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#### Abstract

Herring schools were surveyed hydroacoustically and sampled in San Francisco Bay from late October 1986 to March 1987. Eight large schools (greater than 1000 tons each) spawned from December to February and seven smaller schools were detected throughout the spawning season. Total acoustic biomass estimate using a "visual integration" technique was 40,930 tons, and it was determined from spawn escapement and commercial landings that an addi亡ional 2240 tons were not detected. This is a slight increase from last season's total of approximately 42.200 tons. For the first time, biomass was also estimated using echo integration equipment. A total biomass was obtained of 33,050 tons, including herring not detected acoustically. This estimate must be considered preliminary and subject to revision after target strength of San Francisco Bay herring is determined.

Eighty-seven samples, containing a total of 13,125 herring. were collected with variable-mesh gill net and midwater trawl or obtained from the roundhaul and gill net fisheries. Mean body length (BL) of sampled herring decreased by about 20 mm from the beginning to the end of the spawning season. A combination of variable-mesh gill net and midwater trawl samples for a particular school closely approximates mean $B L$ and age composition data from unbiased roundhaul samples.

Age-weight and age-length relationships were average to above average compared with those of the previous two seasons. The 1982 year class exhibited unusually good growth, with a mean BL of 202.8 mm for herring aged from stratified random samples.

The 1982 through 1985 year classes (5- through 2-yr olds) contributed 97\% by number and $95 \%$ by weight to the total 1986-87 spawning biomass in San Francisco Bay. Good recruitment has occurred during the past four seasons. The weak 1981 year class contributed little to the total biomass as 6-yr olds.

Average catch per tow of young-of-the-year (YOY) herring is a potential index of abundance for recruitment 18 months later as $2-y r$ olds. Estimated recruitment has only varied by $15 \%$ during


the past three seasons and does not reflect the magnitude or trend in YOY catches in the bay.
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This was the sixth year of field work by the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) Pacific Herring Research Project and the fifth in which acoustic surveys were conducted and samples were obtained throughout the October to March spawning season. Data have been presented for each season in administrative reports (Reilly and Moore 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986) and serve to complement biomass estimates from spawn deposition surveys (Spratt 1987a) and biological data of that portion of the herring population exploited by the commercial fishery (Spratt 1987b). The Pacific Herring Research Project has one major objective, to provide data necessary for long-term management of the herring roe fishery in California. Research, oriented to this objective during the 1986-87 herring season, included: 1) hydroacoustic estimation of spawning biomass of each school of adult herring in San Francisco Bay (Figure 1); 2) determination of length and age composition of herring in each achool: 3) weight/age/length relationships; 4) examination of catch data of juvenile and young-of-the-year (YOY) herring from CDFG's Bay-Delta Project; and 5) sampling herring during the non-spawning season from San Francisco Bay.

METHODS
Non-spawning Season Field Sampling
The Bay-Delta Project, Stockton, collected samples of YOY, Juvenile, and adult herring in San Francisco Bay from April to June 1986. All samples were frozen and delivered to the Menlo Park laboratory where they were thawed, measured, sexed if


FIGURE 1. Pacific herring acoustic survey and sampling areas in San Francisco Bay.
posaible, weighed, and subsampled for otolith aging.
Spawning Season Field Work

## Research Vessel

The 23-ft R/V PANDALUS was used on all field days in San Francisco Bay from October to March.

## Acoustic Monitoring

Hydroacoustic surveys were conducted 3 or 4 d each week. Areas surveyed included central San Francisco Bay and the Golden Gate bounded by the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge, Oakland-Bay Bridge, and Pt. Bonita (Figure 1), hereafter referred to as north bay, and southern bay waters between the Oakland-Bay Bridge and Oyster Point (Figure 1), hereafter referred to as south bay. Acoustic monitoring was done at a speed of approximately 8 kr .

Approximately 3 d each week a Raytheon model DE-719B recording fathometer depth sounder was used to locate herring schools. Schools subsequently were plotted on charts of San Francisco Bay using a combination of calculated horizontal school dimensions and bottom depths from acoustic echograms, and compass bearings from known landmarks. A Housten Instrument HI-PAD digitizer was used to calculate surface area of schools or portions of schools with approximately uniform density and height in the water column, based on visual examination of acoustic echograms.

Density estimates (tons/10 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) were then assigned to different parts of each school based on calibration factors developed during charter of a purse seine vessel in 1983 (Reilly and Moore 1983) and modified from intercalibration factors obtained in 1985 from a Washington Department of Fisheries (WDF)

Biosonice model 101 echo sounder and model 120 echo integrator (Reilly and Moore 1985). Finally, school biomass was calculated for each achool surveyed. This method, hereafter referred to as "visual integration", has been used since 1982.

This season, a scientific grade echo sounder, the Biosonics model 105, was acquired, enabling us for the first time to estimate biomass using the acoustic technique of echo integration. The data collection system includes the echosounder, narrow beam ( $6^{\circ}$ ) 200 kHz transducer, an oscilloscope, a chart recorder, a video cassette recorder, and a digitizer. Reflected echoes from herring are converted to voltages, digitized after being attenuated by a factor of ten, and stored on tape. The echosounder incorporatea a time-varied gain which inaures that a particular fish will reflect the aame amount of voltage regardless of its depth.

Tapes were processed in Seattle using WDF's echo integrator and interface (to increase attenuated voltages). The integrator prints out densities of herring ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) for each depth stratum for each transect. Depth atrata were arbitrarily chosen to be 510, 10-15. 15-20, 20-25, 25-30, and 30-40 m. An average density per $m^{2}$ was then calculated and multiplied by the aurface area bisected by each transect to obtain a biomass estimate.

The estimate is scaled by a factor known as the "A constant". This incorporates aystem parameters of transmitter source level, receiver sensitivity, beam pattern factor of the transducer, and pulse width, and other factors including speed of sound in water, $p i$, and a target atrength value for herring. The
latter is related to the amount of reflected voltage and the size of the fish.

Biomass estimates for most schools were obtained this season using both the echo integration method and the visual integration method.

Sampling Gear Types
Gill Nets. During the spawning season, nylon multifilament, variable mesh gill nets were used to sample herring in depths from 6 to 65 ft . The mesh array consisted of five $10-\mathrm{ft}$ long by 6-ft high panels with mesh size $1.5,1.75,2.0,2.25$, and 2.5 in. Nets were anchored and marked by floats. Soak times varied from 10 min to 15 h . All samples were separated by mesh size.

Midwater Trawl. A 12-ft square (mouth-opening), 65-ft long. double warp midwater trawl with an 0.5-in. stretched-mesh cod end was used throughout the season. Tow speed was approximately 3 kn and tow duration ranged from 5 to 30 min .

Commercial Fishery. From January 5 to March 12, 1987,
samples were obtained from purse seine and lampara boats. Fish were either collected with a brail as they were brought to the side of the boat with the seine or lampara net or obtained from a bin at an offloading dock.

From December 1, 1986 to January 20, 1987, additional samples were taken from gill net boats.

Field Processing of Samples
Body length (BL), the Department's standard measurement for herring (Spratt 1981), was determined for all fish to the nearest $m m$ from the tip of the snout to the end of the pigment underneath the last column of scales on the caudal peduncle. All fish were
aexed and asaigned a maturity code of either unripe, mature, or spent. One or more subsamples of approximately 17 fish per $10-\mathrm{mm}$ size class were retained from each school for weighing and aging. This stratified random sampling for age composition allows us to age more older fish, which occur infrequently in random samples and have higher variability in growth. To improve age assignments for larger fish, additional herring $\geq 210 \mathrm{~mm}$ BL were selected and aged to augment the age-length data base.

Laboratory Processing of Samples
Length and Weight
All herring subsamples were returned to the Menlo Park laboratory, frozen, and thawed before processing. Thawed lengths were matched with fresh lengths from the field, or a correction factor of 1.021 (Reilly and Moore 1983) was applied to account for shrinkage. Fresh or corrected lengths were used in all data analyses. Weight was determined to the nearest 0.1 g ; we have found no significant difference between fresh weight and thawed weight. Fish that were completely or partially spent were not weighed.

## Otoliths

Otoliths were removed from herring, rubbed clean on wet paper towels, placed in ethanol, then stored dry in gelatin capsules. Otoliths were read in ethanol under a dissecting microscope by two readers independently, When disagreement occurred in aging, the first reader would re-examine the otoliths. If otoliths were of poor quality or agreement could not be reached, they were either sent to Jerome Spratt (CDFG-

Monterey) for another reading or the age determination was not used.

Assigned Age by Length
Using our age-length key for 1986-87 herring, ages were assigned to lengths of fish (2-mm intervals) not aged, based on the relative percentage composition of ages (from otoliths) for a particular size interval. All fish not aged were combined by assigned school numbers before ages were given to lengths. Assigned School Numbers

A school number was used to define each herring school that spawned in San Francisco Bay. Each sample of herring was assigned to a school based on a combination of factors: 1) date of sample; 2) date of spawning as determined by egg deposition surveys; 3) hydroacoustic observations of schooling patterns and spawning events; 4) percentage of unripe females in the sample; 5) examination of daily landings of the commercial fleet and a knowledge of their fishing locations; and 6) miscellaneous information from conversations with fishermen.

Total Age Composition for Spawning Season
Total percentage age composition was calculated for the entire spawning season based on two separate biomass estimates by school: 1) the sum of spawn escapement estimate (Spratt 1987a) plus commercial catch; 2) our hydroacoustic biomass estimates (Spratt's estimates were used for schools not detected hydroacoustically). To calculate total percentage age composition by number, mean BL by school was converted to mean weight, using values from Appendix F. Each biomass estimate for each school was divided by the appropriate mean weight, and
percentage age composition was used to calculate total number of fish by age for each school. Numbers for each age were then aummed and divided by total number of fish. For schools not sampled, data from the nearest achool, temporally, were used. To calculate total percentage age compoaition by weight, 1986-87 mean weight at age values were used along with percentage age composition by school.

Computer Processing of Samples
Length, weight, sex, and age data from all herring samples were entered in an IBM XT microcomputer using dBase III programs. Mean, atandard deviation, standard error, and size frequencies of BL, by sex, were generated for each sample and each achool by gear type using CDFG microcomputer programs. Other atatistical analyses were performed using programs from ABSTAT and SPSS/PC.

Supplementary Data
Local precipitation and barometric pressure data were obtained from the National Climatic Data Center, Asheville, North Carolina, for San Francisco International Airport. These were used to determine if a relationship exists with spawning events.

Data from the Bay-Delta Project were examined to determine catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) of YOY herring and length frequencies of YOY and juvenile herring. From 1983 until 1986. during apring and early summer, the project conducted extensive surface-to-30-ft midwater trawling near the Golden Gate Bridge (Figure 1) for out-migrant, marked salmon smolte. Incidental catches of YOY herring are used as an indicator of the strength
of the year class of new recruits which will appear in the fishery approximately 18 mo later as 2-yr olds.

Bay-Delta project personnel used fork length (FL) to measure herring. A regression developed previously (Reilly and Moore 1986) was used to convert FL to BL before length frequency histograms for $Y O Y$ and Juvenile herring were examined.

## RESULTS

Non-spawning Season Sampling, Bay-Delta Project Samples Length frequencies for 7320 YOY and 1883 juvenile (age 1+ yr) herring from San Francisco Bay during April to June 1986 showed a separation ranging from 70 to 90 mm BL in April to 90 to 110 mm BL in June (Figure 2). The vertical dashed line partitions the year classes based on otolith aging. The decrease in modal BL for YOY herring from April to May is most likely the result of a later- spawning cohort moving into the sampling area. Growth of YOY herring was greater than that of the previous season while juveniles averaged 10 to 35 mm smaller than those in 1985 (Reilly and Moore 1986).

