3169

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MICROWAVE THEORY AND TECHNIQUES, VOL. 53, NO. 10, OCTOBER 2005

Mode-Matching Analysis of a Shielded Rectangular Dielectric-Rod Waveguide

Colin G. Wells, Student Member, IEEE, and James A. R. Ball, Member, IEEE

Abstract—Rectangular cross-sectional dielectric waveguides are widely used at millimeter wavelengths. In addition, shielded dielectric resonators having a square cross section are often used as filter elements; however, there is almost no information available on the effect of the shield. Rectangular or square dielectric waveguide is notoriously difficult to analyze because of the singular behavior of the fields at the corners. Most published analyses are for materials with a low dielectric constant, and do not include the effects of a shield. This paper describes a numerically efficient mode-matching method for the analysis of shielded dielectric-rod waveguide, which is applicable to both low and high dielectric-constant materials. The effect of the shield on the propagation behavior is studied. The shield dimensions may be selected such that the shield has a negligible effect so that results can be compared with free-space data. The results are verified by comparison with several sets of published data, and have been confirmed by measurement for a nominal ε_r of 37.4.

Index Terms—Dielectric resonators, dielectric waveguides, electromagnetic propagation in nonhomogeneous media, mode-matching methods, shielding.

I. INTRODUCTION

D IELECTRIC waveguides are an attractive alternative to metal waveguides at millimeter-wave frequencies due to their lower propagation loss, lower cost, and easier fabrication [1]. Rectangular dielectric waveguides form a large proportion of these and have uses in integrated optics and millimeter-wave integrated circuits and transmission lines. However, there has always been difficulty obtaining accurate propagation coefficients for the various modes on these structures. There is no closed-form solution to the problem [1] and the methods used either rely on approximations, as in the procedure originated by Marcatili [2] and improved by Knox and Toulios [3], or are numerical in nature. The main numerical techniques range from the circular harmonic analysis of Goell [4], finite-element [5] and finite-difference [6] procedures, to mode matching.

Mode-matching methods have been applied to the dielectric image line by Solbach and Wolff [7], and to the homogeneous inverted strip guide by Mittra *et al.* [8]. The latter used a similar procedure to [7], with the mode-matching techniques developed by Mittra and Lee [9]. In a very comprehensive paper, Strube and Arndt [10] have applied the method of Solbach and Wolff to the shielded dielectric image line. The first part of their paper used this procedure, together with the inclusion of an extra

The authors are with the Department of Engineering, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Qld., Australia.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TMTT.2005.855148

Conductive Shield Y Rectangular Cross-section Dielectric Line Z E_{r_2} E_{r_1}

Fig. 1. Rectangular dielectric line and shield.

electric wall, to analyze propagation on infinite shielded image guide. As well as propagating and evanescent modes, complex modes and backward waves were identified and thoroughly investigated. Complex modes can only exist in pairs having complex conjugate propagation coefficients, and couple so that the total power flow is always reactive. A backward wave is one in which the power flows in the opposite direction to the wavefronts. The second part of their paper finds the scattering matrix of a transition from shielded dielectric image guide to rectangular waveguide, by matching the tangential fields at the interface. A comparison of measured and calculated S_{11} results were used to verify the method. The results obtained by Strube and Arndt correspond to those modes that can exist in the dielectric-rod waveguide shown in Fig. 1 when the x-axis is an electric wall. However, these do not include some of the dominant modes, for which the x-axis is a magnetic wall. To obtain the full set of modes for this waveguide, it is necessary to consider all four types of symmetry.

An analysis of complex and backward waves in an inhomogeneously filled waveguide has been carried out by Omar and Schunemann [11]. A method to predict the presence of complex modes in inhomogeneous lossless dielectric waveguide can be found in [12].

An alternative mode-matching (boundary element) method for the shielded dielectric-rod waveguide, incorporating dyadic Green's functions, was developed by Collin [13] and Collin and Ksienski [14].

A problem with numerical solutions is that they can suffer from slow convergence due to divergence of the electric field at the corners of the dielectric where the refractive index changes abruptly [15]. However, for most purposes, sufficient accuracy can still be obtained for a relatively small number of basis functions.

In a typical situation, the permittivity of the dielectric ε_{r2} will be higher than the surrounding medium ε_{r1} (usually air) so that the electromagnetic fields will be concentrated in the dielectric line, and the proportion outside it will decay away exponentially.

Manuscript received February 8, 2005; revised April 13, 2005. The work of C. G. Wells was supported by the University of Southern Queensland under a scholarship.

Y 🖌 Shield (Electric Wall) b Electric or magnetic wall II_1 Mode Matching Boundary bı Ι II_2 ε, . ϵ_{r_2} a a_2 Electric or magnetic wall

Fig. 2. One-quarter of the rectangular dielectric line with a shield, showing mode-matching regions.

The ability of a high-permittivity material to contain and concentrate the fields, together with the availability of high-Q temperature stable materials, has led to the development of the dielectric resonator as a filter element. In filter applications, the dielectric resonators are often enclosed in metallic shields or cavities to prevent unwanted coupling, as shown in Fig. 1.

