Hydrogen mean force and anharmonicity in polycrystalline and amorphous ice

A. Parmentier^{*a*,*}, C. Andreani^{*a*,*b*}, G. Romanelli^{*c*,*a*}, J. J. Shephard^{*d*,*e*}, C. G. Salzmann^{*d*}, and R. Senesi^{*a,b,†*}

Abstract. The hydrogen mean force from experimental neutron Compton profiles is derived using deep inelastic ing on amorphous and polycrystalline ice. The formalism of mean force is extended to probe its sensitivity to the hydrogen-nucleus effective potential. The shape of the mean force for amorphous and polycrystalline ice is mined by the anisotropy of the underlying quasi-harmonic effective potential. The data from amorphous ice show curvature reflecting the more pronounced anharmonicity of the effective potential with respect to that of ice Ih. Abstract. The hydrogen mean force from experimental neutron Compton profiles is derived using deep inelastic neutron scattering on amorphous and polycrystalline ice. The formalism of mean force is extended to probe its sensitivity to anharmonicity in the hydrogen-nucleus effective potential. The shape of the mean force for amorphous and polycrystalline ice is primarily determined by the anisotropy of the underlying quasi-harmonic effective potential. The data from amorphous ice show an additional

The car reflecting the underlying quast-narmonic elective potential. The data from an expension for an electronic or an electronic curvature reflecting the more pronounced anharmonicity of the effective potential with respect to that of ice *Ih*. **Keywords** potential of mean force, neutron Compton profile, nuclear quantum effects, path integral representation, anharmonic-ity **PACS numbers** 61.05.F-, 61.05.fg **1 Introduction** Nuclear quantum effects, such as the zero-point energy and its interplay with the anharmonic character of the hydrogen bond interplay with the anharmonic character of the hydrogen bond interplay with the anharmonic character of the hydrogen nuclear and light nuclei in water systems. Mot recent reviews on the experimental studies and the use of eV neutron spectroscopy to investigate the properties of light nuclei in water and com-leaguest or probe the quantum state of hydrogen nuclear momentum distribution, n(p), and the hydrogen nuclear momentum distribution, n(p), and the hydrogen nuclear momentum distribution, n(p), and the hydrogen nuclear in takin the gram where the neutron scattering in which the incident neutron energy is well above the binding energies of the scat-ting integram of inelastic neutron scattering in which the incident neutron energy is well above the binding energies of the scat-ting the deerging the deep inelastic neutron scattering provide new in-fininite momentum transfer, h_q [5,6]. ^a Universite defil Studi di Roma Tor Vergata, Dip, di Fister e Centro NAST. ^b CM-IPCE Sectione di Messina, wite F. Stagap D'Monmers 37, 98158 forces, such as the stiffness of chemical bonds and geometrical arrangements due to repulsions and attractions between atoms.

> The mean force is expressed in terms of the spherical endto-end distribution, $\tilde{n}(x)$, i.e., the Fourier transform of n(p)[11, 15]. For example, in the DINS and simulation study on hexagonal ice in Ref. [15], it has been reported how the f(x)function can be derived from the experimental data and how

Via della Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133 Roma, Italy

^b CNR-IPCF Sezione di Messina, v.le F. Stagno D'Alcontres 37, 98158 Messina, Italy

^c ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0OX. UK

^d University College London, Dept. of Chemistry, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, UK

^e Dept. of Chemistry, Durham University, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE, UK

Corresponding author: * alexandra.parmentier@uniroma2.it

Corresponding author: [†] roberto.senesi@uniroma2.it

the accuracy required to unambiguously resolve and extract the effective hydrogen nuclear potential can be evaluated. It is also shown how the derivation depends on the signal-tonoise ratio in the DINS count rate. The latter is a consequence of data uncertainties and error propagation derived from the sequence of experimental correction routines and data analysis procedures.

In this study, we extend the formalism of mean force as a direct, model-independent, non-parametric approach to probe the experimental sensitivity to anharmonicity in the hydrogen nuclear effective potential, in order to separate the effects of anharmonicity from those of molecular anisotropy. This is applied first to synthetic, spherically averaged, momentum distribution data from model systems representing the local hydrogen environment in harmonic anisotropic potentials, and then, as a first approximation, by adding anharmonicity along the bond direction by using a simple Morse potential. Finally, the formalism is applied to experimental data on amorphous and polycrystalline ices, showing that the local environment of the hydrogen nucleus in amorphous ices is characterized by a more pronounced anharmonicity of the hydrogen nuclear effective potential, in comparison to that in ice *Ih*.

2 Response Function from DINS experiments

The IA assumes that the scatterers recoil freely from the collision with neutrons, the inter-particle interaction in the final state being negligible. The regime can be regarded as a special case of the incoherent approximation, where, in the case of high-energy collisions, a short-time expansion $(t \rightarrow 0)$ of the atomic position operator, $\mathbf{R}(t)$, is applied to the position operator of any scatterer of mass M and momentum \mathbf{p} , i.e., $\mathbf{R}(t) = \mathbf{R}(0) + \frac{t}{M}\mathbf{p}$ [3]. By applying the momentum- and energy-conservation laws, it can be shown that the energy distribution of the scattered neutrons is directly related to the distribution of particle momenta parallel to the wave vector transfer q, and the resulting (incoherent) dynamic structure factor yields:

$$S(\mathbf{q},\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \hbar \int n(\mathbf{p}) \delta\left(\hbar\boldsymbol{\omega} - \hbar\boldsymbol{\omega}_r - \frac{\hbar\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{p}}{M}\right) d\mathbf{p} \qquad (1)$$

where $\hbar\omega$ is the energy transfer, and $\hbar\omega_r = \frac{\hbar^2 q^2}{2M}$ is the recoil energy.

