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#### Abstract

The 1993-94 spawning biomass estimate for Pacific herring, Clupea pallasi, in Tomales Bay declined $40 \%$ from last season to 2,449 tons. Although this estimate is below the 20-year average of 4,700 tons, the spawning biomass estimate this year is close to the post $1982 \mathrm{El} \mathrm{Niño}$ 11 -year average of 2,054 tons. The overall trend of increasing biomass since the 1989-90 season still continues. There were eight distinct spawns this season, the most in five years, with the largest spawn occurring in late January.

A total of 3.5 million $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of eelgrass, Zostera marina, was measured in Tomales Bay this season. Eelgrass density increased in the majority of the beds.

The commercial gillnet fleet in Tomales Bay caught a total of 219 tons of herring this season yielding an exploitation rate of $8.9 \%$. Herring aged four, five, and six comprised $90 \%$ by number of this season's commercial gill net catch. Mean weight of herring ages three, six, and seven decreased slightly over last season while increases were seen for ages four, five, and eight. Mean length of commercial caught herring increased slightly over 1992-93.

Department variable-mesh gill nets caught a total of 455 herring of which 232 were aged. The dominant age class was four-yr-olds comprising $27 \%$ of the sample by number, followed by six-yr-olds representing the highly successful 1988 year class.

In Humboldt Bay, the 1993-94 commercial catch of 62.8 tons was about $5 \%$ over the 1993-94 season quota of 60 tons and was well above Humboldt Bay's 21-year average catch of 40.4 tons.

Crescent City area herring fishermen caught 32.5 tons, approximately $2 \%$ over the season quota for the Crescent City area. The 1993-94 season commercial catch is well above the 20year average of 23.7 tons for this area.


## Introduction

Since 1973, the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) has estimated the annual spawning biomass of Pacific herring, Clupea pallasi, in Tomales Bay as well as the age, length and sex composition of the herring catch for the area's roe fishery. Biomass estimates were derived from estimates of herring eggs deposited during the spawning season. California bays where herring spawn are relatively small and well suited for intensive spawning-ground surveys.

This report includes the spawning biomass estimate, biological characteristics, and landing statistics of the catch for the 1993-94 spawning season in Tomales Bay. Landing statistics are also reported for the Humboldt Bay and Crescent City area roe herring fisheries.

## Description of Study Areas

## Tomales Bay

Tomales Bay (Figure 1) lies in Marin County, north of San Francisco. It is 20 km $(12.4 \mathrm{mi})$ long and averages $1.5 \mathrm{~km}(0.9 \mathrm{mi})$ wide. The predominant flora in the bay is eelgrass, Zostera marina (Hardwick 1973), which is surveyed annually for its distribution (Figure 1). Herring spawn primarily on eelgrass but other species of marine flora (Gracilaria sp., Phylospadix sp., and Ulva $s p$.), are utilized to a much lesser extent. Herring may also spawn on substrates other than plants in this bay including bare rocks and pier pilings in the intertidal and subtidal zones.

## Humboldt Bay

Humboldt Bay is California's northernmost embayment, $129 \mathrm{~km}(80 \mathrm{mi})$ south of the Oregon border. Humboldt Bay has an unusual shape, with the northern and southern ends


FIGURE 1. Tomales Bay, California with numbered vegetation beds. All beds are eelgrass except where (*) indicates Gracilaria spp.
broadened into shallow mud flats that are interspersed by tidal drainage channels. These mud flats, which are exposed on most minus tides, support vast areas of eelgrass covering an estimated 13 million $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (Harding and Butler 1979). The general distribution of eelgrass in north Humboldt Bay has not changed since 1979. Herring utilize both the north and south ends of the bay, but previous surveys found most spawning occurs in the northern end (Rabin and Barnhart 1986).

## Crescent City Area

The Crescent City harbor breakwater and all rocky areas and kelp beds to the south near the harbor provide spawning habitat. Elk Creek discharges into the harbor embayment providing a source of freshwater and depresses
salinities during significant rainfall events. Most fishing takes place in the area around the commercial boat marina.

## Methods

## Tomales Bay

## Spawning-Ground Surveys

Spawning-ground surveys were conducted from 5 November 1993 to 28 March 1994. As in the previous year, sampling was reduced from daily to 3-4 days per week due to project budget restrictions. We inspected eelgrass beds (Figure 1) for evidence of spawn from a $5.2 \mathrm{~m}(17 \mathrm{ft})$ boat. Spawn deposition area and density of spawn was determined by dragging a vegetation sampler (rake) through eelgrass beds to collect samples at random locations. The number of samples taken per bed (minimum of four) varied with the size of the bed, and at least 10 g of eelgrass with eggs were collected per sample. When the perimeter of the spawn deposition was found, the location was marked by dropping an anchored float as a reference point. Measuring between floats with an optical range finder provided linear measurements to calculate spawning area.

Processing of spawn samples was unchanged from previous seasons (Spratt 1981). Herring eggs were removed from the eelgrass blades and counted or estimated by weighing (ca. $750 / \mathrm{g}$ ) to the nearest 0.1 g . The eelgrass was then reweighed to the nearest 0.1 g to obtain the number of eggs per unit weight of eelgrass.

Density of eelgrass for beds with $100 \%$ bottom cover was estimated using a multiple linear regression between eelgrass blade measurements and density (Spratt, 1989):

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{l}}(\text { length })+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{w}}(\text { width })+\mathrm{B}
$$

where:
$Y=k g$ eelgrass per $m^{2}$
$a_{1}=$ slope of regression for length variable
$a_{w}=$ slope of regression for width variable
$B=Y$ intercept

During December and January, eelgrass blade length and width measurements were taken from eelgrass samples collected from the project's boat with a vegetation sampler. Between six and 15 sets of eelgrass blade lengths and widths were collected from 30 of the 37 eelgrass beds in the bay (Table 1). The 1993-94 eelgrass density values were computed by substituting these eelgrass data in the regression formula.