Cavity filters incorporating dielectric resonators are widely used in mobile base stations and other demanding applications. Traditionally, many of these have used cylindrical resonators. Designers have sought to reduce the size of these filters by using multiple mode cavities. This has led to increased interest in resonators that have a square cross section, and also in cubical resonators. Dielectric filter cavities may be analyzed using the methods developed by Zaki and Atia. The propagation characteristics of an infinite cylindrical waveguide containing a dielectric rod were first established. A cylindrical cavity was then modeled as a length of this guiding structure, terminated in short lengths of empty waveguide [16]. In a later paper, this was extended to cylindrical dielectric resonators in rectangular waveguide and cavities [17]. This paper represents the first step in a similar study of the shielded square section dielectric resonator.

II. ANALYSIS USING THE MODE-MATCHING METHOD

An advantage of a mode-matching method is that it has relatively good processing speed due to its semianalytical nature. It also allows visualization of the fields in the structure by solving for the unknown coefficients of the basis function equations. Another advantage is that it can be used with reasonably high values of permittivity ([10] show results as high as $\varepsilon_r = 50$). The other numerical methods cited, with the exception of the finite-difference method of Schwieg and Bridges [6], have only been applied to relatively low values ($\varepsilon_r \simeq 2.5$).

Due to the symmetrical nature of the shielded dielectric waveguide, only one-quarter of the structure needs to be analyzed. Fig. 2 shows how the cross section is divided into three regions. Regions I and II_1 surround the dielectric rod and are filled with a medium of permittivity ε_{r1} , which, in this paper, will be considered to be air ($\varepsilon_{r1} = 1$). Region II_2 is the dielectric rod with permittivity ε_{r2} . The outer shield will be considered as a perfect electric conductor (electric wall). The bottom and left-hand-side (LHS) symmetry planes, coincident with the x- and y-axes, may be either electric or magnetic walls. The selection of wall types will determine the types of symmetry that can exist in the structure.

In this paper, as in [8] and [10], a modification of the modematching method of Solbach and Wolff [7] will be used so that the effect of the proximity of the shield to the dielectric can be ascertained. However, to provide calculation of all modes possible in this structure, additional basis functions to cater for the full range of symmetries (see Section II-A) have had to be provided. This variation will be called the modified Solbach and Wolff (MSW) method through the remainder of this paper.

A. Basis Functions

The modes that can propagate in a shielded rectangular dielectric-rod waveguide are all hybrid modes, i.e., they always have field variation along either the horizontal or vertical dielectric-rod boundaries and, thus, have both electric- and magnetic-field components in the longitudinal direction [18]. In each of the regions in Fig. 2, the field patterns for these modes can be built up from superpositions of appropriate basis functions, which are transverse magnetic or transverse electric with respect to the y-direction. These will be designated TM^y (electric) and TE^{y} (magnetic), respectively, and are indicated by subscripts eand h. The cross section has two axes of symmetry, which means there are four possible symmetries. In this paper, these will be classified according to the behavior of the H_z -field component, following Schweig and Bridges [6]. For example, superscript eo will indicate that H_z is an even function of x and an odd function of y.

It is most efficient to derive the basis function fields from vector potentials. From Balanis [19], the magnetic vector potential for a TM^y wave propagating in the z-direction in a nonmagnetic region with rectangular boundaries is of the form

$$A_y(x, y, z) = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \cos(\beta_x x) + D_1 \sin(\beta_x x) \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} C_2 \cos(\beta_y y) + D_2 \sin(\beta_y y) \end{bmatrix} A_3 e^{-\gamma z}.$$
 (1)

The longitudinal-field components can then be obtained from

1

$$E_z(x, y, z) = -j \frac{1}{\omega \mu_o \varepsilon} \frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial y \partial z}$$
(2)

$$H_z(x,y,z) = \frac{1}{\mu_o} \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x}.$$
(3)

From these expressions, it can be seen that E_z and H_z will have opposite types of symmetry. The longitudinal electric field will be as follows:

$$E_z(x, y, z) = j \frac{\beta_y \gamma}{\omega \mu \varepsilon} [C_1 \cos(\beta_x x) + D_1 \sin(\beta_x x)] \\ \times [D_2 \cos(\beta_y y) - C_2 \sin(\beta_y y)] A_3 e^{-\gamma z}.$$
(4)

Using the boundary conditions imposed by the shield, appropriate equations for E_z can be selected in each region and for



each symmetry. For the case of H_z , an even function of x and and fr odd function of y (eo), these are as follows:

$$E_{z_{me}}^{(I)eo} = j \frac{A_{me}^{(I)} \beta_{y_{me}}^{(I)} \gamma}{\omega \mu_o \varepsilon_o} \sin\left(\beta_{x_{me}}^{(I)}(a_2 - x)\right) \cos\left(\beta_{y_{me}}^{(I)} y\right) e^{-\gamma z}$$

$$E_{z_{ne}}^{(II_1)eo} = j \frac{B_{ne}^{(II_1)} \beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)} \gamma}{\omega \mu_o \varepsilon_o} \sin\left(\beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_1)} x\right)$$

$$\times \sin\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)}(b_2 - y)\right) e^{-\gamma z}$$

