



**Murdoch**  
UNIVERSITY

## MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY

*This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination.  
The definitive version is available at*

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2012.08.007>

Ilich, S.S., Dempsey, A.R., Mills, P.M., Sturnieks, D.L., Stachowiak, G.W., Maguire, K.F., Kuster, M.S. and Lloyd, D.G. (2012) Physical activity patterns and function 3 months after arthroscopic partial meniscectomy. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 16 (3). pp. 195-199.

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/10638/>

Copyright: © 2012 Sports Medicine Australia  
It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

1 **Physical activity patterns and function three months after arthroscopic partial meniscectomy**

2 Shane S. Ilich<sup>A\*</sup>, Alasdair R Dempsey<sup>B,C\*</sup>, Peter M. Mills<sup>C,D</sup>, Daina L Sturnieks<sup>A,E</sup>, Gwidon W.

3 Stachowiak<sup>F</sup>, Ken F. Maguire<sup>G</sup>, Markus S Kuster<sup>H</sup>, David G. Lloyd<sup>A,C,D</sup>

4 \* Joint First Authors

5 A. School of Sports Science, Exercise and Health, The University of Western Australia, Perth,  
6 Australia

7 B. School of Chiropractic and Sports Science, Murdoch University, Murdoch, Australia

8 C. Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, Griffith Health Institute, Griffith University, Gold Coast,  
9 Australia

10 D. School of Rehabilitation Science, Griffith University, Gold Coast, Australia

11 E. Prince of Wales Medical Research Institute, Randwick, Australia

12 F. School of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

13 G. Perth Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Centre, Perth, Australia

14 H. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kantonsspital St. Gallen, St. Gallen 9027, Switzerland

15 **Corresponding Author:** Prof David Lloyd

16 **Address:** Musculoskeletal Research Program, Griffith Health Institute

17 Clinical Science 1 (G02)

18 Gold Coast Campus

19 Griffith University,

20 Southport, 4222

21 AUSTRALIA

22 **Telephone:** +61 (7) 5552 8593

23 **Fax:** +61 (7) 5552 8674

24 **Email:** david.lloyd@griffith.edu.au

25 **Word Count: 2979    Number of Tables: 2    Number of Figures: 0**

26

27 **Abstract**

28 **Objectives:** To compare physical activity levels, subject-reported function, and knee strength in 21  
29 arthroscopic partial meniscectomy (APM) patients (age 45.7 (6.06) years, BMI 27.3(5.96) Female  
30 60%) 3 months post-surgery with 21 healthy controls (age 43.6 (5.71) years, BMI 24.5(4.2) Female  
31 60%) matched at the cohort level for age, gender and BMI.

32 **Design:** Case control study

33 **Methods:** Physical activity intensity, number of steps, and minutes spent in activity were objectively  
34 quantified using an accelerometer-based activity monitor worn for 7 days. The Knee Injury and  
35 Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) and concentric quadriceps strength were used to evaluate  
36 function post-surgery. Differences in activity levels and functional outcomes between the APM and  
37 control participants were assessed using t-tests, while multiple linear regression was used to quantify  
38 the best predictors of physical activity.

39 **Results:** APM patients engaged in a similar duration of activity to controls (469.0 (128.39) minutes  
40 vs. 497.1 (109.9) minutes), and take a similar number of steps per day (9227 (2977) vs. 10383 (3501),  
41 but performed their activity at lower levels of intensity than controls. Time spent in moderate ( $r^2 =$   
42 0.19) and hard ( $r^2 = 0.145$ ) intensity physical activity was best predicted by the Symptoms sub-scale of  
43 the KOOS for both controls and APM patients.

44 **Conclusions:** APM patients participate in similar activity however at a lower level, with the reduction  
45 in activity at higher intensities related to the presence of symptoms of knee osteoarthritis.

