

1 **Title:** A framework for the identification of hotspots of climate
2 change risk for mammals

3 **Running head:** Hotspots of climate change risk for mammals

4

5 **Authors:** Michela Pacifici¹, Piero Visconti^{2,3}, and Carlo Rondinini¹

6

7 **Affiliations:**

8 ¹Global Mammal Assessment program, Department of Biology and Biotechnologies,
9 Sapienza Università di Roma, Viale dell'Università 32, I-00185 Rome, Italy.

10 ²Centre for Biodiversity & Environment Research (CBER), Department of Genetics,
11 Evolution and Environment, University College London, London, UK C1E6BT

12 ³Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London, UK NW14RY

13

14 **Corresponding author:** Michela Pacifici email michela.pacifici@uniroma1.it

15

16 **Keywords:** climate change, exposure, extinction risk, hazard, hotspots, life-history traits,
17 mammals, vulnerability

18

19 **Paper type:** Primary Research Article

20 **Abstract**

21 As rates of global warming increase rapidly, identifying species at risk of decline due to
22 climate impacts and the factors affecting this risk have become key challenges in ecology and
23 conservation biology. Here we present a framework for assessing three components of
24 climate-related risk for species: vulnerability, exposure and hazard. We used the relationship
25 between the observed response of species to climate change and a set of intrinsic traits (e.g.,
26 weaning age) and extrinsic factors (e.g., precipitation seasonality within a species geographic
27 range) to predict, respectively, the vulnerability and exposure of all data-sufficient terrestrial
28 non-volant mammals (3953 species). Combining this information with hazard (the magnitude
29 of projected climate change within a species geographic range) we identified global hotspots
30 of species at risk from climate change that includes the western Amazon basin, south-western
31 Kenya, north-eastern Tanzania, north-eastern South Africa, Yunnan province in China, and
32 mountain chains in Papua-New Guinea. Our framework identifies priority areas for
33 monitoring climate change effects on species and directing climate mitigation actions for
34 biodiversity.

35

36 **Introduction**

37 Global mean temperature is projected to rise in excess of 2°C by 2050 relative to
38 preindustrial levels, which is expected to severely impact ecosystems, and cause an extensive
39 loss of ecosystem functions and services (IPCC, 2014). Climate change is expected to impact
40 severely on animal populations and to drive massive extinction of species in the future (Isaac,
41 2009), but its effects are also already documented for several taxa. For example, marine
42 species have shifted the poleward edge of their range by 72 km per decade and terrestrial
43 species by 6 km per decade (Scheffers *et al.*, 2016), while both mammal and bird species have
44 been negatively affected in terms of reductions in population and range size, survival and
45 reproductive rates (Pacifiçi *et al.*, 2017).

46 Not all species are expected to respond to climate change alike. Life-history traits, and
47 to a lesser extent taxonomy and geographic distribution, mediate species responses to climate
48 change (Pacifiçi *et al.*, 2017). Different mammal species, in particular, have shown variable
49 responses to recent climate change according to their life-history traits and the breadth of
50 environmental and climatic conditions they experience (Boutin & Lane, 2014; Santini *et al.*
51 2016; Pacifiçi *et al.*, 2017). The above evidence is the basis for the identification of species at
52 higher risk of decline due to climate impacts, and of the factors affecting this risk. This is
53 done through a family of methods collectively named climate change vulnerability
54 assessments (CCVA; Foden & Young, 2016). CCVA methods include correlative approaches
55 (which project species niches into the future based on forecasts of climate change),
56 mechanistic approaches (that model individual behaviour in response to climate change), and
57 trait-based analyses. Trait-based CCVAs use species-specific intrinsic life-history traits (e.g.,
58 body mass, habitat and diet specialization, reproductive rates) to estimate species
59 vulnerability to climate change (Pacifiçi *et al.*, 2015a).

60 Existing CCVA techniques have drawbacks (Pacifci *et al.*, 2015a). The vast majority
61 of correlative CCVAs (e.g., Hughes *et al.*, 2012; Songer *et al.*, 2012; Baker *et al.*, 2015) use
62 the relationship between the observed geographic distribution of a species and the current
63 climate to infer potential climatically suitable areas for a given species in the future, without
64 considering the intrinsic characteristics of a species that make it more or less predisposed to
65 be impacted by changing climatic conditions (but see Pearson *et al.*, 2014; Visconti *et al.*,
66 2015). Trait-based CCVAs use a deductive (expert-based) approach rather than an inductive
67 (evidence-based) approach to infer which traits are more important in determining species
68 vulnerability. This introduces an unquantifiable error in the process (Pacifci *et al.*, 2015a).
69 Most trait-based CCVAs also lack a spatial component to identify the areas in which the
70 climatic hazard will be more severe (Laidre *et al.*, 2008; Moyle *et al.*, 2013). Consensus is
71 growing over the benefits of combining different CCVA approaches to overcome some of
72 these limitations outlined above (Pacifci *et al.*, 2015a; Willis *et al.*, 2015; Foden & Young,
73 2016).

74 Here we propose a framework that combines elements of several CCVA approaches
75 under one single statistical model, to assess the overall likelihood of adverse effects of climate
76 change on species based on observed impacts on animal populations. Our framework
77 represents a biodiversity-relevant interpretation of the IPCC general framework for climate
78 impact assessment (IPCC, 2014). The IPCC framework uses the interaction of climate-related
79 hazards, vulnerability (the predisposition to be adversely affected) and exposure (the
80 probability to experience the hazard) to derive an overall risk of climate-related impacts to
81 human and natural systems. Here we operationalize the IPCC framework for species as
82 follows: i) vulnerability is the intrinsic predisposition of a species to be adversely affected by
83 climate change (given its life-history traits); ii) exposure is the likelihood that climatic refugia
84 exist for the species (related to the span of geographic and climatic variables currently

85 experienced by the species within its natural geographic range); and iii) hazard is the
86 magnitude of projected climate change within the species geographic range.

