Thestudent departurepuzzle: *Do some faculties and programs have answers?*

Learning and Teaching Seminar 12 September 2005

Jay Somasundaram, Don Bowser and Patrick Danaher



Central Queensland UNIVERSITY

SeminarObjective

Interactivesession exploring studentattrition and variation withinCQU



SeminarOutline

- Setting the scene
- What is student attrition?
- Current theories on Student Attrition
- Current evidence on factors affecting it
- Variations across disciplines
- Faculties/schools/programs as subcultures



It is a game of money, honour and patronage.

The publication of the first set of teaching and learningrankings for Australia's universities has coincidedw ith an analysis of AustralianResearch Council grants that shows – surprise, surprise – that men in traditional subjects in the older universities do better. That mixture of grievance and defensivenesscharacteristic of higher educationcame quickly to the fore....



Central Queensland UNIVERSITY



It is a game (Cont'd)

All thosewho thinkthe teachingand learning outcomes(prepared by the federal educationdepartment) were "flawed" or "misleading", or just plain wrong, need to come up with a better measure....



(Aitkin, 2005, p. 33; emphasis in original)



It is a game (Cont'd)

My guess is that therew ill usuallybe as much within-universityvariation as across-universityvariation, so that a single indexfigure for each university will concealas much as it reveals...

(Aitkin, 2005, p. 33; emphasis in original)



Whatis studentattrition?

- Student leaves without graduating
- Not course pass rates
- Converse of retention
- Similar to Progression
- Related to completion
- Churners and program attrition
- Moving to a different institution
- First year rate highest

Isstudent attritionBAD?

A case can be made that attrition is not necessarily bad or not necessarily always bad.



Isstudent attritionBAD?

- Survival of the fittest
- Improves graduate outcomes
- Fills quota
- Concentrates resources on ablest students
- Partial study not a loss
- Informed choice
- Bill Gates

Currenttheories

...the broad dimensions of a theory of student retention are starting to emerge. Among other things, we can say with a good deal of confidence that

- Academic preparation
- Commitments and
- Involvement

matter.

(Tinto, 2005; structure modified)

Braxton'sclassification of Theories

- Economic
- Organizational
- Psychological
- Sociological
- Interactionalist (integrated, Tinto)

(Braxton, 2000)

Dichotomousmodels

ResidentialVs Commuter institutions(U.S.) (Braxton and Hirschy,2005)

 SurvivalistVs Remedialist (U.K.) (Simpson,2003)

Astin and Oseguera

50,000 students across 262 U.S. institutions. Multivariate regression analysis of 47 factors.

- Most important factors for completions:
 - Selectivity of institution
 - School grades

InstitutionalDrift

Queensland Studies Authority (2004)

	Urban	Rural	Total
Continue	85	80	83
Exit	7	10	8
Move	8	10	9

(from Table 12)

Learningand Teaching PerformanceFund

Gives bi-variate regression r² (%) values for 17 factors. Examples:

Gender	0.00	
Age	0.73	
Indigenous	0.16	
Socio-economic status	0.01	
Location (rural/isolated)	0.25	
Full-time/part-time	2.27	
External/internal	1.10	(DEST, 2005)

AustralianPredicted Completionrates

Female	67.1	
Male	60.5	
External	39.5	
Full-time	69.5	
Part-time	52.1	
Non-TER	62.2	
TER	66.9	
Urban	64.1	
Rural	65.1	
Isolated	61.5	
\$ Most advantaged	66.2	
\$ Most disadvantaged	62.2	
(Commenced 1993)		(Martin et



(Martin et al, 2001) ¹⁶

Completionrates byDiscipline

Science	58.3
Arts, Humanities, Soc. Science	58.4
Engineering, Surveying	59.4
Agric., Animal husbandry	62.4
Bus., Admin., Econ.	62.5
Architecture, Building	64.7
Education	71.4
Law, Legal studies	72.0
Nursing	75.2
Health	78.5
Veterinary Science	89.8

(Commenced 1993) (Martin et al, 2001) 17

Whatstudents wholeave say

Financial problems	56%
Lack of motivation	51%
Lack of interest in that program	46%
Work commitments	44%
Not clear what career opportunities exist	39%
Family responsibilities	35%
Unexpected events	34%

(QSA, 2004; Table 32) 18

Whatstudents say.....

Loneliness and isolation	28%
Poor academic performance	23%
Health problems	22%
Transport problems	21%
Lack of support from partner/family	12%
Inadequate computer skills	6%
Inadequate literacy/numeracy skills	5%

(QSA, 2004, Table 32) ¹⁹



(Danaher, Bowser and Somasundaram, 2005)

CQUVariation byProgram



(Danaher, Bowser and Somasundaram, 2005)

SomeQuestions

- Should we worry about or celebrate cross-program/cross-discipline student attrition?
- What should we focus on Faculties, schools, programs or courses?
- Should we tighten recruitment? How?
- Are student characteristics across faculties different? How?

Conclusion

We suggest that both conceptually informed and methodologically framed dialogue and multi-variate analysis of data are necessary next steps in understanding why progression rates vary so much within institutions and across disciplines, in order to extend our collective understanding and management of the departure puzzle.

Acknowledgements

We'd like to thank Ken Window, Jeanne McConachie and Phillipa Sturgess for their assistance in making this seminar possible.



References

- Aitkin, D. (2005, August 20). It is a game of money, honour and patronage. The Australian Financial Review, 33.
- Astin, A. W., & Oseguera, L. (2005). Pre-college and institutional influences on degree attainment. In A. Seidman (Ed), College student retention: Formula for student success (pp. 245-276). Westport, CT: American Council on Education/Praeger.
- Braxton, J. M. (2000). Reinvigorating theory and research on the departure puzzle. In J. M. Braxton (Ed.), Reworking the student departure puzzle (pp. 257-274). Nashville, TN: Vanderbilt University Press.
- Braxton, J.M. & Hirschey, A.S. (2005) Theoretical Developments in the Study of College Student Departure. In A. Seidman (Ed), College student retention: Formula for student success (pp. 61-87). Westport, CT: American Council on Education/Praeger.
- Danaher, P., Bowser D., and Somasundaram, J. (2005) The student departure puzzle: Do some faculties and programs have answers? In preparation.
- Department of Education, Science and Technology (2005) Technical Note 1: Student Outcome Indicators of Australian higher education institutions, 2002 and 2003, Appendix C: Factors used in calculating 'adjusted' performance indicators Retrieved September 07 2005: from

http://www.dest.gov.au/NR/rdonlyres/55A74CEC-41BC-43D5-B325-7695EC851D0F/2487/1C.pdf

- Martin, Y. M., Maclachlan, M. & Karmel, T. (2001). Undergraduate completion rates: An update. Retrieved September 4, 2005 from: <u>http://www.dest.gov.au/archive/highered/occpaper/01f/default.htm</u>
- Queensland Studies Authority. (2004). Attrition and persistence of first-year tertiary students in Queensland: Longitudinal research study, Queensland Studies Authority, Spring Hill. Retrieved August 18, 2005, from: http://www.qsa.qld.edu.au/research/te/docs/attrit-yr1.pdf
- Simpson, O. P. (2003, November 5-7). Mature student retention the case of the UK Open University. Paper presented at the international student retention conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.