

FISHBYTE SECTION

Editorial

The eight articles in this issue of *Fishbyte-in-Naga* cover a variety of topics, countries and resources. However, three of these deal with length-weight relationships (LWR) of the form $W=aL^b$, a topic some may view as not worth writing about much, so some explanation may be in order.

Let us list some of the uses to which LWRs can be put:

- i) conversion of length of individual fish to weight, as required, e.g., for visual censuses (see Kulbicki et al.'s paper below);
- ii) estimating the mean weight of the fish of a given length class (see Beyer 1987, *Fishbyte* 5(1):11-13);
- iii) conversion of a growth equation for length into a growth equation for weight, i.e., prediction of weight from age, as required, e.g., for yield-per-recruit models;
- iv) morphological comparisons between population of the same species, or between species, and related investigations (see Caillouet, p. 30).

Estimating the parameters (a , b) of a LWR is usually straightforward — one weighs 10 small, 10 intermediate and 10 large fish of the same stock, runs the resulting 30 data pairs through a (log) linear regression routine and the job is done. And yet, when practical assessment work needs to be undertaken, or some species need to be compared, it is usually difficult to find the required LWRs in the literature. Why? Because many colleagues believe that estimating LWR requires hundreds of fish to be measured and weighed, missing the fact that measuring a *wide range* of fish sizes is more important for the precise estimation of a and b than the *number* of fish they measure, especially if they are all of intermediate sizes.

Also, there are many colleagues who do not engage in activities such as outlined in (i) to (iv) above and hence do not

see the point in estimating LWRs. However, I believe the key problem with LWR is that there is no theory for them.

In science, a theory's role is not only to accommodate (most of) the available facts relevant to a certain set of phenomena, but also to guide research (toward filling remaining gaps), and to provide a basis for expectations (i.e., toward the formulation of testable hypotheses). Thus, while geometry tells us that the parameter b must be equal to 3 (=isometry) if a fish is to maintain its shape as it grows larger, there is no theory that tells us in which case estimated b values can be expected to be below 3 (negative allometry), or above 3 (positive allometry).

Hence, no biological hypothesis is being tested and no advance of one's understanding about anything is made when, for example, a t-test identifies a significant departure from isometry.

The situation is similar with the parameter a of a LWR, which is well defined only in case of isometry, when $b=3$. In this case, a can be interpreted as a "condition factor" (usually a is multiplied by 100 which leads to cf values near unity for trout-shaped, "normal" fish when L is in cm and W in g). Condition factors are expected to vary in the course of a year, to be low when the fish condition is "bad" (e.g., following spawning) and high otherwise. However, in the more frequent case where b is not equal to 3, the values of a cease to be indicators of condition, and tend to vary inversely with b (hence the strong correlation between a and b values in Caillouet, p. 30), not a good attribute if a is to be interpreted in biological terms.

Thus, the field is wide open: who is going to develop a viable theory of (fish) LWR; whose "facts" will be the hundreds of values of a and b presently available (e.g., in FishBase), which will organize these facts, and allow predictions (hypotheses) to be derived? *D. Pauly*

Length-Weight Relationships of Fish from the Lagoon of New Caledonia

M. KULBICKI
G. MOU THAM
P. THOLLOT
L. WANTIEZ

Abstract

Length-weight relationships of 335 species of fish of New Caledonia, belonging to 65 families of coral reef fishes, were computed (80%) or assembled from the literature (20% of all cases) to facilitate, among other things, estimation of coral reef fish biomass from visual census.

Introduction

Length-weight relationships are — in fisheries research — useful for a number of purposes, notably to estimate biomasses from length-frequency data.

The Institut Français de Recherche Scientifique pour le Développement en Coopération (ORSTOM) is presently

conducting in New Caledonia a project involving the estimation of coral reef fish biomasses, wherein visual census is the main method used to estimate the densities of fish of different species and length. Species-specific length-weight relationships are required for the subsequent estimation of individual fish weights from their lengths, with the weights being added up to obtain total biomasses or standing stock (Kulbicki 1988; Kulbicki et al. 1990).

There is a large literature on length-weight relationship of Indo-Pacific fish, but dealing mainly with soft bottom (i.e., trawled) species, and on pelagic fish. This contribution aims at filling an obvious information gap for coral reef fish, and thus to assist future visual censuses.

Materials and Methods

Fish were caught by a number of methods: rotenone, gill nets and spear fishing for reef fishes; and trawl, gill nets, trammel nets and handline for soft bottom and pelagic fishes. Table 1 indicates the precision of our length and weight measurements, which depends on size.

Table 1. Precision of the length and weight measurements used for estimating length-weight relationships.

Length (cm) Interval	Length Precision	Weight Precision
0-5	0.5	0.5g
5-10	0.5	1.0g
10-30	1.0	5.0g
30-100	1.0	1-5%
>100	5.0	5%

Fork length (F) was the length taken for most species, but standard length (S) was taken for Anguilliform fish, along with disk width (D) for rays, and

total length (T) for sharks. Given our ultimate aim — biomass estimation from visual census data — the sexes were not differentiated, although we are aware that they generally have different length-weight relationships.

The parameters *a* and *b* of relationships of the form

$$W = a \cdot L^b \quad \dots 1)$$

were estimated through logarithmic transformation, i.e.,

$$\ln(\text{weight}) = \ln a + b \cdot \ln(\text{length}) \quad \dots 2)$$

with *a* and *b* estimated by ordinary least-squares regressions.

Results and Discussion

Our results identify species following Rivaton et al. (1989) and other sources, and are arranged by families according to Eschmeyer (1990) (Table 2). For each species-*a* and *b* values, the number of fish available in the study (N), the correlation coefficient for the log-transformed L-W data pairs (*r*) — suggesting the presence of outliers when below 0.95, and the length of the smallest (*l*_{min}) and largest (*l*_{max}) fish measured — are given.

Table 2 combines both our original data ("no data") and those from the literature (see numbers under Ref). As might be seen, the information (beyond *a* and *b*) may be incomplete for some of the relationships from the literature, referring mainly to soft bottom and pelagic species loosely associated with coral reefs.

