IMPROVEMENT IN THE NUTRITIVE QUALITY OF SOYABEAN MEAL BY CO-ENSILING WITH UNDER-UTILIZED FISH DISCARDS

Adebisi Balogun¹ and Konrad Dabrowki²

- (1) **Department of Fisheries and Wildlife**, Federal University of Technology, Akure, NIGERIA.
- (2) School of National Resources, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA.

ABSTRACT

Improvement in the nutritive value of soybean meal was investigated by Co-ensiling it with underutilized trash fish discards (gizzard shad) at different proportions. The following proportions of Gizzard shad to soybean meal were used; (a) 100% gizzard shad + acid combination (b) 80% Gizzard shad + 20% soybean meal & 10%WB (c) 60% Gizzard shad + 40% soybean meal & 10%WB. (d) 100% Gizzard shad without acid combination. Co-ensiling was achieved by adding sufficient acid to produce a paste. Products were neutralized by addition of 2% (by weight) calcium hydroxide and drying was effected by freeze-drying.

The dried silage products were stored at low temperatures. Products were analysed for proximate composition and amino acid composition. The amino acid composition and ration of essential amino acid Non essential amino acid (EAA/NEAA) was used as index of nutritive quality. Also essential amino acid profile of the co-ensiled products were compared with essential amino acid requirement of some warmwater fish species to estimate their nutritive usefulness for these species.

INTRODUCTION.

The increasing world demand for high protein crops and the prospect for animal feedstuffs have prompted considerable interest in the development of legume seed crops. One of the most agronomically important legume seed crop is the soybean. Among plant proteins, soybean meal is the most promising candidate for replacing part or all of fish meal protein in fish diets. The use of soybean meal in this respect is becoming increasingly important in the developing and developed countries of the world because of dwindling fish resources from the oceans and the high price of fish meal.

Soybean meal as a protein supplement has been used with varying success in the diet of several fish species, tont (Dabrowski *et al* 1985), African Catfish (Balogun and Ologhobo, 1989) tilapia (Davis and Stickney, 1978) and Common carp (Abel *et al* 1984 Marai *et al* 1986) and grass carp (Dabrowski and Kozak, 1979).

A major problem associated with raw and inadequately heated soybean meal is the presence of protease inhibitors and phytic acid; Heating during commercial oil extraction

(105°C for 10 - 20 min) destroys most of the protease inhibitors. To the processor, maximum heat treatment represents an additional cost and to the fish farmer, the reduction in protein quality resulting from unavailability of the critical amino acid.

Methioninelhysine represents an additional increasing costs since he has to feed more to satisfy the requirement of the fish for these amino acids. Additional cost is also expended in supplementing with a high amount of zinc to neutralize the effects of plytic acid.

One processing technique that can improve the nutritive value of plant proteins significantly at high reduced cost is by co-ensiling them with whole trash underutilized fish or fish offals. The method follows the principle of silaging as a means of preserving fish and utilizing waste fish by a combination of both organic and inorganic acids. Stone et al (1984) improved the nutritive quality of canola meal significantly by co-ensiling it with fish. A better balance in the amino acid composition of canola meal was achieved.

This paper presents the investigation on the changes brought about in the overall nutritive quality of soybean meal when it is co-ensilied with gizzard shad - an underutilized freshwater clupeid.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

- 2.1. The selection of material for the ensiling process was carefully done given consideration to (a) the availability of large volume of uneconomic small size by-catches (trash fish) in Nigeria Marine and freshwater capture fisheries (b) the effective utilization of small size tilapia fish produced on most fish farms in Nigeria. Thus gizzard shad average weight 12.41g which represents one of the by-catches (trash fish) from commercial fisheries in Lake Erie. They were collected and kept at -5°C and transported in 250 gallon capacity tightly sealed plastic containers. The whole fish materials were minced in a Hobbert Mincer and divided into equal parts. Silaging/co-silaging was made through the addition of sulfuric acid (2.00%) and propionic acid (0.75%) as follows:
- (i) 100% minced fish + 2% $H_c SO_a$ + 075% propionic acid.
- (ii) 60% minced fish + 40% soybean (90% SB + 10% WB) + acid combination.
- (iii) 80% minced fish + 20% soybean meal (90% SB + 10% WB + acid combination.
- (iv) 100 minced fish without acid combination (Control 1)
- (v) 100% minced fish without acid combination (freeze-dried immediately).

