II CORE

Hindawi Publishing Corporation Clinical and Developmental Immunology Volume 2010, Article ID 539519, 9 pages doi:10.1155/2010/539519

# Review Article **Tumor Antigen Cross-Presentation and the Dendritic Cell: Where it All Begins?**

# Alison M. McDonnell,<sup>1</sup> Bruce W. S. Robinson,<sup>1,2</sup> and Andrew J. Currie<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6009, Australia

<sup>2</sup> National Centre for Asbestos Related Diseases, Perth, WA 6009, Australia

<sup>3</sup> School of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Murdoch University, WA 6150, Australia

Correspondence should be addressed to Andrew J. Currie, ajcurrie@cyllene.uwa.edu.au

Received 2 July 2010; Accepted 25 August 2010

Academic Editor: Dennis Klinman

Copyright © 2010 Alison M. McDonnell et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dendritic cells (DCs) are professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) that are critical for the generation of effective cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) responses; however, their function and phenotype are often defective or altered in tumor-bearing hosts, which may limit their capacity to mount an effective tumor-specific CTL response. In particular, the manner in which exogenous tumor antigens are acquired, processed, and cross-presented to CD8 T cells by DCs in tumor-bearing hosts is not well understood, but may have a profound effect on antitumor immunity. In this paper, we have examined the role of DCs in the cross-presentation of tumor antigen in terms of their subset, function, migration, and location with the intention of examining the early processes that contribute to the development of an ineffective anti-tumor immune response.

#### 1. Introduction

MHC class I-restricted tumor antigens derived from peripheral solid tumors can be presented by host APCs to naïve CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in a process known as cross-presentation. Though the contribution of this pathway to the generation of antitumor immunity has been challenged [1, 2], there is convincing evidence that tumor antigens are efficiently cross-presented in vivo [3-8]. Despite this, the coexistence of an antitumor immune response with tumor progression suggests that tumor-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are not properly activated in vivo or that tumor evasion mechanisms operate. Indeed, many have suggested that the inherent response of the host immune system to the cross-presentation of tumor antigens is the induction of T-cell tolerance [9-13]. Several studies have shown that incomplete activation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the tumor draining lymph node (TDLNs) is due to the effect of the tumor on dendritic cells (DCs), which are thought to be the major cross-presenting APC, rather than on the T cells themselves [5, 8, 10, 14-16]. Indeed, DCs detected in tumor tissue or local tumor draining lymph nodes (TDLN) of cancer patients display an immature

phenotype defined by low expression of CD80, CD86 or CD83, and altered APC function [5, 15, 17–19]. Since CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells are critical for the surveillance, control, and rejection of tumors [20, 21], an understanding of how existing tumor-specific responses are initially generated, and how this leads to their dysfunction, may ultimately lead to improved immunotherapy's for cancer.

## 2. Mechanism of Cross-Presentation

The process of cross-presentation was first identified by Bevan and colleagues in the mid-1970s where they showed that immunization with lymphoid cells congenic for minor histocompatibility antigens resulted in the generation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells that were restricted by the host MHC class I molecules [22, 23]. Thus, minor histocompatibility antigens must have been transferred from the donor cells to host APCs for priming of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [22, 23]. Whilst the exact mechanisms allowing exogenous antigen into the MHC class I processing pathway have not been fully elucidated, two main pathways have been described, both of which have been comprehensively covered in a review by Lin and colleagues [24]. Following uptake, exogenous antigens are internalized into specialized organelles that are termed phagosomes for particulate/cell-associated antigens, or endosomes, for soluble protein antigens [25]. The "cytosolic pathway" involves escape of exogenous antigen from the endosome/phagosome into the cytosol for proteasomal degradation. Similar to direct presentation, this pathway is TAP dependent. However the mechanism allowing transfer of proteins into the cytosol, or the site at which peptides are loaded onto MHC class I molecules, is not fully known [26]. Several models have been proposed including (1) formation of a pore in the phagosome/endosome via the ER-associated degradation pathway (ERAD) translocon, Sec61, (2) ERphagosome fusion for particulate antigens, and (3) ERendosome fusion for soluble protein antigens [25, 26]. In contrast, the "vacuolar pathway" is TAP independent and suggests exogenous proteins are degraded into peptides by lysosomal proteases within the lumen of the phagosome (or endosome) [25, 26]. These peptides are then loaded onto recycling MHC class I molecules by peptide exchange. Of the two, the "cytosolic pathway" is believed to be the most physiologically relevant [24, 27]. However it may depend on the type of antigen, and the mechanism of uptake that decides the internal route to cross-presentation [25].

# 3. Dendritic Cell Subsets and Cross-Presentation of Tumour Antigen

DCs are believed to play a pivotal role in the initiation and programming of tumor-specific T-cell responses [14, 15, 28]. Indeed, DCs residing in the TDLN have been found to cross-present tumor antigen to naive CTL [3, 5, 8, 10]. Despite this, there is little information concerning the role of specific DC subsets in antitumor immune responses. Studies of DC cross-presentation in mouse models of viral infection have clearly demonstrated that different DC subsets display different capacities for cross-presenting antigens [29, 30]. For instance, the lymph node resident CD8 $\alpha^+$  DC appears to be specialized for cross-presentation of exogenous antigens to naïve CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [31–34], whereas migratory CD8 $\alpha^{-}$  DCs are required for presentation on MHC class II to CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [33, 35, 36]. However, recent findings have challenged this clear dichotomy, as cross-presentation by  $CD8\alpha^{-}$  DCs can be induced by triggering through TLR or FcR [33, 37-39], and CD8 $\alpha^{-}$  DCs are required for cross-presentation of antigen derived from saccharomyces cerevisiae [40]. Finally,  $CD8\alpha^{-}CD103^{+/-}$  migratory DCs are able to cross-present viral antigen in the lung and skin [41-43] and may be the major cross-presenting subsets in these tissues. Therefore, the capacity of any DC subset to cross-present may depend on the nature of antigen encountered, and the state and location of the tissue where the DC resides, all of which may have important bearing on tumor antigen cross presentation.

Considering the importance of DCs in determining the fate of tumor-specific T cells, it is perhaps not surprising that studies examining DC subset-specific cross-presentation of tumor antigen are now emerging. For instance, Murphy and colleagues demonstrated that  $CD8a^+$  DCs were absolutely required for the generation of protective tumor-specific CTL

using transgenic mice lacking expression of the transcription factor Batf3 [28]. Lack of Batf3 in mice led to a selective loss of CD8 $\alpha^+$  DCs in the spleen and lymph nodes of these mice, whilst all other DC subsets remained intact. When these mice were challenged subcutaneously (s.c.) with syngeneic fibrosarcomas that are normally rapidly rejected in a CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell-dependent manner, tumors grew out [28]. This was associated with a failure to develop tumor-specific CTLs and hence tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells were reduced in these animals. Thus while  $\text{CD8}\alpha^+$  DCs appear crucial to the development of effective antitumor immunity, their role in the generation of ineffective CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells associated with progressing tumors, has not been fully elucidated. In a murine model of melanoma, only  $CD8\alpha^+$  DCs isolated from TDLNs were able to cross-present the secreted tumor antigen, ovalbumin (OVA) [10]. In this case, generation of ineffective CTL was thought to be due to defective processing and presentation on MHC class II, rather than defective cross-presentation [10]. In contrast, we have recently shown that both CD8 $\alpha^+$  and CD8 $\alpha^-$  TDLN DCs were able to cross-present the membrane tumor antigen, hemagglutinin (HA) [5]. In this instance, the cross-presenting DC subsets differed significantly in their expression of the inhibitory B7 molecule, B7-DC, with abundant expression found only on the CD8 $\alpha^-$  subset. Thus B7-DC expression on the CD8 $\alpha^-$ DC subset might act to limit CTL generation because upon interaction with PD-1, B7DC has been shown to mediate suppression of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [44].

