$ Test scores
PRODUCT-MOMENT CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS (DICHOTOMOUS DATA ONLY)
FOR NUMBER OF CASES BETWEEN TEST DATA AND GAME DATA
TABLEIX
NUMBER OF PUPILS AND PERCENTAGE OF PUPILS FOR WHICH SAME AND DIFFERENT
SCORES (DICHOTOMOUS DATA ONLY) WERE REPORTED FOR TESTS AND GAMES

| Criteria | Same Scores |  | Different Scores |  | No Report |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N |
| Word analysis | 51 | 22 | 35 | 15 | 14 | 6 |
| Syllabication | 56 | 24 | 37 | 16 | 7 | 3 |
| Word recognition | 74 | 32 | 21 |  | 5 | 2 |
| Oral reading fluencey | 42 | 18 | 51 | 22 | 7 | 3 |
| Presence of reversals | 81 | 35 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 4 |
| Lateral dominance | 86 | 37 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Visual acuity | 95 | 41 | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| Visual discrimination | 91 | 39 | 9 | 4 |  |  |
| Auditory acuity | 91 | 39 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| Auditory discrimination | 84 | 36 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Emotional adjustment | 88 | 38 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 4 |
| Interest | 51 | 22 | 47 | 20 | 2 | 1 |
| Attitudes | 81 | 35 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 3 |
| Visual memory | 19 | 8 | 19 | 8 | 63 | 27 |
| Auditory memory | 84 | 36 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| Concept formation | 77 | 33 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Visual-motor coordination | 72 | 31 | 9 |  | 19 | 8 |
| Physical problems | 86 | 37 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 5 |
| General language | 93 | 40 |  |  | 7 | 3 |

Analysis of Variance. Table X shows the Fisher ratios for differences among the mean scores for all the variables between testing and gaming ( $T_{1}$ and $G_{1}$ ) the first five weeks and testing and gaming ( $T_{2}$ and $G_{2}$ ) the second five weeks.

This analysis asks the question, are there any significant differences between scores the first five weeks and scores the second five weeks? If significant differences do occur, then one would assume that the differences would be due to order or practice effect. The fact that the clinicians had had a chance to practice testing and gaming during the first five weeks may have had an effect on their performance the second five weeks. This seems to be the case for eleven of the twenty-four variables since eleven of the F-ratios were significant at the .05 level of confidence. The differences, therefore, were not due to chance.

The variables for which significant differences were reported in Table X are: Word analysis (+ or -), Syllabication, Reading grade level, Intelligence quotient, Oral reading fluency ( + or - ), Oral reading comprehension, Silent reading comprehension, Listening comprehension, Interest, and Visual memory.

The logical explanation is that'appears to be some order or practice effect. It is true that if one practices, especially in gaming, one will most
TABLE X

| CRITERLA | Observations** | (numerator) | $\underset{\text { (denominator) }}{\text { din }}$ | F-ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word analysis (+ or -) | 80 | 1 | 76 | 17.328* |
| Word analysis (grade level) | 79 | 1 | 75 | 1.618 |
| Syllabication | 82 | 1 | 78 | 7.974* |
| Word recognition | 82 | 1 | 78 | 2.700 |
| Reading grade level | 84 | 1 | 80 | 36.456* |
| Intelligence quotient | 76 | 1 | 72 | 7.374* |
| Oral reading fluency (+ or -) | 83 | 1 | 79 | 30.853* |
| Oral reading eomprehension | 81 | 1 | 77 | 5.816* |
| Silent reading comprehension | 76 | 1 | 72 | 34.913* |
| Listening comprehension | 59 | 1 | 55 | 3.748* |
| Presence of reversals | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.746 |
| Lateral dominanee | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.000 |
| Visual acuity | 76 | 1 | 72 | 0.000 |
| Visual discrimination | 86 | 1 | 82 | 0.874 |
| Oral reading flucney (grade level) | 80 | 1 | 76 | 23.965* |
| Auditory acuity | 34 | 1 | 80 | 0.0 ก9 |
| Auditory discrimination | 85 | 1 | 81 | 0.562 |
| Emotional adjustiment | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.220 |
| Interest | 85 | 1 | 81 | 25.758* |
| Attitudes | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.263 |
| Visual memory | 58 | 1 | 54 | 12.380* |
| Auditory memory | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.124 |
| Concept formation | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.104 |
| Visual-motor coordination | 76 | 1 | 72 | 0.156 |
| Physical problems | 78 | 1 | 74 | 0.936 |
| General language | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.000 |

[^12]ORDER BETWEEN TESTING AND GAMING THE FIRST FIVE WEEKS AND THE SECOND FIVE wEEKS
likely become more accurate at guessing a pupil's ability, more so, with the above eleven variables than others. However, as explained carlier, this study is not a tightly knit, controlled-variable research study. Differences between the first five weeks and the second five weeks are probably due to a myriad of uncontrolled variables which were impossible to control in a large pilot study such as the present one. For example, during the second five weeks, some clinicians may have been hurrying through to complete their cases in order to finish the course and, therefore, reported less accurate data. We will never know until a more controlled follow-up study is completed.

Table XI shows the F-ratios for differences among mean scores between group onc (pupils 1-23) and group two (pupils 24-43). Group one was tested first, then gamed. Group two was gamed first, then tested. As scen in Table XI, there were no significant diffcrences among the mean scores of the two groups. This indicates that the sample of children chosen for the study was, in fact, a random sample. Also, strangely enough, it may verify the fact that there may have been no differences between the first and second five weeks. However, Table X indicated that there are such differences.

Table XII shows the F-ratios for differences among mean scores between all of the test scores (first and second five weeks) and all of the game scores (first and second five weeks). Test scores are not significantly higher than game scores, nor are gamc scores significantly higher than test scores. This further justifies the finding and conclusion that there is a strong positive
TABLE XI
CONDITION BETWEEN GROUPS OF PUPILS (1-23 and 24-43)

| CRITERIA | Observations** | $\begin{gathered} \text { d.f. } \\ \text { (numerator) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { d.f. } \\ \text { (denominator) } \end{gathered}$ | F-ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word analysis (+ or -) | 80 | 1 | 76 | 0.073 |
| Word analysis (grade level) | 79 | 1 | 75 | 0.259 |
| Syllabication | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.011 |
| Word recognition | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.308 |
| Reading grade level | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.006 |
| Intelligenee quotient | 76 | 1 | 72 | 0.003 |
| Oral reading flueney ( + or -) | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.001 |
| Oral reading fluency (grade level) | 80 | 1 | 76 | 0.130 |
| Oral reading eomprehension | 81 | 1 | 77 | 0.063 |
| Silent reading comprehension | 76 | 1 | 72 | 0.053 |
| Listening comprehension | 59 | 1 | 55 | 0.117 |
| Presence of reversals | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.894 |
| Lateral dominance | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.061 |
| Visual acuity | 76 | 1 | 72 | 0.000 |
| Visual diserimination | 86 | 1 | 82 | 1.285 |
| Auditory aeuity | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.009 |
| Auditory diserimination | 85 | 1 | 81 | 0.367 |
| Emotional adjustment | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.367 |
| Interest | 85 | 1 | 81 | 0.122 |
| Attitudes | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.353 |
| Visual memory | 58 | 1 | 54 | 2.462 |
| Auditory memory | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.831 |
| Concept formation | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.667 |
| Visual-motor coordination | 76 | 1 | 62 | 0.085 |
| Physical problems | 78 | 1 | 74 | 0.963 |
| General language | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.000 |

[^13]TABLE XII

| CRITERIA | Observations** | (numerator) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d.f. } \\ & \text { (denominator) } \end{aligned}$ | F-ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Word analysis (+ or -) | 80 | 1 | 76 | 0.015 |
| Word analysis (grade level) | 79 | 1 | 75 | 0.000 |
| Syllabication | 82 | 1 | 79 | 0.139 |
| Word recognition | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.202 |
| Reading grade level | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.748 |
| Intclligenee quotient | 76 | 1 | 72 | 0.116 |
| Oral reading fluency (+ or -) | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.078 |
| Oral reading fluency (grad level) | 80 | 1 | 76 | 1.329 |
| Oral reading comprehension | 81 | 1 | 77 | 0.011 |
| Silent reading comprhension | 76 | 1 | 72 | 0.496 |
| Listening comprehension | 59 | 1 | 55 | 0.082 |
| Presence of reversals | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.598 |
| Lateral dominance | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.007 |
| Visual acuity | 76 | 1 | 72 | 1.989 |
| Visual discrimination | 86 | 1 | 82 | 0.000 |
| Auditory acuity | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.000 |
| Auditory discrimination | 85 | 1 | 81 | 1.007 |
| Emotional adjustment | 82 | 1 | 78 | 0.220 |
| Interest | 85 | 1 | 81 | 0.010 |
| Attitudes | 83 | 1 | 79 | 1.435 |
| Visual memory | 58 | 1 | 54 | 1.460 |
| Auditory memory | 84 | 1 | 80 | 0.951 |
| Concept formation | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.036 |
| Visual-motor coorindation | 76 | 1 | 72 | 3.470 |
| Physical problems | 78 | 1 | 74 | 0.936 |
| Gencral language | 83 | 1 | 79 | 0.000 |

NOTE: $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Significant at the } .05 \text { level of eonfiendce } \\ & * * \text { Observations are tht total number of responses made by judgment and test scores }\end{aligned}$
**Observations are tht total number of responses made by judgment and test scores
relationship between the test scores and the game scores.
Table X III shows a separate analysis of one of the variables, IQ or intelligence quotient. This appeared, at the outset of the study, to be one of the more interesting variables. How could a clinician guess an IQ score? Indeed she/he could! Table XII indicated that there were no significant differences between test scores and game scores. Table XIII indicates the specific differences between individual game and test data cases.

It is interesting to note that of the thirty-four cases for which data was available on IQ, only eleven have differences of more than fifteen IQ points. Since most educators accept fifteen as the standard deviation for IQ scores, then the clinicians' guesses during gaming were amazingly accurate.

Furthermore, it is also interesting to note that the gaming IQ scores are the higher scores in twenty-one of the thirty-four cases or more than 60 per cent of the cases. If Merton's ${ }^{1}$ theory of the "self-fulfilling prophecy" is working in our schools, then it is refreshing to note the data in Table XIII.
${ }^{1}$ Robert K. Merton, Social Theory and Social Structure, (London: The Free Press of Glencoc, 1957), pp. 421-436.

TABLEXIII

IQ SCORES AS MEASURED BY TESTS AND AS MEASURED BY
GAME SCORES

| Pupil Number | Test Scores* | Game Scores** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 84 | 110 |
| 2 | 109 | 112 |
| 4 | 133 | 120 |
| 5 | 108 | 100 |
| 6 | 112 | 100 |
| 8 | 89 | 115 |
| 9 | 91 | 104 |
| 11 | 86 | 114 |
| 12 | 97 | 95 |
| 15 | 108 | 115 |
| 17 | 109 | 100 |
| 18 | 91 | 110 |
| 19 | 113 | 90 |
| 20 | 82 | 115 |
| 21 | 112 | 100 |
| 22 | 111 | 110 |
| 23 | 110 | 115 |
| 24 | 100 | 123 |
| 25 | 100 | 95 |
| 26 | 86 | 110 |
| 27 | 108 | 100 |
| 29 | 114 | 115 |
| 30 | 87 | 110 |
| 31 | 91 | 108 |
| 32 | 75 | 100 |
| 33 | 105 | 100 |
| 35 | 114 | 120 |
| 36 | 93 | 90 |
| 37 | 108 | 120 |
| 39 | 123 | 110 |
| 40 | 109 | 115 |
| 41 | 109 | 120 |
| 42 |  | 112 |
| 43 |  | 105 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

NOTE: *as judged by the Lorge-Thorndike Intelligence Tests
**as judged by the clinicians playing games

## CHAPTER V

## SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this pilot study was to determine the coneurrent validity of trained clinieians using eommereial games to diagnose reading diffieulties.

