COASTAL PLANT GROWTH AND CO₂ ENRICHMENT: CAN THE PRODUCTIVITY OF BLACK NEEDLE RUSH KEEP PACE WITH SEA LEVEL RISE?

Brant W. Touchette,* Mariana M. R. Poole, Mica D. McCullough, Gracen A. Smith, and Emily C. Adams

Introduction

The rate of sea level change has varied considerably over geological time, with rapid increases $(0.25 \text{ cm yr}^{-1})$ at the end of the last ice age to more modest increases over the last 4,000 years $(0.04 \text{ cm yr}^{-1})$; Hendry 1993). Due to anthropogenic contributions to climate change, however, the rate of sea level rise is expected to increase between 0.10 and 0.25 cm year⁻¹ for many coastal areas (Warrick et al. 1996). Notwithstanding, it has been predicted that over the next 100 years, sea levels along the northeastern coast of North Carolina may increase by an astonishing 0.8 m (0.8 cm yr^{-1}) ; through a combination of sea-level rise and coastal subsidence (Titus and Richman 2001; Parham et al. 2006). As North Carolina ranks third in the United States with land at or just above sea level, any additional sea rise may promote further deterioration of vital coastal wetland systems.

Sea level change has been associated with wetland decline and deterioration in coastal regions throughout the United States. In New England tidal marshes, for example, areas dominated by *Juncus gerardi* in the late 1940's, were replaced by forbs over a 40-year period (Warren and Niering 1993). This displacement of *Juncus* was observed in areas where the mean surface elevations were lowest and marsh accretion rates were minimal (ca. 0.1 cm yr⁻¹). In contrast, some *Juncus* and *Spartina patens* marshes remained unaffected by rising seas, as they were able to increase surface elevations (through depositional accretions of peat) at rates consistent with proximal sea-level rise (between 0.2 and 0.25 cm yr⁻¹). It would appear that the ability of a marsh to accumulate peat is to some degree dependent on autochthonous productivity, wherein higher productivities often yield higher biogenic accretions rates (Cherry et al. 2009).

It has been demonstrated that exposure to elevated atmospheric CO₂ levels will increase growth in many terrestrial and aquatic C₃ macrophytes (e.g., Curtis et al. 1990; Arp et al. 1993; Marsh et al. 2005). Elevated growth due to CO₂ enrichment may be short lived or long-term; depending on prevailing environmental conditions, with soil nutrients as a primary factor limiting sustained elevated growth (Erickson et al. 2007). Nevertheless, many minerotrophic wetlands maintain comparatively high soil nutrients, and it is believed that salinity may be a major contributory factor controlling plant growth; even during CO₂ enrichment (Arp et al. 1993; Touchette et al. 2009). It is possible that elevated CO₂ can promote accelerated productivity in the coastal marsh plant black needle rush (*Juncus roemerianus* Scheele), particularly along the upper marsh regions (or higher elevations) where soil salinities are negligible (Touchette 2006). Under such conditions, peat accumulations from *J. roemerianus* may be able to equal or even exceed sea-level rise. The objective of this study, therefore, was to compare primary productivity of *J. roemerianus* exposed to elevated atmospheric CO₂ (800 ppm) with plants receiving ambient CO₂ (380 ppm) conditions. While it was anticipated that CO₂ enrichment would have some stimulatory response, it was uncertain if this growth could be sustained over extended periods of time (months to years).

Material and Methods

In June 2008 mature seeds were collected from natural *J. roemerianus* populations along the White River (near Swansboro, NC). The seeds were immediately planted in standard 1020 flats (without holes) containing watersaturated soils fortified with slow-release fertilizer. Once germination began, the plants were immediately transplanted into experimental microcosms (with independent soil, water, and nutrient conditions) and placed into environmental growth chambers (BioChambers Inc., Winnipeg, Canada) that controlled temperature (25°C), light (12:12hr; light: dark cycles), humidity (75% RH), and CO_2 levels. A total of five microcosms (34 cm long, 20 wide, and 10 high) were randomly placed within each chamber. At monthly intervals, five plants from each microcosm were collected and evaluated for maximum height, maximum root length, leaf area, and total biomass. Monthly plant measurements collected from each microcosm were pooled into a single value to avoid replication within microcosms (i.e., psudoreplication).