The different conclusions drawn from these studies may be due to fundamental differences between the HA and OVA tumor models, secreted OVA versus membrane bound HA. A study by Burgdorf et al. demonstrated that mannose-receptor-mediated uptake of soluble OVA by DCs was required for cross-presentation to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [45] and expression of the mannose receptor was observed exclusively on murine CD8 $\alpha^+$  DCs [46]. Thus, the fact that CD8 $\alpha^-$  DCs played an equivalent role in cross-presentation of HA tumor antigen in our system might reflect the cell-associated form in which the tumor antigen was delivered. This may have relevance for human cancer as in humans, several membrane associated tumor molecules have been shown to be antigenic, including gp100, tyrosinase, MUC-1, and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) in melanoma, mesothelioma and ovarian cancer, respectively [47-49]. The nature of the tumor antigen (i.e. membrane, nuclear, cytoplasmic, secreted) may therefore dictate the DC subsets required for cross-presentation and ultimately the CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response that ensues.

While much is known regarding the phenotype of crosspresenting DCs in mouse models of disease, their human counterpart has remained elusive. However, several recent studies have suggested that the human equivalent of mouse CD8 $\alpha^+$  DCs is the minor population of human blood DCs expressing blood dendritic cell antigen (BDCA)-3 (CD141) [50–55]. BDCA-3<sup>+</sup> DCs share a similar transcriptional profile [55] and are known to express TLR3 [53], Clec9A [51, 54], Necl2 [53, 54], and XCR1 [50] like CD8 $\alpha^+$  DCs. In addition, they display an increased capacity for crosspresentation of soluble and cell-associated viral antigens [50, 53, 54]. However, due to their rarity in human blood Clinical and Developmental Immunology

 $(0.04\% \pm 0\%-30\%$  SD, [50]), little is known regarding their role in the tumor setting. BDCA-3<sup>+</sup> DC are known to infiltrate renal cell carcinoma [56] and are decreased in the peripheral blood of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma compared with healthy controls [57]. Further studies are required to determine the role of this subset in the cross-presentation of tumor antigen, and how they may be exploited for generation of a functional antitumor immune response.

# 4. Tumour Location and Cross-Presentation of Tumour Antigen

Solid tumors originate from diverse cell types and consequently can occur in many different locations in the body. Likewise the distribution, subset, and phenotype of DCs is known to differ between healthy peripheral tissues. In mice and man the lung, liver, kidney, and colonic mucosa are examples of sites that harbor different DCs subsets in different proportions, for example, murine lung DCs can be broadly subdivided into CD103+CD11b- and CD103<sup>-</sup>CD11b<sup>hi</sup> subsets that in the steady state are phenotypically and functionally immature [58, 59]. In contrast, colonic mucosa DCs are predominantly CD11b<sup>+</sup> with a small proportion positive for CD103 [60]. Similarly human livers contain predominantly immature conventional BDCA-1<sup>+</sup> DCs that produce IL-10 upon interaction with LPS [61]. In contrast pDC represent a greater fraction of the human kidney than conventional DC [62]. This most likely reflects the capacity of tissue resident DC to sample the local environment for innocuous and pathogenic antigen, yet it may also determine the generation of tumor-specific immunity at these sites. Murine studies have demonstrated that the antigen-presenting function of distinct DC subsets differs with the type and location of antigen exposure [41– 43, 63–65]. A study by Chung et al. showed that anatomic location defined antigen presentation by DCs in response to soluble protein [65]. Following systemic injection of OVA,  $CD8\alpha^+CD11b^-$  DCs were responsible for cross-presentation of OVA protein in the spleen whereas  $CD8\alpha^{-}CD11b^{+}$  DCs cross-presented OVA in the mesenteric lymph nodes. Consistent with this result,  $CD8\alpha^{-}CD11b^{+}$  DCs in the mesenteric LN were found to mediate cross-tolerance to intestinal antigens [66]. In response to innocuous inhaled antigen migratory CD103<sup>+</sup> DC mediate cross-presentation in the thoracic lymph nodes, whereas CD103<sup>-</sup> DC present antigen on MHC II to CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells [43]. However upon influenza infection CD103<sup>-</sup>CD11b<sup>hi</sup>CD70<sup>+</sup> DCs capture exogenous antigen in the lung and directly cross-prime CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in the draining lymph nodes [41]. Thus biological events in lymphoid tissue draining different sites may have direct relevance to tumor immunology because it is possible that the location in which a tumor is growing alters the response of the tumor-specific T cells. In support of this, IKDC were found in an experimental model of melanoma metastasis to be the dominant CD11c-expressing cells in the tumor bearing lung [67]. This subset developed preferentially in the lungs and was not detected in the adjacent lymph nodes [67]. In human cancer, analysis of peripheral blood DC revealed

a disparity in the proportion of lymphoid to myeloid DC between patients with breast cancer and NSCLC, suggesting that the number of circulating DCs in cancer patients may correspond to the type of neoplasm [68]. Thus tumor growth at different locations may recruit specific DC subsets. Such knowledge may be crucial to the development of tumor immunotherapy's targeting-related tumors in different sites.

# 5. What Is the Source and Form of Tumour Antigen for Cross-Presentation?

According to current dogma, efficient priming of CTL against viral and self-antigens requires migration of DCs transporting antigens from peripheral tissues, followed by presentation in draining lymph nodes [69]. This is supported by evidence from viral systems whereby DCs migrating from the site of infection transport antigen to the TDLN for crosspresentation [70, 71]. DC infiltration of solid tumors is well documented in both tumor-bearing animals and patients [15, 18, 19, 72–74]. As such, generation of tumor specific responses might then be expected to involve migration of DCs from tumor tissue to the TDLN for cross-presentation of antigens. However, this model may not properly represent the generation of tumor-specific T-cell responses that occur in the steady state for several reasons; firstly, tumor growth is associated with a lack of proinflammatory signals and pathogen byproducts that may interact with tumor-resident DCs and induce their activation and subsequent migration to the TDLN [14]. Secondly, tumors produce a variety of immunosuppressive soluble factors that inhibit DC maturation and differentiation, leading to the recruitment and accumulation of immature DCs at the tumor site [14, 75]. Thirdly, several studies have shown that DC migration is impaired in the presence of a solid growing tumor [10, 76– 78]. Finally, sentinel lymph node metastasis is a hallmark of human disease [79-84] and represents a mechanism whereby tumor antigen is delivered directly to the TDLN. While it is possible that DCs in the TDLN acquire antigen from metastatic tumor cells for cross-presentation to naïve T cells, the true form(s) of antigen required to induce an antitumor response in vivo is yet to be fully elucidated.