The literature reviewed yielded various opinions among leading reading authorities about the use of standardized tests, informal tests and teacher observations in diagnosing reading diffieulties. Standardized and informal reading tests are the major measures used by many diagnosticians and teachers to evaluate pupils reading abilities.

Sample. The sample eonsisted of forty-three pupils with a mean IQ of 101, from the Amherst, Massachusetts area.

Procedure. This pilot study eovered a ten week period of diagnosing reading difficulties with formal tests and commercial games. Twenty-four variables, usually found in a traditional ease study were the base criteria for this study. Eleven eommereial tests plus medieal reports, observations and subjective judgments were the determining tests that equaled the twenty-four variables. The fifteen eommereial games ineluded fun games (games without written words), and reading games (games with written words).

Data was eolleeted by twenty-nine elinieians with the help of forty-three
pupils in two, five weck periods. During the periods each clinician diagnosed and played games with a different pupil.

Results. Findings of this pilot study indicated that games can be used as effectively as tests in diagnosing reading abilities. Table VII shows relatively high and positive correlations, that is, over .50 for seven of the most important variables, or at least the variables which are most easily defined. Table X shows that the re are significant differences for eleven of the variables between the weeks of testing and playing games. The differences are probably duc to order or practice effect. Table XI shows that there is no significant difference between group one (pupils 1-23) and group two (pupils 24-43). Table XII shows that there is no significant difference between the test scores and game scores.

Conclusions. The major conclusion of the pilot study is that trained clinicians'judgments of pupils, when using games rather than tests, do seem to be valid. Further controlled research definitely is indicated. Findings indicate that clinicians can guess IQ scores rather accuratcly, and that the more traditional variables, as tested by standardized tests, secm to be the ones that are most accurately guessed by clinicians.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this pilot study, the investigator recommends the following:

1. That this same design be used with a different sample.
2. That this design be used with classroom teachers as clinicians.
3. That remedial reading teachers and diagnosticians be used as
clinicians in a study of this nature.
4. That some tests be discarded; especially for IQ.
5. That peer groups should try out this design on each other as a model. Is it possible for peer groups to judge reading levels or specific skills that make one an efficient reader?
6. That schools construct reading programs utilizing games as the basic teaching and learning media.
7. That reading games should be used as a complete diagnostic program in reading.
8. That students devise their own games with teacher guidance, for classroom use and diagnostic purposes.
9. That further controlled research be conducted on each variable of the present study.

## REACTION

This pilot study explored the possibility of utilizing commercial games as an alternative to traditional testing. Why alternatives to traditional testing? The traditional testing domain leaves much to be desired. Some children are vicitimized because of test results. This is evident through grade and group placement. Pupils may be overplaced and expected to achieve at this levcl. Frustration could be the end product of this overplacement causing the teacher to think disfavorably about the pupil. The pupil, who is experiencing frustration may lose interest and start thinking disfavorably about himself, because the test indicated one thing and his ability is another. On the other hand, tests could underplace pupils, causing them to become bored with the intended objective. Boredom and frustration may be overcome for many if tests were
not so rigidly relied upon. First, to administer a complete battery of tests requires hours of testing time, and second the irterpretation of those results are not always in the best interest of the pupil. Victimized pupils? Yes, some pupils are vicitimized because of test results, especially minority group children.
. . . In Scotland an IBM computer was incorrectly programmed, sending the "slow" students into the high track and the "bright" ones into the low track. About one year later, when the mistake was discovered, authorities found that the "slow pupils were behaving as though they were bright and the "bright" pupils were behaving as though they were stupid. "l

If teachers think and treat their pupils as if they were dense, so shall they respond. Standardized and informal tests are dictators for many classroom teachers and administrators. They decide the pupils achievement level or tolerance level in many schools. They label the child "bright, " "average," and "slow, " or Eagles, Roadrunners, and Turkeys. These names are supposed to camouflage "highly intelligent," "intelligent," and "dumb." The children are not supposed to be intelligent enough to see through these sugarcoated labels. But, can they? Ask any child in any classroom what group he is in, and he is likely to answer "dumb group, " "middle group, " or "smart group." Children should not be subjected to such treatment.

[^14]Becanse some schools are being forced to integrate their student body, tests are a handy means of desegregation within integrated schools. Black and minority group children are literally thrown into slower paced classrooms. They are degraded in the classroom because of teacher expectancy, degraded by peers because they are in the "dummy" room, and degraded by the administrators because they use the tests to help keep the children at this level of achievement.

In conclusion, this study has shown that it is possible to judge various things about pupils through playing games or by observation. Some educators are firm believers in IQ scores. They believe that the actual score taken from a standardized IQ test is irrefutable. Some pupils are treated as if they are lacking in intelligence because of an IQ score. Naturally, if children are having problems in reading and they are given an IQ test which requires reading, their score will be low on the test. This should not be interpreted as low intelligence, but as an inability to read the test.

Minority group children are often victimized because of tests. Their educator's bcliefs, ignorance or laziness confines them to an intolerable level of achievement. The beliefs are: (1) a low score on a given test indicates his level of achievement, (2) do not vary from a test specifiled level, because the test is the truth, (3) if he scored low on a test, expect only that level of achicvement from him and nothing more, (4) as educators, continue to make
the child aware of his failure and (5) treat him as if he is inferior.
Ignorance may stem from not knowing the tests, i.e., sample it was standardized on, what it was supposed to measure and items on the tests unknown to the administrator. Laziness is not making an effort toward trying to help the child improve. In this case the test score has convinced the educator, that this is the pupil's level of achievement so the educator chooses to do nothing.

Educators: expect and you shall receive.
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APPENDIX A

SAme hesse crone

## SCHOOL OF EDUCATION

Reading Clinic
October 6, 1970
Dear Parents:

The School of Education's Reading Clinic will be in operation this Fall. As part of a research project we are presently concentrating on the diagnosis of the reading ability of third, fourth, and fifthly grade pupils, through the use of traditional diagnostic tests and also through the use of commercial games. We will be seeing the children twice a week: for a period of five to ten weeks. Some of the sessions can be arranged at the school. At the end of the sessions, a complete diagnostic report for each child will be sent to the school and reports will be sent to the parents.

If you would be interested in having your child participate in this program, please sign the enclosed form and return it to:

Reading Clinic<br>School of Education<br>University of Massachusetts<br>Ainherst, Massachusetts, 01002

In a few days, you will be contacted by a member of the University who will arrange for your child's participation, if you so desire.

This project is another example of the cooperation between the Amherst School System and the School of Education.

> Sincerely yours,

> D. J. Yarington

## PARENT INFORMATION FO. 1

Date: $\qquad$
Child's Name: $\qquad$ Nickname: $\qquad$
Child's Sex: $\qquad$ Date of Birth: $\qquad$ Age: $\qquad$
School Attendins: $\qquad$ Grade: $\qquad$
Father's Name: $\qquad$ Birthdate $\qquad$
Father's Address: $\qquad$
Mother's Name: Birthdate $\qquad$
Mother's Address
Parent's Present Marital Status:


Home Phone: $\qquad$ Business Phone:
Father's Occupation:
Motheriz Occupation: $\qquad$
Was Father in Service? $\qquad$ If so, dates $\qquad$ to $\qquad$
Are both parents the child's natural parents? $\qquad$
If not, explain briefly $\qquad$

Other children in the family:

## Name:

## Birthdate

Grade in School
$\square$

## Name:

Has the chlld been seen by other medical, psychiatric or psy=hological specialists? If so, please list below and obtain reports if possible.


Has chlld ever falled in school: Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ If "Yes", please fill in. Grade Reason

Reasons for Referral: (Please discuss as fully as you wish. Use additional sheets if desired, or use the back of thls page.)

## Source of Referral

$\qquad$

Signature of Parent: $\qquad$
Note: All reports and informetion in connection with this child will be treated as confidential by the clinlc and wlll not be discussed with other indsvidusis except by the request of parents completing this form.
$\qquad$

## School Record

Name: $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$
Telephone: $\qquad$
Parent: $\qquad$
Date of Birth: $\qquad$
Age:
yrs.
mos.

School:

School Address: $\qquad$
Principal: $\qquad$

Teacher: $\qquad$

Referred by: $\qquad$
Grade; $\qquad$

## School History:

Date entered present school: $\qquad$
Attendance: $\qquad$ regular
___ irregular

Number of schools attended: $\qquad$
School
Location

Age at entrance in Grade 1 $\qquad$
Grades repeated $\qquad$ Grades skipped $\qquad$

## Standardized Test Results

1. Intelligence Tests (name, date administered, IQ scores)
2. Achievement Tests (name, date administered, subtests \& grade equivalents only)

Best subject $\qquad$ Poorest subject $\qquad$
In what activities has he been most successful? $\qquad$

What phonics program has been used with the child? $\qquad$

What reading program has been used with the child? $\qquad$

Has pupil had any previous diagnostic testing?
Where?
When?
By whom? $\qquad$
Has pupil had any remedial instruction? $\qquad$
Where?
When?
By whom?