A 50% diluted 2.00% H_2So_4 was used. Ensiling was carried out at room temperature in 50-litre container. Anti-oxidant (0.05%) was added to each of the six containers to stabilize the lipid.

All materials were ensiled for a period of 30 days. Samples were taken every 5 days for 1st measurement. At the end of ensiling period, neutralization was carried out by adding appropriate levels of calcium hydroxide. The resulting materials were freeze-dried. The dried silage products were stored at 15°C until they were used for chemical analysis or biological evaluation.

Chemical analysis

 P^{H} Measurement: Approximately, 29m of the liquid silage was diluted with 20mls of de-ionised water. The mixture was thoroughly mixed by vortexing for 30 seconds and centrifuged in a refridgerated centrifuge and the supernatant used for P^{H} measurement.

Proximate composition

Moisture and drymatter were determined by AOAC (1970) method. Ether extract was estimated from the data presented for gizzard shad by Strange and Pelter (1987). Protein was estimated as the sum of total amino acid content after amino acid analysis.

Total amino acid composition

At each sampling period, samples were withdrawan and freeze-dried immediately. Freeze-dried duplicate samples were pulverised and amount equivalent to 40mg protein was used for total amino acid analysis.

Samples were oxidized at 0°C with performic acid oxidation for 16 hours for the conversion of Cystine to cysteic acid and methionine to mehtionine sulphone. This was followed by 6N HCI hydrolysis at 110°C for 24 hours and drying under vacuum. After acid removal by rotary evaporation. Coupling of amino acids with phenylisothiocyanate was carried out. Reverse-phase HPLC separation of PITC-derivatized amino acids was carried out according to the procedure described by Henrickson and Meredith (1984). Calibration of standards were as described by Millipore Corporation (1990).

Free amino acids (FAA)

Free amino acids were measured after extracting with 4% sulphosalicylic acid (Stone et al 1989) and filtering through a 0.45um filter. Derivatization and elution followed the procedure described by Henrickson and Menedith (1984).

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The average P^{H} of the ensiled products are presented in Table 1. The P^{H} varied between 2.01 ± 0.13 and 2.25 ± 0.17 in all ensiled products except in products VI and VII (controls) where average P^{H} values were 7.21 ± 0.09 and 7.11 ± 0.11. Slight variations were observed in the PH of the ensiled products over the 30 days storage period. Apart from the bacteriostatic effect at this low P^{H} the P^{H} is important because it gives an indication of the degree of neutralization for the processed ensiled products. Stone et al (1989) for example, used 1.6% of Calcium hydroxide for neutralisation after ensiling for 40 days. In the present study however, 2.0% was required to neutralise the ensiled products.

The results presented in Table 2 are the changes in dry matter and protein content of the silage/co-ensiled products. Ensiling gizzard shad alone yielded a product with 44.77% protein. Co-ensiling it with soybean meal yielded products containing 36.31% and 39.71% protein. Co-ensiling with soybean meal resulted in products that are significantly lower in protein than the originally ensiled fish product. No significant differences (P>0.05) were obtained in the dry matter of all products. Table 3 compares the amino acid composition of fish meal with that of soybean meal. Table 4 presents the total amino acid composition of the ensiled gizzard shad and shad co-ensiled with soybean meal.

