

Smith, Andrew J. and Young, Allan and Rohrbach, Simon and O'Connor, Erin F. and Allison, Mark and Wang, Hong-Shuang and Poole, Darren L. and Tuttle, Tell and Murphy, John A. (2017) Electron transfer and hydride transfer pathways in the Stoltz-Grubbs reducing system (KOtBu-Et3SiH). Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 56 (44). 13747–13751. ISSN 1433-7851, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201707914

This version is available at <a href="https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/62101/">https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/62101/</a>

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: <a href="mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk">strathprints@strath.ac.uk</a>

The Strathprints institutional repository (https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk) is a digital archive of University of Strathclyde research outputs. It has been developed to disseminate open access research outputs, expose data about those outputs, and enable the management and persistent access to Strathclyde's intellectual output.



### Electron Transfer

## Electron-Transfer and Hydride-Transfer Pathways in the Stoltz-Grubbs Reducing System (KOtBu/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH)

Andrew J. Smith, Allan Young, Simon Rohrbach, Erin F. O'Connor, Mark Allison, Hong-Shuang Wang, Darren L. Poole, Tell Tuttle,\* and John A. Murphy\*

Abstract: Recent studies by Stoltz, Grubbs et al. have shown that triethylsilane and potassium tert-butoxide react to form a highly attractive and versatile system that shows (reversible) silylation of arenes and heteroarenes as well as reductive cleavage of C-O bonds in aryl ethers and C-S bonds in aryl thioethers. Their extensive mechanistic studies indicate a complex network of reactions with a number of possible intermediates and mechanisms, but their reactions likely feature silvl radicals undergoing addition reactions and  $S_{\mu}2$  reactions. This paper focuses on the same system, but through computational and experimental studies, reports complementary facets of its chemistry based on a) single-electron transfer (SET), and b) hydride delivery reactions to arenes.

**R**ecently, Stoltz, Grubbs et al.<sup>[1]</sup> have discovered a simple and elegant system comprising Et<sub>3</sub>SiH (2) and KOtBu which achieves a number of remarkable reactions: 1) converting arenes and heteroarenes, and their alkylated counterparts, into silyl-substituted products, often with excellent regiocontrol<sup>[1a-c]</sup> (e.g.  $1 \rightarrow 3$ ; Scheme 1); 2) achieving reductive C-S bond cleavage in aryl thioethers (e.g.  $4\rightarrow 5$ ) in a reaction which has potential importance in removing sulfur traces from hydrocarbon fuels;<sup>[1d]</sup> 3) triggering reductive C–O bond cleavage in aryl ethers (e.g.  $6 \rightarrow 7$ ) in a reaction with potential applications to controlled lignin degradation.<sup>[1a,d]</sup> A number of intermediates likely arise from reaction of these two reagents, and spectroscopic evidence has resulted in informed proposals being made for their structures. These reactions have proved puzzling, but a recent coordinated study by synthetic, mechanistic, and computational chemists has allowed significant advances to be made.<sup>[1e,f]</sup> The conclusions are: 1) the combination of Et<sub>3</sub>SiH and KOtBu leads to triethylsilyl

[\*] A. J. Smith, A. Young, S. Rohrbach, E. F. O'Connor, M. Allison, H.-S. Wang, Dr. T. Tuttle, Prof. Dr. J. A. Murphy Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G1 1XL (UK) E-mail: tell.tuttle@strath.ac.uk john.murphy@strath.ac.uk

Dr. D. L. Poole

Flexible Discovery Unit, GlaxoSmithKline Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage SG1 2NY (UK)



Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201707914.



Scheme 1. Selected transformations of the KOtBu/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH system.<sup>[1]</sup>

radicals which have a major role to play in the reductive cleavage of the C-O and C-S bonds,<sup>[1d]</sup> 2) triethylsilyl radicals are also likely to be involved in the silylation reactions, although nonradical routes to the silvlation have also been considered in depth and may also play a central role.<sup>[1e,f]</sup> The mechanistic details are not fully in place, for example, on how formation of the silvl radicals occurs, but rational working hypotheses have been advanced.<sup>[1e]</sup>

We had wondered if single-electron transfer mechanisms were playing a significant role in some of these reactions, notably for the cleavage of C-O and C-S bonds. An early suggestion<sup>[1a]</sup> mentioned pentavalent silicates (e.g. 13b; see Scheme 2) as reagents that were likely involved in the C-O cleavage, but the more recent computational studies on the substrates 4 and 6 instead support an alternative mechanism.<sup>[1d]</sup> In this regard, Scheme 1 shows *ipso* addition to the carbon atom of the C-O bond by triethylsilyl radicals, followed by C–O bond cleavage in conversion of 6 into 7.

