

**MINERALS AND ENERGY RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF  
WESTERN AUSTRALIA**

(MERIWA)

**REPORT NO. 277**

**SUCCESSFUL REHABILITATION OF  
SPECIES-RICH HEATHLANDS  
AFTER MINING FOR  
HEAVY MINERALS**

Results of research carried out as MERIWA Project No. M364 at the  
Department of Environmental Biology, Curtin University of Technology<sup>1</sup>,  
School of Environmental Science, Murdoch University<sup>2</sup> and  
Botanic Gardens and Parks Authority<sup>3</sup>

by

D Herath<sup>1</sup>, B Lamont<sup>1</sup>, N Enright<sup>2</sup> and B Miller<sup>3</sup>

December 2008

Distributed by: MERIWA  
Mineral House  
100 Plain Street  
EAST PERTH WA 6004

© Crown copyright reserved  
ISBN 1 920981 37 3

To which all enquiries should be addressed

## CONTENTS

CONTENTS.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	v
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	1
CHAPTER 1.0 Introduction.....	4
1.1 Overview of project.....	4
1.2 Research objectives .....	4
1.3 Report structure .....	5
1.4 Mining at Eneabba.....	5
1.4.1 Mining history at Eneabba .....	5
1.4.2 Completion criteria and restoration methods at Eneabba .....	6
CHAPTER 2.0	
Comparison of post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland communities in southwestern Australia	8
2.1 Introduction.....	8
2.2 Methods.....	9
2.3 Results.....	14
2.4 Discussion .....	22
CHAPTER 3.0	
Impact of fire on plant species persistence in post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland communities in southwestern Australia .....	27
3.1 Introduction.....	27
3.2 Methods.....	28
3.3 Results.....	29
3.4 Discussion .....	34
CHAPTER 4.0	
Post-fire persistence of resprouter species in post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland communities in southwestern Australia .....	38
4.1 Introduction.....	38
4.2 Methods.....	39
4.3 Results.....	42
4.4 Discussion .....	47
CHAPTER 5.0	
Demography of selected shrub species in post-mine rehabilitated <i>versus</i> natural shrubland sites and optimum return intervals for fire .....	50
5.1 Introduction.....	50
5.2 Methods.....	52
5.3 Results.....	54
5.4 Discussion .....	62
CHAPTER 6.0	
Synthesis and Recommendations .....	66
6.1 Pre-fire ecology and the management of restored shrublands .....	66
6.2 Post-fire ecology and the management of restored shrublands .....	71
6.3 Limitations of the study and topics requiring future research .....	75
6.4 Conclusions .....	76
REFERENCES.....	78
APPENDICES .....	94

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 2.1: Location, selected attributes, and sampling methods employed at study sites in natural and restored shrublands near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Table 2.2: Species diversity, plant density, total plant cover, and plant functional attributes in natural and restored shrubland near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Table 2.3: Five most speciose genera in natural and restored shrubland near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Table 2.4: The five most dominant (by percent crown cover) species (regeneration mode after species) at each natural and restored shrubland site near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Table 3.1: General attributes in post-mine restored and natural shrubland sites near Eneabba.

Table 3.2: The five most speciose genera in post-mine restored and natural shrubland sites, pre and post-fire.

Table 3.3: Overall species persistence in post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland sites in SW Australia.

Table 4.1: Selected study sites sampled in natural and restored shrubland near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Table 4.2: Lignotuber circumference (cm) at a plant volume equivalent to their mean crown volume in restored stands, mean lignotuber circumference (cm) and mean crown volume (m<sup>2</sup>) of 10 resprouter species – in natural and restored shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA.

Table 4.3: Mean values of soil properties (before fire) in natural and restored shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA.

Table 5.1: Regeneration (nonsprouter/resprouter) and seed storage (soil/canopy) modes for study species.

Table 5.2: Time (years since last fire/ rehabilitation) to the onset of reproductive maturity (flowering and/ fruiting by >50% of individuals in a population), and age (years) at maximum seed production for selected species from post-mine restored and natural shrublands.

Table 5.3: Time (years since restoration/ last fire) to the onset of, or evidence for, inter-fire recruitment for selected species from post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland sites near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Table 5.4: Mean seed viability and % individuals alive for nonsprouter species a) *Acacia blakelyi*, b) *Petrophile drummondii*, and c) *Beaufortia elegans* in natural and post-mine restored shrublands.

Table 5.5: Mean seed viability and % individuals alive for resprouter species a) *Jacksonia floribunda*, b) *Calothamnus hirsutus*, and c) *Melaleuca leuropoma* in natural and post-mine restored shrubland sites.

Figure 2.1: PCoA on: a) presence–absence data using Sorensen’s distance, and b) composition plus cover data per species using Bray–Curtis dissimilarity

Figure 2.2: Growth form percentage: a) species composition; b) density; and c) crown cover in natural and restored shrubland sites near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Figure 2.3: Principal components analysis (constrained, non-centered) of natural shrubland and sand-mine restoration sites near Eneabba, southwestern Australia based on soil properties.

Figure 3.1: PCoA of pre- and post-fire species composition based on presence-absence using Sorensen's distance.

Figure 4.1: Postfire persistence (% alive) of 10 resprouting species in natural (white) and restored (grey) shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA.

Figure 4.2: Seed production by *M. leuropoma* (squares) and *C. hirsutus* (triangles) in natural (unfilled) and restored (filled) shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA.

Figure 5.1: Mean biovolume (dm<sup>3</sup>) and viable seeds per plant for nonsprouter species a) *Acacia blakelyi* (n, h), b) *Petrophile drummondii* (n, ws), and c) *Beaufortia elegans* (n, ss) in post-mine restored and natural shrubland sites.

Figure 5.2: Mean biovolume (dm<sup>3</sup>) and viable seeds per plant for resprouter species a) *Jacksonia floribunda* (r, h), b) *Calothamnus hirsutus* (n, ws), and c) *Melaleuca leuropoma* (n, ss) in natural and post-mine restored shrubland sites.

Figure 5.3: Number of interfire recruits (<20 cm height – out of a total of 50 random individuals assessed per site) of non serotinous species: a) *Acacia blakelyi* (n, h), and b) *Jacksonia floribunda* (r, h); weakly serotinous species: c) *Petrophile drummondii* (n, ws), and d) *Beaufortia elegans* (n, ss); and strongly serotinous species: e) *Calothamnus hirsutus* (n, ws), and f) *Melaleuca leuropoma* (n, ss) in post-mine rehabilitated (filled) and natural (unfilled) shrubland sites.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We acknowledge the financial and logistic support of the industry partners, Minerals and Energy Research Institute of Western Australia (MERIWA), and Iluka Resources Ltd., especially their staff (Dr Pamela Smith, Cameron Payne, Don Ferguson, Bob Wynne, Gemma Parry, Pamela Grout, Phil Scott) for their advice and assistance, including accommodation and equipment while conducting fieldwork at Eneabba. Thanks to the numerous fieldworkers who helped reduce the burden of fieldwork, especially Dr Katherine Baker and Clinton van der Bergh.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

**Background:** Following mineral-sand mining in the northern sandplains near Eneabba, southwestern Australia, rehabilitation managers have the difficult task of restoring shrubland communities of exceptional plant species richness. Management aims to restore a fully functional and self-sustaining shrubland community with similar vegetation and resilience properties to that of the surrounding natural vegetation. This project examined the performance of the restoration program by Iluka Resources Ltd. (and their predecessors) by comparing current vegetation properties and their response to fires on previously mined land *versus* the surrounding natural shrubland. As biomass accumulates post-restoration, fires will return as a natural disturbance factor and, as a result, a desirable measure of restoration success might include the ability of the postmined lands to recover from disturbance.

**Original objectives:** To evaluate the ecology of rehabilitated minesites by comparing their plant species composition, spatial arrangement, functional attributes and response to experimental fire with that of the surrounding heathlands. While work was done on spatial arrangement of plants this is ongoing and is not reported here.

**Findings, results, outcomes:** Pre-burnt plant species diversity, composition, structure and key functional attributes in four mined sites rehabilitated 8 (R8) to 24 (R24) years ago were compared with those of surrounding natural areas classified on the basis of substrate type (low and high sand dunes, shallow sand swales, sand over laterite and sand over limestone). The rehabilitated sites (except R8) had more species (about 140) than natural sites (about 100) with 12–37% species in common with natural sites. Floristic composition was most similar to the local swales and dunes (physically closest). Two strong colonizers, the fire-susceptible *Acacia blakelyi* and the fire-tolerant *Melaleuca leuropoma*, were universally present. Plant densities were about a quarter to half those of natural sites. Fire-resprouters were under-represented. Growth-form distributions were most similar to those of the dunes, with some woody shrubs up to 2.5 m tall present. Greater iron levels and soil hardness (penetrability) were the only soil factors consistently greater in rehabilitated sites.

Following experimental fires at the same study sites, species richness fell by 22–41% in rehabilitated sites but increased by 4–29% in natural sites. Species present before fire were reduced by 40–56% in rehabilitated sites and 4–12% in natural sites. Only 42–66% of resprouting species recovered in rehabilitated sites, whereas 96–100% recovered in natural sites. Nonsprouting species recruitment was also lower in rehabilitated (18–57%) than natural (67–85%) sites. Seedling mortality over the first summer after fire was higher in rehabilitated sites (59–86% death of individuals) than in natural sites (14–60%). Principle co-ordinates analyses (PCoA) ordination showed that fire altered the floristic composition of rehabilitated sites much more than it did in natural sites, mostly attributable to the loss of the extant resprouter species.

It was found that the smaller lignotuber size (source of dormant buds) recorded in rehabilitated (*vs.* natural) resprouters was responsible for their higher post-fire mortality. For equivalent crown size in ten common lignotuberous shrub species, lignotuber circumferences were, on average, 50% smaller at rehabilitated sites. As a result, overall persistence in these species was much lower in rehabilitated (mean of 52% alive, range of 11–93%) *versus* natural sites (mean of 96%, range of 79–100%), but improved with time since restoration for five of the ten selected species. Apart from differences in the age of the plants (natural sites having much older plants recruited after previous fires), the lower soil penetrability at rehabilitated sites may have restricted lignotuber development. A tradeoff favoring a higher crown volume to lignotuber size ratio was also apparent in nine of the ten species with greater crown volumes (by 37%) and smaller lignotubers (by 36%) in rehabilitated sites.

Demographic attributes for six selected woody species were compared between rehabilitated and natural sites (~3–30 years since disturbance) to investigate growth patterns and optimum fire-return intervals. At matched years since restoration *or* last fire, nonsprouter species in rehabilitated sites grew larger (1.1 to 4.7 times) and produced/stored more viable seeds per plant (1.1 to 10.9 times). Despite older individuals in natural sites at matched years since restoration *vs.* last fire, restored resprouters were larger (1.1 to 3.6 times) and produced/stored more viable seeds (1.1 to 6.9 times). Although greater growth and fecundity rates were recorded in rehabilitated sites, the estimated optimum fire-return interval based on maximum seed production was similar in rehabilitated and natural sites for five out of six species. However, mean fire intervals typical of surrounding natural vegetation near the Eneabba area (13 years over the last 40 years) may not be suitable for rehabilitated minesites at Eneabba, where longer initial fire intervals (20–30 years) would better ensure persistence of resprouter individuals via the seedling recruitment strategy and resprouting strategy.

**Conclusions and recommendations:** Our studies indicated that the returned vegetation can at present be classified as “rehabilitated” or “partially restored” but not “completely restored” since the original plant diversity, composition, structure, and resilience properties to fire have not yet been achieved. It may not be possible/realistic to achieve complete restoration since mining is such a complete disturbance type that some complex ecological attributes may take many decades to be restored. We discuss six key factors as important in improving the overall restoration success at Eneabba: 1) the need for restoration of a deeper topsoil and looser subsoil profile; 2) collection of appropriate amounts of local provenance species, mulch and topsoil; 3) control of highly competitive species; 4) management of fertilizer additions; 5) reseeded and replanting in subsequent years after the initial restoration treatments, including after initial fires; and 6) delaying the introduction of management fires until the restored vegetation develops sufficient fire-resilience properties.

**Benefits:** Our work has added a new dimension to rehabilitation objectives: the resilience of the restored vegetation to the return of natural disturbance factors. Our results show that fire can alter the path of restoration away from the objective of returning the original ecosystem despite the best of intentions. This is a timely message for the sponsors where some filled-in pits are now in their 25–30<sup>th</sup>

year since rehabilitation and they would be anticipating that restoration was now complete. It is informative for the research community that it appreciates that response to disturbance is indeed a critical parameter to be included in monitoring vegetation recovery. It is re-assuring for the public at large that mining companies are aware of the potential of fire to alter the pathway of recovery, that they are eager to sponsor the relevant research, and take the findings into account in their management programs.



## CHAPTER 1.0

### Introduction

#### 1.1 Overview of project

As human populations have been increasing, there has been a proportional increase in anthropogenic activities resulting in environmental degradation and destruction of the Earth's biota (Novacek and Cleland 2001). For many people, biological diversity has intrinsic value, and as a result of increased community awareness and legislative obligations, the field of restoration ecology has emerged. This discipline is defined by SER (2004) as "the process of assisting the recovery of an ecosystem that has been degraded, damaged, or destroyed". The ultimate goal is to restore a self-supporting ecosystem that is resilient to environmental perturbations without the need for further assistance (Urbanska et al. 1997; SER 2004)

This report presents a study on shrubland restoration after heavy-mineral sand-mining near Eneabba, southwestern Australia. Four themes were highlighted in this report. First, restoration efforts were evaluated by comparing compositional, structural, and functional attributes between rehabilitated and surrounding natural analogues. Second, experimental fires were introduced to study sites to determine the vegetation's resilience to natural disturbances. Third, growth and reproductive capacities of common species were compared between rehabilitated and natural sites. Fourth, recommendations are given to facilitate the restoration of natural analogues, and to improve the overall persistence of the restored shrublands after fire.

#### 1.2 Research objectives

The overall objective of this project was to evaluate the performance of the Iluka Resources Ltd restoration program by comparing existing vegetation patterns and the response of the vegetation to fires on shrublands restored on previously mined land *versus* (*vs.*) surrounding natural analogues. In Mediterranean regions such as southwestern Australia, it is inevitable that fire will return as a natural disturbance factor on vegetation restored on previously mined lands. Therefore, a fundamental measure of restoration success concerns the ways in which these restored ecosystems respond to fire (EPA 1995; Grant et al. 2007). The specific objectives of this study were to:

- 1) Compare species diversity, composition, structure, and some functional attributes of post-mine rehabilitated sites with that of surrounding natural vegetation types (on high dune, low dune, swale, laterite and limestone substrates);
- 2) Compare the floristic response of vegetation to fires on post-mine rehabilitated sites with that of surrounding natural vegetation types;

- 3) Compare post-fire persistence of resprouter individuals for ten common lignotuberous shrub species on post-mine rehabilitated sites *vs.* surrounding natural sites;
- 4) Compare demographic attributes (with time, i.e., plant size, fecundity and longevity) and optimum fire intervals for selected species from post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland sites;
- 5) Provide management recommendations to Iluka Resources Ltd to facilitate the restoration of natural analogues, and improve the overall persistence of the restored shrublands after fire.

### **1.3 Report structure**

This report consists of 6 chapters with the first one introducing the mining history and restoration of vegetation methods used (by Iluka Resources Ltd. and predecessors) at Eneabba, Chapters 2 to 5 are study chapters as submitted to international journals, and Chapter 6 as a synthesis of all chapters. In Chapter 2, detailed assessment and comparisons are made on the plant diversity, composition, structure, and some functional attributes of the rehabilitated sites with that of surrounding natural sites (classified on the basis of substrate types: high dune, low dune, swale, laterite and limestone). Following an assessment of the vegetation patterns present, fires were introduced to the same study sites to examine post-fire response of species recruitment and vegetation recovery patterns (Chapter 3). Post-fire persistence of ten common resprouting species was studied (rehabilitated *vs.* natural sites) in more detail in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 examines how six common woody species with different regeneration and seed storage modes perform (i.e., how they develop; size, fecundity and longevity) over time in rehabilitated sites, and how their reproductive capacities affect their optimum fire-return intervals for population recruitment after fires. Chapter 6 provides a synthesis of the preceding chapters linking the ecological processes identified in the study to the management of the post-mined restored shrubland sites. Areas requiring further research are also discussed.

### **1.4 Mining at Eneabba**

#### **1.4.1 Mining history at Eneabba**

The presence of heavy minerals in the sandplains near Eneabba was first documented in 1968 by Peers and by Rowston (Baxter 1977). Mapping and feasibility studies by Baxter (1972) showed that the concentration of heavy minerals varied between 0.2 and 40% and the region contained the largest amount of heavy mineral deposit in Australia (30,000,000 tonnes primarily comprising ilmenite, zircon, and up to 15% rutile (Playford et al. 1976). Subsequently, mining companies Ilmenite Pty Ltd, Jennings Mining Ltd, Western Titanium Ltd and Allied Eneabba Pty Ltd took over portions of the land in 1973 (Bellairs 1991).

Allied Eneabba Pty Ltd began production in a pilot plant in 1973 and Jennings Mining and Western Titanium Ltd commenced production in 1974 (Bellairs 1991). Associated Minerals Consolidated Ltd (AMC) took over Western Titanium Ltd in late 1976 (Morley 1981) and began mining in 1977 (Majer et al. 1982). In 1979, AMC took over production of 60% of the mining lease, yielding 45 000 tonnes of rutile per annum. The takeover resulted in two mining companies AMC and Allied Eneabba Pty Ltd (AEL) operating the mines at Eneabba. In Early 1986, Renison Goldfields Consolidated Ltd (RGC), AMC's parent company, took over AEL. They were separate legal entities but management and mining strategies were operated by the same staff. In late 1998, Iluka resources Ltd took over all mining leases and operations at Eneabba. Today, Iluka resources Ltd supplies 38% of the world's zircon and the Eneabba operations supplies about 55% of the company's production of 428,000 tonnes per annum. In 2007, the Eneabba region supplied 220,000 tonnes of zircon, 580,000 tonnes of ilmenite, and 77,000 tonnes of rutile.

#### 1.4.2 Completion criteria and restoration methods at Eneabba

Restoration methods at Eneabba aim to satisfy completion criteria (developed in 1985 by EMRC (1996)) focussing on restoring species richness, plant density, and foliage cover values:

- Species richness – a mean of 6 species per m<sup>2</sup> and a minimum of 70 species in blocks larger than 10 hectares;
- Plant density – a mean of 12 plants per m<sup>2</sup> with not more than 10% bare quadrats in each block; and
- Foliage cover: total community projective cover of 32% or more, excluding *Acacia blakelyi*.

These completion criteria are currently under review.

To Dec 2008, 3839 ha has been mined of which 2478 ha has been rehabilitated and 1361 ha remains open. The restoration procedures were generally completed in the following sequence (Bellairs 1991; Jefferies et al. 1991; Bellairs and Bell 1993; EMRC 1996; Bob Wynne and Cameron Payne 2008, Iluka Resources Ltd, personal communication):

1. **Landform re-construction and contouring.** After mining for heavy minerals, the residue sand/tailings are pumped behind the concentrator and deposited back onto mined pits. The residue is used to form an approximation of the original topographic patterns after plans are made to achieve the desired sand placement, final heights and contoured shapes of the landform. Land contouring takes place using elevation scrapers. The subsoil is ripped to break up the agglomerated subsoil structure, important in providing sufficient drainage and adequate root penetration for vegetation establishment (Enright and Lamont 1992a; Holmes 2001).
2. **Topsoil spreading.** Topsoil is stripped using scrapers in natural areas ahead of the mine path. The double-stripping method is employed where topsoil is cut in two layers – the first cut being the top 5 cm of soil, the second cut being approximately 5 – 20 cm of soil (stripped to the soil colour change). Where possible, topsoil is spread directly over post-mined tailings, otherwise, it is placed in a stockpile for an undefined period, usually from 6 months to several years. Since Iluka Resources

acquired the Eneabba operations in 1999, practices have reduced the duration of stockpiling to as short as possible, usually within two years. When topsoil is required, the second cut topsoil is applied first (top 5–20 cm), followed by the first cut topsoil (top 5 cm). The topsoil is biologically important since it contains a rich source of viable seeds, organic matter, nutrients, and microorganisms (Koch et al. 1996; Shaw 1996; Grant and Koch 1997). Therefore conservation and ‘fresh’ replacement of the topsoil in the correct order is essential for the maintenance of plant diversity on many post-mined lands (Tacey and Glossop 1980; Bellairs and Bell 1993; Ward et al. 1996; Holmes 2001; Smith 2001).

3. **Mulching.** Mulch sourced from natural areas ahead of the mining front is applied at a rate of one hectare of cut mulch (cutting height approximately 30 cm above ground) for one hectare of restoration ground. The high fraction of serotinous (canopy-storing of seeds in woody fruits) species on the Eneabba sandplain renders the mulching process a significant contributor of viable seeds (Bellairs and Bell 1993). Mulching also assists in seed and soil stabilization – important as newly rehabilitated sites are susceptible to erosion since Eneabba regularly experiences strong winds (Bell et al. 1986).

4. **Cover crops and fertilizing.** A cover crop of oats, Sudax (sterile hybrid between Sudan grass and sorghum) and/or cereal ryegrass (*Secale cereale*) together with native *Acacia blakelyi* and *Acacia pulchella*, are randomly broadcast (about 2–3 kg per ha) over restored topsoil via cultidrills. The aim is to provide a quick cover of vegetation to assist in soil stabilization and nitrogen fixation until desired native species dominate. Since Iluka Resources Ltd acquired the Eneabba operations in 1999, the practice of seeding *Acacia* spp as cover crops was halted due to their dominating effects and weedy properties in older restored stands. Synthetic fertilizer is added via cultidrills at a rate of 70–100 kg per ha. It contains N, P (superphosphate), S, K, Cu, Zn and other micronutrients (Croprich, Perth, Western Australia). Fertilizers are added to fast-track the initial growth processes.

5. **Seeding of native species.** A native seed mix comprising 20–30 species was sown onto restored topsoil in the 1970’s and 1980’s. Gradually, the seed mix increased to about 50 species in the late 1990’s. The composition of the species mix and from where it was sourced is unknown but recent practices have (since Iluka acquired Eneabba operations in 1999) targeted seed mixes from specific community types (swale, dune, laterite or wetland species mix) for particular rehabilitated blocks, depending on what pre-mining vegetation existed there.

6. **Infill planting nursery stock.** Seedlings of species deficient in the topsoil and mulch (as seeds, e.g., resprouter spp.) are planted into the rehabilitated sites. This method increases the chance of species establishment and survival to maturity if the species fails or is absent from the seed mix, mulch or topsoil. Since the late 1980’s, 60,000–80,000 seedlings have been cultivated in the nursery each year.

Topsoiling is usually completed in mid to late April, and mulching, fertilizing, seeding and infill planting usually occur in early May before the onset of winter rains. Maintenance of rehabilitated blocks includes constructing windbreak fences on erosion susceptible areas and applying more mulch where restored vegetation densities are low.

**CHAPTER 2.0****Comparison of post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland communities in southwestern Australia****2.1 Introduction**

Disturbance may be defined as an event that can change community structure and composition by altering the physical environment and/or resource availability (Drake et al. 1989). Mining is a form of exogenous disturbance, and mining of mineral sands in particular has produced substantial areas of disturbed vegetation in Australia (Clark 1975; Brewer and Whelan 2003; Ross et al. 2004). It negatively affects plant communities since it involves complete mechanical removal of the vegetation and disruption of ecological processes (Hobbs and Hopkins 1990).

Restoration on previously mined land involves techniques such as pre-stripping (from areas ahead of a mining front) and returning propagule-containing topsoil, seeding, fertilizing, mulching, and infill planting of seedlings (Fox et al. 1996). Restoration to a standard equivalent to the pre-mining natural diversity, structure, and function is usually the desired criterion. However, the time and effort required to rehabilitate the structure and function of Australian ecosystems can be considerable (Collins et al. 1985), especially in areas of outstanding species diversity such as the Eneabba sandplain in southwestern Australia (Bellairs and Bell 1993).

Restoration success ideally requires determining if the ecosystem components are similar to, or are on a similar successional trajectory towards, the desired endpoint (Chambers et al. 1994). A common and realistic means of evaluation is through comparison with surrounding undisturbed reference areas that exhibit the desired ecosystem properties (Chambers et al. 1992; Aronson et al. 1995). The selected reference sites should occur in close geographical proximity to the rehabilitated sites since the composition, structure, and environmental circumstances of vegetation in nearby areas are more likely to be similar to those of the rehabilitated sites prior to disturbance, than are more distant sites. However, distant reference sites may be sampled if their vegetation community is targeted for rehabilitation and/or if seed collections extended to these areas.

Iluka Resources Ltd (and their predecessors) has been conducting mineral sand mining on the Eneabba sandplain since the 1970s. Approximately 2500 hectares have been mined to date, and best-practice restoration is required in this biodiverse region (Lamont et al. 1984). Topsoil is double-stripped and returned in two layers with a 20 cm second cut applied first, followed by a 5 cm first cut (Jefferies et al. 1991). A seed mix is sourced from the surrounding natural vegetation usually from within the mine lease area and spread over restored topsoil. Shrubland vegetation is removed 30 cm above ground,

mulched and spread over the restored topsoil as a further source of seeds (Bellairs and Bell 1993). Seedlings of selected species are grown in a nursery and infill planted into rehabilitated sites. There has been a lack of documentation (especially in the 1970s – late 1990s) on the origins of the topsoil, mulch, species in the seed mix, seedlings of species produced in the nursery, and the quantities in which they were applied to each rehabilitated block. However, better documentation and techniques that are more efficient have developed since Iluka Resources Ltd acquired the Eneabba operations in 1999.

Restoration efforts at Eneabba aim to satisfy completion criteria that focus on rehabilitating species richness, plant density, and foliage cover (Jefferies et al. 1991; EMRC 1996). However, restoration of composition, structure and function are also desirable goals. The aim of the work reported here was to compare the species diversity, composition, structure, and functional attributes of post-mine rehabilitated sites with that of surrounding vegetation types near Eneabba, southwestern Australia. On the basis of these results, we make recommendations to further refine the mining company's restoration efforts. Specifically, the objectives were to:

- (1) Compare species diversity and composition between four selected rehabilitated and five nearby natural sites representing typical plant community-types;
- (2) Compare structural characteristics (plant cover and density, and growth-form distribution) between rehabilitated and natural sites;
- (3) Compare key functional attributes (soil nutrient levels and species regeneration modes) between rehabilitated and natural sites; and
- (4) Provide recommendations for improving the restoration of species diversity and composition, structure and function towards those of natural analogues.

## 2.2 Methods

### Vegetation sampling

Shrubland vegetation at four rehabilitated and five nearby natural sites was examined near the town of Eneabba, approximately 280 km NNW of Perth (29° 49' S Latitude, 115° 16' E Longitude). The four sites rehabilitated by Iluka Resources Ltd (or their predecessors) were chosen to represent a variety of post-mined rehabilitated ages (R8 (8 y), R15 (15), R16 (16) and R24 (24): Table 2.1). Five natural sites were chosen to represent the main substrate types near the mined areas in order to allow a comparison between the restoration sites and the variability expected in the surrounding natural areas (High dune = High, Low dune = Low, Swale, Laterite = Lat, Limestone = Lim: see Table 2.1 for site details). The Lim and Lat sites were located relatively far away from the minesites (~20 km away) but

were included in this study as seed collections extended to these areas (Phil Scott 2007, Iluka Resources Ltd., personal communication) and preliminary observations showed that some site specific Lat/Lim species were present on rehabilitated soils. At all sites, a 40 × 40 m plot was established, and divided into 5 × 5 m subplots. Within each subplot, all individuals (except annuals) were identified to species where possible using a field herbarium based on material in the Western Australian Herbarium, South Perth, Florabase (Western Australian Herbarium 1998+), and field knowledge of B. Lamont, B. Miller, N. Enright, D. Herath, A. Tinker and C. van den Bergh. Species abundance was recorded at all sites, except at Low, R8 and R15, where species cover was assessed instead using the Braun-Blanquet (BB) scale (Braun-Blanquet 1932; Braun-Blanquet 1964) since the human resources were not available to undertake the individual plant approach at all sites. This scale is based on visual assessment of plant cover at coded percentage intervals: 1 = <1%; 2 = 1–5%; 3 = >5–25%; 4 = >25–50%; 5 = >50–75%; 6 = >75–100%. The BB scores were assessed for each species in each subplot, and then converted to their mid-point cover values for each subplot (e.g., 0.5 % for BB class 1, 15 % for BB class 3). The average of the 16 mid point-cover values (from 16 subplots) for each species gives an estimate of their % crown cover area within the whole plot. For all other sites, % crown cover area was calculated using equation 1 based on measured height and crown width (1: N to S and 2: E to W) for all plants and assuming their shape is ellipsoid\*.

Equation 1:

$$\% \text{ crown cover of spp} = [ \{ (\text{average of width 1} \times \text{average of width 2}) \times \text{no. of plants} \} \times 0.7854^* ] / \text{plot area} ] \times 100$$

At Low, R8 and R15, up to 10 individuals per species were measured (selected randomly) for determining their mean heights, to allocate species into growth forms (described below).

### **Soil hardness and nutrients**

An Australian standard sand penetrometer (AS 1289 6.3.3) with a 16 mm diameter flat-ended rod driven by a 9 kg mass dropping 600 mm was used to assess soil hardness. After one hammer blow, the distance the rod penetrated the ground was measured. 20 random measurements along the edges of each plot were recorded.

Soil samples (14 × 14 cm area, 0–5 cm depth) were collected from 90 random points within each plot to investigate nutrient levels. All litter was removed at each point. Individual soil samples were dried at 40°C for 48 h, mixed, and then a 20 mL subsample was extracted and analysed by CSBP Wesfarmers, Perth for total nitrate, ammonium, phosphate, potassium, sulphur, organic carbon, reactive iron, conductivity, pH (in CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution) and pH (in H<sub>2</sub>O). The same procedures were used for examining nutrient levels at R8, R15 and Low, except that samples from 30 random points were analyzed.

### Data analyses

Three widely accepted indices were used as estimates of diversity:

(a) Shannon Weiner diversity (Shannon and Weaver 1949):  $H' = -\sum[pi \times \ln(pi)]$

where  $pi$  = the fraction of cover of a given species to the total cover of species in the community;

(b) Pielou's evenness index (Pielou 1966):  $J' = H'/H_{max}$  where  $H_{max}$  = species richness; and

(c) Simpson's index of diversity (Simpson 1949):  $D' = 1 - \sum[ni(ni - 1)/N(N - 1)]$

where  $ni$  = the cover of the  $i$ th species, and  $N$  = the total cover of all species.

Ordination and classification was used to compare species composition. Ordinations were performed on both presence-absence data (floristic composition), and semi-quantitative data (species % cover values) using SYN-TAX 2000 (Podani 2001). Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was selected as the ordination technique, a metric multidimensional scaling method that is flexible in its choice of dissimilarity index (Gower 1966). Classifications, using the group average linkage method, were run in SYN-TAX 2000 (Podani 2001) to identify the order of clustering of sites. Classification results were superimposed on the ordinations to offer a more complete picture of the relationships between sites. Each classification was truncated once 5 groups were formed, and the members of each grouping were identified on the ordination. Sorensen's distance was selected as the dissimilarity measure for the composition dendrogram and ordination, and Bray Curtis dissimilarity for the composition plus cover dendrogram and ordination (Podani 2001).

All species were classified into one of six growth forms: tall shrubs = woody plants 1–2.5 m on average, small shrubs = woody plants <1 m, herbs = non-woody plant <1 m, graminoid = grass or grasslike plants (including reeds and sedges), parasite = plants depending on a host plant for survival. Regeneration mode (resprouter or non-sprouter) was determined by examining if a lignotuber was present, or extent of recovery after fire, or from existing data sources (Enright et al. 2007). Resprouters recover from fire vegetatively and/or from seeds; nonsprouters are killed by fire and regenerate from seeds only.

Soil attributes were analyzed using Principal Components Analysis (PCA, constrained, non-centred) in PcORD 5.0 (McCune and Mefford 1999). Vectors corresponding to specific nutrient variables were drawn on the ordination with their direction relative to their values at the sites and lengths proportionate to their overall correlation with other variables. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used (SPSS Inc., 2004) to determine if any variables were consistently and significantly different between rehabilitated and natural sites (excluding the Lim site which was an outlier in terms of its chemistry). For PCA analysis, the number of variables needs to be less than the number of sites, so soil attributes were screened to remove redundant variables (i.e., those highly inter-correlated). These included pH (in CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution), sulphur, and ammonium.



Table 2.1: Location, selected attributes, and sampling methods employed at study sites in natural and restored shrublands near Eneabba, southwestern Australia. Lat = Laterite, High = High dune, Lim = Limestone, Low = Low dune. See Appendix for site photos

Sites	Location	Substrate type	Age (years)	Plot size (m <sup>2</sup> )	Subplot size (m <sup>2</sup> )	Data collected	Size measurements
High	S29°52'23.6" E115°15'02.4'	6–10 m of sand over silt and clay	19 since fire	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, no. of individuals, LH*	All, H* ×W1* ×W2*
Low	S29°42'41.4" E115°13'28.1"	2–3 m of sand over silt and clay	8 since fire	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, BB score*, LH*	H*
Swale	S29°51'54.8" E115°15'12.5"	10–50 cm of sand over silt and clay	24 since fire	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, no. of individuals, LH*	All, H* ×W1* ×W2*
Lat	S29°35'45.7" E115°15'22.4"	50 cm of sand over Lat and clay	15 since fire	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, no. of individuals, LH*	All, H* ×W1* ×W2*
Lim	S29°52'15.3" E115°05'27.8"	0–50 cm sand over Lim, some outcropping Lim	19 since fire	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, no. of individuals, LH*	All, H* ×W1* ×W2*
R8	S29°55'48.6" E115°17'15.6"	0–30 cm sand over silt and clay	8 since mined	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, BB score*, LH*	H*
R15	S29°53'44.6" E115°17'02.9"	0–10 cm sand over silt and clay	15 since mined	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, BB score*, LH*	H*
R16	S29°54'08.1" E115°16'56.4"	0–10 cm sand over silt and clay	16 since mined	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, no. of individuals, LH*	All, H* ×W1* ×W2*
R24	S29°55'58.9" E115°16'27.8"	0–20 cm sand over silt and clay	24 since mined	40 × 40	5 × 5	Species*, no. of individuals, LH*	All, H* ×W1* ×W2*

Species\* = All species identified except annuals

BB score\* = Braun-Blanquet cover score (see text) recorded

LH\* = Life history characteristics (such as regeneration mode and seed storage) noted

H × W1 × W2\* = Plant dimensions (height (H), crown width from north to south (W1), crown width from east to west (W2) recorded

H\* = Average height of all spp. recorded (up to 10 random individuals measured per spp).