Estimated eelgrass densities had to be adjusted downward if bottom coverage was less than $100 \%$. Percent cover estimates were determined using the paper traces from a Lowrance model LRG 1510 recording fathometer. Percent cover estimates were obtained by examining traces for each bed and determining what percentage of the trace exhibited eelgrass.

We remeasured the area of 23 eelgrass beds. The perimeter of smaller eelgrass beds was determined with a recording fathometer, then marked with anchored floats. An optical range finder was used to measure distance between floats, and these measurements were used to calculate area. Larger beds were measured by triangulation using known landmarks or plotting bed perimeters on navigation charts and calculating the area directly from the chart.

Spawning in intertidal areas was estimated by measuring the area of spawn and collecting eggs from random $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ quadrants or by removing samples of cobble covered with eggs. In the laboratory, the density of eggs per $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ was determined for rock, cobble, and gravel substrates by counting or estimating.

Biomass Computation In Tomales Bay, the estimated number of herring eggs spawned was converted to tons of spawning adult fish by incorporating sex ratio estimates for each spawning run. The sex ratio of spawning schools was determined from herring caught in multipanel research gill nets. However, we were not able to obtain the sex ratio of all schools due either to an inability to catch herring or because commercial fishing had altered the sex ratios. When sex ratio data were not available, an average sex ratio based on previous season's data for similar spawn dates was used. The following formula was used to calculate the conversion factor:

Conversion factor $=1 /(\mathrm{Fxf} / \mathrm{p} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{K})$
where:
$\mathrm{F}=$ fecundity (males and females combined)
$\mathrm{f}=$ percent females in a given spawning run.
$\mathrm{P}=$ percent females in population (assumed to be $50 \%$ )

$$
\mathrm{K}=908,000(\mathrm{grams} / \text { short ton })
$$

A fecundity value of 113 eggs $/ \mathrm{g}$ of body weight (males and females combined) (Hardwick 1973) was used in calculating 1993-94 biomass estimates.

Confidence Limits Confidence limits for herring spawning escapement estimates in Tomales Bay were calculated from variation in the density of egg deposits (Sokal and Rohlf 1969). Each spawning event usually encompassed several small spawning sites and total spawning escapement was the sum of the estimates for each site (Table 6). The confidence intervals were calculated for most spawn sites individually. In some cases where a large discrete variation in density of egg deposition occurred within an eelgrass bed, separate estimates of spawning within the bed were calculated.

## Catch Sampling

Tomales Bay fishery samples were collected at an off-loading site at Marshall. Up to four samples (one per boat) were routinely taken per day from the commercial gill net catch when herring were available. Each sample, consisting of 20 randomly selected herring, was collected from bins or totes after vessels unloaded.

Tomales Bay samples were processed fresh when time permitted and remaining samples were frozen for later processing. Laboratory procedures have remained unchanged since the fishery began in 1973 (Spratt 1981). A 1.0 kg subsample was randomly selected for processing. Each fish in the subsample was weighed to the nearest 0.1 g , measured in body length (BL) to the nearest millimeter, and sex and maturity were determined. Body length was measured from the tip of the snout to the end of the silver pigmentation on the caudal peduncle. Otoliths were removed for age determination and stored in gelatin capsules. Ages were determined from otoliths by the authors using previously determined criteria (Spratt 1981). Population Sampling

A variable-mesh monofilament nylon set gill net was used to collect fish for age and sex composition analysis. The gill net was constructed of five $10-\mathrm{ft}$. panels, each with a different mesh size ( $1.5,1.75,2.0,2.25$, and 2.5 in. mesh).

We determined the sex of all herring captured, measured their body length to the nearest millimeter, and removed otoliths for age analysis.

## Length Corrections

This season some herring samples from Tomales Bay were frozen before processing. Reilly and Moore (1982) determined that herring shrink when they are frozen. They developed correction factors for thawed lengths. Based on these corrections, body lengths for frozen/thawed fish in four
categories were increased as follows: 125-155 mm BL, 4 mm ; $156-189 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mathrm{~mm} ; 190-224$ $\mathrm{mm}, 6 \mathrm{~mm} ; 225-250 \mathrm{~mm}, 7 \mathrm{~mm}$.

## Results

## Tomales Bay

## Spawning-Ground Surveys

This season 32 of the 37 total previously located eelgrass beds (Figure 1) were found in Tomales Bay. Twenty-four of the 32 eelgrass beds were remeasured this season (Table 1).

Vegetation Density Estimates The relationship between eelgrass density and blade length and width for the 1993-94 season was:

$$
\text { Density }\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=0.002177(\mathrm{l})+.0765(\mathrm{w})-
$$

$$
1.1810, \mathrm{r}^{2}=.61
$$

The majority of the beds increased in density (Table 2). However, over 90\% of the 1993-94 herring spawning occurred in eelgrass beds that had decreased in density.

Spawning Biomass There were eight distinct periods of spawning this season, the most in five years. The first spawning occurred 19 November 1993 at eelgrass bed 1A (Figure 1 and Table 3). The season's second spawn took place on 14 December 1993, again utilizing eelgrass bed 1A as well as beds 1B, and 1C (Table 3). The December spawning biomass total of 370 tons is considerably less than last December's near record high monthly total of 1,346 tons.

Several small spawns occurred as the fishery proceeded in early and mid-January (Table 3). The largest spawn this season occurred on 27 January 1994 and covered five separate eelgrass beds (Figure 1 and Table 3). Three more spawns occurred in February, with the second largest spawn of the season
covering a three-day period from 6 to 8 February. The season's last spawn occurred on 13 February 1993 (Table 3).

Spawning herring utilized only eight of the 32 eelgrass beds located in Tomales Bay this season. These beds are located in the inner portion of the bay most influenced by freshwater input from Lagunitas Creek, the bay's major source of freshwater inflow.