Our original data cover 279 species, representing 30% of the reef fish species of New Caledonia, and 20% of the other lagoon species. Together, these species represent 70% of the biomass in the lagoon of New Caledonia (Kulbicki 1988; Kulbicki et al. 1990, 1992; Kulbicki and Wantiez 1990; Thollot 1992).

Despite the rather comprehensive coverage of Table 2, there is still little information on the small coral reef species which, although they may not be major contributors to total biomass, contribute to the bulk of coral reef fish production.

Table 2. Length-weight relationships of 335 species of fish occurring in New Caledonia, with column headings as defined in the text.

Family/Species	a	b	N	r	Length type	Length min	Length max	Ref.
Carcharhinidae								
<i>Carcharhinus albimarginatus</i>	3.05E-03	3.243		NA	T			4
<i>Carcharhinus amblyrhynchus</i>	1.97E-02	2.914	17	0.919	T	51	120	
<i>Carcharhinus plumbeus</i>	1.42E-03	3.310		0.984	T			25
<i>Galeocerdo cuvier</i>	6.21E-03	3.160		0.994	T			9
<i>Galeocerdo cuvier</i>	2.62E-03	3.357		0.993	T			25
Sphyrnidae								
<i>Sphyrna lewini</i>	1.27E-02	2.959	13	0.965	T	38	57	
<i>Sphyrna mokarran</i>	1.23E-03	3.240		0.991	T			24
Dasyatidae								
<i>Dasyatis kuhlii</i>	3.56E-02	2.984	63	0.923	D	17	49.5	
Megalopidae								
<i>Megalops cyprinoides</i>	9.67E-02	3.065	42	0.991	F	17	41.5	
Muraenidae								
<i>Thyrsoidea macrura</i>	5.79E-03	2.305	61	0.996	S	22	260	
Muraenesocidae								
<i>Muraenesox bagio</i>	5.26E-03	2.781	17	0.987	S	56	106	
Clupeidae								
<i>Amblygaster clupeioides</i>	3.42E-03	3.180		0.999	F			6
<i>Amblygaster sirm</i>	3.49E-03	3.171		0.999	F			6
<i>Anodontostoma chacunda</i>	1.81E-02	3.048	777	0.996	F	3.5	24	
<i>Dussumieria acuta</i>	2.36E-02	2.631		0.982	F			5
Herklotsichthys								
<i>quadriraculatus</i>	1.24E-02	3.005	132	0.919	F	5	10.5	
<i>Nematalosa come</i>	3.05E-02	2.947	92	0.993	F	3.5	24	
<i>Sardinella fijiense</i>	1.67E-02	2.980	54	0.993	F	5.5	15.5	
<i>Sardinella melanura</i>	3.58E-02	2.750		0.935	F			21
<i>Spratelloides gracilis</i>	9.50E-03	3.000		0.980	F			8
<i>Spratelloides gracilis</i>	2.27E-03	3.228		0.994	F			6
Engraulidae								
<i>Stolephorus delicatula</i>	2.14E-03	3.287		0.993	F			6
<i>Stolephorus devisi</i>	2.80E-03	3.340		0.990	F			8
<i>Stolephorus devisi</i>	1.40E-03	3.914		0.903	F			12
<i>Stolephorus devisi</i>	1.61E-03	3.328		0.988	F			6
<i>Stolephorus heterotobus</i>	2.40E-03	3.350		0.980	F			8
<i>Stolephorus heterotobus</i>	1.20E-03	3.380		0.994	F			6
<i>Stolephorus indicus</i>	4.10E-03	3.325		0.979	F			5
<i>Stolephorus indicus</i>	3.13E-03	3.159		0.996	F			6
<i>Stolephorus insularis</i>	2.61E-03	3.217		0.994	F			6
<i>Thryssina baelama</i>	2.33E-03	3.317	130	0.967	F	5	11.5	6
Chirocentridae								
<i>Chirocentrus dorab</i>	1.12E+02	2.125	17	0.911	F	32.5	62	
Chanidae								
<i>Chanos chanos</i>	2.28E-03	3.354	44	0.994	F	14.5	31	
Synodontidae								
<i>Saurida gracilis</i>	4.59E-03	3.153	57	0.978	F	7	19	
<i>Saurida nebulosa</i>	4.08E-03	3.183	12	0.995	F	8	18.5	
<i>Saurida undosquamis</i>	1.15E-02	2.967	809	0.963	F	6.5	33	
<i>Saurida dermatogennis</i>	2.53E-03	3.307	33	0.984	F	8	16.5	
<i>Synodus hoshinonis</i>	1.32E-03	3.409	45	0.965	F	9	19	
<i>Synodus variegatus</i>	2.68E-03	3.300	20	0.994	F	5	21.5	
Atherinidae								
<i>Atherinomorus lacunosus</i>	8.03E-03	3.090	50	0.968	F	6.5	13	
<i>Hypoatherina ovaloa</i>	2.14E-03	3.270		0.985	F			6
Belontiidae								
<i>Strongylura incisa</i>	5.24E-03	2.445	12	0.943	F	36.5	72.5	
<i>Strongylura leiura</i>	3.00E-03	2.515	21	0.846	F	43.5	75	
<i>Strongylura uvrilli</i>	2.99E-03	3.298	28	0.991	F	29	73.5	
<i>Tylosurus crocodilus</i>	6.04E-04	3.165	21	0.983	F	29.5	60	
Holocentridae								
<i>Myripristis bernadi</i>	2.97E-02	2.988	85	0.995	F	4.5	22.5	
<i>Myripristis kuntee</i>	1.46E-02	3.151	81	0.953	F	6	14	
<i>Myripristis melanostica</i>	2.97E-02	3.007	49	0.994	F	4	19.5	
<i>Myripristis pralinia</i>	2.05E-02	3.069	41	0.996	F	5	15	
<i>Myripristis violacea</i>	5.14E-02	2.903	118	0.992	F	3.5	17	
<i>Neoniphon argenteus</i>	5.32E-02	2.802	57	0.996	F	4.5	16.5	
<i>Neoniphon sammaru</i>	4.85E-02	2.822	99	0.995	F	4.5	19	
<i>Sargocentron diadema</i>	3.73E-02	2.890	276	0.990	F	5	15	
<i>Sargocentron microstoma</i>	1.80E-03	3.851		0.989	F			22
<i>Sargocentron rubrum</i>	3.50E-02	2.949	173	0.981	F	8.5	23	
<i>Sargocentron spiniferum</i>	1.70E-02	3.056	32	0.985	F	11.5	31.5	
Fistulariidae								
<i>Fistularia petimba</i>	2.14E-04	3.158	43	0.993	F	19	44	
Scorpaenidae								
<i>Dendrochirus brachypterus</i>	8.05E-03	3.201	32	0.986	F	4	12	
<i>Inimicus didactylus</i>	3.72E-02	2.829	14	0.994	F	6.5	21.5	
Platycephalidae								
<i>Onigicia spinosa</i>	1.52E-02	2.418	26	0.990	F	6	19	
<i>Onigicia macrolepis</i>	7.30E-03	2.584	39	0.988	F	6	17	
<i>Suggrundus staigeri</i>	2.57E-03	3.205	12	0.998	F	19.5	52	
Ambassidae								
<i>Ambassis interruptus</i>	5.28E-02	2.793	15	0.897	F	5.5	7.5	0
Serranidae								
<i>Cephalopholis argus</i>	1.55E-02	3.022	12	0.975	F	25.5	44	
<i>Cephalopholis boenak</i>	1.06E-02	3.081	73	0.993	F	3.5	30.5	
<i>Cephalopholis miniata</i>	6.55E-02	2.757	67	0.928	F	24	45	
<i>Cephalopholis sonnerati</i>	1.36E-02	3.048	62	0.967	F	24	50	
<i>Cephalopholis urodeta</i>	1.38E-02	3.173		0.986	F			16

