TECHNIQUES OF PRODUCING MONOSEX OR STERILE POPULATION OF FISH FOR AQUACULTURE - A REVIEW OF SELLECTED LITERATURE

By

P. O. Aluko Department of Zoology Ondo State University, Ado - Ekiti

ABSTRACT

The need to develop techniques that can make the male grow faster in many species of fish as well as the female in some other species cannot be over-emphasized. Monosex culture of the faster growing sex can increase production if the method is reliable.

The use of such techniques as manual sexing, sterilisation, hybridization, gynogenesis, androgenesis poluploidy and sex-reversal can provide solutions or partial solutions to the problems associated with sexual difference, sexual maturation and unwanted reproduction.

INTRODUCTION

Genetic techniques have been applied to other animals to improve their, quality and quantity but that of fish is not yet fully exploited. These techniques depend on interactive research for the development of better breeds of farmed aquatic organisms and better farmed environment.

Monosex populations of fish are desirable in aquaculture for a variety of reasons. Males have greater growth potential than females in some species and vice versa (Kirk, 1972; Dunham, 1990). Thus, monosex culture of the faster growing sex can increase production. Some species of fish attain maturity within three months and at small sizes. This is a major problem particularly in Tilapia which can decrease production because precocious maturity always result in overcrowding and stunted growth in the culture pond. Moreover, sexual dimorphism for growth occurs in most cultured fishes for flesh quality and carcass yield Sexual maturity is inversely proportional to growth rate and carcass yield.

Production and dissemination of improved strains should be concurrent with the breeding programme. Effective dissemination of the genetic gain is possible only when there are organised channels for production and distribution of fish seed to farmers:

Techniques

Sexual Dimorphism

In most fish species, one sex grows faster than the other, Beaver et al (1966), Stone (1981) and Hanson et al (1983) have reported that in the channel catfish, *Ictalucus punctatus* and *Tilapia* spp, the males grow faster than the females, whereas females grow faster than the males in the grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon* idella and rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* (Hickling, 1967 and Fall 1986). Faster growth of a sex probably results from hormonal, genetic factor competition, suppression of one sex and magnification of initial size difference. Stone 1981

had demonstrated that Oreochromis niloticus males grow 2.5 and 2.2 times faster than females when grown in cages mixed and resparately respectively.

Lovshin and Da Silva (1976) and Popma (1987) have applied the technique of manual separation effectively. This approach takes advantage of sexually dimorphic rates and growth for monosex culture. Another approach - mechanical separation grades the fish on the basis of size and and thus most of the fish can be separated by sex.

However, both the manual and mechnical separation techniques result in almost half the fingerling production being wasted.

STERILIZATION THROUGH NON-GENETIC APPROACH

It is an approach that prevents unwanted reproduction in ponds as well as promoting growth of the fish. This is usually accomplished through surgery, immunology, radiation and chemicals.

In surgical sterilization, the entire gonad has to be removed including surrounding mesentery. This is referred to as gonadectomy. Donaldson and Hunter (1982) has indicated that surgical sterilization was successful in salmonids. However, Laird *et al* (1978) reported that the problems associated with this method include labour and the necessity of complete testicular removal to prevent development of secondary sexual characteristics.

Research efforts on immunogical sterilization of fish are limited to a few authors. Laird *et al* (1978, 1981) injected juvenile atlantic salmon with a homogenate of homologous gonad tissue with fraund's adjuvent and obtained some inhibition of scandal development.

The use of X - rays and Y - rays to sterilize fish have not been very successful (Kobayashi and Mogami, 1958 and Al - Daham 1970). Some experiments whose effects were temporary have been carried out. For instance, Boham and Donaldson (1972) reported that isotopes depressed primary sex cells of Atlantic salmon. In addition, Kobayashi and Mogami (1958) demostrated that X - rays reduced ovarian size in rainbow trout fingerlings and suppressed gametogenesis for up to 7 months as well as sterilized some pink salmon *(Oncorhynchus gorbuscha)* males (Pursov, 1975).