Tumor progression is associated with rapid proliferation of viable tumor cells and differing levels of tumor cell death in the form of apoptosis and necrosis. In addition, tumor cells are known to secrete soluble proteins [27, 85, 86] and antigen carrying exosomes [87, 88]. However the form of tumor antigen that is captured by DCs for cross-presentation is not known. Most of the information regarding the mechanisms operating in the capture, processing and crosspresentation of tumor antigens to CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells have been generated from in vitro culture systems. A previous review of the literature highlighted the potential mechanisms for transfer of tumor antigen to DCs for cross-presentation [15] including; (1) phagocytosis of cell associated antigens, (2) pinocytosis/endocytosis of soluble antigen, (3) capture of soluble antigen bound to heat shock proteins (HSP), (4) gap junction transfer, (5) capture of exosomes, (6) "nibbling" of live tumor cell membranes, and (7) "cross-dressing" whereby DCs acquire peptide MHC complexes from contact with necrotic cells. While several of these mechanisms have been shown to mediate effective tumor antigen responses in the vaccination/treatment setting, their physiological relevance for generation of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells during normal tumor progression is not known, but could be vital for improving cancer treatment.

Future studies should explore not only the form of tumor antigen required for effective cross-presentation but also any DC subset specificity. A study by Smyth et al. showed that splenic CD8 $\alpha^+$  and CD8 $\alpha^-$  DC subsets differ in their capacity to capture antigen for cross-presentation. Of note,  $CD8\alpha^{-}$  DCs induced  $CD8^{+}$  T-cell responses only when MHC:peptide complexes were acquired from neighboring cells, whereas CD8 $\alpha^+$  DC were more efficient at phagocytosis and cross-presentation [89]. While these mechanisms have not been explored in the context of antitumor immunity, they suggest that capture of tumor antigen from live tumor cells may favor cross-presentation by both DC subsets. In contrast, apoptotic cells have been shown to be preferentially captured by CD8 $\alpha^+$  DCs in vivo [90]. Since CD8 $\alpha^+$  DCs are required for rejection [28], therapies favoring capture of tumor antigen by this subset may facilitate more effective tumor-specific T-cell responses. Indeed, cellular destruction is known to enhance cross-presentation [91] and chemotherapy induced apoptosis of tumor cells has been shown to enhance tumor antigen cross-presentation [6]. As such, this concept may be explored using chemotherapy-induced apoptosis of tumor cells in vivo to determine whether there is a shift in the capacity of DC subsets to cross-present tumor antigen in the presence of apoptotic versus live tumor cells.

## 6. The Outcome of Cross-Presentation: Priming or Tolerance

Cross-presentation is required for the maintenance of peripheral tolerance (cross-tolerance) as well as the generation of CTL against infection (cross-priming). The balance between these two situations is believed to be mediated by the activation status of the cross-presenting DC [92]. Indeed, several studies have shown that the difference between tolerance and immunity is the presence or absence of appropriate inflammatory signals together with co-stimulation [92–94]. Such signals include pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), derived from bacterial and viral infection, such as LPS and unmethylated CpG motifs that are recognized by specific receptors on DCs, including TLRs. Likewise, CD40-CD40 ligand (CD154) interaction with activated CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells can result in DC activation. Together with soluble mediators such as type I IFNs, these interactions induce DC differentiation and activation characterized by increased surface expression of MHC molecules and costimulatory signals such as CD80, CD86, and CD70 a process often referred to as "licensing" [92]. Such signals are critical for the effective stimulation and enhanced survival of antigenspecific CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells [95]. Conversely, cross-presentation by "unlicensed" or immature DCs stimulates an abortive CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response culminating in deletion or anergy (tolerance), rather than the induction of effector CTL [94].

In both cancer patients and tumor-bearing animals, DCs infiltrating tumor tissue, or those found in local TDLNs bear an immature phenotype [14, 15]. Tumor beds have been shown to mediate this immune suppression by secretion of tumor-derived soluble factors such as IL-10, transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ), IL-6, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), prostaglandin E-2 (PGE-2), and gangliosides that act to prevent DC differentiation and function [14, 75]. Similarly, altered levels of these cytokines in peripheral blood correlate with the presence of immature DC phenotypes [57] indicating that immunosuppression is not restricted to the local site. These immature DCs can induce tolerance through the generation of regulatory CD4+ T cells (Treg) [96], production of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) [97-99] and expression of inhibitory B7 molecules, B7H1, and B7-DC, all of which act to suppress CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell activation and differentiation. Therefore, given the absence of inflammatory stimuli and local immunosuppression, cross-presentation of tumor antigens by immature DCs during normal tumor progression may result in CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell tolerance.