The ratio of essential amino acid to non-essential amino acid (EEA:NEAA) of the ensiled products were also presented in Table 4, lysine and methionine content in soybean meal were 3.23gm/100gm DM and 0.62gm/100gm DM respectively (Table 3). When soybean was coensiled with gizzard shad, a significant improvement was obtained in the methionine content of product UI (80% gizzard shad + 20% soybean meal). The EEA:NEAA obtained for this product was also not significantly different from that obtained for commercially available fish meal whose EAA:NEAA was 0.87 (Table 3). Based on the EAA:NEAA values obtained for the ensiled products, co-ensiling of fish discards in the present study approved to have improved the essential amino acid balance in Soybean meal especially when a ratio of 80% discard is ensiled with a ratio of 20% soybean meal.

The essential amino acid profile of the ensiled products was compared with essential amino acid requirement of essential of Channel catfish and rainbow trout (Table 4).

The NRC, (1983) EAA requirement for these fish species showed that product II (60% fish + 40% soybean meal) is deficient in arginine and methionine for rainbow trout and not for catfish.

To further examine the changes in the nutritive value of the silage products, changes in the essential amino acid pattern were determined and presented in Table 5. In all essential amino acid measured, lossers are less than 10%.

This is an indication that losses in essential amino acid and hence in the intrinsic nutritive value of silage products are minimal for the co-ensilaging technique used in the present study.

During the process of silaging, numerous digestive and catheptic enzymes produce a liquified product which is rich in proteins, polypeptides and free amino acids (Stone and handy, 1986). Free amino acids expressed as a percentage of the total amino acids are presented in Table 6. In the final products, free amino acid levels constituted between 9% and 11% of the total amino acid. Higher levels of free amino acids were obtained in the essential amino acids of all the silage products. Although the levels were rather low, evidence has been presented (Geiger, 1947, Dabronski and Dabrowska, 1981, Stone *et al* 1989) that free essential amino acids are rapidly absorbed than amino acid from intact proteins. They (free amino acids) are irreversibly metabolised and not available for protein synthesis. The levels of free amino acids in these silage products may be too low to have elicit any serious implications on their nutritive values when fed to fishes.

TABLE 1: AVERAGE pi^H VALUE OF ENSILED GIZZARD SHAD AND FISH CO-ENSILED WITH SOYBEAN AND FEATHER MEAL

Ensiled Products	Average pH	
Manual	2.01 ± 0.13	
X X	2.25 ± 0.17	
benefit benefit	2.12 ± 0.11	
IV	7.21 ± 0.09	
$\mathbb V$	7.11 ± 0.11	

- I = 100% gizzard shad + acid combination (30 days storage)
 - II = 60% gizzard shad + 40% soybean meal (30 days storage)
 - III = 80% gizzard shad + 20% soybean meal (30 days storage)
 - IV = 100% gizzard shad without acid (frozen for 30 days)
 - VII = 100% gizzard shad (minced and freeze dried immediately)

 TABLE 2: Changes in Dry Matter and Protein Content of Dried Shad Silage and Co-ensiled Products at the Initial and End of Silaging Period

	Dried Products	Dry Matter		Protein		
		Day 0	Day 30	Day 0	Day 30	
jummer d	Gissard Shad Silage	95.14	96.05	44.99 ^a	44.77 ^a	
Π	Gizzard Shad (60%) +					
	40% Soybean Meal Silage	94.96	95.31	38.62 ^b	36.31 ^b	
III	Gizzard Shad (80%) +					
	20% Soybean Meal Silage	95.04	95.12	41.71 ^{ab}	39.71 ^{ab-}	
IV	Gizzard (frozen)	95.84	95.86	45.66 ^a	43.97 ^{a .}	
V	Gizzard Shad (freeze- dried immediately)	95.80		47.18	44 an 111 m	

TABLE 3:	AMINOACID COMPOSITION OF COMMERCIAL FISH MEAL,
	FEATHER MEALAND SOYBEAN MEAL (GM/100 GM GM)