continued

FISHBYTE SECTION

Table 2. continued

Family/ Species	a	b	N	r	Length type	Length min	Length max	Ref.
<i>Epinephelus areolatus</i>	1.54E-02	2.977	139	0.991	F	6	42.5	
<i>Epinephelus caeruleopunctatus</i>	2.57E-02	2.913	26	0.997	F	3.5	69	
<i>Epinephelus cyanopodus</i>	1.24E-02	3.052	132	0.970	F	19.5	76	
<i>Epinephelus fasciatus</i>	3.22E-02	2.868	93	0.989	F	10	33	
<i>Epinephelus fuscoguttatus</i>	2.34E-02	2.891		0.968	F			18
<i>Epinephelus macrospilus</i>	1.48E-02	2.996	35	0.995	F	11	41	
<i>Epinephelus maculatus</i>	2.55E-02	2.899	569	0.970	F	6.5	60.5	
<i>Epinephelus malabaricus</i>	1.37E-02	3.006	162	0.998	F	8.5	101	
<i>Epinephelus merri</i>	2.57E-02	2.890	79	0.991	F	6	24	
<i>Epinephelus microdon</i>	2.57E-02	2.923	59	0.975	F	17.5	61	
<i>Epinephelus rivulatus</i>	2.83E-02	2.892	110	0.970	F	16	36.5	
<i>Epinephelus suillus</i>	9.27E-03	3.069	26	0.997	F	6.5	111	
<i>Plectropomus leopardus</i>	9.23E-03	3.078	117	0.991	F	24	91	
<i>Pseudanthias hypselosoma</i>	1.27E-02	3.085	35	0.990	F	5	9.5	
<i>Variola louti</i>	1.34E-02	3.036	31	0.983	F	22	66	
Pseudochromidae								
<i>Pseudochromis purpurascens</i>	1.18E-02	3.028	20	0.973	F	4	7.5	
Terapontidae								
<i>Therapon jarbua</i>	9.19E-03	3.156	74	0.989	F	2	23	
Kuhliidae								
<i>Kuhlia marginata</i>	1.01E-02	3.121	58	0.995	F	4	17.5	
Priacanthidae								
<i>Priacanthus hamrur</i>	3.92E-02	2.828	41	0.996	F	4.5	38	
Apogonidae								
<i>Apogon angustatus</i>	2.30E-02	2.976	39	0.959	F	4.5	9	
<i>Apogon aureus</i>	3.58E-03	3.378	89	0.990	F	6.2	12	
<i>Apogon cyanosoma</i>	1.23E-02	2.546	12	0.941	F	4	6.5	
<i>Apogon ellioti</i>	3.62E-02	2.832	22	0.973	F	5.5	13	
<i>Apogon fraenatus</i>	4.10E-02	2.271	218	0.829	F	1	11	
<i>Apogon fuscus</i>	1.24E-02	2.604	35	0.987	F	4	10	
<i>Apogon hyalosoma</i>	4.13E-03	3.347	74	0.985	F	6	15	
<i>Apogon kallopterus</i>	8.66E-03	3.178	29	0.955	F	6	10.5	
<i>Apogon lateralis</i>	3.55E-02	2.857	172	0.972	F	3.5	8.5	
<i>Apogon lineolatus</i>	1.74E-02	2.991	11	0.901	F	6	7.5	
<i>Archamia fucata</i>	5.38E-03	3.260	30	0.948	F	6	8.5	
<i>Archamia zosterophora</i>	6.28E-03	2.697	22	0.876	F	5.5	7.5	
<i>Cheilodipterus lachneri</i>	1.09E-03	3.577	51	0.963	F	6	12.5	
Cheilodipteridae								
<i>quinquelineatus</i>	1.36E-02	3.044	81	0.985	F	3.5	11	
<i>Cheilodipterus subulatus</i>	5.29E-04	3.717	11	0.995	F	9	13.5	
<i>Fowleria marmorata</i>	3.66E-04	3.942	11	0.947	F	4	7.5	
Sillaginidae								
<i>Sillago ciliata</i>	1.84E-03	3.303	182	0.988	F	15.5	31	
<i>Sillago sihama</i>	4.34E-03	3.130	273	0.992	F	3.5	29	
Echeneidae								
<i>Echeneis naucrates</i>	4.68E-04	3.304	125	0.976	F	32	84.5	
Carangidae								
<i>Alepes djeddaba</i>	1.69E-02	2.761		0.918	F			5
<i>Atule mate</i>	3.54E-02	2.822	56	0.984	F	10	26.5	
<i>Carangoides chrysophrys</i>	3.57E-02	2.890	90	0.995	F	12.5	60	
<i>Carangoides ferdau</i>	4.14E-02	2.850		0.990	F			21
<i>Carangoides orthogrammus</i>	2.81E-02	2.910	26	0.995	F	28	61	
<i>Carangoides wii</i>	1.60E-02	2.630	12	0.993	F	12	22.5	
<i>Caranx ignobilis</i>	6.44E-03	3.216	77	0.997	F	7	76	
<i>Caranx lugubris</i>	1.05E-02	3.087		NA	F			4
<i>Caranx lugubris</i>	1.99E-02	3.001		NA	F			19
<i>Caranx melampygus</i>	2.15E-02	2.982	26	0.997	F	5.5	26	
<i>Caranx papuensis</i>	1.99E-02	2.999	119	0.995	F	6.5	64	
<i>Caranx sexfasciatus</i>	3.18E-02	2.930		0.998	F			21
<i>Decapterus russelli</i>	6.40E-03	3.142	16	0.991	F	11.5	30	
<i>Elagatis bipinnulata</i>	1.35E-02	2.920		1.000	F			21
<i>Elagatis bipinnulata</i>	2.34E-02	2.240		NA	F			29
<i>Gnathanodon speciosus</i>	1.93E-02	3.002	17	0.999	F	4	74.5	
<i>Megalaspis cordyla</i>	7.00E-03	3.084		0.979	F			5
<i>Megalaspis cordyla</i>	1.58E-02	2.990		0.994	F			21
<i>Pseudocaranx dentex</i>	1.70E-02	3.007		NA	F			29,30
<i>Scomberoides commersoni</i>	2.95E-02	2.809	47	0.995	F	19	100	
<i>Scomberoides lysan</i>	1.49E-02	2.896	14	0.995	F	11.5	55.5	
<i>Scomberoides tol</i>	3.41E-02	2.726	187	0.992	F	3.5	23	
<i>Selar crumenophthalmus</i>	1.94E-02	2.983	34	0.966	F	18	27.5	
<i>Seriola dumerili</i>	2.21E-05	2.940		NA	F			29,30
Leiognathidae								
<i>Gazza minuta</i>	5.42E-02	2.831	247	0.993	F	2.5	21	
<i>Leiognathus bindus</i>	6.85E-0	2.190	120	0.890	F	3	9	
<i>Leiognathus equulus</i>	3.23E-02	2.953	581	0.995	F	2.5	20	
<i>Leiognathus fasciatus</i>	1.94E-02	3.054	87	0.994	F	5	15.5	
<i>Leiognathus leuciscus</i>	3.06E-03	3.422	94	0.973	F	5	9	
<i>Leiognathus rivulatus</i>	9.03E-02	2.653	43	0.955	F	6	10	
<i>Leiognathus splendens</i>	5.94E-02	2.812	206	0.978	F	4.5	11	
<i>Secutor insidiator</i>	2.45E-02	2.715		0.970	F			5
<i>Secutor insidiator</i>	1.87E-02	3.080		0.924	F			21
<i>Secutor ruconius</i>	1.46E-0	2.563	25	0.928	F	3.5	6.5	
Lutjanidae								
<i>Aphareus rutilans</i>	1.54E-02	2.961		NA	F			19
<i>Aphareus rutilans</i>	3.60E-03	3.311		NA	F			4
<i>Aprion virens</i>	3.51E-02	2.869	64	0.985	F	22.5	88	
<i>Lutjanus adonii</i>	2.55E-03	3.335	106	0.995	F	18.5	46	
<i>Lutjanus argentimaculatus</i>	6.40E-02	2.761	329	0.991	F	5.5	64	
<i>Lutjanus bohar</i>	1.75E-02	3.019	154	0.987	F	4	75	
<i>Lutjanus fulviflammus</i>	2.57E-02	2.936	319	0.992	F	5.5	32.5	
<i>Lutjanus fulvus</i>	2.75E-02	2.937	292	0.996	F	4	31	