Age composition of stratified random samples of herring from April to June 1986 indicate a wide range of BL for YOYs and juveniles. Some overlap occurred between $1+-$ and $2+-y r-o l d$ fish. Few herring older than $2+$ yr were captured (Table 1 ). Acoustic Monitoring

## Summary of Herring Schools

During the previous three seasons, spawning has started within 2 d of October 30 on the north side of Raccoon Strait (Figure 1). This season was no exception; a small school (number 1) spawned on the night of October 28 in Kiel Cove (Table
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FIGURE 2. Number of Pacific herring (2-mm intervals) from Bay-Delta Project midwater trawl samples, San Francisco Bay, April to June 1986.

TABLE 1. Length and Age Compoaition of Pacific Herring Sampled from Bay-Delta Project by Midwater Trawl in San Francisco Bay, April to June 1986.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Body } \\ & \text { Length (mm) } \end{aligned}$ | Age <br> 0 | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{yr}) \\ 1+ \end{gathered}$ | Body length | (mm) | $1+$ | $2+$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Age } \\ 3+ \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (y r) \\ 4+ \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42-43 | 1 |  | 130 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 3 |  | 132 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 4 |  | 134 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 2 |  | 136 |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 3 |  | 138 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | 2 |  | 140 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | 2 |  | 142 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | 4 |  | 144 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 4 |  | 146 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 6 |  | 148 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 62 | 7 |  | 150 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 64 | 3 |  | 152 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| 66 | 3 |  | 154 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |
| 68 | 1 |  | 156 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 70 |  |  | 158 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 72 | 1 |  | 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | 1 |  | 162 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 76 | 3 |  | 164 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 78 | 2 |  | 166 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 80 | 3 |  | 168 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| 82 | 1 |  | 170 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| 84 | 3 |  | 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 2 |  | 174 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 88 |  |  | 176 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| 90 |  |  | 178 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 92 |  |  | 180 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 94 | 1 |  | 182 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 |  |  | 184 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98 |  |  | 186 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 100 |  | 1 | 188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 |  | 1 | 190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 |  | 1 | 192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 |  | 2 | 194 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 108 |  | 2 | 196 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 110 |  | 2 | 198 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 112 |  | 2 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 114 |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 116 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 122 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2). The aize of the achool, its short residency in the bay prior to spawning, and the presence of large schools of anchovies in north bay waters make these herring difficult to detect acoustically.

Anchovies were abundant in north bay waters during the first 3 wh in November, and repeated attempts to locate herring mixed in with these schools, using variable-mesh gill nets and midwater trawl, yielded no adults until November 17. From then until November 20 small quantities of primarily unripe herring (school 2) were caught near Sausalito. Herring abruptly disappeared from this area with no evidence of spawning, and no further activity was detected for the remainder of the month in north bay waters.

By the November 30 opening of the commercial season activity had shifted to the south bay as in the past two seasons. Although only localized traces of herring activity were detected on an acoustic survey November 29 , significant quantities of herring (school 3) were present 1 d later in the same area, and gill net vessels landed 476 tons from this school during the next 3 d. A hydroacoustic estimate of the entire school was not obtained prior to spawning. Sex composition and mean BL were different than the mid-November herring.

On December 1, as landings peaked from school 3, a new school (4) was found in central south bay waters. A trawl sample yielded 75\% unripe fish on December 1 and 58\% unripe fish on December 3. Spawning occurred December 7 and 8 along the San Francisco shoreline and gill netters landed 481 tons from this school.

TABLE 2. Summary of Herring Schoola in San Franciaco Bay, October 1986 to March 1987.

| Assigned school <br> number | Spawning S dates $\qquad$ | ning tion: | Spawn escapement estimate (tons) 2- | Commercial catch (tons) | Hydroacou biomass estimate "visual" integ. | ustic <br> (tons) <br> echo <br> integ. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Oct 28 | KC | 3 | 0 | -3' | _-41 |
| 25 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 215 |
| 3 | Nov 29-30 | SF | 400 | 476 |  | - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 4 | Dec 7-8 | SF | 2700 | 481 | 1195 | 655 |
| 5 | Dec 11 | SAUS | 230 | 305 | 160 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 6 | Dec 17-19 | SF | 4600 | 435 | 2000 | 2855 |
| 7 | Dec 28 | SF | 13,100 | 0 | 4235 | 5190 |
| 8 | Jan 4-10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BELV, } \\ & \text { TIB, } \end{aligned}$ | $\text { I. } 8015$ | 1891 | 7700 | 5535 |
| 9 | Jan 11 | COY | 20 | 0 | - ${ }^{\prime}$ | - $\mathbf{4}^{1}$ |
| 10 | Jan 18-23 | SF | 14,700 | 2665 | 10.910 | 8970 |
| 11 | Jan 31 | SF | 130 | 53 | 40,35 | 2700 |
| 12 | Feb 20 | SAUS | 50 | 0 | 135 | - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 13 | Feb 23-26 | BELV. | 3570 |  | 1005 | 1415 |
|  |  |  |  | 1780 |  |  |
| 147 | Feb 23-25 | SF | 1200 |  | 9475 - ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2590 |
|  | - | - | $\underline{0}$ | 12 |  | 100 |
|  |  |  | 48,718 | 8098 | 40,930 30 | 30.225 |
| 11 Legend | AI-Ange Kiel Co 29 to C | sland SAUS lesti | BELV-Belved Sausalito: k Point: T | dere: COY-C SF-San Fran -Tiburon. | ote Point sco from | $\begin{gathered} \text { t: KC- } \\ \text { Pier } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\underline{21}$ from | pratt 1987 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/ not su spawni | rveyed wit ng. | isual | integration | equipment | ior to |  |
| $4{ }^{\prime \prime}$ not 8 | veyed wi | ho int | egration | ipment pr | to spaw | ning. |
| 5 herring yielded | present d no spawn | ar Saus posit | alito Nov on. | -20; subse | ent surve |  |
| 6entir | school not | tect | hydroaco | ically pr | to spaw | ning. |
| Z'herrin yielded | g present d no spawn | S Saue posit | alito March on. | 2-16; sub | quent sur | veys |

Similar to the previous aeason, a amall achool (5) was present in early December in Raccoon Strait and near Angel Ialand. Fishing effort shifted to Sausalito and 305 tons were caught during a spawn which peaked on December 11.

As school 4 spawned along the shore on December 8 , a new school-(6) was acoustically-monitored in the central south bay. A variable-mesh gill net ample 2 d later yielded $60 x$ unripe fish. On December 16, a large midwater trawl sample ( 1002 fish) Yielded 48\% unripe fish, but on the next day a large spawn began along the San Francisco shoreline. Thia school provided the "XH" gill net vessels with the remainder of their quota.

During December it was difficult to correlate acoustically detected herring schools with discrete spawns. A "trickle spawn" behavior pattern seemed prevalent in which the leading edge of a achool, containing riper fish, would break off and epawn, followed by an occasional increase in the volume of the main body of the school as more unripe fiah entered the bay.

On December 19. five discrete concentrations of herring were detected from Raccoon Strait to near Hunters Point. By December 28, the majority of these fish had merged into one large school (7) which spawned along the San Francisco shoreline.

A midater trawl ample December 30 near the Oakland-Bay
Bridge contained a mixture of spent and pre-apawning herring. Seventy-five percent of the pre-spawners were unripe and aignified the presence of the next school (8). These fish remained south of Alcatraz during the next week. During this time, in a behavioral pattern aimilar to last aeason, a large
achool (also conaidered to be achool 8) moved rapidly into north bay waters from seaward of the Golden Gate Bridge on January 4 and began to apawn along Tiburon, Belvedere and Saualito. Also on January 4, a trawl ample near Alcatraz atill contained 70\% unripe fish. These herring apparently moved to Angel Island and apawned from January 7 to 10. Spawning in north bay waters was continuous from January 4 to 10 . School 8 was responsible for the entire quota for the "even" gill net vessels.

A small school (9) spawned on January 10 at Coyote Point but could not be separated acoustically from the main body before that date.

On January 12 the first aigns of school 10 were detected and sampled south of Yerba Buena Island; almost 70\% of the herring were unripe. On the next day a small achool appeared in Raccoon Strait and near Sausalito and contained 25 to 30x unripe fish. Biomass increased in south bay waters and gill netters began to catch the riper part of the school from January 13 to 16. Activity near Sausalito diminished as herring south of Alcatraz consolidated into two large masses on either side of the OaklandBay Bridge. Those south of the bridge (school 10) spawned from January 18 to 23 and provided the "odd" gill net vessels with their entire quota and lampara vessels with approximately 525 tons. On January 23 the second large school (11) was located between the Golden Gate Bridge and China Basin. These fish spawned January 31 to February 2 along the San Franciaco ahoreline and were difficult to fish by roundhaul vessels, yielding only about 50 tons.

The firgt appearance of new fish (schools 12, 13, and 14) occurred January 26 near Sausalito. A roundhaul sample contained 30\% unripe herring. By January 30 biomass had increased and the main body had moved east of Angel Island during high tide, For the next 3 wk large concentrations of herring were scattered throughout north and south bay waters with no spawning evident. Most fish were ripe by February 16. A small spawn (school 12) occurred February 20 near Sausalito. The main body had consolidated into a north bay school (13) and south bay school (14), the latter being available to purse seiners at low tide near Alcatraz. On February 23, spawning began simultaneously near Belvedere and Tiburon and along the San Francisco shoreline. The roundhaul fleet pursued these two schools throughout their residency in the bay and landed 1780 tong.

Very little activity occurred in March. A small school (15) mixed with anchovies was detected and sampled in Raccoon Strait and near Sausalito from March 2 to March 16 but no spawn could be located. Only a few purse seiners remained in the fishery and landed approximately 10 tons.

Acoustic Biomass Estimates for San Francisco Bay
Our total hydroacoustic biomass estimate, using visual integration, was 40,930 tons (Table 2); this does not include schools 1, 3, and 9, which were not detected. Spawn escapement estimate and catch for these schools was about 900 tons. In addition, 1340 tons were landed from schools 13 and 14 before the visual integration survey. Thus, an adjusted visual integration biomass estimate would be 43,170 tons. This compares with 56,800 tons from spawn escapement and catch. During the past five
spawning seasona visual integration eatimatea have differed from catch-plus-escapement estimates by a range of 10 to $38 x$ and have shown the same trend of a substantial deciine in 1983-84 followed by a gradual increase the next three seasons (Table 3).

The echo integration estimate also must be adjusted to account for schools not detected and for herring landed from a school prior to a survey. Based on spawn escapement aurveys, approximately 1485 tons were not detected with the echo integration equipment and 1340 tons were landed from achoole 13 and 14 before biomass data collection. Adding this to the 30,225 ton total biomass estimate yields 33,050 tons, only 58\% of the total estimate from catch plus escapement. However, this echo integration estimate must be considered preliminary and subject to revision after "A" constant parameters, particularly target strength, are estimated more accurately. If target atrength Values for San Francisco Bay herring are leas than the -33 decibels per $k g$ using in the scaling factor equation, biomass estimates will increase. The above value is currently used by WDF and was derived from comparisons of net haul and acoustic density (Lemberg 1978).