## Health:

General physical condition
Vision test (date and results)
Audiometer test (date and results) $\qquad$

Comments:

The School of Education

## Reading Clinic

D. J. Yarington, Director

Dear $\qquad$ ,
(Principal)
I would appreciate your releasing to Dr. Yarington, Director of the Reading Clinic, all your records or abstracts pertaining to my child $\qquad$ .
I herewith grant permission for their release.
Signature $\qquad$
Relationship $\qquad$
Date

APPENDIX B

TEST BATTERY FORMS FOR (Student)
School
Clinician

1. Roswell-Chall Diagnostic Test of Work Analysis

Date
Pupil needs help in:
(1) single consonant sounds
(2) consonant combinations
(3) short vowels
(4) rule of silent e
(5) syllabication

Remarks:
2. Inventory of Interests and Attitudes

Date

1. Does the child appear to have any sustaining interests?
2. Are there any clues to interests that might be developed?

Remarks:
3. John N. Buck Time Test

Date
Correct $\qquad$ Half Correct $\qquad$
Total Points $\qquad$
Remarks:
4. Keystone Visual Survey Tests

Date $\qquad$
Passed
Failed $\qquad$
Remarks:
5. Auditory Discrimination Test

Date $\qquad$
X
Y
Adequate $\qquad$ Inadequate $\qquad$

Remarks:
Durrell Analysis of Reading Difficulty

7. Phonics Knowledge Survey

Date $\qquad$
(unknown)
Part 1. Names of letters $\qquad$
Part 2. Consonant sounds $\qquad$
Part 3. Vowels: Long $\qquad$
Short $\qquad$

|  |  | No. Right |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Part 4. | Vowel generalizations | No Wrong |
| Part 5. | Sounds of C and G |  |
| Part 6. | Sounds of Y |  |

(unknown)
Part 7. Consonant blends $\qquad$
Part 8. Digraphs $\qquad$
Part 9. Vowel combinations $\qquad$
Part 10. Vowels followed by $R$ $\qquad$
Part 11. Sounds of QU $\qquad$
Part 12. Sounds of OO
Part 13. Sounds of X
Part 14. Beginning consonant combinations
Part 15. Syllabication No. Right $\quad$ No. Wrong

Remarks:
8. University of Massachusetts Reading Center Comprehensive Informal Reading Inventory

Date $\qquad$
Part I. Informal Reading Survey
Independent Level
Instruction Level
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Part II. Bucks County Reading Placement Tests

Independent Level
$\qquad$

Part III. Paragraphs from Basal Reader Series
Independent Level
Instruction Level
Part IV. Word Analysis Inventory
Visual Discrimination \%
Auditory Discrimination $\%$
Alphabet _____________ \%
Vowel Sounds $\qquad$ (correct ones)

1. Initial consonants $\qquad$ \%
2. Final consonants $\qquad$
3. Consonant blends \%
4. Consonant digraphs $\qquad$ $\%$
5. Long and short vowel sounds ..... \%
6. Controlled " r " ..... \%
7. "l" and "w" controller ..... \%
8. Silent "gh" and 3 letter blends ..... \%
9. Dipthongs ..... \%
10. Hard and soft "c" and "g" ..... \%
11. Two consonant letters \& final "e" ..... \%
12. Syllabication ..... \%
13. "le" syllabication \& "schwa" ..... \%
14. Visual discrimination ..... \%
Remarks:

2．（iravolloading Irel
Dute．
＂．．．．－．－－－－

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P. } \\ & \text { Aum we } \end{aligned}$ | No．af <br> Erwas | ＇Fi：にな （in scemuls） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I'ssace } \\ & \text { Siouce: } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  |  |
| 6. |  |  |  |  |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |
| 9. |  |  |  |  |
| 10. |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  |  |  |
| 12. |  |  |  |  |
| 13. |  |  |  |  |
| Total | sage Scorc |  |  |  |
| Grade | qualont |  |  |  |

Types of Eriors


## Observations

＿．＿．Word－by－word reading
Poor phrasing
Lack of expression
－Monetonous Tone
$\qquad$ Pitch ton high or low；voice ton soft，lourk or stained
＿Iroer enureiation
Distegrat of puncluation
＿＿．．．Oncruse of phentes
$\qquad$ fittle or no methot of wotd amnly：is

Unswateress：of criors
＿＿＿Ifad movement

．．．．．Iasis uf Hace？
10. The Harris Tests of Laterality

Date $\qquad$

Test

1. $\frac{\text { Knowledge of Left and Right }}{\text { Confused }}$
Hand Dominance
Remarks:

2. 

| Eye Dominance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L | : | 1 | : | M | : | rr | : | R |
| $\underline{L}$ | : | 1 | : | M | : | r | : | R |
| L | : | 1 | : | M | : | $r$ | : | R |
| L | : | 1 | : | M | : | $r$ | : | R |


|  | Foot Dominance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | 1 | : | M | : | r | : | R |
| 11. | L | 1 | : | M | : | r | : | R |
| 11.1 | L | 1 | : | M | : | r | : | R |
| 11.2 | L | 1 | : | M | : | r | : | R |

APPENDIX C
Roswell-Chall
Diagnostic Reading Test

- of Word Anaiysis Skills

The City College

DIRECTIONS TO THE EXAMINER
Test eaeh pupil individually. Give him a blank eopy of the test. Use another copy for recording the results. Fill in pupil's name and all
manual of instructions for direations regarding tis.
istration, reeording, and interpretation or resuls.
Copyright 1956 and 19.59 by Florence G. Ros any part of it by mimeogroph, hec.
 for use., without permission of the publishers is a violotion of the copyright law.
E-3 0ress
Po Box 5 Planetarium Station New York, N. Y. 10024
Pupil's Name
Pupll's Name __ Analysis Skills
Summary of Word Apil needs help in:
(1) single consonant sounds
(2) consonant combinations
(3) short vowels
(4) rule of silent e
(5) vowel eombination
(6) syllabication
Remarks

[^15]Grade Seores Paragraph Reading ___ Voeabulary (Word Meaning) Spelling




University of Massachusetts Reading Center
Comprehensive Informal Reading Inventory
Name $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$
Tester $\qquad$

Score Sheet
Part I. Independent Level $\qquad$
Instruction Level $\qquad$
Part II. Independent Level $\qquad$
Instruction Level $\qquad$
Part III. Independent Level
Instruction Level $\qquad$
Part IV. Visual Discrimination
Auditory Discrimination
Alphabet (\%)

Vowel sounds (List correct ones)

1. Initial Consonants
2. Final Consonant
3. Consonant Blends $\qquad$
4. Consonant Digraphs
5. Long and short vowel sounds
6. Controlled "r"
7. "1" and "w" controller
8. Silent "cin" and 3 letter blends
9. Diphthongs
10. Hard and soft " $c$ " and " $g$ "
11. Two Consonant letters \& final "e"
12. Syllabication
13. "le" syllabication \& "schwa" sound
14. Visual discrimination $\qquad$

Part V. Oral and Silent Reading Tests
Independent Level
Instructional Level
Comprehension Level
Smoothness of reading
Specific Problem
Tester's Interpretation:

Information At First Session
Date

Name

Address

Telephone Numer $\qquad$
Grade Now
School

Age
Teacher's Name $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Reading situation pupil is in (groups, individual, special, number in class).

How does pupil feel about his reading? Does he have a problem? What is it?

Does he come for help?

## Interests

Has he ever been tutored in reading before? Where

University of Massachusetts Reading Center

Comprehensive Informal Reading Inventory

Purpose: To determine the tentative level of difficulty (in terms of reader level) at which the pupil can read comfortably on his own (Independent Level) ; and the tentative level of difficulty (reader level) at which the pupil can function most appropriately for instructional purposes ("INstructional level").

Procedure: I. Administer Part I (mimeographed word Iists)
A tentative independent level is determined by the highest list on which the pupil makes no errors in word recognition.
A tentive instructional level is determined by the list on
which the pupil makes his first error of recognition.
II. Administer Part II (Bucks County Test)

Tentative Independent and instructional levels are determined the same as in Part $I$.
III. Administer paragraphs from the middle of a basal reader series (preferabley one pupil does not use in school), or the mimeographed paragraphs in this package. Inftiate testing at tentative independent level as judged by Parts I and II; and proceed until appropriate independent and instructional levels are established as explained below.

Select one story sample of 100 words or more. Keep a record of errors for diagnosis and guide to future teaching, using same scoring system.

The tentative independent level is determined by the level of the reader in which the pupil reads with a minimum of $99 \%$ accuracy.

The tentative instructional level is determined by the level of the reader in which the pupil reads with a minimum of $95 \%$ accuracy (one error per twenty running words.)

Make an adequate check of the pupils comprehension of the content. which he reads by asking two fact questions and Lhree Inforconlial questions.
IV. Administer Word Analysis Inventory if it seems to be indicated by specific fallure in word attack in the earlier parts of the test.

## Directions for Scoring

1. The pupil reads one copy; you score another copy (Teacher's copy).
2. Check $(\checkmark)$ correct words. (If pupil hesitates but gets it correct in 4 seconds; it is correct).
3. Underscore with a straight line all words or syllables which are wholly mispronounced or scuttled.
4. Use accent marks to indicate how an incorrectly stressed work is mispronounced.
5. Encircle words and syllables which are omitted. (Give four seconds and supply the word if pupil does not attack it).
6. Write in any insertions or substitutions.
7. Underscore with a wavy line all words and syllables which are repeated.
8. At the end of each list, point out words pupil missed and ask him again. If correct, check it (this would be a visual discrimination error).
If pupil makes a mistake a second time, circle word (this would be a
word recognition error).
9. Note at end of each list if pupil pronounces the words smoothly, slowly,
etc.
10. Count only the word recognition errors as mistakes.
11. Score each sheet at the top of each test and tabulate on the cover sheet.

## INFORMAL READING SURVEY <br> PART I

PRE-PRIMER .
PRIMER
come
go
funny four
baby
little
run
one
big
play
grandfather
dog
work

## FIRST

way
walk
pennies
them
cive
wish
morning
gray
called
asked
corner
resting
middle
carry
sound
taking
harder
angry
SECOND
waved

路
strong

Part I- Con'd

| Third | Fourth |
| :--- | :--- |
| except | citizens |
| counters | holiday |
| stew | examined |
| group | snapping |
| scooping | downward |
| diamond | music |
| stretched | disturbed |
| pain | terrific |
| cattle | northern |
| admitted | wisdon |

## 

## Fifth

Sixth
magician
triumphantly
umpire
circulation
million
machinery
unmistakable
expert
gencrally
history
pulsing
xample
margin
tampering
persecution
unspeakable
examination

## PART II

## BUCKS COUNTY READING PLACEMENT TESTS

| PRE-PRIMER | PRIMER |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. a | all |
| 2. ball | at |
| 3. blue | boat |
| 4. come | but |
| 5. father | do |
| 6. get | duck |
| 7. have | find |
| 8. house | girl |
| 9. in | he |
| 10. it | kitten |
| 11. little | 11ke |
| 12. make | now |
| 13. mother | out |
| 14. not | put |
| 15. play | saw |
| 16. ride | stop |
| 17. see | thank |
| 18. to | there |
| 19. want | three |
| 20. will | train |

## FIRST

1. about
2. as
3. be
4. by
5. color
6. far
7. four
8. green
9. he110
10. horse
11. live
12. met
13. name
14. of
15. paint
16. road
17. so
18. street
19. tree
20. walk

SECOND
across
balloon
best
burn
care
coat
dress
fire
gone
knew
miss
off $f$
pig
right
shall
six
table
together
turn
wood

Word Recognition Test (con't.)

| Second-2 | Third-1 | Third-2 | Fourth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. above | able | act | abandon |
| 2. bark | block | beach | armor |
| 3. brother | child | bounce | blush |
| 4. corner | daddy | chance | charity |
| 5. drink | edge | cottage | cooperation |
| 6. fairy | fix | distance | Detroit |
| 7. flour | half | except | elscwhere |
| 8. gray | Indlan | fog | firmly |
| 9. hide | 11t | hoff | gracious |
| 10. kept | mind | journey | hunger |
| 11. left | north | lever | isle |
| 12. mouth | pile | nod | loyal |
| 13. pay | pour | peak | moreover |
| 14. push | rich | quite | oven |
| 15. roof | secret | scared | pond |
| 16. sheep | signal | shoot | reckless |
| 17. sound | spoke | spill | sauce |
| 18. such | swing | stupid | soak |
| 19. those | trail | ticket | survey |
| 20. wheel | wall | wlre | truch |

Word Recognition Test (con't.)

| Fifth | Sixth | Jr. (7-8) | Sr. (9-12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. abode | abbey | abate | abandoned |
| 2. artistic | artillery | armament | armada |
| 3. bobby | blunder | blunt | blurt |
| 4. chart | Charleston | charitable | Charlemagne |
| 5. coral | coon | coolie | cookery |
| 6. devise | Diana | devoted | detestable |
| 7. embarrass | embroider | Elsie | elude |
| 8. fireplace | fir | fireman | Finland |
| 9. granite | grammar | graciously | graduation |
| 10. hurried | hurrah | Hungarian | hundredth |
| 11. Jacob | isolate | jeer | islet |
| 12. loyalty | loving | loveliness | lovable |
| 13. Morgan | Moses | morrow | morose |
| 14. overflow | outstanding | outstretched | outsider |
| 15. Polly | ponder | poorly | pollute |
| 16. recovery | recite | recline | recital |
| 17. scar | sausage | saucepan | Sant |
| 8. so-called | snowy | snuff | snusple |
| 9. surroundings | suspicious | Susan | surveying |
| 20. trumpet | Troy | trudge | truint |

PART III

## PRE--PRIMER ( 43 words)

The Blue Boat
Jane said, "See the red boat.
See the yellow boat."
Dick said, "I see the boats.
Two little blue boats.
A big yellow boat.
A little red boat.
And two blue boats.
Yellow, red, and blue."
From WE COME AND GO by Gray, Artley, and Arbithnot. Copyright 1951, by Scott, Foresman and Company, and used with their permission.