As with surgical sterilization and sterilization by radiation, the effects of chemosterilization are often temporary (Stanley, 1979). Hanson and Manion (1979) employed this technique to stock mating competitiveness in sea lampreys, *Petronyzon mapinus*. Yamazaki (1976) has reported that overdoses of hormones have led to sterilization in fish chemosterilization can be brought by the use of chemicals such as methallibure and cyproterone acetate. These chemosterilents cause delayed spawning, reduced mating and total fry production.

STERILIZATION THROUGH PLOIDY MANIPULATION: HYBRIDIZATION:

This breeding technology attempts to produce fish that combines valuables traits from more than one species or high heterosis (hybrid vigor). Apart from some tilapia hybrids (Hickling, 1980, Pruginin et al, 1975, and Wohifarth and Hulata, 1983), channel female crosses with blue male catfish hybrid (Duham and Smitherman, 1984, Smitherman and Dunham, 1985 and Dunham and Smitherman, 1987) and striped bass, *Morone sexatilis*, crossed with white bass *M. chrosops* (Bayless, 1972)) that exhibited heterosis for high performance traits, beneficial sex ratios or sexual traits, majority of interspecific hybrids do not perform well, are subviable or are difficult to produce (Smitherman and Dunham, 1985: dunham and Smitherman, 1987; and Chevassus, 1983). Many interspecific hybridizations result in sterility, thus functioning as a reproductive isolating mechanism to prevent the permanent mixing of genes from two species. The more distantly related the two species, the greater likelihood of their hybrid being subviable or sterile. Hybridzation of two species may result in monosex populations. Tilapia hybrids offer the best examples.

The genetic explanation of hybridization particularly in tilapia is the explanation of hybridization particularly in tilapia is the multiple sex determining mechanism. Chem (1969) has reported that both XY and WZ sex determining mechanisms exist. Males are the heterogametic sex XY, and females the homogametic sex, XX, for species such as *Oreochromis* niloticus and O. mossambicus (Wohlfarth and Hulata, 1983). Females are the heterogametic sex WZ and males the homogametic sex, ZZ in O. aureus and T. hornorum. When homogametic males of say O. aureus (ZZ) are hybridrized with homogametic males XX to produce all - male progeny (ZW). When heterogametic males XY are hybridized with heterogametic females WZ, four combinations of sex chromosomes, XW, XZ, YW and YZ and produced in equal proportion. The maleness chromosome from either species is dominant to the femaleness chromosome, so when heterogametic males are hybridized with heterogametic females, 75% of the progeny are males. Only the XW genotype results in females.

Hulata *et al* (1983) have reported production of nonosex male (100% all male) population of tilapia on a commercial scale in Israel. In addition, Hulata *et al* (1983) also reported that the expected 100% maleness for these hybrid combinations is not always possible. Electrophore tic explanation of this behaviour has been provided by Macaranas *et al* (1986) and Abdelhamic (1988). These authors said that one or both of the species involve in hybridization is genetically contaminated by another species. Another explanation was given in an earlier report by Avtalion and Hammerman (1978) and Hammerman and Avtalion (1979) as due to the influence of autonimal genes on sex determination. These authors said that one or more loci located on the autosomes may act as modifiers and diminish the influence of the sex chromosomes.

The main benefit of utilizing monosex hybrids is the elimination of reproduction in reproduction ponds. Other advantages include heterosis for growth rate, ability of hybrids to be more harvestable than their parent species.

GYNOGENESIS AND ANDROGENESIS

Gynogenesis involves the stimulation by a genetically inactive spermatozoan or the parthenogenetic development of an egg. All - female inheritance is accomplished by activating cell division with irradiated sperm and then restoring diploidy to the developing zygote. Irradiation (Chourrout, 1986, Aluko and Awopetu, 1992) rather than Y-irradiation (which may produce supernumerary chromosome fragments from donor sperm) is employed to destroy the DNA in the sperm. Thus there is no paternal contribution to the zygote. Chemical mutagens such as dimethylsulphate can also inactivate large volumes of sperm. (Tsoi, 1969) and Chourrout (1986). Haploid gynogens are produced which can be diplodized by retaining the second polar body through the application of temperature shock or pressure treatments.