continued

Table 2. continued

Family/ Species	a	b	N	r	Length type	Length min	Length max	Ref.
<i>Lutjanus gibbus</i>	2.10E-02	2.996	319	0.979	F	15.5	72	
<i>Lutjanus kasmira</i>	7.23E-03	3.166	78	0.989	F	4	34.5	
<i>Lutjanus lutjanus</i>	2.02E-02	2.964	52	0.994	F	8.5	18.5	
<i>Lutjanus quinquelineatus</i>	2.44E-02	2.959	524	0.969	F	5.5	23	
<i>Lutjanus russelli</i>	3.27E-02	2.850	173	0.976	F	9.5	29	
<i>Lutjanus sebae</i>	6.41E-03	3.187	14	0.998	F	24.5	77	
<i>Lutjanus vitta</i>	1.72E-02	2.985	687	0.983	F	6	38.5	
<i>Symphorus nematophorus</i>	3.50E-02	2.896	19	0.992	F	44.5	92	
Caesoniidae								
<i>Caesio caerulea</i>	1.58E-02	3.046	28	0.994	F	8.5	15.5	
<i>Pterocaesio digramma</i>	4.14E-03	3.283	82	0.991	F	8	15.5	
<i>Pterocaesio trilineata</i>	9.60E-03	3.112	93	0.987	F	6	14	
Gerreidae								
<i>Gerres filamentosus</i>	2.86E-02	2.968	386	0.991	F	5	23	
<i>Gerres ovatus</i>	2.45E-02	2.986	1068	0.992	F	3	19	
<i>Gerres oyena</i>	5.87E-03	3.273	313	0.976	F	4	19	
Haemulidae								
<i>Diagramma pictus</i>	1.55E-02	2.982	398	0.993	F	7	75	
<i>Plectorhinchus gibbosus</i>	8.27E-02	2.719	16	0.962	F	7	34.5	
<i>Plectorhinchus goldmanni</i>	4.62E-03	3.219	14	0.979	F	29.5	40	
<i>Plectorhinchus obscurus</i>	4.27E-02	2.853	29	0.994	F	17.5	55.5	
<i>Plectorhinchus picus</i>	1.35E-02	3.030	16	0.990	F	36	54.5	
<i>Pomadasys argenteus</i>	2.11E-02	2.954	986	0.998	F	4	43	
Sparidae								
<i>Acanthopagrus berda</i>	2.23E-02	3.024	332	0.994	F	5	36	
Lethrinidae								
<i>Gnathodentex aurolineatus</i>	2.29E-02	2.982	43	0.981	F	8.5	19	
<i>Gymnochranius japonicus</i>	2.88E-02	2.959	256	0.994	F	10	49	
<i>Gymnochranius lethrinoides</i>	3.26E-02	2.931	112	0.999	F	9	48	
<i>Gymnochranius rivulatus</i>	4.88E-02	2.858	100	0.991	F	16	67.5	
<i>Lethrinus hakae</i>	1.54E-02	3.043	71	0.988	F	6	31.5	
<i>Lethrinus lentjan</i>	2.06E-02	2.982	46	0.988	F	7.5	44	
<i>Lethrinus mahsena</i>	1.88E-02	3.029	732	0.971	F	11	56.5	
<i>Lethrinus miniatulus</i>	4.48E-02	2.926		0.996	F			17
<i>Lethrinus nebulosus</i>	2.65E-02	2.943	1867	0.989	F	8	69.5	
<i>Lethrinus nematacanthus</i>	3.45E-02	2.863	749	0.985	F	8.5	21.5	
<i>Lethrinus olivaceus</i>	3.29E-02	2.728		NA	F			4
<i>Lethrinus olivaceus</i>	6.62E-02	2.780	88	0.995	F	22.5	72.5	
<i>Lethrinus ramak</i>	4.29E-02	2.835	22	0.974	F	12.5	28.5	
<i>Lethrinus rubrioperculatus</i>	1.69E-02	3.018	453	0.982	F	16.5	39.5	
<i>Lethrinus semicinctus</i>	3.98E-02	2.813	95	0.958	F	10	17.5	
<i>Lethrinus xanthochilus</i>	3.78E-02	2.872	30	0.995	F	22	62.5	
<i>Monotaxis grandoculis</i>	2.59E-02	2.989	44	0.999	F	4	44	
Nemipteridae								
<i>Nemipterus peroni</i>	6.99E-03	3.167	590	0.966	F	11.5	27	
<i>Scolopsis bilineatus</i>	1.02E-02	3.148	34	0.994	F	6.5	19	
<i>Scolopsis temporalis</i>	3.74E-02	2.846	561	0.978	F	7	21	
Mullidae								
<i>Mulloidex flavolineatus</i>	1.86E-03	3.436	27	0.963	F	10	13.5	
<i>Parupeneus barberinus</i>	1.23E-02	3.081	16	0.997	F	13.5	41	
<i>Parupeneus dispilurus</i>	6.20E-							

Table 2. continued

Family/ Species	a	b	N	r	Length type	Length min	Length max	Ref.
<i>Dascyllus aruanus</i>	1.36E-02	2.686	91	0.955	F	2.5	6.5	
<i>Neopomacentrus taeniurus</i>	3.95E-02	2.263	78	0.809	F	1.5	5	
<i>Pomacentrus amboinensis</i>	2.15E-02	2.550	116	0.980	F	2.5	10.5	
<i>Pomacentrus lepidogenys</i>	4.42E-02	2.936	28	0.972	F	5	8	