87 We applied our framework to 3953 species of data-sufficient terrestrial non-volant
88 mammals, using the multinomial logistic model recently developed by Pacifici *et al.* (2017).
89 Mammals are an ideal taxonomic group for our analysis since they include relatively few
90 species and abundant information is available on their life-history traits (e.g., Jones *et al.*,
91 2009; Tacutu *et al.*, 2013). While Pacifici *et al.* (2017) found species that could have been
92 already negatively impacted by climate change, here for the first time we identified areas with
93 the highest numbers of mammals likely to be at risk in the near future (hotspots of climate
94 risk). Our predictions for the future are based on observed impacts of climate change on
95 mammals, which has never been done before at a global scale. In addition, our framework
96 quantifies the relationship between life-history traits and selected spatial variables and the
97 response of species to climate change, in order to identify hotspots of species likely to be at
98 risk, thus overcoming some of the important limitations associated with trait-based and
99 correlative approaches outlined above. Finally, we propose a set of actions that can be applied
100 according to the risk element/s analysed. This work can be the basis for planning ad hoc
101 conservation actions for those species and areas likely to be more impacted by future climatic
102 changes.

103

104 **Materials and methods**

105 *Hazard*

106 Hazard is usually calculated using climatic scenarios. We used climate predictions
107 from 11 GCMs (global circulation models) and 3 representative concentration pathway (RCP)
108 scenarios (RCP2.6, RCP6.0 and RCP8.5) from the WorldClim database at 10 km resolution

109 (<http://www.worldclim.org>), to compute the difference between the current and the future
110 (2050) mean annual temperature in each grid cell. These greenhouse gas concentration
111 scenarios display different trends in radiative forcing during the 21st century and high human
112 population growth energy consumption, from the most optimistic scenario (RCP2.6) to the
113 most severe one (RCP8.5). We then averaged these values across the entire species range
114 provided by the IUCN, in order to obtain a mean value of temperature change in the areas
115 where the species is currently distributed.

116

117 *Hotspots of climate risk*

118 For our analysis, we adopted the database and multinomial logistic model used by
119 Pacifici *et al.* (2017), in which species response to recent climate change (negative, positive,
120 unchanged or mixed) was used as dependent categorical variable. The negative response was
121 assigned to a species if >50% of its populations were reported to have undergone declines in
122 the extent of the geographic range, population size, survival or reproductive rates, and body
123 mass. On the contrary, the positive response was assigned if the majority of the populations of
124 a species experienced expansions in the above mentioned metrics. The unchanged response
125 was attributed if no response was observed despite the recorded change in climate. Finally,
126 the mixed response was attributed to species that exhibited a combination of positive and
127 negative impacts (see Pacifici *et al.* 2017 for further details). We used as independent
128 variables those identified as most important for determining an overall climate risk for the
129 species in Pacifici *et al.* 2017, i.e. non-fossorial behaviour, restricted dietary breadth, reduced
130 precipitation seasonality and high minimum altitude, the latter two computed within the
131 current species range (Table 1). In Pacifici *et al.* (2017), large differences in temperature
132 between the present and the past were also important determinant of negative responses. Since

133 the expected increase in temperatures is likely to be 3-4 times higher than that of the past 100
 134 years, we included the difference in mean annual temperature between the present and the
 135 future as predictor representing the hazard component. In addition, we considered taxonomy
 136 as a fixed effect, in order to control for the non-independence of observed responses across
 137 species (Table 1).

138

Variable	Description	Main data sources	Climate risk component
Dietary breadth	Number of categories of food items eaten by a species. In order to identify the species with the highest dietary specialization, the categories of food items were defined as fruit, flowers/nectar/pollen, wood/bark/stems, leaves, seeds/grains/nuts, roots/tubers, sap or other plant fluids, bryophytes, fungi, mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, molluscs, aquatic crustaceans, insects, terrestrial non-insect arthropods, terrestrial worms	Nowak (1999); Wilson & Reeder (2005); IUCN (2016)	Vulnerability
Fossoriality	YES or NO, referred to mammal species adapted/non-adapted to digging and life underground	Wilson & Reeder (2005)	Vulnerability
Order	Taxonomic order to which the species belongs	IUCN (2016)	Vulnerability
Precipitation seasonality	(mm), represents the difference in mean precipitation between the wettest and the driest quarters within a species current distributional range	http://www.worldclim.org/	Exposure
Minimum altitude	(meters), is the minimum altitude at which a species currently lives	Jarvis <i>et al.</i> , (2008)	Exposure
Δ temperature	Mean difference between the current (mean 1980-2009) and the future (2050) temperature within a species range	http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/data	Hazard

139 **Table 1** Description of the independent variables used in the model.

140

141 By using the function *predict* in R, we predicted the likely response of all species
142 (3953) to projected scenarios of climate change (climate risk). In order to account for the
143 uncertainty in our models, we first predicted the probabilities associated with each of the four
144 options of the response variable, and then we sampled the response category assigned to each
145 species from the multinomial distribution 100 times to derive the mean and standard deviation
146 of the richness of species with a negative response. We then produced richness maps for each
147 climatic scenario to find hotspots of species that are likely to be at greatest risk from climate
148 change in 2050, defined as those species having a higher probability of showing a negative
149 response in the future, according to model predictions. In addition, to determine which areas
150 will be more severely affected in terms of potential loss of the overall local mammalian
151 biodiversity, we divided the number of species at risk in each grid cell by the total number of
152 species in the cell, to obtain a map of the areas hosting the highest proportion of species at
153 greatest risk from climate change.