46 **Keywords**

47 Meniscectomy; Physical activity; osteoarthritis; function

48

49 **Introduction**

50 Arthroscopic partial meniscectomy (APM) is a common knee surgery used to treat meniscal  
51 damage of the knee.<sup>1-3</sup> Despite the surgery being successful in correcting physical dysfunction,<sup>4</sup> APM  
52 can result in limitations in patient-relevant functional outcomes.<sup>5</sup> A common complaint from APM  
53 patients is decreased levels of physical activity post-surgery compared to pre-injury.<sup>5, 6</sup> The Knee  
54 Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) is a questionnaire that was specifically designed for  
55 younger, more active populations, a similar group to those who commonly undergo APM surgery.<sup>7-9</sup>  
56 Data from KOOS studies has shown that meniscal surgery populations report increased pain and  
57 difficulties in participating in sport and recreational activities at 3 months,<sup>6</sup> 6-18 months,<sup>10</sup> and 4  
58 years<sup>5</sup> post-operatively. However this questionnaire only assesses difficulty experienced in  
59 performing physical activity, and does not quantify how these difficulties affect the intensity and time  
60 spent in these activities.

61 Research into physical activity levels in knee surgery and knee osteoarthritis populations has  
62 typically focused on the number of minutes spent in activity or the number of steps taken. These  
63 measures are most commonly recorded from self-reported questionnaires.<sup>3, 8, 11</sup> However, physical  
64 activity is not only made up of duration and quantity, but involves a third dimension: intensity which  
65 is not usually addressed by these questionnaires. Activity monitors can objectively assess activity  
66 intensity, along with time spent in activity and number of steps taken.<sup>12, 13</sup>

67 Meniscal surgery has been shown to lead to increased risk of knee osteoarthritis.<sup>1, 2, 14, 15</sup> APM  
68 surgery has also been associated with reduced concentric knee extension strength.<sup>16</sup> This decreased  
69 muscle strength is also associated with the development of knee osteoarthritis.<sup>17, 18</sup> There is a  
70 relationship between decreased muscle strength and decreased levels of physical activity in both the  
71 general and knee osteoarthritis populations.<sup>5, 17</sup> This suggests that maintaining healthy physical  
72 activity levels may protect against the loss of muscle strength and therefore the development of  
73 osteoarthritis.

74 The aims of this paper were to i) describe relationships that may exist between KOOS and  
75 KOOS sub-scores with physical activity duration and intensity measured with an accelerometer; ii)  
76 compare daily physical activity duration and intensity between APM and matched control  
77 participants; and iii) identify and describe relationships between APM surgery, KOOS, KOOS sub-  
78 scores and physical activity duration and intensity. It was hypothesized that i) activity monitors will  
79 be able to objectively quantify the duration, quantity and intensity of physical activity in APM  
80 participants; ii) the duration and intensity of APM patients' physical activity will be less than matched  
81 controls; and iii) those APM patients who report greater levels of pain and difficulty as quantified by  
82 the PAIN and SYMPTOMS subscales of the KOOS, will be more likely to show decreased levels of  
83 activity.

#### 84 **Methods**

85 Twenty-one APM patients and 21 controls were manually selected from a large database  
86 based on the ability to match two cohorts on sex, BMI and age, although the following procedures  
87 were undertaken for the entire data set. Matching was performed at this level due to the retrospective  
88 creation of the two groups. Primary consideration was given to 1) individuals with complete data sets  
89 and 2) gender matching. APM participants had undergone APM for an isolated meniscal tear a mean  
90 of 11 (SD 6) weeks prior to data collection and were recruited from a number of metropolitan  
91 orthopaedic clinics, while control participants were recruited via community newspaper  
92 advertisements. Both APM and CON participants were screened and excluded if they had clinical  
93 (surgery reports checked in APM participants) and/or radiographic evidence of knee osteoarthritis,  
94 previous or current back, hip, knee, or ankle joint disease, pain, or injury; any form of arthritis;  
95 diabetes; cardiac, circulatory, or neurological conditions; multiple sclerosis; stroke; lower limb  
96 fractures; bone or joint conditions; and any other disease or injury that may affect gait patterns or  
97 predispose to knee osteoarthritis. APM participants were also screened according to the following  
98 inclusion/exclusion criteria: isolated arthroscopic meniscectomy of one side of the knee only; no  
99 damage to anterior cruciate, medial or lateral collateral ligaments; maximum of one chondral defect  
100 <2cm on the tibial and fibular surfaces, as assessed by the surgeon during arthroscopy; no previous

101 medically documented injuries or surgeries to the knee ligament, cartilage or meniscus; and aged  
102 between 35-55 years and BMI <30. This study was approved by the University of Western Australia  
103 Human Research Ethics Committee, and all participants provided informed, written consent.