## 2.3 Results

### Species diversity and composition

In all, 348 species were recorded in the study (Appendix A) and all sites generally displayed high species richness, diversity and evenness (Table 2.2). Rehabilitated sites (except R8) had greater species richness (128–146 spp) than adjacent natural sites (78–113 spp). Shannon Weiner ( $H'$ ) and Simpson's diversity indices ( $D'$ ) both showed little difference between rehabilitated and natural sites, except that R8 had lower diversity than all other sites. Pielou's Evenness index ( $J'$ ) showed that the rehabilitated sites, especially R8 and R16, had lower evenness than natural sites.

The natural sites supported 266 species in 107 genera and 39 families. The most common families were Proteaceae (49 spp), Myrtaceae (45), Cyperaceae (19), Papilionaceae (20), and Epacridaceae (16). The rehabilitated sites supported 237 species in 93 genera and 31 families. Common families were Proteaceae (51 spp), Myrtaceae (39), Cyperaceae (17), Papilionaceae (16), and Epacridaceae (14). The most common genera in natural and rehabilitated sites are listed in Table 2.3, and show that *Stylidium* and *Leucopogon* were better represented in the natural areas and *Acacia* in the rehabilitated areas.

The five most dominant (based on % cover) species in each site are given in Table 2.4. In all rehabilitated sites, *Acacia blakelyi* and *Melaleuca leuropoma* were among the top three dominant species, whereas in the natural sites, *A.blakelyi* was infrequent or absent, and *M.leuropoma* was abundant only at Lim and Low. The identity of dominant species varied more in natural sites than that of rehabilitated sites. The most abundant species in the rehabilitated sites had higher cover values than the most abundant species in the natural sites. Most dominant species in rehabilitated sites were nonsprouters, while resprouters were more dominant in natural sites.

Species composition of rehabilitated sites (excluding R8) were most similar to the Low (32–37% spp in common), Swale (25–37%) and High (26–31%), and least similar to the Lat (16–24%) and Lim (12–16%). Within natural sites, the Lat (12–28% similarity) and Lim (10–15%) were least similar to the other sites and also had the highest percentage of unique species (Table 2.2). Within the rehabilitated sites, R8 (24–36% similarity) was least similar to the other sites (39–49% with each other). Natural sites had a broader range of unique species (8–43%) than rehabilitated sites (8–13%). R8 had the lowest percentage of soil seed bank (SSB) species (52%) compared with 69–81% for all other sites.

### Vegetation density and cover

The Swale and Lat sites had nearly four times the plant density of the rehabilitated sites (R16, R24), and the High and Lim sites were nearly twice as dense (Table 3.2). Total cover of all rehabilitated sites was around 105% and most similar to the High and Lim.

**Ordination and classification**

PCoA based on Sorensen's distance (Figure 2.1a) for species presence-absence data show Lat and Lim as outliers. The Dune and Swale sites group early as do R15, R16 and R24, while R8, Lat and Lim are unlinked at the 5 group level in the classification. At the 4 group level, the Dune and Swale sites group with R15, R16 and R24, with R8 joining next (3 groups), followed by Lat (2 groups). Although Lim appears relatively close to the Dune samples in the PCoA using Bray-Curtis similarity/cover data (Figure 2.1b), the classification keeps it separate at all linkage levels. All rehabilitated sites group early at 5 groups, as do the Dunes, and the rest remain unlinked. The Dunes link with the rehabilitated sites at 3 groups, with the Swale and Lat joining next at 2 groups.

**Growth forms**

At all sites, small shrubs and graminoids accounted for most species and greatest density (Figure 2.1a, 2b). Tall shrubs were lacking in the Swale and Lat sites. The R8 site lacked a herb layer and had more tall shrubs compared with other sites. Growth form distributions in the rehabilitated sites (apart from R8) were most similar to the Dune. Small shrubs accounted for most cover at all sites (Figure 2.1c) except R8 and R16 which had greater shrub cover.

**Regeneration modes**

Regeneration-mode composition was more variable in natural sites than rehabilitated sites (Table 2.2). The percentage of resprouting species in rehabilitated sites (71–74%) was within the range of the natural sites (67–88%) sites. All natural sites had more resprouter (67–98%) than nonsprouter individuals, especially in the Swale (84%) and Lat (98%), whereas rehabilitated sites R16 (39%) and R24 (49%) had fewer resprouter individuals. Cover of resprouters and nonsprouters varied greatly among sites but that of resprouters tended to be lower in rehabilitated (<69%) than most natural (>60%) sites.

**Soil hardness and nutrients**

PCA analysis of soil properties separated the rehabilitated sites from the natural sites (Figure 2.3). Only reactive iron and soil hardness was significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ , 1-way ANOVA) between treatments (excluding Lim site). Total reactive iron levels were nearly double in the rehabilitated sites and soil hardness was about twice as hard. Lim was an outlier due to its high pH and nutrient levels.

Table 2.2: Species diversity, plant density, total plant cover, and plant functional attributes in natural and restored shrubland near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Measure	Natural					Rehabilitated			
	High	Low	Swale	Lat	Lim	R8	R15	R16	R24
Spp. richness	113	101	109	93	78	83	128	146	144
% Unique spp.	18	8	15	26	43	10	12	8	13
H'	1.53	1.6	1.66	1.47	1.41	1.08	1.51	1.4	1.51
D'	0.96	0.96	0.98	0.95	0.95	0.84	0.94	0.93	0.95
J'	0.74	0.81	0.82	0.75	0.75	0.57	0.72	0.65	0.7
Density (m <sup>2</sup> )	6.6	-	14.3	15.2	6.7	-	-	4.0	3.9
% Total cover	125	171	79	68	115	143	102	90	120
% Canopy seed bank spp.	26	25	28	24	19	48	31	30	29
% Soil seed bank spp.	74	75	72	76	81	52	69	70	71
% Resprouter spp	69	79	81	88	67	72	71	74	71
% Resprouter individuals	67	-	84	98	71	-	-	39	49
% Resprouter cover	68	72	87	97	61	49	59	37	68

Table 2.3: Five most speciose genera in natural and restored shrubland near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.

Speciose genera (# spp in brackets)	
Natural sites	Rehabilitated sites
1. <i>Hakea</i> (11)	1. <i>Hakea</i> (14)
2. <i>Leucopogon</i> (10)	2. <i>Dryandra</i> (9)
3. <i>Dryandra</i> (10)	3. <i>Lepidosperma</i> (9)
4. <i>Stylidium</i> (10)	4. <i>Acacia</i> (8)
5. <i>Lepidosperma</i> (10)	5. <i>Conostylis</i> (7)
<i>Acacia</i> (4)	<i>Leucopogon</i> (6)
<i>Conostylis</i> (6)	<i>Stylidium</i> (4)


Table 2.4: The five most dominant (by percent crown cover) species (regeneration mode after species) at each natural and restored shrubland site near Eneabba, southwestern Australia. Where dominant at that site, value given in bold, r = resprouter, n = nonsprouter.

Species	Natural					Rehabilitated			
	High	Low	Swale	Lat	Lim	R8	R15	R16	R24
<i>Acacia blakelyi</i> n	0	0.3	0	0	0	<b>36.4</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>12.2</b>	<b>10.2</b>
<i>Acacia pulchella</i> n	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	1.3	0.2	<b>5.6</b>
<i>Acacia spathulifolia</i> n	0	0	0	0	<b>10.5</b>	0	0	0	0
<i>Adenanthos cygnorum</i> n	9	0	0	0	0	4.81	2.8	<b>11</b>	0
<i>Allocasuarina microstachya</i> r	0	0	0	<b>3.9</b>	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.4
<i>Banksia attenuata</i> r	<b>13.2</b>	<b>15.7</b>	0	0	0	1	0	0	1.4
<i>Banksia hookeriana</i> n	<b>15</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>3.9</b>	1.4
<i>Banksia lanata</i> n	0.2	0	0	0	0	<b>6.8</b>	0	0	0
<i>Banksia leptophylla</i> n	0	0	0	0	<b>8.8</b>	6.3	<b>16.5</b>	<b>14</b>	0
<i>Beaufortia elegans</i> n	4.1	28	<b>4.5</b>	0	0	0.44	1.3	1.38	2.77
<i>Cassyltha pubescens</i> n	0	<b>8.2</b>	0	0	0	3.7	<b>8.5</b>	0.4	<b>7.8</b>
<i>Desmocladius semiplanus</i> r	1.7	<b>10.4</b>	0.7	0	4.9	0.15	0.1	0.12	0.28
<i>Dryandra falcata</i> r	0	0	0	0	0	<b>9.2</b>	0	0	0
<i>Dryandra shuttleworthiana</i> r	0.14	0	<b>3.3</b>	1.6	0	2	3.3	1	0.3
<i>Ecdiocollea monostachya</i> r	0	0	<b>5.9</b>	<b>11.3</b>	0	0	0.3	0.2	<b>18.1</b>
<i>Eremaea beaufortoides</i> r	0	4	0.7	0.8	0	0.1	<b>2.8</b>	1.4	3.5
<i>Georgeantha hexandra</i> r	0	0	0	<b>5.7</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Hakea polyanthema</i> n	0.4	<b>10.8</b>	0.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.2


<i>Hibbertia hypericoides</i> r	<b>10.1</b>	1.8	2.9	<b>3.5</b>	<b>14.7</b>	0.44	0.98	0.33	2.25
<i>Labichea cassioides</i> r	0	0	0	0	<b>8.7</b>	0	0.06	0	0
<i>Lepidobolus preissianus</i> r	0	0	<b>5.9</b>	0	0	0.1	0	0.1	0
<i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i> r	4.7	<b>15.5</b>	4.1	2.1	<b>9.4</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24.4</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<b>12.5</b>
<i>Melaleuca scabra</i> r	0	0	0	<b>3.3</b>	0	0	0.1	0	0.1
<i>Mesomelaena stygia</i> r	0.4	0	<b>4.9</b>	0	0	0	0.25	0.01	0.21
<i>Petrophile drummondii</i> n	4.4	3.0	0.1	0	0	<b>7.8</b>	3.53	1.62	0.4
<i>Xylomelum angustifolium</i> r	<b>6</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

---






a)




b)


Figure 2.1: PCoA on: a) presence-absence data using Sorensen's distance (horizontal axis = 20.8% of total variance (tv), vertical axis = 17.4% tv), and b) composition plus cover data per species using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity (horizontal axis = 26.3% tv, vertical axis = 19.4% tv). Lines represent dendrogram groupings (numbers indicate the # of groups formed).



a)



b)



c)

Figure 2.2: Growth form percentage: a) species composition; b) density; and c) crown cover in natural and restored shrubland sites near Eneabba, southwestern Australia.




Figure 2.3: Principal components analysis (constrained, non centred) of natural shrubland and sand-mine restoration sites near Eneabba, southwestern Australia based on soil properties. Axis 1 = 57.3 % tv, axis 2 = 25.7 % tv, \*  $P < 0.05$  one way ANOVA, Fe = iron, NO<sub>3</sub> = nitrate, e.c. = electrical conductivity, K = potassium and C = organic carbon.

## 2.4 Discussion

The rehabilitated sites (except R8) had more species than natural sites. The high species richness reflects a concerted effort to satisfy the stipulation by the Government conservation authority that the pre-mining species richness should be restored (Jefferies et al. 1991; EMRC 1996). Species arose from seeding, mulching, topsoil replacement, and infill planting. Bellairs and Bell (1993) showed for a rehabilitated block at the same mine that topsoil (3%) and mulch (96%) contributed 99% of the total germinable perennial seeds. However, seeding and interplanting of nursery stock seedlings were also important in supplementing species (~46% of spp.) that were poorly or not represented in topsoil and mulch (recalcitrant species). Other studies have also highlighted the value of seed broadcasting in restoring south Australian Eucalypt forests (Roche et al. 1997), South African fynbos shrublands (Holmes 2001) and North American prairie grasslands (Wali 1999). Seeds are generally collected within the mine lease area (Pamela Grout 2007, Iluka Resources Ltd, personal communication) that covers all substrate-types included here, plus some winter-wet depressions not included in our study. It is evident from our results and the literature (Lamont et al. 1977; Hnatiuk and Hopkins 1981; Griffin et al. 1983) that some species not represented in the pre-mined vegetation (which was dune and swales) were also obtained from limestone and laterite areas, as well as winter-wet locations, possibly to ensure that the species richness target was achieved and due to uncertainty about which species would succeed.

The rehabilitated sites had lower evenness than natural sites mostly because of two dominant species, *Acacia blakelyi* and *Melaleuca leuropoma*. Following topsoil replacement, *Acacia blakelyi* was historically mixed with cover crop seeds to provide stabilization of tailings and to produce a quick scattered cover of native shrubs to tide over the period between cover crop decay and full native

regeneration (Black 1979). However, due to its prolific reproductive capacity and ability to dominate disturbed sites (personal observation), it survives, reproduces and remains dominant in older rehabilitated stands. Similarly, the highly fecund *Melaleuca leuropoma*, which usually possesses an order of magnitude more viable seeds per unit area in all substrate types than other serotinous species (Enright et al. 2007), dominates rehabilitated sites after the mulching process.

In view of the above, why the youngest stand (R8) had less species and lower  $H'$ ,  $D'$  and  $J'$  than other rehabilitated sites requires an explanation. R8 received topsoil that had been stockpiled for 10 years, creating an ideal environment for *Acacia blakelyi* to flourish and contribute to the soil seedbank before spreading (Bob Muir 2007, Iluka Resources Ltd, personal communication). As a result, *Acacia blakelyi* covered 36 % of the site post-restoration (more than other rehabilitated sites) and may have competitively displaced other species (Lamont et al. 1989). In addition, it is the only site on a slope, which may have meant it dried out faster than other sites and led to differential survival, as soil moisture is critical for establishment here (Enright and Lamont 1992a). A lower ratio of soil to canopy-stored species was also observed at R8 compared with other sites likely due to its topsoil being stockpiled for such a long period, which may have affected the seed viability of soil-stored species. Several studies have shown areas that receive fresh topsoil yield more species and/or individuals than areas receiving stockpiled topsoil (Ward et al. 1996), and the longer the stockpile is stored, the lower the species diversity (Bellairs and Bell 1993).

Vegetation densities were lower in rehabilitated sites possibly due to seeds and/or seedlings being added at lower (than required) densities, or the seeds and/or seedlings experiencing greater mortality levels associated with the adverse substrate-type (lack of sand over poorly penetrable silt-sand). After simulating mining conditions, Holmes (2001) reported lower densities and survival of fynbos shrublands (Cape floristic kingdom, South Africa) in subsoil versus topsoiled plots. Griffins and Hopkins (1985) suggested that the principal influence on species distribution on the Eneabba sandplain was related to the depth of sand over clay having a consequential effect on water relations and root penetration. Shallow or no sand over clay may prevent taproots from accessing groundwater (Enright and Lamont 1992a), intensifying the effect of drought, and over winter, occasionally creating waterlogged soils.

Rehabilitated sites were most similar to each other (averaging 45%; except R8) due to similar selections of species being rehabilitated at most sites. Among the natural sites, the Swale and the Dunes (High and Low) were most similar to the rehabilitated sites (about 30% similarity) possibly due to their close proximity as a source of seeds. The distinctly different substrate type and distance away of the Lim, and to a lesser extent the Lat site, contributed to their reduced similarity with other sites. This also reflects restoration efforts primarily aimed at restoring the original swale and dunal communities of the local sandplain. However, some species that are usually restricted to limestone (e.g., *Labichea cassioides*) or laterite (e.g., *Hakea stenocarpa*, *Conostylis androstemma*) were also present in some rehabilitated sites indicating that collections may have occurred over broader areas

than the adjacent swales and dunes. Rehabilitated sites were also more similar to each other in the composition plus cover ordination probably again due to the outcome of similar restoration efforts. Of all natural sites, the Dunes were most similar to the rehabilitated sites, both having some species with taller and broader growth forms (e.g., *Adenanthos cygnorum*, *Banksia attenuata*).

The rehabilitated sites had growth form distributions most similar to those of the Dunes with some tall shrubs. The reduced competition associated with low plant densities may have allowed many species to grow large in rehabilitated sites. The addition of unknown quantities of fertilizers into rehabilitated sites may have also contributed to larger plant size (Phil Scott 2007, Iluka Resources Ltd, personal communication). Percentage growth form cover varied in the rehabilitated sites due to variable cover values of a few species in the shrub layers, such as *Acacia blakelyi*, *Banksia leptophylla* and *Adenanthos cygnorum*.

All sites had more resprouter (~70% average) than nonsprouter species. It is important to restore similar regeneration mode proportions to maximise the vegetation's resilience to future disturbances such as fire, drought, and herbivory (Bellairs and Bell 1993). However, the relative richness, density and cover of resprouters were lower in rehabilitated sites than nearby natural sites. The most common species were also mostly nonsprouters in rehabilitated sites and resprouters in natural sites. Similarly, Grant and Loneragan (1999) recorded lower densities of resprouters in rehabilitated versus natural sites in eucalypt forests of SW Australia. This poorer representation of resprouters in post-mined lands reflects the difficulty in restoring resprouters. They generally produce fewer seeds than nonsprouter species (Lamont and Wiens 2003) so their seed densities are lower in the added seed mix, mulch and topsoil.

Only a few resprouter species were well represented in rehabilitated sites (e.g., *Melaleuca leuropoma*, *Jacksonia floribunda*) and these produce moderate numbers of seeds in natural areas (Enright et al. 2007). In rehabilitated sites, they show even faster growth and higher seed set and storage than do the same species in natural areas (personal observation). The longer-term consequences of these growth responses (i.e., type of recruitment after fire returns to restoration sites) is worthy of investigation.

Although the ordination revealed a strong separation of rehabilitated sites from natural sites, the only variables that were significant in separating them were total iron and soil hardness. This results from mining the finer iron-bearing particles of the B horizon and bringing them to the surface during the soil replacement process. This has no doubt contributed to some differences in species composition and structure between rehabilitated and natural areas (Enright and Lamont 1992a). Subsequent erosion of topsoil appeared partly responsible for the lack of sand over the fines in rehabilitated sites, thus increasing soil hardness at just 5 cm depth.

### Conclusions and recommendations

Although the flora species of rehabilitated blocks were more similar to each other than to any nearby natural plant communities, there were some compositional, structural and functional affinities with the nearest Swale and Dune substrate sites. Growth form structure was most similar to High. Most soil nutrients were low and similar to those of the Swale and Dunes while extractable iron and soil hardness were much higher in rehabilitated sites. Species composition was most similar to that of Swale and Dunes, albeit only in the order of 30% species in common. Other studies in the region have also shown low similarity among samples between shrubland communities, even for similar substrate types (Griffin et al. 1983; Hnatiuk and Hopkins 1981; Lamont 1976), highlighting the floristic complexity of the region. Similar restoration difficulties appear in species-rich fynbos shrublands in South Africa (Holmes and Richardson 1999; Holmes 2001).

To further improve similarity to swale or dune shrublands, recent protocols (since Iluka Resources Ltd acquired the Eneabba operations in 1999) have formulated swale, dune, laterite and wetland seed species mixes that are not combined during the restoration process. This should produce species richness levels closer to those of the natural community types. Topsoil needs to be stockpiled for as short a period as possible to minimize the loss of viability of soil-stored species. The practice of growing *Acacia blakelyi* to stabilize tailings has ceased but it is still a major component of newly rehabilitated pits and remains a problem. It may be possible to manually clip or chainsaw the main stems of weedy species (e.g., *Acacia blakelyi*) at early stages of restoration before the onset of seed production (2 to 3 years, personal observation). However, sufficient native vegetation cover is necessary to buffer against topsoil erosion.

To increase restored vegetation densities, seeds and seedlings may need to be added at higher densities (including subsequent years post rehabilitation) and/or greater efforts need to be made to mimic the deeper sands of the natural substrates (Enright and Lamont 1992a). Topsoil should always be stripped in natural areas ahead of mining fronts, and spread over the restored subsoil. Subsoil should always be ripped to reduce compaction and improve root and water penetration (Holmes 2001).

The low density of resprouters is of particular concern as fire is inevitable in the long term, and may kill individuals with inadequate rootstock development (personal observation). The paucity of seeds of some resprouter species makes their adequate collection via soil or mulch difficult, so that targeting seed collection and infill planting is required. Given the fast growth and high seed set of some resprouter species within rehabilitated blocks (personal observation), it may also be possible to harvest seeds from plants of these species within the restoration area in order to increase their abundance in seed mixes used elsewhere on site. It would have assisted interpretation of our data if initial site preparation treatments and composition of seeding mixtures and nursery stock were better documented; good data storage and management procedures are also important to the long-term success of restoration programs.

### **Implications for practice**

- Tailings should be returned in such a way as to mimic the range of substrates (in this case dune and swale) present pre-mining and to create a variety of habitat-types.
- Collections/mixes of topsoil, mulch and seeds for minesite restoration should be restricted to the pre-mined substrate type, to achieve similar composition and abundance to that present prior to mining.
- Highly fecund species that dominate disturbed lands (e.g., *Acacia blakelyi*, *Melaleuca leuropoma*) should be identified and managed to prevent competitive exclusion of other native species. This involves minimizing their presence in seed applications and avoiding mulching in thickets containing these species.
- Increased densities, especially of the poorly represented resprouters, may be achieved by supplementary sowing of seeds and/or interplanting nursery stock in subsequent years until resprouters are adequately represented. The seeds could be harvested from plants within rehabilitated areas, which are more fecund than those in surrounding natural areas.
- Completion criteria in restoration projects should consider including a degree of compositional and regeneration mode similarity to that of the desired 'local community', in addition to species richness and vegetation density goals.

### **Acknowledgements**

This project was supported by Iluka Resources Ltd, the Australian Research Council (Linkage LP0560871), and Minerals and Energy Research Institute of Western Australia (M364). Thanks to Don Ferguson, Phil Scott, Pamela Grout (all from Iluka), Pamela Smith (MERIWA) and Philip Groom (Curtin University of Technology) for their assistance and advice, the many helpers in the field, and reviewer Angas Hopkins for his useful comments on the manuscript.

## CHAPTER 3.0

**Impact of fire on plant species persistence in post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland communities in southwestern Australia****3.1 Introduction**

Disturbance can cause major changes in plant communities depending on the nature, intensity, extent, frequency, seasonality of disturbance events, and the resilience properties of the component species (Levin and Paine 1974; Connell 1978; Huston 1994; Grime 2001; Ross et al. 2004). Fire is a common form of endogenous disturbance in Mediterranean-climate regions of Australia, South Africa, Europe and North America, and, at intermediate levels of frequency and intensity, is usually credited with helping maintain plant species diversity (Gill 1981; Bell et al. 1984; Fox and Fox 1986a), playing key roles in natural resource management (Keeley et al. 2003; Huang et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2008). Strip mining is an intense anthropogenic (exogenous) disturbance involving complete removal of vegetation and disruption of ecological processes (Hobbs and Hopkins 1990), impacting substantial areas of forest and shrubland in Australia (Bellairs and Bell 1993; Grant and Loneragan 1999; Brewer and Whelan 2003; Ross et al. 2004) and elsewhere (Levitt 1997; van Aarde et al. 1998; Booth et al. 1999; Clewell 1999; Cooper and MacDonald 2000). Return of indigenous species to these mined lands is now commonplace with restoration targets including specific values for ecosystem composition, richness and structure relative to those present in natural analogues (Jefferies et al. 1991; EPA 2006; Gardner and Bell 2007; Grant and Loneragan 2003). As biomass accumulates following restoration of plant communities, the probability of disturbance by fire increases. The question then arises as to whether vegetation restored following mining will move more or less strongly towards the natural analogue state following the re-establishment of such 'natural' disturbance regimes (Ross et al. 2004).

Few studies have investigated the functional property responses of rehabilitated mine-sites to disturbance by fire, and, in Australia, these have been restricted to eucalypt forests and woodlands (Smith et al. 2000; Grant and Loneragan 2001; Comino et al. 2004; Ross et al. 2004; Grant et al. 2007). They indicate that burning restored vegetation can positively influence ecosystem properties, driving them towards those characteristic of natural analogues (Hooper 1985; Grant and Loneragan 1999; 2001; Ross et al. 2004). Fire begins to have such beneficial effects for restored eucalypt forests >8 years old in southwestern Australia (Smith et al. 2000; Smith 2001) and >10 years in New South Wales (Ross et al. 2004), with responses likely to be related to the life histories of the constituent species and their reproductive strategies (Noble and Slatyer 1980; Fox et al. 1996; Benwell 1998; Ross et al. 2004). For example, nonsprouters recover through the fire-cued germination of seeds accumulated in a soil or canopy seedbank, while resprouters recover vegetatively after fire from buds protected beneath bark, or from underground perennating organs such as lignotubers, rhizomes or bulbs (Bell et al. 1984). As a result, population persistence of most perennial plant species is possible if sufficient time is allowed for nonsprouting species to generate a



substantial seedbank (Enright et al. 1996) and juvenile resprouting species to develop sufficient fire tolerance (Lamont and van Leeuwen 1988).

A fundamental measure of the success of restoration programs should be the extent to which the response to natural disturbances such as fire mimics that of natural analogue sites (EPA 1995). Our study sought to compare the short-term impact of introducing fire in highly biodiverse Mediterranean-climate type shrublands of three post-mining rehabilitated (8 to 24 years since restoration) and five natural (8 to 24 years since fire) shrubland sites near Eneabba, 275 km north of Perth, Western Australia. The following questions were addressed: Are the mean and range of post-fire responses of species richness, regeneration mode distribution (resprouter/nonsprouter), and first year seedling survival the same for rehabilitated and natural sites? Are the post-fire responses similar to those reported for restored eucalypt forests elsewhere in Australia? What are the implications for management of the restored shrublands?

### 3.2 Methods

#### **Pre-and post-fire vegetation and substrate properties**

Mature vegetation at three sites rehabilitated 8, 15 and 24 years ago by Iluka Resources Ltd (and their predecessors) and five surrounding natural (8, 14, 15, 19, and 24 years since last fire) sites representing typical plant community/substrate types were examined near the town of Eneabba, 275 km north of Perth, Western Australia (29° 49' S, 115° 16' E). Most species found in the natural sites also occurred in the rehabilitated sites (Herath et al. in press).

Presence/absence of pre-fire perennial plant species was surveyed within a single 40 × 40 m plot at each site in autumn 2005, and individuals were identified to species where possible using a field herbarium based on material in the Western Australian Herbarium, in the Florabase on-line herbarium (Western Australian Herbarium 1998+), our own field knowledge and that of A. Tinker, and C. van den Bergh. The plots were all burned in late autumn in different years (2005-7) with assistance from Iluka Resources, the Bushfires Board of Western Australia, and Department of Environment and Conservation fire management staff. All burns were of high intensity with no post-fire evidence of litter and foliage in the crowns of plants at all sites, except at R8 where 3 subplots did not burn well (i.e., not surveyed) and therefore 3 new subplots were staked at R8 (adjacent to the 40 × 40 m plot). Following these fires, 90 random locations were selected within each plot, and at each location, two 25 × 25 cm quadrats were systematically established 20 cm south, and 180 cm east of the point, giving  $n = 180$  quadrats per site with a total sample area of 11.25 m<sup>2</sup>. Within each quadrat, seedling emergence (species and frequency of occurrence, annuals excluded) was recorded at the same random quadrats in the first spring post-fire (~6 months) and seedling survival (over first summer) was recorded in the first autumn (~12 months) following fire. In addition, at 2 years post-fire, a presence/absence species composition survey was conducted for each of the 40 × 40 m plots (when the likelihood of survivorship to maturity would be greater than at 1 year). Individuals of resprouter species were recorded as live or dead by examining evidence for post-fire regrowth of foliage.

The mean post-fire responses of rehabilitated vs. natural sites were compared using two-tailed t-tests (SPSS Inc., 2004), after testing for normality (if not normal – data transformed – square root/arcsine) and equality of variances between treatments (if not equal – t-test assuming unequal variances).

Soil total nitrate, ammonium, phosphate, potassium, sulphur, organic carbon, reactive iron, conductivity, pH (in CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution), pH (in H<sub>2</sub>O) and soil penetrability (using a penetrometer) were measured for surface soil samples (0-5 cm) using the techniques described in Herath et al. (in press).

### **Floristic composition**

Patterns of species composition among pre- and post-fire samples based on species presence-absence were investigated using Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA). PCoA is a metric multidimensional scaling (ordination) method that employs a dissimilarity matrix eigenvalue analysis (Gower 1966). It was run with SYN-TAX 2000 software (Podani 2001) using Sorensen's distance metric.

## **3.3 Results**

### **General attributes**

Of all soil factors measured pre-fire, only reactive iron levels, soil penetrability and depth of sand to hardpan were significantly different between rehabilitated and natural sites (Table 3.1). The soil surface was more than twice as hard (lower penetrability) in rehabilitated sites and with much shallower depth of sand over the hardpan. Reactive iron levels were more than double that of natural sites. Other ecological attributes, such as plant density, total plant cover, time since last disturbance and total rainfall 12 months post-fire, were matched between rehabilitated and natural sites.

### **Post-fire species persistence**

237 species in 31 families were recorded in rehabilitated sites pre-fire and 266 species belonging to 39 families were recorded in natural sites (Herath et al. in press). After fire, rehabilitated sites supported 158 species in 29 families, and natural sites supported 310 species in 38 families (Appendix B). No perennial weed species were observed. The most species-rich genera in the natural sites before burning – *Leucopogon* (Ericaceae) and *Dryandra* (Proteaceae) – were superseded by *Conostylis* (Haemodoraceae) and *Calytrix* (Myrtaceae) after fire (Table 3.2). In the rehabilitated sites, *Verticordia* (Myrtaceae), *Leucopogon* and *Petrophile* (Proteaceae) were superseded by *Hibbertia* (Dilleniaceae) and *Melaleuca* (Myrtaceae) after fire. Species richness of the most strongly represented genera increased after fire in natural sites but decreased after fire in rehabilitated sites.

Although pre-fire mean species richness was not significantly different between rehabilitated and natural sites, post-fire mean (and their range) species richness in rehabilitated sites was much lower with two-thirds that in natural sites (Table 3.3). All rehabilitated sites showed a decrease in plant species richness after fire whereas natural sites showed an increase in species richness following fire. The post-fire

appearance of new species was generally similar in natural and rehabilitated sites: 14–28% of post-fire species were not observed pre-fire.

A greater fraction of resprouter species failed to recover following experimental fire – as seedlings or resprouts – in the rehabilitated sites relative to the natural ones (Table 3.3). Only 43% of the resprouter species present in the rehabilitated sites before fire survived as resprouting individuals after fire. A small number of resprouter species produced seedlings so that 54% of pre-fire resprouter species returned after fire in the rehabilitated sites (Table 3.3). In contrast, just 2–4% of resprouter species failed to resprout in the natural sites, while additional seedling recruitment meant that almost all pre-fire resprouter species returned following fire. Seedling recruitment was observed in most pre-fire non-sprouting species in natural sites, significantly more than was observed in rehabilitated sites.

Densities of post-fire seedlings at both site types were greatest in the first spring following fire, and then decreased (in the first autumn) due to mortality over the first summer (Table 3.3). The mean (and range) of seedling survival over the first summer in rehabilitated sites was less than half that in natural sites. This difference in mortality contributed to a disproportionate loss of species surviving as seedlings. That is, while 83% of seedling species were still present in the natural sites after 12 months post-fire, only half of post-fire seedling species survived to this stage in the rehabilitated sites.

Table 3.1. General attributes in post-mine restored and natural shrubland sites near Eneabba. Significantly different means ( $P < 0.05$ ) are given in bold, <sup>1</sup> = P-value after square root transformation, <sup>2</sup> = t-test assuming unequal variances.

Attributes	Rehabilitated		Natural		P-value (2-tailed t-test)
	Mean	Min-Max	Mean	Min-Max	
Depth of sand to hardpan (cm)	10	0-20	236	10-800	<b>0.0314</b> <sup>1</sup>
pH	6.0	6.0-6.1	6.2	6.1-6.7	0.1644
N (mg/kg)	2.1	1.4-3.7	2.0	1.1-5.0	0.8610 <sup>1</sup>
P (mg/kg)	2.4	2.0-2.8	3.3	2.0-4.0	0.0912
NH <sub>4</sub> (mg/kg)	3.6	2.5-5.3	2.8	2.4-3.4	0.2244
K (mg/kg)	29	24-34	39	22-56	0.1956
Fe (mg/kg)	228	183-316	97	53-193	<b>0.0114</b>
S (mg/kg)	3.4	2.9-4.4	4.3	2.0-6.5	0.3393
Organic C (mg/kg)	0.6	0.5-0.8	0.8	0.5-1.1	0.2268
Soil penetrability (cm)	16	15-18	33	26-39	<b>0.0034</b>
Time since last disturbance (years)	16	8-24	17	8-24	0.9539
12 month rainfall following fire (mm) (BoM 2008)	393	353-472	433	353-472	0.4315 <sup>2</sup>
Plant density (per m <sup>2</sup> )	3.95	3.9-4.0	10.7	6.6-15.2	0.0894 <sup>1</sup>
Total plant cover (%)	110	90-129	111	68-171	0.9284

Table 3.2. The five most speciose genera in post-mine restored and natural shrubland sites, pre and post-fire.

five most speciose genera (# spp in brackets)			
Rehabilitated sites pre-fire	Rehabilitated sites post-fire	Natural sites pre-fire	Natural sites post-fire
<i>Hakea</i> (13)	<i>Hakea</i> (8)	<i>Hakea</i> (11)	<i>Verticordia</i> (13)
<i>Leucopogon</i> (12)	<i>Lepidosperma</i> (6)	<i>Leucopogon</i> (10)	<i>Stylidium</i> (13)
<i>Lepidosperma</i> (8)	<i>Dryandra</i> (6)	<i>Dryandra</i> (10)	<i>Conostylis</i> (12)
<i>Dryandra</i> (9)	<i>Hibbertia</i> (5)	<i>Stylidium</i> (10)	<i>Hakea</i> (11)
<i>Acacia</i> , <i>Banksia</i> , <i>Conostylis</i> , <i>Petrophile</i> , <i>Verticordia</i> (6)	<i>Conostylis</i> , <i>Banksia</i> , <i>Melaleuca</i> (5)	<i>Verticordia</i> (9)	<i>Calytrix</i> (10)

Table 3.3: Overall species persistence in post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland sites in SW Australia. RS = resprouter, NS = nonsprouter, \* = unknown species/regeneration modes excluded, significantly different means ( $P < 0.05$ ) are given in bold, <sup>1</sup> =  $P$ -value after square-root transformation, <sup>2</sup> =  $t$ -test assuming unequal variances, <sup>3</sup>  $P$ -value after arcsine transformation (fractional data).

