## 348 A

Ennerdale Water: A Pilot Study



NRA/NW/FTR/92/2

## Arctic Charr From Ennerdale Water: A Pilot study

M.A. Farooqi, S.A. Nicholson, S.R. Douglas, and M.W. Aprahamian

## Summary

(1) Samples of Arctic charr were obtained from Smithy beck and the River Liza during the spawning period using fyke nets. Smithy Beck was sampled on three occasions (18 - 20/11/91) and the Liza once (20/11/91). These streams are the only known spawning sites of charr from Ennerdale Water.
(2) A total of 161 fish (95 males and 66 females) was caught and tagged using 'visual implant tags'; 141 from Smithy Beck and 20 from the Liza.
(3) The sex ratio of the sample of the spawning stock was found to differ between the two streams. Chi squared analysis revealed a significant difference from a 1 : 1 sex ratio with the exception of the sample obtained on the 18/11/91. Males were more abundant than females in Smithy Beck (overall ratio $1.9: 1.0$ ) and vice versa in the Liza (1.0 : 2.5).
(4) Although age determination was not carried out it was inferred from the data of Partington and Mills (1988) that the majority of fish involved in the spawning migration were aged between 5 and 8 years.
(5) The number of fish caught was lower than that expected during the spawning period in relation to observations made in the past (D. Pearson pers. comm.). In addition, a large number of fish (males and females) were found to make successive spawning runs over the study period.
(6) The results of this pilot study indicate that further research is required in order to fully assess the status of the charr population as a whole.
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## Arctic Charr From Ennerdale Water: A Pilot Study

## 1. Introduction

One of the key objectives stated in the NRA Corporate Plan with respect to fisheries is the assessment of the status of fish stocks and to formulate policies to maintain, improve and develop them. The NRA is also responsible for the conservation of flora and fauna dependent on the aquatic environment (Water Resources Act, 1991). This can only be achieved if adequate information on the biology and ecology of the species present is available.

There are three species in the North West, Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus, L.), schelly (Coregonus lavaretus, L.), and vendace (C. albula, L.), which Maitland and Lyle (1991) have reported as rare and vulnerable and have been identified as requiring the preparation and implementation of a conservation management plan. The coregonids are currently being studied by the Institute of Freshwater Ecology (project number D01(90)2 249).

The Arctic charr of the British Isles are relicts of the Ice Age. During that period of time the lakes they inhabited were isolated from the sea and the anadromous characteristics of the fish were lost such that after the retreat of the ice cap the species remained isolated. Thus the population of each lake has developed a certain degree of stock individuality, and thus has its own intrinsic value.

The presence of Arctic charr in Ennerdale Water has resulted in it being designated as a Site of Special Scientific Interest (L. Oliver pers. comm.). These fish and one race of the species in Lake Windermere are the only English populations of charr known to spawn in running water (Partington and Mills, 1988).

Associated with Ennerdale charr is the copepod parasite Salmincola edwardsii which has not been recorded from any other charr inhabited waters of the Lake District. However, it has been recorded on charr from four scottish Lochs (Stack, Lee, Tay and Doon). The unique nature of Ennerdale is further highlighted by the presence of two crustaceans, Mysis relicta and Limnocalanus macrurus. The former has been recorded in Ireland while the latter is not known to exist anywhere else in the British Isles (Fryer, 1981).

The aim of this pilot study was to obtain baseline data on charr that spawn in Smithy Beck and the River Liza. This would indicate the current status of the population and help identify areas requiring further investigation.

Ennerdale charr are known to ascend two tributaries which drain into Ennerdale Water (the River Liza and Smithy Beck), over a 10-14 day period to spawn. This occurs during November and takes place at night. The fish are believed to return to the lake during the day.

## 2. Description of the study area

Ennerdale Water is situated in West Cumbria at an altitude of 112 m , with a surface area of 300 ha and a maximum depth of 42 m (Maitland and Lyle, 1991) (Fig. 1).