Using the West scaling formalism, the two dynamic variables $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and \mathbf{q} can be coupled by introducing the West variable $y = \frac{1}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{M}{\hbar^2 q} (\hbar \boldsymbol{\omega} - \hbar \omega_r)$ [3], so that Eq. 1 can be re-written as

$$S(\mathbf{q},\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \frac{M}{\hbar q} J(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}), \qquad (2)$$

where $J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}})$ is the response function, or Neutron Compton Profile (NCP), within the IA framework [2, 3]:

$$J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}}) = \hbar \int n(\mathbf{p}) \delta(\hbar y - \hat{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}.$$
 (3)

 $J(y, \hat{\mathbf{q}})$ represents the probability that the atomic nucleus has a momentum parallel to $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ of magnitude between $\hbar y$ and $\hbar(y + dy)$.

For isotropic samples, the momentum distribution only depends on $|\mathbf{p}|$, and the $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ direction becomes immaterial. Thus, the NCP is expressed by $2\pi\hbar \int_{|\hbar y|}^{\infty} pn(p)dp$, and the expression for n(p) yields:

$$n(p) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar^3 y} \left[\frac{dJ(y)}{dy} \right]_{\hbar y = p}.$$
(4)

The IA is exact only in the limit of infinite wave vector transfer. At finite values of **q**, deviations occur, which are caused by the localization of the scatterer in its final state due to surrounding atoms, and are termed final state effects (FSEs). This causes a broadening of J(y) that resembles an instrumentalresolution effect [19]. In the presence of FSEs, the J(y)function shows an additional dependence on q, which in the isotropic case is expressed as a $\frac{1}{q}$ power series [20, 21]:

$$J(y,q) = J(y) - \frac{A_3}{q} \frac{d^3 J(y)}{dy^3} + \dots$$
(5)

Currently, DINS measurements are carried out at the VESU-VIO beamline at the ISIS pulsed neutron and muon source (Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, UK) using the time of flight technique [22]. VESUVIO is the only instrument designed to exploit the DINS technique at high energyand momentum transfers.

A DINS experiment on a condensed-water sample yields an experimental NCP, $F_l(y,q)$, from each *l*-th detector, for the hydrogen (or oxygen) nuclei. For each detector element *l*, the experimental NCP, due to finite *q* values in the neutron scattering process, retains the *q* dependence and is related to the DINS count rate via the expression:

$$F_{l}(y,q) = \frac{BM}{E_{0} I(E_{0})} q C_{l}(t)$$
(6)

where E_0 is the initial energy of the neutron, $I(E_0)$ is the incident neutron flux at energy E_0 , and B is a constant determined by taking into account several contributions: the detector solid angle, its efficiency at the final energy $E = E_1$, the time-energy Jacobian, the free-atom neutron cross section, and the number of particles hit by the neutron beam. DINS data sets of all samples are y-scaled according to Eq. (6).

In a DINS experiment the asymptotic IA profile, strictly valid in the limit of infinite q (asymptotic regime), is broadened for each individual *l*-th detector by finite q corrections terms, $\Delta J_l(y,q)$, known as final state effects (FSEs) and by the instrumental resolution function, $R_l(y,q)$:

$$F_l(y,q) = [J(y) + \Delta J_l(y,q)] \otimes R_l(y,q).$$
(7)

where $R_l(y,q)$ is determined using standard Monte Carlo routines available on VESUVIO. This equation is used to describe the experimental NCP of Eq.(6) for each individual *l*-th detector, $F_l(y,q)$. Full details on DINS formalism, description of operation of the VESUVIO instrument and experimental set up, experimental corrections and data analysis are reported in References [15, 17, 23].

3 Potential of Mean Force

The interparticle potential in a condensed system can be expressed as a sum of pairwise terms which depend on the relative coordinates between particles. A coordinate $R(\tilde{\mathbf{q}})$ is used to indicate a hydrogen bond, a torsional angle, or linear combinations of similar quantities [24]; $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$ is the generalized vector coordinate, along which the free-energy profile can be determined.

The free-energy profile, referred to as the Potential of Mean Force (PMF), is defined as the potential energy arising from the average force acting between two fixed particles, with the average taken over the ensemble of configurational states for the remaining N - 2 particles.

Through the use of $R(\tilde{\mathbf{q}})$, one can define the system in a hypersurface within the phase space, allowing one to derive the free energy, $F_R(R')$, the partition function, $Z_R(R')$, and the end-toend reaction-coordinate distribution function, $P_R(R')$. These functions yield [25]:

$$Z_{R}(R') = \frac{1}{h^{3N}N!} \int \int e^{-\frac{\mathscr{H}(\mathbf{\tilde{p}},\mathbf{\tilde{q}})}{k_{B}T}} \delta(R' - R(\mathbf{\tilde{q}})) d\mathbf{\tilde{p}} d\mathbf{\tilde{q}}; \quad (8)$$

$$P_{R}(R') = \frac{Z_{R}(R')}{Z} = \frac{\int \int e^{-\frac{\mathscr{H}(\mathbf{\tilde{p}},\mathbf{q})}{k_{B}T}} \delta(R' - R(\mathbf{\tilde{q}})) d\mathbf{\tilde{p}} d\mathbf{\tilde{q}}}{\int \int e^{-\frac{\mathscr{H}(\mathbf{\tilde{p}},\mathbf{q})}{k_{B}T}} d\mathbf{\tilde{p}} d\mathbf{\tilde{q}}}; \quad (9)$$

$$F_{R}(R') = -k_{B}TlnP_{R}(R') - k_{B}TlnZ, \qquad (10)$$

with *f* being the mean force, related to the free energy by $f = -\frac{dF_R(R')}{dR'}$.

Following Lin *et al.* [11], one can express both the partition function and the momentum distribution, n(p), in terms of the one body density matrix $\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \langle \mathbf{r} | \mathbf{e}^{-\beta \mathscr{H}} | \mathbf{r}' \rangle$:

 $n(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3 Z} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{p}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')} \rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') =$

$$Z = \int d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \tag{11}$$

and

$$=\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3}\int d\mathbf{x}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}}\tilde{n}(\mathbf{x})$$
(12)

with

=

$$\tilde{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}').$$
(13)

A viable computational strategy in the investigation of a condensed system is the statistical sampling using the Feynman path integral (PI) representation: $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{x})$ is the end-to-end distribution derived by a sum over open paths whereas closed paths determine $Z_R(R')$ [26].