This season's spawning escapement estimate for Tomales Bay was 2,230 tons (Table 3). No hydroacoustic surveys were attempted in outer Bodega Bay during the 1993-94 season. Additionally, herring fishing in the outer Bodega Bay was closed again for the second consecutive season so the catch was composed entirely from fish caught in Tomales Bay (Table 4). The 1993-94 spawning biomass estimate of 2,449 tons in Tomales Bay was the second highest for the Bodega/Tomales Bay areas in the past seven seasons (Table 5).

Confidence Limits The 95\% confidence intervals for the 1993-94 season were broad for smaller spawns due to the very light and patchy distribution of spawn (Table 6). In fact most of the spawns this season were relatively small with only four of the 24 separate spawns on individual eelgrass beds totaling more than 150 tons.

Confidence limits were narrower ( $<50 \%$ ) for the four largest spawn sites, which accounted for over $65 \%$ of the spawn escapement.

## Catch Sampling

A total of 729 herring from commercial catches was measured and sexed this season. Of that total, 237 herring from 24 samples were collected and processed for age determination and 704 were weighed.

Age Composition The dominant age groups this season were again four through six-yr-old herring, comprising $90 \%$ ( $92 \%$ last year) by number and $88 \%$ ( $91 \%$ last year) by
weight of the Tomales Bay commercial gill net catch (Table 7). Including seven-yr-old herring, these four age groups comprised over $98 \%$ ( $99 \%$ last year) of the catch by number. The number of four-yr-old herring, an indicator of recruitment, decreased slightly to $14 \%$ ( $15 \%$ last year) of the catch by number (Table 7).

Length Composition The average length of herring in the 1993-1994 commercial gill net catch was 197 mm , slightly larger than last season (Table 8). The length of four through six-yr-old herring increased an average of 2 mm from the 1992-93 season (Moore and Mello 1995)(Table 9).

Weight Composition Mean weights-at-age of the three dominant age groups in this season's catch from Tomales Bay ranged from 1 g below the long-term mean for six-yr-olds to 3 g above the long-term mean for five-yr-olds (Table 10). The mean weights-atage for the two older age groups ranged from 1 g below the long-term mean for seven-yearolds to 8 g above the long-term mean for eight-yr-old fish. The large number of six and seven-yr-old herring ( $44 \%$ by number) dropped the 15 -year combined unweighted mean to the fifth lowest value since the 1979-80 season (Table 10).

Sex Ratio Male to female number and biomass (weight) ratios of the 1993-94 Tomales Bay commercial catch were nearly equal, at $1: 0.96$ and $1: 0.99$, respectively. The percent of females in the catch was $49 \%$ by number and $50 \%$ by weight.

## Population Sampling

Herring held in Tomales Bay prior to spawning making them available for prespawning sampling. Spawning occurred in early December and early January. A few fish were caught in mid-January with the majority of sampled fish this season caught in late January (Table 11). Our final variable mesh gill net sample was obtained on 11 February
prior to a small spawn.
Sex and Length Composition The mean body length for research gill net-caught herring was 192.5 mm ; mean lengths were smallest in December 1993, and greatest in February 1994 (Table 11). Catches were heavily male dominated in December and January with February sex ratios still slightly male dominated. Reilly and Moore (1985) found that herring schools in San Francisco Bay were male dominated in November and December, sex ratios were about even by midJanuary, and were female dominated in February and March. Additionally, body length was greatest for schools found during November and December and typically decreased throughout the rest of the spawning season.

Age Composition We captured a total of 455 herring, of which 232 herring between 158 mm and 240 mm were used for aging (Table 12). The four-yr-old age class was dominant and comprised $27 \%$ of the sample by number (Table 13). Age class six was the next most abundant reflecting the still strong 1988 year class. Age class three comprised a slightly lower percentage of the total age composition than was seen in the previous three seasons. Older age classes (eight and nine) were present in 1993-94 in similar numbers as the previous season and comprised $3 \%$ of the total number of herring aged (Table 13).

## Fisheries

## Tomales Bay

The season opened with an initial 300 ton quota at sunset on Sunday, 2 January 1994. A total of about 66 tons of herring were landed on 3 January 1994 (Table 14). Roe recovery averaged $11.2 \%$ and ended fishers' concerns that initial landings would, as in the last season, be below the $10 \%$ dealer minimum. Fishing resumed on 16 January as herring
began to spawn and approximately 69 tons of herring were landed by 17 January. No herring were landed until fishing began again on 7 February. By 9 February an additional 75 tons had been landed. The last landings were made on 21 February when a little over nine tons were landed (Table 14).

A total of 219 tons, with an average roe count of $12.3 \%$, was landed this season (Table 14). The 300 ton quota was never reached and 81 tons of the quota remained. Both the commercial catch and the average roe count for the season were below the 10-year average for the Tomales/Bodega Bay gillnet fleet (Table 15).

## Humboldt Bay

The Humboldt Bay roe fishery opened on Sunday, 2 January 1994 with a 60 ton quota. The first landings were made on 3 January when a little over 100 pounds herring was landed (Table 16). A little over 5 tons were landed by one boat on 9 January and at this time all three vessels began fishing. By 11 January a total of about 22 tons was landed. An additional eight days were fished in January and on 27 January the fishery was closed. Almost 63 tons of herring were landed, exceeding the 60 ton quota by 3 tons, and exceeding the 21 -year mean by more than 20 tons (Table 17).

## Crescent City Area

The Crescent City area roe fishery opened on 15 January 1994 with a 30 ton quota. The first landings were on 19 January with about a half-ton of herring landed (Table 18). The bulk of the season total, a little over 30 tons, was landed on 6 and 7 February. The fishery closed on 7 February with a total of 32.5 tons landed, 2.5 tons over the quota (Table 18) and about 10 tons over the 22 -year mean (Table 19).