Measure	Rehabilitated sites		Natural sites		Difference	
	Mean (range)		Mean (range)		(2-tailed $t$ -test $P$ -value)	
	Pre-fire	Post-fire	Pre-fire	Post-fire	Pre-fire	Post-fire
Species richness	118 (83-144)	80 (49-112)	99 (78-113)	116 (101-129)	0.2602	<b>0.0404</b> <sup>1</sup>
% new spp after fire		25 (23-27)		21 (14-28)		0.2802 <sup>3</sup>
% RS species*	72 (71-74)	71 (63-76)	77 (67-88)	77 (71-88)	0.3980 <sup>3</sup>	0.2123 <sup>3</sup>
% spp persisting (seedlings+resprouts)		50 (44-60)		91 (88-96)		<b>0.0001</b> <sup>3</sup>
		54 (42-66)		98 (96-100)		<b>0.0002</b> <sup>3</sup>
% RS spp persisting (seedlings+resprouts) after fire*						
% RS spp resprouting after fire*		43 (31-50)		97 (96-98)		<b>0.0000</b> <sup>3</sup>
% RS spp resprouting and producing seedlings*		13 (7-19)		23 (22-26)		<b>0.0100</b> <sup>3</sup>
% of NS spp recruiting after fire*		42 (18-57)		73 (67-85)		<b>0.0174</b> <sup>3</sup>
Seedling densities in first spring after fire (per m <sup>2</sup> )		10 (6-17)		13 (5-26)		0.6654 <sup>1</sup>
Seedling densities in first autumn after fire (per m <sup>2</sup> )		3 (1-7)		7 (6-16)		0.2000 <sup>2</sup>
% seedlings surviving first summer after fire		24 (14-41)		58 (40-86)		<b>0.0481</b> <sup>3</sup>
		51 (45-58)		83 (65-97)		<b>0.0145</b> <sup>3</sup>
% spp surviving first summer as seedlings after fire						

### Floristic composition

The PCoA ordination (Figure 3.1) shows that fire did not change the relationships among sites as all sites post-fire remained more similar to their pre-fire state than to the composition of other sites. Fire did lead to a change in community composition that was consistent in direction across all sites, but was more pronounced in the rehabilitated sites, leading to a greater degree of differentiation among natural and rehabilitated sites.




Figure 3.1: PCoA of pre- and post-fire species composition based on presence-absence using Sorensen's distance. Movement of site positions are shown by dashed lines indicating the trajectory from pre-fire (start: circle) to post-fire (end: arrowhead) composition. N1 to N5 site locations are in order of increasing distance away from the rehabilitated sites. R1 to R3 are in order of time since restoration. Horizontal axis = 19% of total variance, vertical axis = 15% of total variance

### 3.4 Discussion

#### Post-fire species persistence

Burning of shrubland vegetation restored on previously mined lands had strong negative effects on perennial plant species diversity. All natural sites showed an increase in native species richness (of 4–29%) after fire whereas rehabilitated sites showed a substantial decline in richness (of 22–41%) after fire. Most community studies in natural areas of Mediterranean-type shrublands have reported an increase in native species richness immediately after fire (e.g., in Australia: Bell et al. 1984; Fox and Fox 1986b; Fensham 1990, North America: Keeley and Keeley 1987; Parker 1987; Tyler 1996, South Africa: Kruger and Bigalke 1984; Le Maitre and Midgley 1992) as do those in post-mine vegetation elsewhere (Ward et al. 1990; Grant and Loneragan 1999; Smith et al. 2000; Ross et al. 2004). This is

because fire plays an important role in providing opportunities for recruitment of annuals (not analysed in this study, but see Grant and Loneragan 1999; Smith et al. 2000; Brooks 2002; Ross et al. 2004) and perennial nonsprouting species (Smith et al. 2000; Grant and Loneragan 1999; Ross et al. 2004; Keeley et al. 2005), that may have completed their life cycle before fire, to re-establish through fire-stimulated germination of seeds. While the percentage of new species was similar (around 20%) in the natural and rehabilitated areas in our study, it was the failure of extant species to re-establish in the rehabilitated sites that accounted for most of the decline in total species there.

Much of the reduction in species richness can be attributed to loss of resprouting species. Several reasons could account for the lower recovery of resprouters in rehabilitated sites. First, strip mining involves complete removal of the former vegetation so that resprouters must be re-introduced as a new population cohort in the same way as nonsprouter species (Bellairs and Bell 1993). As a result, populations of resprouters in rehabilitated sites were younger (a single cohort of no more than 24 years) than those in natural sites that may have passed through many fire cycles – recurring with a mean interval of 13 years in the study area over the last 40 years (Miller et al. 2007). These restored resprouters may be individually more fire-sensitive as they have had insufficient time for many individuals to develop a large enough lignotuber to reach fire-tolerance (Bradstock and Myerscough 1988; Lamont and van Leeuwen 1988; Auld 1990). Second, growing conditions on the reconstituted mine site substrates (with less penetrable soils) may not be conducive to lignotuber development. Plants of many resprouter species have much larger crowns and higher fecundity on the rehabilitated sites than in natural sites of similar age post-mining and post-fire (Herath et al. unpubl.), and investment in above-ground growth and fecundity may have been at the expense of fire-tolerant features such as the lignotuber. Third, recruitment from seeds in resprouter species is low after fire anyway since they generally produce fewer viable seeds than nonsprouters (Lamont and Wiens 2003).

Despite substantial seed production in some restored resprouter species (Herath et al. unpubl.), seedling recruitment only raised the number of resprouting species persisting after fire from a mean of 43 to 54% compared with almost 100% in the natural areas. The exact cause of lower resprouter recovery on rehabilitated sites here is worthy of further investigation since the results differ from fire impact studies in restored eucalypt forests in higher rainfall regions of southwestern Australia (Grant et al. 1997; Grant and Loneragan 1999, 2001; Smith et al. 2000) and New South Wales (Ross et al. 2004). Some of these studies have also indicated that burning rehabilitated areas (at intermediate age) increases the abundance of resprouter species with established plants resprouting and seedlings observed in close proximity to the parents, whereas little seedling recruitment of resprouters was observed in natural sites after fire (Smith 2001). The discrepancy in post-fire results between our study and other fire impact studies in mined areas of Australia could be related to differing vegetation resilience properties to fires, restoration methods (e.g., depth of topsoil and subsoil profile), time to reproductive maturity of lignotubers and seedbanks, and/or rainfall patterns, with greater impact of summer drought on resprouter recovery and seedling recruitment after fire here.



Many more nonsprouting species failed to recruit after fire in rehabilitated sites (43–82% lost) than natural sites (15–33%). This seedling recruitment failure could be due to the low penetrability of soils on rehabilitated sites (Enright and Lamont 1992). Our results indicate that rehabilitated site soils were twice as ‘hard’ as natural sites on average. The compact soils of rehabilitated areas may result from mining the finer iron-bearing particles of the B horizon and bringing them to the surface during the soil replacement process where they subsequently bake hard over summer and become water-repellent. This prevents roots from penetrating deeply into the soil in the critical first growing season, making young plants especially vulnerable to the summer drought (Enright and Lamont 1992). Conversely, those individuals that do manage to penetrate the hard sub-soil benefit from access to its greater soil moisture storage and thereby, increasing their later year survivorship and growth.

### **Floristic composition**

The PCoA ordination showed that fire shifted the species composition of rehabilitated sites relatively further away from that of natural sites – a result of the loss of many common species (particularly resprouters), the appearance of new species that may previously have existed on site but completed their life cycle prior to the initial assessment (e.g., *Anigozanthus humilis*, *Thysanotus patersonii*), and the emergence or immigration of species as seeds but not yet present as plants until fire triggered their germination. The natural sites were more stable in composition after fire due to the better persistence of both resprouting and nonsprouting species (Table 3.3).

### **Conclusions**

While other studies have reported beneficial effects on species diversity after burning  $\geq 8$  year old post-mine restored eucalypt forests (Smith 2001; Grant and Loneragan 1999; Ross et al. 2004), our study in restored shrublands under lower rainfall conditions recorded negative impacts after burning 8 to 24 year old stands. This range encapsulates the average, and much of the range of variability, of modern fire return intervals observed in nearby natural vegetation (Miller et al. 2007). Species richness declined after fire at all rehabilitated sites with a major loss of resprouting species (which failed to resprout), and nonsprouting species (which failed to recruit), despite the accession of many (nonsprouting) perennial species not recorded previously. Seedling mortality over summer was also greater in the rehabilitated sites, highlighting the need for continuous monitoring following fires as well as assessing their immediate impact. Without further human intervention, it appears that these restored communities will take different successional trajectories from that of their natural analogues, at least in the short to medium term.

Few studies have attempted to examine the functional properties of restored communities in relation to natural disturbance regimes. In Mediterranean regions, fires are frequent, and a true measure of restoration success should concern the ways in which these restored communities respond to fires. Although the task of restoring highly diverse ecosystems may be difficult in itself, we recommend that completion criteria for mined lands in Mediterranean regions should also include the ability of the system to recover from fire, to ensure that an appropriate, self-sustaining analogue ecosystem has

been established. In the case studied here, species richness was reduced by 22–41%, and new management approaches would be required to maintain species richness, including subsequent seeding, fill-ins, and possibly prescribing low intensity burns (on unburnt sites), to counter the potential negative effects of subsequent fires on important ecosystem properties.

### **Acknowledgements**

This project was supported by Iluka Resources Ltd, the Australian Research Council (ARC Linkage LP0560871), and Minerals and Energy Research Institute of Western Australia (M364). Special thanks to Don Ferguson, Phil Scott, Pamela Grout and Rochelle Sharp (Iluka Resources, Eneabba), and Pamela Smith (MERIWA) for their assistance, and Katherine Baker and Clinton van den Bergh who helped with fieldwork.

## CHAPTER 4.0

**Post-fire persistence of resprouter species in post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland communities in southwestern Australia****4.1 Introduction**

In Mediterranean-climate regions, resprouting allows for the persistence of many perennial plant species after fire (Bell et al. 1984; Bond and van Wilgen 1996; Bond and Midgley 2001). In shrubland communities of southwestern Australia, fires have recurred at mean intervals of 7–16 years (Enright et al. 1998; Lamont et al. 2003; Miller et al. 2007) and 60–80% of species resprout after fire from protected buds on stems, lignotubers, rhizomes or corms (Bell et al. 1984; Enright et al. 2007). Those species that do not resprout (nonsprouters) are usually prolific seed producers and recover from fires via seedling recruitment.

Most resprouting species in Australian shrubland communities regenerate via swollen rootstocks called lignotubers. Lignotubers are mostly buried under soil so that the extreme heat of fire cannot reach and kill the buds stored there. Carbohydrates and mineral nutrients are stored in lignotubers (Dell et al. 1985; Cruz and Moreno 2001a) and are mobilized during resprouting, acting as the main supply of carbon for regrowth at the early stages of recovery (Bowen and Pate 1993; Van der Heyden and Stock 1996). Lignotuber development is a function of plant age (Enright and Lamont 1992b): many lignotuberous species require at least 10, and as much as 15–30 years before they routinely survive fire (Lamont and van Leeuwen 1988; Enright et al. 1998). Independent of age, plants with larger lignotubers survive better and regenerate biomass more vigorously after disturbance (Bradstock and Myerscough 1988; Auld, 1990; Moreno and Oechel 1991). Optimal partitioning theory predicts that there should be proportionately larger lignotubers in less productive, nutrient- and water-deficient environments (Bloom et al. 1985), but this trend has not been supported in other studies (Cruz and Moreno 2001b).

Restoration of resprouter species on previously mined lands to densities similar to natural populations has proved a difficult task for rehabilitation managers in southwestern Australia (Bellairs and Bell 1993; Koch and Ward 1994; Grant and Loneragan 1999). Strip mining involves complete removal of former vegetation so that resprouting is eliminated as a source of recruitment during restoration (Bellairs and Bell 1993). Colonisation from surrounding natural areas is likely to be a slow process due to low rates of seed production, and so to accelerate it, managers apply seeds and seedlings of resprouting species into restored topsoil. However, only limited numbers of seeds are available to

harvest from resprouters as they generally produce fewer seeds with lower viability than nonsprouters (Bellairs and Bell 1993; Lamont and Wiens 2003).

Since the 1970s, Iluka Resources Ltd (and their predecessors) has been restoring vegetation on land previously mined for heavy minerals near Eneabba, southwestern Australia. A recent survey in this restored vegetation reported lower resprouter densities (4 individuals per m<sup>2</sup>) compared with surrounding natural areas (7–15 per m<sup>2</sup>) (Herath et al. in press.). A subsequent post-fire survey at the same sites recorded lower persistence of resprouting species at the community level in rehabilitated sites (42–66% of resprouter species persisted, including as seedlings, and 31–50% by resprouting only) compared with natural sites (96–100% and 96–98%, respectively) (Herath et al. unpub.). It therefore became important to determine what factors caused such a marked decline and how this plant functional group might be restored in adequate densities in the long term. It is possible that resprouters in the rehabilitated sites had smaller lignotubers as they are much younger, on average, than those in the surrounding vegetation where most resprouter individuals have likely passed through many fire cycles and only a few are likely to be recruits following the most recent fire. Also, woody species are much larger in the rehabilitated sites (Herath et al. unpub.) suggesting that their crowns may have grown at the expense of lignotuber development. We examined post-fire persistence of individuals for ten major species common to both rehabilitated and nearby natural sites to confirm patterns for the vegetation generally, and to determine if higher mortality in restored resprouters could be attributable to smaller lignotuber sizes. We also investigated whether shoot growth and fecundity has occurred at the expense of lignotuber growth (resprouting potential). Based on these results, we make recommendations for the long-term conservation of resprouting species in rehabilitated sites. Specifically, the following directional hypotheses were tested:

1. Post-fire persistence of resprouter individuals is lower in rehabilitated sites;
2. Lignotuber size of resprouters is smaller in rehabilitated sites;
3. For a given plant size, lignotubers of resprouters are smaller in rehabilitated sites;
4. Resprouters have greater crown size in rehabilitated sites; and
5. Resprouters are more fecund in rehabilitated sites.

## 4.2 Methods

This study was conducted near the town of Eneabba (29° 49' S, 115° 16' E), 280 km north of Perth, southwestern Australia. The area is known as the Eneabba Sandplain (Commander 1978) and is a low-lying basin composed of a series of early Pleistocene (or Late Tertiary) shoreline, lagoon and dune deposits having locally high concentrations of heavy minerals (Playford et al. 1976). The region experiences a dry Mediterranean-type climate of hot, dry summers (daytime temperatures regularly exceeding 40 °C), and mild, wet winters, with average annual rainfall at Eneabba of 504 mm (BoM 2008). The vegetation of the sandplain is classified as shrubland (Beard 1984) and is extremely

diverse with many species endemic to the region (Lamont et al. 1984). It is rich in Proteaceae, Myrtaceae, Cyperaceae, Papilionaceae and Ericaceae (Hnatiuk and Hopkins 1981; Enright et al. 2007). The shrubland communities occur on soils with sandy surface horizons, and vegetation composition is largely determined by the depth of the sand (Griffins and Hopkins 1985; Enright and Lamont 1992a) and the nature of the underlying horizons, whether laterite, limestone, silt-clay or bedrock (Beard 1984; Enright et al. 2007). Three mined sites rehabilitated 8 (R8), 15 (R15) and 24 (R24) years ago and three nearby natural shrubland communities on swale (25 years since previous fire) and dune (9 and 19 years since previous fire) substrates were examined in the study area (Table 4.1).

Ten locally well-represented resprouting (lignotuberous) shrub species were studied: *Hakea incrassata*, *Dryandra shuttleworthiana*, *Conospermum wycherleyi*, and *Isopogon tridens* – all Proteaceae, *Jacksonia floribunda* (Fabaceae), *Allocasuarina humilis* (Casuarinaceae), and *Calothamnus hirsutus*, *Eremaea beaufortioides*, *Leptospermum spinescens* and *Melaleuca leuropoma* – all Myrtaceae (nomenclature follows Western Australian Herbarium 1998+). All species are woody, sprawling to erect, sclerophyllous shrubs to 1.5 m tall and occurring on sandy soils, sometimes over laterite. Not all species occurred in all sites with the number of sites occupied per species ranging from a minimum of 4 to a maximum of 6 (i.e. all) sites.

Prior to experimental fires at study sites, numbered metal tags were placed adjacent to study plants so that burnt species could be identified after fire. Late autumn experimental fires were conducted at all sites with assistance from Iluka Resources Ltd, Bushfires Board and Department of Environment and Conservation fire department staff. The fires covered 1–2.5 ha per site, were of high intensity at all sites except in some patches of R8 site. Nevertheless, plants that did not burn well were easily distinguishable (i.e., by observing degree of burn in stems and crown foliage, any surrounding litter) and were not studied. Sixty individuals of each species per site were tagged with the intention that at least 50 individuals of each species would be relocatable post-fire and burnt successfully. However, this target was not reached at some sites with sample size averaging 48 (range 30–55). Burnt individuals were assessed alive or dead one year after fire by examining if any fresh re-growth of stems or leaves had occurred. Percentage of individuals alive (at each site) was calculated.

Before fires, the number of individuals of all species was recorded in ten 15 × 15 m quadrats at each site (total 2250 m<sup>2</sup> per site). These values were converted to number of individuals per ha. With records of percentage of individual's alive post-fire at each site, it was possible to estimate the number of post-fire individuals alive per ha.

As an index of lignotuber size, circumference around the base of the numerous branches arising at ground level (or rootstock if it was visible) of the study species was taken adjacent the three rehabilitated and natural sites assessed before fire. A random starting point was chosen and then sequential plants were measured as they were encountered in random walks. Preliminary observations indicated that outer branches arose around the edge of the living lignotuber, and could be used to

indicate its areal extent. Plant height and crown size (in two – longest and perpendicular – dimensions) were recorded to determine if any relationship existed between crown volume (calculated as an ellipsoid) and lignotuber circumference. The samples for each species were pooled from the three natural sites (averaging 46 individuals per species, range 30–62), and the rehabilitated sites (averaging 45 individuals per species, range 34–52). Combined totals were used since the resprouters in natural sites were of unknown age, and equal numbers of individuals of all species were not available at each rehabilitated block.

To investigate if similar-sized plants (equal crown volume) of each species had smaller lignotuber sizes (circumference) in rehabilitated sites than in natural sites, we plotted log crown volume of all individuals against their lignotuber circumference. A line of best fit was constructed for the natural plants ( $r^2$  x-y,  $P$  x-y) and a horizontal line drawn from the mean volume (and circumference) of the restored plants to intersect the line of best fit for the natural plants. A vertical line was dropped from the intercept to determine the equivalent lignotuber circumference for the natural sites. Mean lignotuber sizes and crown volumes were compared by Wilcoxon signed rank test.

Seed production data were obtained for *M. leuopoma* and *C. hirsutus* as part of a larger study on resprouters and nonsprouters at Eneabba (Herath et al. unpub.). Sites were chosen to cover as wide a range as possible of time since last fire ages for natural sites, and time since rehabilitation for post-fire sites. At each site, the numbers of mature fruit clusters (*M. leuopoma*) or fruits (*C. hirsutus*) were recorded on 50 plants. Since *C. hirsutus* is only weakly serotinous, releasing seeds after only a few years, the numbers of fruits on the ground were recorded as well, and pooled with those on the plant. A random point was selected, and the nearest five individuals were sampled before moving to another point at least 50 m away. For the first two individuals at each point, 15 mature clusters (*M. leuopoma*) or 50 fruits (*C. hirsutus*) were picked and placed in a paper bag. Fruits /clusters from 20 individuals of each species at each site, were oven-dried at 55°C for two days to force seed release. Twenty seeds from each of these 20 individuals from each site were placed into Petri dishes on moist filter paper, and a dissecting microscope was used to record seeds that had germinated each day for 30 days. The following calculations were then made to estimate the germinable seeds per individual at each site:

1. Mean no. of seeds per cluster/fruit = no. of seeds in bag  $\div$  15 (total clusters of *M. leuopoma*) or 50 (total fruits of *C. hirsutus*)
2. Total no. of seeds per individual = mean no. seeds per cluster/fruit  $\times$  no. of clusters/fruits on plant
3. Total germinable seeds per individual = average germination rate (fraction)  $\times$  total no. seeds per individual

At each site, a 40  $\times$  40 m plot was established and within it, 90 soil samples (14  $\times$  14 cm area, 0–5 cm depth) were collected for nutrient analysis from random points. Each soil sample was dried at 40°C for 48 h, mixed thoroughly and a 20 mL subsample was analysed for total nitrate, ammonium,

phosphate, potassium, sulphur, organic carbon, reactive iron, conductivity, and pH (in CaCl<sub>2</sub> solution and in H<sub>2</sub>O) (analyses performed by CSBP Wesfarmers, Perth). To measure soil hardness, an Australian standard sand penetrometer (AS 1289 6.3.3) with a 16 mm diameter flat-ended rod driven by a 9 kg mass dropping 600 mm was used. After one hammer blow, the distance the rod penetrated the ground was measured. Short penetration distances indicate less penetrable/harder soil. Soil penetrability was measured at 20 random measurements along the edges of each plot. Significant differences in soil factors between rehabilitated and natural sites were tested by 1-way ANOVA, and post-hoc tests were applied on significant variables, using SPSS 13.0 (2004).

Table 4.1: Selected study sites sampled in natural and restored shrubland near Eneabba, southwestern Australia. High = High dune, Low = Low dune.

Sites	Location	Substrate type	Age (years)
High	S29°52'23.6" E115°15'02.4'	6–10 m of sand over silt and clay	19 since previous fire
Low	S29°42'41.4" E115°13'28.1"	2–3 m of sand over silt and clay	8 since previous fire
Swale	S29°51'54.8" E115°15'12.5"	50 cm of sand over silt and clay	24 since previous fire
R8	S29°55'48.6" E115°17'15.6"	0–30 cm sand over silt and clay	8 since restoration
R15	S29°53'44.6" E115°17'02.9"	0–10 cm sand over silt and clay	15 since restoration
R24	S29°55'58.9" E115°16'27.8"	0–20 cm sand over silt and clay	24 since restoration

### 4.3 Results

For all ten species, post-fire persistence was lower in rehabilitated than natural sites (Figure 4.1). Overall, 79–100% of individuals of all species survived fire in the natural sites with a mean of 96% across all sites, while 11–93% survived fire in the rehabilitated sites with a mean of 52%. *C. wycherleyi*, *E. beaufortoides*, *J. floribunda*, and *I. tridens* were the most adversely affected with less than 50% of individuals regenerating. *H. incrassata* was the least affected with 96% alive in the natural site and a mean of 87% in the rehabilitated sites. Persistence in rehabilitated sites was lowest at the youngest rehabilitated site (R8) for five species, especially *M. leuropoma*, *J. floribunda*, *C. hirsutus*, and *L. spinescens* with <25% alive. Persistence was highest at the oldest site (R24) for *C. wycherleyi*, *J. floribunda*, and *L. spinescens*, while five species had equal highest persistence at the two older sites (R16 and R24). Poor persistence in rehabilitated sites made little difference to relative abundances for several very abundant species as they remained in their thousands (*M. leuropoma*) or

hundreds (*C. wycherleyi*, *E. beaufortioides*) per ha, but some less abundant species (with densities <100 pre-fire) fell to very low levels (<20 post-fire: *D. shuttleworthiana*, *I. tridens*, *L. spinescens*, *A. humilis*).

Lignotuber circumferences were 19% lower overall in rehabilitated sites than in natural sites (Wilcoxon ranked test,  $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 4.2). The lignotubers of rehabilitated *C. wycherleyi*, *E. beaufortioides* and *J. floribunda* were less than half the size of those in natural sites, although *I. tridens* and *H. incrassata* lignotubers were larger in rehabilitated sites. At a crown volume equivalent to the mean at rehabilitated sites, overall lignotuber circumference of all species was 50% smaller in rehabilitated sites than in natural sites ( $P < 0.05$ ), though *H. incrassata* was slightly larger (Table 4.2). Five species had lignotuber circumferences three or more times those in rehabilitated sites. Average crown volume of resprouter species was 40% greater in rehabilitated sites than in natural sites ( $P < 0.05$ ), though *E. beaufortioides* was smaller (Table 4.2). In rehabilitated sites, six species had crown volumes double or more those in natural sites.

Seed production of *M. leuropoma* and *C. hirsutus* in rehabilitated sites was considerably higher than in natural sites at almost all ages analysed (Figure 4.2), for instance: *M. leuropoma* germinable seed production in the 8-year old rehabilitated site was more than double that of the equivalent aged (time since fire) natural sites. Similarly, after 15 years since restoration/fire, *C. hirsutus* produced more than twice as many seeds in rehabilitated sites than in natural sites.

Of the ten soil traits assessed only reactive iron and soil hardness differed significantly between natural and rehabilitated sites ( $P < 0.05$ , 1-way ANOVA; Table 4.3). Reactive iron levels in rehabilitated soils were double those in natural soils and penetrability was half.



Table 4.2: Lignotuber circumference (cm) at a plant volume equivalent to their mean crown volume in restored stands, mean lignotuber circumference (cm) and mean crown volume (m<sup>2</sup>) of 10 resprouter species – in natural and restored shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA. Bold values indicate values significantly differing (greater values in bold) between rehabilitated and natural sites. Lig. circ = Lignotuber circumference, Cv = coefficient of variation.

Species	Lig. circ. at equal crown size		Mean lig. circ. (cm)				Mean crown volume (m <sup>2</sup> )			
	Natural	Restored	Natural	Cv	Restored	Cv	Natural	Cv	Restored	Cv
<i>Hakea incrassata</i>	64	<b>72</b>	33	0.55	<b>77</b>	0.43	0.21	0.93	<b>0.61</b>	0.73
<i>Allocasuarina humilis</i>	<b>64</b>	37	<b>64</b>	0.94	36	0.96	0.35	0.81	<b>0.44</b>	0.67
<i>Leptospermum spinescens</i>	<b>68</b>	19	<b>27</b>	0.92	18	0.54	0.03	0.90	<b>0.1</b>	1.05
<i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i>	<b>85</b>	30	<b>50</b>	0.58	30	0.45	0.06	0.65	<b>0.16</b>	0.98
<i>Dryandra shuttleworthiana</i>	<b>116</b>	65	<b>60</b>	0.5	54	0.37	0.17	0.64	<b>0.4</b>	0.57
<i>Calothamnus hirsutus</i>	<b>101</b>	62	<b>80</b>	0.51	62	0.6	0.23	0.98	<b>0.31</b>	0.55
<i>Conospermum wycherleyi</i>	<b>69</b>	19	<b>44</b>	0.62	20	0.72	0.07	0.96	<b>0.16</b>	0.88
<i>Eremaea beaufortioides</i>	<b>53</b>	17	<b>75</b>	0.59	16	0.75	<b>0.37</b>	1.03	0.25	0.82
<i>Jacksonia floribunda</i>	<b>124</b>	32	<b>80</b>	0.81	32	0.75	0.25	1.08	<b>0.48</b>	0.83
<i>Isopogon tridens</i>	<b>30</b>	18	25	0.81	<b>30</b>	0.90	0.22	0.87	<b>0.36</b>	0.84

Table 4.3: Mean values of soil properties (before fire) in natural and restored shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA. Bold numbers indicate soil characteristics significantly differing (post-hoc testing of means, higher values in bold) between natural and rehabilitated sites. Cv = coefficient of variation.

Soil properties	High		Low		Swale		R8		R15		R24	
	Mean	Cv	Mean	Cv	Mean	Cv	Mean	Cv	Mean	Cv	Mean	Cv
Nitrate (mg/kg)	1.1	0.37	1.3	0.36	1.1	0.31	1.8	0.77	1.4	0.59	3.7	0.91
Ammonium (mg/kg)	2.4	0.38	2.7	0.51	3.4	0.42	3.5	0.94	2.5	0.75	5.3	0.76
Phosphorus colwell (mg/kg)	2.9	0.27	2	0.00	3.7	0.46	2.2	0.35	2	0.00	2.8	0.43
Potassium colwell (mg/kg)	31	0.29	21	0.30	37	0.23	24	0.33	25	0.37	31	0.41
Sulphur (mg/kg)	4	0.35	2	0.40	4.4	0.38	3	0.54	2.9	0.54	3.4	0.57
Organic carbon (%)	0.72	0.31	0.5	0.26	0.77	0.28	0.61	0.39	0.53	0.50	0.8	0.46
<b>Reactive Iron (mg/kg)</b>	81	0.19	72	0.18	53	0.35	<b>208</b>	0.36	<b>317</b>	0.11	<b>183</b>	0.36
Conductivity (dS/m)	0.025	0.32	0.023	0.37	0.028	0.30	0.028	0.33	0.03	0.46	0.035	0.44
pH (CaCl <sub>2</sub> )	5.1	0.06	5.2	0.04	5.1	0.06	5.1	0.04	5	0.04	4.9	0.06
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	6.2	0.04	6	0.02	6.1	0.04	6	0.03	6	0.03	6	0.03
<b>Penetrometer depth (cm)</b>	<b>38.9</b>	0.16	<b>39.1</b>	0.16	<b>26.9</b>	0.09	16.2	0.18	15.1	0.13	17.9	0.22




Figure 4.1: Postfire persistence (% alive) of 10 resprouting species in natural (white) and restored (grey) shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA. Natural sites = 1st column: High, 2nd: Low, 3rd: Swale. Rehabilitated sites = 1st column: R8, 2nd: R15, 3rd: R24. *Hincr* = *H. incrassata*, *Ahumi* = *A. humilis*, *Lspin* = *L. spinescens*, *Mleur* = *M. leuropoma*, *Dshut* = *D. shuttleworthiana*, *Chirs* = *C. hirsutus*, *Cwych* = *C. wycherleyi*, *Ebeau* = *E. beaufortioides*, *Jflor* = *J. floribunda*. Note: not all species were present at all sites.




Figure 4.2: Seed production by *M. leuopoma* (squares) and *C. hirsutus* (triangles) in natural (unfilled) and restored (filled) shrubland communities near Eneabba, WA.

#### 4.4 Discussion

Burning shrubland restored on previously mined lands near Eneabba, southwestern Australia resulted in the death of far more individuals (mean of 52%) of ten resprouting species than occurred in nearby natural sites (4%). The most likely cause of this disparity in persistence after fire was smaller lignotuber size (19% lower mean circumference) recorded in rehabilitated sites. Several studies have shown that resprouting capacity is dependent on lignotuber size, whereby plants with larger lignotubers survive better than those with smaller ones (Rundel et al. 1987; Auld 1990; Moreno and Oechel 1991; Enright et al. 1998) since they are better insulated against fire heat and contain greater numbers of dormant buds that are able to sprout following fire (Cruz et al. 2002). The restored resprouters were at most 24 years of age whereas resprouter populations in the natural sites were multi-aged, containing many individuals that may have survived (and grown) through multiple fire-cycles, which for example at Eneabba have been at mean intervals of 13 years over the last 40 years (Miller et al. 2007). This at least partly explains smaller lignotuber size in the rehabilitated sites, and also explains the tendency for species to survive better in the oldest rehabilitated stands.

While it was not possible to standardize for plant age between rehabilitated and natural sites, it was possible to standardize for crown size (developmentally matched) which showed that lignotuber circumference at the natural sites was on average 50% greater. This confirms that growth forms were different between rehabilitated and natural sites. One factor that may have contributed to smaller lignotubers in rehabilitated sites is their lower soil penetrability than natural sites, due to the high concentrations of silt-clay at the surface that would only be present at depths >50 cm in natural sites

(Table 4.1). Consequently, the dense substrate may have restricted lignotuber growth and allocated the extra energy reserves used for above-ground growth, as all studied species (except *E. beaufortioides*) had greater crown volumes in rehabilitated sites. It is also possible that the lower plant density in the rehabilitated sites (Herath et al. in press) reduced competition for resources and promoted rapid shoot growth rather than lignotuber development (Bloom et al. 1985; Iwasa and Kubo 1997; Bellingham and Sparrow 2000).

Smaller lignotuber size may not be the full explanation for greater mortality in the rehabilitated sites as there was no simple correlation between them at the species level. Mortality among *I. tridens* individuals was much greater in the rehabilitated sites although their lignotuber size was similar to that of natural areas. This may be because shoot growth occurred at the expense of bud storage – this species is rarely multistemmed indicating little capacity for bud production and most resprouts occur as single-stemmed root suckers: perhaps the lateral roots were not sufficiently well developed to support suckers. Persistence of *H. incrassata* was high in both locations (> 85%) even though lignotubers in the rehabilitated sites were twice the size of those in natural sites. It is possible that the critical size for persistence for this species was already satisfied at the natural sites. Plants are also more likely to die in more intense fires (Burrows 1985).

The two species assessed for fecundity, *M. leuopoma* and *C. hirsutus*, also had much higher seed production on rehabilitated than natural sites at matched times since disturbance. Similarly, an earlier study at R24, High and Swale recorded up to 10 times more seed production in *E. beaufortioides*, *L. spinescens* and *H. incrassata* at the rehabilitated site (McClaren 2005). This indicates that the lower resprouting capacity observed in rehabilitated sites was at least partly offset by a potential increase in seedling recruitment. Studies examining seed production in the other five resprouting species included in our study may confirm this trade-off, since the same lignotuber-crown volume trends were recorded in all species except *H. incrassata*. Thus, there is an apparently plastic trait response in rehabilitated-site resprouters trending towards that of nonsprouters, whereby they allocate more energy and nutrients to shoot and seed production and rely less on resprouting via lignotuberous buds in response to fire. This process has also been described by Bond and van Wilgen (1996), and Cruz and Moreno (2001b). A post-fire survey at the same study sites (Herath et al. unpub.) supports this idea, with 46–72% of resprouting species producing seedlings after fire in rehabilitated sites but only 49–51% in natural sites. However, this tradeoff was far from sufficient in our study to match death of pre-fire plants: higher seedling mortality was recorded over summer in rehabilitated than natural sites as the impenetrable substrate intensified the effects of summer drought (Enright and Lamont 1992a). The net effect was a marked reduction in population size of resprouting species after fire in rehabilitated sites, contrasting with population stability in the natural communities.