Because all microcosms involving the same treatment are in the same chamber, it is important to note that no statistical distinction can be made between treatment and chamber. Nevertheless, Oksanen (2001) argues the merits



of such experimental designs when the scale (in this case an 18-month study) and complexity (environmental growth chambers) are unavoidably high. Moreover, such designs are not uncommon in environmental chamber experiments involving plants or animals. Therefore, with some prudence, we statistically evaluated the data using repeated-measures ANOVA (Proc GLM using SAS 9.1) with month as the repeated-measures factor and microcosm as a block within treatment. All comparisons were considered significant when p-values were less than 0.05.

Results and Discussion

The data illustrate that over the course of 18 months, all measured parameters were significantly higher in plants receiving elevated CO_2 (p<0.0001; Table 1). This includes an increase in overall plant height, total plant biomass, and total leaf area (Figure 1-A, -B, and -C). Although maximum root length also tended to be greater in treated plants, this measurement was considerably more variable over time with significant differences occurring somewhat sporadically (Figure 1-D). Furthermore, differences were often observed after considerable time. Differences in height, for example, were first observed 11 months into the study, and differences in leaf area and total biomass were recorded after 13 months.

Table 1. Repeated measures ANOVA tables for the effects of time (month) and CO_2 treatments (CO_2) on *Juncus roemarianus* biomass, height, root length, and leaf area.

Parameter (Source of Variation)	df	MS	F	р
Total Biomass				
CO2	1	0 1490	22.6	<0.0001
$Microcosm(CO_2)$	8	0.0078	1.2	0.3072
Month	14	0.1884	28.6	<0.0001
Month x CO_2	14	0.0220	3.4	0.0002
Error	112	0.0065		
Height				
CO ₂	1	41567	84.8	< 0.0001
Microcosm (CO ₂)	8	784	1.6	0.1314
Month	15	39781	81.2	< 0.0001
Month x CO ₂	15	1905	4.0	< 0.0001
Error	120	490		
Root Length				
CO_2	1	36814	40.8	< 0.0001
Microcosm (CO ₂)	8	1182	1.3	0.2452
Month	15	48589	53.8	< 0.0001
Month x CO ₂	15	2777	3.1	0.0003
Error	120	903		
Leaf Area				
CO_2	1	854.0	31.2	0.0001
Microcosm (CO ₂)	8	35.6	1.3	0.2511
Month	12	729.9	26.7	< 0.0001
Month x CO ₂	12	70.4	2.6	0.0052
Error	96	27.3		

Enhanced growth in coastal plants due to CO_2 enrichment is more pronounced in C_3 plants than C_4 (Curtis et al. 1990; Arp et al. 1993). This is consistent with our observations on the C_3 perennial *J. roemerianus* in this study. In the C_3 sedge *Scirpus olneyi*, for example, elevated atmospheric CO_2 levels resulted in higher shoot densities, delayed senescence, and an 83% increase in belowground tissue productivity (Curtis et al. 1990). In contrast, no significant increases in growth were observed in the C_4 grasses *Spartina patens* and *Distichlis spicata* receiving comparable CO_2 levels (Curtis 1990).

With respect to soil accretion, C_3 production may need to exceed 1,000 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ to increase the elevation by 1cm (Cherry et al. 2009). In this study, using the maximum observed production estimate of 0.2 g plant⁻¹ month⁻¹ and estimated 1,200 shoots per square meter (with ca. 16 shoots per plant; this study) along the upper marshes in coastal North Carolina (Touchette 2006), accretion estimates would be around 1.8 mm yr⁻¹. While this rate is in close approximation with other studies (e.g., often between 1 to 15 mm yr⁻¹ in another CO₂ enrichment study; Cherry et al.