$$E_{z_{ne}}^{(II_2)eo} = j \frac{B_{ne}^{(II_2)} \beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)} \gamma}{\omega \mu_o \varepsilon_o \varepsilon_{r2}} \sin\left(\beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_2)} x\right) \cos\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)} y\right) e^{-\gamma z}$$
(5)

where

$$\beta_{y_{me}}^{(I)} = \frac{m\pi}{2b_2}, \quad \text{where } m = 1, 3, 5...$$

$$\beta_{x_{me}}^{(I)2} = \beta_o^2 - \beta_{y_{me}}^{(I)2} + \gamma^2$$

$$\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)2} = \beta_o^2 - \beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_1)2} + \gamma^2$$

$$\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)2} = \beta_d^2 - \beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_2)2} + \gamma^2$$

$$\beta_o^2 = \omega^2 \mu_o \varepsilon_o$$

$$\beta_d^2 = \omega^2 \mu_o \varepsilon_o \varepsilon_{r2} \qquad (6)$$

and n is the mode number in region II.

From (2) and (5), the resultant magnetic vector potential equations for the shielded dielectric waveguide are then

$$A_{y_{me}}^{(I)eo} = A_{me}^{(I)} \sin\left(\beta_{x_{me}}^{(I)}(a_2 - x)\right) \sin\left(\beta_{y_{me}}^{(I)}y\right) e^{-\gamma z} A_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)eo} = B_{ne}^{(II_1)} \sin\left(\beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_1)}x\right) \cos\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)}(b_2 - y)\right) e^{-\gamma z} A_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)eo} = B_{ne}^{(II_2)} \sin\left(\beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_2)}x\right) \sin\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)}y\right) e^{-\gamma z}.$$
 (7)

From (7), the other components of the TM^y basis functions for each region can be derived using the partial differential equations from Balanis [19].

Similarly, the electric vector potential equations for a TE^y mode propagating in the z-direction are found to be

$$F_{y_{mh}}^{(I)eo} = A_{mh}^{(I)} \cos\left(\beta_{x_{mh}}^{(I)}(a_2 - x)\right) \cos\left(\beta_{y_{mh}}^{(I)}y\right) e^{-\gamma z}$$

$$F_{y_{nh}}^{(II_1)eo} = B_{nh}^{(II_1)} \cos\left(\beta_{x_{nh}}^{(II_1)}x\right) \sin\left(\beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_1)}(b_2 - y)\right) e^{-\gamma z}$$

$$F_{y_{nh}}^{(II_2)eo} = B_{nh}^{(II_2)} \cos\left(\beta_{x_{nh}}^{(II_2)}x\right) \cos\left(\beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_2)}y\right) e^{-\gamma z}.$$
(8)

The other components of the TE^y basis functions can again be found using the partial differential equations from Balanis [19].

Continuity of the transverse fields at the boundary $y = b_1$, $0 \le x \le a_1$ between regions II_1 and II_2 must also be taken into account so that wavenumbers $\beta_{y_n}^{(II_2)}$ and $\beta_{y_n}^{(II_1)}$ can be found. At this boundary, wavenumbers $\beta_{x_n}^{(II_1)} = \beta_{x_n}^{(II_2)}$ to allow for continuity of phase. Then, for even (x), odd (y) symmetry, and TM^y modes,

$$E_{z_{ne}}^{(II_1)eo} = E_{z_{ne}}^{(II_2)eo}$$
(9)

and from this

$$\frac{B_{ne}^{(II_1)}}{B_{ne}^{(II_2)}} = \frac{\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)} \cos\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)} b_1\right)}{\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)} \varepsilon_{r2} \sin\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)} (b_2 - b_1)\right)}$$
(10)

and also

$$H_{z_{ne}}^{(II_1)eo} = H_{z_{ne}}^{(II_2)eo}.$$
 (11)

Substituting (10) into (11) gives the transcendental equation

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)}}\cot\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)}(b_2-b_1)\right) = \frac{\varepsilon_{r2}}{\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)}}\tan\left(\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)}b_1\right).$$
 (12)

The wavenumbers can then be obtained from numerical solutions of (12) after substitution of the relation

$$\beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_2)2} = \beta_{y_{ne}}^{(II_1)2} + \beta_o^2(\varepsilon_{r2} - 1)$$
(13)

which is derived using $\beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_1)} = \beta_{x_{ne}}^{(II_2)}$ and the region II equations of (6).

Similarly, for the TE^y modes,

$$\frac{B_{nh}^{(II_1)}}{B_{nh}^{(II_2)}} = \frac{\cos\left(\beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_2)}b_1\right)}{\varepsilon_{r2}\sin\left(\beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_1)}(b_2 - b_1)\right)}$$
(14)

and the transcendental equation for the wavenumbers becomes

$$\beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_1)} \cot\left(\beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_1)}(b_2 - b_1)\right) = \beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_2)} \tan\left(\beta_{y_{nh}}^{(II_2)}b_1\right).$$
(15)

The equations for the other symmetries of the TM^y and TE^y basis functions can be similarly derived.