## Discussion

## Spawning-Ground Surveys

## Tomales Bay

The spawning escapement estimate for Tomales Bay decreased for the first time in the past five seasons (Table 5). The decrease in spawning escapement in Tomales Bay is consistent with the previously observed postEl Niño decreases. The possibility also exists that the trend of increasing escapement seen over the past five seasons has peaked. Additionally, the bay was closed to herring fishing for three spawning seasons prior to the 1992-93 peak in spawning escapement. Last season's fishing mortality could be a factor in reducing this season's escapement.

The full recovery of the Tomales Bay herring spawning stock will depend on either rebuilding the remaining population and/or attracting herring to Tomales Bay that may or may not have previously spawned there. The reduced spawning biomass in Tomales Bay from the 1986-87 to 1991-92 spawning seasons was most likely due to reduced freshwater inflow because of the 1987-92 drought. Rainfall in the Tomales Bay watershed during winter of 1993-94 was approximately 17.93 inches, about five inches below normal.

The major source of freshwater input to Tomales Bay is Lagunitas Creek at the upper end of the bay (Figure 1). Since the drought began in 1987, spawning has shifted to eelgrass beds near the upper end of the bay which become less saline with runoff from the upper bay watershed. Once again this season, spawning herring continued to use these more centrally located eelgrass beds in Tomales Bay coupled with below average rainfall and no overflow from Nicasio Dam into Lagunitas Creek (Figure 2).

Spawn events were examined in relation to high tides (tides greater than +5.0 ft ), rainfall
greater than 0.1 in (significant rainfall), and lunar phase (within 4 days of a quarter moon). High tide before noon was the leading correlating factor in six out of eight recorded spawns (Figure 3). When significant rain was
a factor (four out of eight spawns) it was associated with high tides. Spawning events correlated positively with the quarter moon in five of the eight spawns where tide and rainfall were not factors. In fact, these three


Eelgrass beds from upper (south, bed 1B) to lower Bay (north, bed 20)
Figure 2. Average spawn escapement per eelgrass bed in Tomales Bay expressed as percent of season total.


Figure 3. High tides, rainfall, and Pacific herring spawning events in Tomales Bay, 1993-94.
factors accounted for all spawn events this season. The apparent correlation of spawning events with high tide and rainfall was also seen in San Francisco Bay herring spawns (Reilly and Moore 1985). Also, in San Francisco Bay a close relationship between herring spawning and quarter phases of the moon has been observed, (Oda 1994).

This season's November spawning escapement total (19 tons) was the highest since surveys began in the 1973-74 season (Table 20). Although, this ranking may be partly due to historic November sampling efforts being lower than the present. Spawning in December this season accounted for $17 \%$ of the season's escapement and was almost
double the long-term (1973-94) December average (Table 20). While not a record, it is the third highest percent of total spawn escapement for a December seen since surveys began in the 1973-74 season. Since the 199192 season, December spawning has been above both the long-term and the 11-year (post El Niño) average. Whether or not this is related to the recent (1991-92) El Niño, and will continue to be a trend, has yet to be determined.

The postulated post-El Niño biomass decrease seen after the 1976-77 and 1982-83 El Niños apparently occurred as the Tomales Bay biomass dropped to 2,449 tons from last season's 4,078 tons (Figure 4 and Table 5).


Figure 4. Pacific herring spawning biomass and exploitation rates, Tomales Bay, 1974-94.

Another possible explanation for the decrease in biomass would be that the recovering Tomales Bay spawning stock peaked and was stabilizing at some new short-term average biomass. The 1993-94 spawning escapement
total is less than the $20-\mathrm{yr}$ average spawning escapement of 4,704 tons and also less than the $11-\mathrm{yr}$ (post 1982-83 El Niño) average of 2,054 tons (Table 5).

## Fishery

## Tomales Bay

No significant changes were made to the regulations concerning herring fishing for the 1993-94 season in Tomales Bay. Mesh size remained at 2-1/8 in., two permits may be fished from one vessel, and no permittee may possess more than one shackle of net ( 65 fm ) unless two permits are fished, in which case two shackles of gear may be fished. Also, outer Bodega Bay remained closed in the 199394 season.

The commercial catch of 219 tons of herring represents an exploitation rate of $8.9 \%$. Exploitation rates have averaged $12.8 \%$ since the 1973-74 season with only 3 years above this average (Table 5 and Figure 4). A 300 ton initial quota was set which yielded a $7.4 \%$ exploitation rate based on the previous season's biomass of 4,078 tons. This lower than average exploitation rate was chosen to allow for the postulated post-El Niño spawning escapement decrease. Additional quota increases were provided for if spawning escapement, as determined by the Department, reached or exceeded 3,000 tons prior to 15 February. The quota was to be increased as follows: 1) If spawning escapement is more than 3,000 tons, the total take of herring shall not exceed 400 tons for the season; 2) If the spawning escapement is more than 4,000 tons, the total take shall not exceed 500 tons for the season; 3) If spawning escapement is more than 5,000 tons, the total take shall not exceed 600 tons for the season.

## Humboldt Bay

The commercial catch of 62.8 tons was about $5 \%$ over the 1993-94 season quota of 60 tons and was more than the 21-year average catch ( 40.4 tons) for Humboldt Bay (Table 17). The 60 -ton quota for Humboldt Bay represents a $20 \%$ exploitation rate if the spawning biomass is approximately 300 tons. The long-
term average catch would approximate a $13.5 \%$ exploitation rate.

## Crescent City

The commercial catch of 32.5 tons was about $2 \%$ over the season quota for the Crescent City area and was also above the 20year average ( 23.7 tons) for this area (Table 19). Spawns in the Crescent City harbor were sampled in 1977 and 1978 seasons, with conservative spawn escapement estimates of 139.4 tons and 127.2 tons, respectively (Patrick Collier, pers. comm.). A 30 ton quota, representing an approximate $20 \%$ exploitation rate, was set using the 133 -ton average of the Crescent City harbor spawn escapement estimates.

