



**Murdoch**  
UNIVERSITY

**MURDOCH RESEARCH REPOSITORY**

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au>

*This is the author's final version of the work, as accepted for publication following peer review but without the publisher's layout or pagination.*

**Gardner, M.J. , Chaplin, J.A. and Shaw, K.M. (2011) Development and characterisation of novel microsatellite loci for the baldchin groper (*Choerodon rubescens*) and cross-amplification in seven other labrid species. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 3 (3). pp. 461-466.**

<http://researchrepository.murdoch.edu.au/4511>

Copyright © Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2011  
It is posted here for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40

**Michelle J. Gardner · Jennifer A. Chaplin · Kristine M. Shaw**

Development and characterisation of novel microsatellite loci for the baldchin groper (*Choerodon rubescens*) and cross-amplification in seven other labrid species.

M. J. Gardner (corresponding author) · J. A. Chaplin · K. M. Shaw

Centre for Fish and Fisheries Research, Murdoch University, South Street, Murdoch, Western Australia  
6150, Australia

e-mail: [michelle.grdnr@gmail.com](mailto:michelle.grdnr@gmail.com)

phone: + 61 8 9360 1293

41 **Abstract**

42

43 We describe the development and characterisation of novel microsatellite loci for the baldchin groper,  
44 *Choerodon rubescens*. The purpose was to identify loci that can be used to resolve uncertainties about  
45 the population (stock) structure of this fish species, which is endemic to a narrow region of the west  
46 coast of Australia and showing evidence of overfishing in some locations. Of 22 loci characterised, 12  
47 appear to be ideally suited for population-level analyses. Utilising data obtained from four sampling  
48 locations across the distribution of *C. rubescens*, the total number of alleles observed at each of the 12  
49 loci ranged from 2 to 24, while the overall values of expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.19 to 0.89.  
50 Cross-amplification of the 12 loci in 7 other labrid species was often successful, especially in  
51 congeners.

52

53 **Keywords** *Choerodon* · Labridae · microsatellite marker · population structure

54

55 The baldchin groper, *Choerodon rubescens*, is a large, long-lived labrid endemic to a region of  
56 the western continental shelf of Australia between Coral Bay (*ca* 23°S) and Geographe Bay (*ca* 34°S)  
57 (Fairclough 2005). This species is prized by recreational boat-fishers and spear-fishers and, due to its  
58 life history characteristics, is vulnerable to the effects of over-fishing (Nardi et al. 2006). Concern  
59 over the sustainability of the stock(s) of this species is growing and overfishing may already be  
60 occurring in some locations (Wise et al. 2007). There is also a more general concern about the  
61 ecosystem-level impacts of declining numbers of higher-level predators, like *C. rubescens*, in reef  
62 habitats (see DeMartini et al. 2008).

63 Ideally, the processes that are put in place to manage the fisheries for *C. rubescens* should  
64 operate at a spatial scale(s) relevant to the population (stock) structure of this species; as yet this  
65 structure is unknown. This paper describes the development, characterisation and testing of novel  
66 microsatellite markers for *C. rubescens*. These markers were developed primarily for resolving  
67 uncertainties about the population structure of this species, however, their ability to cross-amplify loci  
68 in 7 other labrid species was also assessed. Very few studies have published microsatellite markers for  
69 labrids (see Guillemaud et al. 2000; Poortvliet et al. 2009), despite the diversity and abundance of this  
70 fish family (see Westneat and Alfaro 2005).

71 Genetic Identification Services (GIS, <http://www.geneticidentificationservices.com>)  
72 constructed microsatellite-enriched partial genomic libraries for *C. rubescens*, following the methods  
73 described by Jones et al. (2002). GIS was supplied with approximately 30 µg of high molecular weight  
74 DNA extracted from muscle tissue from a *C. rubescens* obtained from waters off Lancelin (115°15'E,  
75 30°55'S). The DNA was extracted using a MasterPure™ DNA Purification Kit following the  
76 manufacturer's protocol for soft tissue, except that tissue and reagent quantities were significantly  
77 increased and a 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) extraction buffer was substituted for the tissue and  
78 cell lysis solution. Four libraries, enriched for CA, ATG, TAGA, and CAGA motifs, were constructed  
79 and 36 recombinant clones from each library were randomly selected for sequencing, of which 34, 29,  
80 34 and 30 contained microsatellites, respectively (GenBank accession numbers HM754266-392).