Herring Samples from San Franciaco Bay
Eighty-seven samples of adult herring were collected in San
Franciaco Bay from October 27, 1986 to March 16, 1987 (Appendix A): theae contained a total of 13,125 fish. Herring were sampled from all schools except numbers 9 and 12.

Comercial gill net samplea are biased due to mesh selectivity and were not included in size and age compoaition

TABLE 3. Summary of Acoustic and Spawn Escapement-plus-Catch Biomaas Estimatea for San Franciaco Bay, 1982-83 to 1986-87.

Biomass estimate (tons)
Season Visual integrationl- Eacapement-plus-catch2-

1982-83
65,000
59.200

1983-84
1984-85
1985-86
1986-87
43,200
35,000
40,400
46,100
49,100

Vincludes blomass known to be miseed by acoustic aurveys or caught previous to acoustic surveys.

2'data from Spratt (1987a).
data combined by achool. However, aged fish from these samples were used as part of an age-length key and a gill net length frequency histogram was generated.

## Length Composition

Variable-mesh Gill Net Samples. A total of 3769 herring was obtained from 25 samples (Appendix B) from all schools except 9 , 12 and 15. Samples grouped by assigned school number showed the usual trend of decreasing mean BL from the beginning to the end of the season (Table 4); however, mean BL for schools sampled from late November (school 3) to early January (school 8) was fairly uniform and only varied by 4 mm .

A series of gill net length frequency histograms was generated for $1.5-1.75-, 2.0-, 2.25-$, and $2.5-i n$. mesh plus commercial mesh (Figures 3-8). All commercial samples were combined due to the similarity of length composition in December and January. All samples from 2.5-in. mesh were combined due to low numbers. Monthly mean BL varied by as much as 17.7 mm for $a$ particular mesh size, primarily due to the preponderance of large herring in school 1 sampled in October. Mean BL data from the past six seasons for mesh sizes 1.25 to 2.25 in. are summarized in Table 5. All means for $1986-87$ are within the range of previous means for a particular month and mesh size except for NovemberDecember for the three largest meshes. Unweighted means were calculated by averaging each monthly mean in order to compare with weighted means without the effect of sample size.

The shift in length composition to smaller fish as the season progressed is apparent from observing which mesh size catches the highest proportion of fish. Without exception, in

TABLE 4. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-mm Intervala), Combined by Assigned School Number, from Variable-Mesh Gill Net Samplea, San Francisco Bay, October 1986 to February 1987.

| Body <br> lenath (mm) | 1 | 2 | 3 | Assigne <br> 4 |  |  |  | Num 6 |  | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130-139 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140-149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 2 |
| 150-151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |
| 152 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |
| 154 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |
| 156 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 11 | 3 |
| 158 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 15 | 5 |
| 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 1 |
| 162 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 5 | 43 | 10 |
| 164 | 4 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 2 | 33 | 5 |
| 166 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 | 62 | 9 |
| 168 | 6 |  | 3 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  | 1 | 48 | 7 |
| 170 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 49 | 5 |
| 172 | 12 |  | 11 | 1 |  | 10 |  | 6 |  | 5 | 75 | 6 |
| 174 | 10 | 2 | 11 | 7 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 5 | 39 | 9 |
| 176 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 6 |  | 3 |  | 5 |  | 8 | 61 | 9 |
| 178 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 5 |  | 8 |  | 7 | 38 | 10 |
| 180 | 8 | 1 | 19 | 4 |  | 7 |  | 10 |  | 5 | 24 | 5 |
| 182 | 34 | 3 | 14 | 4 |  | 5 |  | 13 |  | 2 | 49 | 3 |
| 184 | 31 |  | 7 | 7 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 4 | 26 | 6 |
| 186 | 31 | 9 | 12 | 6 |  | 9 |  | 15 |  | 5 | 36 | 3 |
| 188 | 21 | 6 | 7 | 3 |  | 9 |  | 13 |  | 1 | 42 | 4 |
| 190 | 25 | 3 | 5 | 4 |  | 6 |  | 15 |  | 6 | 40 |  |
| 192 | 51 | 9 | 14 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 13 |  | 6 | 54 | 9 |
| 194 | 31 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 9 |  | 6 | 24 | 1 |
| 196 | 57 | 5 | 5 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 8 |  | 4 | 56 | 12 |
| 198 | 31 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 1 | 31 |  |
| 200 | 25 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 4 | 19 | 7 |
| 202 | 46 | 2 | 7 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  | 4 | 49 | 7 |
| 204 | 33 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 7 | 18 | 3 |
| 206 | 41 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 2 | 36 | 4 |
| 208 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 25 | 1 |
| 210 | 35 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 20 | 2 |
| 212 | 35 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 21 | 1 |
| 214 | 20 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 7 |  |
| 216 | 19 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 15 |  |
| 218 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |  |
| 220 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 222 | 8 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |
| 224 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 226 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 228 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 232 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 700 | 71 | 155 | 67 |  | 101 |  | 182 |  | 01 | 1124 | 149 |
| Mean 1 | 198.1 | 4.3 | 186.2 | 183.0 |  | 3.7 | 187 | 7.3 | 185 | . 3 | 183.6 | 180.2 |

TABLE 4. (cont'd.)

| Body |  | Assigned school number |  |  | Body (m) | Assigned school number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lenath | (mm) | 11 | 13 | 14 |  | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| 130-139 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 190 | 3 | 22 | 2 |
| 140-149 |  |  | 8 | 3 | 192 | 2 | 33 | 4 |
| 150-151 |  |  | 5 |  | 194 | 2 | 29 | 4 |
| 152 |  | 1 | 11 | 5 | 196 | 1 | 36 | 7 |
| 154 |  |  | 15 |  | 198 |  | 22 | 3 |
| 156 |  | 1 | 20 | 5 | 200 | 2 | 16 | 2 |
| 158 |  | 3 | 18 | 1 | 202 |  | 28 | 3 |
| 160 |  |  | 22 | 3 | 204 |  | 11 | 4 |
| 162 |  | 2 | 49 | 8 | 206 |  | 10 | 2 |
| 164 |  | 4 | 49 | 9 | 208 |  | 6 | 1 |
| 166 |  | 5 | 73 | 10 | 210 |  | 8 | 2 |
| 168 |  | 3 | 70 | 5 | 212 |  | 4 | 2 |
| 170 |  | 3 | 51 | 13 | 214 |  | 3 | 1 |
| 172 |  | 3 | 72 | 14 | 216 |  | 2 | 1 |
| 174 |  | 4 | 39 | 8 | 218 |  | 1 |  |
| 176 |  | 6 | 46 | 8 | 220 |  | 2 |  |
| 178 |  | 1 | 26 | 5 | 222 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 180 |  | 3 | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 182 |  | 1 | 29 | 8 | $N$ | 53 | 908 | 158 |
| 184 |  | 3 | 14 | 2 | Mean | 175.3 | 177.4 | 177.9 |
| 186 |  |  | 15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 188 |  |  | 21 | 7 |  |  |  |  |



FIGURE 3. Percent length frequencies (2-mm intervals) from 1.5-in. mesh gill net samples, San Francisco Bay, October 1986 to February 1987.



FIGURE 5. Percent length frequencies (2-mm intervals) from 2.O-in. mesh gill net samples, San Francisco Bay, October 1986 to February 1987.



FIGURE 6. Percent length frequencies (2-mm intervals) from 2.25-in. mesh gill net samples, San Francisco Bay, October 1986 to February 1987.


FIGURE 7. Percent length frequencies (2-mm intervals) from 2.5-in. mesh gill net samples, San Francisco Bay, October 1986 to February 1987.


FIGURE8. Percent length frequencies (2-mm intervals) from commercial gill net samples, San Francisco Bay, Uecember 1986 to January 1987.

TABLE 5. Summary of Mean Body Length (mm) by Mesh Size from Variable-Mesh Gill Net Samples from San Francisco Bay, 1982-1987.

| Month/year |  | $1.25$ |  | $1.5$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mesh Size } \\ 1.75 \end{gathered}$ |  | n.) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \\ & \text { mean BL } \end{aligned}$ | 2.25 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct | 1986 | - | - | 25 | 171.8 | 204 | 188.7 | 223 | 198.6 | 161 | 207.9 |
| Nov-Dec | 1982 | - | - | 89 | 168.6 | 631 | 186.4 | 737 | 200.1 | 266 | 211.9 |
| " | 1983 | - | - | 17 | 173.3 | 158 | 189.6 | 173 | 198.7 | 26 | 205.8 |
| " | 1984 | - | - | 29 | 167.4 | 196 | 180.0 | 332 | 191.7 | 130 | 203.5 |
| " | 1985 | - | - | 55 | 167.2 | 311 | 179.4 | 333 | 193.1 | 201 | 201.4 |
| " | 1986 | - | - | 27 | 169.5 | 187 | 178.5 | 191 | 187.4 | 92 | 199.0 |
| Jan | 1982 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 345 | 190.6 | 77 | 207.6 |
| " | 1983 | - | - | 510 | 163.7 | 817 | 180.7 | 501 | 195.3 | 145 | 204.9 |
| " | 1984 | 15 | 147.4 | 293 | 160.7 | 371 | 180.7 | 210 | 194.9 | 27 | 202.2 |
| " | 1985 | - | - | 146 | 162.1 | 419 | 171.2 | 323 | 186.1 | 82 | 199.0 |
| $\cdots$ | 1986 | - | - | 148 | 164.7 | 361 | 172.8 | 277 | 191.0 | 102 | 202.6 |
| " | 1987 | - | - | 125 | 164.4 | 351 | 172.6 | 249 | 190.0 | 187 | 202.2 |
| Feb-Mar | 1982 | - | - | 198 | 165.1 | 455 | 175.1 | 352 | 192.2 | 40 | 200.3 |
| " | 1983 | - | - | 310 | 166.3 | 694 | 180.8 | 342 | 191.9 | 51 | 202.8 |
| - | 1984 | 41 | 140.4 | 448 | 158.7 | 223 | 177.2 | 41 | 193.9 | - | - |
| - | 1985 | - | - | 37 | 165.0 | 69 | 169.8 | 46 | 189.6 | - | - |
| " | 1986 | - | - | 58 | 167.3 | 179 | 178.7 | 126 | 190.2 | 51 | 199.1 |
| * | 1987 | - | - | 210 | 163.2 | 385 | 171.0 | 159 | 191.0 | 88 | 199.7 |
| Weighted grand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unweigh | ted |  | 143.9 |  | 165.8 |  | 178.4 |  | 192.6 |  | 203.1 |

each aeason the 2.0-in. mesh caught the most fish in October, November and December, while in January more herring were caught with the 1.75 -in. mesh. The February-March period is similar to January except for 1984 (the El Nino period) when growth rates were low, small fish predominated, and the $1.5-i n$. mesh caught the most fish.

Midwater Trawl Samples. The trawl yielded 3673 herring in 20 samples (Appendix C) from all schools except 1, 2, 9 and 12. Sample 490 was excluded from the total length and age composition data due to a suspected bias towards small fish. Combined school mean $B L$ (Table 6) averaged 6 mm less than that from gill net samples. Mean BL from the two gear types was more similar in November and December when fewer small fish occurred in the schools.