## Catch Sampling

This season's spawning biomass of 2,449 tons is well above this recent historic low; however, spawning biomass is down $40 \%$ from last year's 4,078 ton estimate. Tomales Bay was closed to herring fishing from 198990 through the 1991-92 season and catch data were obtained from the outer Bodega Bay herring fishery. It is possible that herring caught in outer Bodega Bay may be from a separate stock and catch data from the 198990 to 1991-92 seasons may not be representative of Tomales Bay herring. Tomales Bay was reopened for commercial herring fishing last season.

A strong year-class is very important to a fishery. This point is demonstrated by following the 1988 year-class. This year-class contributed a significant percent by number to the last three season's catches, making up $26 \%, 47 \%$, and $36 \%$ respectively (Table 7 ). In contrast, for the second season in a row, four-yr-old herring were weakly represented in the commercial catch ( $14 \%$ by number) (Table 7 ) suggesting the years 1989 and 1990 are both poor year-classes and cannot be relied upon for good future recruitment. Spawning biomass estimates for the 1988-89 and 1989-

90 seasons were the two lowest ever recorded for Tomales Bay. The 1989 year-class (five-year-old fish) did, however, make a stronger than expected showing this season comprising $40 \%$ by number of sampled catch.

## Population Sampling

A different picture of the Tomales Bay herring spawning population is seen when the variable mesh gill net catch is examined. A more balanced population structure is found in samples collected throughout a wider time range in the spawning season (Table 12). Fishing on each school prior to commercial effort, which removes larger and older fish from the total population, produces a more unbiased sample of the population. While the commercial catch is consistently dominated by five- and six-year-old fish, age data from research gill nets shows the actual population age structure is more influenced by the varying strength of each year-class (Table 13). In the 1990-92 season, three-yr-old fish were dominate in the variable-mesh gill net catch due to the strength of the 1988 year-class. This year-class composed the highest percentage by number for each season up to 1993-94 when four-yr-old fish of the 1990 year-class became prominent. Nearly all age classes were represented in 1993-94 population samples and in proportions suggesting good recruitment with the exception of three-yr-olds that comprised a lower percentage of the total age composition than was seen in the previous three seasons.

## Conclusion

## Tomales Bay

The spawning biomass of 2,449 tons for the 1993-94 season is down $40 \%$ from last season and remains below the 20 -year average of 4,700 tons. This season's decline in biomass follows a pattern seen in the historical data
when spawning biomass usually dropped in years following strong El Niño conditions with associated rainfall several inches above normal. Despite this, the spawning biomass estimate this year is close to the post 1982 El Niño 11-year average of 2,054 tons and the overall trend of increasing biomass since the 1989-90 season still continues.

Other factors that may have contributed to this season's decline in spawn escapement include: reduced freshwater flow, due to below average rainfall in the Tomales Bay watershed, resulting in less than optimal spawning conditions in the bay; weak yearclasses coming from the drought years of 1989 and 1990, offering poor recruitment to the current stock; and the effects of 1991-92 season's fishing mortality. The fishery would most likely benefit from rainfall totals sufficient enough for water managers in Marin County to approve winter releases of freshwater from Nacasio Dam. Nacasio Dam has blocked freshwater input into Lagunitas Creek, the major source of freshwater in the upper end of the bay, every winter since 1987 with the exception of 1992-93. Improved recruitment could result from fish produced during the 1991-92 and 1992-93 seasons which had spawn escapement estimates well above the previous three seasons. Although the 1992 and 1993 year-classes will have little impact in the Tomales Bay commercial fishery next season, this potential recruitment pool suggests that continued recovery of herring stocks in Tomales Bay can be looked upon with cautious optimism.

The Department recommends continuation of the existing conservative management regime by proposing a 1994-1995 initial fishing quota of 250 tons of herring. However, the regulations would also contain provisions to increase the quota based on inseason estimates of spawning escapement. If escapement goals are achieved prior to 15 February 1995, then the quota would be
incrementally increased, as proposed. If spawning escapement does not exceed 2,500 tons prior to February 15,1995 , then no additional fishing quota would be provided for.

## Humboldt Bay

The Humboldt Bay herring spawning biomass averaged over 300 tons in the 1990-91 and 1991-92 seasons and appears large enough to support the existing 60 ton fishery.

## Crescent City

The Crescent City area herring biomass appears sufficient to support the existing small 30 ton fishery.
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## Pacific Herring Studies in Tomales Bay, 1993-94

Table 1. Tomales Bay eelgrass bed measurements, 1993-94 season.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bed } \\ & \text { No. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Area $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | Season Last Surveyed | Bed No. | Area $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | Season Last Surveyed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5,268 | 1993-94 | 13 | 165 | 1992-93 |
| 1A | 34,645 | 1993-94 | 14 | 745 | 1993-94 |
| 1 B | 20,758 | 1993-94 | 15 | 0 | 1989-90 |
| 1 C | 2,748 | 1993-94 | 16 | 4500 | 1990-91 |
| 2 | 6,865 | 1993-94 | 16A | 7800 | 1989-90 |
| 2A | 878 | 1993-94 | 17 | 2000 | 1989-90 |
| 3 | 1,064 | 1993-94 | 18 | 0 | 1989-90 |
| 3A | 0 | 1992-93 | 19 | 38,000 | 1989-90 |
| 4 | 0 | 1992-93 | 20 | 135,500 | 1989-90 |
| 5 | 2,446 | 1993-94 | 20A | 33,400 | 1989-90 |
| 6 | 10,254 | 1993-94 | 21 | 1,488,000 | 1990-91 |
| 7 | 6,838 | 1992-93 | 22 | 140,000 | 1990-91 |
| 8 | 5,697 | 1993-94 | 23 | 1,209,000 | 1990-91 |
| 9 North | 7,968 | 1993-94 | 24 | 43,314 | 1993-94 |
| 9 South | 14,409 | 1992-93 | 25 | 76,527 | 1993-94 |
| 10 | 2,696 | 1993-94 | 26 | 89,123 | 1993-94 |
| 10A | 4,749 | 1993-94 | 27 | 12,816 | 1993-94 |
| 11 North | 29,261 | 1993-94 | 28 | 35,170 | 1993-94 |
| 11 Middle | 384 | 1993-94 | 28A | 22,714 | 1993-94 |
| 11 South | 1543 | 1993-94 | 30 | not measured | - |
| 12 | 1398 | 1993-94 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL AREA $=3,500,482 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Eelgrass density estimates $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ for most of Tomales Bay eelgrass beds, calculated from multiple regression.