Gynogens produced by blockage of polar body extrusion are inbred since all genetic information is maternal. Diploid gynogen can be produced by blocking first cleavage. This could be achieved chemically be means of temperature shock or with hyderostatic pressure. Gynogens produced by blocking the first mitotic division would be more likely to die during embryonic development because of a higher frequency of deleterious genotypes found in individuals that are 100% homogenous (Scheere *et al* 1986).

Individuals that are produced when irradiated egg is fertilized by non - irradiated spermo are called androgens. Androgens are more difficult to produce than gynoges.

Gynogenesis and androgenesis can be used to elucidate sex - determing mechanisms in fish. If the female is the homogametic sex, all the gynogens will be XX and female. If the female is the heterogametic sex, the gynogens will be XX, XY and YY and both sexes will be found in the progeny (Parsons and Thorgaard, 1985). If the male is the homogametic sex when androgens are produced, the androgens will be 100% ZZ and all male. If the male is the heterogametic sex, XX and YY and YY and resulting in both sexes.

POLPLOIDY

Polyploidy is lethal to mammals and birds but has led to the development of many useful plant varieties (Chourrout *et al*, 1986). Many researchers in the area of triploidization of fish such as Thorgaard *et al* (1981), Wolters *et al* (1981) and Casani and Caton (1986) have reported that triploid fish are viable and usually have the attribute of sterility which is a result of lack of gonadal development. Triploids are generally expected to be sterile due to the likely failure of homologous chromosomes to pair correctly during meiosis. Valenti (1979), and Taniguchi et al (1986) have reported increased growth rate in triploid fish compared to their normal diploid siblings. This increased growth rate can be a result of lack of sexual development since the growth rate of fish slows as they approach sexual maturity or increased cell size.

A variety of techniques have been development to induce triploid in fish (Thorgaard 1983). In Salmonids, hydrostatic pressure treatment of newly inseminated and activated eggs gave the most successful triploid production (Arai, 1984, 1986; Chourrout, 1984, Lou and Purdom, 1984) but the technique is not in wide-spread use becuase of the need for special equipment as well as the difficulty in treating a large number of eggs. The application of thermal treatment (heat shock) is easier to administer than pressure shock and is suitable for large-scale production of triploid. Optimal heat shocks generally give sufficient yields of triploids in rainbow trout (Thorgaard *et al*, 1981); Atlantic Salmon (Johnstone, 1985); Chinook Salmon (Utter *et al*, 1983). In brown trout (Arai and Wilkins 1987) successfully induced triploidy by heat shocks.

Similar techniques for triploid induction; temperature shocks and hydrostatic pressure are used to induce tetraploidy Mosaic individuals having both 3n and 4n cells are sometimes obtained (Bidwell et al 1985), and catfish mosaics usually have compressed bodies or caudal deformities (Dunham 1990).

Shelton et al (1986) and Johnstone *et al* (1989) have also induced triploidy in rainbow trout (*Salmo gardneri*) and Atlantic baemm (*Salmon salar*) ovausing anaesthetics. In this approach eggs are exposed to nitrous acid treatments. Other anaesthetics in use include freon 22 (CHCLF₂ chloro-difluoro-methane), cyclopropane (CC₃H_g), hll-thane and othrane (Johnstone et al 1989).

SEX REVERSAL

Monosex populations can be produced through hormonal sex-reversal. The development of fish makes them conducive to the manipulation of their sex. Although male or female genotype is established at fertilization, phenotypic sex determination occurs later in development Phenotypic sex is determined prior to hatch in some salmonid species, during the first 3 to 4 weeks after hatch in channel catfish and *Oreochromis niloticus* even as late as fingerlings for grass carp. The phenotypic sex can be altered by adminstration of estrogen or androgens at the critical period of sex determination to produce or all-female and male populations. The hormones commonly in use to produce all-male include 17 & - methyltestosterone, 19norethylntesterone and 11-ketotestostorone (Yamazaki, 1983) Thyroid hormones have also been used to alter sex or growth rate (Howerton et al 1988). Several estrogens are commonly used to produce monosex female populations, such as B-Estradiol. Esterone and ethynylestradiol (Yamazaki, 1983).