154

155 *Vulnerability and exposure*

156 In order to consider the three components of climate risk both together and
157 independently, we also identified the areas with the highest concentration of vulnerable and
158 exposed species. To do that, we ran two different models by using the predictors of the
159 multinomial logistic model for climate risk, but held the variables related to vulnerability (i.e.,
160 dietary breadth and fossoriality) and exposure (i.e., precipitation seasonality and minimum
161 altitude recorded within the current geographic range), respectively, constant at their mean
162 (numeric variables) or mode (nominal variables), calculated among all 3953 species
163 considered. In both the vulnerability and exposure models, in order to control for the latent

164 variables that may affect the responses to climate change that are phylogenetically conserved,
165 we did not change the values for taxonomic order. For example, in order to look at the effect
166 of intrinsic life-history traits, we held the mean difference in temperature, minimum altitude
167 within a species range, and precipitation seasonality constant, while we used species-specific
168 values for dietary breadth, fossoriality and taxonomic order. We then stacked the distribution
169 ranges of these species obtained from the IUCN database (www.iucn.org) to derive a richness
170 map of global vulnerability. We applied the same procedure to identify the areas hosting the
171 highest numbers of species likely to be exposed to climate change, but holding constant only
172 the predictors not related to exposure.


173

174 **Results**

175 *Areas experiencing the greatest changes in temperature*

176 In all the three RCP scenarios considered, the minimum change in mean temperature
177 between the present and the future in a grid cell is $\geq 0.4^{\circ}\text{C}$ (0.4°C in RCP2.6, 0.6°C in RCP6.0
178 and 0.8°C in RCP8.5; Fig. 1). As expected, the most severe changes in climate is projected
179 under the RCP8.5 scenario (mean global temperature increase of 2.97°C), followed by the
180 RCP6.0 (2.16°C) and RCP2.6 (1.89°C). Despite the great differences in the magnitude of
181 climate change between the three scenarios, all the three converge in identifying areas that
182 would experience the highest changes in temperatures. These correspond to the northern polar
183 region (Fig. 1), where the predicted increase in temperature is always $>1.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ (RCP2.6: min
184 $+1.7^{\circ}\text{C}$, mean $+3.06^{\circ}\text{C}$, max $+4.9^{\circ}\text{C}$; RCP6.0: min $+1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$, mean $+3.3^{\circ}\text{C}$, max $+5.2^{\circ}\text{C}$;
185 RCP8.5: min $+2.8^{\circ}\text{C}$, mean $+4.7^{\circ}\text{C}$, max $+7.5^{\circ}\text{C}$). In the RCP8.5 scenario, 87.5% of the
186 world will experience an increase in mean annual temperature $>2^{\circ}\text{C}$ by 2050 (while in
187 RCP6.0 and RCP2.6 these percentages stand at 50% and 37.5%, respectively), and additional

188 areas that are likely to be considerably affected by these changes are the Kamchatka Peninsula
189 in Russia, the Himalayas, West Russia, the territories on the border between Russia and
190 Kazakhstan, and the mountain chains in North America. From Fig. 1 it is evident that, in
191 general, the Northern Hemisphere is likely to be more subject to global climate change than
192 the Southern Hemisphere, in particular in the RCP2.6 and RCP6.0 scenarios.



193

194 **Figure 1** Mean annual temperature difference between the present and the future in 2050 in the a)

195 RCP2.6, b) RCP6.0 and c) RCP8.5 scenarios.

196 *Species most at risk under projected climate change*

197 Our predictions of species responses to projected climate change were very similar
198 among the three different scenarios, with only 195 species out of 3953 (4.9%) showing
199 differing results. As expected, the number of species showing no response to climate change
200 decreased as the changes in mean annual temperatures became more intense, with 1.34% of
201 the species classified as ‘unchanged’ in the RCP2.6 scenario, 0.46% in the RCP6.0 and 0
202 species in the RCP8.5. The taxonomic orders having >85% of the species with a likely future
203 negative response in all scenarios were Proboscidea, Dasyuromorphia, Primates,
204 Diprotodontia, Cetartiodactyla, Perissodactyla and Lagomorpha (Tables S1, S2, S3).

205 Surprisingly, considering only the set of species having different responses in the
206 different scenarios, the majority of them were likely to benefit from increases in mean annual
207 temperatures (133 species out of 195 had a negative or unchanged response in the RCP2.6
208 scenario and a positive one in the RCP8.5 scenario, Tables S1, S3). These species mostly
209 included small mammals (belonging to the Rodentia and Eulipotyphla taxonomic orders). On
210 the contrary, only 24 and 37 species changed their responses to negative and mixed,
211 respectively, in the RCP8.5 scenario.


212

213 *Identifying hotspots of species at climate risk*

214 In general, we found the highest concentration of mammals most likely to be at risk
215 from climate change in mountainous areas. In particular, hotspots of species richness are
216 concentrated in the western Amazon River basin, south-western Kenya, north-eastern
217 Tanzania, north-eastern South Africa, Yunnan province in China, and mountain chains in
218 Papua-New Guinea (Figs. 2a & S1). It is interesting to note that they rarely overlap with areas
219 identified as having the highest probability of experiencing significant changes in mean

220 annual temperatures. In fact, while most of the hotspots are found in the Southern
221 Hemisphere, the differences between mean annual temperature in the RCP scenarios between
222 2050 and the present show that the Northern Hemisphere will experience the greatest changes.

223 When we consider the number of species likely to be negatively impacted by climate
224 change in the future, with respect to the total number of species present in a grid cell, the
225 areas with the highest proportions of species at risk are found in northern Greenland, Tierra
226 del Fuego, lower altitudes of Madagascar, north-west Russia, Mongolia, north-western China,
227 Tibetan Plateau, Papua-New Guinea, Western Australia and New South Wales (Figs. 2b &
228 S2). These areas only partly overlap with the hotspots of species richness in central Africa.



229

230 **Figure 2** Maps of projected negatively impacted species by grid cell in the RCP8.5 scenario. a)
231 Richness and b) proportion of species with a negative predicted response.


232 *Hotspots of vulnerable-only species*

233 According to our models, the areas hosting large numbers of vulnerable species are
234 mostly concentrated in eastern Sub-Saharan Africa (Fig. 3a), specifically in the eastern side of
235 the Democratic Republic of Congo, southern South Sudan and Ethiopia, Kenya, Tanzania,
236 Zambia and north-eastern regions in South Africa.