Our recent interest in reductive chemistry carried out by reactions involving KOtBu attracted us to this area.<sup>[2]</sup> Studies mentioned above<sup>[1e]</sup> suggest that the reactive species produced could include the radical anion 12b (Scheme 2) and the silicate anion 13b.<sup>[1a,e]</sup> Because of their subsequent importance in this paper, we mention here that the radical anions 12 may be formed in a number of ways, two of which are shown (inset) in Scheme 2 (see Figure 14 in Ref. [1e] for an addi-

<sup>0</sup> © 2017 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



**Scheme 2.** Indole-based substrates as probes of electron-transfer activity. [a] See the Supporting Information for a discussion of the mechanism of formation of this compound.

tional route). For these studies, we used the computationally less costly trimethylsilyl group instead of the triethylsilyl group.<sup>[1d,e]</sup> To these, we add the triethylsilyl anion **14b** as another putative intermediate. At first sight, these compounds are potentially excellent electron donors, although, as will be seen below, computational chemistry is very helpful in eliminating species and mechanisms which are unlikely to contribute. In recent years, we have reported on many highly reducing organic electron donors that demonstrate remarkable behavior.<sup>[3]</sup> We were therefore keen to test the KO*t*Bu/ Et<sub>3</sub>SiH system for evidence of single-electron transfer (SET) activity and, if found, to calibrate the system's reactivity.

A literature search reveals that *N*-benzylindole substrates are reductively cleaved to indoles and toluenes with two reagents—both involving electron transfer. The first uses Birch chemistry<sup>[4]</sup> and the second uses low-valent titanium reagents.<sup>[5]</sup> Accordingly, we prepared a range of *N*-benzylindole substrates (**15–23**; Scheme 2), to test for cleavage with silane and *tert*-butoxide, and the outcomes are shown in Table 1. In each case, reactions afforded the debenzylated products, while blank reactions (no silane) led to excellent recovery of starting materials. The examples **15–22** also afforded volatile products from the benzyl unit. To counteract this, the naphthylmethyl substrate **23** was subjected to the reaction and afforded 1-methylnaphthalene (**30**), in addition to 3-methylindole (**26**), and recovered **23** (entry 18).

To understand the site of electron transfer in these reactions, we modelled the formation and reaction of two

Table 1: Cleavage of benzyl groups from indole derivatives.

Substrate	Silane (3 or	Base	V:-1-1 r0/1	
	0 equiv)	Base (3 equiv)	Yield [%]	
	o oquity	(5 540.17)	Product	Recovered Substrate
15	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>24</b> (29)	_
15	-(blank)-	KOtBu	_	(85)
16	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>25</b> (49) +	_
			<b>29</b> (15)	
16	-(blank)-	KOtBu	_	(99)
17	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>26</b> (73)	_
17	Et₃SiH	NaOtBu <sup>[a]</sup>	-	(98)
17	-(blank)-	KOtBu	-	(88)
18	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>26</b> (76)	_
18	-(blank)-	KOtBu	-	(98)
19	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>26</b> (63)	Trace
19	-(blank)-	KOtBu	-	(86)
20	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>26</b> (47)	trace
20	-(blank)-	KOtBu	-	(93)
21	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>27</b> (80)	-
21	-(blank)-	KOtBu	-	(100)
22	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>28</b> (57)	(26)
22	-(blank)-	KOtBu	-	(99)
23	Et₃SiH	KOtBu	<b>26</b> (55) +	(23)
			<b>30</b> (23)	
23	-(blank)-	KOtBu	-	(88)
	15 15 16 17 17 17 17 18 18 19 19 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 23	15 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   15 -(blank)-   16 -(blank)-   17 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   17 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   17 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   17 -(blank)-   18 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   19 -(blank)-   19 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   20 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   20 -(blank)-   21 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   22 -(blank)-   21 -(blank)-   22 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   23 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH	D equiv)   (3 equiv)     15   Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   KOtBu     15   -(blank)-   KOtBu     16   -(blank)-   KOtBu     16   -(blank)-   KOtBu     17   Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   KOtBu     18   Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   KOtBu     18   -(blank)-   KOtBu     19   Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   KOtBu     19   -(blank)-   KOtBu     20   Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   KOtBu     20   -(blank)-   KOtBu     21   -(blank)-   KOtBu     22   Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   KOtBu     23   Et <sub>3</sub> SiH   KOtBu     23   -(blank)-   KOtBu	D equiv) (3 equiv) Product   15 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   16 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   16 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   17 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu 25 (49) +   17 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   17 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu 26 (73)   17 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH NaOtBu <sup>[a]</sup> -   17 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   18 Et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   18 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   19 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   20 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   21 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   22 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   21 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   22 et <sub>3</sub> SiH KOtBu -   23 et <sub>3</sub>