B. Mode Matching at the Boundary Between Regions

The parallel fields \mathbf{E}_T and \mathbf{H}_T must be continuous at the mode-matching boundary between regions I and II ($x = a_1$, $0 \le y \le b_2$). In the case of the electric field, this leads to the equations

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{ph}^{(I)} \mathbf{E}_{Tph}^{(I)} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{pe}^{(I)} \mathbf{E}_{Tpe}^{(I)}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{nh}^{(II_2)} \left(K_{nh} \mathbf{E}_{Tnh}^{(II_1)} + \mathbf{E}_{Tnh}^{(II_2)} \right)$$
$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{ne}^{(II_2)} \left(K_{ne} \mathbf{E}_{Tne}^{(II_1)} + \mathbf{E}_{Tne}^{(II_2)} \right).$$
(16)

For continuity of the magnetic fields,

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{ph}^{(I)} \mathbf{H}_{Tph}^{(I)} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{pe}^{(I)} \mathbf{H}_{Tpe}^{(I)}$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{nh}^{(II_2)} \left(K_{nh} \mathbf{H}_{Tnh}^{(II_1)} + \mathbf{H}_{Tnh}^{(II_2)} \right)$$
$$+ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{ne}^{(II_2)} \left(K_{ne} \mathbf{H}_{Tne}^{(II_1)} + \mathbf{H}_{Tne}^{(II_2)} \right)$$
(17)

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND. Downloaded on June 09,2010 at 00:39:23 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

where K is the right-hand side (RHS) of (10) and (14) for the TM^{eo} and TE^{eo} modes, respectively, and is used to reduce the number of unknown coefficients. The above pair constitute a doubly infinite set of linear equations for the modal coefficients A_p and B_n . To simplify these equations, and to expand their number to equal the number of unknowns, the electric and magnetic fields in region I were used as testing functions. Only the y-dependent factors are required, and these have been designated $e_q^{(I)}(y)$ and $h_q^{(I)}(y)$, respectively. The following orthogonality relations are required:

$$\int_{0}^{b_{2}} \mathbf{E}_{Tm}^{(I)} h_{q}^{(I)}(y) d_{y} = 0$$

$$\int_{0}^{b_{2}} \mathbf{H}_{Tm}^{(I)} e_{q}^{(I)}(y) d_{y} = 0, \quad \text{for } m \neq q \quad (18)$$

where m and q are the indices used to find wavenumbers $\beta_{y_m}^{(I)}$ or $\beta_{y_q}^{(I)}$ [as in (6)] for each mode number p in region I. That is, (16) and (17) are multiplied by TM^y or TE^y testing functions $h_q^{(I)}(y)$ or $e_q^{(I)}(y)$ from region I, respectively, and integrated over the interval $0 \le y \le b_2$ at $x = a_1$, as per Mittra *et al.* [8]. The infinite set of equations so formed is reduced by truncating the number of basis functions used to a value that can be practically computed and will give a desired accuracy in the solution. The maximum values of the mode indices p and nare P and N, respectively. An equal number of basis functions were used (P = N) in both regions I and II to alleviate any problems with relative convergence [20], [21]. In matrix form, the equations using the electric field and odd (y) symmetry are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{TE}_{E_{z}}^{(I)}\operatorname{TE}_{h_{y}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{TM}_{E_{z}}^{(I)}\operatorname{TE}_{h_{y}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{1}^{\mathrm{TE}} \\ \vdots \\ A_{P}^{\mathrm{TE}} \\ A_{1}^{\mathrm{TM}} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{TE}_{E_{z}}^{(II)}\operatorname{TE}_{h_{y}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{TM}_{E_{y}}^{(I)}\operatorname{TM}_{h_{z}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{TE}_{E_{z}}^{(II)}\operatorname{TE}_{h_{y}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{TM}_{E_{z}}^{(II)}\operatorname{TE}_{h_{y}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{T}_{E_{z}}^{(II)}\operatorname{TE}_{h_{y}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{TM}_{E_{y}}^{(II)}\operatorname{TE}_{h_{y}(y)}^{(I)} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ & \times \begin{bmatrix} B_{1}^{\mathrm{TE}} \\ \vdots \\ B_{N}^{\mathrm{TM}} \\ \vdots \\ B_{N}^{\mathrm{TM}} \end{bmatrix} . \tag{19}$$

mp

The sub-matrices of the LHS of (19) are $P \times P$ diagonal matrices, the elements of which are the result of (18). The zero sub-matrices are the result of the E_y component being zero for TE^y . The elements of the $N \times N$ sub-matrices of the RHS of (19) are coupling integrals of the form

$$\int\limits_{0}^{b_2} \mathbf{E}_{Tn}^{(II)} h_q^{(I)}(y) d_y.$$

For this odd(y) case, the subscripts m and q are odd integers only and are equivalent in number to the number of basis functions used N. For the even(y) case, there will be a total of Neven integers (including zero).

In abbreviated form, the matrix equations can be written as

$$[\mathbf{W}][\mathbf{A}] = [\mathbf{X}][\mathbf{B}]. \tag{20}$$

The magnetic field equations are similar, and in abbreviated form are

$$[\mathbf{Y}][\mathbf{A}] = [\mathbf{Z}][\mathbf{B}]. \tag{21}$$

C. Propagation Coefficient and Unknown Mode Coefficients of the Structure

A homogeneous system of equations may be formed from (20) and (21) as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} & -\mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{Y} & -\mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$
(22)

The eigenvalues of (22) are the propagation coefficients of the modes of the structure. These modes can be propagating, evanescent, complex, or backward wave types and are found by substituting a range of propagation coefficient values into the equations and finding those values for which the determinant is zero.