Given this, strategies aimed at activating the crosspresenting DC subset(s) and reducing immunosuppression show great promise for the treatment of cancer. Local and systemic administration of activating anti-CD40 antibody either alone or in conjunction with other therapies has been shown to alter the phenotype of DC subsets and augment tumor specific CD8+ T-cell responses in vivo [8, 100-103]. TLR agonists including polyI:C [104], CpG [74] and the TLR7/8 agonist Imiquimod [100, 105] have shown similar results. Of clinical relevance is a study by Stary et al. where treatment of basal cell carcinoma with Imiquimod promoted the recruitment of tumor infiltrating DCs expressing perforin and granzyme B, indicating that they may exert cytotoxic effects directly against tumor cells [105]. In addition to DC activating agents, several studies have shown that blocking interaction of B7 inhibitory molecules on DCs with their receptors on CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells can promote productive antitumor responses in vivo [106– 108], providing evidence that expression of these molecules by cross-presenting DCs may promote a defective T-cell response. However, it is not only new and emerging therapies that have garnered interest recently for their capacity to augment tumor-specific immune responses. In recent years, chemotherapy, used in the treatment of many primary cancers has been investigated not only for its role in tumor cell death, but also its ability to stimulate the immune system [6, 102, 109–115]. This immunoadjuvant effect of chemotherapy is thought to occur by altering the context of the dying tumor cell [109-111], increasing the amount of tumor antigen available for cross-presentation [6] or through side effects that stimulate the immune system [112, 116–118]. All of which may primarily rely on the capacity of DCs to capture, process and present tumor antigen from dying cells. A series of elegant experiments by the Zitvogel and Kroemer groups showed that DCs were exclusively required for the generation of protective immunity following injection with chemotherapy-treated tumor cells [110, 111]. Anthracyclin or oxaliplatin treatment induced (i) translocation of calreticulin (CRT) to the tumor cell surface, (ii) release of the TLR4 ligand high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) protein, and (iii) release of ATP by dying tumor cells, all of which act in concert to promote IL-1 $\beta$  secretion by DCs and ultimately result in a protective tumor-specific CD8<sup>+</sup> T-cell response [119]. The chemotherapeutic agent cyclophosphamide (CY) has been shown to enhance tumor specific immunity at low doses by specific depletion of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD25<sup>+</sup> Tregs, [116, 120, 121]. High doses of CY induce lymphoablation and immunosuppression; however, this may set the stage for homeostatic proliferation, which may enhance any additional immunological intervention [121]. Furthermore, treatment of established solid tumors with a combination of CY and etoposide resulted in significant uric acid accumulation at the tumor site [122]. Uric acid has been shown to activate DCs and promote tumor rejection [122, 123]. In a similar vein, the chemotherapeutic agent gemcitabine can modulate the immune response to solid tumors by increasing the amount of antigen available for cross-presentation [124] and regulating tumor suppression by elimination of CD11b<sup>+</sup>Gr1<sup>+</sup> myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) [112, 125, 126]. Importantly, gemcitabine has been shown to prime the host immune system for adjuvant immunotherapy. Treatment of tumour-bearing mice with activating anti-CD40 antibody following gemcitabine chemotherapy induced long-term cures in >80% of mice bearing malignant mesothelioma's (MM) [102]. This effect was not solely due to the debulking effects of the drug as surgical resection did not augment the effects of immunotherapy. Likewise gemcitabine chemotherapy prior to treatment with an adenovirus-expressing IFN- $\beta$  led to regression of large established tumours [112]. While such effects are yet to be determined in humans, a study by Plate et al. demonstrated that following the initial infusion of gemcitabine in patients with pancreatic cancer the number of BDCA-1<sup>+</sup> DCs in peripheral blood decreased, and this was countered by a reciprocal increase in the BDCA-3<sup>+</sup> DC population [127]. BDCA-3<sup>+</sup> DCs being a minor population in peripheral blood that are suggested to be the human equivalent of the murine CD8 $\alpha^+$  DC [50–55]. While numbers returned to normal during subsequent treatment this suggests that there may be a defined window during chemotherapy to apply immune modulating agents to favor cross-presentation of tumor antigens by particular subsets of DCs.

A knowledge of which DC subsets are present in tumors under basal and therapeutic conditions, along with information on their capacity to respond to various immune stimuli (such TLR agonists) is likely to guide the rationale design of future multimodality therapies.

#### 7. Conclusions

DCs are a heterogeneous population of APCs that play an important role in the generation of effective CTL responses [30, 128]. Unfortunately, their function has been shown to be defective in tumor bearing animals and cancer patients [129], leading to an ineffective antitumor T-cell response, and ultimately, uncontrolled tumor growth and metastatic spread. While DCs have been studied extensively for their

therapeutic or vaccine potential, their role during the effector phase of the antitumor response has not been fully elucidated. It has been demonstrated that DCs enriched from TDLNs are able to cross-present tumor antigen [3, 5, 8, 10] and importantly, that host dendritic cells are absolutely required for the generation of a protective CTL response leading to tumor regression [28]. Given this, it is not surprising that treatment strategies targeting endogenous DCs via CD40-CD40L interaction or TLR ligands have produced promising results. Therefore, a full understanding of the complex interactions between tumors and host DCs may reveal the reasons why the immune system fails to generate a protective antitumor response.

Development of future therapies for cancer may be required to (1) alter the context of tumor antigen for presentation by host DCs, (2) sufficiently activate DCs in the local TDLN and at the tumor site, (3) target antigen to specific DC subsets, and (4) limit immunosuppressive mechanisms in the tumor microenvironment to enable reactivation of tumor-infiltrating T cells. Such outcomes will most likely be achieved through a combination therapies acting to induce tumor cell death and augment the host antitumor immune response.

### References

- A. F. Ochsenbein, S. Sierro, B. Odermatt et al., "Roles of tumour localization, second signals and cross priming in cytotoxic T-cell induction," *Nature*, vol. 411, no. 6841, pp. 1058–1064, 2001.
- [2] R. M. Zinkernagel, "On cross-priming of MHC class Ispecific CTL: rule or exception?" *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 2385–2392, 2002.
- [3] Z. F. H. M. Boonman, G. J. D. Van Mierlo, M. F. Fransen et al., "Intraocular tumor antigen drains specifically to submandibular lymph nodes, resulting in an abortive cytotoxic T cell reaction," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 172, no. 3, pp. 1567–1574, 2004.
- [4] A. Y. C. Huang, P. Golumbek, M. Ahmadzadeh, E. Jaffee, D. Pardoll, and H. Levitsky, "Role of bone marrow-derived cells in presenting MHC class I-restricted tumor antigens," *Science*, vol. 264, no. 5161, pp. 961–965, 1994.
- [5] A. M. McDonnell, A. C. Prosser, I. van Bruggen et al., "CD8alpha+DC are not the sole subset cross-presenting cellassociated tumor antigens from a solid tumor," *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 1617–1627, 2010.
- [6] A. K. Nowak, R. A. Lake, A. L. Marzo et al., "Induction of tumor cell apoptosis in vivo increases tumor antigen crosspresentation, cross-priming rather than cross-tolerizing host tumor-specific CD8 T cells," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 170, no. 10, pp. 4905–4913, 2003.
- [7] S. Seung, J. L. Urban, and H. Schreiber, "A tumor escape variant that has lost one major histocompatibility complex class I restriction element induces specific CD8+ T cells to an antigen that no longer serves as a target," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 178, no. 3, pp. 933–940, 1993.
- [8] G. J. D. Van Mierlo, Z. F. H. M. Boonman, H. M. H. Dumortier et al., "Activation of dendritic cells that crosspresent tumor-derived antigen licenses CD8+ CTL to cause tumor eradication," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 173, no. 11, pp. 6753–6759, 2004.