237

238 *Hotspots of exposed-only species*

239 The areas hosting high numbers of exposed species include almost all the hotspots of
240 vulnerable-only species, as well as the Rocky mountains side of Colorado and New Mexico in
241 the United States, central Namibia, the Cape province in South Africa, and the Altai
242 Mountains, a mountain system in central Asia extending through China, Russia, Mongolia
243 and Kazakhstan (Fig. 3b).



244

245 **Figure 3** Relative richness of a) vulnerable and b) exposed species according to multinomial logistic

246 models.

247 **Discussion**

248 The Northern Hemisphere has outpaced the Southern Hemisphere in terms of
249 temperature increase since about 1980. This is mostly due to the fact that the Northern
250 Hemisphere has more land and less ocean than the Southern Hemisphere, and the general rate
251 of warming of the oceans is relatively slow (Friedman *et al.*, 2013). The strong loss of Arctic
252 sea ice and global ocean currents are another possible factor contributing to the Northern
253 Hemisphere greater warming. These currents transport heat away from southern oceans and
254 into the northern waters, helping to further warm nearby land areas in the north (Feulner *et*
255 *al.*, 2013).

256 Physiographic factors such as slope, elevation and topographic convergence influence
257 meteorological elements including precipitation, air temperature, wind, solar insolation, snow
258 accumulation and melt (Dobrowski, 2011). Microtopography can cause large temperature
259 differences within a short distance, conditions that would otherwise be observed only over
260 large altitudinal or latitudinal gradients. This suggests that for species living at high altitudes,
261 which are often limited by dispersal, it is not always necessary to migrate several hundred
262 meters upslope to avoid warmer conditions (Patsiou *et al.*, 2014). Microrefugia can be found
263 in sites that are consistently decoupled from regional patterns because they are more likely to
264 support relict climates. In order to favour species persistence in areas that are likely to
265 experience the greatest changes in climate, identifying potential microclimate refugia at local
266 scale would be fundamental for species that have limited ability to move elsewhere.

267 Despite the fact that the northern territories are warming faster than the southern ones,
268 and that this trend is likely to exacerbate in the coming decades, we found that most species
269 threatened by climate change mainly occur south of the Equator. Oceania is home to the vast
270 majority of marsupials at risk from climate change. Specifically, the tropical montane forests

271 have undergone major declines due to increasing land conversion in the last decades, and
272 global warming has already allowed changes in agricultural practices, such as a continuing
273 use of the same land with the same crop, with a reduced shortfall period (Brown, 2013). More
274 than 2/3 of the above mentioned species are currently listed in a threat category on the IUCN
275 Red List (IUCN, 2016). An important hotspot of species at risk is Papua-New Guinea.
276 Marsupials are very diversified in this island and are among the species with the larger body
277 mass. As demonstrated by McNab (1994), resource limitation on continental shelf islands
278 impacts larger-bodied mammals, often resulting in extinction. In the face of increasing global
279 warming, island species are unlikely to be able to track the change in climate and move into
280 new suitable climate space. Therefore, in addition to unrestricted logging and hunting (the
281 current most serious threats to marsupials in these areas; Grzimek *et al.*, 2004), the very high
282 rates of temperature change that these mammals are likely to experience in the next decades
283 will probably have deleterious consequences for their survival, possibly leading to the
284 disruption and disaggregation of extant communities (Williams *et al.*, 2007).

285 The second and largest hotspot of species at climate risk includes most of the central
286 and eastern Sub-Saharan Africa. Savannas and forests are home to many of Africa's most
287 prized species belonging to different taxonomic groups, including cheetahs, elephants, lions,
288 black and white rhinos, leopards, eastern gorillas and chimpanzees. Almost all these species
289 are currently threatened with extinction, predominantly due to hunting, habitat loss and
290 overexploitation (Schipper *et al.*, 2008). Vegetation dynamic models predict that large
291 portions (>45%) of today's savannas will be replaced by deciduous woodlands under elevated
292 temperature and CO₂ concentrations (Scheiter & Higgins, 2009), with a consequent further
293 reduction of the species suitable habitat and geographic ranges, and increases in their risk of
294 extinction. In southern Africa, the east-west aridity gradient will probably induce to a
295 westward shift of the geographic ranges of species towards the moister and cooler areas at

296 higher elevations (Erasmus *et al.*, 2002; Thuiller *et al.*, 2006). Studies have shown that some
297 species of ungulates (e.g., roan antelope (*Hippotragus equinus*), tsessebe (*Damaliscus*
298 *lunatus*), and kudu (*Tragelaphus strepsiceros*) among the antelopes) have suffered reductions
299 in abundance with decreases in mean annual precipitation in South African national parks
300 (Ogutu & Owen-Smith, 2003; Ogutu & Owen-smith, 2005), and this trend is likely to become
301 more severe when projecting increases in aridity conditions (Pacifci *et al.*, 2015b).

302 The third hotspot, which is also a crucial site for primates, is the western portion of the
303 Amazon river, on the border of the Andes between Peru and Ecuador. This area is considered
304 one of the most biodiverse of the planet, and hosts more than 20 species of primates (Jenkins
305 *et al.*, 2013; Gouveia *et al.*, 2014) in large portions of intact tropical moist forest (Killeen *et*
306 *al.*, 2007). Despite assumptions on future climatic stability (Finer *et al.*, 2008), we found that
307 relatively small changes in temperatures could have major impacts on the species living in the
308 western Amazon. This is probably due to the fact that these areas have been climatically
309 stable over time (Killeen *et al.*, 2007), therefore it is unlikely that the species inhabiting this
310 region will be able to adapt soon to these changes. Additional threats to these species are
311 linked to oil and gas extraction, which had a boom in the 1970s (Finer *et al.*, 2008). The
312 intensification of these activities has already led to both direct and indirect impacts on species
313 including deforestation for access roads, increased logging and hunting, and contamination
314 from oil spills and wastewater discharges (Finer *et al.*, 2008), and it is likely to exacerbate
315 when acting in combination with climate change.