The artificial hormones are administered by bath (Yamasaki 1969), in feed (Shelton et al 1979) or through implants (Boney et al 1984) depending on the development characteristics of the species.

The administration of male hormone can result in an anabolic, catabolic or no effect on the subsequent growth of the fry after eassation of treatment. Estrogeus also cause catabolic effects.

In the US it is illegal to sell animals that have been treated with hormones or drugs unless it is proved that there are no risks to human health from consuming these animals. This poses a problem for aquaculturist desiring to market fish that have been treated with sex hormones in countries with these regulations.

REFERENCES

Abdelhamid A. A. (1988).	Genetic Homogenity of seven populations of <i>Tilapia niltoca</i> in Africa; Central America of Southeast Asia. M.S. Thesis, Auburn University.	
Al-Daham N. K. (1970).	The chemosterilants. Sex Hormone Radiation of Hybridiza- tion for controlling Reproduction in Tilapia species Ph.D dissertation. Auburn University. Auburn Al.	
Aluko P. O. and Awopetu J. I. (1992).		
THURSD I . C. and Theopere	A preliminary study on the induced spawning of three cichlid Fishes. Journal of Aquaculture in the Tropics 7:63-68.	
Arai, K. (1984).	Developmental genetic studies on salmonids: Morphogenesis, isozyme phenotypes and chromosomes in hybrid embryos, <i>Mem. Fac. Fish: Kokkaido Univ.</i> 31: 1 - 94.	
Arai K. (1986).	Effect of allotriploidization on development of the hybrids between female chum salmon and male brook trout. <i>Bull. JPn.</i> soc. sci. fish. 52: 823 - 829.	
Arai K, Ak, D Wilrss R. P. (1967).		
	Triploidization of Brown trout (Salmo trutta) by Heat shocks, Aquaculture. 64: 97 - 103.	

Avtalion, R. R. and Hammerman, I. S. (1978).

Sex determination in sarotherodon (Tilapia), I. Introduction to a theory of autosomal influence. *Bamidgeh*, 30: 110-115.

- Bayless J. D. (1972). Artificial propagation and hybridization of stripped Bass. *Morone scivitiis* (Walbaum). South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Dept Columbia.
- Beaver, J. A. Sneed, K. E. and Dupree, H. K. (1966). The difference in growth of male and female catfish in a hatchery pond *Prog. Fish cult*, 28: 47.
- Bidwell C. A., Christman C. L. and Libey G. S. (1985). Polyploid induced by heat shock in channel catfish. In: 2nd int. symp. Genet. *Aquacult*. Davis Calif (Abstr).
- Boney S. E. Shelton, W. L. Yang, S. L. and Wilken, L. O. (1984). Ez reversal and breeding of grass carp. *Trans. Am Fish soc.*, 113: 348 - 353.

Bonham K. and Donaldson, L. R., (1972). Sex ratios and retardation of gonadal development in chronically gamma irradiated chinook slamon smolts. *Trans. Am. Fish soc.* 1010 : 428.

Casani, L. R. and Caton W. E. (1936).

Efficient production of triploid grass carp (Cteropharyngodon idella) Utilizing hydrostatic pressure. Aquaculture, 55 43

Chen. F. Y. (1969). Preliminary studies on the sex-determining mechanism of Tilapia mossambica Peter and T. Aornorum Trewavas. Verh, int. ver. Limmol 17. 179.

Chevassus, B. (1983). Hybridization in fish. Aquaculture. 33:245.

- Chourrout, D. (1984). Pressure induced retention of second polar body and suppression of first cleavage in rainbow trout: production of all-triploids. all-tetraploids, heterozygous and homozygous diploid gynogenetics. *Aquaculture*. 36 : 111.
- Chourrout D. (1986). Techniques of chromosome manipulation in rainbow trout; a new evaluation with karyology Tehor. Appl. Genet. 72.627
- Chourrout, D. Chevassus B., Krieg. F., Happe A., Burger G. and Renard P. (1986). Production of second generation triploid and tetraploid rainbow trout by mating tetraploid males and diploid females. Potential of tetraploid fish. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 72:193.

Donaldson, E. M. and Hunter G. A. (1982). Sex control in fish with particular reference to salmonids *Can. J. fish. Aquatic scio.* 39 : 99. Dunham, R. A. and Smitherman, R. O. (1984).