**Figure 1.** Representations of the spin density of the SOMO of the radical anion of *N*-benzyl-3-methylindole **17** (a) and *N*-benzylcarbazole **22** (b). Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were carried out in Gaussian<sup>[13]</sup> at M062X/6-31 + +G(d,p) level of theory,<sup>[14, 15]</sup> with solvation modelled implicitly using the C-PCM model<sup>[16]</sup> (For full computational details, see the Supporting Information).

radical anions—those arising by electron transfer to the indole **17** and carbazole **22**. In both cases (Figure 1), the SOMO showed spin density on the heterocycle, rather than on the benzyl group. These data is consistent with the greater delocalization available in either the bicyclic or tricyclic heterocycle for the transferred electron.

We now use computational methods to compare the cleavage of the *N*-benzyl group of **15** by an SET mechanism (Table 2) with the three potential electron donors **12a–14a**. Here it is seen that electron transfer from **12a** to **15** is almost barrierless and is exergonic (entry 1; the scheme also shows facile fragmentation of the radical anion **31**), while the electron-transfer reactions from **13a** and **14a** (entries 2 and 3) show prohibitive energy profiles.



Table 2: Energy profiles for candidate electron transfers to 15.



We also tested energy profiles for the debenzylation reaction with two possible competing pathways (Table 2; lower panels). The first of these recognizes that 13a could be a very powerful hydride-transfer agent and might facilitate an  $S_N 2$  reaction, although an unusual one, at the benzylic carbon center. However, transfer of hydride from 13a to 15 shows a barrier of 36.9 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> for the benzyl cleavage, and so this type of reaction will not occur under our reaction conditions in the laboratory. The second competing reaction type would involve an S<sub>H</sub>2 reaction by a R<sub>3</sub>Si radical at the benzylic carbon center. This path would also be an unexpected reaction, as radical displacements at tetrahedral carbon centers are almost unknown, and indeed the kinetic barrier  $(44.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$  is again insurmountable. From these results, SET from 12a is overwhelmingly the most likely of the computed candidate mechanisms for benzyl group cleavage. In effect, cleavage occurred to afford N-methylaniline, 41, which was converted into the more easily isolated 42 following acetylation (56% over 2 steps; Scheme 3). When the reaction was repeated, but in the absence of Et<sub>3</sub>SiH, no cleavage was observed, with the starting material 40 recovered (97%). We next varied the protecting group on our indole substrates from benzyl to allyl. Given that the computational results showed electron transfer to the indole group in the substrates 17 and 22, rather than to the benzyl group, then the reagent should also to be able to cleave Nallylindoles by an SET mechanism, because of the stabiliza-



Angewandte

Chemie

Scheme 3. Reductive cleavage induced by the Et<sub>3</sub>SiH/KOtBu system.

tion of the allyl radical leaving group.<sup>[6]</sup> Accordingly, the substrates **43** and **45** were prepared. The indole products **26** and **46** were indeed formed from these substrates (35% and 33% respectively). The low yields may indicate the wealth of alternative reactions open to this reagent system. Indeed, a second product was isolated from the reaction of **43**, namely *o*-isopropylaniline (**44**; 18%), although we have not explored the mechanism of its formation as yet. It was clear that the KOtBu/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH system is a more than competent electron-donating system.

In a more challenging probe for electron-transfer potency, we subjected the benzyl methyl ether **47** to reduction by this system (Scheme 3). A close analogue of this substrate had proven a very tough substrate in previous studies.<sup>[3h]</sup> It did not undergo fragmentation until two electrons had been transferred. In this case, the reduced product **48** was produced in 52% yield [a blank reaction afforded recovered starting material exclusively (62%)]. Additionally, subjecting the nitrile **49**<sup>[7]</sup> to the reaction afforded the hydrocarbon **50** as the sole product, consistent with electron transfer followed by loss of cyanide anion.