316 We found that the majority of species benefitting from an increase in severity of
317 climate change (i.e., different responses in the different scenarios, changing from
318 negative/unchanged in RCP2.6 to positive in RCP8.5) belong to the orders Rodentia and
319 Eulipotyphla. All these species with a negative response in the RCP2.6 scenario are not
320 adapted to living underground and exploit a relatively restricted number of dietary items.

321 However, small mammals, in particular rodents, are typically associated with reduced
322 vulnerability and high capacity of adjusting to environmental changes due to the fact that they
323 usually produce high numbers of offspring that need limited parental care, and that they are
324 often habitat generalists (Capizzi *et al.*, 2014). Most of the small mammals with different
325 responses in our sample live in tropical areas (Figure S3) and are already adapted to living at
326 high temperatures. In this case, factors other than the life-history traits considered in our
327 analysis are probably more important in determining the response of species to climate
328 change. In addition, despite the general perception that climate change mitigation improves
329 water condition, it has been shown that increased demand for irrigation water for bioenergy
330 crops in mitigation scenarios might result in increased water stress (Hejazi *et al.*, 2015). We
331 can therefore hypothesize that mitigation strategies, as those planned in the RCP2.6 scenario,
332 might be worse than climate change if not designed with careful attention to water resources.
333 This will be particularly important for some of the species of carnivores listed in Table S4,
334 because water is a key element that has both direct (drinking water) and indirect (prey often
335 concentrate around water points) effects on their persistence.

336 It is interesting to note that high richness of impacted species and the highest
337 proportion of species at climate risk overlap only in part of central Africa and Papua-New
338 Guinea (Fig. 2). In contrast to what we found for the hotspots, these areas are generally found
339 in less inhabited regions (Doxsey-Whitfield *et al.*, 2015), usually characterized by extreme
340 environments and climate, e.g., Greenland, deserts of the southern Hemisphere and Tierra del
341 Fuego. Species living in these zones have probably been less subject to human threats like
342 hunting and land-use changes because in such extreme places human occupation may have
343 been intermittent (Johnson, 2002), but in the face of continuing climate change, the high
344 degrees of specialization that these species exhibit is likely to place them at great risk of
345 extinction. More importantly, in areas hosting high proportions of species at risk but low

346 absolute numbers of taxa, if all species decline or go extinct there will be no ecological
347 replacement, and there is a high probability of losing the ecosystem services and functions
348 these species provide.

349 One of the areas with a high proportion of species at climate risk is the Tibetan
350 Plateau. Since 1978 the Tibetan Plateau has warmed >0.04 °C/year, the greatest value for the
351 whole China and East Asia. These changes in climatic conditions have been attributed to a
352 positive feedback between the increase in grazing pressure, with consequent degradation of
353 pastures, and that of potential evapotranspiration (Du *et al.*, 2004). Analyses of
354 meteorological stations, combined with projections of climate models, reveal that the
355 prominent warming and the thawing of permafrost at higher elevations are likely to continue
356 in the next decades (Liu *et al.*, 2009), thus leading to a possible reduction of several biomes,
357 such as the temperate desert, alpine steppe, and ice/polar desert (Ni, 2000). These areas are
358 currently inhabited by several species of lagomorphs (pikas and hares), which are restricted to
359 mountainous habitats and cold climates, and by large threatened mammals. Some of these
360 large threatened species are currently recovering thanks to habitat protection, like the Tibetan
361 antelope (*Pantholops hodgsonii*; IUCN SSC Antelope Specialist Group 2016), while many
362 others are already declining due to habitat destruction and overexploitation, for example the
363 leopard (*Panthera pardus*; Stein *et al.* 2016) and the white-lipped deer (*Cervus albirostris*;
364 Harris, 2015). The Tibetan Plateau also hosts relatively large numbers of primates belonging
365 to the genus *Macaca*; here climate change is likely to further worsen the conservation status
366 of these species acting in concomitance with hunting, habitat loss and human disturbance,
367 which are currently considered the major threats to the species (Boonratana *et al.*, 2008).

368 When considering only the intrinsic component of climate risk, we found that areas
369 hosting large numbers of vulnerable species overlap well with the sites of high mammalian
370 diversity and endemism, in particular of Cetartiodactyla and Carnivores (Pimm *et al.*, 2014),

371 in central Africa. Here, human population size is expected to increase steeply by 2050, from a
372 minimum of 16% in South Africa, up to 117% in the Democratic Republic of Congo and
373 127% in Zambia (United Nations, 2015). This projected increase in human population,
374 associated with expected increases per-capita consumption, are likely to cause an acceleration
375 in the conversion of remaining forest habitats into human-dominated settlements and
376 agricultural areas (Barrie *et al.*, 2007). This, in turn, is expected to endanger several mammal
377 species in Sub-Saharan Africa, with similar trends in insular Southeast Asia and the Amazon
378 rainforest (Visconti *et al.*, 2015). In the areas inhabited by large numbers of vulnerable
379 species, priority actions should be aimed at mitigating the effects of other threats that may act
380 concurrently with climate change. Temperature has clear effects on the behaviour of most
381 animal species, and this might translate in changes in the interactions between predators and
382 their preys (Creel *et al.*, 2016), thus indirectly leading to possible increases in conflicts
383 between humans and wildlife.

384 As already observed in previous studies on other taxa (e.g. birds; Goodenough & Hart,
385 2013), hotspots of species under high climate threat exposure include areas with the highest
386 concentration of species with limited or no availability of climatic refugia. These obviously
387 include mountainous areas, where species are likely to be unable to move upslope to cope
388 with climate change. For example, in our study we found that the Rocky Mountains of
389 Colorado and New Mexico in the United States and the Altai Mountains in central Asia are
390 important centres for exposed species. The latter are home to several species of threatened
391 large mammals, like the snow leopard (*Panthera uncia*), the Asiatic Wild Dog (*Cuon alpinus*)
392 and the Siberian Musk Deer (*Moschus moschiferus*). For these species, translocations or
393 assisted colonization actions could be necessary in the coming decades if changes in climatic
394 conditions exacerbate. The Siberian Musk Deer is already successfully bred in captivity at

395 musk deer farms in Russia and China (Nyambayar *et al.*, 2015), and these captive herds might
396 be used for future movements to wild populations.