In such a representation, the density matrix is expressed by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \int_{\mathbf{r}(0)=\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}(\beta\hbar)=\mathbf{r}'} \mathscr{D}\mathbf{r}(\tau) e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}\int_0^{\beta\hbar} d\tau \left(\frac{m\mathbf{\dot{r}}^2(\tau)}{2} + V[\mathbf{r}(\tau)]\right)}$$
(14)

with $\beta = \frac{1}{k_BT}$. If the linear transformation $\mathbf{r}(\tau) = \mathbf{\tilde{r}}(\tau) + y(\tau)\mathbf{x}$ is carried out in the path space, then one can express the NCP in terms of the distribution $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{x})$. This action reshapes the open path $\mathbf{r}(\tau)$ into the closed path $\mathbf{\tilde{r}}(\tau)$, with the free particle contribution coming from the derivative of $y(\tau)$. Thus, the end-to-end distribution is given by:

$$\tilde{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\int_{\mathbf{r}(0)-\mathbf{r}(\beta\hbar)=\mathbf{x}} \mathscr{D}\mathbf{r}(\tau)e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}\int_{0}^{\beta\hbar}d\tau \left(\frac{m\dot{\mathbf{r}}^{2}(\tau)}{2}+V[\mathbf{r}(\tau)]\right)}}{\int_{\mathbf{r}(\beta\hbar)=\mathbf{r}(0)} \mathscr{D}\mathbf{r}(\tau)e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}\int_{0}^{\beta\hbar}d\tau \left(\frac{m\dot{\mathbf{r}}^{2}(\tau)}{2}+V[\mathbf{r}(\tau)]\right)}} = e^{-\frac{m\mathbf{x}^{2}}{2\beta\hbar^{2}}} \frac{\int_{\mathbf{\tilde{r}}(\beta\hbar)=\mathbf{\tilde{r}}(0)} \mathscr{D}\mathbf{\tilde{r}}(\tau)e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}\int_{0}^{\beta\hbar}d\tau \left(\frac{m\dot{\mathbf{r}}^{2}(\tau)}{2}+V[\mathbf{\tilde{r}}(\tau)]\right)}}{\int_{\mathbf{r}(\beta\hbar)=\mathbf{r}(0)} \mathscr{D}\mathbf{r}(\tau)e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}\int_{0}^{\beta\hbar}d\tau \left(\frac{m\dot{\mathbf{r}}^{2}(\tau)}{2}+V[\mathbf{r}(\tau)]\right)}}.$$
 (15)

The equations above allow us to express the NCP in terms of $\tilde{n}(\mathbf{x})$:

$$J(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dx_{\parallel} \tilde{n}(x_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{q}}) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} x_{\parallel} \mathbf{y}}, \qquad (16)$$

with $x_{\parallel} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{\hat{q}}$.

By making use of the primitive approximation [26]:

$$\tilde{n}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-\frac{m\mathbf{x}^2}{2\beta\hbar^2}} e^{-\beta U(\mathbf{x})},$$
(17)

the expressions for $U(x_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{q}})$ and the MF, $f(x_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{q}})$, become:

$$U(x_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{q}}) = -\frac{mx_{\parallel}^2}{2\beta^2\hbar^2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\ln\int dy J(y,\hat{\mathbf{q}})e^{ix_{\parallel}y} \qquad (18)$$

and

$$f(x_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{q}}) = -\frac{mx_{\parallel}}{\beta^2\hbar^2} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\int_0^\infty y \sin(x_{\parallel}y) J(y,\hat{\mathbf{q}}) dy}{\int_0^\infty dy \cos(x_{\parallel}y) J(y,\hat{\mathbf{q}})},$$
(19)

For finite temperature systems, Eq. 17, which is valid for time step $\tau = \frac{\beta}{N} \rightarrow 0$, with *N* the number of virtual replicas [26], is of particular relevance.

Indeed, the sequence of Feynman-Trotter approximations to the thermal Feynman path integral for a general non-relativistic system characterized by a smooth, single-minimum interaction potential converges pointwise to the quantum thermal propagator at every non-zero temperature, but in the zero-temperature limit, for high-order elements of the sequence, an abrupt "collapse" from the quantum to the classical ground-state takes place [27]. In other words, for all *finite N*-values the $T \rightarrow 0$ limit is unphysical. This situation can be mitigated by either increasing *N* (which can prove computationally demanding) or implementing any alternative low-*T* formulation to Feynman's original one, such as coherent-state path integral (CSPI) [28].

In any case, Eq. 18 is an application of Feynman mapping of the quantum system onto a set of replicas obeying to classical mechanics, where each particle in a string of replicas is referred to as a "bead", and adjacent beads interact via a harmonic potential of frequency $\sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{\beta^2 \hbar^2}}$ [29].

It is worth noticing here that the operational temperature mainly impacts the MF curve in terms of the slope of its local tangent in $x_{\parallel} = 0$ Å, via the dominant negative addend in Eq. 19.

4 Mean Force in anisotropic harmonic potentials

Previous DINS and simulation studies have described the momentum distribution of the hydrogen nuclei in water as a spherical average of a multivariate Gaussian according to [15]

$$4\pi p^2 n(p) = \left\langle \frac{\delta(p - |\mathbf{p}|)}{\sqrt{8\pi^3} \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_z} \exp\left(-\frac{p_x^2}{2\sigma_x^2} - \frac{p_y^2}{2\sigma_y^2} - \frac{p_z^2}{2\sigma_z^2}\right) \right\rangle,\tag{20}$$

where σ_z is along the direction of the O-H bond, and σ_x and σ_y are in the plane perpendicular to the direction of the O-H bond. The set of parameters, $\sigma_{x,y,z}$, determines the anisotropy in the momentum distribution line shape, with:

$$\sigma_i^2 = \frac{M\omega_i}{2\hbar} \coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega_i}{2}\right),\tag{21}$$

 ω_i being an effective principal frequency [3, 13].