- [9] A. Cuenca, F. Cheng, H. Wang et al., "Extra-lymphatic solid tumor growth is not immunologically ignored and results in early induction of antigen-specific T-cell anergy: dominant role of cross-tolerance to tumor antigens," *Cancer Research*, vol. 63, no. 24, pp. 9007–9015, 2003.
- [10] M. Y. Gerner, K. A. Casey, and M. F. Mescher, "Defective MHC class II presentation by dendritic cells limits CD4 T cell help for antitumor CD8 T cell responses," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 181, no. 1, pp. 155–164, 2008.
- [11] M. A. Lyman, S. Aung, J. A. Biggs, and L. A. Sherman, "A spontaneously arising pancreatic tumor does not promote the differentiation of naive CD8+ T lymphocytes into effector CTL 1," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 172, no. 11, pp. 6558– 6567, 2004.
- [12] M. Y. Mapara and M. Sykes, "Tolerance and cancer: mechanisms of tumor evasion and strategies for breaking tolerance," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 1136–1151, 2004.
- [13] K. Staveley-O'Carroll, E. Sotomayor, J. Montgomery et al., "Induction of antigen-specific T cell anergy: an early event in the course of tumor progression," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 1178–1183, 1998.
- [14] M. V. Dhodapkar, K. M. Dhodapkar, and A. K. Palucka, "Interactions of tumor cells with dendritic cells: balancing immunity and tolerance," *Cell Death and Differentiation*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 39–50, 2008.
- [15] C. J. M. Melief, "Cancer immunotherapy by dendritic cells," *Immunity*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 372–383, 2008.
- [16] K. M. Hargadon, C. C. Brinkman, S. L. Sheasley-O'Neill, L. A. Nichols, T. N. J. Bullock, and V. H. Engelhard, "Incomplete differentiation of antigen-specific CD8 T cells in tumordraining lymph nodes," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 177, no. 9, pp. 6081–6090, 2006.
- [17] N. Chaput, R. Conforti, S. Viaud, A. Spatz, and L. Zitvogel, "The Janus face of dendritic cells in cancer," *Oncogene*, vol. 27, no. 45, pp. 5920–5931, 2008.
- [18] I. Perrot, D. Blanchard, N. Freymond et al., "Dendritic cells infiltrating human non-small cell lung cancer are blocked at immature stage," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 178, no. 5, pp. 2763–2769, 2007.
- [19] A. Troy, P. Davidson, C. Atkinson, and D. Hart, "Phenotypic characterisation of the dendritic cell infiltrate in prostate cancer," *Journal of Urology*, vol. 160, no. 1, pp. 214–219, 1998.
- [20] S. A. Rosenberg, N. P. Restifo, J. C. Yang, R. A. Morgan, and M. E. Dudley, "Adoptive cell transfer: a clinical path to effective cancer immunotherapy," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 299–308, 2008.
- [21] J. B. Swann and M. J. Smyth, "Immune surveillance of tumors," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 117, no. 5, pp. 1137–1146, 2007.
- [22] M. J. Bevan, "Minor H antigens introduced on H2 different stimulating cells cross react at the cytotoxic T cell level during in vivo priming," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 117, no. 6, pp. 2233–2238, 1976.
- [23] M. J. Bevan, "Cross priming for a secondary cytotoxic response to minor H antigens with H 2 congenic cells which do not cross react in the cytotoxic assay," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 143, no. 5, pp. 1283–1288, 1976.
- [24] M.-L. Lin, Y. Zhan, J. A. Villadangos, and A. M. Lew, "The cell biology of cross-presentation and the role of dendritic cell subsets," *Immunology and Cell Biology*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 353–362, 2008.

- [25] S. Burgdorf and C. Kurts, "Endocytosis mechanisms and the cell biology of antigen presentation," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 89–95, 2008.
- [26] M.-L. Lin, Y. Zhan, J. A. Villadangos, and A. M. Lew, "The cell biology of cross-presentation and the role of dendritic cell subsets," *Immunology and Cell Biology*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 353–362, 2008.
- [27] W. D. Kang, H. S. Choi, and S. M. Kim, "Value of serum CA125 levels in patients with high-risk, early stage epithelial ovarian cancer," *Gynecologic Oncology*, vol. 116, no. 1, pp. 57– 60, 2010.
- [28] K. Hildner, B. T. Edelson, W. E. Purtha et al., "Batf3 deficiency reveals a critical role for CD8 $\alpha$ + dendritic cells in cytotoxic T cell immunity," *Science*, vol. 322, no. 5904, pp. 1097–1100, 2008.
- [29] W. R. Heath and F. R. Carbone, "Dendritic cell subsets in primary and secondary T cell responses at body surfaces," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 10, no. 12, pp. 1237–1244, 2009.
- [30] J. A. Villadangos and P. Schnorrer, "Intrinsic and cooperative antigen-presenting functions of dendritic-cell subsets in vivo," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 543–555, 2007.
- [31] G. T. Belz, K. Shortman, M. J. Bevan, and W. R. Heath, "CD8α+ dendritic cells selectively present MHC class Irestricted noncytolytic viral and intracellular bacterial antigens in vivo," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 175, no. 1, pp. 196– 200, 2005.
- [32] J. M. M. Den Haan, S. M. Lehar, and M. J. Bevan, "CD8<sup>+</sup> but not CD8<sup>-</sup> dendritic cells cross-prime cytotoxic T cells in vivo," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 192, no. 12, pp. 1685–1695, 2000.
- [33] J. L. Pooley, W. R. Heath, and K. Shortman, "Cutting edge: intravenous soluble antigen is presented to CD4 T cells by CD8- dendritic cells, but cross-presented to CD8 T cells by CD8+ dendritic cells," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 166, no. 9, pp. 5327–5330, 2001.
- [34] K. Shortman and W. R. Heath, "The CD8+ dendritic cell subset," *Immunological Reviews*, vol. 234, no. 1, pp. 18–31, 2010.
- [35] C. Filippi, S. Hugues, J. Cazareth, V. Julia, N. Glaichenhaus, and S. Ugolini, "CD4+ T cell polarization in mice is modulated by strain-specific major histocompatibility complexindependent differences within dendritic cells," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 198, no. 2, pp. 201–209, 2003.
- [36] A. A. Itano and M. K. Jenkins, "Antigen presentation to naive CD4 T cells in the lymph node," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 4, no. 8, pp. 733–739, 2003.
- [37] J. M. M. Den Haan and M. J. Bevan, "Constitutive versus activation-dependent cross-presentation of immune complexes by CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>-</sup> dendritic cells in vivo," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 196, no. 6, pp. 817–827, 2002.
- [38] G. L. Morón, P. Rueda, I. Casal, and C. Leclerc, "CD8α-CD11b+ dendritic cells present exogenous virus-like particles to CD8+ T cells and subsequently express CD8α-and CD205 molecules," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 195, no. 10, pp. 1233–1245, 2002.
- [39] C. Ruedl, T. Storni, F. Lechner, T. Bächi, and M. F. Bachmann, "Cross-presentation of virus-like particles by skin-derived CD8- dendritic cells: a dispensable role for TAP," *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 818–825, 2002.
- [40] R. Backer, F. van Leeuwen, G. Kraal, and J. M. M. den Haan, "CD8- dendritic cells preferentially cross-present Saccharomyces cerevisiae antigens," *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 370–380, 2008.