### **Management recommendations**

There may be a case for delaying the introduction of fire into restored shrubland until sites are >24 years old to provide sufficient time for substantial lignotuber development in resprouters. However,

the dilemma for managers is that such long fire intervals may threaten the persistence of some nonsprouting species that senesce sooner than this, recruit poorly interfire and have limited seed storage (Herath et al. unpub.). It is also likely that natural fires will recur within 24 years since mean fire intervals in the surrounding natural areas are currently at 13 years (Miller et al. 2007). It is possible that fires could be introduced at an earlier age if they are of low-intensity and patchy so that persistence of many resprouter individuals (including unburnt ones) would buffer sites against rapid decline in resprouter numbers (Noble 1984). If economically viable, assisted rehabilitation methods, such as sowing of resprouter seeds immediately after fire, may be necessary. Species in especially low abundance after fire should be targeted. Restoration of a deeper sand profile directly beneath the topsoil would also provide a more suitable medium for lignotubers to fast-track their development and improve their persistence. This will likely have other benefits on vegetation dynamics in rehabilitated sites such as reduced mortality of seedlings and adults associated with summer drought (Enright and Lamont 1992a, Herath et al. unpub.). Stabilizing returned topsoil against erosion would assist the same ends. A further option is to transplant mature resprouter individuals from natural areas ahead of the mining front directly into sites ready to be rehabilitated: the technology now exists for grasstrees (Lamont et al. 2004) which are conspicuously absent from rehabilitated lands at present. This would ensure a diversity of ages and hence survival abilities after fire if the mechanical procedures do not significantly affect the vitality of lignotuberous plants.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This study was supported by Iluka Resources Ltd, the Australian Research Council (ARC linkage project LP0560871), and Minerals and Energy Research Institute of Western Australia (project M364). Thanks to all field helpers: Katherine Baker, Tammy Riach, Maria Calvino Cancelli.

## CHAPTER 5.0

**Demography of selected shrub species in post-mine rehabilitated *versus* natural shrubland sites and optimum return intervals for fire****5.1 Introduction**

Natural disturbances such as fire play a key role in the functioning of many Mediterranean-type plant communities (Christensen 1985; Kelly and Parker 1990; Whelan 1995; Bond and Van Wilgen 1996). In the shrublands of Western Australia (kwongan), North America (chaparral) and South Africa (fynbos), resprouting, and seed stores protected from fire (serotinous fruits in the plant canopy/hard-seed coats for soil stored seeds) are common functional adaptations in plants which facilitate persistence after fire (Kruger 1983; Bell et al. 1984; Bond 1984; van der Moezel et al. 1987; Kelly and Parker 1990; Keeley 1992; Enright et al. 1996). Resprouter species recover after fire by regrowing from protected buds beneath bark or tightly packed leaf bases above ground, or from lignotubers, rhizomes or bulbs below ground (Bell et al. 1984). Most resprouters can also recruit from seed, although levels of seed production and rates of recruitment in resprouters may be low (Groom et al. 2001). Nonsprouter species are killed by fire and depend solely on seed production for their persistence (Bell et al. 1984), generally producing more viable seeds than resprouter species (Lamont and Wiens 2003). Seeds are typically stored either in a canopy (serotinous) or soil seed bank, with germination cued to fire, because post-fire conditions of increased access to light, moisture and nutrients favour seedling establishment (Enright et al. 1996). Serotinous species store their seeds inside protective woody fruits in the plant canopy (serotiny) from several (weakly serotinous) to many (strongly serotinous) years (Cowling and Lamont 1985; Lamont et al. 1991; Enright et al. 1996). Serotiny is especially prominent in the flora of SW Australia in areas where fires are frequent, where species have shorter life spans, and where granivory is more common (Lamont et al. 1991; Lamont and Enright 2000). Adaptations for soil storage of dormant seeds in fire prone environments include hard-seededness (Keeley 1991; Bell and Williams 1998), with the heat of fire required to crack the seed coat, and smoke-induced germination, where the chemical signature in smoke breaks dormancy (Roche et al. 1997; Rokich et al. 2002).

Effective fire management of species in Mediterranean-type ecosystems requires an understanding of plant demography, particularly concerning critical life history stages and levels of seed-stores necessary for persistence in relation to the return interval between successive fires (Bradstock and Myerscough 1981; Bell et al. 1984; Kelly and Parker 1990; Witkowski et al. 1991). A sufficient seedbank is required to ensure population persistence post-fire. If fires occur too early, i.e., before the onset of reproductive maturity or before a substantial seedbank or budbank is accumulated, then a population may be threatened with local extinction (Enright et al. 1996). If fires occur too late, plants may have senesced and their seed stores declined (Enright et al. 1996; Witkowski 1991). The chance of inter-fire recruits contributing to future generations is also low (especially for nonsprouters) due to

strong competition from mature vegetation which reduces seedling survivorship (Cowling and Lamont 1987; Lamont and Barker 1988), and the high probability of fire recurrence before such recruits reach reproductive maturity.

Most mine-site restoration programs focus on matching species composition and community structure of rehabilitated sites to those of desired natural analogue sites. However, few studies have reported on the relative demographic performance (growth, fecundity and longevity) of species in rehabilitated and natural sites, or on the potential impacts of re-introduced natural disturbances, such as fire. Since mining is one of the most radical forms of human disturbance in the landscape (Hobbs and Hopkins 1990), restoration is often difficult and may not adequately restore pre-mining environmental conditions (including nutrient levels, soil physical properties, mycorrhizal associates, etc). Therefore, it is important to examine how plant species behave demographically in post-mined rehabilitated sites, and what implications this may hold for the sustainability of these reconstructed systems. This is particularly important where landscape scale disturbances such as fire are an integral part of the natural system dynamics. This study compares the demography (size – a surrogate index of growth, fecundity, and longevity) of six common woody species with different life history attributes associated with persistence (resprouter vs. nonsprouter) and seed storage (soil vs. canopy), for post-mine restored and nearby natural shrubland sites of similar ages (years since restoration vs. years since last fire) (Table 5.1). On the basis of these results, we seek to predict fire intervals for the selected species which maximize their chances of persistence after fire. Specifically, the following questions were posed for the six selected species:

Are there differences between restored and natural shrubland sites, and/or between species with differing sets of life history attributes (nonsprouter/resprouter, canopy/soil seed storage), among the selected species in:

- a) mean plant size (since sites are matched by age, size represents total growth over comparable time intervals);
- b) mean viable seed production/storage per plant;
- c) mean seed viability rates;
- d) median time (years) to maturity;
- e) years to maximum viable seed production per plant;
- f) years to onset of inter-fire recruitment and extent of inter-fire recruitment; and
- g) mean plant longevities.

2. Is there an identifiable optimum fire interval for each species, does it differ between rehabilitated and natural sites in a consistent manner, and how might it affect the sustainability of the restored shrublands?



## 5.2 Methods

### Study species and sites

Six plant species were chosen to represent widespread and abundant components of the Eneabba shrublands region spanning a variety of regeneration and seed storage modes (Table 5.1). *Acacia blakelyi* (Mimosaceae) is a tall nonsprouter shrub growing to about 3 m height on yellow, red or white sands, or lateritic soils. Moderate sized, hard seeds (6mm, plus eliasome) are dropped from pods upon ripening, are dispersed by ants, and accumulate in a soil seed bank. *Petrophile drummondii* (Proteaceae) is a multi-branched nonsprouter shrub which grows to about 1.2 m on sandy laterite, grey or yellow sand. Seeds are moderate in size (4 mm) and are held between woody bracts in weakly to moderately serotinous fruits. *Beaufortia elegans* (Myrtaceae) is a small, nonsprouter shrub found on white, yellow or grey sand, often over laterite. It rarely exceeds 1 m in height (Delfs et al. 1987). Small seeds (1 mm) are held in moderately serotinous capsules. *Jacksonia floribunda* (Papilionaceae) is a multibranched resprouter shrub growing to about a maximum of 3 m height. Moderate sized seeds (4-6 mm) are released from pods that open on ripening and are accumulated in the soil seedbank. *Calothamnus hirsutus* (Myrtaceae) is a compact multi-branched (resprouter) spreading shrub which grows to about 1.5 m on yellow/grey sand, clay, sandy clay, loam, gravel, weathering sandstone or granite. Small seeds (1-2 mm) are held in weakly serotinous fruits which fall from the plant within a few years. *Melaleuca leuropoma* (Myrtaceae) is a resprouter shrub which grows to about 1 m on well drained, white or brown sands over laterite. Small seeds (1 mm) are held in moderately serotinous capsules in the plant canopy.

Study sites were all near the town of Eneabba (29° 49' S Latitude, 115° 16' E Longitude), 280 km north of Perth, southwestern Australia. Sites were chosen to cover as wide a range as possible of times since last fire (years) for natural sites and times since restoration (years) for post-mined sites (see Tables 5.4 and 5.5 for years sampled). A total of five sites per treatment for each species were sampled, except for *Calothamnus hirsutus* and *Petrophile drummondii*: four sites per treatment. Perfect matching of ages (years since restoration vs. years since last fire) was not always possible since selection of sites depended on the presence of selected species at the available sites, and the youngest stand for each site type was selected to capture the onset of reproductive maturity (defined as age by which >50% individuals showed evidence of flowering or fruiting). For sites >15 years, if exactly matched ages (i.e., for species, between treatments) were not available but the difference was  $\leq 2$  years, then they were grouped as the same age since the difference in demographic attributes would be considered negligible relative to the differences for sites with ages either much younger or older.

### Data collection and analysis

At each site, plant size (height, average width of crown – N to S and E to W) and numbers of mature fruit clusters (for *M. leuropoma*, *B. elegans*), fruits (*C. hirsutus*), cones (*P. drummondii*) or ripe pods (*A. blakelyi*: late December, *J. floribunda*: May) were recorded for the first 50 plants of each species

encountered. For all species, a random start point was selected, and the nearest five individuals were sampled for fruit numbers and plant dimensions, and the nearest 25 individuals were classified as alive or dead to estimate longevity rates (% alive), before moving to another point at least 50 m away, until a total of 50 plants had been sampled for fruiting and 250 for survivorship. For the first two individuals at each point, a target of 15 mature clusters (*M. leuopoma*, *B. elegans*), 50 fruits (*C. hirsutus*), and 5 cones (*P. drummondii*) were picked (excluding ground seeds) and placed in labelled paper bags. The soil storage species, *A. blakelyi*, held seeds in mature pods of the canopy only briefly prior to seed shedding (in December), and so was sampled just before pods opened. Fifty pods per plant (total of 50 plants per site) were placed in labelled paper bags and released firm seeds (ripe embryo and endosperm) were counted in the laboratory.

The fruits collected from a total of 20 individuals per species per site were oven-dried at 55°C for two days to force seed-release. Released seeds of *P. drummondii* were identified as viable by presence of a plump embryo and endosperm. For the other species, twenty seeds (excluding aborted, predated) from each of the 20 individuals per site were placed into Petri dishes on moist filter paper, and a dissecting microscope was used to search for seeds that had germinated each day for 30 days. The following calculations were then made to estimate the viable seed store per individual at each site:

1. Mean no. of seeds per cluster/fruit/cone = no. of seeds in bag  $\div$  15 (total clusters of *M. leuopoma*, *B. elegans*) or 50 (total fruits of *C. hirsutus*) or 5 (total cones of *P. drummondii*)
2. Total no. of seeds per individual = mean no. seeds per cluster/fruit/ cone  $\times$  no. of clusters/fruits/cones on plant
3. Total viable seeds per individual = average germination/viability rate (fraction)  $\times$  total no. seeds per individual.

Total seed store was examined using different methods for each species since they varied in serotiny levels. For the strongly (*B. elegans*, *M. leuopoma*) and weakly serotinous species (*P. drummondii*, *C. hirsutus*), it was assumed that the total seed store per plant represented the total number of seeds accumulated on the plant since their fall of seeds on the ground floor would be considered negligible – only a minute proportion were observed to either establish as seedlings (as inter-fire recruits – in which a very small fraction would reach reproductive maturity within the years sampled ~0–30 years) and their seeds would rarely be viable after a year and so most ground floor seeds would likely be incinerated after a fire (personal observation). Since the seeds of non-serotinous *A. blakelyi* are hard, and persist for many years in the soil seed bank (personal observation), total seed store would be a cumulative function of the pattern of annual seed production. Therefore, to estimate (mean) total viable seed store available per plant at a given year (on plant and soil), the annual seed store per plant (i.e., on plant) was added to the previous year's annual seed production (i.e., on soil floor) from 3–30 years (3 years = when seed production began). Mean annual seed store per plant for years not sampled between 0–30 years was estimated by interpolating (smooth line function in Microsoft Excel® 2000) the data points for mean annual seed production on the y-axis with the years since restoration/fire on the x-axis. A seed decay rate function was then applied to the cumulated seeds per plant at a given

year, by multiplying it by a decay rate fraction for a given age: at age  $x = \exp(-0.0375 \times \text{age } x)$  – based on Holmes and Newton (2004) decay rate equation for *Acacia saligna*, a very similar legume species which coexists with *A. blakelyi* on the Eneabba sandplains. *J. floribunda* released seeds from pods at different times of the year in different sites and so the number of fallen pods (down to 2 cm below litter) was counted and pooled with those on the plant (if any). Based on observations for ripe pods not opened (on the plant), it was assumed that fallen pods likely contained one viable seed per pod. Fallen aborted pods were clearly distinguishable from mature pods and were not counted.

T-tests were performed on demographic attributes to detect significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) differences between rehabilitated and natural sites using SPSS 13.0 (2004) statistical software. Data from most sites displayed a normal distribution with equal variances, except sites where interfire recruitment occurred; t-tests assuming unequal variances were performed in those cases.

Table 5.1: Regeneration (nonsprouter/resprouter) and seed storage (soil/canopy) modes for study species. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.

Species	Regeneration mode	Seed store
<i>Acacia blakelyi</i>	Nonsprouter (n)	Soil – hard seeded (h)
<i>Petrophile drummondii</i>	Nonsprouter (n)	Canopy - weakly serotinous (ws)
<i>Beaufortia elegans</i>	Nonsprouter (n)	Canopy - strongly serotinous (ss)
<i>Jacksonia floribunda</i>	Resprouter (r)	Soil – hard-seeded (h)
<i>Calothamnus hirsutus</i>	Resprouter (r)	Canopy - weakly serotinous (ws)
<i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i>	Resprouter (r)	Canopy - strongly serotinous (ss)

### 5.3 Results

Nonsprouter species had faster growth rates, i.e., were taller and had greater mean biovolumes at equivalent years since restoration vs. last fire ( $P < 0.05$ ): *P. drummondii* (2.2 to 4.7 times more at all matched ages), *B. elegans* (1.2 to 3.2 times more) and *A. blakelyi* (3.7 to 5.7 times more at sites up to 8 years) (Figure 5.1). The onset of reproductive maturity was reached faster in rehabilitated sites: 1 (*B. elegans*) to 4 years (*P. drummondii*) earlier, except for *A. blakelyi* (at 3 years old in both treatments) (Table 5.2). Larger viable seed stores were recorded in rehabilitated sites (at matched years) ( $P < 0.05$ ) for *P. drummondii* (1.5 to 10.7 times more at all matched ages), *B. elegans* (1.1 to 10.8 times more), and *A. blakelyi* (4.3 to 5.9 times more at sites up to 8 years) (Figure 5.1). Peak seed production/storage occurred at similar plant ages in both treatments, except for *B. elegans* which reached its maximum at 8 years in rehabilitated sites and 15 years in natural sites (Table 5.2). Maximum seed production/storage for all nonsprouter species was reached in the range 8–16 y in both treatments. Seed viability was similar across treatments and ages ( $P > 0.05$ ), except for *A. blakelyi*

which showed a decline in viability both with time since restoration (57–9%) and time since last fire (100–45%) (Table 5.4). Interfire recruitment in *A. blakelyi* occurred much earlier in rehabilitated sites (16 vs. 30 y) whereas it occurred at similar ages for *P. drummondii* (16 y at each) and was not observed (up to stand ages of 30 y) for *B. elegans* (Table 5.3, Figure 5.3). Plant senescence increased with time since restoration and time since last fire, but rates did not differ between rehabilitated and natural sites ( $P>0.05$ ), except for *A. blakelyi* with more plant senescence in sites  $>20$  years since last fire ( $P<0.05$ ) (Table 5.4).

Despite resprouter individuals in rehabilitated sites being younger on average than those in natural sites (since they all grew from seed at the time of restoration site establishment), individuals in rehabilitated sites had greater mean biovolumes (*C. hirsutus* 1.1 to 1.9 times more, and *M. leuopoma* 1.5 to 3.6 times more, respectively) at equivalent years since disturbance, except *J. floribunda* (Figure 5.2). More viable seeds per plant were also recorded in rehabilitated sites at most matched years ( $P<0.05$ ): *J. floribunda* 1.1 to 17.4 times more at 5 y, *C. hirsutus* 2.9 to 7.7 times more at 8 y, *M. leuopoma* 1.7 to 4.3 times more. Peak seed production/storage occurred at similar site age in both treatments for all resprouter species (all  $\geq 22$  y) (Table 5.2) and seed viability did not differ consistently between rehabilitated and natural sites (Table 5.5). Plant senescence increased with time since disturbances, but only up to 12%, and it did not differ between rehabilitated and natural sites ( $P>0.05$ ) (Table 5.5).

Resprouter species took more than twice the time required to reach reproductive maturity in rehabilitated vs. natural sites (Table 5.2), although more accurately, while data for rehabilitated sites represent an estimate of time to grow to maturity, that for natural sites is an estimate of the recovery time of previously mature resprouting individuals to maturity once again (what might be referred to as length of the secondary juvenile phase). Most pertinent in this comparison is that resprouter species in the rehabilitated sites took little or no longer to reach maturity than did nonsprouter species (range 3–6 y for nonsprouters, 3–7 y for resprouters).

Interfire recruitment occurred earlier in rehabilitated sites for *C. hirsutus* (22 y vs. indeterminate – for up to 30 y sampled) but was not recorded for other resprouter species for sites up to 30 y (Table 5.3). There was more evidence of interfire recruitment (both treatments) in soil storage species (e.g., *A. blakelyi*, *J. floribunda*) compared with strongly serotinous canopy storage species (e.g., *M. leuopoma*, *B. elegans*) (Table 5.3, Figure 5.3). There was also evidence of interfire recruitment in weakly serotinous species (e.g., *P. drummondii*). In all cases where inter-fire recruitment was observed, it occurred earlier and in greater abundance in rehabilitated sites than in natural sites.

Table 5.2: Time (years since last fire/ rehabilitation) to the onset of reproductive maturity (flowering and/ fruiting by >50% of individuals in a population), and age (years) at maximum seed production for selected species from post-mine restored and natural shrublands. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.

Species	Years to >50 % plants flowering/fruiting		Years to maximum seed production (per plant)	
	Rehabilitated	Natural	Rehabilitated	Natural
<i>Acacia blakelyi</i> n, h	3	3	8	8
<i>Petrophile drummondii</i> n, ws	6	10	16	16
<i>Beaufortia elegans</i> n, ss	4	5	8	15
<i>Jacksonia floribunda</i> r, h	7	3*	30	30*
<i>Calothamnus hirsutus</i> r, ws	6	2*	22	22*
<i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i> r, ss	3	1*	30	30*

\* Natural resprouters were of unknown age representing overlapping cohorts of individuals recruited after different fires, so that most returned quickly to reproductive stage via regrowth from well-established below-ground parts.

Table 5.3: Time (years since restoration/ last fire) to the onset of, or evidence for, inter-fire recruitment for selected species from post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland sites near Eneabba, southwestern Australia. Indeterminate = no evidence of interfire recruitment up to 30 years. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.

Species	Years to inter-fire recruitment ( $\geq 5\%$ of individuals as seedlings ( $\leq 20$ cm))	
	Rehabilitated	Natural
<i>Acacia blakelyi</i> n, ns	16	30
<i>Petrophile drummondii</i> n, ws	16	16
<i>Beaufortia elegans</i> n, ss	indeterminate	indeterminate
<i>Jacksonia floribunda</i> r, ns	24	30
<i>Calothamnus hirsutus</i> r, ws	22	indeterminate
<i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i> r, ss	indeterminate	indeterminate

Table 5.4: Mean seed viability and % individuals alive for nonsprouter species a) *Acacia blakelyi*, b) *Petrophile drummondii*, and c) *Beaufortia elegans* in natural and post-mine restored shrublands. Bold values indicate significantly (*t*-test,  $p < 0.05$ ) higher values between matched years since restoration vs. last fire,  $\pm$  value = standard error. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.



a) <i>Acacia blakelyi</i> (n, h)				
Years since fire/ rehabilitation	Seed viability (%)		% individuals alive*	
	Natural	Rehab	Natural	Rehab
3	<b>67</b> $\pm$ 4	57 $\pm$ 5	100 $\pm$ 0	100 $\pm$ 0
8	42 $\pm$ 7	<b>70</b> $\pm$ 4	<b>89</b> $\pm$ 2	80 $\pm$ 3
16	26 $\pm$ 5	22 $\pm$ 6	63 $\pm$ 3	<b>85</b> $\pm$ 3
21	19 $\pm$ 3	22 $\pm$ 5	24 $\pm$ 9	<b>91</b> $\pm$ 2
30	<b>26</b> $\pm$ 6	15 $\pm$ 3	45 $\pm$ 5	<b>71</b> $\pm$ 5
b) <i>Petrophile drummondii</i> (n, ws)				
9	<b>18</b> $\pm$ 3	7 $\pm$ 2	<b>99</b> $\pm$ 1	93 $\pm$ 3
16	22 $\pm$ 2	20 $\pm$ 2	88 $\pm$ 3	86 $\pm$ 3
22	18 $\pm$ 2	19 $\pm$ 2	<b>78</b> $\pm$ 6	73 $\pm$ 2
30	19 $\pm$ 4	<b>24</b> $\pm$ 2	63 $\pm$ 4	<b>70</b> $\pm$ 3
c) <i>Beaufortia elegans</i> (n, ss)				
5	<b>99</b> $\pm$ 0	89 $\pm$ 2	100 $\pm$ 0	100 $\pm$ 0
8	<b>98</b> $\pm$ 0	93 $\pm$ 2	<b>99</b> $\pm$ 0	88 $\pm$ 4
15	<b>99</b> $\pm$ 0	93 $\pm$ 1	90 $\pm$ 4	90 $\pm$ 3
21	<b>97</b> $\pm$ 1	93 $\pm$ 1	75 $\pm$ 8	79 $\pm$ 6
30	<b>98</b> $\pm$ 1	94 $\pm$ 2	70 $\pm$ 9	67 $\pm$ 12

\* Interfire recruits not included



Table 5.5: Mean seed viability and % individuals alive for resprouter species a) *Jacksonia floribunda*, b) *Calothamnus hirsutus*, and c) *Melaleuca leuropoma* in natural and post-mine restored shrubland sites. Bold values indicate significantly ( $t$ -test,  $p < 0.05$ ) higher values between matched years since restoration vs. last fire,  $\pm$  value = standard error. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.

a) <i>Jacksonia floribunda</i> (r, h)				
Years since fire/ rehabilitation	Seed viability (%)		% individuals alive	
	Natural	Rehab	Natural	Rehab
5	-	-	100 $\pm$ 0	100 $\pm$ 0
10	-	-	100 $\pm$ 0	100 $\pm$ 0
17	-	-	<b>100</b> $\pm$ 0	98 $\pm$ 0
24	-	-	<b>98</b> $\pm$ 0	92 $\pm$ 2
30	-	-	<b>97</b> $\pm$ 1	88 $\pm$ 2
b) <i>Calothamnus hirsutus</i> (r, ws)				
8	<b>80</b> $\pm$ 4	66 $\pm$ 7	100 $\pm$ 0	100 $\pm$ 0
15	<b>72</b> $\pm$ 5	54 $\pm$ 4	<b>100</b> $\pm$ 0	98 $\pm$ 0
22	65 $\pm$ 5	63 $\pm$ 6	<b>100</b> $\pm$ 0	96 $\pm$ 1
30	<b>72</b> $\pm$ 4	48 $\pm$ 6	89 $\pm$ 2	<b>94</b> $\pm$ 3
c) <i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i> (r, ss)				
4	75 $\pm$ 2	<b>84</b> $\pm$ 3	100 $\pm$ 0	100 $\pm$ 0
8	<b>96</b> $\pm$ 1	70 $\pm$ 3	<b>100</b> $\pm$ 0	99 $\pm$ 0
15	70 $\pm$ 4	73 $\pm$ 1	91 $\pm$ 1	<b>96</b> $\pm$ 1
21	73 $\pm$ 5	77 $\pm$ 4	92 $\pm$ 2	<b>96</b> $\pm$ 1
30	<b>83</b> $\pm$ 2	78 $\pm$ 2	93 $\pm$ 1	92 $\pm$ 2



\* interfire recruits not included



a) *Acacia blakelyi*





b) *Petrophile drummondii*




c) *Beaufortia elegans*

Figure 5.1: Mean biovolume (dm<sup>3</sup>) and viable seeds per plant for nonsprouter species a) *Acacia blakelyi* (n, h), b) *Petrophile drummondii* (n, ws), and c) *Beaufortia elegans* (n, ss) in post-mine restored and natural shrubland sites. A smooth line curve option in Microsoft Excel® was used to connect the data points on figures. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.







a) *Jacksonia floribunda*



b) *Calothamnus hirsutus*



c) *Melaleuca leuropoma*

Figure 5.2: Mean biovolume (dm<sup>3</sup>) and viable seeds per plant for resprouter species a) *Jacksonia floribunda* (r, h), b) *Calothamnus hirsutus* (n, ws), and c) *Melaleuca leuropoma* (n, ss) in natural and post-mine restored shrubland sites. A smooth line curve option in Microsoft Excel ® 2000 was used to connect the data points on figures. \* = outlier: preliminary observations over several years revealed something unusual in fruiting rates during this year sampled. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.






a) *Acacia blakelyi*b) *Jacksonia floribunda*c) *Petrophile drummondii*d) *Calothamnus hirsutus*e) *Beaufortia elegans*f) *Melaleuca leuropoma*

Figure 5.3: Number of interfire recruits (<20 cm height – out of a total of 50 random individuals assessed per site) of non serotinous species: a) *Acacia blakelyi* (n, h), and b) *Jacksonia floribunda* (r, h); weakly serotinous species: c) *Petrophile drummondii* (n, ws), and d) *Beaufortia elegans* (n, ss); and strongly serotinous species: e) *Calothamnus hirsutus* (n, ws), and f) *Melaleuca leuropoma* (n, ss) in post-mine rehabilitated (filled) and natural (unfilled) shrubland sites. n = nonsprouter, r = resprouter, ws = weakly serotinous, ss = strongly serotinous, h=hard-seeded.

## 5.4 Discussion

At matched years since restoration vs. last fire, restored nonsprouter species generally grew larger (1.1 to 4.7 times), produced/stored more viable seeds (1.1 to 10.9 times), and the weakly serotinous *A. blakelyi* displayed evidence of inter-fire recruitment at a much earlier age (rehabilitated 8 y vs. natural 15 y). Nonsprouter species also reached reproductive maturity 1 to 4 years earlier in rehabilitated sites (except *A. blakelyi*: 3 y in both). The overall more rapid growth and higher fecundity in rehabilitated sites may be a result of several factors. First, synthetic fertilizers (Croprich: Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sulphur, Copper, Zinc – suppliers Summit fertilizers) were added into restored topsoil at a rate of 70–100 kg per ha to fast-track the initial growth process (B. Wynne, Iluka Resources Ltd., personal communication 2008). However, a previous study which examined soil factors in relatively old (8–24 y since restoration) restored stands on the same mine and surrounding natural stands (14–24 y since last fire) only found higher reactive iron levels and lower soil penetrability in rehabilitated sites (Herath et al. in press.). Other soil factors, including nitrate, ammonium, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, organic carbon, conductivity and pH were consistently low in both rehabilitated and natural sites. However, it is possible that most of the soil nutrients may have already been accumulated by plants in sites rehabilitated  $\geq 8$  y ago, and therefore excess nutrients in younger stands may have encouraged faster growth and seed production in the early restoration phase (O’Connell and Grove 1996). Second, Herath et al. (in press.) recorded lower plant densities in rehabilitated sites than in natural analogues (half or less) and this may have reduced competition for resources and promoted rapid shoot growth and seed production (e.g., Bloom et al. 1985; Iwasa and Kubo 1997; Bellingham and Sparrow 2000). Third, while the hard, clayey substrate returned in rehabilitated sites may have impeded root growth in some species, it retains more soil water than do the near-surface sands of the natural analogue sites (Enright and Lamont 1992a) and this may bolster above-ground plant growth (and seed production) for species that can access it (Herath et al. unpub.).

Rehabilitated site resprouters took more than twice the time to reach reproductive maturity than found in natural site resprouters. However, this is because resprouters in natural areas were multi-aged, representing overlapping cohorts of individuals recruited after different fires, which for the Eneabba sandplain region have been at mean fire interval of 13 years over the last 40 years (Miller et al. 2007). Most resprouters in natural vegetation return to reproductive stage quickly after fire (1-2 years) through regrowth from well-established below-ground lignotubers (Gill 1981; Pyke 1983; Keeley and Keeley 1984). This also explains why resprouters *J. floribunda* and *C. hirsutus* both had greater seed production at the youngest natural stand (multi-aged cohorts) vs. youngest restored stand (single-age cohorts), but after 8 y, fecundity for restored individuals was much greater than that found in natural sites (Table 5.5). This means that despite the presence of younger resprouter individuals at matched years since restoration vs. last fire, resprouters in rehabilitated sites had faster growth rates and fecundity than that of secondary resprouters in natural sites. Restored resprouters generally grew larger (1.1 to 3.6 times, except *J. floribunda*), produced/stored more viable seeds (1.1 to 6.9 times), and had earlier inter-fire recruitment (except *M. leuropoma*). This suggests that primary growth rates

and seed production in restored resprouters are much faster than for primary resprouters in natural sites, likely due to the same reasons discussed above for restored nonsprouter - excess nutrients associated with fertilizer additions, lower plant densities reducing competition, and the clayey substrate which retains water longer into summer.

There was more evidence of inter-fire recruitment for soil-storage (hard-seeded) species *A. blakelyi* and *J. floribunda*, especially in rehabilitated sites, than for the strongly serotinous species *B. elegans* and *M. leuropoma*. Soil-storage species release their seeds onto the ground surface annually upon ripening so that seeds are appropriately positioned for recruitment if seed coat damage or weathering breaks the enforced dormancy – which is increasingly likely as seed age increases. Inter-fire recruitment was also evident for the weakly serotinous *P. drummondii* and *C. hirsutus* in rehabilitated sites. Since their seeds are only stored within the canopies for a few years, previous seed crops are continuously released to the soil surface once plants have been mature for several years, and some of these will germinate and establish. There was no evidence of inter-fire recruitment for the strongly serotinous, prolific seed producing *B. elegans* (Bell et al. 1987) and *M. leuropoma* (Enright et al. 2007) because they both hold their seeds in the canopy for many years so that little seed is available for germination except immediately after fire. However, inter-fire recruitment in these species is possible when individuals die and drying fruits spontaneously release their seeds (Enright et al. 1996; Witkowski 1991). Nevertheless, only a few *B. elegans* (and no *M. leuropoma*) seedlings were recorded in unburned 22 and 30 y rehabilitated sites.

*A. blakelyi* grows much faster and produces more seeds in rehabilitated vs. natural sites, but this pattern only holds true for the first 8 years (or 20 years for seed stores), after which seeds accumulated in the soil decay rapidly, and inter-fire recruitment begins after 8 years in rehabilitated sites, dramatically reducing the overall estimates of mean plant size and seed set. The lower levels of seed viability for seed crops on older (>16 years) plants may be a result of a reduction in plant nutrients at older rehabilitated sites as the effects of initial fertilizer additions decline. Plant senescence also naturally occurs early in this species with shorter lifetime (Black 1979), rapid onset of reproductive maturity (3 y), early peak in seed production (8 y), and in interfire recruitment (between 8 and 16 y in rehabilitated sites). Galls were also observed on the stems of individuals once the plants reached about 8 years, possibly affecting their survival due to insect damage. Nevertheless, *A. blakelyi* remains abundant and is a major problem in rehabilitated sites at Eneabba since it creates competition with other native species. Management of this species requires removal before it reaches reproductive maturity and before it contributes seeds into the seedbank (i.e. before 3 y). This may involve manually cutting the main stems at early stages of restoration before the onset of maturity.

### **Optimum fire intervals**

Despite faster growth and seed production/storage rates in rehabilitated (vs. natural) sites, optimum fire age based on maximum seed production levels generally occurred at similar stand age in both natural and rehabilitated treatments, except for the nonsprouter *B. elegans* where optimum seed

production was recorded earlier in rehabilitated sites (8 y vs. 15 y). There was no one fire interval optimal for all species studied: nonsprouter species would benefit most from intermediate fire intervals (8–16 y), whereas resprouters would benefit most from longer fire intervals ( $\geq 22$  y). However, the main criterion for selecting an optimum fire interval was based on the notion that maximum levels of recruitment are most likely at intervals when seed store is also at its maximum. This theory holds true for nonsprouters (e.g., Auld 1987; Lamont and van Leeuwen 1988), but resprouters have an additional reproductive strategy: vegetative regrowth after fires (Gill 1981; Bell et al. 1984).

An increase in fire frequency in natural sites has historically favored resprouter (over nonsprouter) species (Biswell 1974; Zedler et al. 1983; Lloret et al. 1999) since existing individuals can re-establish vigorously (after fire) via well-established below-ground parts. However, strip mining involves complete removal of the former vegetation and so woody resprouters are eliminated from the site (Bellairs and Bell 1993) and must be re-introduced as a new population cohort from seed, in the same way as nonsprouters. In Chapter 4, it was found that post-fire persistence of resprouters in rehabilitated sites among ten lignotuberous shrub species (including the three resprouter species of this study) was significantly lower (mean of 52%) than in natural sites (96%) because restored resprouters were all younger plants with lower regenerative capacity – i.e., correlated with smaller lignotuber size (e.g., Moreno and Oechel 1991; Enright et al. 1998; Cruz et al. 2002). The lower lignotuber recovery rates for restored resprouters highlight the importance of the seedling recruitment strategy and the benefits of higher seed set in resprouters of rehabilitated sites where higher plant mortality due to fire must be offset by seedling recruitment if populations are to persist. If resprouters do not recruit via seeds in rehabilitated sites, they may eventually become locally extinct after single or multiple fires – unless lignotubers become much more resilient with time.