104 Daily physical activity levels were recorded using an Actigraph AM7164-2.2 (Actigraph,  
105 Pensacola, FL, USA) physical activity monitor. The Actigraph contains a uniaxial accelerometer  
106 which detects vertical accelerations between 0.05 and 2 G. Sampling epoch was set at 60 seconds for  
107 this study. The validity and reliability of the Actigraph physical activity monitor has previously been  
108 demonstrated.<sup>13, 19, 20</sup> Each participant wore the Actigraph on an adjustable belt that was secured firmly  
109 around the waist for seven consecutive days. Waist placement was chosen for two reasons. It has  
110 been validated<sup>13 21</sup> and it enables direct comparison with previous studies that have investigated  
111 physical activity in early knee OA<sup>22, 23, 24</sup>.

112 For each participant, the mean daily duration of activity in minutes, and the mean number of  
113 minutes per day spent in light, moderate, and hard activity levels were calculated. Activity levels were  
114 defined by accelerometer counts, downloaded using Actilife X and parameterised using custom  
115 Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) scripts in which hard activities were defined by greater than  
116 5725 counts/min (6.0 METS), moderate activities were between 1953 and 5724 counts/min (3.0 –  
117 5.99 METS), while light activities were between 5 and 1952 counts/min (<2.99METS).<sup>25</sup> Mean daily  
118 step count information from the accelerometer was also analysed. Activity data from individual days  
119 were visually inspected to identify days in which the accelerometer was not worn. All included  
120 participants had 7 valid days of accelerometer data.

121 Knee pain and function was scored using the KOOS questionnaire, previously determined as being  
122 appropriate to assess a younger and more active population.<sup>7-9</sup> The KOOS is a self-administered  
123 questionnaire that groups items into the following subscales: PAIN; SYMPTOMS; Activities of Daily  
124 Living (ADL); Sport and Recreation (S&R); and Quality of Life (QOL). Each item of the KOOS has  
125 a five point Likert-type scale from 0 to 4. Knee pain and function scores were created from the  
126 responses for items in the respective KOOS subscales. These were summed to give a subscale score,

127 and transformed to a normalised 0 to 100 scale, with a score of 100 indicating normal function and a  
128 score of zero indicating difficulties. Normalised scores for each of the 5 subscales were used in the  
129 subsequent analyses, as well as the overall KOOS score, which was the average of all subscale scores  
130 as per previously published use of the KOOS questionnaire.<sup>9</sup>

131 Height and body mass were measured and BMI calculated from these values. In addition, the  
132 participants' maximum isometric and isokinetic knee extension (quadriceps) strength was measured at  
133 180°/s across the range of 0° to 90° of knee flexion using a Biodex isokinetic dynamometer  
134 (Chattanooga, Shirley, NY, USA). Participants repeated each strength test three times, with the best  
135 effort used for analysis. Peak concentric quadriceps strength was normalised by dividing by body  
136 mass × height (kg.m).

137 Meteorological data were acquired for each date an activity monitor was worn by a  
138 participant, and included as covariates to eliminate any confounding effects of weather on activity  
139 levels.<sup>26</sup> Specifically, maximum temperature (MAX; degrees Celsius) and rainfall (RAIN; mm) were  
140 selected as the two climate variables with the greatest potential to affect physical activity levels.

#### 141 **Statistical Analysis**

142 Statistical data analyses were performed using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc.,  
143 Chicago). Physical activity duration, KOOS, and KOOS sub-scores were compared between the CON  
144 and APM groups using independent samples t-tests. Prior to undertaking statistical testing the data  
145 was assessed for normality. The associations between KOOS subscales and physical activity intensity  
146 level were assessed using pearson product-moment correlations, to investigate relationships between  
147 subjective self-report of difficulty performing activity matched objective measures of intensity and  
148 time. Finally a backwards stepwise linear regression was performed on the APM participants to  
149 identify the most important variable affecting those physical activity levels found to be significantly  
150 different from the control group, with the following variables entered as predictors: age; BMI; sex;  
151 maximum daily temperature; rainfall; quadriceps concentric strength; and KOOS sub-scales  
152 SYMPTOMS and PAIN. Significance was set at  $p < 0.05$  for all analyses.