- [41] A. Ballesteros-Tato, B. Leon, F. E. Lund et al., "Temporal changes in dendritic cell subsets, cross-priming and costimulation via CD70 control CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell responses to influenza," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 216–224, 2010.
- [42] S. Bedoui, P. G. Whitney, J. Waithman et al., "Crosspresentation of viral and self antigens by skin-derived CD103+ dendritic cells," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 488–495, 2009.
- [43] M.-L. Del Rio, J.-I. Rodriguez-Barbosa, E. Kremmer, and R. Förster, "CD103- and CD103+ bronchial lymph node dendritic cells are specialized in presenting and crosspresenting innocuous antigen to CD4+ and CD8+ T cells," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 178, no. 11, pp. 6861–6866, 2007.
- [44] W. Zou and L. Chen, "Inhibitory B7-family molecules in the tumour microenvironment," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 467–477, 2008.
- [45] S. Burgdorf, A. Kautz, V. Böhnert, P. A. Knolle, and C. Kurts, "Distinct pathways of antigen uptake and intracellular routing in CD4 and CD8 T cell activation," *Science*, vol. 316, no. 5824, pp. 612–616, 2007.
- [46] S. Burgdorf, V. Lukacs-Kornek, and C. Kurts, "The mannose receptor mediates uptake of soluble but not of cell-associated antigen for cross-presentation," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 176, no. 11, pp. 6770–6776, 2006.
- [47] C. Castelli, L. Rivoltini, G. Andreola, M. Carrabba, N. Renkvist, and G. Parmiani, "T-cell recognition of melanomaassociated antigens," *Journal of Cellular Physiology*, vol. 182, no. 3, pp. 323–331, 2000.
- [48] J. Creaney, A. Segal, G. Sterrett et al., "Overexpression and altered glycosylation of MUC1 in malignant mesothelioma," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 98, no. 9, pp. 1562–1569, 2008.
- [49] A. Malkin, J. A. Kellen, G. M. Lickrish, and R. S. Bush, "Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and other tumor markers in ovarian and cervical cancer," *Cancer*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 1452–1456, 1978.
- [50] A. Bachem, S. Guttler, E. Hartung et al., "Superior antigen cross-presentation and XCR1 expression define human CD11c+CD141+ cells as homologues of mouse CD8+ dendritic cells," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 207, no. 6, pp. 1273–1281, 2010.
- [51] I. Caminschi, A. I. Proietto, F. Ahmet et al., "The dendritic cell subtype-restricted C-type lectin Clec9A is a target for vaccine enhancement," *Blood*, vol. 112, no. 8, pp. 3264–3273, 2008.
- [52] L. Galibert, G. S. Diemer, Z. Liu et al., "Nectin-like protein 2 defines a subset of T-cell zone dendritic cells and is a ligand for Class-I-restricted T-cell-associated molecule," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 23, pp. 21955–21964, 2005.
- [53] S. L. Jongbloed, A. J. Kassianos, K. J. McDonald et al., "Human CD141<sup>+</sup> (BDCA-3)<sup>+</sup> dendritic cells (DCs) represent a unique myeloid DC subset that cross-presents necrotic cell antigens," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 207, no. 6, pp. 1247–1260, 2010.
- [54] L. F. Poulin, M. Salio, and E. Griessinger, "Characterization of human DNGR-1+ BDCA3+ leukocytes as putative equivalents of mouse CD8alpha+ dendritic cells," *The Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 207, no. 6, pp. 1261–1271, 2010.
- [55] S. H. Robbins, T. Walzer, D. Dembélé et al., "Novel insights into the relationships between dendritic cell subsets in human and mouse revealed by genome-wide expression profiling," *Genome Biology*, vol. 9, no. 1, article no. R17, 2008.

- [56] M. Gigante, A. Blasi, A. Loverre et al., "Dysfunctional DC subsets in RCC patients: ex vivo correction to yield an effective anti-cancer vaccine," *Molecular Immunology*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 893–901, 2009.
- [57] S. Beckebaum, X. Zhang, X. Chen et al., "Increased levels of interleukin-10 in serum from patients with hepatocellular carcinoma correlate with profound numerical deficiencies and immature phenotype of circulating dendritic cell subsets," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 10, no. 21, pp. 7260–7269, 2004.
- [58] C. Von Garnier, L. Filgueira, M. Wikstrom et al., "Anatomical location determines the distribution and function of dendritic cells and other APCs in the respiratory tract," *Journal* of *Immunology*, vol. 175, no. 3, pp. 1609–1618, 2005.
- [59] M. E. Wikstrom and P. A. Stumbles, "Mouse respiratory tract dendritic cell subsets and the immunological fate of inhaled antigens," *Immunology and Cell Biology*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 182–188, 2007.
- [60] S. Takenaka, E. Safroneeva, Z. Xing, and J. Gauldie, "Dendritic cells derived from murine colonic mucosa have unique functional and phenotypic characteristics," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 178, no. 12, pp. 7984–7993, 2007.
- [61] B. M. Bosma, H. J. Metselaar, S. Mancham et al., "Characterization of human liver dendritic cells in liver grafts and perfusates," *Liver Transplantation*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 384–393, 2006.
- [62] R. John and P. J. Nelson, "Dendritic cells in the kidney," *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 2628–2635, 2007.
- [63] O. Akbari, R. H. DeKruyff, and D. T. Umetsu, "Pulmonary dendritic cells producing IL-10 mediate tolerance induced by respiratory exposure to antigen," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 2, no. 8, pp. 725–731, 2001.
- [64] G. T. Belz, C. M. Smith, L. Kleinert et al., "Distinct migrating and nonmigrating dendritic cell population are involved in MHC class I-restricted antigen presentation after lung infection with virus," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 101, no. 23, pp. 8670–8675, 2004.
- [65] Y. Chung, J.-H. Chang, B.-K. Kim, J.-M. Lee, H.-Y. Kim, and C.-Y. Kang, "Anatomic location defines antigen presentation by dendritic cells to T cells in response to intravenous soluble antigens," *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1453–1462, 2007.
- [66] Y. Chung, J.-H. Chang, M.-N. Kweon, P. D. Rennert, and C.-Y. Kang, "CD8α-11b+ dendritic cells but not CD8α+ dendritic cells mediate cross-tolerance toward intestinal antigens," *Blood*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 201–206, 2005.
- [67] K. A. Sauer, J. H. Maxeiner, R. Karwot et al., "Immunosurveillance of lung melanoma metastasis in EBI-3-deficient mice mediated by CD8+ T cells," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 181, no. 9, pp. 6148–6157, 2008.
- [68] K. Wojas, J. Tabarkiewicz, M. Jankiewicz, and J. Roliński, "Dendritic cells in peripheral blood of patients with breast and lung cancer—a pilot study," *Folia Histochemica et Cytobiologica*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 45–48, 2004.
- [69] D. Alvarez, E. H. Vollmann, and U. H. von Andrian, "Mechanisms and consequences of dendritic cell migration," *Immunity*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 325–342, 2008.
- [70] R. S. Allan, J. Waithman, S. Bedoui et al., "Migratory dendritic cells transfer antigen to a lymph node-resident dendritic cell population for efficient CTL priming," *Immunity*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 153–162, 2006.

1621–1634, 2008.
[72] O. Preynat-Seauve, P. Schuler, E. Contassot, F. Beermann, B. Huard, and L. E. French, "Tumor-infiltrating dendritic cells are potent antigen-presenting cells able to activate T cells and mediate tumor rejection," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 176, no. 1, pp. 61–67, 2006.

cells," Journal of Experimental Medicine, vol. 205, no. 7, pp.