Frequent fires typical of natural shrublands in the Eneabba area – mean interval of 13 y over last 40 y (Miller et al. 2007) – play an important role in maintaining plant species diversity. However, this fire regime may not be appropriate for rehabilitated sites, at least in relation to the first occurrence of fire following mine-site restoration, since it disadvantages resprouter species due to lower seed stores (than at  $\geq 22$  y) and higher mortality of existing plants at younger rehabilitated sites (Chapter 4). However, restored nonsprouter species may benefit from the surrounding natural fire regime since they accumulate large seed stores by ages 8–16 y. Thus, the selection of an appropriate fire interval for rehabilitated sites may require a compromise between possible deleterious effects on resprouters and nonsprouters. Nevertheless, resprouter species may be given priority due to the difficulties associated with restoring these recalcitrant species in rehabilitated sites (Bellairs and Bell 1993; Koch 2007a) and the fact that the three studied nonsprouter species were still producing sufficient quantities of seeds in older rehabilitated stands. Therefore, it may be most parsimonious to use a longer than average (20–30 y) fire interval on the introduction of the first-fire following restoration of shrublands at Eneabba, in order to promote higher persistence of resprouters via both lignotuber regrowth and seedling recruitment. Since senescence for nonsprouters measured here was only around 30% by 30 y

for rehabilitated sites, the majority of their seed stores may likely remain sufficient for recruitment after fire (Davis et al. 1988; Zedler and Zammit 1989; Keeley 1992). Subsequent fires may be feasible at shorter intervals as populations of resprouter species increase in age, and size and viability of their lignotubers, eventually converging on the regional mean interval of 13 y. Since the sites experimentally treated with fire in this study are the only restoration sites burned in this restoration area, it is recommended that they continue to be monitored, and treated with fire again in around 13 years time, so that the best informed plans for reintroduction of fire after completion of mining can be established.

## CHAPTER 6.0

### Synthesis and Recommendations

This chapter reviews the ecological relationships identified in the preceding chapters and their relevance to management. Limitations of the study and topics requiring further research are also discussed.

#### *6.1 Pre-fire ecology and the management of restored shrublands*

Among the natural sites, presence-absence ordinations revealed that the local swales and dunes were floristically most similar to those of the adjacent post-mined shrublands. Although this similarity was only within the order of 30%, earlier studies in the region have also shown low similarity among samples between natural shrubland communities, even for similar substrate types (Lamont 1976; Hnatiuk and Hopkins 1981; Griffin et al. 1983). This highlights the floristic complexity of the region and thus the difficulties associated with restoring composition of areas with such high species richness and turnover. Similar mining restoration (of composition) difficulties appear in species-rich fynbos shrublands of South Africa (Holmes and Richardson 1999; Holmes 2001), in coal mine restoration (up to 36 years post-mining) of hardwood forests of eastern USA (Holl and Cairns 1994; Holl 2002), and in previously mined (up to 26 years) south-eastern eucalypt (Ross et al. 2004) and south-western eucalypt (Norman et al. 2006; Koch 2007b) forests. The studies by Alcoa in south-western eucalypt forests recorded a mean of 34% floristic similarity between rehabilitated sites (pre 1988) and intact forests, using Sorensen's similarity measure (the same method as in our study), and their restoration efforts are considered among the most successful in the world (particularly over the last decade: Bell and Hobbs 2007; Gardner and Bell 2007). Our study revealed a similar degree of floristic similarity between natural and the restored shrublands after mineral-sand mining near Eneabba. The fact that no perennial weeds were recorded in this study is also encouraging, as other studies (although including annual weeds which were rarely found after fire in our sites, personal observation) have found that exotic weeds are a major problem in rehabilitated minesites and require management (Partridge 1992; Grant and Koch 1997; Grant and Loneragan 1999; Ross et al. 2004).

The return of species richness was an important milestone. Matiske (2004), who was commissioned to monitor the restored vegetation against completion targets, found that over 90% of the Eneabba rehabilitated sites (including our study sites) satisfy the minimum requirement of 6 species per m<sup>2</sup> or 70 species per 10 ha. Although the Matiske (2004) report included annuals in their survey, perennial plant species richness in our study sites was well above the targets with more than 70 species recorded in just 0.16 ha of restored shrubland. However, it seems likely that some of the high species richness in restored shrublands (about 140 (except R8) vs. about 100 species in natural sites) was achieved by seed collecting over broader areas than the adjacent swales and dunes. For instance,

some species that are usually restricted to specific substrate types, for example, *Labiichea cassioides* on limestone and *Hakea stenocarpa* on laterite, were recorded in some rehabilitated sites. To improve floristic similarity to that of surrounding swales/dunes, we recommend that collections of seeds, mulch and topsoil should be restricted to local provenance areas (Lubke and Avis 1999; Krauss and Koch 2004; McKay et al. 1995; Koch 2007a). The collections should also occur in an equivalent spatial extent to that being rehabilitated. For example, one hectare of topsoil collected should be applied to only one hectare of rehabilitated ground. This would improve floristic similarity and species richness levels closer to that of the specific natural analogue. Tailings should also be returned in such a way as to mimic the range of substrates (in this case dune and swale) present pre-mining and to create a variety of habitat-types, since rehabilitated sites were recorded to be more similar (floristically) to each other (about 45%) than to the surrounding natural areas.

Fire-resprouter densities were under-represented in rehabilitated sites – nearly half (of high dune, limestone) to less than half (swale, laterite) of natural sites. The most common species were mostly nonsprouters in rehabilitated sites whereas they were mostly resprouters in natural sites. Similarly, studies in restored bauxite-mined eucalypt forests of SW Australia have also recorded lower densities of resprouters in restored *versus* natural sites (Grant and Loneragan 1999; Smith 2001; Koch 2007a; Koch and Hobbs 2007). The relative imbalance of resprouters to nonsprouters reflects the difficulties associated with restoring resprouters. For instance, regenerative organs (e.g. lignotubers, rhizomes, bulbs) of resprouter species are often destroyed or die during the mining process (Bellairs and Bell 1993; Fox et al. 1996), and therefore they can only re-establish via seedlings after rehabilitation. However, the additional problem is that resprouters generally produce fewer seeds than nonsprouter species (Bell et al. 1993; Lamont and Wiens 2003; Koch 2007a) so their seed densities are much lower in the added seed mix, mulch and topsoil. Spreading from surrounding natural areas (Vigilante 1997) is likely to be a slow process and may take centuries to develop sufficient densities, therefore further manipulation is necessary. Transplanting mature resprouter individuals from natural areas (ahead of mining fronts) into rehabilitation sites may be an option. For example, the transplant technology now exists for grasstrees (Lamont et al. 2004) that are conspicuously absent from rehabilitated sites. Further research regarding tissue culture/artificial planting schemes may also help restore resprouters to pre-mining levels.

Although no perennial weeds were recorded in this study, two native species were observed to be displaying ‘invasive’ properties in rehabilitated sites: the fire-killed *Acacia blakelyi*, and to a lesser extent, the fire-tolerant *Melaleuca leuropoma*. In all rehabilitated sites, *A. blakelyi* (7–36% cover) and *M. leuropoma* (9–30%) were among the top three dominant species, whereas in the natural sites, *A. blakelyi* (0–0.3%) was infrequent or absent, and *M. leuropoma* (2–15%) was abundant only at the limestone and low dune sites. Following topsoil replacement, *A. blakelyi* was historically mixed with cover crop seeds to provide stabilization of tailings and to produce a quick scattered cover of native shrubs to tide over the period between cover crop decay and full native regeneration (Black 1979). However, due to its pioneer-phase properties – rapid growth rates, prolific reproductive capacity,



nitrogen fixing ability (legume) in nitrogen deficient rehabilitated soils - it survives, reproduces and remains dominant in older rehabilitated stands, creating competition with other native species. For example, *A. blakelyi* at R8 had 36% ground-cover that likely contributed (in addition to the longer period of stockpiled soil at this site) to the sites lower species richness, H', D' and J' diversity compared with other rehabilitated and natural sites. Similarly, in dune-mined areas of Richards Bay, South Africa, *Acacia karoo* (another native legume) dominated rehabilitated areas and its competitive abilities retarded the influx of other native species (Camp 1990; Camp and Weisser 1991; Lubke and Avis 1999). The increase in abundance of legumes on rehabilitated mine-sites has also been reported elsewhere (Koch and Davies 1993; Chambers et al. 1994; Chapman et al. 1996) likely due to the fact that the returned soil was deficient in nitrogen, making legumes much more competitive than other non-nitrogen-fixing species.

The practice of growing *A. blakelyi* as a cover crop has ceased in the restoration programme near Eneabba. However, it remains a problem in newly rehabilitated pits due to its competitive properties. It is thought that the soil scarification process encourages the germination of this species (Black 1979). To manage its abundance, research is being conducted on brushing herbicides on the crown tops of *A. blakelyi* eventually resulting in their death (Phil Scott 2007, Iluka Resources Ltd., personal communication). However, this process assumes that *A. blakelyi* grows much faster and taller than do other native species and no herbicides percolate or contaminate the soils/other native plants. In addition, if brushing herbicides is delayed to 3 or more years (since restoration) for crown tops to be sufficiently higher than other native species, then their seeds would already be accumulated rapidly in the soil seedbank, as initial fecundity rates were observed to be high during the first few years of seed production (e.g., mean of 758 seeds per plant at 3 years leading to a soil seedbank accumulation of 4143 per plant at 8 years – Chapter 5). As a result, interfire recruitment of *A. blakelyi* seedlings would occur (recorded to begin around 16 years – Chapter 5), or after fire, a flush of seedlings may appear and dominate the nitrogen deficient rehabilitated soils – Chapter 3. A more reliable but labour intensive method may involve manually clipping or chain-sawing the main stems of the plant at early stages of restoration before the onset of seed production (2 to 3 years – Chapter 5). Thinning is also suggested as a protocol for other dominant legume species that retard other native species on minesites (e.g., Camp 1990; Camp and Weisser 1991; Chambers et al. 1994; Chapman et al. 1996; Lubke and Avis 1999). Their remains may also act as mulch material and aid in soil stabilization if thinned before seed production.

The highly fecund *Melaleuca leuropoma* may also need to be controlled in rehabilitated sites. It has been found to possess an order of magnitude more viable seeds per unit area than other serotinous species in all substrate types (Enright et al. 2007), and as a result, it dominates rehabilitated sites after the mulching process releases its seeds through desiccation. Therefore, it may be necessary to avoid mulching in thickets containing these species. However, since this resprouter comprises multiple stems arising from the lignotuber (an average of 50 cm lignotuber circumference in rehabilitated sites – Table 4.2), it assists in soil stabilization and buffers against topsoil erosion (personal observation)

that is a major problem (due to strong winds at Eneabba: Bell et al. 1986) in new rehabilitated pits. In addition, *M. leuopoma* was observed to have some beneficial effects on other smaller shrub species (e.g., *Leucopogon gracillimus*, *Astroloma pallidum* etc.) that reside beneath the *M. leuopoma* crowns in conditions of lower drought stress than open areas (personal observations). For these reasons, it may be decided to leave *M. leuopoma* populations until future research can show that other native species can provide sufficient ground cover and assist in soil stabilization in rehabilitated sites.

The depth of sand over silt-clay tailings ranged 0–20 cm (topsoil) in rehabilitated sites with large areas exposed to the tailings at the surface whereas natural sites had a minimum of 20–50 cm of sand over silt-clay in swale areas to a maximum of 8–10 m of sand over silt and clay in high dunal areas (personal observations). As a result, soil penetrability levels of rehabilitated sites (recorded via penetrometer) were less than half those of natural sites. The lack of sand (of topsoil and loose subsoil) over silt-clay tailings was most likely responsible for much of the vegetation restoration problems apparent near Eneabba, particularly after fire (discussed in section 6.2). For example, the lower restored plant densities (about half those of the high dune and limestone sites, and about a quarter those of the swale and laterite) may have been related to the addition of seeds/plantings at lower than required densities (not documented) but more likely due to the higher mortality rates associated with the adverse substrate-type (lack of sand over poorly penetrable silt-clay), limiting roots from accessing groundwater (Enright and Lamont 1992a), intensifying the effects of summer drought (i.e., extending its duration), and over winter, and occasionally creating problems with waterlogged soils. For instance, Enright and Lamont (1992a) found that despite high germination success of *Banksia* seedlings in rehabilitated sites at the same mine, high impedance of the rehabilitated soils (compared with dune soils) explained their poor root development and dependence of seedlings on soil water stored near the surface, which led to higher mortality levels. Similarly, after simulating mining conditions, Holmes (2001) reported lower densities and survival of fynbos shrublands (Cape floristic kingdom, South Africa) in subsoil *versus* topsoiled plots. These studies indicate that restoration of a soil profile with sufficiently deep rooting medium and low soil compaction is a critical stage in the restoration process (Grant 2006), and must be carefully managed.

The reconstruction of soil profiles for post-mined lands near Eneabba involves double-stripping topsoil from natural areas ahead of the mining front – the first cut being the top 5 cm of soil, the second cut being approximately 5–20 cm of soil (stripped to the soil colour change), then stockpiled or directly returned in the correct order to sites ready for rehabilitation (Bellairs 1991; Jefferies et al. 1991). The rest of the soil profile (including all of the subsoil) is removed (usually down to tens of metres deep), a small percentage is extracted for minerals, and the mixed profile is then returned and topsoil spread (Cameron Payne 2008, Iluka Resources Ltd., personal communication). As a result of mixing the subsurface soils with deep soils containing a higher fraction of minerals, minute iron-bearing particles combine with sands and clay to form silt-clay agglomerated structures, uncharacteristic of natural sandy subsoils. This compact substrate is regularly exposed at the ground surface since the strong winds at Eneabba (Bell et al. 1986) and rainfall runoff result in

erosion/accumulation of topsoil deposits in contoured depressions, especially at sites R15 and R16 (personal observation). Despite considerable efforts to retain topsoil evenly on rehabilitated ground by providing mulch and cover crops to aid in the stabilization process, the strong winds and rainfall runoff still tend to force topsoil into contoured depressions (personal observation). As a result, many plants were observed on accumulated topsoil deposits and fewer on the exposed silt-clay surfaces since roots of many plant species cannot penetrate this layer. Therefore, it is recommended that some portion of the subsoil layer (down to about 1 m) should be salvaged to buffer wind and water erosion problems of sands at the ground surface. Subsoil layers (up to 1 or 2 m) are salvaged in SW jarrah forest rehabilitation sites of Australia (Lawrie 1984; Nichols et al. 1985) since only the bauxite layer ranging over 2–8 m deep is mined. After landscaping, pre-ripping occurs and then overburden is laid over sites to be rehabilitated, and ripped again before topsoil is spread (Koch 2007b) – this technique has proved to provide sufficient rooting medium for even taller growing tree species (e.g., *Eucalyptus marginata*) with deep sinker roots (Szota et al. 2007). However, at Eneabba, the mining material starts at the ground surface to tens of metres deep, so decisions on whether to salvage subsoil down to about 1 m deep or so may depend on how valuable or how concentrated the minerals are in this layer. In addition, subsoil down to 1 m deep is not always available in natural swale areas whereby sandy soil horizons may only extend down to 20–50 cm. In this case, efficient deep ripping techniques may facilitate the access of sinker/taproots into deeper moist soil layers. For example, in America, Europe, and Australia, deep ripping has been observed to improve plant vitality, including survival and height for a range of tree species in differing soil types (Shea et al. 1979; Tacey 1979; Varellides and Kritikos 1995; Ashby 1997; Nadeau and Pluth 1997; Lacey et al. 2001; Szota et al. 2007). Ripping the subsoil with a conventional chisel-tine does occur at the rehabilitated minesites near Eneabba; however, the ripping methods in the 1980's and 1990's have not been well documented. Currently, ripping operations are restricted to the drier periods of the year, i.e., summer to autumn, to prevent a 'cementing effect' due to moist, wet conditions on the soil during winter (Mengler et al. 2006). However, more significant advances in ripping mechanisms or methods may be needed. For example, other studies in restored southwest eucalypt forests have found that the attachment of a winged-tine (1.8 m wingspan) to the shank of a conventional-chisel tine relieves mine related soil compaction, which greatly improves tillage by lifting and tilling the soil across a broad front (Croton and Ainsworth 2007). The use of chemical agents such as gypsum to improve overall soil structure has been suggested for use in conjunction with ripping (Szota et al. 2007). Ripping depth was also found to affect mining related compaction (Croton and Watson 1987).

Although it is emphasized (above) that the compact substrate type adversely affected plant establishment and survival, it was observed that for those species that can penetrate the deeper soil layers (i.e., species or individuals which developed stronger root systems), they grow larger in rehabilitated *versus* natural sites. For instance, three common nonsprouter species were reported to be up to five times larger and produced more than 11 times more seeds than those of matched age in natural sites – Chapter 5. Three common resprouter species were also larger (up to four times) and produced seven times more seeds than those in natural sites. Therefore, it can be concluded that the

compact substrate type was selective in what species establish and remain prolific (due to less competition associated with lower densities) in rehabilitated sites, thus influencing the overall floristic composition and vegetation structure that exists there. In addition, it may be possible that the heterogeneous nature of the soil structure of rehabilitated sites provides opportunities for some plant individuals to access the deeper soil reserves and grow comparatively large.

### 6.2 *Post-fire ecology and the management of restored shrublands*

The ability of a plant community to re-establish itself after environmental disturbances is one of the key ecological functions used as an indicator of ecosystem resilience (Fox et al. 1996; Smith 2001; Grant and Loneragan 1999; Ross et al. 2004). In the restored shrublands after mineral-sand mining at Eneabba, a self-sustaining plant community, that is, one that can maintain its ecological properties in the face of environmental perturbations, such as fire, has not yet developed. Experimental burning of shrubland vegetation restored 8–24 years ago had strong negative effects on perennial plant species diversity. Species richness fell by 22–41% whereas it increased by 4–29% in natural sites. Restored resprouters were severely affected by fires – only 42–66% of species recovered (as seedlings or resprouts) in rehabilitated sites whereas nearly all species recovered in natural sites. For ten common lignotuberous resprouter shrub species (described in Chapter 4), fire led to the death of far more individuals in rehabilitated sites (mean of 52% death) than occurred in nearby natural sites (4%). The lower resprouter persistence after fire in rehabilitated sites was a result of smaller lignotubers containing fewer buds that are able to sprout following fire (Rundel et al. 1987; Auld 1990; Moreno and Oechel 1991; Enright et al. 1998). For example, the ten common resprouter shrub species (noted above) also had smaller-sized lignotuber circumferences in rehabilitated sites – overall 19% smaller than in natural sites. Five of the ten species had lignotubers about a third or less their size in natural sites, three had lignotubers less than half their size in natural sites, and only one species (*H. incrassata*) had larger lignotubers in rehabilitated sites and hence high persistence levels after fire. In addition, for crown volume equivalent to the mean at rehabilitated sites, overall lignotuber circumference of all species was 50% smaller in rehabilitated sites than in natural sites, indicating differences in growth forms between these areas.

Two key factors were identified as affecting the size or development of lignotubers in rehabilitated sites. First, the initial age-structure of the natural and restored resprouter populations was different – restored resprouters commenced as seedlings at the time of rehabilitation since the mining process destroyed all former regenerative organs (Bellairs and Bell 1993; Fox et al. 1996), therefore, restored resprouters were younger (a single cohort of no more than 24 years) than those multi-aged cohorts in natural sites that may have passed through many fire cycles –recurring with a mean interval of 13 years in the study area over the last 40 years (Miller et al. 2007). As a result, restored resprouters may be, individually, more fire-sensitive since they have not previously experienced fires and may not have had sufficient time for many individuals to develop a large enough lignotuber to attain fire-tolerance (Bradstock and Myerscough 1988; Lamont and van Leeuwen 1988; Auld 1990). Second, the

growing conditions on the reconstituted mine site substrates may not be conducive to lignotuber development since the lower recorded soil penetrability levels in rehabilitated sites may have restricted rootstock development (Enright and Lamont 1992a). The lack of sandy topsoil and loose subsoil (characteristic of natural areas) and replacement with a mixed silt-clay layer near the ground surface would have likely retarded lignotuber development in the compact rehabilitated soils. However, despite the lower below-ground biomass recorded in resprouters on rehabilitated sites, above-ground crown size for three common resprouter species (also assessed for lignotuber size as described above) was observed to be up to 3.6 times larger and up to 6.9 times more fecund for matched years since restoration *versus* last fire (Chapter 5). Similarly, McClaren (2005) at the same mine recorded up to 10 times more seed production in *Eremaea beaufortioides*, *Leptospermum spinescens* and *Hakea incrassata* (also assessed for post-fire persistence and lignotuber size above) at R24 (rehabilitated) *versus* the swale and high dune sites. This indicates that irrespective of plant age and lignotuber size, growth and fecundity rates were much higher in restored resprouters, and that a tradeoff may exist in restored resprouters whereby investment in above-ground growth and fecundity may have been at the expense of fire-tolerant features such as the lignotuber. The lower restored plant densities may also facilitate this tradeoff as a result of reduced competition for resources that may have promoted rapid shoot growth rather than lignotuber development (Bloom et al. 1985; Iwasa and Kubo 1997; Bellingham and Sparrow 2000).

Nonsprouter species were also disadvantaged two years after fires as more species were lost in rehabilitated sites (50–69% species died) than natural sites (15–33%). Although one would expect seedling recruitment among nonsprouters after fires to be relatively high in rehabilitated sites based on their higher (pre-fire) recorded fecundity rates (up to 11 times greater for three nonsprouter species at matched years since restoration *vs.* last fire – Chapter 5), it appears that the poor development of seedling roots on less penetrable or impenetrable (in areas directly exposed to silt-clay at the surface) soil surfaces that limited post-fire seedling establishment and survival in rehabilitated soils (Enright and Lamont 1992a). For example, seedling mortality over the first summer after fire was more than twice that of natural sites and the percentage of seedling species surviving the first summer was only half that of natural sites. It is also likely that the higher heat-holding capacity of clayey soils (especially at R15) created drought stress conditions over summer and affected seedling mortality rates. At R15, small patches were observed to be waterlogged during winter for very brief periods, and subsequently baked over summer, creating inhospitable conditions for seedlings.

The results suggest that the most important factor limiting the development of a self-sustaining plant community in the restored shrublands is the lack of a suitable rooting medium, limiting development of lignotubers among resprouters and post-fire seedling survival/recruitment among nonsprouters (and some resprouter seedlings). As a result, reconstruction of a ‘natural-type’ soil profile is probably the most critical stage in the restoration process near Eneabba, since fires were observed to exacerbate the negative effects of the compacted rehabilitated soils on vegetation resilience properties. Despite considerable efforts and investment made to restore plant diversity after mining, including topsoiling,

seeding, infill planting, fertilizing, mulching etc., it seems that further management after fires is required to simulate natural recovery patterns (see below). A better and more feasible approach may involve carefully managing the replacement of a typical natural soil profile in the first place that should facilitate the development of a more resilient plant community. Recommendations are given to restoring natural sandy soil profiles in section 6.1, advising that some portion of loose sandy subsoil (down to 1 m deep if possible) should also be stripped and returned as a third cut to compensate for losses of topsoil (via wind and water erosion) at the ground surface. In that way, there is a compatible rooting medium for most plants to establish, and it would provide better access to the greater volumes of moist soil in the deeper layers, which is critical for most plants during extended periods of drought stress.

PCoA ordinations based on pre and post-fire composition showed that fire shifted the species composition of rehabilitated sites relatively further away from that of natural sites (Figure 3.1) – a result of the loss of many common species (particularly resprouters) and the emergence or immigration of species as seeds but not yet present as plants until fire triggered their germination. Without further human intervention, the existing restored shrubland communities may keep following different successional trajectories from that of their natural analogues (e.g., Adamson and Fox 1982; Friedel et al. 1990). Therefore, to simulate the recovery patterns of natural sites, it is recommended to do more in-fill planting of recalcitrant resprouters, and to a lesser extent, nonsprouters typical of the local swale-dune system after fire. If seeds are also added, they need to be placed on a suitable surface (topsoiled area) for them to establish as seedlings and develop into adults (Enright and Lamont 1989). Provided that recruitment opportunities would not be severely reduced, seeds could be harvested from plants within rehabilitated areas as these are often of higher fecundity than those of the same species from surrounding natural areas (McClaren 2005). It may also be possible to transplant resprouters into rehabilitated land from areas ahead of a mining front (Lamont et al. 2004), particularly for species of known low fecundity. This would create a diversity of resprouter ages and increase the likelihood of population recovery after fire.

Although the studied rehabilitated ages (8, 15, 24 years) were not replicated in order to identify optimum fire-age return intervals for restored shrublands at Eneabba, there is some indication that burning older rehabilitated sites may result in better species persistence after fires (supporting Smith 2001; Ross et al 2004; Koch 2007a). For instance, the oldest rehabilitated site (R24) had a 22% reduction in species richness after fire whereas the youngest ones, R15 and R8, reduced by much more – 38 and 41% respectively (Chapter 3). Individuals of eight of ten common lignotuberous shrub species (described in Chapter 4) also showed better persistence after fires in older rehabilitated stands (R15 and R24), likely due to an age-related accumulation of lignotuberous bud banks that stored more buds available to sprout after fire (Bradstock and Myerscough 1988). Three of these studied species also had maximum seed stores at older ages ( $\geq 22$  years since restoration) indicating that recruitment via seedlings is most likely at older ages (Chapter 5). In contrast optimum seed reserves for three restored nonsprouter species occurred earlier at 8–16 years. Nevertheless, resprouter species should be

given priority due to the difficulties associated with restoring these recalcitrant species (Bellairs and Bell 1993; Koch 2007a) and the fact that the three studied nonsprouter species were still producing sufficient quantities of seeds in older rehabilitated stands. Other studies on post-mine vegetation have reported that fires could be introduced at a much earlier age than that for this study ( $\leq 24$  years) – equal to or greater than 10 years for restored south-eastern eucalypt forests (Ross et al. 2004) and 11-13 years for restored south-western eucalypt forests (Grant and Loneragan 1999). These studies have also found an increase in post-fire diversity after burning their rehabilitated sites ( $\leq 10$  years) and Grant and Loneragan 1999 found that 80% of species persist after fire, unlike the results near Eneabba (studied up to 24 years). These differences could be related to differing replacement depths of topsoil and loose subsoil (affecting development of root systems), time to reproductive maturity of lignotubers and seedbanks, vegetation resilience properties (i.e., forests vs. shrublands) to fires, and/or rainfall patterns, with greater impacts of summer drought on resprouter recovery and seedling survival (post-fire) apparent at Eneabba.

Delaying/suppressing fires beyond what naturally occurs in surrounding shrubland areas (about 13 years: Miller et al. 2007) may be beneficial for recalcitrant resprouter species, but the dilemma for managers is that such long fire intervals may threaten the survival of some nonsprouting species that senesce sooner than this, recruit poorly interfire and have limited seed storage. For example, at 24 years since restoration, a few adult nonsprouter species (e.g., *Hakea trifurcata*) were observed senescing or dead with interfere recruits yet to produce seeds, but after fire they were eventually eliminated or poorly represented due to the fire-induced death of all plants, death of seedlings and selective kangaroo (*Macropus fuliginosus*) herbivory (Koch et al. 2004; Parsons et al. 2006). Such species longevity issues and the impacts of kangaroo herbivory after fire need to be identified, since their management might require further (targeted) seed additions and/or fencing from herbivores and placing small mesh-like bags around palatable species (Koch 2007a). Longer fire intervals may also increase the risk that weedy species already present at the site, such as *A. blakelyi*, will swamp the new generation with their seeds (accumulated in the soil seedbank) and further reduce species diversity. For example, *A. blakelyi* was much more abundant after burning the older 24 year rehabilitated site, but this may have been related to high pre-fire densities, since it was used as a cover crop at this site during that time of rehabilitation – 1980's (Black 1979). In addition, fire intensity was greatest at this site presumably stimulating greater germination (Auld and O'Connell 1991; Lamont et al. 1991) of this legume species. In that case, management needs to recognise weedy species at the outset and reduce or eliminate their representation earlier on, possibly by chainsawing the main stems before the onset of seed production, recorded to be at 3 years since restoration.

Since natural fires tend to be of high intensity/severity and are difficult to suppress (i.e., by fencing/creating lengthy firebreaks) from penetrating into rehabilitated areas, prescribing a low-intensity or patchy fire (based on weather conditions and moisture levels in fuel loads) may be an alternative option that may be less harmful to the lignotubers of resprouter individuals (Noble 1984), and thus buffer sites against rapid decline in resprouter numbers and favour a reduction in densities of

the legume *A. blakeyi* (Grant et al. 1997). If this technique is employed then any future natural fires that diffuse into rehabilitated areas would also be of reduced intensity, since fuel loads are reduced from relatively recent prescribed fires. However, the low intensity fires may limit germination of seeding species as stimulation of seed release from canopy stores and germination from soil stores is a function of fire-temperature in many cases (Auld and O'Connell 1991; Lamont et al. 1991). The decision on fire dates also needs to consider the previous year's rainfall patterns that may influence survival rates post-fire (Croft et al. 2008). For instance, after a drought cycle, lignotubers are less likely to regenerate than if burnt in a period (or cycle) of relatively high rainfall.

### *6.3 Limitations of the study and topics requiring future research*

It was not within the scope of our study to explicitly evaluate mining restoration procedures as sufficient documentation of these procedures was not available for particular sites (e.g., species and input of seed mixtures, origins and duration of topsoil stockpiling, ripping depths), partly due to the long history of transfers in mining companies that operated at Eneabba. The limited information on restoration procedures relied on a few previously published studies, annual reports, and communication among Iluka Resources Ltd. Environmental Department staff. As a result, there was potential for variation in rehabilitation results since each site may have represented a unique combination of rehabilitation procedures and weather conditions that varied following different mining and fire dates. Many other mining rehabilitation studies have also acknowledged the fact that mining restoration procedures and weather conditions (including post-fire weather conditions on mined sites) would rarely be the same over the mine-life period (Fox et al. 1996; Ross et al. 2004; Koch 2007a; Koch 2007b). Significant advances and more sophisticated rehabilitation techniques have evolved since Iluka Resources Ltd. acquired the Eneabba mining operations in 1999. There has also been more attention to detail in the recording of restoration operations in annual reports.

Despite the implementation of eight experimental fires over an extensive area, the study also lacked true replication (Hurlbert 1984) or failed to cover the full range of variation at the spatial and temporal scales. The emphasis instead was on intensive quantification of apparently representative sites vegetation-wise before and after disturbance. However, this made it impossible to assign the restored sites to a single most closely related natural vegetation type, except in a general way, or to identify the optimum fire interval, except for a (representative) selection of more intensively studied species.

Further research needs to be conducted on improving mine-related compaction of rehabilitated soils, to improve development of an adequate rooting medium for both nonsprouters and resprouters, and to ensure species persistence before and after fires. Efficient ripping machinery and procedures may be required to relieve soil compaction. Research needs to be conducted on increasing the densities of recalcitrant resprouters on post-mined lands, including tissue culture/artificial planting schemes, and



assessing the viability of transplanting resprouters from natural areas ahead of mining fronts. Recalcitrant species may be identified via previous studies or by evaluating the rates of seedling establishment from seeds broadcast onto rehabilitated soils. Seeding rates, tubestock planting rates, and associated establishment and mortality rates of species introduced to rehabilitated areas need to be evaluated, so that the efforts (and investment) on species restoration can be evaluated as viable or not, and further research may be required to devise a more cost-efficient method. If manually harvesting *A. blakelyi* populations is not possible due to human constraints, then research would be required on how to minimize their populations, such as by biological control.

Research on ways to create more resilient restored shrubland communities is required as the mined lands revert to the public estate and fires return. Although some inferences are made on possible fire-return intervals which may be less harmful to species diversity on restored shrublands, its relationship needs to be studied in more detail, and other fire regime characteristics such as intensity and season of burning needs to be studied as existing research has shown that they are important in determining the response of post-mine plant communities (Hobbs and Atkins 1990; Grant and Loneragan 1999; 2001; Ross et al. 2004). Longer term monitoring of post-fire responses beyond the first two years is also needed before suitable fire regimes can be identified.

#### 6.4 Conclusions

The series of chapters presented in this report reveal that “complete restoration” of the pre-disturbed community has not yet been achieved in the shrubland communities restored after mineral-sand mining at Eneabba. Although it is commendable that some components of pre-fire vegetation diversity (e.g., species richness), composition, structure, and some functional attributes (e.g., nutrient levels) of natural analogue ecosystems have been restored to a marked extent, the returned ecosystem attributes were generally more similar to each other than to any other surrounding natural analogue. Furthermore, the fire responses of the restored vegetation were less resilient than that of the surrounding natural vegetation. As a result, our study indicated that the returned vegetation (to date) could be classified as “rehabilitated” or “partially restored” but not “completely restored” (Cairns 1993; EPA 1995; Lubke and Avis 1999; McDonald 2000) since complete restoration of the original/surrounding plant diversity, composition, structure, function, and their fire resilience capabilities have not yet been achieved. It may not be possible/realistic to achieve “complete restoration” since mining is such a disruptive disturbance type (Fox et al. 1996; Fox 1988; Ross et al. 2004; Koch and Hobbs 2007) that some complex ecological attributes may take centuries to recover. However, it is recommended that further refinement of restoration processes be undertaken to improve the overall success of restoration efforts – at least to ‘rehabilitate’ it to a state in which species diversity after fires can be retained or a self-sustaining community is achieved. Five key factors were identified that would contribute to the development of ‘natural-type’ shrublands on post-mined lands at Eneabba. These factors include: 1) restoration of a deeper topsoil and a looser subsoil

profile; 2) collection of proportionate amounts of only local provenance species, mulch and topsoil; 3) management of highly competitive species (e.g., *Acacia blakelyi*); 4) reseeding and replanting in subsequent years after the initial restoration treatments, including after initial fires; and 5) delaying the introduction of initial fires until the restored vegetation develops sufficient fire-resilience capabilities. Finally, it is recommended that completion criteria for post-mined lands in Mediterranean regions should also include the ability of the system to recover from fire, to ensure that an appropriate, self-sustaining analogue ecosystem has been established. In the case studied here, diversity (at the alpha level) was returned to satisfy completion criteria, but it is evident that new management approaches may be required to counter the potential negative effects of subsequent fires on important ecosystem properties.