The spherical average of n(p) in Eq. 20 is carried out over all possible molecular orientations, explicitly yielding:

$$n(p) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_z} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} [\sin(\theta) e^{-\frac{1}{2}p^2 S(\theta, \phi)}] d\theta.$$
(22)

and the corresponding expression for the NCP is:

$$J(y) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{\sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_z} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} sin(\theta) \frac{1}{S(\theta, \phi)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}S(\theta, \phi)\hbar^2 y^2} d\theta$$
(23)

where

$$S(\theta,\phi) = \frac{\sin^2(\theta)\cos^2(\phi)}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\sin^2(\theta)\sin^2(\phi)}{\sigma_y^2} + \frac{\cos^2(\theta)}{\sigma_z^2}.$$
(24)

Eqs. 22 and 23 can be evaluated numerically [17]. For an isotropic system, the NCP is a univariate Gaussian, i.e., $J(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ and the mean force in Eq. (19) yields:

$$f(x_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{q}}) = -\frac{mx_{\parallel}}{\beta^{2}\hbar^{2}} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}(2\sigma^{2})^{\frac{3}{2}}x_{\parallel}e^{-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}x_{\parallel}^{2}}}{\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}(2\sigma^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}x_{\parallel}^{2}}} = \left(-\frac{m}{\beta^{2}\hbar^{2}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\beta}\right)x_{\parallel}$$
(25)

This expression for the mean force shows a linear dependence on the coordinate x_{\parallel} . Eq. (25) is interpreted as Hooke's law governing the "nanospring" that connects two atoms along the direction of x_{\parallel} , with $k = \frac{m}{\beta^2 \hbar^2} - \frac{\sigma^2}{\beta}$ being the related elastic constant. In the anisotropic case, a three-dimensional harmonic potential would similarly produce such a linear behavior, when directional distributions are considered.

Therefore, deviations of $f(x_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{q}})$ from linearity provide evidence of underlying anharmonicity of the local potential. However, in experiments, only the spherically averaged NCP is accessible in liquids, amorphous solids, and polycrystalline solids. The effect of the spherical average is to introduce deviations from linearity on $f(x_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{q}})$ [11, 15].

This is shown in Figs. 1 and 2, where we report the calculated hydrogen NCP and MF, respectively, of a model system with a multivariate Gaussian momentum distribution characterized by a robust anisotropy (with average variance $\overline{\sigma}_{aniso}$ at T=100 K), together with the hydrogen NCP and MF of a model system with an isotropic momentum distribution at T=100 K, and $\sigma_{iso} = \overline{\sigma}_{aniso}$.

Fig. 2 shows how, while the numerical evaluation performed on the isotropic system results in a linear MF overlapping the analytic result from Eq. (25), the MF for the anisotropic harmonic system only follows the linear trend for small values of x_{\parallel} , then shifting to a smoother increase due to the sphericalaveraging process. Here the deviation of the spherical force from linearity at finite x_{\parallel} results from the averaging process and is not a sign of anharmonicity.

We note that the effect of anisotropy is to introduce a concavity in the MF trend, but the slope of the resulting mean force is always lower than the slope of the corresponding isotropic reference system.



Fig. 1 Hydrogen NCP J(y) for y > 0 at 100 K for: a) a system with a spherically averaged multivariate Gaussian n(p), with $\sigma_x = 2.0$ Å⁻¹, $\sigma_y = 4.0$ Å⁻¹, $\sigma_z = 7.0$ Å⁻¹, and $\overline{\sigma}_{aniso} = 4.796$ Å⁻¹ (magenta points); b) a system with a univariate Gaussian n(p), with $\sigma_{iso} = 4.796$ Å⁻¹ (black line).

Thus, in the interpretation of the experimental Compton profiles, which result from the contribution of many particles, one must distinguish the case of an anisotropic harmonic potential energy surface from that of an anharmonic potential energy surface. In view of the above, a practical means to identify anharmonicity in the experimental data is to compare the slope of the mean force with that of the corresponding isotropic model, which can be easily derived from the spherically averaged standard deviations of the neutron Compton profiles.

4.1 Evaluation of anharmonicity along the bond direction

In this subsection, we show how one can fingerprint anharmonicity through the inspection of the mean force.

Let us consider an anisotropic hydrogen-containing system at T=100 K. We describe the hydrogen n(p) as a spherical average of an anharmonic contribution along one direction, i.e., the *z* axis, and two harmonic components along *x* and *y*, respectively.

Let us suppose that a simple Morse potential is acting along the O–H covalent bond (z axis), which, in the typical tetrahedral arrangement of molecules in condensed water (Fig. 3), can be represented, to a first approximation, as collinear with a hydrogen bond.

Of course, this type of modeling neglects the effects caused by both anharmonic coupling between covalent- and HB vibrations (in turn dependent on deviations from collinearity) and any departure from Morse-like 1D anharmonicity (in fa-



Fig. 2 Hydrogen MF at T=100 K for: a) a system with a spherically averaged multivariate Gaussian n(p), with $\sigma_x = 2.0$ Å⁻¹, $\sigma_y = 4.0$ Å⁻¹, $\sigma_z = 7.0$ Å⁻¹, and $\overline{\sigma}_{aniso} = 4.796$ Å⁻¹ (magenta points); b) a system with a univariate Gaussian n(p) with $\sigma_{iso} = 4.796$ Å⁻¹ (black line). The yellow line represents the analytical result from Eq. 25.

vor of a double-well potential), which can occur in stronger intermolecular hydrogen bonds [30].