- [73] P. Stoitzner, L. K. Green, J. Y. Jung et al., "Inefficient presentation of tumor-derived antigen by tumor-infiltrating dendritic cells," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 57, no. 11, p. 1675, 2008.
- [74] A. P. Vicari, C. Chiodoni, C. Vaure et al., "Reversal of tumorinduced dendritic cell paralysis by CpG immunostimulatory oligonucleotide and anti-interleukin 10 receptor antibody," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 196, no. 4, pp. 541– 549, 2002.
- [75] A. Pinzon-Charry, T. Maxwell, and J. A. López, "Dendritic cell dysfunction in cancer: a mechanism for immunosuppression," *Immunology and Cell Biology*, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 451– 461, 2005.
- [76] M. Y. Gerner and M. F. Mescher, "Antigen processing and MHC-II presentation by dermal and tumor-infiltrating dendritic cells," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 182, no. 5, pp. 2726–2737, 2009.
- [77] T. Ishida, T. Oyama, D. P. Carbone, and D. I. Gabrilovich, "Defective function of langerhans cells in tumor-bearing animals is the result of defective maturation from hemopoietic progenitors," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 161, no. 9, pp. 4842–4851, 1998.
- [78] A. D. Lucas and G. M. Halliday, "Progressor but not regressor skin tumours inhibit Langerhans' cell migration from epidermis to local lymph nodes," *Immunology*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 130–137, 1999.
- [79] G. W. Carlson, D. R. Murray, R. H. Lyles, C. A. Staley, A. Hestley, and C. Cohen, "The amount of metastatic melanoma in a sentinel lymph node: does it have prognostic significance?" *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 575–581, 2003.
- [80] P. D. Gobardhan, S. G. Elias, E. V. E. Madsen et al., "Prognostic value of micrometastases in sentinel lymph nodes of patients with breast carcinoma: a cohort study," *Annals of Oncology*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 41–48, 2009.
- [81] S. Imoto, A. Ochiai, C. Okumura, N. Wada, and T. Hasebe, "Impact of isolated tumor cells in sentinel lymph nodes detected by immunohistochemical staining," *European Journal of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 1175–1179, 2006.
- [82] O. Rena, L. Carsana, S. Cristina et al., "Lymph node isolated tumor cells and micrometastases in pathological stage I nonsmall cell lung cancer: prognostic significance," *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 863– 867, 2007.
- [83] P. Scheunemann, N. H. Stoecklein, K. Hermann et al., "Occult disseminated tumor cells in lymph nodes of patients with gastric carcinoma. A critical appraisal of assessment and relevance," *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery*, vol. 394, no. 1, pp. 105–113, 2009.
- [84] G. W. Carlson, D. R. Murray, R. H. Lyles, C. A. Staley, A. Hestley, and C. Cohen, "The amount of metastatic melanoma in a sentinel lymph node: does it have prognostic significance?" *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 575–581, 2003.

- [85] F. M. Johnston, M. C. Tan, B. R. Tan Jr. et al., "Circulating mesothelin protein and cellular antimesothelin immunity in patients with pancreatic cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*,
- vol. 15, no. 21, pp. 6511–6518, 2009.
  [86] J. Walz, A. Haese, V. Scattoni et al., "Percent free prostate-specific antigen (PSA) is an accurate predictor of prostate cancer risk in men with serum PSA 2.5 ng/mL and lower," *Cancer*, vol. 113, no. 10, pp. 2695–2703, 2008.
- [87] F. André, N. E. C. Schartz, N. Chaput et al., "Tumor-derived exosomes: a new source of tumor rejection antigens," *Vaccine*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. A28–A31, 2002.
- [88] I. S. Zeelenberg, M. Ostrowski, S. Krumeich et al., "Targeting tumor antigens to secreted membrane vesicles in vivo induces efficient antitumor immune responses," *Cancer Research*, vol. 68, no. 4, pp. 1228–1235, 2008.
- [89] L. A. Smyth, N. Harker, W. Turnbull et al., "The relative efficiency of acquisition of MHC:peptide complexes and cross-presentation depends on dendritic cell type," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 181, no. 5, pp. 3212–3220, 2008.
- [90] T. Iyoda, S. Shimoyama, K. Liu et al., "The CD8+ dendritic cell subset selectively endocytoses dying cells in culture and in vivo," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 195, no. 10, pp. 1289–1302, 2002.
- [91] C. Kurts, J. F. A. P. Miller, R. M. Subramaniam, F. R. Carbone, and W. R. Heath, "Major histocompatibility complex class I-restricted cross-presentation is biased towards high dose antigens and those released during cellular destruction," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 188, no. 2, pp. 409– 414, 1998.
- [92] R. M. Steinman, D. Hawiger, K. Liu et al., "Dendritic cell function in vivo during the steady state: a role in peripheral tolerance," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 987, pp. 15–25, 2003.
- [93] J. Hernández, S. Aung, K. Marquardt, and L. A. Sherman, "Uncoupling of proliferative potential and gain of effector function by CD8+ T cells responding to self-antigens," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 196, no. 3, pp. 323– 333, 2002.
- [94] W. L. Redmond and L. A. Sherman, "Peripheral tolerance of CD8 T lymphocytes," *Immunity*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 275–284, 2005.
- [95] L. H. Boise, A. J. Minn, P. J. Noel et al., "CD28 costimulation can promote T cell survival by enhancing the expression of Bcl-x(L)," *Immunity*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 87–98, 1995.
- [96] Y. Belkaid and G. Oldenhove, "Tuning microenvironments: induction of regulatory T cells by dendritic cells," *Immunity*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 362–371, 2008.
- [97] D. H. Munn and A. L. Mellor, "Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and tumor-induced tolerance," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 117, no. 5, pp. 1147–1154, 2007.
- [98] D. H. Munn, M. D. Sharma, D. Hou et al., "Expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase by plasmacytoid dendritic cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 114, no. 2, pp. 280–290, 2004.
- [99] M. D. Sharma, B. Baban, P. Chandler et al., "Plasmacytoid dendritic cells from mouse tumor-draining lymph nodes directly activate mature Tregs via indoleamine 2,3dioxygenase," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 117, no. 9, pp. 2570–2582, 2007.
- [100] S. A. Broomfield, R. G. van der Most, A. C. Prosser et al., "Locally administered TLR7 agonists drive systemic antitumor immune responses that are enhanced by anti-CD40 immunotherapy," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 182, no. 9, pp. 5217–5224, 2009.