<i>Pomacentrus melanopterus</i>	6.57E-03	3.312	13	0.989	F	4	9.5	
<i>Pomacentrus pavo</i>	6.75E-02	2.753	153	0.981	F	2.5	9.5	
<i>Pomacentrus philippinus</i>	1.13E-02	2.681	85	0.873	F	3.5	10	
<i>Pomacentrus popei</i>	1.89E-02	2.612	68	0.962	F	3	8	
<i>Pomacentrus simsianus</i>	1.22E-02	2.683	28	0.942	F	5	7.5	
<i>Pomacentrus vaiuli</i>	1.63E-02	2.603	74	0.976	F	2.5	8.5	
<i>Pristotis jerdoni</i>	3.73E-02	2.890	50	0.970	F	7	10	
<i>Stegastes nigricans</i>	8.08E-02	2.351	150	0.904	F	2.5	12.5	
Cirrhitidae								
<i>Cirrhitichthys falco</i>	2.45E-02	2.901	13	0.952	F	4.5	8	
Mugilidae								
<i>Liza macrolepis</i>	1.82E-02	2.963	989	0.993	F	6	29	
<i>Liza melanoptera</i>	2.04E-02	2.938	1123	0.986	F	4	35.5	
<i>Mugil cephalus</i>	1.01E-02	3.064	247	0.997	F	6.5	48.5	
<i>Valamugil buchani</i>	1.04E-02	3.050	717	0.997	F	7	60	
<i>Valamugil engeli</i>	3.99E-03	3.231	308	0.994	F	6.5	26	
<i>Valamugil scheli</i>	3.68E-03	3.250	38	0.996	F	11.5	43.5	
Polyneimidae								
<i>Polydactylus microstoma</i>	2.06E-02	2.981	136	0.982	F	10.5	24.5	
Labridae								
<i>Bodianus perditio</i>	2.07E-02	3.001	259	0.984	F	24	73	
<i>Cheilinus bimaculatus</i>	2.85E-02	2.354	25	0.970	F	5	11	
<i>Cheilinus chlorourus</i>	6.20E-02	2.778	11	0.996	F	10.5	32	
<i>Choerodon graphicus</i>	8.95E-03	3.153	35	0.993	F	22	51.5	
<i>Elops machnata</i>	1.23E-02	2.930	49	0.992	F	19.5	81.5	
<i>Halichoeres trimaculatus</i>	4.81E-02	2.740	12	0.994	F	3.5	16	
<i>Labroides dimidiatus</i>	4.30E-03	3.179	23	0.971	F	4.5	10	
<i>Thalassoma lunare</i>	4.26E-02	2.745	54	0.991	F	3.5	20	
<i>Thalassoma lutescens</i>	1.03E-02	3.077	15	0.994	F	4.5	16.5	
Scaridae								
<i>Calotomus carolinus</i>	1.22E-02	3.167		0.997	F			22
<i>Scarus altipinnis</i>	2.33E-02	2.980		0.993	F			22
<i>Scarus fasciatus</i>	1.50E-02	3.068	13	0.998	F	12.5	41.5	
<i>Scarus ghobban</i>	1.41E-02	3.061	210	0.947	F	7	49.5	
<i>Scarus gibbus</i>	3.88E-02	2.897	20	0.998	F	4	53	
<i>Scarus psittacus</i>	1.44E-02	3.163		0.990	F			22
<i>Scarus rubroviolaceus</i>	1.36E-02	3.109		0.994	F			22
<i>Scarus schlegelii</i>	4.35E-02	2.865	59	0.982	F	4.5	37	
<i>Scarus sordidus</i>	3.19E-02	2.927	124	0.998	F	3.5	37	
Pinguipedidae								
<i>Paraperca cylindrica</i>	4.91E-02	2.718	23	0.874	F	3.5	14	
<i>Paraperca polyophthalma</i>	8.50E-03	3.159		0.979	F			17
Blenniidae								
<i>Ecsenius bicolor</i>	1.86E-02	2.324	33	0.937	F	4	8	
Callionymidae								
<i>Synchiropus rameus</i>	9.00E-02	2.036	13	0.920	F	10	16	
Eleotridae								
<i>Butis amboinensis</i>	3.70E-02	2.626	25	0.930	F	4.5	8.5	
Gobiidae								
<i>Ctenotrypauchen microcephalus</i>	3.78E-02	2.070	23	0.898	F	4.5	11.5	
<i>Istigobius decoratus</i>	4.68E-02	2.687	12	0.987	F	5.5	11	
<i>Oxyurichthys papuensis</i>	3.63E-02	2.727	12	0.968	F	8	14	
<i>Priolepis cincta</i>	4.58E-02	2.719	13	0.951	F	3.5	7	
<i>Trypauchen vagina</i>	6.87E-03	2.844		NA	F			1
Siganidae								
<i>Siganus argenteus</i>	1.06E-02	3.095	14	0.990	F	10	32	
<i>Siganus canaliculatus</i>	1.58E-02	3.010	448	0.990	F	3	29.5	
<i>Siganus corallinus</i>	4.71E-02	2.940		NA	F			11
<i>Siganus dolius</i>	2.24E-02	2.988	20	0.995	F	6	22.5	
<i>Siganus lineatus</i>	2.86E-02	2.948	907	0.990	F	5.5	35	
<i>Siganus spinus</i>	5.50E-03	2.880		0.996	F			28
Zanclidae								