Purse Seine and Lampara Net Samples. We measured 4370 herring from 29 samples (Appendix D) taken from January 5 to March 12 from schools 8, 10, 11, 13, 14 and 15. Samples 529 (school 14) and 536 (school 15) were excluded from the total length and age composition data due to a bias caused by individual handling of fish by crew members. In addition, sample 529 resulted from a missed set. The roundhaul fishery occurs when younger fish comprise the bulk of the biomass and mean BL does not vary much between schools; this season the range was 170.1 to 177.9 mm (Table 7 ). Mean BL averaged 3.6 mm greater than that of trawl samples and 5.3 mm leas than that of gill net samples for the same schools sampled.

During the past six seasons, mean BL of all fish sampled from the roundhaul fleet has ranged from 162 to 181 mm (Table 8).

TABLE 6. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-mm Intervala), Combined by Assigned School Number, from Midwater Trawl Samples, San Francisco Bay, December 1986 to March 1987.

| Body |  |  | Assigned School number |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| length (mm) | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 11 |
| 130-139 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 5 |
| 140-149 |  |  |  | 6 | 5 | 26 |  | 16 |
| 150-151 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 11 |  | 4 |
| 152 |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 | 21 | 5 | 16 |
| 154 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| 156 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 3 | 37 | 4 | 13 |
| 158 |  | 3 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 34 | 4 | 16 |
| 160 | 1 | 3 |  | 9 | 5 | 32 | 4 | 10 |
| 162 |  | 9 | 1 | 22 | 6 | 49 | 6 | 25 |
| 164 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 23 | 10 | 64 | 2 | 21 |
| 166 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 18 | 71 | 9 | 24 |
| 168 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 39 | 11 | 66 | 5 | 27 |
| 170 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 32 | 22 | 55 | 7 | 25 |
| 172 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 62 | 18 | 76 | 11 | 17 |
| 174 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 53 | 7 | 56 | 3 | 23 |
| 176 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 76 | 19 | 57 | 8 | 17 |
| 178 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 54 | 14 | 34 | 3 | 10 |
| 180 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 60 | 11 | 40 | 1 | 6 |
| 182 | 8 | 17 | 3 | 82 | 20 | 40 | 4 | 12 |
| 184 | 3 | 12 | 6 | 58 | 15 | 28 | 3 | 7 |
| 186 | 4 | 18 | 2 | 76 | 25 | 34 | 4 | 8 |
| 188 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 51 | 22 | 25 | 3 | 2 |
| 190 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 50 | 7 | 20 | 2 | 2 |
| 192 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 62 | 14 | 30 | 2 | 6 |
| 194 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 19 |  | 3 |
| 196 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 3 | 28 |  | 3 |
| 198 | 1 | 5 |  | 15 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 5 |
| 200 | 3 | 2 |  | 15 | 11 | 10 |  | 1 |
| 202 | 3 | 1 |  | 21 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 1 |
| 204 |  | 1 |  | 10 | 6 | 9 |  |  |
| 206 | 1 | 2 |  | 9 | 5 | 8 |  |  |
| 208 |  |  |  | 8 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 210 | 3 |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 6 |  |  |
| 212 | 1 |  |  | 5 | 3 | 3 |  |  |
| 214 |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 216 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| 218 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 220 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 222 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 224 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |


| N | 74 | 182 | 57 | 1025 | 317 | 1048 | 96 | 337 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 184.3 | 181.5 | 179.1 | 182.3 | 181.3 | 174.5 | 172.3 | 168.3 |

TABLE 6. (cont'd.)
Asaigned
Body echool number length (mm) $13 \quad 14 \quad 15$

| $130-139$ | 3 | 7 | 2 | $188-189$ | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $140-149$ | 8 | 6 | 6 | 190 | 2 |  | 11 |
| $150-151$ | 5 | 2 | 2 | 192 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 152 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 194 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 154 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 196 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| 156 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 198 | 1 |  | 8 |
| 158 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 200 | 4 |  |  |
| 160 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 202 | 2 |  | 4 |
| 162 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 204 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 164 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 206 | 2 |  | 5 |
| 166 | 16 | 9 | 12 | 208 | 1 |  | 3 |
| 168 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 210 | 1 |  | 2 |
| 170 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 212 |  |  | 1 |
| 172 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 214 |  |  | 1 |
| 174 | 7 | 5 | 10 | 216 |  | 1 |  |
| 176 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 218 | 2 |  |  |
| 178 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 220 | 222 |  |  |
| 180 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 224 |  |  |  |
| 182 | 3 | 1 | 4 | $N$ | 170 | 107 | 210 |
| 184 |  |  | 8 | Mean | 169.3 | 165.6 | 178.1 |

TABLE 7. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-mm Intervala), Combined by Assigned School Number, from Purse Seine and Lampara Net Samplea, San Francisco Bay, January to March 1987.

| Body |  |  | 11 | Assigned 13 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Schoo } \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| length (mm) | 8 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 130-139 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| 140-149 | 2 | 5 | 34 | 40 | 10 | 15 |
| 150-151 | 1 |  | 12 | 11 | 3 | 4 |
| 152 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 25 | 10 | 12 |
| 154 | 2 | 5 | 19 | 29 | 10 | 7 |
| 156 | 2 | 6 | 33 | 57 | 20 | 29 |
| 158 | 1 | 6 | 33 | 55 | 20 | 20 |
| 160 | 1 | 8 | 36 | 69 | 20 | 18 |
| 162 | 7 | 18 | 67 | 91 | 39 | 43 |
| 164 | 5 | 16 | 58 | 77 | 46 | 29 |
| 166 | 9 | 30 | 78 | 143 | 58 | 41 |
| 168 | 11 | 19 | 55 | 93 | 45 | 32 |
| 170 | 5 | 23 | 54 | 101 | 45 | 35 |
| 172 | 13 | 46 | 81 | 158 | 46 | 42 |
| 174 | 12 | 21 | 46 | 74 | 34 | 20 |
| 176 | 9 | 31 | 57 | 80 | 46 | 30 |
| 178 | 5 | 20 | 31 | 44 | 26 | 19 |
| 180 | 3 | 17 | 20 | 43 | 11 | 17 |
| 182 | 8 | 14 | 30 | 44 | 22 | 22 |
| 184 | 3 | 8 | 17 | 36 | 6 | 26 |
| 186 | 7 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 11 | 21 |
| 188 | 1 | 6 | 10 | 24 | 6 | 25 |
| 190 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 26 |
| 192 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 28 | 8 | 29 |
| 194 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 16 | 8 | 10 |
| 196 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 12 | 16 |
| 198 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 15 |
| 200 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 16 | 3 | 15 |
| 202 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 19 |
| 204 |  | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 8 |
| 206 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 6 |
| 208 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 210 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 |
| 212 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 214 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 1 |
| 216 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| 218 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 220 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 226 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 140 | 382 | 873 | 1465 | 615 | 662 |
| Mean | 177.9 | 176.4 | 170.1 | 171.3 | 172.2 | 176.0 |

These fluctuations are determined by the relative strength of year classes as well as periods of unusual growth, as in the El Niño event of 1983-84. For example, $19.2 \%$ of all fish sampled in 1982-83 were less than 170 mm BL, compared with $76.2 \%$ in 1983-84. The 1981 year class, first recruited to the fishery in 1982-83, is relatively weak, whereas the combination of poor growth and a strong 1982 year class caused a dramatic shift in size composition the following year. In comparison, herring sampled in 1986-87 showed an average size distribution (Table 8).

Comparison of Length Composition by Gear Type. In previous reports (Reilly and Moore 1982, 1983, 1984, 1985, 1986) we have discussed biases inherent in the variable-mesh gill net and midwater trawl samples. The mesh array of the gill net, while sampling the entire size range of a herring school, selects for a higher proportion of larger fish than is found randomly in a school. Size and speed limitations of the trawl may allow for net avoidance by some of the largest and strongest fish. The possibility also exists of size stratification by depth (Hay et al. 1986). If larger herring tend to remain deeper and nearer the bottom than smaller fish, trawl samples will always have a smaller mean $B L$ then gill net samples.

In the absence of unbiased roundhaul samples, a combination of gill net and trawl samples for each school may be the most accurate representation of the size composition of those herring schools spawning before or after the roundhaul fishery. During the past six seasons, there have been 17 schools adequately sampled by each of the three gear types (Table 9). Differences

TABLE 8. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-mm Intervala) from Roundhaul Samples, 1981-82 to 1986-87 Seasons.
Body $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { length (mm) } & 1981-82 & 1982-83 & 1983-84 & 1984-85 & 1985-86 & 1986-87\end{array}$


140-1
142
144
146
148
150
152
154
156
158
160
162
164
166
168
170
172
174
176
178
180
182
184
186
188
190
192
194
196
198
200
202
204
206
208
210
212
214
216
218
220
222
224
226
2
4
6
7
247

2716
24
130
146
223

187
274
6
10
8
3
8
23
$6 \quad 16$

| 20 | 8 | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | 7 | 33 |

399
334

| 82 | 40 | 67 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 103 | 28 | 72 |

522
428

| 57 | 147 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 88 | 135 |
| 113 | 152 |


| 498 | 344 | 218 | 265 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 120 | 345 | 312 | 213 | 231 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

231
359
255 263* 386

$$
207
$$

$$
253
$$

$$
145
$$

$$
111
$$

$$
140
$$

238
128

107
129

$$
96
$$

81
93
90
68
51
34

20
14
83

89
72
57
64181


| 47 | 181 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 54 | 166 |

TABLE 9. Comparison of Gill Net, Midwater Trawl, and Roundhaul Samples, San Francisco Bay, 1981-82 to 1986-87.

| Year | School <br> number | Mean gill net | BL <br> trawl | Ave. mean BL gill net-trawl | Mean BL. roundhaul | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Diff. in } \\ \text { mean BL } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981-82 | - | 178.4 | 171.9 | 175.1 | 175.2 | 0.1 |
| 1982-83 | 12 | 184.4 | 171.1 | 177.8 | 180.1 | 2.3 |
|  | 13 | 182.2 | 175.7 | 178.9 | 178.6 | 0.3 |
| 1983-84 | 7 | 174.6 | 165.2 | 169.9 | 169.3 | 0.6 |
| - . . - | 8 | 171.0 | 157.3 | 164.1 | 160.8 | 3.3 |
|  | 9 | 164.9 | 156.3 | 160.6 | -161.4 | 0.8- |
|  | 11 | 161.3 | 161.6 | 161.4 | 160.3 | 1.1 |
| 1984-85 | 10-11 | 178.1 | 169.1 | 173.6 | 169.2 | 4.4 |
| 1985-86 | 5 | 184.3 | 178.8 | 181.6 | 181.1 | 0.5 |
|  | 7 | 178.6 | 175.1 | 176.8 | 175.6 | 1.2 |
|  | 8 | 182.7 | 174.0 | 178.3 | 178.0 | 0.3 |
|  | 9 | 184.4 | 179.2 | 181.8 | 179.9 | 1.9 |
| 1986-87 | 8 | 183.6 | 174.5 | 179.0 | 177.9 | 1.1 |
|  | 10 | 180.2 | 172.3 | 176.2 | 176.4 | 0.2 |
|  | 11 | 175.3 | 168.3 | 171.8 | 170.1 | 1.7 |
|  | 13 | 177.4 | 169.3 | 173.3 | 171.3 | 2.0 |
|  | 14 | 177.9 | 165.6 | 171.7 | 172.2 | 0.5 |

between the combined mean BL from gill net and trawl samples and that of roundhaul samples ranged from 0.1 to 4.4 mm and averaged only 1.3 mm .