| BED NO. | DENSITY OF BED |  |  |  |  | \% CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1989-90 | 1990-91 | 1991-92 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 92-93 vs.93-94 |
| 1 | 1.67 | 0.88 | 1.39 | 1.17 | 1.62 | 38 |
| 1 A | 1.44 | 1.99 | 1.85 | 1.62 | 1.51 | -7 |
| 1B | 2.03 | 2.1 | 1.94 | 1.52 | 1.35 | -11 |
| 1C | 1.41 | 1.61 | 1.24 | 1.51 | 1.33 | -12 |
| 2 | 1.61 | 1.46 | 1.63 | 1.46 | 1.62 | 11 |
| 2A | No data | No data | No data | 1.25 | . 75 | -40 |
| 3 | 1.23 | 1.11 | 0.93 | 1.21 | 1.35 | 10 |
| 3A | No data | 0.77 | No data | Not | Not | - |
| 4 | 0.93 | 1.22 | No data | Not | 1.38 | - |
| 5 | 1.24 | 0.69 | 0.34 | 1.42 | 0.96 | -32 |
| 6 | 1.04 | 1.08 | 0.6 | 0.84 | 0.76 | -10 |
| 7 | 1.24 | 1.13 | 1.17 | 0.82 | 1.67 | 1 |
| 8 | 1.33 | No data | 0.48 | 0.94 | 0.69 | -27 |
| 9 North | 1.46 | 0.83 | 0.72 | 1.02 | 0.62 | -39 |
| 9 South | 1.18 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 5 |
| 10 | 2.06 | 1.45 | 1.09 | 0.76 | 1.14 | 0.5 |
| 10A | No data | 0.94 | 1.19 | Not | 1.08 | - |
| 11 | 1.19 | 1.07 | 0.95 | 0.71 | 1.38 | 94 |
| 12 | 1.16 | No data | 1.03 | 1.01 | 1.24 | 23 |
| 13 | No data | No data | No data | 0.52 | No data | - |
| 14 | 0.68 | No data | 0.47 | 0.53 | No data | - |
| 15 | No data | No data | 0.44 | Not | No data | - |
| 16 | 1.76 | 1.09 | No data | 1.92 | No data | - |
| 16A | 2.03 | No data | 0.83 | 2.07 | No data | - |
| 17 | 1.59 | 1.17 | 2.16 | Not | 1.92 | - |
| 18 | 0 | No data | No data | Not | Not | - |
| 19 | 1.79 | No data | No data | Not | No data | - |
| 20A | 0.86 | 0.43 | No data | Not | No data | - |
| 21 | 2.78 | 0.96 | 1.18 | 1.84 | 2.08 | 13 |
| 22 | 1.98 | 1.99 | 2.08 | 1.58 | 1.89 | 20 |
| 23 | 1.75 | 0.98 | 1.35 | 1.53 | 1.56 | 2 |
| 24 | 1.28 | 1.83 | 1.86 | 2.01 | 1.49 | -26 |
| 25 | 1.55 | No data | 0.14 | 0.59 | 0.93 | 58 |
| 26 | 1.47 | 0.63 | 0.3 | 0.96 | 1.47 | 53 |
| 27 | 1.11 | 2.07 | 0.72 | 1.81 | 1.47 | -19 |
| 28 | 1.35 | 1.91 | 1.09 | 1.54 | 1.66 | 8 |
| 28A | 1.83 | 2.08 | 1.17 | 1.51 | 1.57 | 4 |
| 30 | No data | No data | No data | 1.05 | 0.78 | -26 |
| AVERAGE PERCENT CHANGE: |  |  |  |  |  | +4 |

Table 3. Tomales Bay herring spawn data, 1993-94 season.

| Date | Location | Area | Eggs/m ${ }^{2}$ | Millions of eggs | Conversion Factor $\times 10^{-8}$ | Tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 Nov | 1A | 34645 | 38214 | 1,320 | 1.38 | 18 |
| 23 Nov | 1 A | 583 | 68,496 | 40 | 1.38 | 1 |
| 14 Dec | 1 A | 34645 | 399,984 | 24,300 | 1.38 | 335 |
| 14 Dec- | 1B | 22333 | 27,394 | 612 | 1.38 | 8 |
| 14 Dec- | 1 C | 1906 | 520,188 | 992 | 1.38 | 144 |
| 14 Dec | 1 C | 2583 | 371,710 | 960 | 1.38 | 13 |
| 03 Jan 94 | 1B | 22333 | 394,406 | 8,810 | 1.38 | 122 |
| 03 Jan 94 | 1 | 5268 | 393,944 | 2,080 | 1.38 | 29 |
| 03 Jan 94 | 2 | 2107 | 20,315 | 43 | 1.38 | 1 |
| 03 Jan 94 | 28A | 22714 | 390,928 | 8,880 | 1.38 | 122 |
| 03 Jan 94 | 1 A | 34645 | 34,524 | 1,200 | 1.38 | 16 |
| 03 Jan 94 | * | 366 | 58,360 | 9 | 1.38 | T |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1 A | 31404 | 431,044 | 11,100 | 1.38 | 163 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1 A | 3204 | 8,039 | 43 | 1.38 | T |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1B | 2483 | 36,407 | 90 | 1.38 | 1 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1 C | 2583 | 39,419 | 10 | 1.38 | 1 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1 C | 1786 | 21,458 | 38 | 1.38 | T |
| 27 Jan 94 | 1 A | 34645 | 1,193,949 | 41,400 | 1.38 | 571 |
| 27 Jan 94 | 2 | 4091 | 81,761 | 33 | 1.38 | 5 |
| 27 Jan 94 | 2 | 2774 | 45,700 | 13 | 1.38 | 2 |
| 27 Jan 94 | 1 | 5268 | 137,815 | 73 | 1.38 | 10 |
| 31 Jan 94 | 1B | 14592 | 554,707 | 8,090 | 1.38 | 112 |
| 31 Jan 94 | 1B | 2332 | 489,967 | 1,140 | 1.38 | 16 |
| 31 Jan-94 | 1 C | 1786 | 193,285 | 345 | 1.38 | 5 |
| 31 Jan 94 | 1 C | 2,583 | 193,285 | 345 | 1.38 | 5 |
| 02 Feb 94 | 28A | 2,887 | 838,520 | 2,420 | 1.07 | 26 |
| 02 Feb 94 | 28A | 19,827 | 56,633 | 1,120 | 1.07 | 12 |
| 06 Feb 94 | 27 | 4,328 | 651,924 | 2,820 | 1.07 | 30 |
| 07 Feb 94 | 1B | 14,592 | 6,724 | 98 | 1.07 | 1 |
| 08 Feb 94 | 1B | 22,333 | 2,367,359 | 52,900 | 1.07 | 566 |
| 08 Feb 94 | 1 C | 1785 | 717,956 | 1,280 | 1.07 | 14 |
| 13 Feb 94 | 28A | 16,275 | 56,151 | 904 | 0.96 | 9 |
| 13 Feb 94 | 28 | 3,746 | 60,079 | 225 | 0.96 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | 2230 |