81 Primer pairs were designed for a total of 36 loci using PRIMER 3 software (Rozen and  
82 Skaletsky 2000). PCR products were fluorescently labelled using the three-primer PCR method of  
83 Schuelke (2000). Depending on the locus, 2 different M13 sequences – either M13A-  
84 CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC or M13B- GAGTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC – and, depending on the  
85 assay, three different dyes, namely FAM (GeneWorks), NED and VIC (Applied Biosystems), were  
86 used.

87 PCR's were carried out in 15 µL volumes containing approximately 15 ng/µL template DNA,  
88 0.35 µM reverse primer, 0.17 µM forward primer (with either an M13A or M13B sequence attached)  
89 (see Table 1), and 0.17 µM of either a M13A or M13B tail labelled primer, 0.45 U *Taq* polymerase  
90 (ROCHE), 1X reaction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM KCl, pH 8.3, ROCHE) and  
91 0.3 mM dNTPs (Promega). Reactions were conducted using a GeneAmp 9700 PCR system (PE  
92 Applied Biosystems), with touchdown cycling parameters consisting of an initial denaturation step at  
93 94°C for 2 min followed by 24 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 62°C (decreased 0.5°C per cycle) for 30 s and  
94 72°C for 60 s; and 25 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s and 72°C for 60 s, followed by a final  
95 extension step at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were screened with a 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied  
96 Biosystems), co-run with a size standard, Genescan LIZ 600 (Applied Biosystems). Genotypes were  
97 scored manually using GeneMarker v. 1.92 (SoftGenetics Inc.).

98 Twenty-two of the 36 loci evaluated consistently produced readable banding patterns when  
99 tested on 14 individuals of *C. rubescens* from the Abrolhos Islands (*ca* 113°30'-114°10'E, 28°10'-  
100 29°00'S). These 22 loci were then tested on a sample of 28 individuals from northern parts of the  
101 Abrolhos Islands. Twenty-one of the 22 loci were polymorphic, 17 conformed with Hardy-Weinberg  
102 equilibrium expectations after Bonferroni adjustments (Rice 1989; Table 1), and the genotypes at all  
103 but one pair (*CruD102* and *CruD108*) were independent of each other, as assessed using exact tests  
104 implemented by Genepop v. 4.0 (Raymond and Rousset 1995). Twelve loci were selected for further  
105 analysis based upon genetic and technical criteria and tested on 3 samples of *C. rubescens* from across  
106 the species' geographic range. The patterns of variation for all but one of the additional sample-loci  
107 combinations were also consistent with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations (see Table 1), with  
108 no evidence of linkage disequilibrium. The total number of alleles at each of the 12 loci ranged from 2  
109 to 24, while expected heterozygosity ranged from 0.19 to 0.89 (Table 1).

110 The 12 loci were also tested on 7 other labrid species, using the same conditions as described  
111 above for *C. rubescens*. In general, the loci worked very well with the congeners *C. schoenleinii* and *C.*  
112 *cyanodus*, for which, respectively, 8 and 9 loci were amplified with 100% success (Table 2). At least  
113 one polymorphic locus (and usually more) was amplified with 100% success from each of the 7 species  
114 (see Table 2).

## 115 **Acknowledgments**

116 We thank Frances Brigg (SABC, Murdoch University) for advice about fragment analysis and  
117 operating the ABI 3730. We also thank David Fairclough, Gary Jackson, Jeff Norriss and other staff of  
118 the Department of Fisheries, Western Australia, and Peter Coulson, Ben French and other members of  
119 the Centre for Fish and Fisheries research (Murdoch University), for providing tissue samples. Our  
120 appreciation also goes to Ertug Sezmis and Nicole Phillips for their assistance with the genetic work.  
121 This research was funded by Murdoch University and the Western Australian Marine Science Institute  
122 (WAMSI) under Node 4: Fisheries Ecosystems.