Commercial Gill Net Samples. By regulation, minimum mesh size remained at 2.125 in. throughout the fishing season for the third year in a row. We obtained 12 samples containing 1313 fish from schools 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10 (Appendix E); mean BL by school fell within a narrow range of only 2 mm (Table 10), reflecting a uniform size composition throughout the commercial season. During this same period, mean BL by school from our gill net samples only varied by 7.1 mm .

It appears that the effective mesh size of the monofilament commercial gear may be smaller than an equivalent multifilament mesh. For example, during January 1986 (Reilly and Moore 1986) and January 1987, weighted mean BL from all commercial samples was 192.6 mm , while the weighted mean BL from our 2.0- and 2.25in. meshes was 190.5 and 202.3 mm , respectively. The proximity of the means for 2.0 -in. mesh and commercial mesh (which should be 2.125-in.) supports the contention by some fishermen that, while the $2.125-i n$. mesh may be legally measurable as such (due to elasticity), it effectively functions as a smaller mesh. Sex Ratios

The usual trend of an increasing percentage of females in herring schools as the season progressed was again apparent this season (Table 11), with several exceptions. Schools 2 and 15 , both small in biomass, were in contrast to this trend and interestingly were the only schools for which spawning could not

$$
-34-
$$

TABLE 10. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-mm Intervals Combined by Assigned School Number, from Commercial Gill Net Samplea, San Francisco Bay, December 1986 to January 1987.

| Body |  | Assigned school number and month |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| length | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |  |
| $(m m)$ | Dec | Dec | Dec | Jan | Jan | Total |

$164-165$
166
168
170
172
174
176
178
180
182
184
186
188
190
192
194
196
198
200
202
204
206
208
210
212
214
216
218
220
222
224
226
228
230

| N | 213 | 211 | 211 | 245 | 433 | 1313 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 191.7 | 192.5 | 190.5 | 192.5 | 191.0 | 191.6 |
|  | 8.2 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 11.1 | 8.9 |

*Median BL

TABLE 11. Percentage by Number Sex Composition of Pacific Herring Samples from San Francisco Bay, by School, Gearsl' Combined, October 1986 to March 1987.

Percentage
School Month $N$ Male Female

| 1 | Oct | 700 | 70 | 30 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | Nov | 71 | 41 | 59 |
| 3 | Nov | 229 | 54 | 46 |
| 4 | Dec | 249 | 57 | 43 |
| 5 | Dec | 158 | 56 | 44 |
| 6 | Dec | 1207 | 50 | 50 |
| 7 | Dec | 418 | 49 | 51 |
| 8 | Jan | 2474 | 50 | 50 |
| 10 | Jan | 515 | 49 | 51 |
| 11 | Feb | 1263 | 47 | 53 |
| 13 | Feb | 2543 | 44 | 56 |
| 14 | Feb | 1056 | 47 | 53 |
| 15 | Mar | 922 | 64 | 36 |

logear types are variable-mesh gill net, midwater trawl. purse aelne, and lampara net.
be verified. This is the first year in which the first spawning school has been sampled; the percentage of males was extremely high. The transition to a higher proportion of females than males usually occurs in January.

Previous and current data indicate a trend of increasing percentage of female herring with increasing mesh size in variable-mesh gill net samples (Table 12. part 1). This is most likely due to a combination of delayed maturity of some 2-yr-old females (thus avoiding the fishery until they are $3-y r$ olds) and selective mortality of younger males in the fishery, and results in the survival of a higher proportion of larger, older females than males.

During the past four seasons we have compared percentage of females in samples from 2.125- and $2.25-i n$. mesh within the same month and year. The 2.25 -in. mesh yielded an average of $69.2 \%$ females. compared with $54.4 \%$ from the 2.125 -in. mesh (Table 12 , part 2). Use of 2.25 -in. mesh in the gill net fishery would result in a significant increase in roe content.

Weight and Length
Weights and lengths for 1576 herring collected from October 1986 to March 1987 were used to generate the following equations using natural logarithm transformations:

For unripe malea

$$
\ln W=-12.46+3.26 \ln L \quad r=.98, n=38
$$

For unripe females
$\ln W=-12.78+3.33 \ln L \quad r=.99, n=281$
For ripe males

$$
\ln W=-12.52+3.28 \ln L \quad r=.98, n=646
$$

For ripe females

TABLE 12. Percentage by Number of Female Herring by Gill Net Meah Size from San Francisco Bay.
part 1. variable-mesh gill net

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mesh } \\ & \text { gize (in) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1981- \\ & 1982 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1982- \\ & 1983 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1983- \\ & 1984 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1984- \\ & 1985 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985- \\ & 1986 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1986- \\ & 1987 \underline{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.5 | 37 | 36 | 38 | 36 | 30 | 42 | 36.5 |
| 1.75 | 53 | 42 | 52 | 43 | 37 | 45 | 45.3 |
| 2.0 | 57 | 52 | 64 | 48 | 49 | 55 | 54.2 |
| 2.25 | 79 | 65 | 73 | 62 | 63 | 67 | 68.2 |
| 2.5 | - ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | - |  | - 2 | 80 | 83 | 81.5 |

1/excludes October 1986 sample
2finsufficient sample aize
part 2. 2.125-in. commercial and 2.25-in. DFG mesh
Month and year 2.125 in 2.25 in

| Dec 1984 | 43 | 53 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Dec 1985 | 50 | 56 |
| Dec 1986 | 51 | 67 |
| Jan 1984 | 62 | 85 |
| Jan 1985 | 53 | 77 |
| Jan 1986 | 55 | 73 |
| Jan 1987 | 56 | 68 |
| Feb 1986 | 65 | 75 |
| Mean | 54.4 | 69.2 |

$$
\ln w=-12.70+3.31 \ln L \quad r=.98, n=611
$$

For all ripe herring

$$
\ln W=-12.84+3.34 \ln L \quad r=.98, n=1257
$$

A plot of these untransformed data points for all ripe fish shows the expected curvilinear relationship between length and weight (Figure 9). Estimated weights at length for ripe males, for ripe females, and for all ripe fish are presented in Appendix F .

Last season, the calculated weight/length regression for 991 ripe herring was:

$$
\ln W=-12.82+3.34 \ln L \quad r=.99
$$

An overall test between the two regressions for all ripe herring was not significant at the $95 \%$ level of significance ( $F=4.86, p$ $=0.008$, d.f. $=2265$ ). All estimated weights for the 1986-87 season are within $2 \%$ above that of the previous season and continue to indicate good growth.

## Length at Age

Mean BL at age has been fairly similar during the past three seasons except for the 1982 year class which has shown above average growth (Table 13). As $2-y r$ olds, this year class had poor growth (along with 3- to 6-yr-old fish) during the El Niño event of the 1983-84 season. Mean BL for the 1982 year class as $5-y r$ olds is 5-6 mm higher than that of $5-y r$ olds from the previous two seasons. Herring aged as 9-yr olds were too few to include in the mean weight and length table. Weight at Age

Mean weight at age for 5- to 7-yr-old herring exceeded that of the previous two seasons (Table 13) while mean weights for


TABLE 13. Mean Length and Weight of San Franciaco Bay Herring by Season, 1983-84 to 1986-87.

| Age $(y r)$ | 1986-87 | $1985-86$ | 1984-85 | 1983-84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 160 | 162 | 161 | 153 |
| 3 | 179 | 178 | 182 | 172 |
| 4 | 190 | 194 | 190 | 182 |
| 5 | 204 | 199 | 198 | 194 |
| 6 | 209 | 206 | 204 | 201 |
| 7 | 215 | 211 | 210 | 210 |
| 8 | 218 | 217 | 213 | 214 |
| Age |  | Mea | (g) |  |
| (yr) | 1986-87 | 1985-86 | 1984-85 | 1983-84 |
| 2 | 61.5 | 63.5 | 64.1 | 47.3 |
| 3 | 89.7 | 88.6 | 96.5 | 68.3 |
| 4 | 112.8 | 118.5 | 111.2 | 81.6 |
| 5 | 140.2 | 127.4 | 126.0 | 99.7 |
| 6 | 152.3 | 141.5 | 138.1 | 111.4 |
| 7 | 160.5 | 155.4 | 148.8 | 127.8 |
| 8 | 166.7 | 166.3 | 156.1 | 135.6 |

other year classes were average and more aimilar to past seasons. The above average growth of the 1982 year class particularly stands out. Differences between weight at age during the past three seasons and the 1983-84 season are dramatic. Weights at age in 1983-84 were 17 to $29 \%$ less than corresponding ones of the following three seasons (Table 13). Age Composition

Pairs of otoliths were aged for 1485 herring from stratified random samples from variable-mesh gill nets, midwater trawl, and purse seine and lampara net samples (Table 14). Differences in mean BL at age between these data and Table 13 are due to the pooling of data in Table 14 into $2-m m$ intervals and the exclusion of spent or partially spent fish in Table 13.

Three random samples were aged from the commercial gill net fishery from schools 3,4 , and 6 (Table 15). The higher mean BL for 3 -yr olds results from the use of $2.125-i n$. mesh which selects only the largest fish of this year class. The lower mean BL for $5-y r$ olds results from the difference between random samples here and the stratified random samples in Table 14. The fact that mean BL for 4-yr olds is fairly similar for both types of sampling is a result of the mean BL inherent in 2.125-in. mesh; the entire size range of $4-y r$ olds is well represented in 2.125-in. mesh.

An additional 137 large herring were selected for aging (Appendix G) for use in construction of an age-length key.

Variable-mesh Gill Net Samples. Samples for age composition were obtained for all schools except 9, 12, and 15 (Table 16, part 1). This was the first season in which a sample of the first

TABLE 14. Number of Pacific Herring at Age by Body Length (2-mm Intervals) from All San Francisco Bay Samples (Excluding Commercial Gill Net), October 1986 to March 1987.


TABLE 15. Number of Pacific Herring at Age by Body Length (2-mm Intervals) from Commercial Gill Net Samples, San Francisco Bay, December 1986 to January 1987.
Size interva
( mm )


174-175
176
178
180
182
184
186
188
190
192
194
196
198
200
202
204
206
208
210
212
214
216
230 1

| N | 52 | 136 | 90 | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean | 187.7 | 190.4 | 194.5 | 204.3 | 205.6 | 230.0 |
| Std. dev. | 5.0 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 7.9 | - |

TABLE 16. Percentage (by Number) Age Composition of Pacific Herring Samples, Combined by Assigned School Number, Based on Otolith Aging and Subsequent Age Assignments by Length, from San Francisco Bay, October 1986 to March 1987.

