4th Annual Hydrogeology Consortium Workshop Solving Water Pollution Problems in the Wakulla Springshed of North Florida



Stormwater Discussion Session - Recommendations Findings & Recommendations from the Stormwater Panel

General comments

- Define the issue: is it water quality at springs or aquatic plant growth
- As moving forward, be sensitive to the various perspective regarding stormwater management: urban vs. national forest vs. county
- Increase ad valorem tax for NWFWMD
- Emphasize that loadings need to be delineated as accurately as possible, and have a good hydrogeological model
- TMDLs underway (assimilation capacity of water bodies to minimize water qual. and quant. impacts
- First address stormwater entering most vulnerable areas
- Continue working with DOT re: stormwater and hydrogeological issues

Priority issues identified by breakout session participants

- 1. Relative loadings: septic vs. storm water vs. agriculture/spray field, etc... (group acknowledges that this work is in progress, but we stress that it needs to be completed as soon as possible).
- 2. Land-use; modify comprehensive plan based on highly vulnerable areas. To map highly vulnerable areas, must:
 - 1. Evaluate existing data
 - 2. Acquire LIDAR in Wakulla Co
 - 3. Complete stream to sink (swallet) study
 - 4. Complete aquifer vulnerability assessment of natural hydrogeologic system (e.g., a local FAVA-type study)
 - 5. Define highly vulnerable areas based on vulnerability model
- 3. Leon Co/TLH/Wakulla coordination
- 4. Interlocal agreement
- 5. Phased in land use regulations (long term and short term)
- 6. Tie in FEMA flood zone remapping into land use plan
- 7. Implement DCA Springs Model Code for highly vulnerable areas within all springsheds in region
- 8. Evaluate and implement existing programs (i.e. ERP, other states dealing with karst, Wekiva Study)
- 9. Have all relevant agencies at table (i.e., DOT, DCA, DEP, WMD, etc.)
- 10. Improve accountability and enforcement