## PRIMER ( 36 words)

## Pets at School

All the pets come to school.
They come with the boys and girls.
Bif pets and little pets.
Mother animals and baby animals.
Dogs and rabbits come.
Some hens and chickens come.
From JIMM' 'S ANLMAL PARTY by Elvira Penell. First appeared in DEW DROPS, April 30, 1939. Copyright by David D. Cook Publishing Co., as adapted in the NEW FUN WITH DICK AND JANE by Gray, Artley, and Arbuthnot. By Scott, Foresman, and Company, and used with their permission.

## Part III

First (56 words)
Dinner at the Farm
Once there was a pig who ran away from his barnyard. He wanted to get fat.
So he ran down the ruad looking for a good dinner. Soon he saw something he liked.
"Wee, wee, wee," he sald.
"Corn wfll make a fine dinner. It will make me fat." So the pig begen eating the corn.
"Dinner at the Farm" from "The Little Pig" in MORE MOTHER STORIES by Maud Lindsay, Copyright held by The Platt \& Munk Company, Inc. as adapted in The NEW OUR NEW FRIENDS by Gray, Artley, and Arbuthnot. Copyright, 1951, by Scott, Foresman and Company, and used with their permission.

Second (92 words)

## The First Woodpecker

Long, long ago in a faraway village there lived a very ug1y woman.
One afternoon she was baking a cake.
She belleved she was alone in her house.
But all at once she heard someone moving.
Turning quickly from the oven, she saw a strange
old man standing behind her.
"I have not eaten since yesterday," the strange
man said in a friendly voice.
"I hope you'll give me a bite of cake."
Smfling a sly smile, the woman said,
Perhaps-- when my little cake is done."
From the NEW MORE FRIENDS AND NEIGHBORS by Gray, Artley, and Arbuthnot.
Copyright, 1953, by Scott, Foresman and Company, and used with their permission.

Part III

## Third (97 words)

Three Sillies

Onceupon a time a farmer and his wife had one daughter whose
name was Martha. She was at the age to marry, and a young man named Peter vanted to marry her.

When she promised to be Peter's bride, she wanted to celebrate with a feast.

Just before dinner Martha went to the storeroom to get a slice of cheese. While she was there, she happened to look up at the ceiling. Stuck in the ceiling was an ax. It may have been there a long time, but the girl had never noticed it before.

From the NEW MORE STREETS AND ROADS by Gray, Artley, and Arbuthnot. Copyright, 1953, Scott, Foresman and Company, and used with their permission.

## Fourth (98 words)

Cider Lad
Once upon a time there was a man who had a meadow which he prized very highly for its fine hay. But right on Midsummer's Eve, when the grass stood thickest and greenest, the meadow was suddenly eaten down to the ground as if a whole flock of sheep had been grazing on it overnight.

When this occurred a second year, the man felt he could not endure having his fine crop ruined again. So the third year he told his sons that one of them must stay in the barn on Midsummer's Eve to watch the hay.

From TIMES AND PLACES by Gray and Arbuthnot. Copyright, 1947, by Scotl, Foresman and Company, and used with their permission.

The Golden Touch
Once upon a time there lived a very rich king whose nane was Midas. He had a little daughter, whom $I$ choose to call Marygold. Now this king. Midas was extremely fond of gold. If there was anything which he loved better, it was the one little maiden who played so merrily around her father's footstool. But the more M1das loved his daughter, the more did he seek for wealth. He thought, foolish man, that the best thing he could possibly do for this dear child woul.: be to give her the largest pile of glistening coln that had ever been heaped together sincu the world was made. Thus he gave all his thoughts and time to thits one purpose.

From DAYS AND DEEDS by Gray, and Arbuthnot. Copyright, 1951; by Scott, Foresman and Company, and used with their permission.

## Sixth (120 words)

Robin Hood
In olden days there lived in Mery England a man named Robin Hood. Now Robin was an outlaw by the King's decree, and with a price on his head to boot, for he had slafn the King's deer. No towered castle gave Rohin refuge, but only the shadowy glades of Sherwood forest.

There dwelt with his band of loyal followers.
Thuugh Robin was an outlav, no man in England was more beloved, for no one ever asked his aid in vain. Rob the rich and help the needy was bold Robins motto, and many a poor man passing through Sherwood Forest found his pockets lined with gold that had lately been jingling in a fat merchant's purse.

From PEORLE AND PROGRESS by Gray and Arbuthnot. Copyright, 1951, by Scoott, Foresman and Company, and used with Lheir permission.

## Part IV - Cont'd.

Directions: Here are some groups of letters that look like words. Please say them for me. (Repeat these directions for each test.)
1.

1. bem
2. hib
3. dor
4. lum
5. fum
6. mub
7. hur
8. sem
9. jom
10. pud
II.
11. nad
12. kel
13. ras
14. seb
15. sif
16. ket
17. tem
18. nen
19. nep
20. $\operatorname{cor}$
III.
J. blat
21. fron
22. clep
23. trag
24. flan
25. skon
26. gret
9 . snad
27. Blem
28. swem

## Part IV - cont'd.

## Test for Auditory Discrimination (Reading Readiness Level)

Directions: You know what a rhyme is. A rhyme is a word that sounds like another word. Two words rhyme if they end in the same sound like "hat" and "sat". Now I want you to tell me which words rhyme.

| 1. look | clock | cook |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2. make | take | took |
| 3. try | tree | cry |
| 4. doll | dog | log |
| 5. train | truck | rain |
| 6. sing | song | ring |
| 7. stay | name | stop |
| 8. sack |  | same |
| 9. can | man | let |

Read and say the Alphabet. (After pupil finishes-) What arc the vowels and their sounds--long and short?

$\begin{array}{llllllll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{U} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Z}\end{array}$

## Part IV

Word Analysis Inventory

## Name

$\qquad$ Date
Clinician $\qquad$
Test for Visual Discrimination (Reading Readiness Level)

Directions: Please point to the word that is not like the others.

1. out
out
without
out
out
2. can
can
can
canny
can
3. came
can
came
came
came
4. arm
farm
arm
arm
arm
5. see
see
seen
see
see
6. long
song
long
long
long
7. rat
rat
rat
cat
rat
8. took
took
take
took
took
9. late
lake
late
late
late
10. game game game gain game

## Durrell Analysis of Reading Difficulty NEW EDITION



BY Donald D. Durrell Professor of Education and Director of Educational Clinic, Boston University


Profile Chart


Copyright 1955, 1937, by Harcourt, Brace \& World, Inc., New York.
Copyright in Great Britain. All rights reserved. minted in u.s.a.

## Check List of Instructional Needs

| NON-READER OR PREIPRIMER | PRIMIARY GRADE READING LEVEL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LEVEL |  |

Gcneral History Data

## SCHOOL RECORD

Age at school entrance
First-grade absences
$\qquad$ Onset of difficulty $\qquad$
Recent absences
School report (or classroom visit) $\qquad$
attended
Reading method used
Poor discipline $\qquad$
Discouraged $\qquad$

## Source

$\qquad$
Latest examination of eyes
by
Clinic examination suggests:
Nearsightedness
Farsightedness
Astigmatism
Coördination difficulty

Hearing
Auditory discrimination
Pertinent medical history

Source $\qquad$
PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS - HOME HISTORY
$\qquad$
Siblings - where in school?
Handedness change
Emotional reactions
Special interests
Tutoring possibilities $\qquad$
Previous tutoring

## REMEDIAL PLANS

> (Individual tutoring - small group work - remedial class)

1. Level of reading materials
2. Motivation type - interests
3. Word work

Word analysis - level, type
Inmediate recognition $\qquad$
Phrase work
4. Oral reading plans

Mechanics
Comprehension
5. Silent reading plans

Mechanics
Comprehension
6. Study skills

Thoroughness
Flexibility
$\qquad$
Association $\qquad$

## Oral Reading

INSTRUCTIONS. Ma'e a record of time, etrors, phrasing, and comprehension according to the directions in the Manual,

1. Time $\qquad$ Frrors $\qquad$ Comprehension $\qquad$

| GRADE | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | H | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | H |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 50 | 38 | 30 | 27 | 20 | 15 |

Muff is a litcle yellow kitten.
She drinks milk.
She sleeps on a chair.
She does not like to get wet.
_1. What color was the kitten?
—_ 2. What does she drink?
_ 3. Where does she sleep?
_4. Why doesn't Muff like to go out on rainy days?
2. Time $\qquad$ Frrors $\qquad$ Comprehension

| GRADE | I | $\mathbf{M}$ | H | L | $\mathbf{M}$ | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 90 | 75 | 60 | 55 | 41 | 30 |

A little black dog ran away from home. He played with two big dogs. They ran away from him. It began to rain. He went under a cree. He wanted to go home, but he did not know the way. He saw a boy he knew. The boy took him home.
_-1. Who ran away from home?

- 2. How many other dogs did he play with?
- 3. Why did the dog go under the tree?
_- 4. What did the dog want then?

5. Whom did he sec?
-6. How did he get home?
6. Time $\qquad$ Errors $\qquad$ Comprenension $\qquad$

| GRADE | t | 2 <br> $M$ | H | t | $\mathbf{M}$ | H |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 65 | 50 | 40 | 35 | 25 | 20 |

Six boys put up a cent by the side of the river. They toon things to eat with theni. When the sun went down, they went into the tent to sleep. In the night, a cow came and began to eat grass around the tent. The boys were afraid. They thought it was a bear.

## _1. How many boys went camping?

2. Where did they put up their tent?

- 3. What did they take with them besides their tent?
__ 4. What did the boys do when the sun went down?
- 5. What came around their tent in the night?
_-_6. What was the cow doing?
_ 7. What did the boys think the cow was?

4. Time___ Errors _____ Comprehension

| GRADE | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $H$ | 1 | 4 | $H$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 70 | 40 | 32 | 30 | 27 | 24 |

Henry goes to a large lake in summer. Last summer, a motorboat sank near his house. The boat had ten men in it. The man who was running the boat brought it very close to the shore when the water was low. He hit a big rock under water. It made a hole in the bottom of the boat. The water came in very fast. All of the men swam to shore.
-1. Where does Fienry go in summer?
2. What happened near his house?