| School number | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | Age | $(y r)$ | 8 | 9 | Number aged | Number <br> assianed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 11 | 20 | 22 | 14 | 18 | 12 | 1 | 136 | 564 |
| 2 |  | 32 | 34 | 18 | 5 | 7 | 4 |  | 70 | 1 |
| 3 | 8 | 36 | 32 | 18. | 5 | 1 | - | - | 78 | 77 |
| 4 | 4 | 40 | 43 | 11 |  | 2 |  |  | 66 | 1 |
| 5 | 13 | 31 | 42 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  | 64 | 37 |
| 6 | 7 | 30 | 40 | 22 | 1 |  | T* |  | 22 | 160 |
| 7 | 15 | 30 | 28 | 21 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 49 | 52 |
| 8 | 24 | 28 | 24 | 19 | 3 | 2 | T* |  | 169 | 955 |
| 10 | 29 | 30 | 22 | 16 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 6 | 143 |
| 11 | 32 | 38 | 17 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 53 |
| 13 | 35 | 31 | 19 | 13 | 1 | 1 | T* |  | 19 | 888 |
| 14 | 35 | 29 | 20 | 13 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 7 | 151 |

part 2. from midwater trawl samples,

| School number | 2 | 3 | Age (yr) |  |  |  |  | Number |  | Number assianed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | aged |  |
| 3 | 8 | 38 | 32 | 18 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 10 | 64 |
| 4 | 15 | 38 | 38 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 165 |
| 5 | 18 | 33 | 42 | 5 |  | 2 |  |  | 12 | 45 |
| - 6 - | $17-$ | -36 | 32 | 12 | 2 | 1 | T* |  | 131 | 894 |
| 7 | 22 | 33 | 30 | 13 | 2 | T* |  | T* | 147 | 170 |
| 8 | 39 | 33 | 17 | 9 | 1 | 1 | T* |  | 156 | 891 |
| 10 | 38 | 41 | 17 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 21 | 75 |
| 11 | 54 | 28 | 15 | 3 | T* | T* |  |  | 0 | 336 |
| 13 | 53 | 30 | 9 | 6 | 2 | T* |  |  | 2 | 167 |
| 14 | 61 | 24 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 105 |
| 15 | 36 | 23 | 24 | 14 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 55 | 153 |

part 3. from roundhaul eamples

part 4. from commercial gill net amples.

| School <br> number | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Number | Number |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3,4,6$ | 17 | 47 | 31 | 3 | 2 | T* | 292 | 15 |

*T trace amount, less than 0.05 percent
epawning achool (late October) was obtained and age composition was very different from all succeeding schools. Forty-five percent of this school consisted of herring 6 yr and older. However, the 3-ton spawn escapement estimate does not contribute much to the total biomass and age composition for the spawning season. The usual trend can be seen of an increasing percentage of 2-yr-old fish as the season progressed, while 4- and 5-yr-old fish became less frequent in later schools. Herring 6 yr and older comprised less than $10 \%$ by number of all schools sampled after mid-November. The weak 1981 year class (6-yr olds) is poorly represented beginning with school 2.

Midwater Trawl Samples. Age class composition data showed the same general trend as gill net sample data (Table 16 , part 2). However, trawl samples yielded a higher percentage of 2-yrold fish and a lower percentage of herring 5 yr and older than gill net samples. The last school of the season, for which no spawn could be found, contained fewer younger fish than the previous schools. This was contrary to the overall trend of dominance of younger fish in schools later in the season. Herring 6 yr and older comprised no more than $4 \%$ by number of any school sampled.

Purge Seine and Lampara Net Samples. Roundhaul samples were confined to the latter half of the spawning season (January to March) and showed a more uniform age composition (Table 16, part 3). A slight increase in 2-yr olds and a corresponding decrease in 4-yr olds did occur from January to February (schools 8 to 14), while the age composition of school 15 did not conform to
the general trend. Herring 6 yr and older comprised no more than 4* by number of roundhaul samples.

Commercial Gill Net Samples. Approximately 100 fish were randomiy sampled and aged from each of three schools in December (Table 16, part 4). The majority of herring were 4- and 5-yr olds, with faster growing $3-y r$ olds also contributing significantly to the catch. The weak 1981 year class was poorly represented as 6-yr olds.

Comparison of Age Composition by Gear Type. Previous data (Reilly and Moore 1984, 1985, 1986) have shown that variable-mesh gill net samples are biased towards older, larger herring and overestimate their proportion in schools. As noted previously. it is believed that midwater trawl samples may overestimate the proportion of smaller fish due to avoidance or size stratification in the water column. In the absence of roundhaul fishing and samples, as occurs from October to December, the best approximation of the age composition of achool may reault from a combination of our variable-mesh gill net and midwater trawl samples. This year, we were able to sample five schools with gill net, trawl, and roundhaul. Comparisons were made between the age composition of roundhaul samples and the arithmetic, unweighted mean of gill net and trawl samples for each age class (Table 17). All Chi Square comparisons showed no significant differences ( $p=0.05$ ) between frequency distributions. However, comparisons of roundhaul and gill net age composition data (see Table 16) were aignificantly different in four of five cases, and one comparison between trawl and roundhaul samples was significantly different. Trawl samples are thus closer to the

TABLE 17. Comparison of Percentage Age Composition Data from Roundhaul Samples and Combination of Variable-mesh Gill Net and Midwater Trawl Samples, San Franciaco Bay, January to February, 1987.

| School number | Gear type | 2 | Age (yr) |  |  | 6-9 | Chi Square probability value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | RH | 33 | 32 | 22 | 9 | 4 | 0.53 |
|  | GN-MT | 32 | 30 | 22 | 14 | 3 |  |
| 10 | RH | 36 | 35 | 17 | 9 | 3 | 0.77 |
|  | GN-MT | 34 | 36 | 19 | 10 | 1 |  |
| 11 | RH | 48 | 33 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 0.21 |
|  | GN-MT | 43 | 33 | 16 | 8 | 0 |  |
| 13 | RH | 47 | 33 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0.34 |
|  | GN-MT | 44 | 31 | 14 | 9 | 2 |  |
| 14 | RH | 42 | 35 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 0.43 |
|  | GN-MT | 48 | 27 | 15 | 8 | 2 |  |

unbiased roundhaul samples than are gill net samples.
Total Age Composition for Spawning Season. The 1982 through 1985 year classes contributed $97 \%$ by number and $95 \%$ by weight to the total 1986-87 spawning biomass in San Francisco Bay (Table 18). Good recruitment has occurred during the past four seasons. The weak 1981 year class is beginning to cycle out of the fishery, while mortality factors and the strong showing of younger fish have resulted in the poor representation of herring 7 yr and older.

Catch of YOY Herring and Recruitment
Bay-Delta Project data have been examined during the past 4 yr to determine if a relationship exists between average catch per tow of YOY herring during the spring in San Francisco Bay and the magnitude of subsequent recruitment to the spawning grounds as 2-yr olds. Average catch per tow increased substantially from 1983 to 1985 and in 1986 was similar to that of 1985 (Table 19). Recruitment was calculated from percentage by number of 2-yr olds in each school, catch plus spawn escapement estimates (Spratt 1985, 1986, 1987a), and mean weight-at-age (Table 13, Reilly and Moore 1986). Estimated recruitment has only varied by 15\% during the past three seasons and does not reflect the magnitude or trend in YOY catches in the bay.

Tidea, Barometric Preasure, Rainfall and Spawning
From October 1986 to March 1987 there were nine tidal cycles in which the highest tide (+5.5 ft. or greater) during a $24-\mathrm{hr}$ period occurred at night (sunset to sunrise) (Figure 10). Five spawns occurred on four of these cycles within the season. If the

TABLE 18. Total Percentage Age Composition for 1983-84 through 1986-87 Spawning Seasons in San Francisco Bay.
part 1. percent by number
based on spawn escapement-plus-catch biomass estimates Age (yr)

| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8 \& 9$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1986-87$ | 29.2 | 33.6 | 23.1 | 11.2 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| $1985-86$ | 32.5 | 32.1 | 25.3 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| $1984-85$ | 38.7 | 43.9 | 5.7 | 4.8 | 5.4 | 1.4 | 0.1 |
| $1983-84$ | 56.6 | 11.9 | 15.8 | 12.6 | 2.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

based on hydroacoustic biomass estimates

|  | Age(yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8 \& 9$ |
| $1986-87$ | 35.1 | 34.0 | 19.6 | 9.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| $1985-86$ | 31.6 | 31.7 | 25.9 | 5.5 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 0.2 |
| $1984-85$ | 37.1 | 44.6 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| $1983-84$ | 51.1 | 11.7 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 |

part 2. percent by weight
based on spawn escapement-plus-catch estimates
Age (yr)

| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $8 \& 9$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1986-87$ | 19.0 | 31.9 | 27.8 | 16.6 | 2.6 | 1.8 | 0.3 |
| $1985-86$ | 22.1 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| $1984-85$ | 27.8 | 47.5 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 8.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| $1983-84$ | 42.1 | 12.7 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 |

based on hydroacoustic biomass estimates

|  | Age (yr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 869 |
| $1986-87$ | 23.8 | 33.7 | 24.5 | 13.9 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| $1985-86$ | 21.6 | 30.0 | 32.8 | 7.4 | 5.2 | 2.7 | 0.3 |
| $1984-85$ | 26.4 | 47.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 8.8 | 2.6 | 0.3 |
| $1983-84$ | 36.2 | 12.0 | 20.1 | 23.5 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 0.1 |

-Data from 1983-84 to 1985-86 have been revised subsequent to publication of previous administrative reports.

TABLE 19. Monthly Average Catch per Tow of Young-of-the-Year Pacific Herring in San Francisco Bay, April to June, 1983 to 1986! and Recruitment Estimates 1985 to 1987.


1/data from Bay-Delta Project

morning hours after sunrise following these cycles are included, then eight of the 12 major spawns are associated with these cycles. Of the four remaining spawns, three were associated with morning high tides while the other occurred on an afternoon high tide.

Average higheat tide height associated with the 12 major spawns was 5.9 ft . with a range of 4.8 to 6.9 ft . High tides continue to be a major influence on the timing of spawns in San Francisco Bay.

Periods of falling barometric pressure (Figure 10), though numerous, appeared to have little correlation with the onset of apawning as was aeen in the 1981-82 aeaaon (Reilly and Moore 1983).

Total rainfall during the spawning season amounted to 10.04 in. with the majority (8.3 in.) falling in the last half of the aix-month season. Periods of significant rainfall ( $\geq 0.1$ in.) began in December and were numerous throughout the rest of the aeason (Figure 10). Total rainfall was less than normal and local rainfall and delta outflows did little to inhibit spawning activity as was seen in previous years (Reilly and Moore 1983 , 1986). When aignificant rainfall did occur approximate to a spawn, a period of high tides at night was also coincident. DISCUSSION

Hydroacoustic biomass estimates, using the visual integration technique, have been within $40 x$ of the sum of the commercial catch and spawn eacapement estimates during each of the past five aeaaona. In general there has been good agreement between the largest achools detected acoustically and the largeat
apawns during a season. However, estimates for individual schools occasionally have differed widely with the two methods. For example, after January 23, the end of the largest spawn of the season, both visual and echo integration methods yielded a large biomass still present (school 11) in San Francisco Bay. Spawn surveys found only small quantities of egg deposits on January 31, date of the next spawn, and landings during the January 24-31 period were minimal. The concept of discrete schools may be valid as a spawn occurs, but herring from one school, instead of spawning, may break off and join an incoming school of unripe fish, complicating the separation of schools acoustically. For most schools, however, temporal and spatial separations occur and acoustic survey methods are valid.

Because of the inherent variability in spawn escapement and acoustic blomass estimation, and because of occasional anomalous spawning behavior patterns, it is important to continue both methods of quantification to obtain the most accurate and complete interpretation of biomass trends. On two occasions, schools (2 and 15) were detected acoustically, samples were obtained, but no spawn was found. Conversely, schools from October to mid-December are more difficult to monitor acoustically because of their relatively short residence times in the bay prior to spawning.

The Alaska herring fishery is managed on a real-time basis since the majority of spawning stocks appear on the grounds during a short time period. The protracted nature of the spawning season in San Francisco Bay, the longeat on the West

Coast, necessitates a management strategy attuned to quota adjustments for the following season after the completion of all spawns for the present season.