If the anharmonic contribution to the eigenfunction $\phi(\mathbf{p})$ of the ground state in the momentum space is represented as coming from a Morse-oscillator motion [31–33], then the spherical average of the momentum distribution yields:

$$n(p) = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \frac{2^{\lambda_z - 1}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y \alpha_z \hbar} \frac{2\lambda_z - 1}{\Gamma(2\lambda_z)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \quad \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \times \\ \times \left[sin(\theta) e^{-\frac{p^2}{2} \left(\frac{sin^2(\theta) cos^2(\phi)}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{sin^2(\theta) sin^2(\phi)}{\sigma_y^2} \right)} \left| \Gamma\left(\lambda_z - \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{p cos(\theta)}{\alpha_z \hbar} \right) \right|^2 \right]$$

$$(26)$$

and

$$J(y) = 2\pi\hbar \int_{|\hbar y|}^{\infty} pn(p)dp =$$

= $\frac{\hbar}{8\pi^2} \frac{2^{\lambda_c - 1}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y \alpha_c \hbar} \frac{2\lambda_c - 1}{\Gamma(2\lambda_c)} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^{\pi} sin(\theta) d\theta \times$

$$\times \int_{|\hbar y|}^{\infty} \left[p e^{-\frac{p^2}{2} \left(\frac{\sin^2(\theta) \cos^2(\phi)}{\sigma_x^2} + \frac{\sin^2(\theta) \sin^2(\phi)}{\sigma_y^2} \right)} \left| \Gamma \left(\lambda_z - \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{p \cos(\theta)}{\alpha_z \hbar} \right) \right|^2 \right] dp$$
(27)

We recall here that, since D_z is the depth of the Morse potential minimum [31], α_z is its curvature, and $\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2D_z \alpha_z^2}{M}}$ is the



Fig. 3 Schematics of a single water molecule (right) and its tetrahedral arrangement in low-temperature condensed phases (left). O-H covalent bonds are in green, hydrogen bonds are in black.

harmonic fundamental frequency of the Morse oscillator, then $D_z \alpha_z^2$ can be derived from the analysis of the experimental line shapes through the following expression:

$$\sigma_{z} = \left[\frac{M}{2\hbar}\sqrt{\frac{2D_{z}\alpha_{z}^{2}}{M}} \coth\left(\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\sqrt{\frac{2D_{z}\alpha_{z}^{2}}{M}}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},\qquad(28)$$

where $\lambda_z = \frac{\sqrt{2MD_z}}{\alpha_z \hbar}$ is a dimensionless parameter, and either α_z or D_z can be recovered from the literature.

The evaluation of the anharmonic contributions to the Morse potential shown above can be carried out by considering the anharmonic constant, $x_{anh} = \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{4D_z} = \frac{\hbar \alpha_z}{\sqrt{8D_z M}} = \frac{1}{2\lambda_z}$. Using typical values of D_z and λ_z from the literature [34–36] we obtain $x_{anh} = 0.032$. The resulting behavior of the mean force is expected to show small differences from the anisotropic harmonic case. More significant changes in the curvature of the mean force can be obtained by using $x_{anh} = 3.2$, although this choice of the anharmonicity constant implies an almost flatbottom potential. The two Morse potentials with $x_{anh} = 0.032$ and $x_{anh} = 3.2$ are shown in Fig. 4.

Numerical calculation of J(y) were carried out for the anisotropic harmonic model, and the anisotropic anharmonic model with the two anharmonic constants described above; the corresponding mean forces along the bond (z) direction, are shown in Fig. 5 below.

As anticipated above, considering a simple Morse potential along an O–H covalent bond collinear with a hydrogen bond amounts to neglecting, to a first approximation, a nonnegligible portion of anharmonic behavior.



Fig. 4 Shape of the Morse potential along the *z* axis for $\sigma_z = 7.0 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, $\alpha_z = 2.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, and $D_z = 3212 \text{ meV}$ (green line); and for $\sigma_z = 7.0 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, $\alpha_z = 25 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, and $D_z = 32.12 \text{ meV}$ (blue dashed line).

Indeed, hydrogen bonding normally introduce competing quantum effects on the vibrational motion of the hydrogen nucleus in the plane of the water molecule, and perpendicular to it, due to its influence on the interplay between the O-H stretch and HB bending [1]. These effects produce a *prominent* modification of the absorption band of the stretching mode of the X–H donor group, in terms of a red shift and spectral broadening [1, 37], which are a manifestation of the competition between the anharmonic quantum fluctuations of intramolecular covalent bond stretching and intermolecular hydrogen-bond bending [1].

In our first- approximation model, any large anharmonic effect may be modeled by an increase of the anharmonic constant x_{anh} along the bond direction, reflecting the enhancement of the non-parabolic character of the vibrational potential [38]. This may lead, for example, to a very shallow model potential as seen in Fig. 4 (blue curve). Such a potential is clearly not adequate in describing the quantum state of the hydrogen nucleus in condensed water phases.

These findings suggest that an improved description of the anharmonicity due to the intermolecular hydrogen bonding has to take into account the non-collinearity of the covalent-bond and hydrogen-bond directions, in the sense that each hydrogen atom should move under at least two non-collinear anharmonic potentials, one along the direction of the intramolecular O–H stretch and one along the intermolecular hydrogen bond [39]. This model would imply that, differently from the previous cases, the motion along x-, y-, and z, and the corresponding contributions to the anisotropic n(p) are correlated, due to the non-collinear potentials along the covalent and hy-



Fig. 5 Hydrogen MF at T=100 K for: a) a system with a spherically averaged multivariate Gaussian n(p), with $\sigma_x = 2.0$ Å⁻¹, $\sigma_y = 4.0$ Å⁻¹, $\sigma_z = 7.0$ Å⁻¹, and $\overline{\sigma}_{aniso} = 4.796$ Å⁻¹ (magenta points); b) same system as in a) with a spherically-averaged n(p) with two harmonic components along the *x* and *y* directions and a small anharmonic component, $x_{anh} = 0.032$, along the *z* direction (green line); c) same system as in a) with a spherically-averaged n(p) having two harmonic components along the *x* and *y* directions and a large anharmonic component substantiation of the *x* and *y* directions and a large anharmonic component ($x_{anh} = 3.2$) along *z* direction (blue triangles). The black line represents the analytical result along the bond direction from Eq. (25).

drogen bond directions.