- 3. What kind of boat was it?
- 4. What did the boat hit?
-_ 5. How fase did the water come in?
- 6. How many men were on the boar?
- 7. What happened to the men on the boat?

5. Time $\qquad$ Errors $\qquad$ Comprehension $\qquad$

| GRADE | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | H | L | M | H | i | $\mathbf{M}$ | M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 70 | 50 | 42 | 40 | 35 | 30 | 27 | 25 | 22 |

In 180\%, Robert Fulton took the first long trip in 2 steamboat. He went one hundred and fifty miles up the Hudson River. The boat went five miles an hour. This was faster than a steamboat had ever gone before. Crowds gathered on both banks of the river to see this new kind of boat go by. The fishermen did not like the boar. They were afraid that its noise and splashing would drive away all the fish.
-1. What did Rebert Fulton do in this story?
2. What kind of boat was it?
-3. What rives was the trip made on?
—_ 4. How far did the boat go?
—_ 5. How fast did it go?
_6. Who did not like the boat?
_ 7. What were the fishermen afraid would happen?
6. Time

| GRADE | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | H | L | $\mathbf{M}$ | H | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | H |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 90 | 75 | 65 | 60 | 55 | 52 | 45 | 40 | 32 |

The richest diamond field in the world is in South Africa. Dcep pits yield a hard substance called "bluc ground" which contains the diamonds. The blue ground is spread over the drying fields for a year. The weather gradually crumbles it. Then it is taken up and run through washing machines which sort out the stones and the diamonds. The value of the diamonds is determined by color, size, and purity, Blue, yellow, orange, brown, and green diamonds have been discovered. The most valuable ones are pure white. The largest diamond ever found weighed almost two pounds.

1. In what country is the richese diamond feld of the world?
2. What is the substance containing the diamonds called?

- 3. Why is the blue ground spread over the drying felds?
- 4. What do the washing machines do?
—— What are sonie of the colors of diamonds?
- 6. Which diamonds are the noost valuable?
——. How heavy was the largest diamond ever found?

7. Time $\qquad$ Comprehension

| GRADE | L | M | H | L | $\mathbf{6}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 80 | 72 | 65 | $\mathbf{H}$ | H |  |
|  | 55 | 42 |  |  |  |  |

Golf originated in Holland as a game played on ice. The game in its present form first appeared in Scotland. It became unusually popular and kings found it so enjoyable that it was known as "the royal game." James IV, however, thought that people neglected their work to indulge in this fascinating sport so that it was forbidden in 1457. James relented when he found how attractive the game was and it immediately regained its former popularity. Golf spread gradually to other couneries, being introduced in America in 1890. It has grown in favor until there is hardly a town that does not boast of a private or public course.

- 1. Where did golf originate?
_1 2. How was it first played?
__ 3. Where did it first appear in its present form?
_4. Why was golf forbidden by James IV?
- 5. Why did he change his mind?
_ 6. When was golf first introduced in America?
_- 7. What evidence have we of its popularity?
 ductive.
_-_ 1. What great development is described here?
- 2. When did this industria! growth take place?
- 3. What were some of the industries that arose?
- 4. In which part of the United States did this take place?
.-5. What invention increased the production of shoes?
.-6. What processes of steel miaking were used?
_- 7. What effect did increased steel production have on industry?


## CHECK LIST OF DIFFICULTIES

Phrase Reading
— Word-by-word reading

- Inadequate phrasing
- Incorrect phrasing
- Eye-voice span too short

Volce, Enunclation, Expressios

- Strained, high-pitched voice
- Monotonous tone
_-. Volume too loud
- Volume too sofe
- Poor enunciation in all read. - Head movenents; marked
ing - Loses place easily
- Poor enunciation of difficult
words
- Ignores punctuation Frowns and shows signs of
- Habitual repetition of words
- Habitual addition of words
—Omis words
Woro Skills in Oral Reading
Low sight vocabulary
- Word-analysis ability inadequate
- Errors on easier words
- Guesses at unknown words fronn context
- Ignores word errors and reads on
- Poor enunciation of prompted words

General Reaoing Habits
— Uses finger or pointer
— Holds book too close or incorrectly
_ Frowns and shows signs of tense-
Poor posture

- Marked insecurity evident - Easily distracted



## Silent Reading

INSTRUCTIONS. Make a record of time, errors, number of unaided memories, inaccurate memories, and prompted memories according to the directions in the Manual.

1. Time

| CRADE | 1 | $M$ | $H$ | L | M | H |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | 45 | 35 | 27 | 24 | 18 | 13 |
| MEMORIES |  | 4 |  |  | 5 |  |

Peter is
a big white rabbit.
He has long ears. .
He has a litetle tail.
He can jump and hop.

|  | 1 I ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | 1 | M | H | 1 | M | H |
| TIME | 81 | 60 | 53 | 47 | 37 | 28 |
| MEMORIES |  | 7 |  |  | 10 |  |

A hen had.
six little yellow chickens.
One morning
she took them for a walk
They looked for
something to eat.
They found some seeds and
sand.
A dog came
to play with them.
The hen.
did not like the dog
She few at the dog.
and madc him run away....

| GRADE | 2 |  |  | $1{ }^{3}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | M | H | 1 | M | H |
| IIME | 62 | 50 | 35 | 30 | 23 | 16 |
| MEMORIES |  | 7 |  |  | 10 |  |

Three boys.
built a house
in the woods.
They put a i able.
and two old chairs in it.
There was a basket.
full of apples.
ander the table.
One afternoon.
they wert away
and left the door open.
When they came back
they found two litcle pigs
eating the apples.

| GPADE | $1{ }^{3}$ |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 1 | - M | H |
| TIMAE | 45 | 35 | 30 | 26 | 23 | 18 |
| memories |  | 12 |  |  | 15 |  |

A little girl.
got off the train
all alone.
There was nobody
at the siation
to meet her.
She asked the man
inside the station
where her mother was.
He said that her mother could not get the car started. A man was trying to fix it.
The little girl sat down
to wait.
A few minutes later
a big car
caine around the corter
with her mother init.
The little girl got in.
and they drove home.

| Grade |  | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | M | H | 1 | M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| time | 62 | 40 | 36 | 34 |  |  |  | M |  |
| AEMORIES |  | 10 |  | 34 | 12 | 28 | 26 | 23 | 18 |



## Imagery Questions (optional)

## PARAGRAPII 3

1. Did you see in your mind the three boys who built the house in the woods? Tell me how they looked to you. Then ask: How old were shey? flow were they dressed? etc.
2. Did you see the house in your mind? Tell me cbout how it looked to your. Then ask: How big was it? Did it hase any windows? Ho:v many? What kind of roof did is ha:e? Could you draw a picture of how the house looked to youl? etc.

## PARAGRAPH 4

1. Did you see in your mind the litule girl who got off the train? Tell me how she looked. (How dressed; various articles of cloching; color; hair; age; si九e; luggage; etc.)
2. Did you see any other people? Tell me how they look.
3. Did you see the station and the surroundings? Tell me what you saw.

RESPONSE IO IMAGERY QUESTIONS
___ Rich flow of imagory ___ Hesitant, indefinite
6. Tine


Early secters.
in Anerica.
found that Indians
would sell skins and land.
for glass beads.
Many men earned their living
by making glass beads.
and bottles.
In 1827
a man invented a way
to press molten glass.
into iron molds.
The most famous glass works was in the town of Sand wich in Massachusetts. . .
The Sand wich glass had.
a bright silvery appearance.. and it could be molded into very elaborate and attractive patterns.
Beautiful lamps and candlesticks
as well as all sorts of dishes were made from this glass. . .
In many New England homes pieces of Sandwich glass are still found on display.

## CHECK LIST OF DIFFICULTIES

Mechanics of Silent Readino

## __ Low rate of silent reading

- High rate at the expense of mastery
__ Lip movements; constant - occasional
__ Whispering; constant - oceasional
_ Lacks pervistence in hard material
___ Marked insecurity evident
__Poor attention necessitates rereading


## Recall

_Unaided recall scanty

- Poorly organized recall
-Inaccurate memories and guesses
__ Response labored and slow
__ Avoids use of new words in recall
___Recalls details badly in questions
_-_Very scanty recall on hard material


Baskerball.
is one of the more recent games.
It was deviscd.
by a college instructor.
who desired a game to interpose.
between the football
and baseball scasons.....
The game demands.........
precision of movement,.....
concentration, . . ............. . .
and great endurance.
It is more popular
in those localities where.
it does not compcte with hockey.
Opinion differs as to whether it is a satisfactory game.
for girls.
It has been mudified
to make it less strenuous
for them.
by restricting the playing
area
of each player.
Some of the large Western.
universities..
have audiences
of over twenty thousand
at their conference games. . .

Eye Movements
Range of eye movements per line ___ to ___
_- Irregular pauses
___ Regressive movements
Comparison with Oral Reading (Underline)
Speed: higher - same - lower
Recall: better - same - poorer
Security: better - same - poorer

## Listening Comprehension

## Grade 1 Reading Level

The Cat and the Dog

1. What did the boy have?

- 2. What was he going to give her?
- 3. What happened when he called to her?
-_ 4. Where was the cat?
- 5. What was she doing?
--6. What did the boy do tlien?
- 7. What happened next?


## Grade 2 Reading Level

Dick's Birthday Present

1. What did Dick do when he woke up?
2. What day was it?

- 3. What did he find on his chair?
- 4. What did Dick hear?
- 5. What did Dick do then?
- 6. What was in the basket?
- 7. What did the dog do?


## Grade 3 Readino Level

## The Accident

1. What was this story about?

- 2. What had the boy bcen doing?
- 3. What was he riding?
-_ 4. What came down the road?
-_ 5. Why didn't he see the car cuming?
- 6. How fast was the car going?
- 7. What happened to the boy?
- 8. What happened to the bicycle?


## Grade 4 Reading Level

## Peter Cooper's Engine

1. What did Peter Cooper build?2. What was it used for?How far away was the town?
2. What was the engine hooked to?
3. How fast did it go?
_-6. How long did the trip take?
_- 7. What surprised the people?

Norms for IF'ord Recognition and W'ord Analysis

| Grade * |  |  |  | ANALYSIS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 12 | 20 | 7 | 17 | 29 |
| 2 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 13 |
| 3 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 25 |
| 4 | 21 | 25 | 28 | 29 | 34 | 38 |
| 5 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 41 | 43 | 45 |
| 6 | 38 | 41 | 4 | 46 | 47 | 50 |

- Grade 1 - Lists A \& B; Grades 2-6 - Lists $1 \& 2$.

Grade 5 Reading Level
Uses of Kites

- 1. What was this story about?

2. What have kites been used for in war?

- 3. What did one gencral use kites for?
-_ 4. What was he going to build?
- 5. What do some pcople in China make?
- 6. What are these kites supposed to do?
- 7. What has the weather bureau used kites for?
- 8. How high has a string of kites gone?
- 9. How much can some kites lift?

Grade 6 Readinc Level

## History of Baseball

- 1. What is called the national sport?
- 2. What were some of its early names?
- 3. When was i: first played in colleges?
- 4. What is said about its equipment?
-_ 5. What was responsible for its growth?
- 6. What happened to baseball after the Civil War?
- What happened in the countries where the soldiers were stationed?
_ 8. Who is said to welcome the baseball season?