397 *Importance of combining intrinsic and extrinsic factors to identify species at high risk from*
398 *climate change*

399 This work brings together information from life-history traits, distribution patterns and
400 predicted future levels of climate change to create a unique assessment of climate risk. Our
401 framework enables the first predictive assessment of the mammal species most at risk from
402 climate change, on the basis of empiric data and considering all the three components of risk.
403 With this work we have demonstrated that considering only the intrinsic characteristics that
404 make a species more vulnerable to climate change is not enough to predict its real climate
405 induced risk. Species displaying similar life-history traits may be differently affected by
406 global warming simply because they live in areas experiencing different rates of climate
407 change. In our analyses, we found that >55% of the species of terrestrial non-volant mammals
408 may potentially be negatively impacted by climate change, depending on the climatic
409 scenario. In the Fourth IPCC Report a projected increase of 2-3°C was expected to lead to
410 high risk of extinction approximately 20 to 30% of the species assessed so far (IPCC, 2007).
411 However, in the Fifth and most recent Report (IPCC, 2014), it has been estimated that the
412 expected increase in temperatures is likely to exceed the threshold of 4°C by 2100. This
413 translates into increased threats for species. Accounting for both the life-history traits that
414 make a species more vulnerable and the environmental conditions of its geographic range,
415 could in many cases help prioritization actions and make the difference between species
416 extinction or survival. However, due to the paucity of life-history trait data for numerous
417 species, it is often difficult to provide a rapid assessment of their vulnerability to climate
418 change. Although mammals are among the best-known taxa, the number of new recognised
419 species is still increasing. For instance, newly described mammals have been found mostly in

420 Madagascar and the Amazon, while the Congo basin still remains scarcely explored (Schipper
421 *et al.*, 2008). These new species are usually poorly known and severely threatened, in part due
422 to their restricted geographic range, and may therefore become rapidly extinct. These new
423 data-deficient species are generally found in tropical forests (Schipper *et al.*, 2008), that well
424 overlap with the hotspots of species at risk from climate change.

425 Changes in the distribution or phenology of species are often detected via long-term
426 surveys. Establishing or expanding systematic monitoring of abundance and distribution of
427 species is a very high priority in order to validate species assessments and monitor
428 effectiveness of adaptation. For species identified as most vulnerable, exposed, and that live
429 in places where impacts are likely to occur sooner, intensive monitoring is essential to
430 increase our understanding of the ongoing process of climate change, and possibly respond to
431 it. This is not only true for species living in the hotspots, but also for those occupying areas
432 we identified as having the highest rates of mammals at risk. Although these areas often host
433 a reduced number of species, it is essential to monitor their status in order to avoid the loss of
434 entire mammalian communities characterized by peculiar specializations.

435 Life-history traits have been often used in conservation biology to identify the most
436 vulnerable species to environmental changes. The identification of traits specifically related to
437 climatic risk will further strengthen species risk assessments, thus helping to plan appropriate
438 conservation actions in the differently exposed areas and prioritize intervention targets. This
439 is particularly important for many species of mammals living in climatic hotspots, which are
440 almost unknown, to draw attention to them and begin fill some knowledge gaps.

441

442 **Acknowledgements** We thank Manuela González Suárez, Daniele Baisero, Luca Santini and
443 Moreno Di Marco for useful discussions and comments on the manuscript.

444 **Supporting Information captions**

445 **Table S2** Percentage of predicted species responses by taxonomic group for the RCP2.6
446 scenario

447 **Table S2** Percentage of predicted species responses by taxonomic group for the RCP6.0
448 scenario

449 **Table S3** Percentage of predicted species responses by taxonomic group for the RCP8.5
450 scenario

451 **Table S4** Species showing a change from an “Unchanged”/ “Negative” response in the
452 scenario RCP2.6 to a “Negative” response in the scenario RCP8.5

453 **Figure S1** Richness of species with a negative predicted response by grid cell in the a)
454 RCP2.6 and b) RCP6.0 scenarios..

455 **Figure S2** Proportion of species with a negative predicted response by grid cell in the a)
456 RCP2.6 and b) RCP6.0 scenarios.

457 **Figure S3** Richness of species showing a change from an “Unchanged”/ “Negative” response
458 in the scenario RCP2.6 to a “Negative” response in the scenario RCP8.5.

459

460

461 **References**

- 462 Baker DJ, et al. (2015) Assessing climate change impacts for vertebrate fauna across the West
463 African protected area network using regionally appropriate climate projections.
464 *Diversity and Distributions*, **21**, 991–1003.
- 465 Barrie A, Zwuen S, Sr ANK, Luo M, Luke R (2007) Rapid survey of large mammals of North
466 Lorma , Gola and Grebo National Forests Chapter 6 Rapid survey of large mammals of
467 North. In: *A Rapid Biological Assessment of North Lorma, Gola and Grebo National*
468 *Forests, Liberia*, Conservation International. pp. 59–64.
- 469 Boonratana R, Chalise M, Das J, Htun S, Timmins RJ (2008) *Macaca assamensis*. The IUCN
470 Red List of Threatened Species 2008: e.T12549A3354977.
471 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2008.RLTS.T12549A3354977.en>. Downloaded on
472 07 April 2017.
- 473 Boutin S, Lane JE (2014) Climate change and mammals: evolutionary versus plastic
474 responses. *Evolutionary Applications*, **7**, 29–41.
- 475 Brown P (2013) *The Chimbu: A Study of Change in the New Guinea Highlands* (ed
476 Routledge). 184 pages p.
- 477 Capizzi D, Bertolino S, Mortelliti A (2014) Rating the rat: Global patterns and research
478 priorities in impacts and management of rodent pests. *Mammal Review*, **44**, 148–162.
- 479 Creel S, Creel NM, Creel AM, Creel BM (2016) Hunting on a hot day: effects of temperature
480 on interactions between African wild dogs and their prey. *Ecology*, **97**, 2910–2916.
- 481 Dobrowski SZ (2011) A climatic basis for microrefugia: the influence of terrain on
482 climate. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 1022–1035.