<u>Amino Acid</u>	<u>Fish Meal</u>	<u>Soybean Meal</u>
Aspartic acid	5.47	3.88
Glutamic acid	9.45	7.05
Serine	2.76	7.05
Glycine	6.38	2.52
Histidine	1.76	1.04
Arginine	3.23	2.98
Theronine	2.36	1.59
Alanine	5.09	3.84
Proline	4.10	3.60
Tyrosine	2.09	1.26
Valine	3.02	3.43
Methionine	2.81	0.62
Cystine	0.81	0.71
Isoleucine	2.88	2.69
Leucine	5.45	3.71
Phenylalanine	2.80	2.43
Lysine	5.25	3.23
Total Amino Acid	65.71	46.49
Total Essential Amino Acid (EAA)	30.37	22.43
% EAA of Total	46.22	48.25
% NEAA of Total	53.28	51.75
EAA:NEAA	0.87	0.93

Amino Acid	Silage Products (freeze dried)				
n an	I	II	ÌIII	ÍV	V
Aspartic acid	3.44	2.78	2.86	3.67	3.82
Glutamic acid	7.71	7.36	7.28	7.64	7.17
Serine	1.75	1.48	1.82	1.68	2.09
Glycine	4.23	2.31	2.85	4.47	4.4]
Histidine	0.94	0.78	0.85	1.06	1.09
Arginine	3.30	1.80	1.76	3.15	4.36
Threonine	1.57	1.29	1.42	1.51	1.62
Alanine	3.29	2.29	2.52	3.08	3.01
Proline	2.30	2.32	2.60	2.26	2.21
Tyrosine	1.33	0.96	1.21	1.24	1.56
Valine	1.97	1.63	1.95	1.84	1.88
Methionine	1.46	0.92	1.19	1.57	2.37
Cystine	0.50	0.52	0.58	0.54	0.80
Isoleucine	1.50	1.40	1.58	1.27	1.57
Leucine	2.86	2.15	2.79	2.91	2.66
Phenylalanine	2.40	2.38	2.50	2.20	2.55
Lysine	4.22	3.24	3.41	3.82	4.01
Total Amino Acid	44.77	36.31	39.71	43.91	47.18
% Essential Amino Acid (EAA)	46.28	44.09	45.41	45.25	48.61
% Non-Essential Acid (NEAA)	53.72	55.91	54.59	54.75	51.39
EAA:NEAA	0.86	0.79	0.83	0.82	[.] 0.95

<u>TABLE 4: Amino Acid composition of Ensiled Gizzard Shad and Shad Co-Ensiled with</u> <u>Feather Meal in comparision with Fish Meal in comparison with Fish Meal</u> <u>and Soyabean g/100 gDm (after 30 days) in comparison with EAA</u> <u>requirement of Channel Catfish and trout.</u>

Amino Acid		ESILED	PRODUCTS		
	<u>1</u>	2	3	Ą	5
	an a		% Change		
Histidine	2.92	3.41	4.90	0.00	0.00
Arginine	2.77	1.89	6.25	6.62	3.11
Threonine	1.33	5.30	2.70	4.58	0,58
Valine	5.30	7.52	1.12	2.43	0.00
Isoleucinę	0.68	6.54	1.38	5.04	5.88
Leucine	1.79	4.61	2.70	1.69	4.75
Lysine	1.13	2.77	1.87	5.42	2.25
Methionine	6.59	3.88	0.00	0.00	7.04
Phenylalanine	3.25	6.22	1.21	0.52	5.80

<u>TABLE 5: Changes in essential Amine Acid composition of Ensiled Gizzard Shad and</u> <u>Shad Co-Ensiled with Soybean during 30 day storage period (%).</u>

Ensiled products 1 - 5 are listed in Section 2.1

<u>TABLE 6: Free Amino Acid composition of Gizzard Shad and Shad Co-Ensiled</u> Sovbean Meal and Feather Meal (g/100 g DM).