This study focussed on the River Liza and Smithy Beck which are the only known spawning sites of Arctic charr from Ennerdale Water. The River Liza is the main feeder stream which rises from an altitude of 550 m (N.G.R. NY 212107) and runs for approximately 10 km before entering Ennerdale Water. Smithy Beck (N.G.R. NY 132162) rises on Gale Fell at an altitude of 450 m and flows for a distance of 2.5 km before discharging into the lake. For most of their lengths the Liza and Smithy Beck flow through coniferous woodland which also extends to border on the north west and south west shore of Ennerdale.

In Smithy Beck the spawning ground is limited to a stretch approximately 300 m upstream of the lake due to the presence of an impassable waterfall. In the River Liza most of the spawning occurs over a 1.3 km section upstream of the lake to the 'Irish Bridge'. Very few charr have been seen above the 'Irish Bridge' during the spawning period.

The River Ehen flows out of Ennerdale, travelling a distance of approximately 25 km before entering the Irish Sea.

The Liza and Smithy catchment as well as a considerable proportion of the land surrounding Ennerdale Water consists of igneous rocks (tuffs and granite) which account for the oligotrophic nature of the lake. The rest of the area is of ordivician sedimentary rock and some drift material.

## 3. Methods

Sampling was carried out at night on three successive occasions during the spawning period; 18, 19 and 20/11/91. Smithy Beck was sampled on all three nights and the Liza once (20/11/91).

On each evening during the sampling period a visual inspection of both streams was conducted to assess the size of the spawning run and to determine the time to set the fyke nets. After allowing the fish to ascend the stream up to three fyke nets were set in parallel across the stream. The fyke nets were re-examined within 3 hours

Fig. 1 The Sampling Sites at Ennerdale Water

and the sample collected. The nets were set a maximum of 3 times in one night. On Smithy Beck and the River Liza the fyke nets were situated approximately 10 m and 300 m upstream of the Lake, respectively.

In Smithy Beck (which was very shallow, $15-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) the charr were encouraged using torches to swim downstream into the fyke nets. This 'herding' technique was used on all three sampling occasions. In the Liza a passive approach was used where the fish were allowed to swim into the fyke nets on their return journey to the lake.

All the fish collected were anaesthetised (using phenoxyethanol), sexed and their fork length measured to the nearest 0.5 cm below. An attempt was made to collect scale samples for age determination however they proved too difficult to remove. Each fish was marked with a visual implant tag (carrying an identification number) which was inserted in the clear tissue behind the eye. The adipose fin of tagged fish was removed.

## 4. Results

A total of 161 fish (95 males and 66 females) was caught and tagged over the 3 day period, 141 from Smithy Beck and 20 from the Liza. The sex ratios are shown in Table 1, and the catch and recapture data in Tables 2 and 3 respectively, and in Fig. 2. The raw data is presented in Appendices 1 and 2. Length frequency histograms for the fish from Smithy Beck and the Liza are shown in Figs. 3 and 4 respectively.

Catch rates were not calculated because the nature of the study was investigatory and as such the methodology was allowed to vary.

### 4.1 Smithy Beck

In Smithy Beck 85 fish were caught and tagged on the first night of sampling (18/11/91). Of these 51 were male and 34 female, a sex ratio of $1.5: 1.0$ (Tables 1 and 2).

On the $19 / 11 / 9136$ fish were caught with a sex ratio of 3.0 males : 1.0 female, however $47 \%$ of these fish were recaptures from the previous night. The recaptured fish consisted of $41 \%$ males and $67 \%$ females.

A total of 64 fish was caught on $20 / 11 / 91$ with a sex ratio of 1.9 males $: 1.0$ female. Of these fish $42 \%$ were recaptures. The proportion of males and females recaptured were $48 \%$ and $32 \%$ respectively. Six of the fish tagged on 18/11/91 were recaptured on 19/11/91 and again on $20 / 11 / 91 ; 5$ males and 1 female (Fig. 2).