- 483 Doxsey-Whitfield E, MacManus K, Adamo SB, Pistolesi L, Squires J, Borkovska O, Baptista
484 SR (2015) Taking Advantage of the Improved Availability of Census Data: A First Look
485 at the Gridded Population of the World, Version 4. *Papers in Applied Geography*, **1**,
486 226–234.
- 487 Du M, Kawashima S, Yonemura S, Zhang X, Chen S (2004) Mutual influence between
488 human activities and climate change in the Tibetan Plateau during recent years. *Global
489 and Planetary Change*, **41**, 241–249.
- 490 Erasmus BF, Van Jaarsveld AS, Chown SL, Kshatriya M, Wessels KJ (2002) Vulnerability of
491 South African animal taxa to climate change. *Global Change Biology*, **8**, 679–693.
- 492 Feulner G, Rahmstorf S, Levermann A, Volkwardt S (2013) On the Origin of the Surface Air
493 Temperature Difference between the Hemispheres in Earth’s Present-Day Climate.
494 *Journal of Climate*, **26**, 7136–7150.
- 495 Finer M, et al. (2008) Oil and Gas Projects in the Western Amazon: Threats to Wilderness,
496 Biodiversity, and Indigenous Peoples (ed Hansen DM). *PLoS ONE*, **3**, e2932.
- 497 Foden WB, Young BE (2016) *IUCN SSC Guidelines for Assessing Species Vulnerability to
498 Climate Change. Version 1.0*. Occasional Paper of the IUCN Species Survival
499 Commission No. 59. Cambridge, UK and Gland, Switzerland: IUCN Species Survival
500 Commission. 114pp.
- 501 Friedman AR, et al. (2013) Interhemispheric Temperature Asymmetry over the Twentieth
502 Century and in Future Projections. *Journal of Climate*, **26**, 5419–5433.
- 503 Goodenough AE, Hart AG (2013) Correlates of vulnerability to climate-induced distribution
504 changes in European avifauna: habitat, migration and endemism. *Climatic change*, **118**,
505 659-669.

- 506 Gouveia SF, Villalobos F, Dobrovolski R, Beltrão-Mendes R, Ferrari SF (2014) Forest
507 structure drives global diversity of primates. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **83**, 1523–1530.
- 508 Grzimek B, Schlager N, Olendorf D, McDade M (2004) Grzimek's animal life encyclopedia
509 (eds Michael, Hutchins, Devra G. Kleiman, Valerius Geist and MCM). Gale Group,
510 Farmington Hills, MI, Vol 14 p.
- 511 Harris RB (2015) *Cervus albirostris*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2015:
512 e.T4256A61976756. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-](http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-2.RLTS.T4256A61976756.en)
513 [2.RLTS.T4256A61976756.en](http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-2.RLTS.T4256A61976756.en). Downloaded on **07 April 2017**
- 514 Hejazi MI, et al. (2015) 21st century United States emissions mitigation could increase water
515 stress more than the climate change it is mitigating. *Proceedings of the National*
516 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **112**, 1421675112-.
- 517 Hughes AC, Satasook C, Bates PJJ, Bumrungsri S, Jones G (2012) The projected effects of
518 climatic and vegetation changes on the distribution and diversity of Southeast Asian bats.
519 *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 1854–1865.
- 520 IPCC (2007) Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the
521 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (ed M.L. Parry, O.F. Canziani, J.P.
522 Palutikof, P.J. van der Linden and C.E. Hanson) Cambridge University Press,
523 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 524 IPCC (2014) Emergent risks and key vulnerabilities. In: Climate Change 2014 Impacts,
525 Adaptation, and Vulnerability Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of
526 Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on
527 Climate Change. (ed Field, C.b., V.r. Barros, D.J. Dokken, K.J. Mach, M.D.
528 Mastrandrea, T.E. Bilir, M. Chatterjee, K.L. Ebi, Y.O. Estrada, R.C. Genova, B. Girma,

- 529 E.S. Kissel, A.N. Levy, S. MacCracken, P.R. Mastrandrea and LLW), pp. 1039–1099.
530 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- 531 Isaac J (2009) Effects of climate change on life history: implications for extinction risk in
532 mammals. *Endangered Species Research* 7:115–123.
- 533 IUCN (2016) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2016-3 (IUCN, accessed 18
534 December 2016); <http://www.iucnredlist.org>
- 535 IUCN SSC Antelope Specialist Group (2016) *Pantholops hodgsonii*. The IUCN Red List of
536 Threatened Species 2016: e.T15967A50192544.
537 <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2016-2.RLTS.T15967A50192544.en>. Downloaded
538 on 20 January 2017
- 539 Jarvis A, Reuter HI, Nelson A, Guevara E (2008) Hole-filled SRTM for the globe Version 4,
540 available from the CGIAR-CSI SRTM 90m Database (<http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org>)
- 541 Jenkins C, Pimm S, Joppa L (2013) Global patterns of terrestrial vertebrate diversity and
542 conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **110**, doi:
543 10.1073/pnas.1302251110.
- 544 Johnson CN (2002) Determinants of loss of mammal species during the Late Quaternary
545 ‘megafauna’ extinctions: life history and ecology, but not body size. *Proceedings of the*
546 *Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, **269**, 2221–2227.
- 547 Jones KE, et al. (2009) PanTHERIA : a species-level database of life history, ecology, and
548 geography of extant and recently extinct mammals. *Ecology*, **90**, 2648.
- 549 Killeen TJ, Douglas M, Consiglio T, Jørgensen PM, Mejia J (2007) Dry spots and wet spots
550 in the Andean hotspot. *Journal of Biogeography*, **34**, 1357–1373.