The need for revision of the biomass estimate from echo integration data results from the lack of information on the acoustical properties of herring specifically from San Francisco Bay. Since the average length of herring from San Francisco Bay is less than that of Washington, the target strength value used by Lemberg (1978) may not have been appropriate for biomass estimates here. A calibration survey is planned for next season which hopefully will obtain target strength values for individual herring. Since resulta from echo integration are dependent on scaling parameters, a simple multiplicative factor may be applied without the need to re-integrate data tapes.

The possibility also exists that daytime densities of herring schools in San Francisco Bay are so high as to cause an acoustic shadowing effect resulting in underestimation of biomass. The narrow pulse width and high frequency of the echo sounder were selected to minimize this effect. A night survey is planned for next season to compare estimates of the same school in the daytime.

During the process of echo integration, the operator must manually "track" the bottom to avoid integrating the relatively large bottom echo. This may result in either an overestimate in biomass, if the bottom echo is frequently integrated, or an underestimate if herring close to the bottom are excluded. As the skill of the operator improves, any bias from this effect will be minimized.

The 1986-87 herring apawning population in San Francisco Bay is composed primarily of four recently recruited year classes of average to above average strength. Results from Bay-Delta Project trawling in 1986 indicate that recruitment of $2-y r$ olds for next season's fishery also may be normal or better. Lengthweight and age-weight relationships are average to above average. One of the most consistent results during the 6 yr of this study has been the increase in percentage of females with increased gill net mesh size. Fishermen could use mesh larger than 2.125 in. and increase the roe content of landed fish.

The gradual switch from 2.25- to 2.125-in. mesh, which began in the January 1983 gill net fishery, has caused fishing mortality to increase for 3 - and $4-y r$ old-herring. This may be a partial cause of the shift of the age class structure to fewer herring aged 6 yr and older. The proportion dropped from 45 to 54\% of the gill net catch (Spratt 1981) during the first two seasons of the fishery sampled (1977-78, 1978-79) to 7\% this season (Spratt 1987b). Other contributing factors in the present age class structure are the weakness of the 1981 year class (6-yr olds) and mortality to the 1980 and older year classes during the El Niño event.

The need to continue sampling with both variable-mesh gill net and midwater trawl is apparent from a comparison with unbiased roundhaul samples. A better approximation of age class structure results from combining samples from our two types of research gear. This also improves the estimation of mean length and sex ratio for those schools not sampled with roundhaul nets.

Theae valuea are used in the calculation of spawn eacapement eatimates.
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APPENDIX A. Summary of Adult Herring Samplea from San Francisco Bay, October 1986 to March 1987.

| Sample number | Date | Location | Gear | Number measured | Number aged | Assigned school number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 454 | Oct 27 | KC | GN | 14 | 14 | 1 |
| 455 | Oct 29 | KC | GN | 686 | 122 | 1 |
| 456 | Nov 17 | PP | GN | 7 | 7 | 2 |
| 457 | Nov 19 | BELV | GN | 46 | 45 | 2 |
| 458 | Nov 20 | BELV | GN | 18 | 18 | 2 |
| 459 | Dec 1 | SB | MT | 149 | 16 | 4 |
| 460 | Dec 1 | SB | MT | 74 | 10 | 3 |
| 461 | Dec 1 | SB | GN | 155 | 78 | 3 |
| 462 | Dec 1 | SB | CGN | 106 | 102 | 3 |
| 463 | Dec 2 | SB | CGN | 107 | 2 | 3 |
| 464 | Dec 3 | SB | CGN | 100 | 2 | 4 |
| 465 | Dec 3 | SB | MT | 33 | 1 | 4 |
| 466 | Dec 8 | SB | CGN | 111 | 96 | 4 |
| 467 | Dec 9 | SF | GN | 67 | 66 | 4 |
| 468 | Dec 10 | YBI | GN | 182 | 22 | 6 |
| 469 | Dec 12 | RAC | MT | 57 | 12 | 5 |
| 470 | Dec 12 | BELV | GN | 101 | 64 | 5 |
| 471 | Dec 15 | SB | CGN | 100 | 94 | 6 |
| 472 | Dec 15 | SB | CGN | 111 | 2 | 6 |
| 47311 | Dec 16 | YBI | MT | 355 | 134 | 6 |
| 47411 | Dec 16 | YBI | MT | 355 | 0 | 6 |
| 47511 | Dec 16 | YBI | MT | 292 | 0 | 6 |
| 476 | Dec 24 | RAC | MT | 220 | 101 | 7 |
| 477 | Dec 27 | YBI | MT | 46 | 46 | 7 |
| 478 | Dec 27 | YBI | MT | 23 | 0 | 6 |
| 479 | Dec 27 | YBI | GN | 101 | 49 | 7 |
| 480 | Dec 30 | SF | MT | 485 | 33 | 8 |
| 481 | Dec 30 | SF | MT | 51 | 0 | 7 |
| 482 | Jan 4 | BELV | GN | 50 | 47 | 8 |
| 483 | Jan 4 | SF | MT | 563 | 124 | 8 |
| 484 | Jan 5 | TIB | CGN | 124 | 2 | 8 |
| 485 | Jan 5 | TIB | RH | 140 | 7 | 8 |
| 486 | Jan 5 | BELV | GN | 683 | 99 | 8 |
| 487 | Jan 7 | TIB | CGN | 121 | 0 | 8 |
| 488 | Jan 9 | AI | GN | 192 | 14 | 8 |
| 489 | Jan 9 | AI | GN | 199 | 9 | 8 |
| 490 | Jan 12 | SB | MT | 50 | 0 | 8 |
| 491 | Jan 12 | SB | GN | 112 | 3 | 10 |
| 492 | Jan 13 | Pp | MT | 96 | 21 | 10 |
| 493 | Jan 13 | PP | RH | 111 | 65 | 10 |
| 494 | Jan 14 | SB | CGN | 112 | 3 | 10 |
| 495 | Jan 14 | HP | CGN | 111 | 2 | 10 |
| 496 | Jan 19 | ALC | RH | 170 | 1 | 11 |
| 497 | Jan 19 | SB | CGN | 102 | 6 | 10 |
| 498 | Jan 20 | SB | CGN | 108 | 11 | 10 |
| 499 | Jan 21 | SF | RH | 180 | 82 | 11 |
| 500 | Jan 21 | SF | RH | 164 | 16 | 11 |
| 501 | Jan 21 | SF | RH | 105 | 3 | 10 |
| 502 | Jan 21 | SF | GN | 53 | 0 | 11 |

APPENDIX A. (cont'd)

| Sample number | Date | Location | Gear | Number measured | Number aged | Assigned school number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 503 | Jan 21 | SB | GN | 37 | 4 | 10 |
| 504 | Jan 23 | SB | RH | 166 | 4 | 10 |
| 505 | Jan 23 | ALC | MT | 218 | 1 | 11 |
| 506 | Jan 26 | Saus | RH | 129 | 4 | 13 |
| 507 | Jan 26 | YBI | RH | 200 | 0 | 11 |
| 508 | Jan 28 | SAUS | RH | 146 | 84 | 13 |
| 509 | Jan 28 | saus | RH | 114 | 15 | 13 |
| 510 | Jan 28 | saus | GN | 20 | 0 | 13 |
| 511 | Jan 30 | SB | RH | 159 | 2 | 11 |
| 512 | Jan 30 | SAUS | RH | 179 | 0 | 13 |
| 513 | Feb 2 | SAUS | RH | 131 | 1 | 13 |
| 514 | Feb 2 | SAUS | RH | 150 | 3 | 13 |
| 515 | Feb 3 | HR | RH | 142 | 1 | 13 |
| 51E | Fet 3 | gaus | GN | 100 | 3 | 13 |
| 517 | Feb 6 | SF | MT | 107 | 2 | 14 |
| 518 | Feb 6 | SF | MT | 119 | 0 | 11 |
| 519 | Feb 9 | saus | RH | 140 | 2 | 13 |
| 520 | Feb 10 | TIB | RH | 196 | 0 | 13 |
| 521 | Feb 10 | TIB | RH | 138 | 0 | 13 |
| 522 | Feb 10 | TIB | GN | 144 | 5 | 13 |
| 523 | Feb 12 | SB | RH | 132 | 0 | 14 |
| 524 | Feb 16 | AI | MT | 170 | 3 | 13 |
| 525 | Feb 16 | AI | GN | 221 | 8 | 13 |
| 526 | Feb 16 | HP | RH | 159 | 5 | 14 |
| 527 | Feb 18 | ALC | RH | 156 | 3 | 14 |
| 528 | Feb 19 | ALC | RH | 168 | 2 | 14 |
| 529 | Feb 20 | PP | RH | 176 | 2 | 14 |
| 530 | Feb 20 | AI | GN | 237 | 1 | 13 |
| 531 | Feb 24 | TIB | GN | 186 | 3 | 13 |
| 532 | Feb 25 | HP | GN | 158 | 7 | 14 |
| 533 | Mar 2 | PP | MT | 54 | 53 | 15 |
| 534 | Mar 10 | SAUS | RH | 154 | 43 | 15 |
| 535 | Mar 10 | SAUS | RH | 165 | 0 | 15 |
| 536 | Mar 11 | SaUS | RH | 57 | 5 | 15 |
| 537 | Mar 11 | SAUS | RH | 181 | 3 | 15 |
| 538 | Mar 12 | SAUS | RH | 162 | 0 | 15 |
| 539 | Mar 13 | PP | MT | 29 | 0 | 15 |
| 540 | Mar 16 | PP | MT | 127 | 4 | 15 |

Legend: AI-Angel Island: ALC-Alcatraz; BELV-Belvedere Cove:
HP-Hunters Point: HR-Harding Rock Buoy: PP-Peninsula Point; RAC-Raccoon Strait; SAUS-Sausalito to Pt. Cavallo; SB-South San Francieco Bay between OaklandBay Bridge and Hunters Point; SF-San Francisco between Oakland-Bay Bridge and Golden Gate Bridge; TIBTiburon; YBI-Yerba Buena Island.

Legend: CGN-commercial gill net, 2.125-in,mesh
GN-5-panel gill net, mesh sizes 1.5, 1.75, 2.0. 2.25,

## APPENDIX A. (cont'd)

```
        2.5 in.
        MT- 12- by 12-ft (mouth opening) midwater trawl
    RH- commercial purse seine or lampara net
lone of three parts of sample.
```



APPENDIX B. (cont'd)
Body



222
224
226
228
230
$\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrrrr}\text { N } & 50 & 683 & 192 & 199 & 112 & 53 & 37 & 20 & 100 & 144 \\ \text { Mean } & 183.1 & 184.4 & 184.9 & 179.6 & 179.6 & 175.3 & 181.9 & 178.8 & 177.0 & 177.9\end{array}$

APENDIX B. (cont'd)

| Body <br> length <br> (mm) | 525 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sampl } \\ 530 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { number } \\ 531 \end{gathered}$ | 532 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130-139 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 140-141 |  |  |  | 2 |
| 142 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 144 |  |  |  |  |
| 146 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 148 |  |  | 3 | 1 |
| 150 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 152 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 154 | 1 | 8 |  |  |
| 156 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| 158 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 1 |
| 160 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| 162 | 6 | 21 | 11 | 8 |
| 164 | 8 | 15 | 11 | 9 |
| 166 | 10 | 31 | 15 | 10 |
| 168 | 17 | 19 | 16 | 5 |
| 170 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 13 |
| 172 | 17 | 22 | 14 | 14 |
| 174 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 8 |
| 176 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 8 |
| 178 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 180 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 182 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 |
| 184 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 186 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| 188 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 190 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 2 |
| 192 | 16 | 3 | 7 | 4 |
| 194 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| 196 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| 198 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 3 |
| 200 | $\epsilon$ | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 202 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 3 |
| 204 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| 206 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 208 | 3 | 2 |  | 1 |
| 210 | 4 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 212 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 214 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 216 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 218 |  |  |  |  |
| 220 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 222 |  |  |  | 1 |
| N | 221 | 237 | 186 | 158 |
| Mean | 182.5 | 173.4 | 176.0 | 177.9 |

APFENDIX C. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-min Intervale) from Midwater Trawi Samples in San Francisco Bay, December 1, 1986 to March 16, 1987.