123 **References**

124

125 DeMartini E, Friedlander AM, Sandin SA, Sala E (2008) Differences in fish-assemblage structure  
126 between fished and unfished atolls in the northern Line Islands, central Pacific. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser*  
127 365:199-215

128

129 Fairclough D (2005) The biology of four tuskfish species (*Choerodon*: Labridae) in Western Australia.  
130 Thesis Doctor of Philosophy. Murdoch University Perth, Western Australia

131

132 Guillemaud T, Streiff R, Serrão Santos R, Afonso P, Morato T, Cancela ML (2000) Microsatellite  
133 characterization in the rainbow wrasse *Coris julis* (Pisces: Labridae). *Mol Ecol Primer Notes* 9:631-632

134

135 Jones KC, Levine KF, Banks JD (2002) Characterization of 11 polymorphic tetranucleotide  
136 microsatellites for forensic applications in California elk (*Cervus elaphus* Canadensis). *Mol Ecol Notes*  
137 2:425-427

138

139 Kazancıoğlu E, Near TJ, Hanel R, Wainwright PC (2009) Influence of sexual selection and feeding  
140 functional morphology on diversification rate of parrotfishes (Scaridae). *Proc R Soc B* 276:3439-3446

141

142 Nardi K, Newman SJ, Moran MJ, Jones GP (2006) Vital demographic statistics and management of the  
143 baldchin groper (*Choerodon rubescens*) from the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. *Mar Freshwater Res*  
144 57:485-496

145

146 Poortvliet M, Olsen JL, Selkoe KA, Coyer JA, Bernardi G (2009) Isolation and characterization of 11  
147 microsatellite primers for a temperate reef fish, the Californian sheephead (*Semicossyphus pulcher*).  
148 *Mol Ecol Resour* 9:429-430

149

150 Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests  
151 and enumenicism. *J Hered* 86:248-249

152

153 Rice WR (1989) Analysing tables of statistical tests. *Evolution* 43:223-225

154

155 Rozen S, Skaletsky HJ (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers.  
156 In: Krawetz S, Misener S (eds) *Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology*.  
157 Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, 365-386

158

159 Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. *Nat*  
160 *Biotechnol* 18:233-234

161

162 Westneat MW, Alfaro ME (2005) Phylogenetic relationships and evolutionary history of the reef fish  
163 family Labridae. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 36:370-390  
164  
165 Wise BS, St John J, Lenanton RC (Editors) (2007) Spatial scales of exploitation among populations of  
166 demersal scalefish: implications for management. Part 1: Stock status of the key indicator species for  
167 the demersal scalefish fishery in the West Coast Bioregion. Final Report to Fisheries Research and  
168 Development Corporation on Project No. 2003/052. Fisheries Research Report No. 163, Department  
169 of Fisheries, Western Australia