- 551 Laidre KL, Stirling I, Lowry LF, Wiig O, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Ferguson SH (2008)
552 Quantifying the sensitivity of Arctic marine mammals to climate-induced habitat change.
553 *Ecological applications*, **18**, S97-125.
- 554 Liu X, Cheng Z, Yan L, Yin Z-Y (2009) Elevation dependency of recent and future minimum
555 surface air temperature trends in the Tibetan Plateau and its surroundings. *Global and*
556 *Planetary Change*, **68**, 164–174.
- 557 McNab BK (1994) Resource use and the survival of land and freshwater vertebrates on
558 oceanic islands. *The American Naturalist*, **144**, 643-660.
- 559 Moyle PB, Kiernan JD, Crain PK, Quiñones RM (2013) Climate change vulnerability of
560 native and alien freshwater fishes of California: a systematic assessment approach. *PloS*
561 *one*, **8**, e63883.
- 562 Ni J (2000) A simulation of biomes on the Tibetan Plateau and Their Responses to Global
563 Climate Change. *Mountain Research and Development*, **20**, 80–89.
- 564 Nowak RM (1999) Walker's mammals of the world (Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore,
565 Maryland, 1999.
- 566 Nyambayar B, Mix H, Tsytsulina K (2015) *Moschus moschiferus*. The IUCN Red List of
567 Threatened Species 2015:
568 e.T13897A61977573. [http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-](http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-2.RLTS.T13897A61977573.en)
569 [2.RLTS.T13897A61977573.en](http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-2.RLTS.T13897A61977573.en). Downloaded on **03 May 2017**
- 570 Ogutu JO, Owen-Smith N (2003) ENSO, rainfall and temperature influences on extreme
571 population declines among African savanna ungulates. *Ecology Letters*, **6**, 412–419.
- 572 Ogutu JO, Owen-smith N (2005) Oscillations in large mammal populations : are they related

- 573 to predation or rainfall? *African Journal of Ecology*, **43**,332–339.
- 574 Pacifici M, Foden WB, Visconti P et al. (2015a) Assessing species vulnerability to climate
575 change. *Nature Climate Change*, **5**, 215–225.
- 576 Pacifici M, Visconti P, Scepi E, Hausmann A, Attorre F, Grant R, Rondinini C (2015b) Fire
577 policy optimization to maximize suitable habitat for locally rare species under different
578 climatic conditions: A case study of antelopes in the Kruger National Park. *Biological
579 Conservation*, **191**, 313–321.
- 580 Pacifici M, Visconti P, Butchart SHM, Watson JEM, Cassola FM, Rondinini C (2017)
581 Species' traits influenced their response to recent climate change. *Nature Climate
582 Change*, **7**, 205-208.
- 583 Patsiou TS, Conti E, Zimmermann NE, Theodoridis S, Randin CF (2014) Topo-climatic
584 microrefugia explain the persistence of a rare endemic plant in the Alps during the last
585 21 millennia. *Global Change Biology*, **20**, 2286-2300.
- 586 Pearson RG, et al. (2014) Life history and spatial traits predict extinction risk due to climate
587 change. *Nature Climate Change* **4**:217–221.
- 588 Pimm SL, et al. (2014) The biodiversity of species and their rates of extinction, distribution,
589 and protection. *Science*, **344**, 1246752.
- 590 Santini L, et al. (2016) A trait-based approach for predicting species responses to
591 environmental change from sparse data: how well might terrestrial mammals track
592 climate change?. *Global Change Biology* **22.7**: 2415-2424.
- 593 Scheffers BR, et al. (2016) The broad footprint of climate change from genes to biomes to
594 people. *Science*, **354**, DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf7671

- 595 Scheiter S, Higgins SI (2009) Impacts of climate change on the vegetation of Africa: an
596 adaptive dynamic vegetation modelling approach. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 2224–
597 2246.
- 598 Schipper J, et al. (2008) The status of the world's land and marine mammals: diversity, threat,
599 and knowledge. *Science*, **322**, 225–30.
- 600 Songer M, Delion M, Biggs A, Huang Q (2012) Modeling Impacts of Climate Change on
601 Giant Panda Habitat. *International Journal of Ecology*, **2012**, 1–12.
- 602 Stein AB, Athreya V, Gerngross P, Balme G, Henschel P, Karanth U, Miquelle D, Rostro-
603 Garcia S, Kamler JF, Laguardia A, Khorozyan I, Ghoddousi A (2016) *Panthera pardus*.
604 (errata version published in 2016) The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016:
605 e.T15954A102421779. Downloaded on **07 April 2017**.
- 606 Tacutu R, et al. (2013) Human Ageing Genomic Resources: integrated databases and tools for
607 the biology and genetics of ageing. *Nucleic acids research*, **41**, D1027-33.
- 608 Thuiller W, Broennimann O, Hughes G, Alkemade JRM, Midgley GF, Corsi F (2006)
609 Vulnerability of African mammals to anthropogenic climate change under conservative
610 land transformation assumptions. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 424–440.
- 611 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2015)
612 World Population Prospects: The 2015 Revision, custom data acquired via website.
- 613 Visconti P, et al. (2015) Projecting Global Biodiversity Indicators under Future Development
614 Scenarios. *Conservation Letters*, **9**, 5–13.
- 615 Williams JW, Jackson ST, Kutzbach JE (2007) Projected distributions of novel and
616 disappearing climates by 2100 AD. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*

617 *the United States of America*, **104**, 5738–42.

618 Willis SG, et al. (2015) Integrating climate change vulnerability assessments from species
619 distribution models and trait-based approaches. *Biological Conservation* **190**: 167-178.

620 Wilson DE, Reeder DM (2005) *Mammal Species of the World: A Taxonomic and*
621 *Geographic Reference*, Volume 1.16, (JHU Press).

622

623

624