TABLE 1. SEX RAT10 OF SPAWHING \$TOCK (1991)

| DATE | SITE | MALES | F | FEMALES | CHI SQUARED VALUE | SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE (5\% LEVEL) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18 / 11 / 91$ | SMITHY | 1.5 | $:$ | 1.0 | 3.40 | HO |
| $19 / 11 / 91$ | SMITHY | 3.0 | $:$ | 1.0 | 9.00 | YES |
| $20 / 11 / 91$ | SNITHY | 1.9 | $:$ | 1.0 | 6.25 | YES |
| $20 / 11 / 91$ | LIZA | 1.0 | $:$ | 2.5 | 3.86 | YES |
| OVERALL | SMITHY | 1.9 | $:$ | 1.0 |  |  |
| RATYOS | LIZA | 1.0 | $:$ | 2.5 |  |  |

IABLE_2. CATCH STATISTICS (The percentage composition of recaptured fish is shown in parenthesis)

| DATE | SITE | SAMPLE <br> No. | MALES <br> ก |  | FEMALES <br> n |  | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18/11/91 | SMITHY | 1 | 29 |  | 13 |  | 42 |
|  |  | 2 | 17 |  | 13 |  | 30 |
|  |  | 3 | 5 |  | 8 |  | 13 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 51 |  | 34 |  | 85 |
| 19/11/91 | SMITHY | 1 |  | (50) | 5 | (40) | 13 (46) |
|  |  | 2 |  | (27) |  | (100) | 12 (33) |
|  |  | 3 |  | (50) | 3 | (100) | 11 (64) |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | (41) | 9 | (67) | 36 (47) |
| 20/11/91 | SMITHY | 1 |  | (50) | . 11 | (27) | 33 (42) |
|  |  | 2 | 20 | (45) | 11 | (36) | 31 (42) |
| TOTAL |  |  | 42 | (48) | 22 | (32) | 64 (42) |
| 20/11/91 | LIZA | 1 | 6 |  | 15 | (1)* | 21 |
| YOTAL |  |  | 126 |  | 80 |  | 206 |

## TABLE 3. FISH RECAPTURES

|  | MALES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOt Cat | Recap 1 | Recap 2 | FEMALES |  |  |  |  |
| Tot Cat | Recap 1 | Recap 2 | TOTAL |  |  |  |  |
| $18 / 11 / 91(S)$ | 51 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 85 |
| $19 / 11 / 91(S)$ | 27 | 11 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | $36(47)$ |
| $20 / 11 / 91(S)$ | $42 \$$ | 14 | 3 | 22 | 7 | 0 | $64(42)$ |
| $20 / 11 / 91(L)$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 45 | $1^{*}$ | 0 | 21 |
| Total | 126 | 25 | 3 | 80 | 14 | 0 | 206 |

[^0]Fig. 2 Flow diagrams indicating number of recaptured $\ddagger$ ish in Smithy Beck
(a) Males and femates combined

(b) Males

(c) Females


### 4.2 River Liza

On the $20 / 11 / 9121$ fish were caught in the Liza (one of these was a tagged fish first caught in Smithy Beck on the $18 / 11 / 91$ ). In contrast to Smithy Beck females were more abundant than males (1.0 male : 2.5 females).

### 4.3 Length frequency distribution

It was not possible to determine age classes from the length frequency distribution pattern (Figs. 3 and 4). However, some indication of the age of these fish is provided by reference to the work of Partington and Mills (1988). They give mean length at age for a sample of Ennerdale charr (Appendix 3). From this it can be inferred that the majority of spawning fish in the sample taken during the 1991 survey were between 5 and 8 years old (27-32cm).

There was some evidence in the data obtained from Smithy Beck to suggest that males mature before females (Fig. 3), however, a larger sample size is necessary to test whether this is significant.

### 4.4 Tag retention

During the course of the study 161 fish were tagged and of these 38 were recaptured. Of the 38 fish recaptured $92 \%$ had retained their tag, those which had lost their tag were retagged (Appendix 1).