**153 Results**

154 No statistical differences in age, BMI, quadriceps concentric strength, minutes spent in light  
155 activity, or mean number of steps per day were found between APM patients and controls (Table 1).  
156 Independent samples t-tests identified significant differences for number of minutes spent in moderate  
157 and hard physical activity, as well as for the overall KOOS score and each of its subscales (Table 1),  
158 indicating the two groups were differentiated only by the intensity of physical activity and knee  
159 function.

160 Light physical activity was not significantly correlated with any of the KOOS scales.  
161 Moderate physical activity was positively correlated with Symptoms, S & R, QOL and overall KOOS  
162 score (Table 2). Hard physical activity was shown to correlate with Pain, Symptoms, QOL and overall  
163 KOOS score. SYMPTOMS emerged as the only significant predictor variable for both the number of  
164 minutes spent in moderate activity, (R-squared = 0.149,  $p = 0.015$ ) and the number of minutes spent  
165 in hard activity (R-squared = 0.145,  $p = 0.017$ ).

**166 Discussion**

167 The first general aim of this study was to examine relationships between KOOS and KOOS  
168 sub-scores and physical activity duration and intensity in otherwise healthy persons who had  
169 undergone APM for an isolated meniscal tear. Physical activity monitors have been shown to have  
170 greater reliability and accuracy in recording physical activity than surveys.<sup>27, 28</sup> The current results  
171 showed that no KOOS score was significantly correlated to every day, light intensity activity.  
172 Significant correlations were only shown at higher levels of intensity for those sub-scales of the  
173 KOOS most likely to be associated with more vigorous activity or pain and discomfort. The poor  
174 correlation between the KOOS and activity monitors, particularly for ADL and S&R subscales,  
175 suggest they are not directly quantifying the same factor. The efficacy of the KOOS in accurately  
176 identifying changes in, and factors affecting, actual levels of physical activity in APM patients is  
177 therefore questionable.



178 It is not possible to derive specific information regarding the duration, quantity or intensity of  
179 physical activity by APM patients from the KOOS questionnaire. This data however is provided by  
180 the activity monitor. Whilst it was able to differentiate between APM patients and controls in regards  
181 to the amount of difficulty involved in performing activities, due to the KOOS design it could not  
182 identify how the activity levels of those APM patients were different to the controls. Future  
183 investigations into the exercise and activity levels of APM patients will need to take this into account.  
184 This can be achieved by using accelerometry to directly measure physical activity, and the KOOS  
185 questionnaire as a more general overview of broad function and symptoms.

186 Other aims of this study were compare of duration and intensity of physical activity between  
187 APM patients and controls, and to identify those factors influencing activity levels. It was found that  
188 for the mean number of STEPS per day, minutes spent in LIGHT activity, and total TIME spent in  
189 activity, there were no significant differences between the two groups. This indicates that APM  
190 patients engage in similar quantity (steps) and duration (total time) of basic physical activity, and  
191 perform similar levels of daily activities at light intensity. What did differentiate the APM from the  
192 control participants were the minutes spent in MODERATE and HARD activity, with the APM  
193 patients found to spend significantly less time engaged in each level of intensity. Thus, it would  
194 appear that APM patients, while engaging in similar exercise/daily activity routines to non-surgery  
195 controls, do not perform that activity to the same level of intensity, remaining instead at the lower,  
196 light level of intensity. Significant differences were also found for each of the KOOS measure  
197 subscales, particularly S&R and QOL, indicating that it was higher-intensity activities such as sport  
198 that caused APM patients more difficulty. This results are similar to those found by Thorlund and  
199 colleagues<sup>29</sup> in a APM population at 2 years. A possible confounder is that the ADL subscale of the  
200 KOOS also yielded a statistical difference between the two populations. This may mean that whilst  
201 the APM patients reported more discomfort engaging in daily activities through the KOOS they still  
202 performed them. This is reflected in similar results at light intensities recorded by the activity monitor.