- [101] C. Jackaman, A. M. Lew, Y. Zhan et al., "Deliberately provoking local inflammation drives tumors to become their own protective vaccine site," *International Immunology*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 1467–1479, 2008.
- [102] A. K. Nowak, B. W.S. Robinson, and R. A. Lake, "Synergy between chemotherapy and immunotherapy in the treatment of established murine solid tumors," *Cancer Research*, vol. 63, no. 15, pp. 4490–4496, 2003.
- [103] P. A. Stumbles, R. Himbeck, J. A. Frelinger, E. J. Collins, R. A. Lake, and B. W. S. Robinson, "Cutting edge: tumor-specific CTL are constitutively cross-armed in draining lymph nodes and transiently disseminate to mediate tumor regression following systemic CD40 activation," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 173, no. 10, pp. 5923–5928, 2004.
- [104] A. J. Currie, R. G. Van Der Most, S. A. Broomfield, A. C. Prosser, M. G. Tovey, and B. W. S. Robinson, "Targeting the effector site with IFN- $\alpha\beta$ -inducing TLR ligands reactivates tumor-resident CD8 T cell responses to eradicate established solid tumors," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 180, no. 3, pp. 1535–1544, 2008.
- [105] G. Stary, C. Bangert, M. Tauber, R. Strohal, T. Kopp, and G. Stingl, "Tumoricidal activity of TLR7/8-activated inflammatory dendritic cells," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 204, no. 6, pp. 1441–1451, 2007.
- [106] A. J. Currie, A. Prosser, A. McDonnell et al., "Dual control of antitumor CD8 T cells through the programmed death-1/programmed death-ligand 1 pathway and immunosuppressive CD4 T cells: regulation and counterregulation," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 183, no. 12, pp. 7898–7908, 2009.
- [107] B. T. Fife and J. A. Bluestone, "Control of peripheral Tcell tolerance and autoimmunity via the CTLA-4 and PD-1 pathways," *Immunological Reviews*, vol. 224, no. 1, pp. 166– 182, 2008.
- [108] M. E. Keir, L. M. Francisco, and A. H. Sharpe, "PD-1 and its ligands in T-cell immunity," *Current Opinion in Immunology*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 309–314, 2007.
- [109] L. Apetoh, F. Ghiringhelli, A. Tesniere et al., "Toll-like receptor 4-dependent contribution of the immune system to anticancer chemotherapy and radiotherapy," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 1050–1059, 2007.
- [110] N. Casares, M. O. Pequignot, A. Tesniere et al., "Caspasedependent immunogenicity of doxorubicin-induced tumor cell death," *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, vol. 202, no. 12, pp. 1691–1701, 2005.
- [111] M. Obeid, A. Tesniere, F. Ghiringhelli et al., "Calreticulin exposure dictates the immunogenicity of cancer cell death," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 54–61, 2007.
- [112] E. Suzuki, V. Kapoor, A. S. Jassar, L. R. Kaiser, and S. M. Albelda, "Gemcitabine selectively eliminates splenic Gr-1+/CD11b + myeloid suppressor cells in tumor-bearing animals and enhances antitumor immune activity," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 11, no. 18, pp. 6713–6721, 2005.
- [113] H. Van Cruijsen, A. A. M. Van Der Veldt, L. Vroling et al., "Sunitinib-induced myeloid lineage redistribution in renal cell cancer patients: CD1c+ dendritic cell frequency predicts progression-free survival," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 14, no. 18, pp. 5884–5892, 2008.
- [114] R. G. van der Most, A. J. Currie, A. L. Cleaver et al., "Cyclophosphamide chemotherapy sensitizes tumor cells to TRAIL-dependent CD8 T cell-mediated immune attack resulting in suppression of tumor growth," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 4, no. 9, Article ID e6982, 2009.

- 9
- [115] R. G. van der Most, A. J. Currie, S. Mahendran et al., "Tumor eradication after cyclophosphamide depends on concurrent depletion of regulatory T cells: a role for cycling TNFR2expressing effector-suppressor T cells in limiting effective chemotherapy," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 58, no. 8, pp. 1219–1228, 2009.
- [116] F. Ghiringhelli, C. Menard, P. E. Puig et al., "Metronomic cyclophosphamide regimen selectively depletes CD4 +CD25+ regulatory T cells and restores T and NK effector functions in end stage cancer patients," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 641–648, 2007.
- [117] M. E. C. Lutsiak, R. T. Semnani, R. De Pascalis, S. V. S. Kashmiri, J. Schlom, and H. Sabzevari, "Inhibition of CD4+25+ T regulatory cell function implicated in enhanced immune response by low-dose cyclophosphamide," *Blood*, vol. 105, no. 7, pp. 2862–2868, 2005.
- [118] J. Vincent, G. Mignot, F. Chalmin et al., "5-Fluorouracil selectively kills tumor-associated myeloid-derived suppressor cells resulting in enhanced T cell-dependent antitumor immunity," *Cancer Research*, vol. 70, no. 8, pp. 3052–3061, 2010.
- [119] L. Aymeric, L. Apetoh, F. Ghiringhelli et al., "Tumor cell death and ATP release prime dendritic cells and efficient anticancer immunity," *Cancer Research*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 855–858, 2010.
- [120] F. Ghiringhelli, N. Larmonier, E. Schmitt et al., "CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells suppress tumor immunity but are sensitive to cyclophosphamide which allows immunotherapy of established tumors to be curative," *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 336–344, 2004.
- [121] L. Zitvogel, L. Apetoh, F. Ghiringhelli, and G. Kroemer, "Immunological aspects of cancer chemotherapy," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 59–73, 2008.
- [122] D.-E. Hu, A. M. Moore, L. L. Thomsen, and K. M. Brindle, "Uric acid promotes tumor immune rejection," *Cancer Research*, vol. 64, no. 15, pp. 5059–5062, 2004.
- [123] Y. Shi, J. E. Evans, and K. L. Rock, "Molecular identification of a danger signal that alerts the immune system to dying cells," *Nature*, vol. 425, no. 6957, pp. 516–521, 2003.
- [124] A. K. Nowak, R. A. Lake, A. L. Marzo et al., "Induction of tumor cell apoptosis in vivo increases tumor antigen crosspresentation, cross-priming rather than cross-tolerizing host tumor-specific CD8 T cells," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 170, no. 10, pp. 4905–4913, 2003.
- [125] H.-J. Ko, Y.-J. Kim, Y.-S. Kim et al., "A combination of chemoimmunotherapies can efficiently break self-tolerance and induce antitumor immunity in a tolerogenic murine tumor model," *Cancer Research*, vol. 67, no. 15, pp. 7477– 7486, 2007.
- [126] P. Sinha, V. K. Clements, S. K. Bunt et al., "Cross-talk between myeloid-derived suppressor cells and macrophages subverts tumor immunity toward a type 2 response," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 179, no. 2, pp. 977–983, 2007.
- [127] J. M. D. Plate, A. E. Plate, S. Shott, S. Bograd, and J. E. Harris, "Effect of gemcitabine on immune cells in subjects with adenocarcinoma of the pancreas," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 915–925, 2005.
- [128] J. Banchereau, F. Briere, C. Caux et al., "Immunobiology of dendritic cells," *Annual Review of Immunology*, vol. 18, pp. 767–811, 2000.
- [129] D. Gabrilovich, "Mechanisms and functional significance of tumour-induced dendritic-cell defects," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 941–952, 2004.