## REFERENCES

- Adamson, D.A., and M.D. Fox. 1982. Changes to Australasian vegetation since European settlement. Pages 109-160 in J.M.B. Smith, editor. A history of Australasian vegetation, McGraw Hill, Sydney.
- Aronson, J., S. Dhillon, and E. Le Floch. 1995. On the need to select an ecosystem of reference, however imperfect; a reply to Pickett and Parker. *Restoration Ecology* 3:1-3.
- Aronson, J., E. Le Floch, C. Ovalle, and R. Pontanier. 1993. Restoration and rehabilitation of degraded ecosystems in arid and semi-arid lands. II. Case studies in southern Tunisia, central Chile and northern Cameroon. *Restoration Ecology* 3:168-187.
- Ashby, W.C. 1997. Soil ripping and herbicides enhance tree and shrub restoration on stripmines. *Restoration Ecology* 5:169-177.
- Auld, T.D. 1987. Population dynamics of the shrub *Acacia suaveolens* (Sm.) Wild.: Survivorship throughout the life cycle, a synthesis. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 12:139-151.
- Auld, T.D. 1990. The survival of juvenile plants of the resprouting shrub *Angophora hispida* (Myrtaceae) after a simulated low intensity fire. *Australian Journal of Botany* 38:255-260.
- Auld, T.D., and M.A. O'Connell. 1991. Predicting patterns of post-fire germination in 35 eastern Australian Fabaceae. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 16:53-70.
- Baxter, J.L. 1972. The geology of the Eneabba Area, Western Australia. *Western Australian Geological Survey Annual Report, 1971*, pp 61-65.
- Baxter, J.L. 1977. Heavy mineral sand deposits of Western Australia. *Mineral Resources Bulletin No. 10*, Geological Survey of Western Australia.
- Beard, J.S. 1984. Biogeography of the Kwongan. Pages 1-26 in J.S. Beard, and J.S. Pate, editors. *Kwongan: Plant Life of the Sandplain*. Nedlands: University of Western Australia Press.
- Bell, D.T., and D.S. Williams. 1998. Tolerance of thermal shock in seeds. *Australian Journal of Botany* 46:221-233.
- Bell, D.T., and R.J. Hobbs. 2007. Jarrah forest ecosystem restoration: a foreword. *Restoration Ecology* 15:1-2.
- Bell, D.T., and W.A. Loneragan. 1985. The relationship of fire and soil type to floristic patterns within heathland vegetation near Badgingarra, Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 67:98-109.
- Bell, D.T., A.J.M. Hopkins, and J.S. Pate. 1984. Fire in the kwongan. Pages 178-204 in J.S. Pate, and J.S. Beard, editors. *Kwongan – Plant Life of the Sandplain*. University of Western Australia Press, Nedlands.

- Bell, D.T., D.J. Carter, and R.E. Hetherington. 1986. Experimental assessment of wind erosion after soil stabilization treatments at Eneabba, Western Australia. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health* 8:99-104.
- Bell, D.T., J.A. Plummer, and S.K. Taylor. 1993. Seed germination ecology in southwestern Western Australia. *Botanical Review* 59:24-73.
- Bell, D.T., P.G. van der Moezel, J.C. Delfs, and W.A. Loneragan. 1987. Northern Sandplain Kwongan: effect of fire on *Hakea Obliqua* and *Beaufortia elegans* population structure. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 69:139-143.
- Bellairs, S.M. 1991. Seed biology, establishment ecology and vegetation development of northern sandplain kwongan vegetation after mineral sand mining near Eneabba, Western Australia. PhD Thesis, University of Western Australia, Perth, W.A.
- Bellairs, S.M., and D.T. Bell. 1993. Seed stores for restoration of species-rich shrubland vegetation following mining in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 1:231-240.
- Bellingham, P.J., and A.D. Sparrow. 2000. Resprouting as a life history strategy in woody plant communities. *Oikos* 89:409-416.
- Benwell, A.S. 1998. Post-fire seedling recruitment in coastal heathland in relation to regeneration strategy and habitat. *Australian Journal of Botany* 46:75-101.
- Bettenay, E. 1984. Origin and nature of the sandplains. Pages 51-68 in J.S. Beard, and J.S. Pate, editors. *Kwongan: Plant Life of the Sandplain*. University of Western Australia Press, Nedlands.
- Biswell, H.H. 1974. Effects of fire in chaparral. Pages 321-364 in T.T. Kozlowski, and C.E. Ahlgren, editors. *Fire and Ecosystems*. Academic Press, N.Y.
- Black, 1979. Mineral sands rehabilitation at Eneabba, W.A. Pages 69-74 in I.R.F. Hore-Lacy, editor. *Mining Rehabilitation-1979*. Australian Mining Industry Council, Dickson, Australian Capital Territory.
- Bloom, A.J., F.S. Chapin, and H.A. Mooney. 1985. Resource limitation in plants – an economic analogy. *Annual Review of Ecological Systems* 16:363-392.
- BoM [Bureau of Meteorology]. 2008. Climate statistics for Australian locations - Eneabba. Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, accessed 25 June 2008, [http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw\\_008225.shtml](http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/averages/tables/cw_008225.shtml).
- Bond, W.A. 1984. Fire survival of Cape Proteaceae-influence of fire season and seed predators. *Vegetatio* 56:65-74.
- Bond, W.J., and B.W. van Wilgen. 1996. *Fire and plants*. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Bond, W.J., and J.J. Midgley. 2001. Ecology of sprouting in woody plants: the persistence niche. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16:45-51.

- Booth, D.T., J.K. Gores, G.E. Schuman, and R.A. Olson. 1999. Shrub densities on pre-1985 reclaimed mine lands in Wyoming. *Restoration Ecology* 7:24-32.
- Bowen, B.J., and J.S. Pate. 1993. The significance of root starch postfire shoot recovery of the resprouter *Stirlingia latifolia* R.Br. (Proteaceae). *Annals of Botany* 72:7-16.
- Bradstock, R.A., and P.J. Myerscough. 1981. Fire effects of seed release and the emergence and establishment of seedlings in *Banksia ericifolia* L.f. *Australian Journal of Botany* 29:521-531.
- Bradstock, R.A., and P.J. Myerscough. 1988. The survival and population response to frequent fires of two woody resprouters *Banksia serrata* and *Isopogon anemonifolius*. *Australian Journal of Botany* 36:415-431.
- Braun-Blanquet, J. 1932. *Plant Sociology - The Study of Plant Communities*. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Braun-Blanquet, J. 1964. *Pflanzensoziologie, Grundzüge der Vegetationskunde*. 3. Auf. Springer, Wien-New York.
- Brewer, I.M., and R.J. Whelan. 2003. Changes in dune vegetation over 60 years in a sand mining area of the NSW lower North Coast. *Cunninghamia* 8:85-92.
- Brooks, M.L. 2002. Peak fire temperatures and effects on annual plants in the Mojave Desert. *Ecological Applications* 12:1088-1102.
- Burrows, N.D. 1985. Reducing the abundance of *Banksia grandis* in the jarrah forest by the use of controlled fire. *Australian Forestry* 48:63-70.
- Cairns, J. 1993. Is restoration ecology practical? *Restoration Ecology* 1:3-7.
- Camp, P. 1990. Rehabilitation after dune mining at Richards Bay Minerals. *South African Mining World*, October edition.
- Camp, P., and P.J. Weisser. 1991. Dune rehabilitation, flora and plant succession after mining at Richards Bay, South Africa. Pages 106-123 in D.A. Evarard, and G.P. von Maltitz, editors. *Dune Forest Dynamics in Relation to Land-Use Practices*. Pretoria.
- Chambers, J.C., J.A. MacMahon, and G.L. Wade. 1992. Differences in successional processes among biomes: importance in obtaining and evaluating reclamation success. Pages 59-72 in J.C. Chambers, and G.L. Wade, editors. *Evaluating Reclamation Success: the Ecological Considerations*. General Technical Report NE 164, Northeastern Forest Experimental Station, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Radnor, Pennsylvania.
- Chambers, J.C., R.W. Brown, and B.D. Williams. 1994. An evaluation of reclamation success on Idaho's phosphate mines. *Restoration Ecology* 2:4-16.
- Chapman, R., J. Collins, and A. Younger. 1996. Control of legumes in a species-rich meadow re-created on land restored after opencast coal mining. *Restoration Ecology* 4:407-411.

- Choi, Y.D. 2004. Theories for ecological restoration in the changing environment: Toward 'futuristic' restoration. *Ecological Research* 19:75-81.
- Christensen, N.L. 1985. Shrubland fire regimes and their evolutionary consequences. Pages 85-100 in S.T.A. Pickett, and P.S. White, editors. *The Ecology of Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics*. Academic Press, New York, NY.
- Clark, S.S. 1975. The effect of sand mining on coastal heath vegetation in New South Wales. *Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia* 9:1-16.
- Clewell, A.F. 1999. Restoration of riverine forest at Hall Branch on phosphate-mined land, Florida. *Restoration Ecology* 7:1-14.
- Collins, B.G., B.J. Wykes, and O. Nichols. 1985. Recolonization of restored bauxite minelands in south-western Australian forests. Pages 341-354 in A. Keast, H.F. Recher, J. Ford, and D. Saunders, editors. *Birds of Eucalypt Forest and Woodlands: Ecology, Conservation and Management*. Surrey Beatty and Sons, Chipping Norton.
- Comino, E., B.P. Miller, and N.J. Enright. 2004. Soil seedbanks in natural and restored box ironbark forests at Stawell Gold Mine, Victoria. *Pacific Conservation Biology* 10:9-20.
- Commander, D.P. 1978. Hydrogeology of the Eneabba bore hole line. *Geological Survey of Western Australia Annual Report 1977*, pp. 13-18.
- Connell, J.H. 1978. Diversity in tropical rainforests and tropical reefs. *Science* 199:1302-1310.
- Cooper, D.J., and L.H. MacDonald. 2000. Restoring the vegetation of mined peatlands in the southern rocky mountains of Colorado, U.S.A.
- Cowling, R.M., and B. Lamont. 1985. Variation in serotiny of three *Banksia* species along a climatic gradient. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 10:345-350.
- Cowling, R.M., and B. Lamont. 1987. Post-fire recruitment of four co-occurring *Banksia* species. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 24:645-658.
- Croft, P., J.T. Hunter, and N. Reid. 2008. Depletion of regenerative bud resources during cyclic drought: What are the implications for fire management? *Ecological Management and Restoration* 8:187-192.
- Croton, J.T., and G.L. Ainsworth. 2007. Development of a winged tine to relieve mining-related soil compaction after bauxite mining in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 15:48-53.
- Croton, J.T., and G.G. Watson. 1987. Mining related compaction—a case study in the Darling Range, Western Australia. *Environmental Research Bulletin* No. 14.
- Cruz, A., and J.M. Moreno. 2001a. Seasonal trends of total non-structural carbohydrates (TNC) in *Erica australis* and their relationship with plane water status. *Oecologia* 128:201-211.
- Cruz, A., and J.M. Moreno. 2001b. Lignotuber size of *Erica australis* and its relationship with soil resources. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 12:373-384.

- Cruz, A., B. Perez, J.R. Quintana, and J.M. Moreno. 2002. Resprouting in the Mediterranean-type shrub *Erica australis* affected by soil resource availability. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13:641-650.
- Davis, F.W., D.E. Hickson, D.C. Odion. 1988. Composition of maritime chaparral related to fire history and soil, Burton Mesa, Santa Barbara County, California. *Madrono* 35:169-195.
- Delfs, J.C., J.S. Pate, and D.T. Bell. 1987. Northern Sandplain Kwongan: community biomass and selected species response to fire. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 69:133-138.
- Dell, B., S. Jones, and I.M. Wallace. 1985. Phosphorus accumulation by lignotubers of jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata* Donn ex Sm.) seedlings grown in a range of soils. *Plant and Soil* 86:225-232.
- Diels, L. 1906. Die Pflanzenwelt von West-Australien Sudlich des Wendekreises. A. Engler, and O. Drude. *Die Vegetation der Erde*, W.Engelmann, Leipzig.
- Drake, J.A., H.A. Mooney, F. DiCasti, R.H. Groves, F.J. Kruger, M. Rejmanek, and M. Williamson. 1989. *Biological invasions: a global perspective*. Jon Wiley and Sons, Chichester.
- EMRC. 1996. A review of RGC mineral sands flora and fauna monitoring programme at Eneabba. Unpublished report, RGC Mineral Sands, Eneabba, Western Australia.
- Enright, N.J., and B.B. Lamont. 1989. Seed banks, fire season, safe sites and seedling recruitment in five co-occurring *Banksia* species. *Journal of Ecology* 77:1111-1122.
- Enright, N.J., and B.B. Lamont. 1992a. Recruitment variability in the resprouting shrub *Banksia attenuata* and non-sprouting congeners in the northern sandplain heaths of south-western Australia. *Acta Oecologica* 13:727-741.
- Enright, N.J., and B.B. Lamont. 1992b. Survival, growth and water relations of *Banksia* seedlings in a sand mine rehabilitation site and adjacent scrub-heath sites. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 29:663-671.
- Enright, N.J., B.B. Lamont, and R. Marsula. 1996. Canopy seed bank dynamics and optimum fire regime for the highly serotinous shrub, *Banksia hookeriana*. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 84:9-17.
- Enright, N.J., R. Marsula, B.B. Lamont, and C. Wissel. 1998. The ecological significance of canopy seed storage in fire-prone environments: a model for resprouting shrubs. *Journal of Ecology* 86:960-973.
- Enright, N.J., E. Mosner, B.P. Miller, N. Johnson, and B.B. Lamont. 2007. Soil versus canopy seed storage and plant species coexistence in species-rich shrublands of southwestern Australia. *Ecology* 88:2292-2304.

- Environment Protection Agency, EPA. 1995. Rehabilitation and revegetation. Best Practice Environmental Management in Mining. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- Environment Protection Agency, EPA. 2006. Rehabilitation of Terrestrial Ecosystems. Guidance for the Assessment of Environmental Factors. Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra.
- Fensham, R.J. 1990. Interactive effects of fire frequency and site factors in tropical Eucalyptus forests. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 15:255-266.
- Fox, M.D. 1988. Understorey changes following fire at Myall Lakes, New South Wales. *Cunninghamia* 2:85-96.
- Fox, B.J., and M.D. Fox. 1986b. The susceptibility of natural communities to invasion. Pages 57-66 in R.H. Groves, and J.J. Burdon, editors. *Ecology of Biological Invasions: an Australian Perspective*, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra.
- Fox, M.D., and B.J. Fox. 1986a. The effect of fire frequency on the structure and floristic composition of a woodland understorey. *Austral Ecology* 11:77-85.
- Fox, B.J., M.D. Fox, J.E. Taylor, J.P. Jackson, J. Simpson, P. Higgs, L. Rebec, and R. Avery. 1996. Comparison of regeneration following burning, clearing or mineral sand mining at Tomago, NSW: I. Structure and growth of vegetation. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 21:184-199.
- Friedel, M.H., B.D. Foran, and D.M. Stafford-Smith. 1990. Where the creeks run dry or ten feet high: pastoral management in arid Australia. *Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia* 16:185-194.
- Froend, R.H. 1987. Investigations into species richness patterns in the northern sandplain region of Western Australia. PhD Thesis, University of Western Australia, Perth, W.A.
- Gardner, C.A. 1944. The vegetation of Western Australia with particular reference to the climate and soils. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 28:11-87.
- Gardner, J.H., and D.T. Bell. 2007. Bauxite mining restoration by Alcoa World Alumina Australia in Western Australia: social, political, historical and environmental contexts. *Restoration Ecology* 207:3-8.
- George, A.S., A.J.M. Hopkins, and N.G. Marchant. 1979. The heathlands of Western Australia. Pages 211-228 in R.L. Specht, editor. *Ecosystems of the World 9A: Heathlands and Related Shrublands*. Elsevier scientific publishing company, Amsterdam.
- Gill, A.M. 1981. Adaptive responses of Australian vascular plant species to fire. Pages 243-272 in A.M. Gill, R.H. Groves, and I.R. Noble, editors. *Fire and the Australian Biota*, Australian Academy of Science, Canberra.



- Gleason, H.A. 1926. The individualistic concept of plant association. *Torreyia* 53:7-26.
- Gower, J.C. 1966. Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods used in multivariate analysis. *Biometrika* 53:325-338.
- Grant, C.D. 2006. State-and-transition successional model for bauxite mining rehabilitation in the jarrah forest of Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 14:28-37.
- Grant, C.D., and J.M. Koch. 1997. Ecological aspects of soil seed-banks in relation to bauxite mining. Twelve year old rehabilitation mines. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 22:177-184.
- Grant, C.D., and W.A. Loneragan. 1999. The effects of burning on the understorey composition of 11-13 year-old rehabilitated bauxite mines in Western Australia: vegetation characteristics. *Plant Ecology* 145:291-305.
- Grant, C.D., and W.A. Loneragan. 2001. The effect of burning on the understorey composition and vegetation succession of 11-13 year-old rehabilitation in Western Australia: community changes and vegetation succession. *Forest Ecology and Management* 145:255-279.
- Grant, C.D., and W.A. Loneragan. 2003. Using dominance-diversity curves to assess completion criteria after bauxite mining rehabilitation in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 11:103-109.
- Grant, C.D., M.A. Norman, and M.A. Smith. 2007. Fire and silvicultural management of restored bauxite mines in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 15:127-136.
- Grant, C.D., S.C. Ward, and S.C. Morley. 2007. Return of ecosystem function to restored bauxite mines in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 15:94-103.
- Grant, C.D., W.A. Loneragan, J.M. Koch, and D.T. Bell. 1997. Fire behaviour, fuel characteristics and vegetation structure of 11-15 year-old rehabilitated bauxite mines in Western Australia. *Australian Forestry* 60:147-157.
- Griffin, E.A., A.J.M. Hopkins, and R.J. Hnatiuk. 1983. Regional variation in Mediterranean-type shrublands near Eneabba, south-western Australia. *Vegetatio* 52:103-127.
- Griffin, E.A., and A.J.M. Hopkins. 1985. The flora and vegetation of Mt. Lesueur, Western Australia. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 67:45-57.
- Grime, J.P. 2001. *Plant Strategies, Vegetation Processes and Ecosystem Properties*, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Wiley, Chichester.
- Grime, J.P. 1979. *Plant Strategies and Vegetation Processes*. Wiley, Chichester.
- Groom, P.K., B.B. Lamont, and I. Wright. 2001. Lottery (stochastic) and non-lottery (biological) processes explain recruitment patterns among eight congeneric shrubs in southwestern Australia. *Journal of Mediterranean Ecology* 2:1-14.

- He, T., S. Krauss, B.B. Lamont, B.P. Miller, and N.J. Enright. 2004. Long distance seed dispersal in a metapopulation of *Banksia hookeriana* inferred from a population allocation analysis of AFLP data. *Molecular Ecology* 13:1099-1109.
- Herath, D.N., B.B. Lamont, N.J. Enright, and B.P. Miller. (in press) Comparison of post-mine rehabilitated and natural shrubland communities in southwestern Australia. *Restoration Ecology*.
- Hnatiuk, R.J., and A.J.M. Hopkins. 1981. An ecological analysis of kwongan vegetation south of Eneabba, Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 6:423-438.
- Hobbs, R.J., and L. Atkins 1990. Fire-related dynamics of a *Banksia* woodland in south-western Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Botany* 38:97-110.
- Holl, K.D. 2002. Long-term vegetation recovery on reclaimed coal surface mines in the eastern USA. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 39:960-970.
- Holl, K.D., and Cairns Jr., J. 1994. Vegetational community development on reclaimed coal surface mines in Virginia. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club*. 121:327-337.
- Holmes, P.M. 2001. Shrubland restoration following woody alien invasion and mining: effects of topsoil depth, seed source, and fertilizer addition. *Restoration Ecology* 9:71-84.
- Holmes, P.M., and D.M. Richardson. 1999. Protocols based on restoration and recruitment dynamics, ecosystem structure, and ecosystem function: perspectives from South African fynbos. *Restoration Ecology* 7:215-230.
- Holmes, P.M., and R.J. Newton. 2004. Patterns of seed persistence in South African fynbos. *Plant Ecology* 172:143-158.
- Hooper, R.J. 1985. Management strategies, particularly use of fire, for regenerated mined lands in northern Australian savannas. Pages 329-331 in J.C. Tothill, and J.J. Mott, editors. *Ecology and management of the world's savannas*. The Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, Australia.
- Hopkins, A.J.M., and Griffin, E.A. 1984. Floristic patterns. Pages 69-83 in J.S. Pate, and J.S. Beard, editors. *Kwongan – Plant Life of the Sandplain*. University of Western Australia Press, Nedlands.
- Huang, C., S.E. Marsh, M.P. Mclaran, and S.R. Archer. 2007. Postfire stand structure in a semiarid savanna: cross-scale challenges estimating biomass. *Ecological Applications* 17:1899-1910.
- Hurlbert, S.H. 1984. Pseudoreplication and the design of ecological field experiments. *Ecological Monographs* 54:187-211.
- Huston, M.A. 1994. *Biological Diversity – the coexistence of species in changing landscapes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Iwasa, Y., and T. Kubo. 1997. Optimal size of storage for recovery after unpredictable environments. *Evolutionary Ecology* 11:41-65.
- Jefferies, M.A., F.M. Nicholls, and A.E. Petersen. 1991. Rehabilitation after mining of diverse heathlands at Eneabba, Western Australia. Proceedings of the Australian Mining Industry Council Environmental Workshop, Perth W.A. October 1991, pp. 261-269.
- Keeley, J.E. 1991. Seed germination and life-history syndromes in the California chaparral. *The Botanical Review* 57:81-116.
- Keeley, J.E. 1992. Demographic structure of California chaparral in the long-term absence of fire. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 3:79-90
- Keeley, J.E., and S.C. Keeley. 1984. Energy allocation patterns of sprouting and non-sprouting species of *Arctostaphylos* in the Californian chaparral. *The American Midland Naturalist* 111:105-117.
- Keeley, J.E., C.S. Fotheringham, and M. Baer-Keeley. 2005. Determinants of postfire recovery and succession in Mediterranean-climate shrublands of southwestern Australia. *Ecological Applications* 15:1515-1534.
- Keeley, J.E., D. Lubin, and C.J. Fotheringham. 2003. Fire and grazing impacts on plant diversity in the southern Sierra Nevada. *Ecological applications* 13:1355-1374.
- Keeley, J.E., and S.C. Keeley. 1984. Energy allocation patterns of sprouting and non-sprouting species of *Arctostaphylos* in the Californian chaparral. *The American Midland Naturalist* 111:105-117.
- Keeley, S.A., and S.C. Keeley. 1987. The role of fire in the germination of chaparral herbs and suffrutescents. *Madrono* 34:240-249.
- Kelly, V.R., and V.T. Parker. 1990. Seed bank survival and dynamics in sprouting and nonsprouting *Arctostaphylos* species. *American Midland Naturalist* 124:114-123.
- Koch, J.M. 2007a. Restoring a jarrah forest understorey vegetation after bauxite mining in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 15:17-25.
- Koch, J.M. 2007b. Alcoa's mining and restoration process in southwestern Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 15:11-16.
- Koch, J.M., and S. Davies. 1993. The effect of a tall dense *Acacia* understorey on small shrub and herb species native to the jarrah forest. Alcoa of Australia, Environmental Research Bulletin No. 21.
- Koch, J.M., and S.C. Ward. 1994. Establishment of understorey vegetation for rehabilitation of bauxite-mined areas in the jarrah forest of Western Australia. *Journal of Environmental Management* 4:1-15.
- Koch, J.M., and R.J. Hobbs. 2007. Synthesis: is Alcoa successfully restoring a jarrah forest ecosystem after bauxite mining in Western Australia? *Restoration Ecology* 15:137-144.

- Koch, J.M., and S. Davies. 1993. The effect of a tall dense *Acacia* understorey on small shrub and herb species native to the jarrah forest. Alcoa of Australia, Environmental Research Bulletin No. 21.
- Koch, J.M., S.C. Ward, C.D. Grant, and G.L. Ainsworth. 1996. Effects of bauxite mine restoration operations on topsoil seed reserves in the jarrah forests of Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 4:501-512.
- Koch, J.M., J.R. Richardson, and B.B. Lamont. 2004. Grazing by kangaroos limits the establishment of the grass trees *Xanthorrhoea gracilis* and *X. preissii* in restored bauxite mines in eucalypt forest of southwestern Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 12:297-305.
- Krauss, S.L., and J.M. Koch. 2004. Rapid genetic delineation of provenance for plant community restoration. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 41:1162-1173.
- Kruger, F.J. 1983. Plant community diversity and dynamics in relation to fire. Pages 446-472 in F.J. Kruger, D.T. Mitchell, and J.U.M. Jarvis, editors. *Mediterranean-type Ecosystems: The Role of Nutrients*. Springer, Berlin.
- Kruger, F.J., and R.C. Bigalke. 1984. Fire in fynbos. Pages 67-114 in P.deV. Booyesen, and N.M. Tainton, editors. *Ecological Effects of Fire in South African Ecosystems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Lacey, S.T., P.D. Brennan, and J. Parekh. 2001. Deep may not be meaningful: cost and effectiveness of various ripping tine configurations in a plantations cultivation trial in eastern Australia. *New Forests* 21:231-248.
- Lamont, B.B. 1976. Report on a biological survey and recommendations for rehabilitating a portion of reserve 31030 to be mined for heavy minerals during 1975-81. WAIT-AID Ltd Perth, Western Australia. Unpublished report for Allied Eneabba Pty Ltd.
- Lamont, B.B., and D. Wiens. 2003. Is seed set and speciation always low among species that resprout after fire, and why? *Evolutionary Ecology* 17:277-292.
- Lamont, B.B., and M.J. Barker. 1988. Seed bank dynamics of a serotinous, non sprouting *Banksia* species. *Australian Journal of Botany* 36:193-203.
- Lamont, B.B., and S.M. Bergl. 1991. Water relations, shoot and root architecture, and phenology of three co-occurring *Banksia* species: no evidence for niche differentiation in the pattern of water use. *Oikos* 60:291-298.
- Lamont, B.B., and N.J. Enright. 2000. Adaptive advantages of aerial seed banks. *Plant Species Biology* 15:157-166.
- Lamont, B.B., and S.J. van Leeuwen. 1988. Seed production and mortality in a rare *Banksia* species. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 25:551-559.
- Lamont, B.B., A.J.M. Hopkins, and R.J. Hnatiuk. 1984. The Flora – composition, diversity and origins. Pages 27-50 in J.S. Pate, and J.S. Beard, editors. *Kwongan – Plant Life of the Sandplain*. University of Western Australia Press, Nedlands.

- Lamont, B.B., N.J. Enright, and S.M. Bergl. 1989. Coexistence and competitive exclusion of *Banksia hookeriana* in the presence of congeneric seedlings along a topographic gradient. *Oikos* 56:39-42.
- Lamont, B.B., R. Wittkuhn, and D. Korczynskyj. 2004. Ecology and ecophysiology of grasstrees. *Australian Journal of Botany* 52:561-582.
- Lamont, B.B., S. Downes, and J.E.D. Fox. 1977. Importance-value curves and diversity indices applied to a species-rich heathland in Western Australia. *Nature* 265:438-441.
- Lamont, B.B., S.W. Connell, and S.M. Bergl. 1991. Seed bank and population dynamics of *Banksia cuneata*: the role of time, fire and moisture. *Botanical Gazette* 152:114-122.
- Lamont, B.B., D.C. Le Maitre, R.M. Cowling, N.J. Enright. 1991. Canopy seed storage in woody plants. *Botanical review* 57:277-317.
- Lamont, B.B., T. He, N.J. Enright, S.L. Krauss, and B.P. Miller. 2003. Anthropogenic disturbance promotes hybridization between *Banksia* species by altering their biology. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 16:551-557.
- Lawrie, J. 1984. Regeneration at Weipa bauxite. *Mining Magazine* 150:206-213.
- Le Maitre, D.C., and J.J. Midgley. 1992. Plant reproductive ecology. Pages 135-174 in R.M. Cowling, editor. *Ecology of Fynbos Nutrients, Fire and Diversity*. Oxford University Press, Cape Town.
- Levin, S.A., and R.T. Paine. 1974. Disturbance, patch information, and community structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America* 71:2744-2747.
- Levitt, M. 1997. Putting fynbos back: the rehabilitation of Du Toitskloof. *Veld and Flora* 83:81-83.
- Lloret, F., M. Verdu, N.F. Flores-Hernandez, and A. Valiente-Banuet. 1999. Fire and resprouting in Mediterranean ecosystems: Insights from an internal biogeographical region, the Mexical shrubland. *American Journal of Botany* 86:1655-1661.
- Low, A.B., and B. Lamont. 1985. Nutrient allocation in native heath and rehabilitated communities. *Proceedings of a seminar on the plant ecology of the Eneabba heathlands*, Western Australian Institute of Technology, School of Biology Bulletin 10, pp. 12-19.
- Lowry, D.C. 1974. Geological survey of Western Australia. 1:250 000 Geological Series – Explanatory Notes. Dongara – Hill River, Western Australia (Sheet SH/50-5,9). Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra. pp. 33.
- Lubke, R.A., and A.M. Avis. 1999. A review of the concepts and application of rehabilitation following heavy mineral dune mining. *Marine Pollution Bulletin* 37:546-557.

- Majer, J.D., M. Sartori, R. Stone, and W.S. Perriman. 1982. Recolonisation by ants and other invertebrates in rehabilitated mineral sand mines near Eneabba, Western Australia. *Reclamation and Revegetation Research* 1:63-81.
- Marchant, N.G. 1973. Species diversity in the southwestern flora. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 56:23-30.
- Mattiske Consulting Pty Ltd (2004) Assessment of rehabilitated mining areas – Iluka Resources Ltd, Eneabba operations. Unpublished report prepared for Iluka Resources Ltd.
- McClaren, H. 2005. Is there a relationship between seed demography and spatial pattern, and what does this mean for the restoration of mined kwongan? B.Sc. Honours thesis. The University of Melbourne.
- McCune, B., and M.J. Mefford. 1999. PC-ORD Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data, Version 5, MjM Software Design, Oregon, USA.
- McDonald, T. 2000. When is the management ‘restoration’ and restoration, ‘management’? *Ecological Management and Restoration* 1:i.
- McKay, J.K., C.E. Christian, S. Harrison, and K.W. Dixon. 1995. *In vitro* propagation of Western Australian rushes (Restionaceae and related families) by embryo culture. Part 1. In vitro embryo culture. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 41:107-113.
- Mengler, F.C., G.A. Kew, R.J. Gilkes, and J.M. Koch. 2006. Using instrumented bulldozers to map spatial variation in the strength of regolith for bauxite mine floor rehabilitation. *Soil and Tillage Research* 90:126-144.
- Microsoft® Excel (2000) Microsoft Corporation
- Miller, B.P., N.J. Enright, and B.B. Lamont. 2007. Record error and range contraction, real and imagined, in the restricted shrub *Banksia hookeriana* in southwestern Australia. *Diversity and Distributions* 13:406-417.
- Moreno, J.M., and W.C. Oechel. 1991. Fire intensity and herbivory effects on postfire resprouting of *Adenostoma fasciculatum* in southern California chaparral. *Oecologia* 85:429-433.
- Morley, I.W. 1981. Black sands: A History of the Mineral Sand Mining Industry in Eastern Australia. University of Queensland Press, St Lucia.
- Nadeau, L.B., and D.J. Pluth. 1997. Spatial distribution of lodgepole pine and white spruce seedling roots 10 years after deep tillage of a gray luvisol. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 75:1606-1613.
- Nichols, O.G., B.A. Carbon, I.J. Colquhoun, J.T. Croton, and N.J. Murray. 1985. Rehabilitation after bauxite mining in south-western Australia. *Landscape planning* 12:75-92.
- Noble, I.R. 1984. Mortality of lignotuberous seedlings of Eucalyptus species after an intense fire in montane forest. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 9:47-50.

- Noble, I.R., and R.O. Slatyer. 1980. The use of vital attributes to predict successional changes in plant communities subject to recurrent disturbances. *Vegetatio* 43:5-21.
- Norman, M.A., J.M. Koch, C.D. Grant, T.K. Morald, and S.C. Ward. 2006. Vegetation succession after bauxite mining in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 14:278-288.
- Novacek, M.J., and E.E. Cleland. 2001. The current biodiversity extinction event: scenarios for mitigation and recovery. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 98 10:5466-5470.
- O'Connell, A.M., and T.S. Grove. 1996. Biomass production, nutrient uptake and nutrient cycling in the Jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) and Karri (*Eucalyptus diversicolor*) forests of south-western Australia. Pages 155-189 in P.M. Attiwill, and M.A. Adams, editors. *Nutrition of the Eucalypts*. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.
- Paczkowska, G., and A.R. Chapman. 2000. *The Western Australian Flora, A Descriptive Catalogue*. The Wildflower Society of Western Australia (inc.), the Western Australian Herbarium, CALM and the Botanic Gardens & Parks Authority.
- Parker, V.T. 1987. Effects of wet-season management burns on chaparral vegetation: implications for rare species. Pages 233-237 in T.S. Elias, editor. *Conservation and Management of Rare and Endangered plants*. California Native Plant Society, Sacramento, California, USA.
- Parsons, M.H., J.M. Koch, B.B. Lamont, S. Vlahos, and M. Fairbanks. 2006. Planting density effects and selective herbivory by kangaroos on species used in restoring forest communities. *Forest Ecology and Management* 229:39-49.
- Parsons, R.F., and D.G. Cameron. 1974. Maximum plant species diversity in terrestrial communities. *Biotropica* 6:202-203.
- Partridge, T.R. 1992. Vegetation recovery following sand mining on coastal dunes at Kaiforete Spit, Canterbury, New Zealand. *Biological Conservation* 61:59-71.
- Pielou, E.C. 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 13:131-144.
- Playford, P.E., A.E. Cockbain, and G.H. Low. 1976. *Geology of the Perth Basin, Western Australia*. Geological Survey of Western Australia Bulletin 124:311.
- Podani, J. 2001. *SYN-TAX 2000. Computer Programs for Data Analysis in Ecology and Systematics. User's Manual*. Scientia Publishing, Budapest.
- Pyke, G.H. 1983. Relationship between time since last fire and flowering in *Telopea speciosissima* R. Br. and *Lambertia formosa* Sm. *Australian Journal of Botany* 31:293-296.
- Rice, B., and M. Westoby. 1983. Species richness in vascular vegetation of the West head, N.S.W. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 8:163-168.