## 5. Discussion

The size composition of the spawning stock was similar to that obtained by Partington and Mills (1988) with the majority of fish being between $27-32 \mathrm{~cm}$; between 5 and 8 years old. However, smaller fish were not recorded in their study, but they were evident in the 1991 survey, the smallest of which was 22 cm in length.

With the exception of the first night of sampling at Smithy Beck (18/11/91) the sex ratio of the fish from both streams differed significantly from a 1 : 1 ratio at a 5\% level of significance (Table 1). The male : female ratio of the fish from Smithy Beck was found to be 1.9 : 1.0. The reverse was found at the Liza where the male : female ratio was 1.0 : 2.5. If females are first to leave the spawning ground this may explain their greater numbers in the Liza sample. The results from the two streams are not comparable because of the differences in the sampling technique.

An interesting feature of the results is the number of repeat spawners recorded in Smithy Beck during the study. On the $19 / 11 / 91$ the sex ratio of the repeat spawners was 1.8 males : 1.0 females and on the $20 / 11 / 91$ the ratio was 2.9 males : 1.0 females. It is not clear whether the

Flg. 3 Length Frequency Dlstribution Of Charr Smithy Beck (1991)


Flg. 4 Length Frequency Dlstribution Of Charr The River Liza (1991)

presence of repeat spawners is their natural pattern of behaviour or was a consequence of the sampling technique which may have inhibited fish from spawning at their first attempt.

The number of fish involved in the spawning migration during the study period was considerably less than that expected from observations of the spawning period in previous years. This was most striking in the River Liza (D. Pearson pers. comm.) where so few fish were seen to ascend the river on the 18 and $19 / 11 / 91$ that it was decided to concentrate sampling effort on Smithy Beck for those two occasions.

As information on the ecology of charr in Ennerdale water is extremely limited it is not possible to say whether the low numbers recorded in the Liza and Smithy Beck during this study are representative of the status of the spawning population.

It is possible that spawning occurs along the shore of Ennerdale Water as well as in the two afferent streams investigated, as is the case in Windermere (Frost, 1965), but there is no data to verify this. Even if this is the case these results could still imply that a component of the spawning stock may be under stress.

It should be noted that for a number of days prior to the sampling period high flows were observed in the River Liza and Smithy Beck. It may be the case that most of the spawning activity took place at that time and what was recorded during the study was the final few days of the spawning period.

Acidification is one of the main threats to Arctic charr populations. The elimination of charr from the Scottish Lochs Granoch and Dungeon has been attributed to this phenomenon (Maitland et al., 1991). In the River Liza biological surveys conducted in 1978, 1980, 1982, and 1985 showed that the invertebrate fauna was typical of acidified oligotrophic conditions (Prigg, 1986). Subsequent surveys (1987-1991) suggest similar conditions prevail with an absence or low relative abundance of the indicator species Baetis rhodani.

Stream acidity can be enhanced due to the presence of coniferous woodland by the concentration of atmospheric acid deposition (Hornung et al., 1989; Weatherley et al., 1989) and this may be a factor in the Liza catchment.

## 6. Conclusion

The results of this pilot study demonstrate that a more detailed investigation is necessary to determine the status of charr in Ennerdale Water and to obtain basic biological information.

The comparatively low numbers of charr caught during the survey may be of some concern, however, it is quite possible that the peak of the migratory period was missed and these results are merely a reflection of this.

Further research is necessary to ensure the survival of this unique population. Partington and Mills's (1988) study showed that of the eight Cumbrian lakes studied, the charr in Ennerdale stood out on morphological, meristic and genetic characters, having a rapid growth rate compared with other populations of charr despite the oligotrophic environment.

## 7. Recommendations

(1) To obtain basic biological information such as age, growth, diet, fecundity, age at first spawning, and number of spawnings.
(2) To determine whether water quality over the period from spawning to emergence is effecting egg to alevin survival in the Liza and Smithy Beck.