To determine that the propagation coefficients found are physically sensible, and also to find the type of mode each represents, it is essential to calculate the unknown coefficients and plot the field patterns. A selected coefficient is chosen as unity or some appropriate factor. In this paper, the coefficient chosen is that of the first TM mode in region II (B_{11}^{TM}) and, thus, the associated matrix element values are b_{11}^{TMTE} (electric) to b_{N1}^{TMTM} (magnetic), as shown. Consequently, the **B** coefficients are reduced by one to **B**_r and the **X** and **Z** matrices are reduced by a column to **X**_r and **Z**_r. Hence, (22) can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{B}_r \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W} & -\mathbf{X}_r \\ \mathbf{Y} & -\mathbf{Z}_r \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} (\text{electric}) \\ b_{11}^{\text{TMTE}} \\ \vdots \\ b_{N1}^{\text{TMTM}} \\ (\text{magnetic}) \\ b_{11}^{\text{TMTE}} \\ \vdots \\ b_{N1}^{\text{TMTM}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(23)

The system of (23) has more equations than unknowns (i.e., overdetermined), but can still be solved for the normalized values of the unknown coefficients by the use of the MATLAB operator "\." This function gives a least squares solution for these truncated equations and, thus, produces a best fit result [22].

It was found that there is a limit to the number modes that can be used in the system of equations before ill conditioning occurs in the form of rank deficiency (MATLAB gives a warning if the matrix is rank deficient). This is due to the very large numbers that occur when trigonometric functions are evaluated for imaginary arguments. In effect, the computer runs out of

Authorized licensed use limited to: UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND. Downloaded on June 09,2010 at 00:39:23 UTC from IEEE Xplore. Restrictions apply.

sufficient decimal places to accurately cover the range of the size of numbers in the equation matrix. However, the number of modes required for sufficient accuracy is well below this limit.

Once the coefficients are found, they can then be substituted into the field equations so that the field components can be determined from the sum of the basis functions at a number of spatial grid points, and the resultant field in the structure can be plotted as a superposition of all the components.

III. DISCUSSION AND COMPARISON OF RESULTS WITH OTHER METHODS

To confirm the validity of this method, the propagation coefficients were calculated for a number of frequency ranges and permittivity values, and compared to the results from other methods. All of the calculations and measurements reported here are for a square cross section, i.e., a square dielectric rod symmetrically located within a square shield. The structure will be characterized by the aspect ratio $DR = a_1/b_1$ for the dielectric rod and $SDR = a_2/a_1$ for the shield. In the following, the normalization applied by Schwieg and Bridges [6] will be used, where V and B are the normalized frequency and propagation coefficient, respectively:

$$V = 2a_1\beta_0\sqrt{\varepsilon_{r2}}$$
$$B = \frac{\left(\frac{\beta_z}{\beta_0}\right)^2}{\varepsilon_{r2}}$$
$$\beta_0 = \omega\sqrt{\mu_0\varepsilon_0}.$$
 (24)

The method described in this paper gives the propagation coefficients of the possible modes for each symmetry used. The designations of the modes on the dielectric line in this paper is the same as that used by Marcatili, Goell, and others. Modes will be identified as E_{mn}^y or E_{mn}^x , where x or y denotes the direction of polarization of the main electric field, and m and n are the number of maxima in the x- and y-directions over the xy-plane of the dielectric.

A. Comparison of Method Convergence Properties

A comparison of the convergence properties of Goell's method and the MSW versus the number of basis functions used is shown in Fig. 3. The dielectric rod was square (DR = 1) with $\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.4$ and, in free space, with a normalized frequency of V = 7. The square shield dimension ratio in the MSW method had SDR = 3, which is of sufficient distance from the dielectric (see Fig. 4) so as to be a good approximation of free space. As can be seen, only approximately 7 TM^y and 7 TE^y basis functions are required for good convergence. At 11 TM^y and 11 TE^y basis functions, the MSW method and Goell's results are within 1%.

B. Effect on the Propagation Coefficient of the Proximity of the Shield to the Dielectric Rod

The effect of the proximity of the shield on the propagation coefficients of the first few modes to propagate (E_{11}^y) and $E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y)$ is shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that, for a shield to dielectric dimension ratio value SDR > 2, the shield has only a small effect on the propagation coefficient. These results



Fig. 3. Comparison of the convergence properties of the Goell [4] and MSW methods when used with a square cross-sectional dielectric-rod waveguide ($\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.4$) in free space where *B* is the normalized propagation coefficient.



Fig. 4. Effect of the proximity of the shield on β_z , $\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.4$, $a_1 = b_1 = 6$ mm, frequency = 3.5 GHz.

are verified by considering the situation where the shield size approaches that of the dielectric (SDR = 1). In both cases, the propagation coefficients found using the MSW method approached those calculated for dielectric-filled rectangular waveguide, as shown in this figure.