[^0]Table 4. Tomales/Bodega Bay Area Herring Biomass Estimates.*

| Season | Biomass Estimates |  | Catch <br> (tons) | Total <br> Tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tomales Bay | Bodega Bay |  |  |
| $1988-89$ | 167 | NO SURVEY | $213^{* *}$ | 380 |
| $1989-90$ | 345 | 350 | $95^{* *}$ | 790 |
| $1990-91$ | 779 | NO SURVEY | $86^{* *}$ | 874 |
| $1991-92$ | 1,214 | NO SURVEY | $24^{* *}$ | 1,238 |
| $1992-93$ | 3,856 | NO SURVEY | $222^{* * *}$ | 4,078 |
| $1993-94$ | 2230 | NO SURVEY | $219^{* * *}$ | 2,449 |

*Biomass estimates are from spawning ground surveys in Tomales Bay and hydroacoustic surveys in Bodega Bay.
** Herring catch is from Bodega Bay.
*** Herring catch is from Tomales Bay.

Table 5. Pacific herring biomass estimates in Tomales Bay, 1973-74 through 1993-94 seasons.

| SeasonSpawn <br> Escapement <br> (tons) | Catch <br> (tons) | Spawning <br> biomass <br> (tons) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1973-74$ | 6,041 | 521 | 6,562 |
| $1974-75$ | 4,210 | 518 | 4,728 |
| $1975-76$ | 7,769 | 144 | 7,913 |
| $1976-77$ | 4,739 | 344 | 5,083 |
| $1977-78$ | 21,513 | 646 | 22,163 |
| $1978-79$ | - | 448 | -- |
| $1979-80$ | 5,420 | 603 | 6,023 |
| $1980-81$ | 5,128 | 448 | 5,576 |
| $1981-82$ | 6,298 | 851 | 7,149 |
| $1982-83$ | 10,218 | 822 | 11,040 |
| $1983-84$ | 1,170 | 110 | 1,280 |
| $1984-85$ | 6,156 | 430 | 6,586 |
| $1985-86$ | 435 | 771 | $6,000^{*}$ |
| $1986-87$ | 4,931 | 867 | 5,798 |
| $1987-88$ | 1,311 | 750 | 2,061 |
| $1988-89$ | 167 | 213 | 380 |
| $1989-90$ | 345 | -- | 345 |
| $1990-91$ | 779 | -- | 779 |
| $1991-92$ | 1,214 | -- | 1214 |
| $1992-93$ | 3,856 | 222 | 4,078 |
| $1993-94$ | 2,230 | 219 | 2,449 |
| Biomass estimated by cohort analysis; for all other years, biomass |  |  |  |
| was estimated from spawning-ground surveys. |  |  |  |

Table 6. Confidence limits for Tomales Bay herring spawn estimates, 1993-94 season.

| Spawn Date | Location | Std. Error (eggs/m²) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D.F. } \\ & n-1 \end{aligned}$ | Estimated Tons | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { Conf. Int. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 Nov 93 | 1A | 10 | 15 | 18 | 0.01 |
| 23Nov93 | 1 A | 63,035 | 5 | 1 | 0.5 |
| 14 Dec 93 | 1A | 329,290 | 10 | 335 | 157 |
| 14 Dec 93 | 1B | 17,041 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| 14 Dec 93 | 1 C | 244,467 | 3 | 14 | 6 |
| 14 Dec 93 | 1 C | 759,078 | 3 | 13 | 27 |
| 3 Jan 94 | 1B | 148,633 | 6 | 122 | 46 |
| 3 Jan 94 | 1 | 409,515 | 3 | 29 | 30 |
| 3 Jan 94 | 2 | 13,468 | 5 | 1 | 0.4 |
| 3 Jan 94 | 28A | 238,107 | 8 | 122 | 75 |
| 3 Jan 94 | 1A | 29,591 | 6 | 16 | 14 |
| 3 Jan 94 | * | 28,750 | 1 | T | 0.1 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1A | 269,940 | 17 | 163 | 117 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1A | 316,098 | 2 | T | 0.3 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1B | 33,854 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1 C | 29,313 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1 C | 20,466 | 3 | T | 0.5 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 1A | 512,053 | 7 | 571 | 245 |
| 27 Jan 94 | 2 | 238,867 | 2 | 5 | 14 |
| 27 Jan 94 | 2 | 46,813 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 27 Jan 94 | 1 | 281,075 | 3 | 10 | 20 |
| 31 Jan 94 | 1B | 429,512 | 7 | 112 | 86 |
| 31 Jan 94 | 1B | 258,197 | 3 | 16 | 8 |
| 31 Jan 94 | 1 C | 202,772 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| 31 Jan 94 | 1 C | 192,632 | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 2 Feb 94 | 28A | 977,121 | 2 | 26 | 30 |
| 2 Feb 94 | 28A | 50,262 | 2 | 12 | 11 |
| 6 Feb 94 | 27 | 952,641 | 3 | 30 | 44 |
| 7 Feb 94 | 1B | 2,189 | 5 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 8 Feb 94 | 1B | 648,190 | 6 | 566 | 155 |
| 8 Feb 94 | 1 C | 199,658 | 2 | 14 | 4 |
| 13 Feb 94 | 28A | 978,946 | 1 | T | 1.6 |
| 13 Feb 94 | 28A | 83,988 | 4 | 9 | 13 |
| 13 Feb 94 | 28 | 47,875 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | 2,230 |  |