This would involve continuous water quality monitoring, particularly pH associated with egg box trials.
(3) A follow up survey should rely on a 'passive' fyke netting strategy similar to that employed in the River Liza. The fyke nets could be arranged so that upstream and downstream migrants are sampled. This would also give an accurate estimate of the period of residency in the stream.
(4) To continue with the tagging programme in conjunction with panjetting for fish in both streams so that lost tags do not result in a loss of information on matters such as stream specificity of the spawning population.

Such work would also give an indication of mortality rates if carried out over more than one year.
(5) To investigate the fate of charr fry from emergence to emigration into the lake. This may be achieved by the use of fine meshed nets to obtain samples of the juveniles.

It may be useful to investigate areas of the lake around the Liza and Smithy Beck at emigration to see if the juveniles are subjected to predation e.g. by brown trout.
(6) To make an assessment of the population size (spawning and non-spawning stock) and its distribution in the lake before and during the spawning period by the use of hydroacoustics. This work has already been initiated by the Northern Area Fisheries Staff (Douglas, S.R. in prep.).
(7) To determine whether the charr observed in the River Liza and Smithy Beck are distinct spawning populations.
(8) To make an approach to IFE regarding the availability of any unpublished data on Ennerdale charr.
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Sex, length and tag number of charr recorded in Smithy Beck (1991)

| Date | Sex | Length (cm) | Tag mumber | Sex | Length (cm) | Tag_Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18/11/91 | Mate | 30.5 | K00 | Male | 28.5 | K52 |
|  | Male | 24.5 | K01 | Female | 28.5 | K53 |
|  | Male | 30.5 | K02 | Female | 27.0 | K54 |
|  | Male | 31.0 | K03 | Female | 28.5 | K55 |
|  | Male | 34.5 | K04 | Female | 27.5 | K56 |
|  | Female | 29.0 | K05 | Male | 30.0 | $\times 57$ |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K06 | Male | 24.0 | K58 |
|  | Femate | 28.0 | K07 | Male | 27.5 | K59 |
|  | Femate | 29.5 | K08 | Femate | 28.0 | K60 |
|  | Femate | 29.5 | K09 | Femate | 29.0 | K61 |
|  | Female | 29.0 | K10 | Mate | 28.5 | K62 |
|  | Female | 29.0 | K11 | Female | 34.0 | K63 |
|  | Mate | 31.0 | K12 | Male | 29.0 | K64 |
|  | Male | 27.0 | K13 | Male | 28.5 | K65 |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K14 | Male | 27.5 | K66 |
|  | Femate | 29.5 | K15 | Female | 27.5 | K67 |
|  | Male | 34.5 | K16 | Female | 27.5 | K68 |
|  | Male | 27.5 | K17 | Male | 25.0 | K69 |
|  | Male | 31.5 | K18 | Female | 28.0 | $\times 70$ |
|  | Male | 30.5 | K19 | Male | 31.5 | K71 |
|  | Female | 30.0 | K20 | Male | 21.5 | K72 |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K21 | Female | 28.5 | K73 |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K22 | Female | 28.0 | K74 |
|  | Mate | 31.5 | K23 | Male | 30.0 | K75 |
|  | Femate | 28.0 | K24 | Male | 31.0 | K76 |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K25 | Female | 28.5 | K77 |
|  | Female | 28.5 | K26 | Female | 26.5 | K78 |
|  | Mate | 31.0 | K27 | Male | 29.5 | K79 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | K28 | Male | 30.5 | K80 |
|  | Female | 28.5 | K29 | Female | 27.0 | K81 |
|  | Male | 27.5 | K30 | Female | 30.0 | K82 |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K31 | Femate | 30.5 | K83 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | K32 | Female | 28.5 | K84 |
|  | Male | 24.0 | K33 |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 29.5 | K34 |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 30.0 | K35 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K36 |  |  |  |
|  | Mate | 30.0 | K37 |  |  |  |
|  | Mate | 29.5 | K38 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 31.5 | K39 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 27.0 | K40 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K41 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 28.5 | $\times 42$ |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 30.5 | K43 |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 28.0 | K44 |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 26.5 | K45 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 30.5 | $\times 46$ |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 29.5 | $\times 47$ |  | . |  |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K48 |  |  |  |
|  | Mate | 30.5 | K49 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 30.5 | K50 |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 28.5 | K51 |  |  |  |