Analogously, an upgrade of potential modeling to an asymmetric double-well [40] might be an interesting test.

Notwithstanding all of the above considerations, one must notice that the model presented in this paper is already suitable, in the absence of biases due to the reduction of experimental data, to offer a practical, first-approximation tool to tell anharmonicity of the local potential apart from mere anisotropy. This is done by assessment of the experimental MF possibly exceeding the slope of the isotropic linear reference.

5 Application to experimental DINS data

5.1 Amorphous ices

The determination of the hydrogen mean force from DINS data has been carried out in order to apply the models described in the previous sections to the interpretation of experimental data on amorphous and polycrystalline ice samples, with special attention to the identification of anharmonicities in the hydrogen nuclear effective potential.

The procedure adopted consists in the use of the singledetector NCPs, $F_l(y,q) = [J_{IA}(y) + \Delta J_l(y,q)] \otimes R_l(y,q)$ (see equation 7, and the corresponding final-state-effect contributions obtained from the previous DINS experiments of References [15, 41]). The single-detector NCPs were corrected for the final state effects and averaged over the set of individual detectors, yielding:

$$\hat{J}_R(y) = \langle F_l(y,q) - \Delta J_l(y,q) \rangle_l.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Full details on the determination of $F_l(y,q)$ and $\Delta J_l(y,q)$ can be found in References [15,41]. The detector-averaged profile, $\hat{J}_R(y)$, contains the broadening due to the instrumental resolution. The latter is neglected to a first approximation in the present work, since its contribution is on the order of 15% of the full width at half maximum of the neutron Compton profiles [19]. The hydrogen mean forces for the amorphous and polycrystalline samples are then determined by a numerical evaluation of Equation 19 applied to $\hat{J}_R(y)$ for each sample.

At first we derive the mean force using DINS data from amorphous ices at T= 80 K and standard pressure [41], namely, very-high-density (vHDA), unannealed high-density (uHDA), and low-density (LDA) amorphous ices, respectively. The results, plotted in Fig. 6, are compared to model profiles from a univariate (1D) Gaussian momentum distribution with a standard deviation equal to the spherically averaged standard deviation, $\overline{\sigma}_{AI}$, found in Reference [41], that is, $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}_{AI}$ (Eq. 25).

Fig. 6 shows that the hydrogen MFs in amorphous ices are characterized by a non-linear behavior, with slopes at intermediate *x* exceeding those of the corresponding isotropic modeling with $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}_{AI}$. In view of the calculations and figures



Fig. 6 Left panel: hydrogen MF along $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ for vHDA (top, confidence region in yellow), uHDA (center, confidence region in magenta), and LDA (bottom, confidence region in blue), respectively, at *T*=80 K. The linear isotropic reference is obtained using a 1D Gaussian with $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}_{AI}$ (black). The turquoise line appearing in the plot for vHDA represents the mean force calculated using the detector-averaged resolution profile as experimental data. AI densities signaled in the three plots are those reported in [41]. Right panel: the same hydrogen MFs divided by the linear MF for the isotropic reference, in order to enhance MF curvature differences - with respect to the reference itself - as a function of density.

The x_{\parallel} -axis in each plot starts from 0.002 Å since the point in the origin has zero error. This is caused by error bars computed by error propagation from J(y) alone.

in Section 2, they provide evidence of anisotropic, as well as anharmonic, local environment for hydrogen nuclei. The right panel of Fig. 6 clearly shows that, as density decreases (vHDA \rightarrow uHDA \rightarrow LDA) and within the experimental error, the local radius of curvature of the MF becomes smaller, or the x_{\parallel} range over which the MF is placed above its sample-specific isotropic reference becomes larger. This suggests that, in harmony with findings from inelastic and deep inelastic scattering measurements reported in Ref. [41], the hydrogen local environment in amorphous ices is characterized by an anharmonic character of the local potential, which goes beyond mere anisotropy, and decreases as density increases. This behavior is consistent with the structural differences found in the various forms of amorphous ices: indeed, all molecules in amorphous ices are hydrogen-bonded to four approximately tetrahedrally arranged neighbors (the 'Walrafen pentamer'). HDA holds an additional molecule (at a similar distance) not directly hydrogen bonded to the central molecule and located in between its first and second coordination shell; vHDA then holds two interstitial molecules [42, 43]. We note that the structure of uHDA has recently been described as a "derailed" state along the ice I to ice IV pathway [44]. This picture is consistent with a longer average O-O distance between hydrogen-bonded molecules for vHDA (2.85 Å) than for uHDA (2.82 Å); an even shorter distance is found for LDA (2.77 Å) [45], in spite of a decrease in density and in the hydrogen mean kinetic energy [41].

In conclusion, as the hydrogen bonds weaken with increasing density, the effective potential becomes less and less anharmonic and its shape becomes similar to the shapes inferred from other spectroscopic techniques within the harmonic assumption [38]. This is consistent with recent findings on LDA and HDA from 2D IR spectroscopy [46].

5.2 Hexagonal ice Ih

An early application of the MF formalism described in section 3 can be found in Ref. [15], concerning DINS data from a polycrystalline sample of ice *Ih* at 271 K and standard pressure.

In order to assess whether the anharmonic behavior reported above might be resulting from systematic experimental contributions, we report below the determination of the hydrogen mean force for a polycrystalline ice sample from a DINS measurement at 71 K and standard pressure [14].

According to the literature [34], polycrystalline ice Ih is expected to be a quasi-harmonic system, especially at low temperature, with a small amount of anisotropy stemming from molecular orientations in the crystal.