C. Comparison of Methods Used for Calculation of the Rod Propagation Coefficient in Free Space

With the dielectric in free space, $\varepsilon_{r2} = 13.1$ and DR = 1, Fig. 5 shows the propagation coefficients of the first modes to propagate for normalized frequencies from V = 4 to V = 12. To simulate a free-space situation, SDR = 3 is used in the MSW program. One can see that there is good agreement with the free-space method of Goell. Some differences at low frequencies are due to the effect of the use of the shield in the MSW program. Modes E_{11}^x and E_{11}^y are degenerate, while E_{21}^x/E_{12}^x and E_{12}^x/E_{21}^x are degenerate and coupled (discussed later in Section III-F).



Fig. 5. Comparison of the β_z calculation methods of MSW and Goell [4] for a square cross-sectional dielectric-rod waveguide in free space where B and V are the normalized propagation coefficient and frequency, respectively ($\varepsilon_{r2} = 13.1$).



Fig. 6. Comparison of the β_z calculation methods of MSW, the boundary-element method of Collin [13], and the finite-difference method of Schweig and Bridges for a shielded square cross-sectional dielectric-rod waveguide SDR = 1.87 and $\varepsilon_{r2} = 2.22$, where *B* and *V* are the normalized propagation coefficient and frequency, respectively.

D. Comparison of Methods for Calculation of the Shielded Dielectric-Rod Propagation Coefficient

The MSW method with *eo* symmetry shows good agreement with propagation coefficients obtained by Collin [13] and Collin and Ksienski [14] using a boundary-element method and the finite-difference method of Schweig and Bridges. These results are shown in Fig. 6. The mode is E_{11}^x , DR = 1, SDR = 1.87, and $\varepsilon_{r2} = 2.22$.

E. Propagation Coefficient Verses Frequency Mode Diagram of the Shielded Dielectric-Rod Waveguide

The propagation coefficient verses frequency-mode diagram, of the first few modes to propagate, is shown in Fig. 7. The 12-mm square dielectric has a permittivity $\varepsilon_r = 37.13$, the



Fig. 7. Mode diagram for the first few modes to propagate in a shielded dielectric-rod waveguide plus some of the associated complex modes, evanescent modes, and backward waves. SR = $1(a_1 = 6 \text{ mm})$, SDR = $2(a_2 = 12 \text{ mm})$, and $\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.13$. The modes are labeled with their associated symmetry in parentheses.

shield is 24-mm square (SDR = 2). This figure shows differences from that of the dielectric image line reported by Strube and Arndt [10]. The MSW method (for a shielded dielectric-rod waveguide with DR = 1 and SDR = 2) reveals a coupled E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y mode, which is dominant in this structure, and also the E_{11}^y mode is associated with a degenerate E_{11}^x . The E_{11}^x and E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y modes and their associated higher order modes do not occur in the dielectric image line and the studies of this structure in [7] and [10] use only a combination of *oe* and *ee* symmetry. Some of the complex, evanescent, and backward wave modes for the shielded dielectric rod, mentioned in Section II-C, are also shown in Fig. 7. The symmetry associated with each mode is shown in parentheses.

F. Field Patterns of the First Few Modes to Propagate on the Shielded Dielectric-Rod Waveguide

The transverse electric and magnetic fields of the E_{11}^y mode, in one-quarter of the structure, and determined from oe symmetry, are shown in Fig. 8. The calculated propagation coefficient is $\beta_z = 305.42$ at 3.5 GHz. The E_{21}^x/E_{12}^x modes have *oo* symmetry. In a square cross section, using the same parameters, these are degenerate, as they have the same propagation coefficient $\beta_z = 89.2$. The resultant field plot is a superposition of both modes, and is shown in Fig. 9. These modes are coupled together, as described by Goell [4], such that their propagation coefficients remain locked together for a range of cross-sectional aspect ratios. The coupled modes separate when DR is somewhat greater or less than 1 depending on the frequency. For example if, for this same structure, DR = 4/3 ($a_1 = 8 \text{ mm}, b_1 =$ 6 mm) is used, the E_{21}^x mode is now uncoupled and is found to have a propagation coefficient of $\beta_z = 136.4$. The transverse electric-field pattern of the mode is shown in Fig. 10. The E_{12}^y mode was found not to propagate. If DR = 3/4 ($a_1 = 6$ mm, $b_1 = 8 \text{ mm}$) is used instead, the roles of the modes are reversed. The same type of situations occur when the E_{12}^x/E_{21}^y modes are produced with ee symmetry.



Fig. 8. Plot of the transverse electric and magnetic fields ($\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.13$) of the E_{11}^y mode from the MSW method and *oe* symmetry.



Fig. 9. Plot of the transverse electric and magnetic fields ($\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.13$) of the coupled E_{21}^x and E_{12}^y modes with dielectric aspect ratio DR = 1, *oo* symmetry. *NB*: electric-field intensity in the dielectric X5.



Fig. 10. Plot of the electric field ($\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.13$) of the E_{21}^x mode with dielectric aspect ratio DR = 1.33, *oo* symmetry. *NB*: field intensity in the dielectric X10.

It is interesting to note that the transverse-field patterns of Figs. 8 and 9 in the dielectric rod are not unlike the TE_{10} and TM_{11} modes in dielectric-filled rectangular waveguide, respectively, as was indicated in Section III-B.