**Table 1** Characteristics of 22 microsatellite loci developed for *Choerodon rubescens*

Locus (Genbank Accession No.)	Primer sequence	Repeat motif	<i>A</i>	Size range (bp)	<i>H<sub>O</sub></i>	<i>H<sub>E</sub></i>	<i>n</i>	<i>HW</i>
<i>CruA1</i> (HM754266)	F: <sup>B</sup> TAAACGAGCAGACTCAGACC R: GCACACATCTATCTTCCATACC	(AC) <sub>8</sub> T(CA) <sub>6</sub>	4 (3-4)	170-180	0.68 (0.55-0.77)	0.62 (0.59-0.64)	103 (18-30)	4/4
<i>CruA2</i> (HM754266)	F: <sup>B</sup> TTCGCTCTGGACTAAGATGC R: AGGAGGACGGGATTATTCC	(CA) <sub>13</sub> G(CA) <sub>11</sub>	16 (9-12)	149-191	0.70 (0.63-0.82)	0.73 (0.66-0.80)	101 (17-30)	4/4
<i>CruA115</i> (HM754268)	F: <sup>B</sup> GAGGATTATCACCCCTGCAA R: CCCTCTCTGCGTCTTGTTC	(AC) <sub>15</sub>	24 (14-19)	198-264	0.89 (0.85-0.92)	0.89 (0.82-0.92)	110 (26-30)	4/4
<i>CruD7-2</i> (HM754269)	F: <sup>B</sup> TGAAGCGAAGGTGCATACTG R: CCAGAGGTCAGAGCACAA	(CA) <sub>16</sub>	10 (7-9)	238-268	0.68 (0.63-0.76)	0.72 (0.69-0.74)	115 (26-30)	4/4
<i>CruD1</i> (HM754270)	F: <sup>A</sup> CTCCAAATGAGGAGGGAACA R: AGGCAGGCGGATAAGTGTTA	(TATC) <sub>16</sub>	12 (8-10)	142-186	0.86 (0.73-0.92)	0.83 (0.80-0.84)	111 (26-30)	4/4
<i>CruD2</i> (HM754271)	F: <sup>B</sup> TGTCAGGCTTGATGACATTTG R: GGCACACCTTTGTGTGAAAG	(TAGA) <sub>12</sub> (GA) <sub>13</sub>	16 (7-14)	207-315	0.82 (0.77-0.92)	0.84 (0.77-0.85)	122 (26-37)	4/4
<i>CruD112</i> (HM754272)	F: <sup>B</sup> GTGGTGCACAGTCATTCCAG R: TGTGCCGGTCACTGAAGTC	(TAGA) <sub>12</sub>	10 (8-9)	234-270	0.82 (0.75-0.93)	0.82 (0.80-0.82)	122 (26-40)	4/4
<i>CruD118</i> (HM754273)	F: <sup>B</sup> CTACCACGGCCATCAGAAGT R: TGTAACACGGGTTTTGTGC	(AGAT) <sub>8</sub> ...(GATA) <sub>3</sub>	8 (4-6)	243-293	0.51 (0.32-0.66)	0.53 (0.43-0.61)	106 (26-30)	4/4
<i>CruD124</i> (HM754274)	F: <sup>A</sup> CGCTGTGATTGCTCTCATTC R: GGGATGGTCTCACTGGTTGT	(ATAG) <sub>6</sub> ...(ATAG) <sub>8</sub>	15 (9-13)	191-271	0.86 (0.79-0.96)	0.88 (0.82-0.88)	122 (26-38)	4/4
<i>CruC10</i> (HM754275)	F: <sup>B</sup> TACGCTCCAGCCTGACTTCT R: GCCCGGTGAGGTTGTTAGAT	(TCTG) <sub>6</sub>	7 (5-7)	228-252	0.66 (0.62-0.69)	0.65 (0.62-0.67)	115 (26-31)	4/4
<i>CruC111</i> (HM754276)	F: <sup>B</sup> TTCCGGACATTCTGTGACAA R: CAGTTCGGAGGGAACAAGTC	(CAGA) <sub>6</sub>	5 (3-5)	191-207	0.40 (0.30-0.52)	0.58 (0.48-0.68)	108 (26-29)	3/4
<i>CruC120-4</i> (HM754277)	F: <sup>B</sup> GGCAACTGAGGCCTTACA R: TCGATCACCATGACAACCAC	(TCTG) <sub>6</sub>	2 (2)	261-265	0.18 (0.10-0.27)	0.19 (0.09-0.28)	115 (26-31)	4/4
<i>CruD11</i> (HM754278)	F: <sup>B</sup> TTAAAGGGCTCTGGGCTTCT R: ACAATTTCCCGGTTTTGGAT	(TAGA) <sub>10</sub>	19	227-445	0.68	0.92	19	0/1
<i>CruD12</i> (HM754279)	F: <sup>B</sup> GCACAAGTCACAAGGGTCAA R: GGTGAAAAGTAAGAAAAGAGTTGG	(TATC) <sub>33</sub>	27	254-404	0.95	0.96	27	1/1