The MF derived from experimental DINS data for this system seems to confirm the above picture: as reported in Fig. 7, bottom panel, within experimental uncertainties the mean force



Fig. 7 Top: Numerically evaluated hydrogen MF along $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ for ice *Ih* at 271 K and standard pressure (dark blue-green confidence region). In black we report the linear model obtained using a 1D Gaussian with $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}_{Ih}$. Red full points represent the numerically evaluated MF of a spherically averaged harmonic anisotropic model system characterized by $\sigma_x = 3.7 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, $\sigma_y = 4.3 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, and $\sigma_x = 6.5 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ [15]. Bottom: Numerically evaluated hydrogen MF along $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ for ice *Ih* at 71 K and standard pressure (green confidence region). In black we report the linear model obtained using a 1D Gaussian with $\sigma = \overline{\sigma}_{Ih}$.

in polycrystalline ice Ih at 71 K does not show anharmonic behavior.

6 Conclusions

This paper presents a new procedure to obtain information on the hydrogen-nucleus energy surface in water by directly expressing the mean force function, f(x), in terms of the neutron Compton profiles measured in DINS experiments, beyond what was introduced by Lin *et al.* in [11]. The new formalism is illustrated and applied to experimental DINS data in a variety of low-temperature condensed phases of water. The calculations on model systems allow to obtain a practical tool to identify anharmonicity in the hydrogen-nucleus effective potential, and to distinguish the case of an anisotropic harmonic potential from that of an anharmonic potential, by simple inspection of the concavity and slope of the mean force.

By applying the above tools to the experimental data from DINS measurements, it is found that the shape of the mean force for amorphous and polycrystalline ice is primarily determined by the anisotropy of the underlying quasi-harmonic effective potential, and that data from amorphous ice show an additional curvature reflecting the more pronounced anharmonicity of the hydrogen-nucleus effective potential, with respect to that of ice I*h*.

The present work joins the stream of efforts to better understand the relation between the experimental momentum distribution and the atomic/molecular environment: a challenging task, though crucial to the study of condensed matter and worthy of development.

We plan to further refine the proposed approach to better formalize the role of molecular hydrogen bonding with the aim of quantitative determinations of the anharmonicities of atomic local potentials.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported within the CNR-STFC Agreement 2014-2020 (No. 3420 2014-2020) concerning collaboration in scientific research at ISIS Spallation Neutron Source.

References

- M. Ceriotti, W. Fang, P. G. Kusalik, R. H McKenzie, A. Michaelides, M. A. Morales, T. E. Markland, Nuclear Quantum Effects in Water and Aqueous Systems: Experiment, Theory, and Current Challenges, *Chem. Rev.* 116(5), 7259-7550 (2016).
- 2 J. M. F. Gunn, C. Andreani, J. Mayers, A new approach to impulsive neutron scattering. *Journal of Physics C Solid State Physics* **19**, L835–L840 (1986).

- 3 C. Andreani, D. Colognesi, J. Mayers, G. F. Reiter, R. Senesi, Measurement of Momentum Distribution of Light Atoms and Molecules in Condensed Matter Systems using Inelastic Neutron Scattering, *Adv. Phys.* 54(5), 377-469 (2005).
- 4 G.B. West, Electron Scattering from Atoms, Nuclei and Nucleons, *Phys. Rev. C* 18, 263-323 (1975).
- 5 G. Reiter, R. Silver, Measurement of Interionic Potentials in Solids Using Deep-Inelastic Neutron Scattering. *Physical Review Letters* **54**, 1047-1050 (1985)
- 6 G. I. Watson, Neutron Compton scattering, *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter* **1996**, 8, 5955–5975
- 7 C. Andreani, M. Krzystyniak, G. Romanelli, R. Senesi and F. Fernandez-Alonso, Electron-volt neutron spectroscopy: beyond fundamental systems, *Adv. Phys.* 66, 1-73 (2017).
- 8 J.A. Morrone and R. Car, Nuclear quantum effects in water, *Phys.Rev. Lett.* **101**, 017801 (2008).
- 9 R. Car and M. Parrinello, Unified Approach for Molecular Dynamics and Density-Functional Theory, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 55, 2471 (1985).
- 10 D. Marx and M. Parrinello, Ab initio path integral molecular dynamics: Basic ideas, Z. Phys. B 95, 143 (1994);
 J. Chem. Phys. 104, 4077 (1996).
- 11 L. Lin, J.A. Morrone, R. Car, and M. Parrinello, Displaced Path Integral Formulation for the Momentum Distribution of Quantum Particles, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 110602 (2010).
- 12 B Cheng, J Behler, M Ceriotti, Nuclear Quantum Effects in Water at the Triple Point: Using Theory as a Link Between Experiments *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **7**, 2210 (2016).
- 13 C. Andreani, G. Romanelli, and R. Senesi, A Combined INS and DINS Study of Proton Quantum Dynamics of Ice and Water across the Triple Point and in the Supercritical Phase, *Chem. Phys.* 427, 106-110 (2013).
- 14 R. Senesi, G. Romanelli, M. A. Adams and C. Andreani, Temperature dependence of the zero point kinetic energy in ice and water above room temperature, *Chem. Phys.* 427, 111-116 (2013).
- 15 D. Flammini, A. Pietropaolo, R. Senesi, C. Andreani, F. McBride, A. Hodgson, M. A. Adams, L. Lin, and R. Car, Spherical Momentum Distribution of the Protons in Hexagonal Ice from Modeling of Inelastic Neutron Scattering Data, *J. Chem. Phys.* **136**, 024504 (2012).