The electric-field intensities in the dielectric in Figs. 9 and 10 have been artificially increased by factors of 10 and 5, respectively in the plots. This is so that field patterns in the dielectric



Fig. 11. Setup for an S_{11} measurement of the shielded dielectric waveguide.

can be shown effectively at the same time as the larger intensity field of the air region.

IV. MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

Apart from the boundary-element method results of Collin mentioned in Section III, there does not appear to be any published results on the specific effects of the shield on the propagation coefficient of the structure described in this paper. Therefore, to verify the method when the shield is close to the dielectric, a measurement approach was devised whereby the propagation coefficient could be calculated from the measured reflection coefficient (S_{11}) of the structure. A length (L) of a shielded square cross-sectional dielectric rod was fitted with end plates, and a connector and probe were installed midway to allow measurement by a vector network analyzer (see Fig. 11). To provide a situation where there would be a sufficient effect from the shield, dielectric dimensions of $a_1 = b_1 = 6$ mm and $a_2 = b_2 = 9 \text{ mm} (\text{SDR} = 1.5)$ where chosen. The dielectric used was 153.3-mm long and had a nominal relative permittivity of 37.4 ± 1 . This structure behaves as a resonant cavity and the resonant frequencies produced are related to multiple half-wavelengths between the plates and can be measured at minimum points in the S_{11} magnitude data. The propagation coefficient at these points can then be calculated from

$$\beta_z(N) = \frac{\pi N}{L} \tag{25}$$

where N is the number of multiple half-wavelengths of the resonant modes that can exist in the shielded dielectric-rod waveguide, and L is the distance between the planes. These propagation coefficient values $\beta_z(N)$ can then be compared to calculated values from the MSW method at the measured resonant frequencies.

V. COMPARISON OF CALCULATED AND MEASURED RESULTS

A plot of calculated propagation coefficient over a frequency range that covers the first few modes to propagate is shown in Fig. 12. The coupled E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y modes are dominant, and the degenerate modes E_{11}^x and E_{11}^y , which are normally dominant in the free-space situation, are found to be cut off at just below 2.9 GHz.



Fig. 12. Calculated propagation coefficient values for the first few modes to propagate, shield dimension ratio SDR = 1.5. The modes are labeled with their associated symmetry in parentheses.



Fig. 13. S_{11} magnitude data for the frequency range from 2.0 to 3.6 GHz.

With this frequency range applied to the test setup of Fig. 11, the S_{11} data produced is as shown in Fig. 13. It was found that the frequencies at the resonant dips shown were within 1% of calculated resonant frequencies for the E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y coupled and E_{11}^x, E_{11}^y degenerate modes. The E_{12}^x/E_{21}^y mode did not couple to the measurement probe, nor did N = 5 for the E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y mode and N = 11 for the E_{11}^x, E_{11}^y modes.

It can be seen that some of the resonant dips associated with E_{11}^x and E_{11}^y are in pairs and some are not. Some of these are too small to be seen due to the scale of Fig. 13. The pairing indicates that these modes are not quite degenerate in the test unit due to some asymmetry in its dimensions. In these cases, the measurement frequency was averaged. Where only a single resonant dip was measured, it appears that either the E_{11}^x or E_{11}^y mode did not couple sufficiently to the probe to be visible or they overlap.

Fig. 13 also shows that there are no resonances below 2.9 GHz for the E_{11}^x and E_{11}^y modes and, thus, the E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y coupled mode is truly dominant.



Fig. 14. Comparison of $\beta_z(N)$ propagation coefficients, at the measured resonant frequencies, and calculated propagation coefficients for the E_{11}^x or E_{11}^y mode.



Fig. 15. Comparison of $\beta_z(N)$ propagation coefficients, at the measured resonant frequencies, and calculated propagation coefficients for the E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y coupled mode.

The propagation coefficients, calculated from (25) at the measured frequencies for E_{11}^x and E_{11}^y , are compared against MSW calculated values in Fig. 14. An estimated permittivity of the dielectric of $\varepsilon_{r2} = 37.13$ was used. The extremes of the permittivity tolerance for this dielectric are also shown in Fig. 14.

The measured propagation coefficient values for the E_{11}^x and E_{11}^y modes are within 2% of the MSW values above 3 GHz.

Similarly, the measured frequency propagation values for the E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y coupled mode are also within 2%, as shown in Fig. 15.

VI. CONCLUSION

A rigorous method for the computation of the propagation coefficients and field patterns of the fundamental modes in a shielded rectangular dielectric-rod waveguide has been presented. The method, based on that of Solbach and Wolff gives closely comparable results to that of Goell in free space and the boundary-element method of Collin, and has been verified by experiment for the case of a shield close to a dielectric of high permittivity. The method also reveals that when the dielectric is shielded, a dominant E_{21}^x/E_{12}^y coupled mode exists. The method allows the effect of shield proximity to be assessed and, thus, has application to the design of cavity filters incorporating rectangular parallelepiped or cubic dielectric resonators. It is easily extended to include calculation of both dielectric losses and conductor losses in the shield wall. This will be the subject of a future paper. This study could also be extended to the calculation of the resonant frequencies of fundamental-mode cubic dielectric-loaded cavity resonators.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The prototype dielectric shielded line was manufactured by C. Galligan, Mechanical Engineering Workshop, University of Southern Queensland (USQ), Toowoomba, Qld., Australia.