We calculated the oxidation potential of  $12a^{[8]}$  to be E = -3.74 V vs. SCE (MeCN). This potential makes it much more powerful than alkali metals. Such a powerful electron donor should provide a good probe for the Marcus inverted region of SET reactions with substrates that show low reorganization energies, (e.g. polycyclic arenes).<sup>[9]</sup> Stoltz, Grubbs et al. reported<sup>[1d]</sup> small amounts of partially reduced arenes from reduction of naphthalenes. In our hands, and in the presence of excess of KOtBu/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH, anthracene, phenanthrene, and naphthalene all afforded significant amounts of their dihydro counterparts (Scheme 4). These compounds would be expected products from Birch-type electron-transfer processes, but to probe the mechanism we undertook computational studies of electron transfer from 12a to the hydrocarbons 51-53 to yield the corresponding radical anions 60–62. (Table 3) Here, the expected normal order of reactivity is 51 > 52 >**53**.<sup>[10]</sup> This order is also reflected in the  $\Delta G_{\rm rel}$  values shown in Table 3. However, the reverse pattern is seen for the  $\Delta G^*$ values. SET to 51 from the radical anion 12a shows an



**Scheme 4.** Reductions of polycylic arenes by KOtBu/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH. [a] Yield determined by NMR spectroscopy.

Table 3: Energy profiles: SET from 12a.

Substrate	Energy profile [kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	Radical anion product
51 52	$\Delta G^*:$ 90.0; $\Delta G_{rel}:$ -37.8 $\Delta G^*:$ 28.3: $\Delta G_{-1}:$ -25.0	60 61
53	$\Delta G^*$ : 25.7; $\Delta G_{rel}$ : -22.3	62

extraordinary barrier of 90 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>,<sup>[11]</sup> while reduction of **52** and **53** show progressively lower barriers; if this can be verified by detailed experimental studies, it will be a very rare intermolecular ground-state illustration of the Marcus inverted region, (stronger driving force leads to retarded electron transfer).

In comparison, hydride transfer from **13a** to afford the corresponding anions **63–65** featured low barriers and favorable thermodynamics (Table 4). At least for the reduc-

Table 4: Energy profiles: Hydride transfer from 13 a.

Substrate	Energy profile [kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	Anionic product
51 52 53	$\Delta G^*: 16.7; \Delta G_{rel}: -29.4$ $\Delta G^*: 20.0; \Delta G_{rel}: -14.8$ $\Delta G^*: 21.7; \Delta G_{rel}: -13.2$	63 64 65

tion of anthracene, hydride transfer from **13a** is indeed likely to occur. With the other substrates, hydride-transfer reactions again show lower barriers than electron transfer from **12a** and this will of course be modulated by the concentration of the reducing species present. Finally, the alkyne **54** and stilbene **55** were reacted and gave (PhCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> **59** as the sole product (21 and 93 % respectively; Scheme 4).<sup>[12]</sup>

In summary, the KOtBu/Et<sub>3</sub>SiH system provides access to a broad range of mechanisms for reductive chemistry, now including electron transfer and hydride delivery to arenes. The electron-donor **12b** is identified as a uniquely powerful agent.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Strathclyde, GSK, and EPSRC for funding, and SFC and WestCHEM for PECRE bursary funding, as well as EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service, Swansea for HRMS. Results were obtained using ARCHIE-WeSt High Performance Computer (www.archiewest.ac.uk). EPSRC grant nos. EP/K000586/1 and EP/ N509371/1'.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Keywords:** density-functional calculations · electron transfer · hydrides · reaction mechanisms · silicon

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 13747–13751 Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 13935–13939