203 The SYMPTOMS subscale of the KOOS was found to be the best predictor of time spent in  
204 both the MODERATE and HARD activity intensity levels in the APM population. This appears to

205 hold true across the entire sample population, with those with increased symptoms of knee  
206 dysfunction being less likely to engage in higher intensity activities. This would have possible  
207 rehabilitation and treatment ramifications, as programs may need to be tailored to take into account  
208 the relative intensity of a recovery exercise, and how this will affect adherence by the patient.

209         Whilst there was not a significant difference in strength between the APM patients and  
210 controls, APM patients have been shown in the literature to be weaker than healthy individuals.<sup>16, 29, 30</sup>  
211 This includes work published from the larger cohort from which the current study's population was  
212 drawn.<sup>16</sup> Given the relationship between physical activity levels and muscle strength in knee  
213 osteoarthritis patients,<sup>31, 32</sup> the link between APM surgery and knee osteoarthritis development,<sup>33</sup> and  
214 the recent suggestion that knee extension strength may play a role in facilitating the development of  
215 knee osteoarthritis following APM surgery,<sup>16</sup> these results may offer an insight as to how this muscle  
216 weakness could develop within APM patients. Individuals who undergo APM surgery may not  
217 participate in physical activity at sufficient intensity to maintain or improve muscle strength post-  
218 surgery. Individuals who have undergone partial meniscectomy tend to have maintained quadriceps  
219 weakness at six months following surgery,<sup>34</sup> with strength decrements reported up to four years post  
220 surgery.<sup>5</sup> However the nature of this study makes it unable to provide conclusive evidence on this  
221 hypothesis. As only one time point was measured it may be possible that strength had, 1) recovered  
222 to normal levels following 3 months, or 2) may subsequently decline, particularly in those patients  
223 who go on to develop knee joint osteoarthritis. Further work is needed to provide stronger evidence  
224 for a relationship between physical activity and quadriceps strength. This should include both a  
225 larger sample size and ideally be of longitudinal design.

226         To date this is the only study that we are aware of that has used an objective measure of actual  
227 physical activity, particularly intensity, on an APM population, in conjunction with a surrogate  
228 measure such as the KOOS. These results not only offer support for the use of objective measures of  
229 activity such as accelerometers with APM patients, but also provide information regarding the  
230 specific activity patterns of this population. Non-participation in higher intensity activity such as  
231 sport, whilst most likely being due to patients consciously or subconsciously protecting the affected

232 joint,<sup>35,36</sup> could also have detrimental repercussions on the strength and functional rehabilitation of the  
233 joint following APM.<sup>5</sup> Similarly, participants who reported increased symptoms of knee pain and  
234 dysfunction were less likely to participate in higher intensity activity, regardless of whether they were  
235 an APM patient or control participant. Future investigations into the rehabilitation of APM patients  
236 will need to take into account this reduced activity intensity, and the associated potential for a loss of  
237 muscle strength around the knee. This could be achieved by consistently implementing a strength-  
238 building intervention post-surgery. This work will need to be accompanied by work investigating the  
239 role that increased exercise intensity plays on patient symptoms and recovery time. Other factors that  
240 may have a potential influence on actual physical activity and overall function, including  
241 physiological factors such a fear or re-injury or low expectations based on clinician information.

242 This study was cross-sectional investigation of arthroscopic partial meniscectomy patients  
243 <12 weeks post-surgery, making it unable to define direct, causative relationships between factors  
244 affecting activity levels. Included patients were aged 35-55, meaning the results of this study are valid  
245 for a younger, active pre-osteoarthritic sample. We included patients with either medial or lateral  
246 meniscectomies in the analysis, which is generally consistent with previous methods and allows these  
247 results to be compared to existing literature.<sup>1,2,10</sup> Cohorts were also not matched on occupation. As  
248 occupation has the potential to influence activity and function, this factor should be included in future  
249 studies. A final limitation of the study is the small sample size utilised. This has the potential to limit  
250 the predictive ability of the regression, however we believe that the results from the regression  
251 provide important information regarding potential reasons for reduced activity in APM populations.  
252 This information can be used to drive both future research and clinicians.