<u></u>		x ;				
	Amino Acid	I	II	III	IV	· V
	Aspartic acid	0.21(6.1)	0.18(6.5)	0.17(5.9)	0.15(4.1)	0.12(3.14)
	Glutamic acid	0.58(7.5)	0.50(6.8)	0.55(7.00	0.34(4.5)	0.20(3.91)
	Serine	0.12(6.9)	0.14(9.6)	0.12(6.6)	0.08(4.8)	0.06(2.9)
	Glycine	0.29(6.9)	0.23(9.9)	0.20(7.0)	0.19(4.5)	0.16(3.6)
	Histidine	0.06(6.4)	0.05(6.4)	0.07(8.2)		
	Arginine	0.24(7.3)	0.14(10.0)	0.14(7.9)	0.15(4.8)	0.11(2.5)
	Threonine	0.10(6.4)	0.08(6.2)	0.09(6.3)	0.04(2.7)	0.04(2.5)
	Alanine	0.16(4.9)	0.19(8.3)	0.18(7.1)	0.14(4.6)	0.12(3.9)
	Proline	0.18(7.8)	0.20(8.6)	0.22(8.5)	0.11(4.9)	0.13(5.8)
	Tyrosine	0.10(7.5)	0.04(4.2)	0.07(5.8)	0.05(4.0)	0.05(3.2)
	Valine	0.15(7.6)	0.12(7.4)	0.14(7.2)	0.09(4.9)	0.08(4.3)
	Methionine	0.07(4.8)		1827 1888 1880	Ciar 120 130	8) -
	Isoleucine	0.16(10.7)	0.16(11.4)	0.14(8:9)	0.10(7.9)	0.08(5.1)
	Leucine	0.13(4.6)	0.11(5.1)	2.12(4.3)	0.10(3.4)	0.08(3.0)
	Phenylalanine	0.24(10.0)	0.20(8.4)	0.22(8.8)	0.10(4.6)	0.12(4.7)
	Lysine	0.44(104)	0.46(14.2)	0.48(12.1)	0.31(8.1)	0.27(6.9)
	-		-			

REFERENCES

Aasgaad, T. and Austreng, E. 1985. Aquaculture 49: 289-305.

Austreng, E. and Aasgaad, T. 1986.

Fish silage and its use. In: E. Grimaldi and H. Rosethal (eds), Trends and problems in aquaculture development. Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. on Aquafarming; Verona, Italy, 12 - 13 October, 1984. Association of American Feed Control Officials. Official publication pp. 189, Lexington, KY.

Baker, D. H., Blitenthal, R. C. Boebel, K. P., Czarnecki, G. L., Southern, L. L. and Willis, G. M. 1981.

> Protein-amino acid evaluation of steam processed feather meal. *Poultry Sci.* 69: 1865.

Balogun, A. M. 1990. Validation studies on the nutrient requirement of warmwater fish spieces. A technical report submitted to the Presidential Task Force on alternative formulation of livestock feeds in Nigeria 160 pgs.

Balogun, A. M. and Ologbhobo, A. D. 1989.

Growth performance and nutrient utilization of fingerlings of *Clarias gariepinus* fed raw and cooked soybean diets. Aquaculture 76: 119 - 126

Batterham, E. S. and Gorman, T.B. S. 1980.

Fish silage for growing pigs. In: Proc. Rec. Adv. Anim. Nutr., University of New England, Armidale, Australia, pg. 111

Binkely, C. H. and Vasak, O. R. 1951.

Friable meal produce from feathers. *American Egg Poultry Review*:68:12.

Brody J. 1965. Fishery by-products technology. AVI Publishing Company, Inc., Westport, USA.