Table 2. continued

Family/ Species	a	b	N	r	Length type	Length min	Length max	Ref.
<i>Zanclus cornutus</i>	1.72E+02	3.171		0.907	F			17
Acanthuridae								
<i>Acanthurus blochii</i>	1.99E-02	3.054	75	0.992	F	6.5	37	
<i>Acanthurus dussumieri</i>	1.10E-02	2.761	85	0.982	F	2.5	51.5	
<i>Acanthurus gahn</i>	1.20E-02	3.163	19	0.995	F	11.5	22.5	
<i>Acanthurus lineatus</i>	1.92E-02	3.072		0.977	F			22
<i>Acanthurus mata</i>	3.06E-02	2.945	48	0.990	F	10	29.5	
<i>Acanthurus nigricans</i>	6.70E-02	2.669		0.905	F			22
<i>Acanthurus nigricauda</i>	8.00E-02	2.610		0.910	F			7
<i>Acanthurus nigrofasciatus</i>	3.12E-02	2.977	107	0.991	F	4.5	17.5	
<i>Acanthurus nigrofasciatus</i>	9.60E-02	2.520		0.980	F			7
<i>Acanthurus olivaceus</i>	7.00E-03	3.398		0.947	F			22
<i>Acanthurus triostegus</i>	5.20E-02	2.394	20	0.977	F	6.5	16.5	
<i>Acanthurus xanthopterus</i>	8.64E-03	2.769	29	0.921	F	8	57	
<i>Ctenochaetus striatus</i>	2.78E-02	2.997	111	0.994	F	5	21	
<i>Naso brevirostris</i>	1.02E-02	3.128	29	0.985	F	2	31.5	
<i>Naso lituratus</i>	4.97E-02	2.839		0.934	F			22
<i>Naso unicornis</i>	2.22E-02	2.988	56	0.989	F	18.5	60	
<i>Zebrafascia scopas</i>	7.25E-02	2.812	55	0.994	F	4	15	
<i>Zebrafascia veliferum</i>	4.71E-02	2.857	36	0.989	F	4	26.5	
Sphyraenidae								
<i>Sphyraena barracuda</i>	1.32E-02	2.874	197	0.978	F	19	59.5	
<i>Sphyraena flavicauda</i>	1.95E-03	3.192	16	0.991	F	23	35.5	
<i>Sphyraena forsteri</i>	1.11E-02	2.914	77	0.966	F	8.5	58	
<i>Sphyraena jello</i>	2.50E-03	3.245		0.982	F			5
<i>Sphyraena novaeollandiae</i>	1.02E-02	2.464	23	0.987	F	19	28	
<i>Sphyraena obtusata</i>	1.25E-02	2.472	23	0.898	F	19	26.5	
<i>Sphyraena putnamiae</i>	1.28E-02	2.866	178	0.994	F	20	104	
<i>Sphyraena waitlei</i>	1.61E-02	2.808	34	0.992	F	19	31	
Trichiuridae								
<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i>	1.50E-04	3.427		0.968	F			5
<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i>	1.93E-04	3.417		NA	F			3
Scombridae								
<i>Gymnosarda unicolor</i>	1.05E-02	3.065		NA	F			19
<i>Gymnosarda unicolor</i>	4.09E-02	2.800		NA	F			4
<i>Rastrelliger kanagurta</i>	3.19E-03	3.205		0.999	F			2,6
<i>Rastrelliger kanagurta</i>	1.44E-03	3.377		NA	F			23,26
Bothidae								
<i>Asterorhombus intermedius</i>	1.78E-03	3.407	59	0.914	F	7	12.5	
<i>Bothus pantherinus</i>	1.29E-03	3.475	21	0.963	F	8	18	
<i>Engyproson grandisquama</i>	3.48E-02	2.786	101	0.982	F	5.5	11.5	
Grammatobothidae								
<i>polyophthalmus</i>	4.68E-02	2.717	39	0.969	F	11	20.5	
Ballistidae								
<i>Abolites stellatus</i>	1.10E-02	2.712	56	0.920	F	14	55	
<i>Melichthys vidua</i>	5.80E-02	3.554		0.958	F			22
<i>Pseudobalistes fuscus</i>	1.05E+01	2.410	35	0.975	F	26	57	
<i>Rhinecanthus aculeatus</i>	1.79E-02	3.100		0.953	F			22
<i>Rhinecanthus rectangulus</i>	3.55E-02	2.875		0.940	F			22
<i>Sufflamen chrysopterus</i>	1.53E-02	3.152		0.961	F			22
<i>Sufflamen fraenatus</i>	3.50E-02	2.947	86	0.970	F	19	36.5	
Monacanthidae								
<i>Cantherines dumerili</i>	4.06E-02	2.792		0.961	F			21
<i>Paramonacanthus japonicus</i>	1.87E-02	2.474	48	0.918	F	5	17	
<i>Pseudalutarius nasicornis</i>	1.44E-02	2.978	209	0.955	F	8	13.5	
Ostraciidae								
<i>Lactoria cornuta</i>	5.37E-02	2.709	15	0.925	F	20.5	30	
<i>Ostracion cubicus</i>	2.62E-02	2.588	18	0.999	F	2.5	41	
<i>Tetrosomus gibbosus</i>	1.61E+01	2.229	23	0.970	F	5	26	
Tetraodontidae								
<i>Arothron hispidus</i>	9.01E-02	2.801	14	0.998	F	6.5	46	
<i>Arothron manillensis</i>	9.27E-03	2.704	38	0.989	F	3.5	33	
<i>Arothron stellatus</i>	2.05E-02	2.665	21	0.998	F	5	75	
<i>Cathigaster valentini</i>	4.40E-02	2.290	29	0.894	F	2	8	
<i>Lagocephalus sceleratus</i>	2.41E-02	2.905	62	0.996	F	9	71.5	
Diodontidae								
<i>Diodon hystrix</i>	1.29E+01	2.345	22	0.946	F	28	75	