## Above Grade 6 Reading Level

## General St. Clair's Defeat

- 1. What accounted for defeat in the first war waged by the United States?
- 2. How many nien did General St. Clair have?
- 3. What were they going to do?
- 4. What did they neglect to do?
- 5. Where did the Indians attack?
-6. What did General St. Clair do then?
- 7. How many men escaped uninjured?
-_ 8. How did President Washington feel about it?

> Listening Comprehension Level Equal to Silent Reading Level of Grade

CHECK LIST OF DIFFICULTIES IN WORD RECOGNITION AND WORD ANALYSIS
Woro Recognition Stiels (Flashed Words)

- Low sight vocabulary
- Will not try difficult words
- Can spell but not pronounce
- Ignores word endings

Guesses at word from general form
Woro Analysis
__ Word-analysis atility poor
__ Will not try dificult words

- Has no method of word analysis
_- Sounds aloud by: single letters - blends - syllables
- Unable to combine sounds into words
_- Looks away from word after sounding
-_ Sounding slow or insecurate
__ Spells words: successful - inadequate
- Silent woid study: successful - inadequate
- Enunciates badly when prompted
-_Systematic errors (See tabulation)
- Names of letters not known
-_Sounds of letters not known

INSTRUCIIONS. Make a record of correct responses and mispronunciations according to the directions in the Manual.

Grade 1 Reading Level-List A


Grade 1 Reading Level-- List B


Grades 2-6 Reading Level-List 1


Grades 2-6 Reading Level-List 2


Letters (Naming Letters -
Identifying Letters Named Matching Letters)

INSTRUCTIONS. Make a record of errors according to the directions in the Manual.

Letters Named - Identified by Name - Matched

| 1. | D | F | J | H | t | m | s | c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | S | W | G | O | f | j | w | d |
| 3. | M | I | B | T | p | n | i | k |
| 4. | C | A | K | V | r | h | g | x |
| 5. | N | Y | E | R | u | e | o | i |
| 6. | I | U | P | X | a | y | b | v |
| 7. | Q | Z | A | C | z | q | o | p |

Error; in

1. Naming letters

Capttal.
$\qquad$
2. Identifying Letters Named
capital
small
3. Matching letters
capital - $\qquad$
s.mal.
4. Writing Letters

CAPITAL
sMaLL
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Visual Memory of

 Words -- PrimaryINSTRUCTIONS. Ask the child to draw circles around certain letters and words according to the directions in the Manual.


Sounds (Hearing Sounds in Words - Sounds in Letters)
Durrall Anal. Reading Difficulty: Nose Edition

Hearing Sounds in Words - Primary
INSTRUCIIONS. Ask the chitd to draw circles around certain words according to the directions in the Manual.

| A. | padlock | vegetable | bacon |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | tranquil | familiar | vagabond |
| 2. | matter | rapidity | separated |
| 3. | geyser | capitulate | petal |
| 4. | deck | temperature | highay |
| 5. | wisdom | yacht | volcano |
| 6. | gasoline | kaolin | lariat |
| 7. | fault | vein | weight |
| 8. | thorough | favor | tattered |
| 9. | broadcast | blizzard | domestic |
| 10. | choice | confer | classic |
| 11. | thistles | whirled | wisdom |
| 12. | senator | department | stimulant |
| 13. | specimen | caravan | suffer |
| 14. | roster | diamond | drydoch |
| 15. | document | poster | plentiful |
| B. | forehead | crimson | different |
| 16. | crowd | grasp | job |

Learning to Hear Sounds in Words


## Sounds of Letters

INSTRUCTIONS. Ask the child to give the sounds of the leters (small) in the list on the opposite page. Point to each letter in turn and say: "What does this say?"

Errors in Sounds $\qquad$
Ask the child to give the sounds of the phonograns below. Point to each phonogram in curn and say: "What docs this say?"
st ch th wh sh dr ir cl fr sm pl tw fl sk sw gr

## Visual Memory of Words, Spelling, and Handroriting

INSTRUCIIONS. For these tests ask the child to write certain words according to the directions in the Manual.


## CHECK LIST OF DIFFICULTIES

## Visual Memory

___ Omits letters; syllables
___ Adds letters; syllables
_. Marked insecurity

## Phonic Spelling

__ Omits sounds; syllables
_... Adds sounds; syllables
___ Incorrect sounds used
__ Marked insecurity

CHECK LIST OF DIFFICULTIES
IN SPELLING
___ Omits sounds; syllables
___ Adds sounds; syllables
___ Incorrect sounds
__Slow handwriting


CIIECK LIST OF DIfficulties IN fIANDWRITING
__ Speed too slow

- Poor letter formation
___ Poor position: hand, pencil, paper, body
_Irregular: height, spacing, slant
Hand used __ Right Left

By DOLORES DURK!N and LEONARD MESHOVER
Published by TEACHERS COLLEGE PRESS, Teachers Colloge, Columbia Universily, New York


## Pari i. NAMES OF LETERS

SCORING: UNDERUINE UNKNOWN IETTERS.

Can you tell me the names of these letters? (Have child point to letter as he names it. If no letter in the first row is known, ask child: Can you tell me the names of any of these letters?)

| E | D | H | i | Y | a | I | c | N | W |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{i}$ | K | B | g | q | p | S | v | Q | O |
| d | b | h | F | X | A | e | I | f | G |
| j | M | r | R | L | T | z | m | u | u |

## Part 2. CO:SONAHT SOUMDS

SCORING: UNDERIINE UNXNOWN SOUNDS.

Can you tell me the sounds of these letters? (Have child point to each letter as he gives sound. If the first five sounds are not known, ask child: Can you tell me the sounds of any of these letters?)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\text { B } & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{J} & \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{V} & \text { W } & \mathrm{Z}\end{array}$

## Part 3. VOMELS: LONG AND SHORT

SCORING, UNDERLINE UNKNOWN SOUTIDS.

Long: 0 (open), E (eat), A (ape), U (use), I (ice)
Short: O (on), E (end), A (at), U (up), I (in)
These are the vorels in the alphabet. They have long sounds and short counds.

1. Can you tell me the long sounds of the vowels?
$0 \quad$ E A U I
2. Can you tell me the short sounds of the vewels?

| $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | U | $\mathbf{I}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

# Part 4. Vowel generalizatons <br> GENERALIZATIONS ABOUT YOWEL SOUNDS ARE ENCIOSED IN EOXES. SCORING: CHECK APPROPRIATE OLANK. 

A When there are two vowels within a syllable, the first is usually long and the second is silent, as in aid.

1. If the letters $a, e$, and $f$ were a word, what sound would
aef the letter a have in that word? (Have child point to the first letter in the nonsense viord.)
2. What would be the eound of $e$ ?
3. Why would $a$ aud $e$ have these sounds?
4. How would you say this word?

B When there are two vowels in a syllable, the second of which is fral $e$, the first is usually long and the final $\rho$ is silent, as in ice.

1. If the letters $i, b$, and $e$ were a word, what sound would the letter $i$ have in that word? (Have child point to the first letter in the nonsense word.)
2. What would be the somnd of $e$ ?
3. Why would $i$ and $e$ have these sounds?
4. How would you say this word?

C When there is one vowel within a syllable, it is lisually short, as in end.

1. If the letters $e$ and $m$ were a word, what sound would the letter $e$ have in that word? (Have child point to the first letter in the nonsense word.)
2. Why would the letter $e$ have this sound?
3. How would you say this word?

D When there is one vowel, but it is at the end of a syllable, it is usually :.Jng, as in be.

1. If the letters $b$ and $u$ were a word, what sound would the letter $u$ have in that word? (Have ehild point to the second letter in the nonsense word.)
2. Why would the letter $u$ have this sound?
3. How would you say this word?
4. Blend
ibe
RIGHT Wrong
5. Long i $\qquad$
$\qquad$
6. Silent $e$
7. Why?
8. Blend $\qquad$
9. Long u
10. Why?
11. Why?
12. Blend $\qquad$

## bu

RIGHT
Wrong
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Pari 5. SOUMDS OF C AMD G

genefalizations about hard and sort sounds of $c$ and $g$ are enclosed in boxes.
SCORING, CHECK APPROPRIATE BLANK.

A When $c$ is followed in a syllable by $e, i$, or $y$, it usually has its soft sound, as in cent.

1. If the lefters $c, e$, and $k$ were a word, what gound would the leiter c have in that word? (Have clild point to the first letter in the nonsense word.)
2. Soft $c$
3. Why?
4. Why would like letter c have this sound?

|  | cek |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | RIGHt |
| 1. SRONG |  |  |
| 2. Why? | - | - |

B When $c$ is followed in a syllable by any letter except $e$, $i$, or $y$, it usually has its hard sound, as in cut.

1. If the lezcers $c, u$, and $v$ were a word, what gound would the leticr c bave in that word? (Have child point to the first letter in the nonsense word.)
2. Why would the letter $c$ have this sound?

|  | cuv |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 1. Hard $c$ | - | - |
| 2. Why? | $=$ |  |

C When $g$ is followed in a syllable by any letter except $e$, $i$, or $y$, it usually has its hard sound, as in gas.

1. If the letters $g, a$, and $n$ were a word, what sound would
gan
the letiter $g$ have in that word? (Have child point to the first letter in the nonsense word.)
2. Hard g $\qquad$
3. Why?
ged
RIGHT Wrong
4. Soft $g$
5. Why?
6. Why would the letter $g$ have this sound?
$\qquad$


## Part 6. SOUADS OF Y

## generalizations about sounds of y are enclosed in boxes.

SCORINGI CHECK APPROPRIATE BLANK.

A When $y$ is the initial letter of $a$ word, it has its consonant sound, as in yet.

1. If the letters $y, a$, and $d$ were a word, what sound would the
yad
RIGHT WRONG letter $y$ have in that word? (Have child point to the first letter in the nonsense word.)
2. $y$
3. Why?
bly
RIGHT WRONG
4. Long $i$
5. Why?
letter $y$ have in that word? (Have child point to the last letter in the nonsense word.)
6. Why would the letter $y$ have this sound?

When $y$ is the final letter of a multi-syllable word, it usually takes the sound of long $e$, as in carry.

1. If the letters $a, d, s$, and $y$ were a word, what sound would the letter $y$ have in that word? (Have child point to the last letter in the nonsense word.)
2. Why would the letter $y$ have this sound?

D When $y$ is in the middle of a syllable that has no vowel, it usually takes the sound of short $i$, as in nyth.

1. If the letters $f, y, t$, and $h$ were a word, what sound would the letter $y$ have in that word? (Have ehild point to the second letter in the nonsense word.)
2. Short $i$
3. Why?
fyth
RIGHT WRONG
4. Long $e$
5. Why? $\qquad$
6. Why would the letter $y$ have this sound?