## 253 **Conclusions**

254 Persons who had undergone APM 8 to 12 weeks performed a similar amount of physical activity as  
255 controls when matched for age, BMI and sex at the cohort level, however spent less time at moderate  
256 and high physical activity levels. Time spent by APM participants in moderate and hard intensity  
257 levels of activity was best predicted by the SYMPTOMS subscale of the KOOS.

258 **Practical Implications**

- 259       • Accelerometry provides more detail on physical activity in patients who have undergone  
260       APM than activity data from KOOS, in particular exercise intensity. However Pain and  
261       Symptoms subscales on KOOS provide important information as to reasons behind changes  
262       in physical activity.
- 263       • Those who have undergone AMP have the same number of total daily steps as healthy  
264       controls but have reduced activity at higher intensity levels. Practitioners should take this into  
265       account when designing rehabilitation programs.
- 266       • Time spent in higher levels of activity is best predicted by subjectively reported symptoms.  
267       Reducing or treating knee symptoms in patients who have undergone APM may allow them  
268       to undertake higher intensity physical activity.

269 **Acknowledgments**

270 We acknowledge the financial support of the NHMRC and Western Australian Medical Research  
271 Infrastructure Fund. Thanks to Ms Catherine Hill and Ms Carlee Ackland for assistance with data  
272 collection and processing. Thanks to the following surgeons for their support in patient recruitment:  
273 Dr Keith Holt, Dr Greg Witherow, Dr Greg Janes, Dr Peter Annear, Dr Hari Goonatillake, Dr Dermot  
274 Collopy, Dr David Colvin, Dr Peter Campbell.

275

276 **References**

- 277 1. Cicuttini FM, Forbes A, Wang Y, et al. Rate of Knee Cartilage Loss After Partial Meniscectomy.  
278 *J Rheumatol.* 2002;29:1954-6.
- 279 2. Williams R, Warner K, Petrigliano F, et al. MRI Evaluation of Isolated Arthroscopic Partial  
280 Meniscectomy Patients at a Minimum Five-Year Follow-up. *HSS Journal.* 2007;3(1):35-43.
- 281 3. Meredith DS, Losina E, Mahomed NN, et al. Factors predicting functional and radiographic  
282 outcomes after arthroscopic partial meniscectomy: A review of the literature. *Arthroscopy.*  
283 2005;21(2):211-23.
- 284 4. Johnson MJ, Lucas GL, Dusek JK, et al. Isolated Arthroscopic Meniscal Repair: A Long-Term  
285 Outcome Study (More Than 10 Years). *Am J Sport Med.* 1999;27(1):44-9.
- 286 5. Ericsson YB, Roos EM, Dahlberg L. Muscle strength, functional performance, and self-reported  
287 outcomes four years after arthroscopic partial meniscectomy in middle-aged patients. *Arthritis*  
288 *Care Res.* 2006;55(6):946-52.
- 289 6. Roos EM, Roos HP, Ryd L, et al. Substantial disability 3 months after arthroscopic partial  
290 meniscectomy: a prospective study of patient-relevant outcomes. *Arthroscopy.* 2000;16(6):619-  
291 26.
- 292 7. Roos EM, Toksvig-Larsen S. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) - validation  
293 and comparison to the WOMAC in total knee replacement. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.*  
294 2003;1(1):17.
- 295 8. Roos EM, Roos HP, Lohmander LS, et al. Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score  
296 (KOOS) - development of a self-administered outcome measure. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.*  
297 1998;28(2):88-96.
- 298 9. Roos EM, Roos HP, Ekdahl C, et al. Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) -  
299 validation of a Swedish version. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 1998;8(6):439-48.
- 300 10. Katz JN, Meredith DS, Lang P, et al. Associations among preoperative MRI features and  
301 functional status following arthroscopic partial meniscectomy. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.*  
302 2006;14(5):418-22.