References

- ¹Acharya, P. and S.N. Dwivedi. 1985. Some aspects of the biology of *Trypauchen vagina* off Bombay coast. J. Indian Fish. Assoc. 14-15:1-15.
- ²Azad, J.S. and K.S. Udupa. 1989. Length-weight relationship of the Indian mackerel off Mangalore. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 59(1):202-206.
- ³Bal, D.V. and K.V. Rao. 1987. Marine fisheries. Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Co., New Delhi. 470 p.
- ⁴Brouard, F. and R. Grandperrin. 1984. Les poissons profonds de la pente récifale externe à Vanuatu. Notes Doc. Océanogr. Mission ORSTOM Port Vila, Vanuatu 11, 131 p.
- ⁵Cinco, E. 1982. Length-weight relationships of fishes, p. 34-37. In D. Pauly and A.N. Mines (eds.) Small-scale fisheries of San Miguel Bay, Philippines: biology and stock assessment. ICLARM Tech. Rep. 7, 124 p.
- ⁶Conand, F. 1987. Biologie et écologie des poissons pélagiques du lagon de Nouvelle Calédonie utilisables comme appât thonier. University of Western Brittany Brest, France. 233 p. Ph.D. thesis.

- ⁷Dalzell, P. 1987. The biology of surgeon fishes with particular emphasis on *Acanthurus nigricauda* and *A. xanthopterus* from northern PNG. University of Newcastle upon Tyne, England. 285 p. M.Sc. thesis.
- ⁸Dalzell, P.J. and J.W. Wankowski. 1980. The biology, population dynamics and fisheries dynamics of exploited stocks of three baitfish species: *Stolephorus heterolobus*, *S. devisi* and *Spratelloides gracilis* in Ysabel Passage, New Ireland Province. PNG Department of Primary Industry (Port Moresby) Res. Bull. 22, 124 p.
- ⁹De Crosta, M.A., L.R. Taylor and J.D. Parrish. 1983. Age determination, growth and energetics of three (3) species of carcharinid sharks in Hawaii. Proc. Res. Inves. NWHI-UNIH/Seagrant - MR-84-01(2):75-95.
- ¹⁰Eschmeyer W. 1990. Catalog of the genera of recent fishes. California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco. 697 p.
- ¹¹Gajele, R. 1980. Length-weight relationships and relative condition of the rabbitfish, *Siganus corallinus* in Mauritius. R. Mauritius Inst. Bull. 9(1):23-30.
- ¹²Hoedt, F.E. 1984. Aspects of the biology of anchovies from the waters of Cleveland Bay, Townsville. James Cook University, Townsville.