| Date | Sex | Length (cm) | Jag number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19/11/91 | Mate | 33.0 | K85 |
|  | Female | 29.0 | K86 |
|  | Male | 27.0 | K87 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | K88 |
|  | Male | 31.0 | $\times 89$ |
|  | female | 29.5 | K90 |
|  | female | 28.0 | K91 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | K92 |
|  | Male | 28.0 | K93 |
|  | Male | 32.0 | K94 |
|  | Male | 32.0 | K95 |
|  | Male | 30.5 | K96 |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K97 |
|  | Male | 28.0 | K98 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | K99 |
|  | Male | 32.0 | KFO |
|  | Male | 31.5 | KF1 |
|  | Male | 29.5 | KF2 |
|  | Male | 25.0 | KF3 |
|  | Male | 34.5 | K04 * |
|  | Female | 28.0 | $K 07$ * |
|  | Female | 29.0 | K11 * |
|  | Male | 27.0 | K13 * |
|  | Male | 34.5 | K16 * |
|  | Male | 31.5 | K18* |
|  | Female | 28.0 | K24 * |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K25 * |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K4; * |
|  | Male | 30.5 | K49 * |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K52 * |
|  | Fernale | 28.5 | K55 * |
|  | Female | 27.5 | K56 * |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K62 * |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K65 * |
|  | Female | 27.5 | K68 * |
|  | Male | 31.0 | K76 * |


| Date | Sex | length (cm) | Tag number | Sex | Length (cm) | Iog number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20/11/91 | Male | 30.5 | KF5 | Male | 26.0 | KJ8 |
|  | Male | 32.0 | KF6 | Nale | 27.0 | KJ9 |
|  | Female | 28.0 | KF7 | Male | 31.0 | KK1 |
|  | Male | 32.5 | KF8 | Female | 29.5 | KK2 |
|  | Female | 27.5 | KF9 | Mate | 30.5 | KK3 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | KHO | Femele | 27.5 | KK4 |
|  | Female | 29.0 | KH1 | Male | 31.0 | KKS |
|  | Male | 31.0 | KH2 | Male | 29.0 | KK7 |
|  | female | 29.0 | KH3 | Fermale | 28.0 | KK8 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | KH4 | Fernale | 29.0 | KK9 |
|  | Female | 28.5 | KH5 | Male | 26.5 | KLO |
|  | Male | 32.5 | XH6 | Male | 27.5 | KL1 |
|  | Male | 28.5 | KH7 | Mate | 27.0 | KL2 |
|  | Male | 26.5 | KH8 | Male | 29.5 | KL3 |
|  | Female | 32.0 | KH9 | Male | 30.0 * | Retagged as KKO |
|  | Fernale | 27.5 | KJo | Male | 31.0 | Retagged as KK6 |
|  | Male | 33.0 | K. 11 | Male | 30.5 | K02 * |
|  | Male | 29.5 | KJ2 | Mate | 27.0 | K13 ** |
|  | Female | 29.0 | K 53 | female | 28.0 | K24 ** |
|  | Male | 34.0 * | Retagged as KF4 | Male | 30.0 | K32 * |
|  | Female | 29.5 | K08 * | Female | 30.0 | K35 * |
|  | Male | 31.0 | K12 * | Male | 30.5 | K43 * |
|  | Mate | 29.5 | K14 * | Fenale | 26.5 | K45 * |
|  | Male | 31.5 | K18 ** | Male | 29.5 | K48* |
|  | Female | 28.5 | K29 * | Male | 28.5 | K52 ** |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K42 * | Female | 28.5 | K53 * |
|  | Male | 27.5 | K59 * | Male | 30.0 | K92 * |
|  | Mate | 28.5 | K62 ** |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 28.5 | K65 ** |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 27.5 | K67 * |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 29.5 | K79 * |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 27.0 | K87 * |  |  |  |
|  | Male | 31.0 | K89 * |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 28.0 | KJ4 |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 27.5 | KJ5 |  |  |  |
|  | Male | $3 \uparrow .5$ | KJ6 |  |  |  |
|  | Female | 28.5 | KJ7 |  |  |  |