Ancestry and Breeding of catfish in the United States. cir. 273. Alabama Agricultural Experiment station, Auburn University Al.

- Dunham, R. A. (1990). Production and use of Monosex or sterile fishes in Aquaculture. Aquatic science 2(1): 1 - 18.
- Gall, G. A. H., (1986). Sexual maturation and growth rate in *proc. 3rd world congres* on Genet. Appl. to livestock prod. Dickerson G. E. and Johnson, R. K. Eds, University of Nebraska, Lin coln. 401

Hammerman, I. S. and Avtalion, R. R. (1979).

Sex determination in *Sarotherodon* (Tilapia). II. The sex ratio as a tool for the determination of genotype - a model of autosomal and gonosomal influence, *Theoret. Appl. Genet.* 55: 177.

Hanson, L. H. and Manion, P. H. (1978). Chemosterilization of the sea Lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*). Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rep. 29.

Hanson, L. H., Smitherman, R. O., Shelton, W. L. and Dunham R. A. (1983).
Growth comparisons of monosex tilapia produced by separation of sexes hybridization and sex reversal in *int. symp. Tilapia in aquaculture*, Fishelson, L. and Yaron, Z. Compilers. Tel Aviv University. Israel. 570.

- Hickling, C. G. (1960). The Malacca Tilapia hybrids. J. Genet. 57.1.
- Hickling, C. F. (1967). On the biology of a heribivourous fish, the white amur. Ctenopharyngadon idella (Vali), Proc. R. soc. Edin burgh. 70. 62.

Howerton, R. D. Okimoto, D. K. and Grau, E. G. (1988).

Changes in the growth rate of the tilapia, Oreochromis mossambicus. Following treatment with the hormones, triodothyronine (T) and testosterone in Proc. 2nd int. symp. Tilapia in Aquaculture. Pullin. R.S.V. Bhukasawan. T. Tongutina K, and Maclean, J. L. Eds ICLARM Comf. Proc. ser. in press.

Hulata G., Wohlfarth G. and Rothbard S., (1983).

Progeny testing selection of tilapia broodstocks producing all male hybrid progenies-preliminary results. *Aquaculture* 33:263.

Johnstone, R. (1925). Introduction of triploidy in Atlantic salmon by heat sock. Aquaculture, 49:133 - 139.

Johnstone, R., Knott, R. M., Macdonald A. G. and Walsingham M. V. (1989). Triploidy induction in Recently fertilized Atlantic Salmon ova using Anaesthetics. Aquaculture 78: 229 - 236.

Kirk, R. G. (1972). A review of recent developments in *Tilapia* culture, with special reference to fish farming in the heated effluents of power stations. *Aquaculture* 1(1): 45 - 60.

Kobayashi, S., and Mogami, M. (1958).

Effect of X-irradiation upon rainbow trout Salmon trideus 111. Ovary growth in the stages of fry and fingerlings. *Bull. Fac. Fish.* Hokkado Univ. 9 : 39.

Laird, I. M. Ellis, A. E., Wilson, A. R., and Holliday, E.G.T. (1973).

The development of the gonadal and immune systems of Atlantic Salmon (Salmon salar L.) and a consideration of the possibility of inducing autoimmune destruction of the tests. Annu. Biol, Anim. Biochem. Biophys. 18: 1101

Laird, I. M., Wislom A. R. and Holliday E.G.T. (1981). Field trials of a method of induction of autoimmune gonad rejection in Atlantic salmon. (Salmon salar L.) Reprod. Nutr. Dev. 20: 1781.

Lou, Y. D. and Purdom, C. E., (1984). Polyploidy induced by hydrostatic pressure in rainbow trout Salmo gairdneri Richardson J. Fish. Biol. 25 : 345.

Lovshin, L. L., and Dasilva, A. B. (1976).

Culture of monosex and hybrid tilapias, FAO/CIFA Symp. Aquaculture in Africa CIFA/75/SR9. Food and Agriculture Organization. Rome.