- Roche, S., J.M. Koch, and K.W. Dixon. 1997. Smoke enhanced seed germination for mine rehabilitation in the southwest of western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 5:191-203.
- Rokich, D.P., K.W. Dixon, K. Sivasithamparam, and K.A. Meney. 2002. Smoke, mulch, and seed broadcasting effects on woodland restoration in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 10:185-194.
- Ross, K.A., J.E. Taylor, M.D. Fox, and B.J. Fox. 2004. Interaction of multiple disturbances: importance of disturbance interval in the effects of fire on rehabilitating mined areas. *Austral Ecology* 29:508-529.
- Ruiz-Jaen, M.C., and T.M. Aide. 2005. Restoration success: how is it being measured? *Restoration Ecology* 13:569-577.
- Rundel, P.W., G.A. Baker, D.J. Parsons, and T.J. Stohlgren. 1987. Postfire demography of resprouting and seedling establishment by *Adenostoma fasciculatum* in the California chaparral. Pages 555-596 in J.D. Tenhunen, F.M. Catarino, O.L. Lange, and W.C. Oechel, editors. *Plant-Responses to Stress-Functional analysis in Mediteranean Ecosystems*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- SER (Society for Ecological Restoration International Science and Policy Working Group). 2004. *The SER International Primer of Ecological Restoration* (available from <http://www.ser.org>), accessed July 2008. Society for Ecological Restoration International, Tucson, Arizona.
- Shannon, C.E., and W. Weaver 1949. *The mathematical theory of communication*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana. pp. 117.
- Shaw, P.J.A. 1996. Role of seedbank substrates in the revegetation of fly ash and gypsum in the United Kingdom. *Restoration Ecology* 4:61-70.
- Shea, S.R., J. McCormick, and C.C. Portlock. 1979. The effect of fires on regeneration of leguminous species in the northern Jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata* Sm.) forest of Western Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 4:195-205.
- Simpson, E.H. 1949. Measurement of diversity. *Nature* 163:688.
- Smith, M.A. 2001. *Vegetation response to fire as an indicator of restoration success after bauxite mining in the jarrah forest of Western Australia*. PhD Thesis. The University of Western Australia, Department of Botany.
- Smith, M.A., W.A. Loneragan, C.D. Grant, and J.M. Koch. 2000. Effect of fire on the topsoil seedbanks of rehabilitated bauxite mine sites in the jarrah forest of Western Australia. *Ecological Management and Restoration* 1:50-60.
- SPSS, Inc. 2004. *SPSS Base 13.0 for Windows User's Guide*. SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois.



- Szota, C., E.J. Veneklaas, J.M. Koch, and H. Lambers. 2007. Root architecture of jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) trees in relation to postmine deep ripping in Western Australia. *Restoration Ecology* 15:65-73.
- Tacey, W.H., and B.L. Glossop 1980. Assessment of topsoil handling techniques for rehabilitation of sites mined for bauxite within the jarrah forest of Western Australia. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 17:195-201.
- Tyler, C.M. 1996. Relative importance of factors contributing to postfire seedling establishment in maritime chaparral. *Ecology* 77:2182-2195.
- Urbanska, K.M., N.R. Webb, and P.J. Edwards. 1997. Why restoration? Pages 3-7 in K.M. Urbanska, N.R. Webb, and P.J. Edwards, editors. *Restoration ecology and sustainable development*. University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Varelides, C., and T. Kritikos. 1995. Effect of site preparation intensity and fertilisation on *Pinus pinaster* survival and height growth on three sites in northern Greece. *Forest Ecology and Management* 73:111-115.
- van Aarde, R.J., A.M. Smit, and A.S. Claassens. 1998. Soil characteristics of rehabilitating and unmined coastal dunes at Richards Bay, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Restoration Ecology* 6:102-110.
- van Aarde, R.J., S.M. Ferreira, J.J. Kritzing, P.J. van Dyk, M. Vogt, and T.D. Wassenaar. 1996. An evaluation of habitat rehabilitation of coastal dune forests in northern Kwazulu Natal, South Africa. *Restoration Ecology* 4:334-345.
- Van der Heyden, F., and W.D. Stock. 1996. Regrowth of a semiarid shrub following simulated browsing: the role of reserve carbon. *Functional Ecology* 10:647-653.
- Van der Moezel, P.G., W.A. Loneregan, and D.T. Bell. 1987. Northern Sandplain Kwongan: Regeneration following fire, juvenile period and flowering phenology. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia* 69:123-132.
- Vigilante, T. 1997. Seed dispersal and grazing by kangaroos along habitat boundaries of rehabilitated bauxite pits. Pages 56-69 in 22<sup>nd</sup> Annual Environmental Workshop. Minerals Council of Australia, Canberra.
- Ward, S.C., J.M. Koch, and O.G. Nichols. 1990. Bauxite mine rehabilitation in the Darling Range, Western Australia. *Proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia* 16:557-565.
- Ward, S.C., J.M. Koch, and G.L. Ainsworth. 1996. The effect of timing of rehabilitation procedures on the establishment of a jarrah forest after bauxite mining. *Restoration Ecology* 4:19-24.
- Western Australian Herbarium. 1998+. FloraBase — The Western Australian Flora. Department of Environment and Conservation. URL <http://florabase.calm.wa.gov.au/>.

- Whelan, R.J. 1995. *The Ecology of Fire*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Whittaker, R.H., W.A. Niering, and M.D. Crisp. 1979. Structure, pattern and diversity of a mallee community in New South Wales. *Vegetatio* 39:65-76.
- Witkowski, E.T.F. 1991. Effects of invasive alien acacias on nutrient cycling in the coastal lowlands of the Cape fynbos. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 28:1-15.
- Witkowski, E.T.F., B.B. Lamont, and S.J. Connell. 1991. Seed bank dynamics of three co-occurring *Banksias* in south coastal Western Australia: the role of plant age, cockatoos, senescence and interfire establishment. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 39:385-397.
- Yang, J., S. He. Hong, and S.R. Shifley. 2008. Spatial controls of occurrence and spread of wildfires in the Missouri Ozark highlands. *Ecological Applications* 18:1212-1225.
- Zammit, C., and M. Westoby. 1987. Population structure and reproductive status of two *Banksia* shrubs at various times after fire. *Vegetatio* 70:11-20.
- Zedler, P.H., and C. Zammit. 1989. A population-based critique of concepts of change in the chaparral. Pages 73-83 in S.C. Keeley, editor. *The California Chaparral: Paradigms Reexamined*. Natural History museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles. C.A.
- Zedler, P.H., R.G. Clayton, and S. McMaster. 1983. Vegetation response to extreme events: the effect of a short interval between fires in California chaparral and coastal scrub. *Ecology* 64:809-818.

## APPENDICES

Appendix A: Pre-fire master list (actual cover values) for Chapters 2 and 3. Species nomenclature follows Paczkowska and Chapman 2000. WA Wildflower Society, Perth. R = Regeneration mode, G = Growth form, SB = seedbank storage mode, r = resprouter, n = nonsprouter, sh = shrub – woody plant plus grass-trees 1–2.5 m tall on average, ss = sub-shrub – woody plant <1 m tall on average, gm = graminoid or grass-like plant, hb = herb, p = parasite, S = soil seedbank storage, C = canopy seedbank storage, blank space = 0, ? = unknown. Description of how cover values were calculated is given in chapter 2 (under heading ‘Methods’).

Species	Family	High	Low	Swale	Lat	Lim	R8	R15	R16	R24	R	G	SB
<i>Acacia auronitens</i>	Mimosaceae							0.41	0.01		r	ss	S
<i>Acacia barbinervis</i> subsp. <i>borealis</i>	Mimosaceae	0.04	0.63	0.10					0.04	0.08	r	ss	S
<i>Acacia blakelyi</i>	Mimosaceae		0.25				36.44	6.75	12.16	10.18	n	sh	S
<i>Acacia fagonioides</i>	Mimosaceae		0.03	0.16	0.03				1.50		r	ss	S
<i>Acacia pulchella</i>	Mimosaceae						0.31	1.28	0.21	5.63	n	ss	S
<i>Acacia rostellifera</i>	Mimosaceae							0.10	0.22		r	sh	S
<i>Acacia saligna</i>	Mimosaceae						0.05				r	sh	S
<i>Acacia spathulifolia</i>	Mimosaceae					10.49					n	sh	S
<i>Acanthocarpus canaliculatus</i>	Dasypogonaceae							0.29	0.05	0.57	r	gm	S
<i>Acanthocarpus preissii</i>	Dasypogonaceae				0.00	0.30					r	gm	S
<i>Actinostrobilus acuminatus</i>	Cupressaceae	1.68		0.09					0.00		r	ss	C
<i>Actinostrobilus arenarius</i>	Cupressaceae								0.00		n	ss	C
<i>Adenanthos cygnorum</i> subsp. <i>cygnorum</i>	Proteaceae	8.96					4.81	2.75	11.01		n	sh	S
<i>Alexgeorgea nitens</i>	Restionaceae	0.56	0.06	0.33							r	gm	S
<i>Allocasuarina huegeliana</i>	Casuarinaceae						2.00				r	sh	C
<i>Allocasuarina humilis</i>	Casuarinaceae	0.55	1.16	0.35	0.08	0.05	0.53	0.44	0.37	2.69	r	ss	C
<i>Allocasuarina microstachya</i>	Casuarinaceae			0.96	3.86			0.10	0.05	0.40	r	ss	C
<i>Amphipogon</i> aff. <i>strictus</i>	Poaceae					0.23					r	gm	S

<i>Amphipogon</i> 'fine'	Poaceae	0.05								r	gm	S
<i>Amphipogon</i> 'hairy'	Poaceae				0.07					r	gm	S
<i>Amphipogon</i> sp.	Poaceae					0.03				r	gm	S
<i>Amphipogon strictus</i>	Poaceae	0.61		0.13	0.02		0.10	0.03	0.01	r	gm	S
<i>Amphipogon turbinatus</i>	Poaceae		0.06	0.02	0.66		0.05	0.24	0.39	r	gm	S
<i>Anarthria polyphylla</i>	Restionaceae			0.00						r	gm	S
<i>Andersonia heterophylla</i>	Epacridaceae	2.31	0.41	0.36			0.05	0.04		r	ss	S
<i>Anigozanthos humilis</i>	Haemodoraceae	0.00				0.02				r	gm	S
<i>Arnocrinum gracillimum</i>	Anthericaceae						0.10	0.05		r	hb	S
<i>Astroloma ciliatum</i>	Epacridaceae						0.10	0.00		n	ss	S
<i>Astroloma microdonta</i>	Epacridaceae		0.03			0.03	0.05	0.02	0.19	r	ss	S
<i>Astroloma pallidum</i>	Epacridaceae		0.03		0.01		0.10	0.19	0.01	r	ss	S
<i>Astroloma xerophyllum</i>	Epacridaceae	0.02	0.63	0.85		0.03	0.05			n	ss	S
<i>Austrostipa compressa</i>	Poaceae						0.05	0.09	0.06	n	gm	S
<i>Baeckea camphorosmae</i>	Myrtaceae			0.05	1.92					r	ss	S
<i>Banksia attenuata</i>	Proteaceae	13.19	15.63			6.28		0.37		r	sh	C
<i>Banksia candolleana</i>	Proteaceae	3.75	10.97			0.22	0.10			r	ss	C
<i>Banksia grossa</i>	Proteaceae					2.00			0.21	r	sh	C
<i>Banksia hookeriana</i>	Proteaceae	15.19						3.95	1.37	n	sh	C
<i>Banksia lanata</i>	Proteaceae	0.20				6.75				n	ss	C
<i>Banksia leptophylla</i> var. <i>melletica</i>	Proteaceae					8.77	6.28	16.50	14.04	n	ss	C
<i>Banksia menziesii</i>	Proteaceae	1.67	0.03							r	sh	C
<i>Beaufortia elegans</i>	Myrtaceae	4.12	2.75	4.50		0.44	1.30	1.38	2.77	n	ss	C
<i>Boronia cymosa</i>	Rutaceae				0.15					r	ss	S
<i>Boronia ramosa</i> subsp. <i>anethifolia</i>	Rutaceae					0.00		0.01	0.06	r	ss	S
<i>Boronia</i> sp. hairy	Rutaceae								0.00	n	ss	S
<i>Bossiaea eriocarpa</i>	Papilionaceae					0.57				n	ss	S
<i>Burchardia umbellata</i>	Colchicaceae			0.00					0.00	r	hb	S
<i>Calectasia narragara</i>	Dasypogonaceae	0.18	0.63	0.21	0.02					r	ss	S
<i>Calothamnus hirsutus</i>	Myrtaceae	0.11	1.75	0.64	0.75	0.63	1.75	1.37	2.19	r	ss	C
<i>Calothamnus longissimus</i>	Myrtaceae				4.10					r	ss	C
<i>Calothamnus quadrifidus</i>	Myrtaceae					5.54	0.10	1.13		r	ss	C

<i>Calothamnus torulosus</i>	Myrtaceae			0.27			1.03	0.08	0.17	r	ss	C	
<i>Calytrix brevifolia</i>	Myrtaceae	0.22								r	ss	S	
<i>Calytrix depressa</i>	Myrtaceae			1.65	0.45					r	ss	S	
<i>Calytrix flavescens</i>	Myrtaceae	0.50				0.03	1.22	0.17		n	sh	S	
<i>Calytrix fraseri</i>	Myrtaceae	0.12					0.05	0.00		r	ss	S	
<i>Calytrix sapphirina</i>	Myrtaceae	0.16								n	ss	S	
<i>Calytrix</i> sp.	Myrtaceae					0.17				n	ss	S	
<i>Calytrix</i> sp.1	Myrtaceae		0.22							n	ss	S	
<i>Calytrix superba</i>	Myrtaceae	0.61	0.04	1.03						r	ss	S	
<i>Cassythia aurea</i>	Lauraceae		0.25	0.39	0.05	0.02	0.10	0.19		n	p	S	
<i>Cassythia flava</i>	Lauraceae			0.60						n	p	S	
<i>Cassythia glabella</i>	Lauraceae		0.10	0.90	0.56	0.99	0.10	0.27	0.45	n	p	S	
<i>Cassythia 'hairy'</i>	Lauraceae						0.39			n	p	S	
<i>Cassythia pubescens</i>	Lauraceae		8.20				3.70	8.50	0.38	7.77	n	p	S
<i>Cassythia</i> sp.	Lauraceae	0.14								n	p	S	
<i>Caustis dioica</i>	Cyperaceae		0.97	2.64	0.92		0.15	0.09	2.10	r	gm	S	
<i>Comesperma confertum</i>	Polygalaceae			0.02						n	ss	S	
<i>Comesperma</i> sp. (blue)	Polygalaceae					0.04				n	ss	S	
<i>Commersonia pulchella</i>	Tremandraceae				0.08					r	ss	S	
<i>Conospermum incurvum</i>	Proteaceae	0.06	4.31				0.05	0.04	0.03	n	ss	S	
<i>Conospermum</i> sp.1	Proteaceae						0.10			r	ss	S	
<i>Conospermum stoechedis</i>	Proteaceae					1.52				r	ss	S	
<i>Conospermum wycherleyi</i>	Proteaceae	2.54	8.47	0.53	0.02		1.03	1.44	0.75	4.95	r	ss	S
<i>Conostephium magnum</i>	Epacridaceae								0.08	r	ss	S	
<i>Conostephium pendulum</i>	Epacridaceae		0.22				0.05	0.00	0.46	r	ss	S	
<i>Conostylis aculeata</i>	Haemodoraceae							0.09		n	gm	S	
<i>Conostylis androstemma</i>	Haemodoraceae				0.15				0.05	r	gm	S	
<i>Conostylis aurea</i>	Haemodoraceae	0.78	2.16	0.09	0.01		0.03	0.79	0.10	0.02	r	gm	S
<i>Conostylis candicans</i>	Haemodoraceae		0.22			3.71					r	gm	S
<i>Conostylis crassinervia</i> subsp <i>absens</i>	Haemodoraceae	0.36	2.16	0.17				0.05	0.02		n	gm	S
<i>Conostylis neocymosa</i>	Haemodoraceae							0.78	3.38	1.62	n	gm	S
<i>Conostylis setigera</i>	Haemodoraceae	0.08	0.06	0.01				0.44		0.01	n	gm	S

<i>Conostylis</i> sp.4 (hairy)	Haemodoraceae	0.18	2.16	0.20	0.01			0.01	0.04	n	gm	S	
<i>Conothamnus trinervis</i>	Myrtaceae			2.07		0.31		0.01		r	ss	C	
<i>Corynotheca micrantha</i>	Anthericaceae	0.16								r	hb	S	
<i>Cristonia biloba</i>	Papilionaceae				0.20			0.00	0.01	r	ss	S	
<i>Cryptandra myriantha</i>	Rhamnaceae				0.00				0.02	r	ss	S	
<i>Cryptandra</i> sp. linear	Rhamnaceae							0.01		r	ss	S	
<i>Cryptandra</i> sp. long	Rhamnaceae							0.00		r	ss	S	
<i>Cryptandra</i> sp.1	Rhamnaceae					0.29				r	ss	S	
<i>Cyperaceae</i> sp.1	Cyperaceae				2.20			0.00		r	gm	S	
<i>Cyperaceae</i> sp.2	Cyperaceae				0.03					r	gm	S	
<i>Dampiera carinata</i>	Goodeniaceae		0.06	0.03	0.69		0.10	0.00	0.06	r	hb	S	
<i>Dampiera spicigera</i>	Goodeniaceae			0.32	0.17			0.03		r	hb	S	
<i>Danthonia</i> sp.	Poaceae						0.05	0.05	0.05	n	gm	S	
<i>Darwinia neildiana</i>	Myrtaceae	0.71	0.69	0.88		0.21	0.05	0.10	0.24	0.20	r	ss	S
<i>Darwinia speciosa</i>	Myrtaceae	0.04	1.53	0.18	0.10					0.01	r	ss	S
<i>Davesia triflora</i>	Papilionaceae		1.38							r	ss	S	
<i>Daviesia decurrens</i>	Papilionaceae				0.11				0.09	r	ss	S	
<i>Daviesia divaricata</i>	Papilionaceae	1.19	0.03			0.41	0.20	0.00	0.01	r	ss	S	
<i>Daviesia nudiflora</i>	Papilionaceae	0.20	2.25	1.30					0.11	r	ss	S	
<i>Daviesia pedunculata</i>	Papilionaceae			1.12						r	ss	S	
<i>Daviesia quadrilatera</i>	Papilionaceae	0.32						0.15	0.52	r	ss	S	
<i>Daviesia triflora</i>	Papilionaceae	1.69	1.38				0.15	0.10		r	ss	S	
<i>Desmocladius</i> 'flat'	Restionaceae							0.05		r	ss	S	
<i>Desmocladius parthenicus</i>	Restionaceae							0.02		r	ss	S	
<i>Desmocladius semiplanus</i>	Restionaceae	1.69	10.44	0.08		4.93	0.15	0.10	0.12	0.28	r	gm	S
<i>Dianella revoluta</i> var. <i>divaricata</i>	Phormiaceae					0.03				r	gm	S	
<i>Diplolaena angustifolia</i>	Rutaceae								0.17	r	ss	S	
<i>Diplopeltis huegelii</i> subsp. <i>subintegra</i>	Sapindaceae					1.06				r	ss	S	
<i>Drosera menziesii</i>	Droseraceae	0.03								r	hb	S	
<i>Drosera</i> sp.	Droseraceae			0.02						r	hb	S	
<i>Dryandra bipinnatifida</i> subsp. <i>multifida</i>	Proteaceae			0.04						r	ss	C	
<i>Dryandra carlinoides</i>	Proteaceae			0.29	1.32		0.05	4.00	0.02	0.11	n	ss	C

<i>Dryandra falcata</i>	Proteaceae												r	sh	C
<i>Dryandra fraseri</i>	Proteaceae					1.33							r	ss	C
<i>Dryandra lindleyana</i> subsp. <i>Media</i>	Proteaceae		1.75				0.18	0.29	0.74	0.02			r	ss	C
<i>Dryandra nobilis</i>	Proteaceae	0.01				0.09							r	ss	C
<i>Dryandra polycephala</i>	Proteaceae							0.05					n	ss	C
<i>Dryandra sessilis</i> var. <i>cygnorum</i>	Proteaceae						1.43				0.39		n	ss	C
<i>Dryandra shuttleworthiana</i>	Proteaceae	0.14	2.66	3.30	1.56		1.96	3.25	1.02	0.31			r	ss	C
<i>Dryandra stenoprion</i>	Proteaceae	0.02		0.42				0.10	0.10	0.03			r	ss	C
<i>Dryandra tortifolia</i>	Proteaceae	0.36	0.08							0.00	0.05		r	ss	C
<i>Dryandra tridentata</i>	Proteaceae			0.68			0.10	0.29		0.04			r	ss	C
<i>Ecdeiocolea monostachya</i>	Ecdeiocoleaceae			5.92	11.30			0.25	0.15	18.10			r	gm	S
<i>Eremaea acutifolia</i>	Myrtaceae							0.25		0.03			r	ss	C
<i>Eremaea beaufortoides</i>	Myrtaceae	5.56	4.00	0.75	0.78		0.05	2.84	1.42	3.52			r	ss	C
<i>Eremaea ebracteata</i> var. <i>ebracteata</i>	Myrtaceae	1.00		0.34				0.05	0.06	0.15			r	ss	C
<i>Eremaea pauciflora</i>	Myrtaceae		0.03				0.05						r	ss	C
<i>Eremaea violacea</i> subsp. <i>violacea</i>	Myrtaceae	0.03	0.74	1.20			0.05	0.72	0.21	1.87			r	ss	C
<i>Eucalyptus megacarpa</i>	Myrtaceae							0.10	0.25				r	sh	C
<i>Eucalyptus tetragona</i>	Myrtaceae						3.38	0.20	0.78	0.54			r	sh	C
<i>Eucalyptus todtiana</i>	Myrtaceae		2.94							0.21			r	sh	C
<i>Gastrolobium acutum</i>	Proteaceae				0.03				0.34				r	ss	S
<i>Gastrolobium capitatum</i>	Proteaceae			0.00	0.41	1.40		0.10	0.13	2.07			r	ss	S
<i>Geleznovia verrucosa</i>	Rutaceae								0.00	0.01			n	ss	S
<i>Georgeantha hexandra</i>	Ecdeiocoleaceae			0.80	5.69					0.03			r	gm	S
<i>Gompholobium knightianum</i>	Papilionaceae							0.97		0.10			n	ss	S
<i>Gompholobium purpureum</i>	Papilionaceae								0.00				n	ss	S
<i>Gompholobium shuttleworthii</i>	Papilionaceae	0.01											n	ss	S
<i>Gompholobium tomentosum</i>	Papilionaceae					3.82		0.20	0.88	0.31			n	ss	S
<i>Goodenia filiformis</i>	Goodeniaceae		0.03	0.13				0.15					r	hb	S
<i>Grevillea eriostachya</i>	Proteaceae	0.12	0.78				0.06	0.10	0.77	0.54			r	ss	S
<i>Grevillea integrifolia</i>	Proteaceae								0.41	0.00			r	ss	S
<i>Grevillea thelemanniana</i>	Proteaceae					0.61							r	ss	S
<i>Grevillea vestita</i> subsp. <i>isopogoides</i>	Proteaceae					0.07							n	ss	S

<i>Haemodorum simplex</i>	Haemodoraceae			0.04	0.05					r	gm	S	
<i>Haemodorum spicatum</i>	Haemodoraceae			0.02						r	gm	S	
<i>Hakea auriculata</i>	Proteaceae							0.10	0.04	r	ss	C	
<i>Hakea candolleana</i>	Proteaceae			0.13	0.01		0.10	0.09	0.11	r	ss	C	
<i>Hakea conchifolia</i>	Proteaceae						0.53			r	sh	C	
<i>Hakea costata</i>	Proteaceae			0.27		1.99	0.25	1.22	0.69	0.19	r	ss	C
<i>Hakea eneabba</i>	Proteaceae	0.48	0.10	0.39					0.04	0.01	r	ss	C
<i>Hakea flabellifolia</i>	Proteaceae						0.05	0.03	0.47		r	ss	C
<i>Hakea incrassata</i>	Proteaceae			0.49	2.18	0.26	0.05	0.10	0.33	1.74	r	ss	C
<i>Hakea lissocarpa</i>	Proteaceae				0.34	0.21					r	ss	C
<i>Hakea polyanthema</i>	Proteaceae	0.45	10.81	0.59						0.15	n	sh	C
<i>Hakea prostrata</i>	Proteaceae			0.07		0.02	0.10	0.15	0.05	0.08	r	ss	C
<i>Hakea psilorrhyncha</i>	Proteaceae	1.34							0.02	0.04	n	sh	C
<i>Hakea smilacifolia</i>	Proteaceae						0.20				n	sh	C
<i>Hakea spathulata</i>	Proteaceae				0.56						r	ss	C
<i>Hakea stenocarpa</i>	Proteaceae				0.05		0.05				r	ss	C
<i>Hakea trifurcata</i>	Proteaceae					5.68	0.05	0.10	0.60		n	sh	C
<i>Hemiandra pungens</i>	Lamiaceae	0.22	1.03	0.07					0.02	0.00	r	ss	S
<i>Hemigenia sp.</i>	Lamiaceae						0.98				r	ss	S
<i>Hibbertia crassifolia</i>	Dilleniaceae	0.73	1.09	1.23	2.71		0.10	1.41	0.27	1.23	r	ss	S
<i>Hibbertia huegelii</i>	Dilleniaceae							0.88	0.02	0.52	r	ss	S
<i>Hibbertia aff. hypericoides</i>	Dilleniaceae	10.09	1.77	2.85	3.50	14.73	0.44	0.98	0.33	2.25	r	ss	S
<i>Hibbertia sp.3</i>	Dilleniaceae				0.20						r	ss	S
<i>Hibbertia spicata</i> subsp. <i>spicata</i>	Dilleniaceae		0.47		0.45	4.34			0.00		r	ss	S
<i>Hibbertia subvaginata</i>	Dilleniaceae						0.81	0.05	0.13	1.06	n	ss	S
<i>Hovea pungens</i>	Papilionaceae				0.08						n	ss	S
<i>Hybanthus calycinus</i>	Violaceae					0.04					r	hb	S
<i>Hybanthus floribundus</i> subsp. Hill River	Violaceae				0.01						n	hb	S
<i>Hypocalymma xanthopetalum</i>	Myrtaceae	0.09	0.91	0.19	0.04			0.10	0.00	0.05	r	ss	S
<i>Isopogon adenanthoides</i>	Proteaceae								0.01	0.05	n	ss	C
<i>Isopogon divergens</i>	Proteaceae				1.88						r	ss	C
<i>Isopogon tridens</i>	Proteaceae	0.15	2.06	1.17			0.05	0.39	0.61	0.42	r	ss	C



<i>Isotropis cuneatus</i>	Papilionaceae		0.02							r	ss	C	
<i>Isotropis cuneifolia</i> subsp. <i>cuneifolia</i>	Papilionaceae									r	ss	S	
<i>Jacksonia</i> sp.1	Papilionaceae						3.52			r	ss	S	
<i>Jacksonia calcicola</i>	Papilionaceae							0.00			ss	S	
<i>Jacksonia fasciculata</i>	Papilionaceae					6.99				n	sh	S	
<i>Jacksonia floribunda</i>	Papilionaceae	1.45	3.59	0.04			1.56	2.44	1.33	4.08	r	ss	S
<i>Jacksonia hakeoidies</i>	Papilionaceae		0.38								r	ss	S
<i>Jacksonia restioides</i>	Papilionaceae	0.01		0.21	0.01						r	ss	S
<i>Johnsonia pubescens</i>	Anthericaceae	0.13							0.00		n	hb	S
<i>Kennedia prostrata</i>	Papilionaceae								0.00		n	ss	S
<i>Labichea cassioides</i>	Caesalpiniaceae					8.70		0.06			r	ss	S
<i>Lachnostachys ferruginea</i>	Lamiaceae.		0.10					0.10		0.10	r	ss	S
<i>Lambertia multiflora</i>	Proteaceae	0.00					4.00		0.51	2.28	r	ss	C
<i>Lasiopetalum drummondii</i>	Sterculiaceae	2.20		0.02			0.05			0.10	r	ss	S
<i>Laxmannia omnifertilis</i>	Anthericaceae	0.02									n	hb	S
<i>Laxmannia sessiliflora</i> subsp. <i>drummondii</i>	Anthericaceae					0.26		0.20	0.03	0.01	n	hb	S
<i>Lechenaultia linarioides</i>	Goodeniaceae					0.40					r	hb	S
<i>Lepidobolus chaetocephalus</i>	Restionaceae								0.24	0.23	r	gm	S
<i>Lepidobolus preissianus</i> subsp. <i>preissianus</i>	Restionaceae	4.68		5.96	0.40		0.05		0.08	0.04	r	gm	S
<i>Lepidobolus</i> sp.	Restionaceae					1.19					r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'flat'	Cyperaceae					0.16					r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 2	Cyperaceae	0.68									r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'branched'	Cyperaceae						0.20	0.15			r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'cluster'	Cyperaceae		1.31				0.05	0.03			r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'fine'	Cyperaceae		0.84				0.05	0.72	0.03		r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'flat'	Cyperaceae				0.09			1.06	1.01	0.27	r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'hairy tuff'	Cyperaceae			0.50							r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'round'	Cyperaceae		0.06		0.05	1.23	0.10		0.31	0.73	r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma scabrum</i>	Cyperaceae	0.90		1.25					0.04		r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> sp.2	Cyperaceae							0.15			r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> sp.3	Cyperaceae			1.72							r	gm	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'spikey'	Cyperaceae						0.20	0.05	0.18		r	gm	S

<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'spiney'	Cyperaceae						0.29			r	gm	S	
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'wirey'	Cyperaceae			0.50						r	gm	S	
<i>Leptospermum oliganrum</i>	Myrtaceae			0.38	0.03		0.15	0.10	0.24	2.19	r	ss	S
<i>Leptospermum spinescens</i>	Myrtaceae	0.12	1.44	0.15	0.01		0.64	0.10	0.07	0.49	r	ss	C
<i>Leucopogon</i> sp.6	Epacridaceae	0.02									n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> aff. <i>hispidus</i>	Epacridaceae	1.09									n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> aff. <i>tenuis</i>	Epacridaceae	0.01									r	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon biflor</i>	Epacridaceae								0.07	0.04	n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon ciliatum</i>	Epacridaceae								0.03		n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon conostephioides</i>	Epacridaceae	0.71	0.05	0.11			0.15				n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon gracillimus</i> (recurved ericoid)	Epacridaceae	0.79	0.22	0.18		0.45	0.39	0.07	0.21		n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon hispidus</i>	Epacridaceae			0.02					0.03		n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon oxycedrus</i>	Epacridaceae					0.09					n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> 'small'	Epacridaceae								0.08		n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> sp.	Epacridaceae		1.57								n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> sp.3	Epacridaceae					0.28					n	ss	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> sp.5	Epacridaceae					0.02					n	ss	S
<i>Lobelia gibbosa</i>	Lobeliaceae						0.10				n	hb	S
<i>Lobelia</i> sp.	Lobeliaceae								0.00		n	hb	S
<i>Lomandra hastilis</i>	Dasypogonaceae	0.04	0.22	0.21		0.00			0.03		r	gm	S
<i>Lomandra micrantha</i>	Dasypogonaceae	0.14		0.48	0.00	0.26		0.02	0.05		r	gm	S
<i>Lomandra</i> sp.3	Dasypogonaceae			0.01	0.02						r	gm	S
<i>Lomandra</i> sp.4	Dasypogonaceae				0.00						r	gm	S
<i>Lomandra</i> 'spiral'	Dasypogonaceae								0.00		r	gm	S
<i>Lyginia barbata</i>	Restionaceae	3.16	0.05	0.93							r	gm	S
<i>Lyginia imberbis</i>	Restionaceae		0.15		0.05			0.60	0.15		r	gm	S
<i>Lyginia</i> sp.1	Restionaceae						0.10				r	gm	S
<i>Lysinema ciliatum</i>	Epacridaceae	0.17	2.28	0.02		0.29		0.02	0.06		n	ss	S
<i>Melaleuca</i> aff. <i>leuropoma</i>	Myrtaceae					1.50	1.00	2.00	1.00		n	sh	C
<i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i>	Myrtaceae	4.74	15.50	4.11	2.09	9.40	30.00	24.40	8.94	12.45	r	ss	C
<i>Melaleuca</i> 'ovate'	Myrtaceae								0.04		r	sh	C
<i>Melaleuca scabra</i>	Myrtaceae				3.27		0.05	0.00	0.11		r	ss	C

<i>Melaleuca trichophylla</i>	Myrtaceae			1.30	2.04		1.91	3.25	0.51	1.96	r	ss	C
<i>Melaleuca uncinata</i>	Myrtaceae								0.04		r	sh	C
<i>Melaleuca viminea</i>	Myrtaceae								0.79		r	ss	C
<i>Mesomelaena pseudostygia</i>	Cyperaceae	2.59	1.97		0.99	0.61	0.20	0.29	0.26	3.09	r	gm	S
<i>Mesomelaena stygia</i> subsp. <i>deflexa</i>	Cyperaceae	0.35	0.22	4.90				0.25	0.01	0.21	r	gm	S
<i>Mesomelaena tetragona</i>	Cyperaceae		2.16	1.16	0.15			0.25	0.02	1.18	r	gm	S
<i>Mirbelia</i> sp.	Papilionaceae				0.00			0.15	0.00		r	ss	S
<i>Monotaxis bracteata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0.03		0.01						0.02	r	hb	S
<i>Nemcia capitata</i>	Papilionaceae		0.41			1.30	0.10	1.41			r	ss	S
<i>Neurachne alopecuroidea</i>	Poaceae					0.01					r	hb	S
<i>Nuytsia floribunda</i>	Loranthaceae								0.04		r	sh	NS
<i>Olax benthamiana</i>	Olcaceae		1.27								n	ss	S
<i>Opercularia</i> sp.	Rubiaceae		0.09								r	hb	S
<i>Opercularia spermacocea</i>	Rubiaceae					0.15					r	hb	S
<i>Opercularia vaginata</i>	Rubiaceae				0.25	0.15					r	hb	S
<i>Patersonia juncea</i>	Iridaceae								0.00	0.00	n	gm	S
<i>Patersonia occidentalis</i>	Iridaceae					0.18					r	ss	C
<i>Patersonia</i> sp.	Iridaceae				0.02				0.00		r	gm	S
<i>Pericalymma erubescens</i>	Myrtaceae		0.98								r	sh	S
<i>Persoonia</i> 'flat'	Proteaceae						0.49		0.00		r	ss	S
<i>Persoonia</i> sp. Twisty	Proteaceae									0.00	r	ss	S
<i>Petrophile brevifolia</i>	Proteaceae	0.29	0.69	1.00	0.28	0.01	0.29	5.97	2.62	0.78	r	ss	C
<i>Petrophile drummondii</i>	Proteaceae	4.37	3.00	0.05			7.81	3.53	1.62	0.40	n	ss	C
<i>Petrophile linearis</i>	Proteaceae		0.10	0.07			0.09				r	ss	C
<i>Petrophile macrostachya</i>	Proteaceae	0.46	3.14	0.46	0.08		0.05			0.29	r	ss	C
<i>Petrophile rigida</i>	Proteaceae				0.93						r	ss	C
<i>Petrophile scabriuscula</i>	Proteaceae			0.51				0.10		0.07	n	ss	C
<i>Petrophile serruriae</i>	Proteaceae		0.10			0.11	0.05	0.05			n	ss	C
<i>Philotheca spicata</i>	Rutaceae						0.05				n	ss	S
<i>Phyllanthus</i> sp.	Euphorbiaceae				0.03						r	ss	S
<i>Phymatocarpus porphyrocephalus</i>	Myrtaceae	0.55						0.25	0.21	0.21	r	ss	C
<i>Pileanthus filifolius</i>	Myrtaceae	4.24	0.10	2.39	0.01		0.10	0.05		0.02	r	ss	S