- 16 C. Pantalei, A. Pietropaolo, R. Senesi, C. Andreani, S. Imberti, J. Mayers, C. Burnham, and G. Reiter, Proton momentum distribution of liquid water from room temperature to the supercritical phase, *Phys Rev. Letters* **100**, 177801 (2008).
- 17 C. Andreani, G. Romanelli, and R. Senesi, Direct Measurements of Quantum Kinetic Energy Tensor in Stable and Metastable Water near the Triple Point: An Experimental Benchmark, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 7, 2216-2220 (2016).
- 18 R. P. Feynman, Forces in Molecules, *Phys. Rev.* 56, 340 (1939).
- 19 R. Senesi, Direct Kinetic Energy Extraction from Neutron Compton Profiles, *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res.* 661, 70-76 (2012)
- 20 V.F. Sears, Scaling and Final-State Interactions in Deep-Inelastic Neutron Scattering, *Phys. Rev. B* 30, 44 (1984)
- 21 J. Mayers, User Guide to VESUVIO Data Analysis Programs for Powders and Liquids, *Technical Report RAL-TR-2011-003*, STFC (2011)
- 22 R. Senesi, C. Andreani, Z. Bowden, D. Colognesi, E. Degiorgi, A. L. Fielding, J. Mayers, M. Nardone, J. Norris, M. Praitano, VESUVIO: a novel instrument for performing spectroscopic studies in condensed matter with eV neutrons at the ISIS facility, *Physica B Condensed Matter* 276, 200-201(2000).
- 23 A. Pietropaolo, R. Senesi, Electronvolt Neutron Spectrometers, *Physics Reports - Review Section of Physics Letters* 508(3), 45- 90 (2011).
- 24 V.A. Harmandaris, N.P. Adhikari, N.F.A. van der Vegt, and K. Kremer, Hierarchical Modeling of Polystyrene: From Atomistic to Coarse-Grained Simulations, *Macromolecules* **39**, 6708-6719 (2006)
- 25 D. Trzesniak, A.-P.E. Kunz, and W.F. van Gunsteren, A Comparison of Methods to Compute the Potential of Mean Force, *Chem. Phys. Chem.* 8, 162-169 (2007)
- 26 D.M. Ceperley, Path Integrals in the Theory of Condensed Helium, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 67(2), 279-355 (1995)
- 27 S.K. Kauffmann, and J.Rafelski, Analytic Study of a Sequence of Path Integral Approximations for Simple Quantum Systems at Low Temperature, *Z. Phys. C Particles and Fields* **24**, 157-162 (1984).
- 28 T.W. Whitfield, and J.E. Straub, Uncertainty of path integral averages at low temperature, *J. Chem. Phys.* 115(15), 6834-6840 (2001)

- 29 J.A. Morrone, et al., Proton Momentum Distribution in Water: an Open Path Integral Molecular Dynamics Study, J. Chem. Phys. 126, 234504 (2007)
- 30 Y. Marechal, *The Hydrogen Bond and the Water Molecule - The Physics and Chemistry of Water, Aqueous and Bio-Media* (Elsevier Science, 2006)
- 31 P. M. Morse, Diatomic Molecules according to the Wave Mechanics. II. Vibrational Levels, *Phys. Rev.* 34(1), 57-64 (1929)
- 32 J.P. Dahl, and M. Springborg, The Morse Oscillator in Position Space, Momentum Space, and Phase Space, *J. Chem. Phys.* **88**, 4535 (1988)
- 33 M. Abramovitz, and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1970)
- 34 L. Lin, J.A. Morrone, R. Car, and M. Parrinello, Momentum Distribution, Vibrational Dynamics and the Potential of Mean Force in Ice, *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 220302 (2011)
- 35 T. Lijima, Anharmonicity of the OH...O Hydrogen Bond in Liquid Water, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 217, 503-506 (1994).
- 36 R.H. McKenzie, C. Bekker, B. Athokpam, and S.G. Ramesh, Effect of Quantum Nuclear Motion on Hydrogen Bonding, *J. Chem. Phys.* 140, 174508 (2014).
- 37 D. Hadzi, and S. Bratos in *The Hydrogen Bond: Recent Developments in Theory and Experiments, vol. II, Structure and Spectroscopy*, P. Schuster, G. Zundel, and C. Sandorfy Eds. (North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1976)
- 38 M. Barbatti, and M.A.C. Nascimento, Vibrational Analysis of Small H_n^+ Hydrogen Clusters, *J. Chem. Phys.* **119**(11), 5444-5448 (2003)
- 39 X. Zhang, S. Chen, and J. Li, Hydrogen-Bond Potential for Ice VIII-X Phase Transition, *Sci. Rep.* 6, 37161 (2016)
- 40 H.J. Bakker, et al., Transient Absorption of Vibrationally Excited Water, J. Chem. Phys. 116(6), 2592-2598 (2002)
- 41 A. Parmentier, J.J Shephard, G. Romanelli, R. Senesi, C.G. Salzmann, and C. Andreani, Evolution of Hydrogen Dynamics in Amorphous Ice with Density, *J. Chem. Phys. Lett.* 6, 2038-2042 (2015)
- 42 J.L. Finney, A. Hallbrucker, I. Kohl, A.K. Soper, and D.T. Bowron, Structures of High and Low Density Amorphous Ice by Neutron Diffraction, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 225503 (2002)

- 43 J.L. Finney, D.T. Bowron, A.K. Soper, T. Loerting, E. Mayer, and A. Hallbrucker, Structure of a New Dense Amorphous Ice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 205503 (2002)
- 44 J.J. Shephard, S. Ling, G.C. Sosso, A. Michaelides, B. Slater, and C.G. Salzmann, Is High-density Amorphous Ice Simply a 'Derailed' State along the Ice I to Ice IV Pathway?, *J. Phys. Phys. Lett.* 8, 1645-1650 (2017)
- 45 M. Guthrie, C.A. Tulk, C.J. Benmore, and D.D. Klug, A Structural Study of Very High-Density Amorphous Ice, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **397**, 335-339 (2004)
- 46 A. Shalit, F. Perakis, and P. Hamm, Communication: Disorder-suppressed vibrational relaxation in vapordeposited high-density amorphous ice, *J. Chem. Phys.* 140, 151102 (2014)