Macaramas J. M., Taniguchi, N., Pante, M. J. R. Capili, J. B. and Pullin, R.S. V. (1986). electrophoretic evidence for entensive gene introgression into commercial Oreochromis niloticus (L) stocks in the Philippines Aquacult. Fish. Manage. 17: 249.

Parsons, J. E. and Thorgaard, G. H. (1985).

Production of androgenetic diploid rainbow trout. J. Hered 76 : 177

Popma, T. Y. (1987).	Fry production and sex reversal of Tilapia nilotica in ponds.
	Final Technical Report Freshwater Fishculutre Development
	Project, Espol. Guayaquil. Ecuador, 12.

Proginin, Y, Rothbard, S., Wohlfarth, G., Halevy, A, Msav. R. and Hulat/G. (1975). All-male broods of *Tilapua nilotica* T. aurea hybrids Aquaculture 6 : 11

Pursor, G. M. (1975). The period of gonadal differentiation in fishes in sex Differentiation in Fishes, University of Leningrad Publishing House (Fisheries Marine Science of Canada Translation series No 4069 Ottawa).

Scheerer, P. D Thorgaard, G. H. Allengorf, F. W. and Knudsen, K. I. (1986). Androgenetic rainbow trout produced from inbred and outbred sperm sources show similar survival and *Aquaculture*, 57 : 259

Shelton, W. L., Hopkins, K. D. and Jensen, G. L. (1978). Use of hormones to produce monosex tilapia for aquaculture

in culture of Exotic Fishes Symp. Proc. Smitherman, R. O. Shelton W. L. and Grover, J. H. Eds. fish culture section Ámerican Fisheries society, Auburn University, Auburn. AL 10.

Shelton, K. J., Madonald, A. G. and Hojnstone, R. (1986). Induction of triploidy in rainbow trout using nitrous oxide Aquaculture, 58 : 155 - 159

Smitherman, R. D., and Dunham, R. A., (1987).

Genetics and breeding in channel catfish, culture. Tucher, C. S. Ed. Elsevier. Amsterdam 283.

Stanley, J. G. (1979). Controlof sex in fishes with special reference to the grass carp In Proc. Grass carp Conf. Shireman. J. V. Ed. University of Florida press. Guinesville, 21.

Stone, N. M. (1981). Growth of Male and Female *Tilapia nilotica* in ponds and cages. M. S. thesis. Auburn University. Auburn, AL.

Taniguchi, N. Kijima, A. Tamurat., Takegami, K. ane Yamazaki, J. (1986). Color growth and maturation in ploidy manipulated fancy carp. *Aquaculture* 57: 321.

	VI. E. and Slier, A. R. (1987). Poly ploidy induced by heat shock in rainbow trout. <i>Truns. Am.</i> <i>Fish. soc.</i> 110: 546.
Thorzaard G. H. (1983).	Chromosome set manipulation and sex control in fish in <i>fish physiology</i> vol. 9B Hoar W.S. Randall. D.J. and Donaldson E. M. Eds. Academic press. New Yorl. 405.
Tsol, R. M. (1969).	Action of nitroso-methylurea and dimethysulfate on the sperm cells of rainbow trout and the peled. <i>Dokl. Akad. Nucl. SS SR ser. Biol.</i> 36:111
Utíci, R. M., Johnson, O. V	V., Thorgaard, G. H. and Rabinovitch, P. S. (1983). Measurement and Potential applications of induced triploidy in pacific salmon. <i>Aquaculture</i> . 55 : 125.
Valenti, R. F. (1975).	Induced polyploidy in <i>Tilapia aurea</i> steindachneri by means of temperature shock treatment. J. Fish. Biol. 7 : 519.
Wohlfarth, G. and Hulata, I	F. (1983). Applied Genetics of Tilapias. ICLARM stud. Rev. No 6. International centre for living Aquatic Resources Manage- ment. Manila.
Wolters, W., Chrisman, C.	L. and Libey, G. S. (1981). Induction of triploidy in channel catfish, <i>Trans. Am. Fish. soc.</i> 110:310.
Yamazaki, F. (1976).	Application of hormones in fish culture. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 33: 948.
Yamazaki F. (1983).	Sex control and manipulations in Fish. Aquaculture. 33: 329.