Dabrowski, K., Poczyczyns	ki, P., Kock, G. and Berger, B. 1989. Effect of fish meal protein substitution by soybean protein in diet on growth, diet utilization and proteolytic ezgus activities in rainbow trout Aquaculture 77: 29 - 49.
Dabrowskî, K. and Dabrov	vska, H. 1981. Digestion of protein by rainbow trout <i>(Salmo gairdneri)</i> and absorption of amino acids with the elementary target. Comp. Biochem Physiol. A. 69: 99 - 111
Disney, J. G. and Hoffman	A., 1976. A dried fish silage product In: Proc. Torry Res. Sta. Symp. Fish silage. Torry Research Station, Aberdeen.
Disney, J. G. Hoffman, A., (Olley. J., Clucas, I. J., Barranco, A. and Francis, B. J. 1978. Development of a fish silage/carbohydrate animal feed for use in the tropics. Trop. Sci. 20 (2): 129.
Draper, C. I. 1944.	The nutritive value of corn oil meal and feather proteins. <i>Iowa</i> Agr. Exp. Sta. Res. Bul. 326:163.
Durairaj, S., Santhanaraj, '	T., Sultan, K. M. and Dorai Rajah, K. A. Utilization of trash fish. Fish ensilage - some aspect of processing storage I. In: Proc. Symp. Fish Process. Ind, Central Food Tech. Research Institute, Mystore, India, pp.81.
EL Boushy, A. R., Van der	Pool, A. F. B. and Walraren, O. E. D. 1990. Feather meal - A biological waste: The processing and utilization as a feedstuff for poultry. <i>Biological Wastes</i> 32: 39 - 74
Fowler, J. A. 1990.	Aquaculture 89: 301 - 314.
Geiger, E. 1947.	Experiments with delayed supplementation of incompleted

Hardy, R. W., Shearer, K. D. and Spinelli, J. 1984.

The nutritional properties of co-dried fish silage in rainbow trout (Salmo gairdneri dry diets. Aquaculture 38: 35 - 44.

Henrickson, R. L. and Meredith, S. C. 1984.

Amino acid analysis by reverse phase HPLC: Pre-column derivatization with phenylisothiocoyanate. Anal. Biochem. 136: 65 - 74.

Hepher, B. 1988. Nutrition of pond fibses. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York.

Hossain, M. A., Furuichi, M. and Yone, Y. 1986. Nippon Suisan Gakkaiski 52: 549 - 552.

- 1987. Effects of scrap meals fermented with fungi on growth of red sea bream and feed efficiency. Nippon Suisan Gakkaiski 53: 1669 1671.
- 1988. POV, TBA, W3HUFA and nutritive value of scrap meal fermented with Aspergillus glacus. Nippon Suisan Gakkaiski 54: 1391 - 1394.

International Aquaculture Foundation (IAF). 1985.

The future of aquaculture: Profile of a global growth industry. Int. Foundation for Aquaculture, Washington, DC. 60 pgs.

Stone, F. E., Hardy, R. W., Shearer, K. D. and Scott, T. M. 1989. Utilization of fish silage by rainbow (Salmo gairdneri). Aquaculture 76: 109 - 116.

Stone, F. E., Hardy R. W 1986.

Nutritional value of acid stabilized and liquified fish protein. Sci. Ford Agric. 37: 797 - 803. Sulivan, T. W. and Stephenson, E. L. 1957.

Effect of processing methods on the utilization of hydrolysed feather meal by growing chicks. Poultry Sci. 36: 361.

Tacon, A.G.J. and Jackson, A. J. 1985.

Utilization of conventional and unconventional protein sources in practical fish feeds. In: Nutrition and feeding of fish. C. B. Coney, A. M. Mackie and J. G Bell (eds). Academic Press, London, pp 119 - 145.

Tidemann, E., Raa, J., Stormo, B., Torrism, O. 1983.

In: "Engineering and Rood". Proc. Intl. Congress Engineering and Food. McKenna, B. M. (eds). Elserier Applied Science, London, UK, pgs 583 - 594.

Tolan, A. 1983.In: Upgrading wastes for feeds and foods. D. A. Ledward, A.J. Taylor and R. A. Lawrie (eds), Butterworths, London, pgs2 - 27.

Windsor, M. and Barlow, S. 1981.

Fish silage. In: Introduction to fishery by-products. Fishing News Books Ltd. Farnham, Surrey, England.