- 77 p. B.Sc. thesis.
- ¹³Kulbicki, M. 1988. Patterns in the trophic structure of fish populations across the S.W. lagoon of New Caledonia, p. 89-94. In Proceedings of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium. Vol. 2, Townsville Australia.
- ¹⁴Kulbicki, M., P. Doherty, J.E. Randall, G. Bargibant, J.L. Menou, G. Mou Tham and P. Tirard. 1990. La campagne CORAIL 1 du N.O. Coriolis aux îles Chesterfield (du 15 août au 4 septembre 1988): données préliminaires sur les peuplements ichthyologiques. Rapp. Sci. Tech. Biol. Mar. (ORSTOM, Nouméa, New Caledonia) 57, 88 p.
- ¹⁵Kulbicki, M. and L. Wantiez. 1990. Variations in the catch composition in the Bay of St. Vincent, New Caledonia, as determined by experimental trawling. Aust. J. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 41(1):121-144.
- ¹⁶Kulbicki, M., P. Thollot and L. Wantiez. Life-history strategies of fish assemblages from reef, soft bottom and mangroves from New Caledonia. Proceedings of the 7th International Coral Reef Symposium, 22-26 June 1992, Guam. (In press).
- ¹⁷Loubens, G. 1980. Biologie de quelques espèces de poissons du lagon néo-calédonien III - Croissance. Cahiers Indo-Pac. 2(2):101-153.
- ¹⁸Palomares, M.L.D. and C.R. Pagdila. 1986. Estimating the food consumption per unit biomass of a population of *Epinephelus fuscoguttatus*. In Contributions to tropical fisheries biology. FAO Fish. Rep. 389:432-442.
- ¹⁹Ralston, S. 1988. Length-weight regression and condition indices of lutjanids and other deep slope fishes from Mariana Archipelago. Micronesica 21:189-197.
- ²⁰Rivatón, J., P. Fourmanoir, P. Bourret and M. Kulbicki. 1989. Checklist of fishes from New Caledonia. Catalogues Sciences de la Mer, ORSTOM, Nouméa, New Caledonia. 170 p.
- ²¹Schroeder, R.E. 1982. Length-weight relationships of fishes from Honda Bay, Palawan, Philippines. Fish. Res. J. Philipp. 7(2):50-53.
- ²²Smith, A. and P. Dalzell. 1992. Fisheries resources and management investigations in Woleai Atoll, Yap State, Federated States of Micronesia. South Pacific Commission, Nouméa, New Caledonia. Preliminary report. 80 p.
- ²³Sousa, M.I. 1983. Relatório do cruzeiro realizado no Banco de Sofala pelo navis "Pantikapey" de 7 a 23 Junho de 1981: peixes pelagicos e fauna acompanhante de carapau e cavala. Rev. Invest. Pesq. (Maputo) 4:33-66.
- ²⁴Stevens, J.D. and J.M. Lyle. 1990. Biology of three hammerhead sharks (*Euphyra blochii*, *Sphyrna mokarran* and *S. lewini*) from Northern Australia. Aust. J. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 40:129-146.
- ²⁵Stevens, J.D. and K.J. McLoughlin. 1991. Distribution, size and sex composition, reproductive biology and diet of sharks from Northern Australia. Aust. J. Mar. Freshwat. Res. 42:151-199.
- ²⁶Tampubolon, G.H. 1986. Growth and mortality estimation of Indian mackerel (*Rastrelliger kanagurta*) in the Malacca strait, Indonesia. In Contributions to tropical fisheries biology. FAO Fish. Rep. 389:372-384.
- ²⁷Thollot, P. 1992. Les poissons de mangrove du lagon sud-ouest de Nouvelle-Calédonie. University of Aix-Marseille II, France. 405 p. Ph.D. thesis.
- ²⁸Tobias, W.J. 1976. Ecology of *Siganus argenteus* in relation to its mariculture potential on Guam. In Studies on the genus *Siganus* in Guam waters. University of Guam Marine Laboratory Technical Report 29:58-93.
- ²⁹Uchida, R.N. and U. Uchiyama. 1986. Fishery atlas of the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. NOAA Tech. Rep. NMFS 39, 142 p.
- ³⁰Uchiyama, J.H., S.H. Kuba and D.T. Tagam. 1984. Length-weight and standard-fork length relationships of deepsea handline fishes from the northwestern Hawaiian islands. Proc. Res. Inves. NWHI-UNIH/Seagrant-MR-84-01(2):209-225.

M. KULBICKI, G. MOUTHAM, P. THOLLOT, L. WANTIEZ
are from Institut Français de Recherche Scientifique pour le Développement
en Cooperation (ORSTOM), BP A 5 Nouméa, New Caledonia.

On Comparing Groups of Fishes Based on Length-Weight Relationships

CHARLES W. CAILLOUET, JR.

Abstract

F. Torres, Jr., in a 1991 *Fishbyte* article, presented length-weight relationships derived from 122 graphs in van der Elst's 1981 *A guide to the common sea fishes of southern Africa*. This author analyzes Torres's tabulated results to determine whether or not a , b , $\ln a$, L_{\max} and $\ln L_{\max}$ were correlated. Highly significant ($P < 0.01$) negative correlations between b and $\ln a$ ($r = -0.868$) and between $\ln a$ and $\ln L_{\max}$ ($r = -0.276$) were detected. Thus, Torres's mean of b for this sample of 122 species may have been influenced not only by the species composition of the sample, but also by the range in size of individuals of each species.

Introduction

For each of 122 species from 93 genera and 44 families of marine fishes, Torres (1991) extracted four weight (W , in kg) and length (L , in cm) data pairs from L-W graphs presented by van der Elst (1981). Using least-squares regression, of the form $\log_{10} W = \log_{10} a + b \log_{10} L$, to fit the L-W relationship, Torres (1991) estimated b and a for each species, then tabulated these estimates along with the maximum size (L_{\max} , in cm) of each species. He conducted a Student's t-test to compare the mean $\bar{b} = 2.88$, of this sample of 122 species with 3, the average b reported for different multispecies samples of fishes by Carlander (1969) and Cinco (1982). Coincidentally, 3 also is the expected

value of b when growth in W and L is isometric (Beyer 1987; Cone 1989; Beyer 1991).

Using Torres's (1991) tabulated results for his 122-species sample of marine fishes, I examined the frequency distributions of b , a , $\ln a$, L_{\max} and $\ln L_{\max}$ to determine which if any were normal. I then examined all possible bivariate, product-moment correlations among b , a , $\ln a$, L_{\max} and $\ln L_{\max}$ to determine if any were significant.

Materials and Methods

I extracted b , a and L_{\max} data for each of the 122 species of marine fishes from Torres's (1991) tabulation, and conducted univariate analyses of b , a , $\ln a$, L_{\max} and $\ln L_{\max}$. I then conducted product-moment correlation analyses to examine all bivariate relationships among b , a , $\ln a$, L_{\max} and $\ln L_{\max}$.

Results and Discussion

Descriptive statistics for b , a , $\ln a$, L_{\max} and $\ln L_{\max}$ are presented in Table 1. The distributions of b , $\ln a$ and $\ln L_{\max}$ were normal, as indicated by high values of the Shapiro-Wilk statistic (Shapiro and Wilk 1965), W , and skewness and kurtosis coefficients approaching 0, but the distributions of