REFERENCES

- D. Lioubtchenko, S. Tretyakov, and S. Dudorov, *Millimeter-Wave Waveguides*. Boston, MA: Kluwer, 2003.
- [2] E. A. J. Marcatili, "Dielectric rectangular waveguide and directional coupler for integrated optics," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 2071–2102, Sep. 1969.
- [3] R. M. Knox and P. P. Toulios, "Integrated circuit for millimeter through optical frequency range," in *Proceedings of the MRI Symposium on Submillimeter Wave*, J. Fox, Ed. Brooklyn, NY: Polytech. Press, 1970, pp. 497–516.
- [4] J. E. Goell, "A circular-harmonic computer analysis of rectangular dielectric waveguides," *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 2133–2160, Sep. 1969.
- [5] B. M. A. Rahman and J. B. Davies, "Finite element analysis of optical and microwave waveguide problems," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. MTT-32, no. 1, pp. 20–28, Jan. 1984.
- [6] E. Schweig and W. B. Bridges, "Computer analysis of dielectric waveguides: A finite difference method," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. MTT-32, no. 5, pp. 531–541, May 1984.
- [7] K. Solbach and I. Wolff, "The electromagnetic fields and the phase constants of dielectric image lines," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. MTT-26, no. 4, pp. 266–274, Apr. 1978.
- [8] R. Mittra, Y.-L. Hou, and V. Jamnejad, "Analysis of open dielectric waveguides using mode-matching technique and variational methods," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. MTT-28, no. 1, pp. 36–43, Jan. 1980.
- [9] R. Mittra and S. W. Lee, *Analytical Techniques in the Theory of Guided Waves*. New York: Macmillan, 1971.
- [10] J. Strube and F. Arndt, "Rigorous hybrid-mode analysis of the transition from rectangular waveguide to shielded dielectric image line," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. MTT-33, no. 5, pp. 391–401, May 1985.
- [11] A. S. Omar and K. F. Schunemann, "Complex and backward-wave modes in inhomogeneously and anisotropically filled waveguides," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. MTT-35, no. 3, pp. 268–275, Mar. 1987.
- [12] M. Marozowski and J. Mazur, "Predicting complex waves in lossless guides," in *Proc. 20th Eur. Microwave Conf.*, 1990, pp. 487–492.

- [13] R. E. Collin, Field Theory of Guided Waves, 2nd ed. New York: IEEE Press, 1991.
- [14] R. E. Collin and D. A. Ksienski, "Boundary element method for dielectric resonators and waveguides," *Radio Sci.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1155–1167, Dec. 1987.
- [15] A. S. Sudbo, "Why are accurate computations of mode fields in rectangular dielectric waveguides difficult?," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 419–419, Apr. 1992.
- [16] K. A. Zaki and A. E. Atia, "Modes in dielectric-loaded waveguides and resonators," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. MTT-31, no. 12, pp. 1039–1045, Dec. 1983.
- [17] X.-P. Liang and K. A. Zaki, "Modeling of cylindrical dielectric resonators in rectangular waveguides and cavities," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2174–2181, Dec. 1993.
- [18] L. Chambers, "Propagation in waveguides filled longitudinally with two or more dielectrics," Br. J. Appl. Phys., vol. 4, pp. 39–45, Feb. 1953.
- [19] C. A. Balanis, Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics. New York: Wiley, 1988.
- [20] R. Mittra, "Relative convergence of the solution of a doubly infinite set of equations," *J. Res. Nat. Bureau of Standards—D. Radio Propag.*, vol. 67D, no. 2, pp. 245–254, Mar.–Apr. 1963.
- [21] M. Leroy, "On the convergence of numerical results in modal analysis," *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 31, no. 7, pp. 655–659, Jul. 1983.
- [22] J. Penny and G. Lindfield, *Numerical Methods Using Matlab*. Sydney, Australia: Ellis Horwood, 1995.



Colin G. Wells (S'03) was born in Sydney, Australia, on April 3, 1951. He received the B.Eng. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from the University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Qld., Australia, in 2002, and is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree in the design of microwave components and filters using the mode-matching technique at the University of Southern Queensland.



James A. R. Ball (M'81) was born in Guildford, U.K., on February 11, 1943. He received the B.Sc. degree in engineering from Leicester University, Leicester, U.K., in 1964, the M.Sc. degree in physics from the University of London, London, U.K., in 1968, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from the University of Queensland, Toowoomba, Qld., Australia, in 1988.

From 1964 to 1969, he was a Microwave Engineer with EMI Electronics U.K., where he was involved with stripline and waveguide component de-

sign. From 1969 to 1971, he was with Amalgamated Wireless (Australasia), where he was involved with the design of low-frequency and UHF filters and the testing of delta modulation and UHF radio links. In 1971, he joined the University of Southern Queensland, where he is currently an Associate Professor of electrical, electronic and computer engineering. His research interests are in the areas of microwave components, devices, and measurements and the numerical solution of electromagnetic-field problems.