- 303 11. Friedenreich CM, Courneya KS, Neilson HK, et al. Reliability and Validity of the Past Year  
304 Total Physical Activity Questionnaire. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2006;163(10):959-70.
- 305 12. Patterson SM, Krantz DS, Montgomery LC, et al. Automated physical activity monitoring:  
306 validation and comparison with physiological and self-report measures. *Psychophysiology.*  
307 1993;30(3):296-305.
- 308 13. King GA, Torres N, Potter C, et al. Comparison of activity monitors to estimate energy cost of  
309 treadmill exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(7):1244-51.
- 310 14. Mills PM, Wang Y, Cicuttini FM, et al. Tibio-femoral cartilage defects 3-5 years following  
311 arthroscopic partial medial meniscectomy. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2008;16(12):1526-31.
- 312 15. Wang Y, Dempsey AR, Lloyd DG, et al. Patellofemoral and tibiofemoral articular cartilage and  
313 subchondral bone health following arthroscopic partial medial meniscectomy. *Knee Surg Sports*  
314 *Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012;20(5):970-8.
- 315 16. Sturnieks DL, Besier TF, Hamer PW, et al. Knee strength and knee adduction moments  
316 following arthroscopic partial meniscectomy. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008;40(6):991-7.
- 317 17. Mikesky AE, Mazzuca SA, Brandt KD, et al. Effects of strength training on the incidence and  
318 progression of knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2006;55(5):690-9.
- 319 18. Slemenda C, Brandt KD, Heilman DK, et al. Quadriceps Weakness and Osteoarthritis of the  
320 Knee. *Ann Intern Med.* 1997;127(2):97-104.
- 321 19. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and Applications, Inc.  
322 accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1998;30(5):777-81.
- 323 20. Welk GJ, Blair SN, Wood K, et al. A comparative evaluation of three accelerometry-based  
324 physical activity monitors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32(9 Suppl):S489-97.
- 325 21. Colbert LH, Matthews CE, Havighurst TC, et al. Comparative Validity of Physical Activity  
326 Measures in Older Adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2011;43(5):867-76.
- 327 22. Farr JN, Going SB, Lohman TG, et al. Physical activity levels in patients with early knee  
328 osteoarthritis measured by accelerometry. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2008;59(9):1229-36.

- 329 23. Farr JN, Going SB, McKnight PE, et al. Progressive resistance training improves overall physical  
330 activity levels in patients with early osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized controlled trial. *Phys*  
331 *Ther.* 2010;90(3):356-66.
- 332 24. Song J, Semanik P, Sharma L, et al. Assessing physical activity in persons with knee  
333 osteoarthritis using accelerometers: data from the osteoarthritis initiative. *Arthritis Care Res.*  
334 2010;62(12):1724-32.
- 335 25. Freedson PS, Melanson E, Sirard J. Calibration of the Computer Science and Applications, Inc.  
336 accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1998;30(5):777-81.
- 337 26. Brandon CA, Gill DP, Speechley M, et al. Physical activity levels of older community-dwelling  
338 adults are influenced by summer weather variables. *Applied Physiology Nutrition and*  
339 *Metabolism.* 2009;34(2):182-90.
- 340 27. Timperio A, Salmon J, Crawford D. Validity and reliability of a physical activity recall  
341 instrument among overweight and non-overweight men and women. *J Sci Med Sport.*  
342 2003;6(4):477-91.
- 343 28. Chau JY, Van der Ploeg HP, Dunn S, et al. Validity of the Occupational Sitting and Physical  
344 Activity Questionnaire. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2012;44(1):118-25.
- 345 29. Thorlund JB, Aagaard P, Roos EM. Thigh muscle strength, functional capacity, and self-reported  
346 function in patients at high risk of knee osteoarthritis compared with controls. *Arthritis Care Res.*  
347 2010;62(9):1244-51.
- 348 30. Durand A, Richards CL, Malouin F. Strength recovery and muscle activation of the knee  
349 extensor and flexor muscles after arthroscopic meniscectomy. A pilot study. *Clin Orthop.*  
350 1991(262):210-26.
- 351 31. Heiden TL, Lloyd DG, Ackland TR. Knee extension and flexion weakness in people with knee  
352 osteoarthritis: is antagonist cocontraction a factor? *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2009;39(11):807-  
353 15.
- 354 32. Hurley MV. The role of muscle weakness in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Rheum Dis Clin*  
355 *North Am.* 1999;25(2):283-98, vi.