**Table 1 continued.**

<i>CruD102</i> (HM754280)	F: <sup>A</sup> CCTCTTGTTGGCAACCT R: TTTTCATTTAGGCAGAAGTTGG	(TAGA) <sub>21</sub> (TGGA) <sub>9</sub> (TAGA) <sub>3</sub>	13	179-267	0.56	0.84	18	0/1
<i>CruD108</i> (HM754281)	F: <sup>A</sup> AGTGTGGTGACAGGCAGTTG R: TTGGTCACAAAAGCATCAGAA	(TATC) <sub>15</sub>	15	182-276	0.96	0.89	28	1/1
<i>CruD109</i> (HM754282)	F: R: CTCCTCAGATCCTCCGACTG	(TAGA) <sub>7</sub> ...(TAGA) <sub>9</sub> ...(TAGA) <sub>13</sub> ...(TAGA) <sub>12</sub>	23	163-409	0.56	0.91	25	0/1
<i>CruD110</i> (HM754283)	F: <sup>A</sup> GTGCCACGTTTGAATACAC R: TTTGTCAAGGATAATGCGTTCTT	(TAGA) <sub>13</sub>	26	202-312	0.88	0.94	26	0/1
<i>CruD115</i> (HM754284)	F: <sup>B</sup> AGGCTGCTTAAATGCCAAAA R: GCAGACACTTCCCAAGACT	(TATC) <sub>11</sub> ...(TATC) <sub>11</sub> ...(TATC) <sub>11</sub> ...(TATC) <sub>16</sub> ...(TATC) <sub>8</sub> ...(TATC) <sub>11</sub>	28	149-389	0.93	0.94	27	1/1
<i>CruD117</i> (HM754285)	F: <sup>A</sup> TTTGACACATGGGAAAGTGTG R: CATGCATTCTGGGAGAGTGA	(TATC) <sub>20</sub>	28	198-344	0.93	0.95	28	1/1
<i>CruD123</i> (HM754286)	F: <sup>B</sup> CGTGGACGTAGACCATCACC R: AGCCTCAATTTATGTCCACCT	(TAGA) <sub>28</sub>	24	206-302	0.96	0.94	28	1/1
<i>CruC12</i> (HM754287)	F: <sup>B</sup> CGTACGGTCATGTGCAAAGT R: CGGATCACTCCCCAAATCTA	(TCTG) <sub>3</sub> (CCTG) (TCTG) <sub>4</sub>	1	205	0	0	30	na

A and B, respectively, indicate either a M13A or M13B tail was attached to the 5' end of the forward primer; number of individuals assayed (*n*); number of alleles observed (*A*); average observed ( $H_o$ ) and expected heterozygosity ( $H_e$ ). All 22 loci were tested on a sample from the northern Abrolhos Islands; the first twelve loci were also tested on samples from the northern (Monkey Rock, *ca* 113°07'E-26°05'S), southern (Two Rocks, *ca* 115°20'E-31°30'S) and central (southern Abrolhos Islands) parts of the species' geographic range. Information for these loci is presented as the value for all assayed individuals (total sample), with the range in values for individual samples in parentheses. *HW* indicates the number of samples with genotype frequencies that conformed to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium expectations after sequential Bonferroni correction ( $P < 0.001$ ), na indicates not tested due a lack of polymorphism.



**Table 2** Cross-amplification of 12 microsatellite loci designed for *Choerodon rubescens* in 7 other species of Labridae

Species	Blackspotted tuskfish ( <i>Choerodon schoenleinii</i> )	Blue tuskfish ( <i>Choerodon cyanodus</i> )	Weed whiting ( <i>Siphonognathus attenuatus</i> )	Foxfish ( <i>Bodianus frenchii</i> )	Blue groper ( <i>Achoerodus gouldii</i> )	Maori wrasse ( <i>Ophthalmolepis lineolatus</i> )	Brown spotted wrasse ( <i>Notolabrus parilus</i> )
<i>CruA1</i>							
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6 <sup>v</sup>	4/4 <sup>v</sup>	5/5 <sup>v</sup>	2/7 <sup>**</sup>	3/7 <sup>**</sup>	4/5 <sup>s</sup>	4/6 <sup>**</sup>
<i>Size</i>	161-175	172-173	170-180	170-180	170-180	170-180	170-180
<i>A</i>	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
<i>CruA2</i>							
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6*	4/4 <sup>v</sup>	3/5*	2/7 <sup>**</sup>	4/7 <sup>**</sup>	3/5*	4/6*
<i>Size</i>	161-193	186-218	157-161	157	157-161	157-163	157-161
<i>A</i>	7	4	3	1	3	4	3
<i>CruA115</i>							
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6 <sup>v</sup>	4/4 <sup>v</sup>	3/5*	2/7 <sup>**</sup>	3/7 <sup>**</sup>	3/5 <sup>**</sup>	4/6 <sup>**</sup>
<i>Size</i>	214-254	197-305 <sup>v</sup>	206-226	198-208	216-225	198-226	196-226
<i>A</i>	7	8	3	2	4	5	5
<i>CruD7-2</i>							
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6 <sup>v</sup>	4/4 <sup>v</sup>	5/5 <sup>v</sup>	5/7 <sup>**</sup>	5/7 <sup>**</sup>	3/5 <sup>**</sup>	3/6 <sup>**</sup>
<i>Size</i>	269-291	219-221	239-255	239-255	220-255	239-255	239-255
<i>A</i>	6	2	2	3	6	2	3
<i>CruD1</i>							
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	4/6 <sup>**</sup>	4/4 <sup>s</sup>	2/5 <sup>**</sup>	3/7 <sup>**</sup>	5/7 <sup>**</sup>	4/5 <sup>v</sup>	3/6 <sup>**</sup>
<i>Size</i>	150-170	162-172	154-170	158-162	154-172	154-166	154-170
<i>A</i>	4	3	4	2	7	3	3
<i>CruD2</i>							
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6 <sup>v</sup>	1/4 <sup>**</sup>	na	na	na	1/5 <sup>**</sup>	1/6 <sup>**</sup>
<i>Size</i>	297-347	305-325				262-264	259-263
<i>A</i>	7	2				2	2