[^1]** Recaptured twice

Sex, length and tag number of charr secorded in the River Liza (1991)

| Date | Sex | Length (cm) | Tag number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20/11/91 | Female | 28.0 | KL4 |
|  | Female | 30.0 | KL5 |
|  | Fenale | 25.0 | KL6 |
|  | Male | 28.5 | KL7 |
|  | Male | 30.0 | KL8 |
|  | Femate | 26.0 | KL9 |
|  | Femate | 30.0 | KAO |
|  | Male | 31.5 | KA1 |
|  | Female | 29.0 | KA2 |
|  | Male | 31.5 | KA3 |
|  | Femate | 28.5 | KA4 |
|  | Mate | 29.5 | KA5 |
|  | Femate | 29.5 | KA6 |
|  | Femate | 29.0 | KA7 |
|  | Female | 31.0 | KAB |
|  | Male | 31.5 | KA9 |
|  | Female | 28.0 | KBO |
| . | Female | 29.0 | KB1 |
|  | Femate | 29.0 | K82 |
|  | Female | 29.0 | KB3 |
|  | Female | 26.5 | K78 * |

[^2]Appendix 3 Length at age data for charr (Partington and Mills, 1988)

Table 1. Mean lengths (mm) and numbers (in parentheses) of aged charr from eleven Lake District and one Welsh populations

| Population | Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Buttermere | 139(1) | 221(2) | 242(5) | 271(5) | 300(9) | 301(6) | 304(3) |  | 326(2) |  |  |
| Coniston Water |  | 151(1) | 207(4) | 254(12) | 272(19) | 288(17) | 296(18) | 299(3) | 299(2) | 329(1) |  |
| Crummock Water |  | 116(4) | 160(8) | 187(19) | 227(4) | 251 (8) | $261(1)$ | 260(1) |  |  |  |
| Llyn Cwellyn | 113(1) | 164(3) | 182(7) | 200(7) | 218(1) | 219(3) |  |  | 241(1) |  |  |
| Ennerdate |  |  |  | 270(2) | 288(20) | 304(41) | 317(19) | 339(3) | 392(1) |  |  |
| Haweswater | 138(1) | 155(1) | 217(7) | 236(19) | 251(4) | 270(4) | 252(1) |  |  |  |  |
| Thirlmere | $122(4)$ | 162(14) | $182(6)$ | 209 (7) | 225(6) | 235(3) | 234(4) | 239(2) | 238(2) |  | 248(1) |
| Wastwater | 117(16) | 140(15) | 171(4) | 185(7) | 187(1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WNBA* |  |  | 234(3) | 244(20) | 264(14) | 281(21) | 288(13) | 298(16) |  | 303(1) |  |
| WNBS* | . |  |  | $251(6)$ | 291 (5) | $305(10)$ | $317(31)$ | $332(30)$ | 340(13) | 346(6) |  |
| WSBA* |  |  |  | 288(10) | 300(28) | $319(10)$ | 327(7) | $335(8)$ | 352(7) | $347(2)$ | 363(1) |
| WSBS* |  |  |  | 291(2) | 307(3) | 329(5) | 340(20) | 347(17) | 355(4) | 354(3) |  |

*Windermere populations; see Materials and Melhods section for abbreviations.


[^0]:    $\$=3$ male $\mathbf{f i s h}$ lost their tags and were retagged
    N.B. Tot Cat $=$ Total Catch

    Recap $;=$ Recaptured fish first caught on 18/11/91
    Recap $2=$ Recaptured fish first caught on 19/11/91

    * = Fish first caught and tagged in Smithy Beck
    $(S)=$ Smithy Beck
    (L) = River Liza

[^1]:    * Recaptured fish

[^2]:    * Recaptured fish first observed in Smithy Beck