APPENDIX C. (cont'd)

| Body length |  |  |  | Sample | number |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (mm) | 478 | 480 | 481 | 483 | 490 | 492 | 505 | 517 | 518 | 524 |
| 130-139 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 3 |  | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| 140-141 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |
| 142 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 144 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 146 |  | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 |  | 4 | 2 |  | 4 |
| 148 |  | 7 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 150 |  | 1 |  | 10 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 152 |  | 7 |  | 14 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 4 |
| 154 |  | 2 |  | 11 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 |
| 156 |  | 16 |  | 21 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 10 |
| 158 |  | 13 |  | 21 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 9 |
| 160 |  | 18 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 9 |
| 162 |  | 18 |  | 31 |  | 6 | 17 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
| 164 | 1 | 28 | 4 | 36 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| 166 | 1 | 24 | 4 | 47 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 16 |
| 168 |  | 31 | 2 | 35 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 5 | 9 | 8 |
| 170 |  | 20 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 172 | 1 | 37 | 5 | 39 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 7 | 6 | 23 |
| 174 |  | 28 | 2 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| 176 | 3 | 30 | 2 | 27 |  | 8 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 178 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 180 |  | 20 | 1 | 20 |  | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 182 | 1 | 28 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 |
| 184 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 17 |  | 3 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |
| 186 | 4 | 20 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 7 |  | 1 | 3 |
| 188 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 11 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |
| 190 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 11 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |
| 192 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 16 |  | 2 | 6 | 1 |  | 3 |
| 194 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 10 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 196 |  | 16 |  | 12 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 198 |  | 6 | 1 | 10 |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1 |
| 200 |  | 6 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 202 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 10 |  | 2 |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 204 |  | 7 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 206 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 208 | 1 | 2 |  | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 210 |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 212 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 216 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 220 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| N | 23 | 485 | 51 | 563 | 50 | 96 | 218 | 107 | 119 | 170 |
| Mean | 186.5 | 175.9 | 179.0 | 173.3 | 161.5 | 172.3 | 169.2 | 165.6 | 166.7 | 169.3 |

```
APPENDIX C. (cont'd)
```

| Body <br> length <br> (mm) | Sample <br> 533 number <br> 539 <br> 1  |  | 540 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130-139 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 140-141 |  |  |  |
| 142 |  |  | 1 |
| 144 |  |  | 1 |
| 146 |  |  | 1 |
| 148 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 150 |  | 2 |  |
| 152 |  |  | 1 |
| 154 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 156 | 2 |  | 3 |
| 158 | 2 |  | 4 |
| 160 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 162 | 4 |  | 6 |
| 164 |  |  | 9 |
| 166 | 3 |  | 9 |
| 168 |  |  | 7 |
| 170 | 1 |  | 9 |
| 172 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| 174 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 176 | 4 | 1 | 7 |
| 178 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 180 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 182 |  | 1 | 8 |
| 184 |  | 1 | 3 |
| 186 | 5 | 1 | 2 |
| 188 | 2 |  | 2 |
| 190 | 2 | 1 | 8 |
| 192 | 1 |  | 3 |
| 194 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 196 | 1 |  | 4 |
| 198 | 3 |  | 5 |
| 200 |  | 2 | 2 |
| 202 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 204 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 206 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 208 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 210 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 212 |  |  | 1 |
| 216 |  |  | 1 |
| N | 54 | 29 | 127 |
| Mean | 180.1 | 183.4 | 176.0 |

APPENDIX D. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-mm Intervala) from Purse Seine and Lampara Net Samples in San Francisco Bay, January 5 to March 12, 1987.



APPENDIX D.(cont'd)

| Body <br> length <br> (mm) | 526 | 527 | 528 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ 529 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { number } \\ 534 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 535 | 536 | 537 | 538 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130-139 | 3 |  | 3 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| 140-141 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 142 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 4 | 1 |
| 144 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 146 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |
| 148 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| 150 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |
| 152 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| 154 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  | 2 |
| 156 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 7 |
| 158 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 6 |
| 160 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 162 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 15 | 8 |
| 164 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| 166 | 14 | 19 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 6 |
| 168 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| 170 | 13 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 9 |
| 172 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 6 |
| 174 | 3 | 10 | 19 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 176 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 15 | 3 |
| 178 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 5 |
| 180 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| 182 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 184 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| 186 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 2 |
| 188 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 10 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 190 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 192 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 6 |
| 194 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| 196 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 198 | 6 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 200 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 |
| 202 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 7 |  | 5 | 3 |
| 204 | 1 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 206 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 208 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 210 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 212 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 214 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 216 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 159 | 156 | 168 | 176 | 154 | 165 | 57 | 181 | 162 |
| Mean | 171.2 | 173.5 | 171.4 | 166.9 | 176.4 | 176.2 | 178.2 | 174.0 | 177.6 |

```
APPENDIX E. Number of Pacific Herring by Body Length (2-mm Intervals)
from Commercial Gill Net Samples in San Francisco Bay.
December 1, 1986 to January 20, 1987.
```

| Body <br> length <br> (mm) | 462 | 463 | 464 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ 466 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { number } \\ 471 \end{gathered}$ | 472 | 484 | 487 | 494 | 495 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 164-165 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 166 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 168 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| 170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| 174 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 7 | 2 |
| 176 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| 178 | 3 | 4 | 1 |  | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 12 | 5 |
| 180 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| 182 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 19 | 5 | 5 |
| 184 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 8 |
| 186 | 10 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 14 | 12 | 5 | 10 |
| 188 | 9 | 17 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 8 |
| 190 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 10 | 10 |
| 192 | 13 | 9 | 17 | 14 | 13 | 10 | 27 | 10 | 5 | 12 |
| 194 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 5 |
| 196 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| 198 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 7 |
| 200 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 4 |
| 202 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 |  | 9 |
| 204 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 4 |
| 206 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 208 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 |
| 210 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| 212 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |
| 214 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 216 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 1 |
| 218 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| 222 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 226 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 106 | 107 | 100 | 111 | 100 | 111 | 124 | 121 | 112 | 111 |
| Mean | 192.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 193.5 | 191.1 | 189.9 | 193.0 | 192.0 | 187.3 | 91.4 |

APPENDIX E. (Cont'd)

| Body <br> length <br> (mm) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sampl } \\ 497 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | number $498$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 168-169 | 1 |  |
| 170 |  | 1 |
| 172 | 4 | 1 |
| 174 | 6 | 2 |
| 176 | 6 | 2 |
| 178 | 3 | 3 |
| 180 | 9 | 3 |
| 182 | 11 | 6 |
| 184 | 3 | 4 |
| 186 | 3 | 4 |
| 188 | 7 | 6 |
| 190 | 9 | 7 |
| 192 | 5 | 10 |
| 194 | 3 | 4 |
| 196 | 4 | 8 |
| 198 | 3 | 6 |
| 200 | 1 | 7 |
| 202 | 5 | 6 |
| 204 | 5 | 8 |
| 206 | 5 | 4 |
| 208 | 3 | 5 |
| 210 |  | 3 |
| 212 | 4 | 4 |
| 214 | 1 | 2 |
| 216 | 1 |  |
| 218 |  | 2 |
| N | 102 | 108 |
| Mean | 190.2 | 195.4 |

APPENDIX F. Estimated Weight at Length for Pacific Herring from San Francisco Bay, 1986-87

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Body } \\ & \text { leng } \end{aligned}$ | Males | Females | Both | Body length (mm) | Males | Females | Both |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | 31.0 | 31.5 | 30.7 | 220 | 173.7 | 180.3 | 178.3 |
| 132 | 32.6 | 33.1 | 32.3 | 222 | 178.9 | 185.8 | 183.7 |
| 134 | 34.2 | 34.8 | 34.1 | 224 | 184.3 | 191.4 | 189.3 |
| 136 | 35.9 | 36.6 | 35.7 | 226 | 189.7 | 197.2 | 195.0 |
| 138 | 37.7 | 38.4 | 37.5 | 228 | 195.3 | 203.0 | 200.8 |
| 140 | 39.5 | 40.3 | 39.4 | 230 | 200.9 | 209.0 | 206.8 |
| 142 | 41.4 | 42.2 | 41.3 | 232 | 206.7 | 215.1 | 212.9 |
| 144 | 43.3 | 44.2 | 43.3 | 234 | 212.6 | 221.3 | 219.1 |
| 146 | 45.3 | 46.3 | 45.3 | 236 | 218.6 | 227.6 | 225.4 |
| 148 | 47.4 | 48.4 | 47.4 | 238 | 224.8 | 234.1 | 231.8 |
| 150 | 49.5 | 50.6 | 49.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 152 | 51.7 | 52.9 | 51.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 154 | 54.0 | 55.2 | 54.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 156 | 56.3 | 57.7 | 56.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 158 | 58.7 | 60.1 | 59.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | 61.2 | 62.7 | 61.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 162 | 63.7 | 65.3 | 64.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 164 | 66.3 | 68.1 | 66.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 166 | 69.0 | 70.8 | 69.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 168 | 71.8 | 73.7 | 72.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 170 | 74.6 | 76.7 | 75.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 172 | 77.5 | 79.7 | 78.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 174 | 80.5 | 82.8 | 81.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 176 | 83.6 | 86.0 | 84.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 178 | 86.8 | 89.3 | 87.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | 90.0 | 92.7 | 91.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 182 | 93.3 | 96.1 | 94.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 184 | 96.7 | 99.7 | 98.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 186 | 100.2 | 103.3 | 101.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 188 | 103.8 | 107.1 | 105.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 190 | 107.4 | 110.9 | 109.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | 111.2 | 114.8 | 113.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 194 | 115.0 | 118.8 | 117.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 196 | 119.0 | 122.9 | 121.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 198 | 123.0 | 127.1 | 125.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | 127.1 | 131.4 | 129.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 202 | 131.3 | 135.9 | 134.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 204 | 135.6 | 140.4 | 138.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 206 | 140.0 | 145.0 | 143.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 208 | 144.5 | 149.7 | 147.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 210 | 149.1 | 154.5 | 152.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 212 | 153.8 | 159.5 | 157.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 214 | 158.7 | 164.5 | 162.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 216 | 163.6 | 169.7 | 167.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 218 | 168.6 | 174.9 | 172.9 |  |  |  |  |

APPENDIX G. Number of Pacific Herring at Age by Body Length (2mm Intervals) for Selected Fish from San Francisco Bay, December 1986 to February 1987.

| Body <br> length <br> (mm) | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Age } \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 8 | 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210-211 | 4 | 24 | 7 | 1 |  |  |
| 212 | 4 | 26 | 2 | 5 |  |  |
| 214 |  | 9 | 3 | 5 |  |  |
| 216 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| 218 |  | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| 220 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 222 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| 224 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 226 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 234 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 236 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| N | 10 | 84 | 21 | 18 | 3 | 1 |