## Part 7. CONSONANT BLEPDS

scoring underine unknown biends.
These combinations of letters are called corronant blends. Can you tell me the sound of each? (Have child point to each blend as he gives sound.)

| se | tw | sk | pl | sl | sw | gl | tr |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dw | sn | st | fr | pr | bl | gr | dr |
| f | sp | hr | cl | cr | sm |  |  |

## Part 8. DIGRAPHS <br> sCoring. underine unenown digrarhs.

These combinations of lefters are called digraphs.
Can you tell me the cound of each? (Have child point th ch (chair) ch (chef) to each digraph as he gives sound.)
ph sh wh

## Part 9. VOVEL COMBhatons

SCORINGI UNDERLINE UNKNOWN COABIMATIONS.
These are vowel combinations. Can you tell me the sound of cach? (Have child point to each combination as he gives sound.)

| ew aw ou (ont) au oi |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ow (owl) | ow (grow) | oy |

## Part 10. VOWELS FOLOVED By $R$

SCORINGI UNDERLINE UNKNOWN COMBINUTIONS.
Vowels have epecial counds when they are followed by $r$, or by
$r$ and another consonant, at the end of a syllable. If these were words, how would you eay them? (Have child point to cach syllable

Part in. Soumds of Qu
SCORING, UNDERLINE UNKNOWN SOUNDS.

$$
\mathrm{kw} \text { (quick), } \mathrm{k} \text { (conquer) }
$$

1. $Q$ is alwaye followed in a word by $u$. What is one sound of qu?
2. Do you know another sound for qu?
kw
qu
k

# Part 12. SOUNDS OF OO <br> SCORING, UNDLRLINE UNKNOVM SOUNDS. 

Oั (book), $\overline{\sigma 0}$ (soon)

1. When $o$ is followed in a syllable by another $o$, the doubleo 00 can lave dificrent sounds. What is one of these sounds?
2. Do you know another sound for oo?

$$
k_{s} \text { (mix), gs (exam), z (xylophone) }
$$

1. The letter $x$ can have different sounds. What is one of these counds?
2. Do you know another sound for $x$ ?
ks gz
2
3. Do you know still another sound for $x$ ?

## Pant 14. begImalig consomat combnatlons <br> SCORING: URDERLINE UNFNOWN COMSINATIONS.

kn (knot), fit (ghost), gn (gnaw)
wr (wrong), ps (psalm), rh (rhythm)

Some combinations of consonats have a special sound winen they are at the beginning of a word. Can you tell me the sonnd of each of these if they were at the begiming of a word? (Have child point to each combination.)

| kn | gh | gn |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wr | ps | rh |

## Part 15. SYLLAETCMTIO:

GINERALIZATIONS ABOUT SYILABICATION ARE ENCIOSEO IN EOXES
SCORING: CHECK APPROPRIATE ELANK
A When two consonants are between two vowels, a syllable division is usually made between the consonants, as in un der.

1. In this section we will be talking about syllables in words. If the letters $i, d, f, e$, and $r$ were a word, where would yon divide it into syllables?
2. Why would you divide it between those letters?

B When a single consonant appears between two vowels, that consonant is usually in the same syllable as the vowel following it, as in pu pil.

1. If the letters $n, e, f, u$, and $t$ were a word, where would you divide it into syllables?
2. Why would you divide it between those letiers?

C When $x$ is preceded and followed by vowels, the $x$ is in the same syllable as the preceding vowel, as in $\operatorname{tax} i$.

1. If the letters $t, x, o$, and $t$ were $a$ word, where would you
divide it into syllables?
2. Why would you divide it between those letters?

D When a word ends in le preceded by a consonant, that consonant is in the same syllable as the $l e$, as in can dle.

1. If the letters $r, i, n, f, l$, and $e$ were a word, where would you divide it into syllables?
2. Why would you divide it between those letters?

## EXAMINER'S RECORD BOOKLET

for the
GRAY ORAL READING TEST
FORM A


| Pas- <br> zage <br> Number | No. of <br> Errors | Time <br> (in Seconds) | Pas- <br> Sage <br> Scores | Compre- <br> hension |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  |  |
| 6. |  |  |  |  |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |
| 9. |  |  |  |  |
| 10. |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  |  |  |
| 12. |  |  |  |  |
| 13. |  |  |  |  |
| Total Passage Scores |  |  |  |  |
| Grade Equivalent |  |  |  |  |


| TYPES OF ERIRORS |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Aid |  |
| 2. | Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. | Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. | Omission |  |
| 5. | Insertion |  |
| 6. | Substitution |  |
| 7. | Repetition |  |
| 8. | Inversion |  |

OBSERVATIONS
(Check statement and circle each part)
Word-by-word reading
___ Woord-by-word
__L Lack of expression
______ Monotonous tone
Pitch too high or low; voice too loud, too soft, or strained
___ Poor enunciation
—_Disregard of punctuation
Overuse of phonics
Little or no method of word analysis
Unawareness of errors
Head movement
Finger pointing
Loss of place

## Comments:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
A. 1. Look, Mother, look.

See me go.
I go up.
I come down.
Come here, Mother.
Come and play with me.
Time $\qquad$ Seconds

| TYPES OF ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2. Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Onission |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  |

## Questions

-1. What was the girl in this story doing?
_2. Who was she talking to?
—3. What two things did the girl ask Mother to see her do?
$\qquad$

[^16]A. 3. One morning a boy made a boat. "Where can I play with it?" he asked.
Father said, "Come with me in the car! We will take your boat with us."
Soon the boy called, "Please stop. I see water. May I play here?"
"Yes," said Father. "Have a good time."

| IYPES OF ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2 Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Omission |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  |

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

_1. What did the boy make one morning?
-2. What did he say he wanted to do with it?
_3. What did the boy see as they rode in the car?
-4. When he saw the water what did he ask his father to do?

Answers
A boat (1)
Play with it (1)
Sail it or float it,
or put it in water (3/2)
Water (1)
Lake ( $1 / 2$ ); pond (1/2)
Stop or stop and let him play (1) Let him play (1/2) 3/2)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Number Right $\qquad$
A. 4. One day five children went out to play in the beautiful white snow. They played for a long time and then began to make snow animals.

One of the animals was a dog. Soon the dog next door came out of the house. When he saw the snow dog he said, "Bow-wow."

| TYPES OF ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2. Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Onission |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  |

The children laughed. "Now we have a dog that can bark."

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

_1. In what were the children playing?
2. What did they make out of the snow?
-3. While they were playing what came out of a house?
-4. What did the children say the real dog could do?

## Answers

(Beautiful) (white) snow (1)
(Snow) animals; (1)
A dog or snow dog (1/2)
A dog (real), (live), (neighbor's),
(another) (i)
Bark or say bow-bow (1)
$\qquad$
A. 5. It was pet day at the fair. The children were waiting for the parade of animals to begin. They had trained their pets to do many different tricks. Among them was a tall hoy whose goat made trouble for him. It kicked and tried hard to break away. When it heard the band it became quiet.

| irpes Of ERRORS | NUMBER |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Aid |  |  |  |
| 2 Gross Mispronunciation |  |  |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |  |  |
| 4. Onission |  |  |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |  |  |
| 6. Substithtion |  |  |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |  |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |  |  |
| Total Errors |  |  |  | During the parade it danced so well that it won a prize.

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

_-1. What day was it at the fair?
-_2. What had the children trained their pets . to do?
_3. What animal made trouble for one boy?
.-.4. What did the goat do that won a prize?

Answers
Pet (day) (1)
Animal (day) or animal
parade (day) ( $1 / 2$ )
(To do) (many) (different) tricks (1)
Dance or do many things ( $1 / 2$ )
A goat or his goat (
Danced (in the parade) (1)
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Number Right $\qquad$
A. 6. Airplane pilots have many important jobs. They fly passengers, freight, and mail from one city to another. Sometimes they make dangerous rescues in land and sea accidents, and drop food where people or herds are starving. They bring strange animals from dense jungles to our zoos. They also serve as traffic police and spot

| TYPES OF ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2. Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Omission |  |
| 5. Insartion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  | speeding cars on highways.

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

_-1. Whom is this paragraph about?
2. What do they take from city to city?
_3. What kind of rescues are sometimes made in land and sca accidents?
-4. What do airplane pilots do when serving as traffic police?

## Answers

Airplane pilots (1)
Airplane pilot ( $3 / 2$ ), pilots ( $3 / 2$ )
Airplane driver ( $1 / 2$ )
Passengers, mail, freight (any two
of these) (1)
Mail or freight or
animals and food ( $1 / 2$ )
Passengers and food (1/2)
Dangerous (1)

Look for (or spot) specding cars (1)
Track (or stop) speeding cars (1/2)
Stop cars (0)
$\qquad$
A. 7. Hundreds of years ago, most of Europe was a very poor region. But China, a large country in eastern Asia, had many of the comforts of a rich civilized nation. Only a few people from Europe had visited this distant region. One was the famous Marco Polo. He learned some of the languages that were spoken in China and served its great ruler for many years.

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

-1. What kind of region was most of Europe hundreds of years ago?
_2. What country enjoyed far more comforts than Europe?
—3. Who was one of the few people from Europe who visited Cnina?
—4. What did Marco Polo learn in China?

Answers
(Very) poor (1)

China (1)

Marco Polo (1) Marco (Y/2), Polo (1/2)

Some or a few of the languages (1)
The language ( $1 / 2$ )
Many of (or the) languages of
China (1/2)
Different languages (1/2)

Number Right $\qquad$
A. 8. The eager spectators who had cheered the plueky Warriors through eight hardfought innings were silent. Only a run was required to defeat the much feared Champions, who had previously defeated all opponents. The spectators had earlier criticized the umpire severely. Now their faces

| TPPES OF ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2. Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Omission |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  | were tense with excitement as the players took their positions.

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

-1. How had the spectators encouraged the plucky Warriors?
_2. How many runs were needed to defeat the Champions?
_3. Whom had the spectators criticized early in the game?
-4. How did the faces of the spectators look as the players took their positions?

## Answers

(By) cheering or cheered (1)

One or a run (1)

The umpire (1)

Tense (with excitement) or sullen (1)
Serious (1/2)
$\qquad$
A. 9. The oil industry has been greatly increased by recent advances in science. Geologists have discovered new ways of locating veins of oil-producing rock. Problems of gusher control have been solved. Very effective also are newer methods of refining crude oil which have resulted in a

| TYPES Of ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2. Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Omission |  |
| 5. Inscrtion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  | higher ratio of quality fuel oil from a given volume of crude oil.

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

-1. What industry does this paragraph discuss?
—2. What kind of rock have geologists found new ways of locating?
_-3. For what purpose have new and effective methods beer. developed?
—_4. What has been the result of the use of the newer methods of rcfining crudc oil?

Answers
Oil (industry) or petroleum (industry) (1)
Oil producing (rock)

To refine crude oil or change crude oil to good oil (1)

More fuel or quality oil from crude
Mil; or higher ratio of quality oil (1)
More oil (1/2)
Better oil than at first ( $1 / 2$ )


#### Abstract

A. 11. Many of the hypotheses about physical phenomena formulated by early philosophers were inconsistent and in most cases could not be universally applied. In order to develop accurate principles very capable physicists, mathematicians, and statisticians had to cooperate wholeheartedly over long periods of time to verify numerous basic facts and assumptions.


| TrPES OF ERrORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2. Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Omission |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Rcpetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  |

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

Questions
-1. Name one limitation of the hypotheses about physical phenomena that was formulated by early philosophers.
2. The cooperation of what specialists was nceded in developing more accurate principles?
_3. To develop more accl ate principles what was the chicf thing they had to do?
——4. In what manner did they cooperate to achieve their goal?