2009), our approximation assumes no mass loss to herbivory, decomposition, and erosion, as well as no reductions in productivity during winter months when both temperature and light intensities are lower. Furthermore, this estimate is based on maximum observed growth and does not consider any decreases in growth as the plant approaches full maturity. Nevertheless, under optimal growing conditions and no coastal subsidence, *J. roemerianus* may theoretically be able to keep pace with the lower range of projected sea-level rise at 0.1 cm yr⁻¹. Clearly, further research is necessary to better determine the likelihood of *J. roemerianus* being able to keep pace with sea-level change.



Figure 1. Plant measurements for *Juncus roemerianus* grown in ambient CO_2 (open circles) and elevated CO_2 (solid circles). Measurements include maximum plant height (panel A), total plant biomass (panel B), leaf area per plant (panel C), and maximum root length (panel D). Significant differences for each sample date are indicted by an asterisks. Data are presented as means 1 standard error.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported through a research grant from the North Carolina Sea Grant. We are grateful to S. Russell and K. Rhodes (Elon University) for providing laboratory assistance. We are also indebted to Drs. C. Niedzela (Elon University) and W. Swallow (NC State University, Department of Statistics) for statistical counsel on interpreting environmental growth chamber data.

References

- Arp, W.J., B.G. Drake, W.T. Pockman, P.S. Curtis, and D.F. Whigham. 1993. Interactions between C₃ and C₄ salt marsh plant species during four years of exposure to elevated atmospheric CO₂. Vegetatio 104: 133-143.
- Cherry, J.A., K.L. McKee, and J.B. Grace. 2009. Elevated CO₂ enhances biological contributions to elevation change in coastal wetlands by offsetting stressors associated with sea-level rise. Journal of Ecology 97: 67-77.
- Curtis, P.S., L.M. Balduman, B.G. Drake, and D.F. Whigham. 1990. Elevated atmospheric CO₂ effects on belowground processes in C₃ and C₄ estuarine marsh communities. Ecology 71: 2001-2006.
- Erickson, J.E., J.P. Megonigal, G. Peresta, and B.G. Drake. 2007. Salinity and sea level mediate elevated CO_2 effects on $C_3 C_4$ plant interactions and tissue nitrogen in a Chesapeake Bay tidal wetland. Global Change Biology 13: 202-215.
- Hendry, M. 1993. Sea-level movements and shoreline change. In Maul, G.A. (ed.), Climate in the Intra-Americans Sea. Edward Arnold Press, London, U.K. pp. 115-161.
- Marsh, A.S., D.P. Rasse, B.G. Drake, J.P. Megonigal. 2005. Effect of elevated CO₂ on carbon pools and fluxes in a brackish marsh. Estuaries 28: 694-704.



Oksanen, L. 2001. Logic of experiments in ecology: is pseudoreplication a pseudoissue? Okios 94: 27-38.

- Parham, P.R., S.R. Riggs, S.J. Culver, D.J. Mallinson, and J.F. Wehmiller. 2006. Quaternary depositional patterns and sea-level fluctuations, northeastern North Carolina. Quaternary Research 67: 83-99.
- Titus, J.G., and C. Richman. 2001. Maps and lands vulnerable to sea level rise: Modeled elevations along the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coasts. Climate Research 18: 205-228.
- Touchette, B.W. 2006. Salt tolerance in a *Juncus roemerianus* brackish marsh: Spatial variations in plant water relations. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 337: 1-12.
- Touchette, B.W., G.A. Smith, K.L. Rhodes, and M. Poole. 2009. Tolerance and avoidance: Two contrasting physiological responses to salt stress in mature marsh halophytes *Juncus roemerianus* Scheele and *Spartina alterniflora* Loisel. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 380: 106-112.
- Warren, R.S., and W.A. Niering. 1993. Vegetation change on a northeast tidal marsh: Interaction of sea-level rise and marsh accretion. Ecology 74: 96-103
- Warrick, R.A., C. LeProvost, M.F. Meier, J. Oerlemans, and P.L. Woodworth. 1996. Climate Change 1995. In Houghton, et al. (eds.), The Science of Climate Change, Camberage University Press, pp. 359-406.

Brant W. Touchette Center for Environmental Studies, Departments of Biology and Environmental Studies Elon University, Campus Box 2015 Elon, NC 27244, USA Ph (336) 278-6185 Fax (336) 278-2227 btouchette@elon.edu