- [1] a) A. Fedorov, A. A. Toutov, N. A. Swisher, R. H. Grubbs, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 1640-1645; b) A. A. Toutov, W.-B. Liu, K. N. Betz, A. Fedorov, B. M. Stoltz, R. H. Grubbs, Nature 2015, 518, 80-84; c) A. A. Toutov, W.-B. Liu, K. N. Betz, B. M. Stoltz, R. H. Grubbs, Nat. Protoc. 2016, 10, 1897-1903; d) A. A. Toutov, M. Salata, A. Fedorov, Y.-F. Yang, Y. Liang, R. Cariou, K. N. Betz, E. P. A. Couzijn, J. W. Shabaker, K. N. Houk, R. H. Grubbs, Nat. Energy 2017, 2, issue DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ nenergy.2017.8, e) W.-B. Liu, D. P. Schuman, Y.-F. Yang, A. A. Toutov, Y. Liang, H. F. T. Klare, N. Nesnas, M. Oestreich, D. G. Blackmond, S. C. Virgil, S. Banerjee, R. N. Zare, R. H. Grubbs, K. N. Houk, B. M. Stoltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 6867-6879; f) S. Banerjee, Y.-F. Yang, I. D. Jenkins, Y. Liang, A. A. Toutov, W.-B. Liu, D. P. Schuman, R. H. Grubbs, B. M. Stoltz, E. H. Krenske, K. N. Houk, R. N. Zare, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139.6880-6887.
- [2] a) J. P. Barham, G. Coulthard, R. G. Kane, N. Delgado, M. P. John, J. A. Murphy, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, 55, 4492-4496; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, 128, 4568-4572; b) J. P. Barham, G. Coulthard, K. Emery, E. Doni, F. Cumine, G. Nocera, M. P. John, L. E. A. Berlouis, T. McGuire, T. Tuttle, J. A. Murphy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, 138, 7402-7410; c) S. Zhou, G. M. Anderson, B. Mondal, E. Doni, V. Ironmonger, M. Kranz, T. Tuttle, J. A. Murphy, *Chem. Sci.* 2014, 5, 476-482; d) S. Zhou, E. Doni, G. M. Anderson, R. G. Kane, S. W. MacDougall, V. M. Ironmonger, T. Tuttle, J. A. Murphy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 17818-17826.
- [3] a) S. S. Hanson, E. Doni, K. T. Traboulsee, G. Coulthard, J. A. Murphy, C. A. Dyker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 11236-11239; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 11388-11391; b) E. Doni, B. Mondal, S. O'Sullivan, T. Tuttle, J. A. Murphy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10934-10937; c) E. Cahard, F. Schoenebeck, J. Garnier, S. P. Y. Cutulic, S. Zhou, J. A. Murphy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3673-3676; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 3733-3736; d) J. A. Murphy, F. Schoenebeck, S.-Z. Zhou, Y. Uenoyama, Y. Miclo, T. Tuttle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 13368-13369; e) J. A. Murphy, S.-Z. Zhou, D. W. Thomson, F. Schoenebeck, M. Mohan, S. R. Park, T. Tuttle, L. E. A. Berlouis, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5178-5183; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 5270-5275; f) J. A. Murphy, T. A. Khan, S.-Z. Zhou, D. W. Thomson, M. Mahesh, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1356-1360; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1380-1384; g) S. O'Sullivan, E. Doni, T. Tuttle, J. A. Murphy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.

**2014**, *53*, 474–478; *Angew. Chem.* **2014**, *126*, 484–488; h) E. Doni, S. O'Sullivan, J. A. Murphy, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2239–2242; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 2295–2298.

- [4] J. Fujiwara, Y. Fukutani, H. Sano, K. Maruoka, H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7177-7179.
- [5] S. Talukdar, S. K. Nayak, A. Benerji, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 4925–4929.
- [6] See Figure 3.10 in "Beyond Orbital Overlap". I. V. Alabugin, Stereoelectronic Effects: A Bridge Between Structure and Reactivity; Wiley: Chichester, 2016, pp 47.
- [7] a) J. M. Mattalia, C. Marchi-Delapierre, H. Hazimeh, M. Chanon, *Arkivoc* 2006, iv, 90–118; b) F. F. Fleming, Z. Zhang, *Tetrahedron* 2005, 61, 747–789; c) C. J. Sinz, S. D. Rychnovsky, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2001, 216, 51–92; d) J. M. *Mattalia, Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2017, 13, 267–284; e) T. Kawamoto, S. J. Geib, D. P. Curran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8617–8622; f) E. Doni, J. A. Murphy, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2014, 1, 1072–1076.
- [8] H. G. Roth, N. A. Romero, D. A. Nicewicz, Synlett 2016, 27, 714–723.
- [9] R. A. Marcus, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1111–1121; Angew. Chem. 1993, 105, 1161–1172.
- [10] a) M. Tschurl, U. Boesl, S. Gilb, J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 125, 194310; b) T. Heinis, S. Chowdhury, P. Kebarle, Org. Mass Spect. 1993, 28, 358-365.
- [11] Using Marcus theory, this is the inevitable result of extremely strong driving force  $\Delta G_{rel}$  and low reorganization energy,  $\lambda$ . See the Supporting Information.
- [12] M. Szostak, M. Spain, D. J. Procter, J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 2522–2537.

- [13] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox Gaussian 09, Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT. USA. 2009.
- [14] Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, Theor. Chem. Acc. 2008, 120, 215-241.
- [15] V. A. Rassolov, M. A. Ratner, J. A. Pople, P. C. Redfern, L. A. Curtiss, *J. Comput. Chem.* **2001**, *22*, 976–984.
- [16] M. Cossi, N. Rega, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, J. Comput. Chem. 2003, 24, 669–681.

Manuscript received: August 2, 2017 Revised manuscript received: August 28, 2017 Accepted manuscript online: September 11, 2017 Version of record online: October 2, 2017

# Angewandte