<i>Pimelea leucantha</i>	Thymeleaceae	0.02	0.10	0.04	0.05						r	ss	S
<i>Pimelea sulphurea</i>	Thymeleaceae			0.38							r	ss	S
<i>Pityrodia bartlingii</i>	Lamiaceae	0.02									r	ss	S
<i>Pterostylis nana</i>	Orchidaceae	0.01									n	gm	S
<i>Ptilotus</i> sp.	Amaranthaceae					0.15					n	ss	S
Restio sp. (white)	Restionaceae			0.27							r	gm	S
Restio sp.1	Cyperaceae	0.39		0.38					0.01		r	gm	S
Restio 'spike'	Restionaceae							0.18	0.07		r	gm	S
<i>Scaevola canescens</i>	Goodeniaceae	0.00	1.47		0.18	2.61		0.10	0.01	0.01	r	hb	S
<i>Scaevola eneabba</i>	Goodeniaceae					1.20					n	ss	S
<i>Schoenus</i> sp.1	Cyperaceae	0.02	0.39	0.31	1.10	0.99	0.05	0.25	0.02	0.71	r	gm	S
<i>Schoenus subbulbosus</i>	Cyperaceae	0.01		0.26	0.04			0.10	0.00	0.01	r	gm	S
<i>Scholtzia capitata</i>	Myrtaceae		0.59	0.10			0.05	0.05	1.05	0.14	r	ss	S
<i>Scholtzia involucrata</i>	Myrtaceae	0.83	2.09	0.95	2.39		0.13	0.98	0.02		r	ss	S
<i>Scholtzia laxiflora</i>	Myrtaceae								0.55	2.13	r	ss	S
<i>Scholtzia umbellifera</i>	Myrtaceae					1.37					r	ss	S
<i>Sphaerolobium</i> sp.	Euphorbiaceae									0.00	n	ss	S
<i>Stachystemon axillaris</i>	Euphorbiaceae	0.01							0.02	0.00	r	ss	S
<i>Stenanthemum notiale</i> subsp. <i>chamelum</i>	Rhamnaceae					0.18			0.00		n	ss	S
<i>Stenanthemum pomaderroides</i>	Rhamnaceae	0.01									n	ss	S
<i>Sterculiaceae</i> sp.1	Sterculiaceae				0.02						r	ss	S
<i>Stirlingia latifolia</i>	Proteaceae	0.55	1.77					0.10	0.14		r	ss	S
<i>Stylidium adpressum</i>	Stylidiaceae			0.01							n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium brunonianum</i>	Stylidiaceae					0.03			0.00		r	hb	S
<i>Stylidium ciliatum</i> aff. <i>piliferum</i>	Stylidiaceae				0.00						n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium crossocephalum</i>	Stylidiaceae	0.18	0.44	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.00	n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium flagellum</i>	Stylidiaceae		0.25			0.46		0.10			n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium junceum</i>	Stylidiaceae	0.01									n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium kalbarriense</i>	Stylidiaceae					0.00					n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium repens</i>	Stylidiaceae	0.04		0.05	0.01				0.07	0.02	n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium</i> sp. hairy	Stylidiaceae				0.00						n	hb	S
<i>Stylidium</i> sp. rosette	Stylidiaceae				0.00						n	hb	S

<i>Synaphea spinulosa</i>	Proteaceae	0.34				0.01		0.03	0.03	r	ss	S
<i>Tetralia octandra</i>	Cyperaceae					2.02	0.05	0.00	0.09	r	gm	S
<i>Thryptomene</i> sp.	Myrtaceae				0.36					r	ss	S
<i>Thysanotus fastigiatus</i>	Anthericaceae	0.27	0.05	0.52	0.41		0.10			r	ss	S
<i>Thysanotus sparteus</i>	Anthericaceae	0.24	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.07			0.03	r	ss	S
<i>Thysanotus triandrus</i>	Anthericaceae			0.01					0.00	r	ss	S
<i>Trachymene coerulea</i> subsp <i>leucopetala</i>	Apiaceae					0.00				n	hb	S
<i>Trachymene leucopetala</i>	Apiaceae						0.29			n	hb	S
<i>Tricoryne elatior</i>	Anthericaceae	0.01		0.05	0.03	0.20			0.02	r	hb	S
<i>Tricoryne</i> -like	Anthericaceae								0.00	r	hb	S
<i>Triodia longipalea</i>	Poaceae					0.16				r	gm	S
<i>Tripterococcus brunonis</i>	Stackhousiaceae								0.00	n	hb	S
Unknown R15	?						0.34					
Unknown R16	?						0.05					
Unknown R17	?						0.05					
Unknown R18	?						0.05					
Unknown R19	?						0.10					
Unknown R20	?						0.05					
Unknown sp R1	?								0.04			
Unknown sp R2	?								0.13			
Unknown sp R3	?								0.23			
Unknown sp R4	?								0.04			
Unknown sp.1	?	0.00								?	hb	?
Unknown sp.3	?	0.00								n	?	?
Unknown sp.4	?	0.00								?	?	?
Unknown sp.5	?	0.00								n	?	?
Unknown sp.8	?		0.39							?	?	?
<i>Verreauxia reinwardtii</i>	Goodeniaceae						0.05	0.49		r	sh	S
<i>Verticordia</i> 7	Myrtaceae	0.01								n	ss	S
<i>Verticordia argentea</i>	Myrtaceae	0.13					0.05	0.44		n	sh	S
<i>Verticordia aurea</i>	Myrtaceae						0.34	0.18	0.11	n	ss	S
<i>Verticordia</i> 'bushy'	Myrtaceae								0.13	?	sh	S

<i>Verticordia chrysanthella</i>	Myrtaceae				0.20					r	ss	S
<i>Verticordia densiflora</i> subsp <i>caespitosa</i>	Myrtaceae	0.09	1.69	1.15	0.08	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.14	r	ss	S
<i>Verticordia grandis</i>	Myrtaceae	2.37	1.69			0.15	0.05			r	ss	S
<i>Verticordia monodelpha</i>	Myrtaceae	0.01								r	ss	S
<i>Verticordia nobilis</i>	Myrtaceae	0.01	0.20			0.05				r	ss	S
<i>Verticordia ovalifolia</i>	Myrtaceae	0.13								n	ss	S
<i>Verticordia pennigera</i>	Myrtaceae				0.27					r	ss	S
<i>Xanthorrhoea acanthostachya</i>	Xanthorrhoeaceae	0.07		0.90	0.27		0.10	0.01		r	ss	C
<i>Xanthosia huegelii</i>	Apiaceae	0.01		0.02		0.08			0.09	n	hb	S
<i>Xylomelum angustifolium</i>	Proteaceae		1.22							r	sh	C
<u>348 spp.</u>	<u>Total cover</u>	<u>125</u>	<u>169</u>	<u>79</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>143</u>	<u>122</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>120</u>		

Appendix B: Post-fire master list (presence = 1, absence = blank) for Chapter 3. R = Regeneration mode, SB = seedbank storage mode, r = resprouter, n = nonsprouter, S = soil seedbank storage, C = canopy seedbank storage, ? = unknown. N1 = High dune, N2 = Swale, N3 = Low dune, N4 = Laterite, N5 = Limestone, R1 = R8, R2 = R15, R3 = R24.

Species	Family	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5	R1	R2	R3	R	SB
<i>Acacia barbinervis</i> subsp. <i>borealis</i>	Mimosaceae	1	1	1					1	r	S
<i>Acacia blakelyi</i>	Mimosaceae			1			1	1	1	n	S
<i>Acacia fagonioides</i>	Mimosaceae		1		1				1	r	S
<i>Acacia pulchella</i>	Mimosaceae							1	1	n	S
<i>Acacia spathulifolia</i>	Mimosaceae					1				n	S
<i>Acanthocarpus canaliculatus</i>	Dasyopogonaceae								1	r	S
<i>Acanthocarpus preissii</i>	Dasyopogonaceae				1	1				r	S
<i>Actinostrobos acuminatus</i>	Cupressaceae	1	1		1					r	C
<i>Adenanthos cygnorum</i> subsp. <i>cygnorum</i>	Proteaceae	1					1		1	n	S
<i>Alexgeorgea nitens</i>	Restionaceae	1	1	1						r	S
<i>Allocasuarina humilis</i>	Casuarinaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	r	C
<i>Allocasuarina microstachya</i>	Casuarinaceae		1		1					r	C
<i>Amphipogon</i> aff. <i>strictus</i>	Poaceae					1				r	S
<i>Amphipogon</i> 'fine'	Poaceae	1								r	S
<i>Amphipogon</i> 'hairy'	Poaceae				1					r	S
<i>Amphipogon</i> sp.	Poaceae					1				r	S
<i>Amphipogon strictus</i>	Poaceae	1	1	1	1			1	1	r	S
<i>Amphipogon turbinatus</i>	Poaceae	1	1	1	1			1	1	r	S
<i>Anarthria polyphylla</i>	Restionaceae		1							r	S
<i>Andersonia heterophylla</i>	Epacridaceae	1	1	1	1	1		1		r	S
<i>Anigozanthos humilis</i>	Haemodoraceae	1		1		1		1	1	r	S
<i>Anigozanthus manglesii</i>	Haemodoraceae						1			r	S
<i>Astroloma ciliatum</i>	Epacridaceae							1		n	S
<i>Astroloma microdonta</i>	Epacridaceae		1	1	1	1				r	S
<i>Astroloma pallidum</i>	Epacridaceae	1	1	1	1				1	r	S
<i>Astroloma xerophyllum</i>	Epacridaceae		1	1	1				1	n	S
<i>Austrostipa compressa</i>	Poaceae						1			n	S

<i>Baeckea camphorosmae</i>	Myrtaceae		1		1				r	S	
<i>Banksia attenuata</i>	Proteaceae	1		1			1		r	C	
<i>Banksia candolleana</i>	Proteaceae	1		1			1		r	C	
<i>Banksia grossa</i>	Proteaceae						1		r	C	
<i>Banksia hookeriana</i>	Proteaceae	1		1				1	n	C	
<i>Banksia lanata</i>	Proteaceae	1							n	C	
<i>Banksia leptophylla</i> var. <i>melletica</i>	Proteaceae					1		1	n	C	
<i>Banksia menziesii</i>	Proteaceae	1		1					r	C	
<i>Beaufortia elegans</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1				1	n	C	
<i>Boronia cymosa</i>	Rutaceae					1			r	S	
<i>Boronia ramosa</i> subsp. <i>anethifolia</i>	Rutaceae			1		1		1	r	S	
<i>Bossiaea eriocarpa</i>	Papilionaceae					1			n	S	
<i>Bracteantha</i> sp.	Colchicaceae					1			r	S	
<i>Briza maxima</i>	Poaceae						1		n	S	
<i>Burchardia congesta</i>	Colchicaceae				1	1		1	r	S	
<i>Burchardia umbellata</i>	Colchicaceae		1					1	r	S	
<i>Byblis lamellata</i>	Byblidaceae		1						n	S	
<i>Caladenia</i> sp.	Orchidaceae						1	1	1	r	S
<i>Caladenia flava</i>	Orchidaceae						1	1	1	r	S
<i>Calectasia narragara</i>	Dasygogonaceae	1	1	1	1				r	S	
<i>Calothamnus hirsutus</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1				1	r	C	
<i>Calothamnus longissimus</i>	Myrtaceae				1				r	C	
<i>Calothamnus quadrifidus</i>	Myrtaceae					1		1	r	C	
<i>Calothamnus sanguineus</i>	Myrtaceae		1		1	1			r	C	
<i>Calothamnus torulosus</i>	Myrtaceae		1					1	r	C	
<i>Calytrix brevifolia</i>	Myrtaceae			1					r	S	
<i>Calytrix depressa</i>	Myrtaceae		1		1				r	S	
<i>Calytrix eneabbensis</i>	Myrtaceae		1						r	S	
<i>Calytrix flavescens</i>	Myrtaceae	1						1	n	S	
<i>Calytrix fraseri</i>	Myrtaceae	1							r	S	
<i>Calytrix sapphirina</i>	Myrtaceae	1							n	S	
<i>Calytrix</i> sp.	Myrtaceae					1			n	S	
<i>Calytrix</i> sp.1	Myrtaceae		1						n	S	
<i>Calytrix</i> sp.3	Myrtaceae					1			?	S	



<i>Calytrix superba</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1						r	S
<i>Carpobrotus</i> sp.	Aizoaceae					1	1	1	n	S
<i>Cassytha aurea</i>	Lauraceae		1				1		n	S
<i>Cassytha glabella</i>	Lauraceae		1						n	S
<i>Cassytha 'noby'</i>	Lauraceae							1	n	S
<i>Caustis dioica</i>	Cyperaceae		1	1	1			1	r	S
<i>Comesperma drummondii</i>	Polygalaceae		1						n	S
<i>Comesperma</i> sp.	Polygalaceae				1				n	S
<i>Commersonia pulchella</i>	Tremandraceae				1				r	S
<i>Conospermum incurvum</i>	Proteaceae	1		1					n	S
<i>Conospermum stoechedis</i>	Proteaceae					1			r	S
<i>Conospermum wycherleyi</i>	Proteaceae	1	1	1	1		1	1	r	S
<i>Conostephium pendulum</i>	Epacridaceae			1				1	r	S
<i>Conostylis androstemma</i>	Haemodoraceae				1				r	S
<i>Conostylis aurea</i>	Haemodoraceae	1	1	1	1		1	1	r	S
<i>Conostylis candicans</i>	Haemodoraceae	1		1		1			r	S
<i>Conostylis crassinervia</i> subsp <i>absens</i>	Haemodoraceae	1		1				1	n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.4 (hairy)	Haemodoraceae			1					n	S
<i>Conostylis neocymosa</i>	Haemodoraceae							1	n	S
<i>Conostylis setigera</i>	Haemodoraceae	1	1	1				1	n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.	Haemodoraceae			1					n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.4 (hairy)	Haemodoraceae	1	1		1				n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.R1	Haemodoraceae							1	n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.1	Haemodoraceae		1		1				n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.2	Haemodoraceae		1						n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.3	Haemodoraceae					1			n	S
<i>Conostylis</i> sp.5	Haemodoraceae					1			n	S
<i>Conothamnus trinervis</i>	Myrtaceae		1			1	1		r	C
<i>Corynotheca micrantha</i>	Anthericaceae	1							r	S
<i>Cristonia biloba</i>	Papilionaceae			1					r	S
<i>Cryptandra myriantha</i>	Rhamnaceae			1	1			1	r	S
<i>Cryptandra</i> sp.1	Rhamnaceae					1			r	S
<i>Cyperaceae</i> sp.1	Cyperaceae			1					r	S
<i>Cyperaceae</i> sp.2	Cyperaceae			1					r	S

<i>Dampiera carinata</i>	Goodeniaceae	1	1	1		1	1	r	S	
<i>Dampiera</i> sp.	Goodeniaceae						1	r	S	
<i>Dampiera spicigera</i>	Goodeniaceae	1		1			1	r	S	
<i>Danthonia</i> sp.	Poaceae						1	n	S	
<i>Darwinia neildiana</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1	r	S	
<i>Darwinia speciosa</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1		1	r	S	
<i>Davesia quadrilatera</i>	Papilionaceae	1				1	1	r	S	
<i>Davesia triflora</i>	Papilionaceae			1				r	S	
<i>Daviesia decurrens</i>	Papilionaceae				1		1	r	S	
<i>Daviesia divaricata</i>	Papilionaceae	1		1				r	S	
<i>Daviesia nudiflora</i>	Papilionaceae	1	1	1				r	S	
<i>Daviesia pedunculata</i>	Papilionaceae		1					r	S	
<i>Daviesia triflora</i>	Papilionaceae	1		1				r	S	
<i>Desmocladus semiplanus</i>	Restionaceae	1						r	S	
<i>Desmocladus semiplanus</i>	Restionaceae		1	1	1	1	1	r	S	
<i>Dianella revoluta</i> var. <i>divaricata</i>	Phormiaceae				1			r	S	
<i>Diplolaena angustifolia</i>	Rutaceae						1	r	S	
<i>Diplopeltis huegelii</i> subsp. <i>subintegra</i>	Sapindaceae				1			r	S	
<i>Dryandra bipinnatifida</i> subsp. <i>multifida</i>	Proteaceae		1					r	C	
<i>Dryandra carlinoides</i>	Proteaceae		1		1		1	1	n	C
<i>Dryandra falcata</i>	Proteaceae					1		r	C	
<i>Dryandra fraseri</i>	Proteaceae				1			r	C	
<i>Dryandra lindleyana</i> subsp. <i>Media</i>	Proteaceae		1	1	1	1	1	r	C	
<i>Dryandra nobilis</i>	Proteaceae	1			1			r	C	
<i>Dryandra sessilis</i> var. <i>cygnorum</i>	Proteaceae				1			n	C	
<i>Dryandra shuttleworthiana</i>	Proteaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	r	C
<i>Dryandra stenoprion</i>	Proteaceae	1	1					1	r	C
<i>Dryandra torifolia</i>	Proteaceae	1		1				r	C	
<i>Dryandra tridentata</i>	Proteaceae		1					1	r	C
<i>Ecdeiocolea monostachya</i>	Ecdeiocoleaceae		1		1		1	1	r	S
<i>Eremaea beaufortoides</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	r	C
<i>Eremaea ebracteata</i> var. <i>ebracteata</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1					r	C	
<i>Eremaea violacea</i> subsp. <i>violacea</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1				1	r	C
<i>Eucalyptus megacarpa</i>	Myrtaceae					1	1	r	C	

<i>Eucalyptus tetragona</i>	Myrtaceae					1	1	1	r	C	
<i>Eucalyptus todtiana</i>	Myrtaceae		1					1	r	C	
<i>Gastrolobium capitatum</i>	Proteaceae	1		1	1		1	1	r	S	
<i>Geleznovia verrucosa</i>	Rutaceae						1	1	n	S	
<i>Georgeantha hexandra</i>	Ecdeiocoleaceae			1					r	S	
<i>Gompholobium knightianum</i>	Papilionaceae						1	1	n	S	
<i>Gompholobium shuttleworthii</i>	Papilionaceae	1							n	S	
<i>Gompholobium tomentosum</i>	Papilionaceae				1		1	1	n	S	
<i>Goodenia filiformis</i>	Goodeniaceae	1	1	1					r	S	
<i>Grevillea eriostachya</i>	Proteaceae	1		1				1	r	S	
<i>Grevillea integrifolia</i>	Proteaceae							1	r	S	
<i>Grevillea thelemanniana</i>	Proteaceae				1				r	S	
<i>Gyrostemon racemiger</i>	Gyrostemonaceae				1				n	S	
<i>Haemodorum simplex</i>	Haemodoraceae	1	1		1				r	S	
<i>Haemodorum spicatum</i>	Haemodoraceae		1						r	S	
<i>Hakea auriculata</i>	Proteaceae							1	r	C	
<i>Hakea candolleana</i>	Proteaceae		1		1		1		r	C	
<i>Hakea conchifolia</i>	Proteaceae						1		r	C	
<i>Hakea costata</i>	Proteaceae		1			1		1	r	C	
<i>Hakea eneabba</i>	Proteaceae	1	1	1					r	C	
<i>Hakea flabellifolia</i>	Proteaceae						1		r	C	
<i>Hakea incrassata</i>	Proteaceae		1		1	1	1	1	r	C	
<i>Hakea lissocarpa</i>	Proteaceae				1	1			r	C	
<i>Hakea polyanthema</i>	Proteaceae	1	1	1					n	C	
<i>Hakea prostrata</i>	Proteaceae		1			1		1	r	C	
<i>Hakea psilorrhyncha</i>	Proteaceae	1							n	C	
<i>Hakea spathulata</i>	Proteaceae				1				r	C	
<i>Hakea stenocarpa</i>	Proteaceae				1		1		r	C	
<i>Hakea trifurcata</i>	Proteaceae					1			n	C	
<i>Hemiandra pungens</i>	Lamiaceae	1	1	1					r	S	
<i>Hemigenia</i> sp.	Lamiaceae						1		r	S	
<i>Hibbertia crassifolia</i>	Dilleniaceae	1	1	1				1	r	S	
<i>Hibbertia huegelii</i>	Dilleniaceae							1	1	r	S
<i>Hibbertia</i> aff. <i>hypericoides</i>	Dilleniaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	r	S

<i>Hibbertia</i> sp.3	Dilleniaceae				1					r	S
<i>Hibbertia spicata</i> subsp. <i>spicata</i>	Dilleniaceae		1	1	1	1		1		r	S
<i>Hibbertia subvaginata</i>	Dilleniaceae						1	1	1	n	S
<i>Hovea pungens</i>	Papilionaceae				1					n	S
<i>Hybanthus calycinus</i>	Violaceae					1				r	S
<i>Hypocalymma xanthopetalum</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1				1	r	S
<i>Isopogon divergens</i>	Proteaceae				1					r	C
<i>Isopogon tridens</i>	Proteaceae	1	1	1				1		r	C
<i>Isotropis cuneatus</i>	Papilionaceae		1	1		1			1	r	C
<i>Isotropis cuneifolia</i> subsp. <i>cuneifolia</i>	Papilionaceae				1	1		1		r	S
<i>Jacksonia</i> sp.1	Papilionaceae							1		r	S
<i>Jacksonia fasciculata</i>	Papilionaceae					1				n	S
<i>Jacksonia floribunda</i>	Papilionaceae	1		1			1	1	1	r	S
<i>Jacksonia hakeoidies</i>	Papilionaceae			1				1		r	S
<i>Jacksonia restioides</i>	Papilionaceae	1	1		1					r	S
<i>Jacksonia</i> sp.	Papilionaceae					1				r	S
<i>Johnsonia pubescens</i>	Anthericaceae	1								n	S
<i>Kennedia prostrata</i>	Papilionaceae						1		1	n	S
<i>Lachnostachys ferruginea</i>	Lamiaceae.			1				1		r	S
<i>Lambertia multiflora</i>	Proteaceae	1					1		1	r	C
<i>Lasiopetalum drummondii</i>	Sterculiaceae	1	1						1	r	S
<i>Laxmannia omnifertilis</i>	Anthericaceae	1	1					1	1	n	S
<i>Laxmannia sessiliflora</i> subsp. <i>drummondii</i>	Anthericaceae	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	n	S
<i>Laxmannia</i> sp.	Anthericaceae				1					n	S
<i>Lechenaultia linarioides</i>	Goodeniaceae					1		1		r	S
<i>Lepidobolus chaetocephalus</i>	Restionaceae								1	r	S
<i>Lepidobolus preissianus</i> subsp. <i>preissianus</i>	Restionaceae	1	1		1				1	r	S
<i>Lepidobolus</i> sp.	Restionaceae					1				r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> "flat"	Cyperaceae					1				r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 2	Cyperaceae	1								r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'branched'	Cyperaceae							1		r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'cluster'	Cyperaceae			1				1		r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'fine'	Cyperaceae			1				1		r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'flat'	Cyperaceae				1			1	1	r	S

<i>Lepidosperma</i> 'round'	Cyperaceae			1	1	1	1			r	S
<i>Lepidosperma scabrum</i>	Cyperaceae	1	1							r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> sp.2	Cyperaceae							1		r	S
<i>Lepidosperma</i> sp.3	Cyperaceae		1							r	S
<i>Leporella fimbriata</i>	Orchidaceae				1					n	S
"Lepto cono"	Cyperaceae				1					r	S
<i>Leptospermum</i> "hairy" sp.	Myrtaceae								1	r	C
<i>Leptospermum oliganrum</i>	Myrtaceae		1			1		1	1	r	S
<i>Leptospermum spinescens</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1		1		1	r	C
<i>Leucopogon</i> aff. <i>hispidus</i>	Epacridaceae	1								n	S
<i>Leucopogon conostephioides</i>	Epacridaceae	1	1	1	1			1		n	S
<i>Leucopogon gracillimus</i> (recurved ericoid)	Epacridaceae	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	n	S
<i>Leucopogon hispidus</i>	Epacridaceae		1							n	S
<i>Leucopogon oxycedrus</i>	Epacridaceae					1				n	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> 'small'	Epacridaceae								1	n	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> sp.	Epacridaceae			1						n	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> sp.3	Epacridaceae					1				n	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> sp.5	Epacridaceae	1								n	S
<i>Leucopogon</i> 'tall'	Epacridaceae				1					n	S
<i>Lobelia</i> sp.	Lobeliaceae			1						n	S
<i>Lomandra hastilis</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	1	1	1		1				r	S
<i>Lomandra micrantha</i>	Dasyopogonaceae	1	1			1	1			r	S
<i>Lomandra</i> sp.3	Dasyopogonaceae		1			1				r	S
<i>Lyginia barbata</i>	Restionaceae	1	1	1						r	S
<i>Lyginia imberbis</i>	Restionaceae	1		1	1					n	S
<i>Lysinema ciliatum</i>	Epacridaceae		1							n	S
<i>Macarthuria australis</i>	Molluginaceae						1	1		n	S
<i>Melaleuca</i> aff. <i>leuropoma</i>	Myrtaceae						1	1		r	C
<i>Melaleuca leuropoma</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	r	C
<i>Melaleuca</i> 'ovate'	Myrtaceae								1	r	C
<i>Melaleuca scabra</i>	Myrtaceae				1				1	r	C
<i>Melaleuca trichophylla</i>	Myrtaceae		1		1		1	1	1	r	C
<i>Mesomelaena pseudostygia</i>	Cyperaceae	1		1	1	1		1	1	r	S
<i>Mesomelaena stygia</i> subsp. <i>deflexa</i>	Cyperaceae	1	1	1						r	S

<i>Mesomelaena tetragona</i>	Cyperaceae	1	1	1				1	r	S	
<i>Mirbelia</i> sp.	Papilionaceae			1					r	S	
<i>Mirbelia spinosa</i>	Papilionaceae		1					1	r	S	
<i>Monotaxis bracteata</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1	1					1	r	S	
<i>Monotaxis</i> sp.	Euphorbiaceae				1				n	S	
Myrtaceae sp.2	Myrtaceae	1							?	?	
Myrtaceae sp.3	Myrtaceae	1							?	?	
<i>Nemcia capitata</i>	Papilionaceae			1	1	1		1	1	r	S
<i>Neurachne alopecuroidea</i>	Poaceae					1				r	S
<i>Olax benthamiana</i>	?			1						n	S
<i>Opercularia</i> sp.	Rubiaceae			1						r	S
<i>Opercularia</i> sp.1	Rubiaceae					1				r	S
<i>Opercularia spermacocea</i>	Rubiaceae				1	1				r	S
<i>Opercularia vaginata</i>	Rubiaceae				1	1				r	S
<i>Patersonia occidentalis</i>	Iridaceae					1				r	C
<i>Patersonia</i> sp.	Iridaceae				1					r	S
<i>Pericalymma erubescens</i>	Myrtaceae			1						r	S
<i>Petrophile brevifolia</i>	Proteaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	r	C
<i>Petrophile drummondii</i>	Proteaceae	1		1			1	1	1	n	C
<i>Petrophile linearis</i>	Proteaceae		1							r	C
<i>Petrophile macrostachya</i>	Proteaceae	1	1	1	1		1		1	r	C
<i>Petrophile rigida</i>	Proteaceae				1					r	C
<i>Petrophile scabriuscula</i>	Proteaceae		1							n	C
<i>Petrophile serruriae</i>	Proteaceae		1			1		1		n	C
<i>Phyllanthus</i> sp.	Euphorbiaceae				1					r	S
<i>Phymatocarpus porphyrocephalus</i>	Myrtaceae	1								r	C
<i>Pileanthus filifolius</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1					r	S
<i>Pimelea leucantha</i>	Thymeliaceae	1		1						r	S
<i>Pimelea</i> species	Thymeleaceae					1				r	S
<i>Pimelea suaveolens</i>	Thymeleaceae							1		n	S
<i>Pimelea sulphurea</i>	Thymeleaceae		1						1	r	S
<i>Pityrodia bartlingii</i>	Lamiaceae	1								r	S
<i>Pterostylis nana</i>	Orchidaceae				1		1	1	1	n	S
<i>Restio</i> sp. (white)	Restionaceae		1							r	S

<i>Restio</i> sp.1	Cyperaceae	1	1							r	S
<i>Scaevola canescens</i>	Goodeniaceae	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	r	S
<i>Scaevola eneabba</i>	Goodeniaceae					1				n	S
<i>Scaevola paludosa</i>	Goodeniaceae			1	1	1			1	r	S
<i>Scaevola</i> species	Goodeniaceae					1				r	S
<i>Schoenus</i> sp.1	Cyperaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	r	S
<i>Schoenus subbulbosus</i>	Cyperaceae	1	1						1	r	S
<i>Scholtzia capitata</i>	Myrtaceae	1		1				1	1	r	S
<i>Scholtzia involucrata</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	r	S
<i>Scholtzia laxiflora</i>	Myrtaceae								1	r	S
<i>Scholtzia umbellifera</i>	Myrtaceae					1		1		r	S
<i>Sowerbaea laxiflora</i>	Anthericaceae	1	1	1		1		1	1	r	S
<i>Sphaerolobium</i> sp.	Euphorbiaceae							1		n	S
Spiky unknown	?					1				?	?
<i>Stachystemon axillaris</i>	Euphorbiaceae	1								r	S
<i>Stackhousia</i> sp.	Stackhousiaceae							1		n	S
<i>Sterculiaceae</i> sp.1	Sterculiaceae				1					r	S
<i>Stipa elegantissima</i>	Poaceae					1				r	S
<i>Stirlingia latifolia</i>	Proteaceae	1		1						r	S
<i>Stylidium "leucopogon"</i>	Stylidiaceae					1				n	S
<i>Stylidium adpressum</i>	Stylidiaceae		1							n	S
<i>Stylidium blue</i>	Stylidiaceae				1	1				n	S
<i>Stylidium brunonianum</i>	Stylidiaceae	1		1		1			1	r	S
<i>Stylidium ciliatum</i> aff. <i>piliferum</i>	Stylidiaceae	1			1					n	S
<i>Stylidium crossocephalum</i>	Stylidiaceae	1	1	1	1	1	1		1	n	S
<i>Stylidium flagellum</i>	Stylidiaceae			1	1	1				n	S
<i>Stylidium kalbarriense</i>	Stylidiaceae				1	1				n	S
<i>Stylidium repens</i>	Stylidiaceae	1	1	1	1			1		n	S
<i>Stylidium short</i>	Stylidiaceae		1		1	1				n	S
<i>Stylidium</i> sp. rosette	Stylidiaceae				1					n	S
<i>Stylidium</i> sp.1	Stylidiaceae		1		1	1				n	S
<i>Stylidium</i> sp.2	Stylidiaceae					1				n	S
<i>Synaphea spinulosa</i>	Proteaceae			1		1				r	S
<i>Tersonia brevipes</i>	Gyrostemonaceae					1				n	S

<i>Tetraria octandra</i>	Cyperaceae					1			r	S
<i>Thryptomene</i> sp.	Myrtaceae					1			r	S
<i>Thysanotus fastigiatus</i>	Anthericaceae	1	1	1	1				r	S
<i>Thysanotus patersonii</i>	Anthericaceae			1	1	1	1		r	S
<i>Thysanotus sparteus</i>	Anthericaceae	1	1	1	1	1			r	S
<i>Thysanotus</i> species	Anthericaceae				1	1			r	S
<i>Thysanotus triandrus</i>	Anthericaceae	1	1				1	1	r	S
<i>Trachymene leucopetala</i>	?						1		n	S
<i>Tricoryne elatior</i>	Anthericaceae	1	1		1	1		1	r	S
<i>Tricoryne excelsior</i>	Anthericaceae							1	r	S
<i>Triodia longipalea</i>	Poaceae					1			r	S
<i>Tripterococcus brunonis</i>	Stackhousiaceae		1						n	S
Unknown C1	?	1							?	?
Unknown C2	?	1							?	?
Unknown C9	?					1			?	?
Unknown dicot	?	1							?	?
Unknown grass	Poaceae				1				?	?
Unknown myrtaceous	Myrtaceae				1				?	?
Unknown sp.R2	?							1	?	?
Unknown sp.R4	?							1	?	?
Unknown sp.7	?						1		?	?
Unknown sp.n1	?			1					?	?
Unknown sp.n3	?			1					?	?
Unknown sp.n5	?			1					?	?
Unknown sp.9	?				1				?	?
Unknown sp.10	?					1			?	?
Unknown sp.11	?		1						?	?
Unknown sp.12	?				1				?	?
Unknown sp.13	?				1				?	?
Unknown sp.14	?				1				?	?
Unknown sp.15	?					1			?	?
Unknown sp.16	?				1				?	?
Unknown sp.17	?				1				?	?
Unknown sp.18	?				1				?	?



Unknown sp.19	?				1					?	?
Unknown sp.20	?				1					?	?
Unknown sp.21	?				1					?	?
Unknown sp.22	?				1					?	?
Unknown sp.23	?						1			?	?
Unknown sp.24	?						1			?	?
Unknown sp.25	?		1							?	?
Unknown suckering <i>Scaevola</i>	Goodeniaceae								1	r	?
Unknown <i>Verticordia</i>	Myrtaceae				1					?	?
<i>Verticordia</i> "darwinia like"	Myrtaceae		1							?	?
<i>Verticordia</i> 7	Myrtaceae	1								n	S
<i>Verticordia aurea</i>	Myrtaceae							1	1	n	S
<i>Verticordia chrysanthella</i>	Myrtaceae				1					r	S
<i>Verticordia densiflora</i> subsp <i>caespitosa</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1	1					r	S
<i>Verticordia grandis</i>	Myrtaceae	1	1	1			1			r	S
<i>Verticordia long</i>	Myrtaceae				1	1				?	?
<i>Verticordia nobilis</i>	Myrtaceae	1		1						r	S
<i>Verticordia ovalifolia</i>	Myrtaceae	1								n	S
<i>Verticordia pennigera</i>	Myrtaceae		1		1					r	S
<i>Verticordia</i> sp.1	Myrtaceae		1							?	?
<i>Verticordia</i> sp.2	Myrtaceae		1		1					?	?
<i>Verticordia</i> sp.3	Myrtaceae			1						?	?
<i>Verticordia</i> very small	Myrtaceae		1							?	?
<i>Xanthorrhoea acanthostachya</i>	Xanthorrhoeaceae	1			1					r	C
<i>Xanthosia huegelii</i>	Apiaceae	1	1			1			1	n	S
<i>Xylomelum angustifolium</i>	Proteaceae	1		1						r	C
359 spp.	Total spp.	117	128	106	129	101	49	80	112		