- 356 33. Bolano LE, Grana WA. Isolated arthroscopic partial meniscectomy. Functional radiographic  
357 evaluation at five years. *Am J Sport Med.* 1993;21(3):432-7.
- 358 34. McLeod M, Gribble P, Pfile K, et al. Effects of arthroscopic partial meniscectomy on qadricaps  
359 strength: a systematic review. *J Sport Rehabil.* Pub Ahead of Print.
- 360 35. Stevens J, Mizner R, Snyder-Mackler L. Quadriceps strength and volitional activation before and  
361 after total knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis. *J Orthop Res.* 2003;21(5):775-9.
- 362 36. Hede A, Hempel-Poulsen S, Jensen JS. Symptoms and level of sports activity of patients  
363 awaiting arthroscopy for meniscal lesions of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1990;72(4):550-2.
- 364

Accepted



365 **Table 1.** Descriptive statistics and t-test results control group and arthroscopic partial meniscectomy  
 366 group.

|   | CON subset |       | APM subset |       | p       |
|---|------------|-------|------------|-------|---------|
|   | Mean       | SD    | Mean       | SD    |         |
| <b>Age (yrs)</b>                                    | 43.6       | 5.7   | 45.7       | 6.1   | 0.299   |
| <b>Sex (% of females)</b>                           | 60         | -     | 60         | -     |         |
| <b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>                       | 24.5       | 4.2   | 27.3       | 6.0   | 0.137   |
| <b>QOL</b>  | 95.3       | 8.6   | 53.6       | 17.6  | < 0.001 |
| <b>S &amp; R</b>                                    | 98.5       | 4.0   | 53.6       | 25.9  | < 0.001 |
| <b>ADL</b>  | 99.4       | 1.6   | 87.3       | 15.4  | 0.001   |
| <b>Symptoms</b>                                     | 94.5       | 8.9   | 76.2       | 10.2  | < 0.001 |
| <b>Pain</b>   | 98.1       | 4.1   | 82.0       | 8.4   | < 0.001 |
| <b>KOOS</b>   | 97.2       | 4.6   | 70.5       | 12.3  | < 0.001 |
| <b>Light Activity (mins/day)</b>                    | 423.6      | 118.2 | 471.8      | 104.3 | 0.196   |
| <b>Moderate Activity (mins/day)</b>                 | 39.6       | 16.2  | 24.1       | 15.5  | 0.003   |
| <b>Hard Activity (mins/day)</b>                     | 6.3        | 10.6  | 1.2        | 2.5   | 0.039   |
| <b>Total Activity (mins/day)</b>                    | 497.1      | 109.9 | 469.0      | 128.4 | 0.542   |
| <b>Steps per day</b>                                | 10383      | 3501  | 9227       | 2978  | 0.347   |
| <b>Peak Concentric Quadriceps Strength (N/kg*m)</b> | 0.60       | 0.14  | 0.48       | 0.24  | 0.329   |

367 BMI – Body Mass Index; KOOS- Knee Osteoarthritis outcome Scale; The following are KOOS  
 368 subscales: QOL – Quality of Life; S&R – Sport and Recreation; ADL – Activities of Daily Living.

369

370 **Table 2.** Significant Pearson correlations between actigraph physical activity levels and KOOS  
 371 questionnaire sub-scales for both APM patients and control participants.

|                  | <b>Light activity</b> | <b>Moderate activity</b> | <b>Hard activity</b> |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Pain</b>      | -0.173                | 0.262                    | 0.326*               |
| <b>Symptoms</b>  | -0.064                | 0.381*                   | 0.366*               |
| <b>ADL</b>       | -0.131                | 0.293                    | 0.188                |
| <b>S &amp; R</b> | -0.021                | 0.424**                  | 0.287                |
| <b>QOL</b>       | -0.041                | 0.456**                  | 0.331*               |
| <b>KOOS</b>      | -0.079                | 0.433**                  | 0.338*               |

\* denotes  $p < 0.05$

\*\* denotes  $p < 0.01$

372 KOOS- Knee Osteoarthritis outcome Scale; The following are KOOS subscales: QOL – Quality of

373 Life; S&R – Sport and Recreation; ADL – Activities of Daily Living.