**Table 2 Continued**

<i>CruD112</i>								
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	5/6 <sup>§</sup>	3/4 <sup>√</sup>	3/5 <sup>⊗</sup>	2/7 <sup>⊗</sup>	3/7 <sup>⊗</sup>	3/5 <sup>⊗</sup>	3/6 <sup>⊗</sup>	
Size	234-250	242	242-246	242-250	242-250	242-250	218-246	
<i>A</i>	4	1	2	2	3	2	4	
<i>CruD118</i>								
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	5/6 <sup>§</sup>	4/4 <sup>§</sup>	1/5 <sup>⊗</sup>	7/7 <sup>§</sup>	6/7 <sup>§</sup>	5/5 <sup>§</sup>	6/6 <sup>§</sup>	
Size	251-255	251-255	251-255	251-255	251-255	251-255	251-255	
<i>A</i>	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
<i>CruD124</i>								
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6 <sup>√</sup>	4/4 <sup>√</sup>	5/5 <sup>√</sup>	7/7 <sup>√</sup>	6/7 <sup>√</sup>	4/5 <sup>⊗</sup>	2/6 <sup>⊗</sup>	
Size	269-328	188-212	210	190	234-258	190-236	228-242	
<i>A</i>	10	3	1	1	4	3	2	
<i>CruC10</i>								
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6 <sup>⊗</sup>	4/4 <sup>⊗</sup>	4/5 <sup>§</sup>	5/7 <sup>⊗</sup>	6/7 <sup>⊗</sup>	5/5 <sup>§</sup>	6/6 <sup>⊗</sup>	
Size	223	223-252	232-240	232-252	232-240	232-240	232-252	
<i>A</i>	1	5	2	4	2	2	3	
<i>CruC111</i>								
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	4/6 <sup>⊗</sup>	2/4 <sup>⊗</sup>	3/5 <sup>⊗</sup>	2/7 <sup>⊗</sup>	3/7 <sup>⊗</sup>	5/5 <sup>⊗</sup>	2/6 <sup>⊗</sup>	
Size	181-195	191-203	191-195	195	191-195	191-195	195-199	
<i>A</i>	3	4	2	1	2	2	2	
<i>CruC120-4</i>								
<i>n<sub>amp</sub>/n</i>	6/6 <sup>√</sup>	4/4 <sup>√</sup>	5/5 <sup>√</sup>	7/7 <sup>√</sup>	7/7 <sup>√</sup>	5/5 <sup>§</sup>	6/6 <sup>√</sup>	
Size	262-286	255-263	261-265	264	261-265	261-265	261-265	
<i>A</i>	6	3	2	1	3	2	2	

number of individuals successfully genotyped ( $n_{amp}$ ) and the total number of individuals assayed ( $n$ ); the overall quality of the fragments in the chromatograms, where the symbols indicate the following: <sup>√</sup> clear, strong fragments, <sup>§</sup> clear but weak fragments, <sup>⊗</sup> inconsistent amplification among individuals, \* a significant amount of stutter and/or mis-priming; and the number (*A*) and size range in base pairs (bp) of the observed alleles. na indicates that the locus was not successfully amplified from any individuals of the species. Species are ordered from most to least closely related to *C. rubescens* following Kazancıoğlu et al. (2009).