## Answers

Inconsistent or not universally
applicable (1)

Physicists, mathematicians,
statisticians (1)
Mathematicians, Physicists,
Philosophers (1/2)
Mathematicians, Philosophers (1/2)
Verify (many or numerous) (basic)
facts or assumptions (1)
Cooperate wholeheartedly (1/2)
Wholeheartedly (1)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


$\qquad$

Number Right $\qquad$
A. 12. In a concluding lecture on sidereal (sīdeereêăl) spaces, the astronomer contrasted the infinitesimal (in'fin ì tēs'ímǎl) difference in the distance of the moon from the earth at apogee (ăp'ò jē) and at perigee (pēr'íjē) with the great difference in the distance of the earth from

| IYPES OF ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2. Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Omission |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  | the sun at aphelion (ă féllĭŏn; -fēl'yŏn) and at perihelion (perr'í hé'li ǒn). The students interrogated (in tēr'ó gāt'èd)

him, evidencing precociousness (prêkō'shŭs nĕs) and lucidity (lû sǐd'ítī) in expression.

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

-1. What kind of specialist was giving the lecture?
-2. What was the general topic of the lecture?
3. Apogee and perigee refer to distances between the earth and what other heavenly body?
-4. What did the students do that showed unusual brightness and lucidity in expression?

## Answers

An astronomer (1)
Sidereal or starry spaces (1)
Space (1/2)
Meon (1)

Interrogated or questioned the lecturer; asked (lucid or clear) questions (1)
$\qquad$
A. 13. During a hiatus (hīātǔs) in the desultory (děs'ūl tō'rĩ; esp. British . . . tẽr i) firing, the apt lieutenant clambered wearily over the detritus (dè trītŭs) piled against the redoubts (rédouts'). Beneath a canopy of empyrean (ěm'pĭrēăn; èm'pīrēt . . .) blue lay the quiet, bucolic (bükǒl'īk) landscape, its pristine (pris'tēn; . . . tin)

| TYPES OF ERRORS | NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Aid |  |
| 2 Gross Mispronunciation |  |
| 3. Partial Mispronunciation |  |
| 4. Onission |  |
| 5. Insertion |  |
| 6. Substitution |  |
| 7. Repetition |  |
| 8. Inversion |  |
| Total Errors |  | beauty now defled by myriad (mir'ĭ ăd) diminutive (dĭminn'ûtĩv) promontories thrown up by the mortar shells, but radiating momentarily an inexplicable (in ěks'plĭkȧ b'l) if spurious (spürĭŭs) calm and peace.

Time $\qquad$ Seconds

## Questions

-1. When did the lieutenant crawl over the detritus?
_2. What was the color of the sky?
-3. What marred the beauty of the landscape?
_-4. By what had these promontories been made?

## Answers

During the hiatus or gap or Iull in the firing (1)
When it was quiet ( $3 / 2$ )

Empyrean or heavenly blue (1) Blue ( $1 / 2$ )

Diminutive or (very) small
promontories or mounds (1)
Mortar shells (1)
After the firing $(1,2)$ -
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Number Right

$\qquad$

1. Knoviledge of Left and Right

R hand........... L ear............ R ey HAND DOMINANCE

3. Simultancous Writing

No. of Reversals:
R............ L.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Co-ordination better:
4. Handwriting Time: R............ L............. Co-ordination beter:
3. Tapping Number: R............ L............ Co-ordination better:
6. Dealing Cards Time: R............ L L............ Co-ordination better:
7. Strength of Grip (optional)
R........ L........ R........ L........

## EYE DOMINANCE

8. Monocular Tests
. 1 Kaleidoscope
2 Telescope
3 Sight rife
Eye
Shouller
9. Binocular Tests
. 1 Cone:
. 2 Holc:
10. Stercoscopic Tests (optional)
. 1 Teleb: R........ \% L........ \% Supp?

## FOOT DOMINANCE

11.1 Kick

Pref. $\qquad$ Other. $\qquad$ Better.

### 11.2 Stamp

Foot used.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\square$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$




Family Background:

## Conversion:

Qualitative Comments:

SIMULTANEOUS WRITING

Name.

TAPPING


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## KEYSTQNE VISUAL SURVEY TESTS <br> School Survey Cumulative <br> Record Form No. 5 A

For Use with No. 46 Visual Survey Telebinocular

| R |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Date------------------------ Teach | Approved by |
|  | Principal or |
|  | Wearing Glasses: Yes .... No <br> Snellen Standard |
| Add | With Glasses: Right ---- Left |
|  | Without Glasses: Right...- Left |



Keystone Periometer Test-75 is Passing.


Complete directions for administration of these tests will be found in the manual provided for this purpose.

For Snellen Equivalents of Tests $41 / 2,5,6$ 12,13 , and 14 see the Manual, $p p, 12$ and 14 .

Copyright $\mid$ O, $\mid$ Keystone View Co., Jteadvilie, Penna: Reproduction Pusilively Forbidken. Printed in U. S. A.

## AUDITORY DISCRIMINATION TEST

FORM II


Name of Child:
Date Tested:
Examiner's Name:
Age:
Date of Birth:
Grade:
Name of School:
Disabilities: Hearing:
Reading:
Speaking:

## Other:

I.Q.:

Test:

## Error Score:



Additional Comments:

Form V B
READING CLINIC
SCHOOL OF EDUCATIJI
UNIVERSITY OF VASSACIUSETTS

## INVENTORY OF INTERESTS AND ATTITUDES

Nome: $\qquad$ Case No: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
CiInician: $\qquad$

1. What do you like to do in your spare time?
2. Uhat games do you llke to play best?
3. Uith whom co you like to play?
4. Do you like to play with your brothers and sisters?
5. Do you have as much time to play as you would like?
6. Do you have any pets?
7. Do you have eny hobbles?
8. Do you belons to any clubs or organlzations?
9. Do you go to Sunday School or Church?
10. Do you have an allowance?
11. Do you eam any spending money in addition to your allowance?
12. That do you do with your money?
13. How often do you go to the movles?
14. What kind of movies do you like?
15. Do you like to watch television?
16. That are your favorlte programs?
17. What would gou like to do when you grow up?
18. Do you like school?
19. That subjects do you like best? Why?
20. What subjects do you like least? Why?
21. If you could have three wishes, what would tiley be?
22. Do you like to pretend that you are someone elso, or that you are
dolng something else?
23. Do you like to have someone read to you? !ho?
24. Do you enjoy reading to yoursole?
25. That kinds of stories do you like?
26. Do you have any books that are your own?

## CLINICIAN'S ANALYSIS OF INTERESTS AND ATTITUDES

Does the child eppear to have any sustaining interests?

Is there any clue to interests that might be developeci?

Does the chlld seem to feel that his famlly neglects, mlstreats, or embarrasses him?

Do there appear to be any feelings of hatred or resentment toward any member of the family. Thy is this so?
?

## time appreciation test

By John N. Buck
Published by

A DIVISION OF MANSON WESTERN CORPORATION


1. Is it morning or afternoon now?
2. About what time is it by the clock now?
3. What day of the week is it?
4. What month is it?
5. What day of the month is it?
6. What year is it?
7. What season of the year is it?
8. How many days are there in a week?
9. How many minutes are there in an hour?
10. How many hours are there in a day?
11. How many days are there in a month?
12. How many months are there in a year?
13. How many seasons are there in a year?
14. How nlany seconds are there in a minute?
15. How many months are there in a season?
16. How many seconds are there in an hour?
17. In what month is Thanksgiving?
18. In what month is Christmas?
19. In what month is Hallowe'en?
20. What is a decade?
21. What is a century?
22. What is a fortnight?
23. What does anyone mean when he says: "Nine A.M." and "Nine P.M."?
24. What words do those initials "A.M." and "P.M." stand for?
25. What does anyone mean when he says: "The year 450 B.C." and "The year 450 A.D."?
26. What words do those initials "B.C." and "A.D." stand for?
27. What is a time zone?
28. Name the time zones in the United States.
29. What is Greenwich mean time?
30. What does anyone mean when he says "Vernal Equinox" and "Autumnal Equinox"?

Score: Correct : $\qquad$ Half-correct: $\qquad$ Total Pönts: $\qquad$ C.A $\qquad$ Equiv. M.A

Copyright 01946 by JOHN N. BUCK.
Not to be reproduced in whole or in part without written permission of WESTERN PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES All righls reserved.

## The Time Appreciation Test

Tentative Norms for the Timc Appreciation Tcst

| Time Appreciation Test Point Score | M.A. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Adult } \\ & \text { I.Q.* } \end{aligned}$ | Adult Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 4:6 | 30 | - |
| 3 | 5:0 | 33 |  |
| 4 | 5:6 | 37 | Imbecile |
| 5 | 6:0 | 40 |  |
| 6 | 6:6 | 43 |  |
| 7 | 7:0 | 47 |  |
| 12 | 7:6 | 50 |  |
| 16 | 8:0 | 53 |  |
| 21 | 8:6 | 57 | Moron |
| 25 | 9:0 | 60 |  |
| 28 | 9:6 | 63 |  |
| 31 | 10:0 | 67 |  |
| 33 | 10:6 | 70 |  |
| 35 | 11:0 | 73 | Borderline |
| 37 | 11:6 | 77 |  |
| 39 | 12:0 | 80 |  |
| 41 | 12:6 | 83 | Dull average |
| 42 | 13:0 | 87 |  |
| 43 | 13:6 | 90 |  |
| 44 | 14:0 | 93 |  |
| 45 | 14:6 | 97 |  |
| 46 | 15:0 | 100 | Average |
| 47 | 15:6 | 103 |  |
| 48 | 16:0 | 107 |  |
| 49 | 16:6 | 110 |  |
| 50 | 17:0 | 113 | Above average |
| 51 | 17:6 | 117 |  |
| 52 | 18:0 | 120 |  |
|  | 18:6 | 123 | Superior |
| 54 and up | above 18:6 | above 123 |  |

* Estimated according to the method of Terman and Merrill, Measuring inlelligence; Boston: Houghton Mifllin Company, 1937. When comparing the Time Appreciation Test I.Q. of a subject of life age 30 and above with his Wechsler-Bcllcvue I.Q., it is suggested that the examiner in computing the Time Tcst I.Q. allow for the age factor by using the "Table of Approximate C.A. (Adult M.A.) Denominators for Binct Scales . . ." as described in the third edition of The measurement of adult intelligence, by David Wechsler (Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1944).
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[^10]:    Reading Specialist
    Physical Education Teacher
    Speech Therapist
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    U
    $C T$

    NOTE:

[^11]:    NOTE: $\quad \mathrm{X}=$ Test seores
    $\mathrm{Y}=$ Game scores

[^12]:    NOTE: * Significant at the . 05 level of eonfidence
    ** Observations are the total number of responses made by judgment and test scores

[^13]:    NOTE: *Significant at the .05 level of confidence
    **Observations are the total number of responses made by judgment and test scores

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Louis L. Knowles and Kenneth Prewitt, Institutional Racism in America, (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1969), p. 39.

[^15]:    Other Pertinent Data (to be filled in if available) Achievement Test Results:

[^16]:    Answers
    Swinging or going up and down
    (1)
    Showing her mother how she could swing (1/2)
    (Her) Mother (1
    Go up and come down or
    I go up and down (1)
    The giri (question her or me) (1)
    Answers
    (Her) Mother ..... (1)The girl (question her or me)(1)