Table 7. Age and weight composition of the Tomales/Bodega Bay gill net catch, 1982-83 through 1993-94 seasons.

| Age (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| $1982-83$ <br> \% by number \% by weight | - | - | 4 3 | 24 21 | 34 33 | 24 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | 3 5 |
| 1983-84 <br> \% by number \% by weight | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 36 <br> 34 | 35 <br> 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \\ & 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 2 <br> 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1984-85 <br> \% by number <br> \% by weight | 1 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 27 <br> 25 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 35 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 4 <br> 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1985-86 \\ & \% \text { by number } \\ & \text { \% by weight } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 27 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 12 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 6 | 1 1 |
| $1986-87$ <br> \% by number \% by weight | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 7 | 3 4 | 2 3 |
| 1987-88 <br> \% by number \% by weight | - | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \\ & <1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31 \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 34 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} <2 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 30 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 12 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $1989-90 \text { * }$ <br> \% by number \% by weight | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 16 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 36 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 31 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |
|  | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 17 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 29 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 28 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & 6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1991-92 <br> \% by number <br> \% by weight | - | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 38 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 7 | - | - |
| 1992-93 <br> \% by number | - | 1 | 15 | 47 | 30 | 7 | - | - |
| 1993-94 <br> \% by number <br> \% by weight | - | $\begin{array}{r} <1 \\ <1 \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | 14 <br> 12 | 40 <br> 38 | 36 <br> 38 | 8 9 | 2 2 | - |

[^1]Table 8. Mean length of herring from Tomales/Bodega Bay roe fisheries, 1972-73 through 1993-94.

| YEAR | MEAN <br> LENGTH <br> $(m m$ BL) | SIZE <br> RANGE | YEAR | MEAN <br> LENGTH <br> (mm BL) | SIZE <br> RANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1972-73$ | 186 | $150-234$ | $1983-84$ | 199 | $174-242$ |
| $1973-74$ | 190 | $146-248$ | $1984-85$ | 202 | $164-232$ |
| $1974-75$ | 189 | $142-236$ | $1985-86$ | 198 | $166-226$ |
| $1975-76$ | 184 | $150-230$ | $1986-87$ | 197 | $174-236$ |
| $1976-77$ | 169 | $140-216$ | $1987-88$ | 201 | $170-234$ |
| $1977-78$ | 217 | $194-248$ | $1988-89$ | 197 | $170-236$ |
| $1978-79$ | $*$ | $*$ | $1989-90$ | 204 | $172-222$ |
| $1979-80$ | 214 | $196-236$ | $1990-91$ | 197 | $174-232$ |
| $1980-81$ | 208 | $172-234$ | $1991-92$ | 194 | $168-214$ |
| $1981-82$ | 211 | $176-236$ | $1992-93^{* *}$ | 196 | $166-226$ |
| $1982-83$ | 208 | $184-236$ | $1993-94^{* *}$ | 197 | $170-234$ |

* No field work this season. ** Tomales Bay fishery only, outer Bodega Bay closed.

Table 9. Length frequency of the 1993-94 Tomales Bay commercial gill net catch of Pacific herring.

| Body Length (mm) | 3 | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Age } \\ 5 \end{array}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 228 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 220 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 218 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 0 |
| 206 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 0 |
| 204 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| 202 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| 200 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 2 | 0 |
| 198 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 196 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| 194 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 192 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 190 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 188 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 186 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 184 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 182 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 180 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 178 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 174 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 172 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 170 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $n$ | 1 | 33 | 94 | 85 | 19 | 5 |
| Mean | 170 | 186.9 | 195.3 | 203.0 | 208.3 | 222.2 |
| s.d. | 0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.9 |

Table 10. Mean weight $(\mathrm{g})$ at age of Tomales/Bodega Bay herring in the commercial gill net catch by area and season.

| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Unwted . mean* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1979-80 | - | - | 130 | 135 | 137 | 145 | 188 | - | 147 |
| 1980-81 | - | 92 | 113 | 131 | 141 | 153 | 161 | 177 | 138 |
| 1981-82 | - | 83 | 116 | 121 | 147 | 158 | 160 | 172 | 137 |
| 1982-83 | - | - | 100 | 120 | 132 | 150 | 169 | 172 | 141 |
| 1983-84 | - | - | 91 | 106 | 114 | 131 | 141 | 150 | 122 |
| 1984-85 | 76 | 102 | 109 | 117 | 135 | 151 | 161 | 172 | 128 |
| 1985-86 | 73 | 94 | 106 | 121 | 137 | 150 | 148 | 162 | 124 |
| 1986-87 | - | 89 | 98 | 113 | 127 | 150 | 165 | 186 | 133 |
| 1987-88 | - | 78 | 94 | 110 | 125 | 146 | 162 | 166 | 126 |
| 1988-89 | - | 88 | 101 | 114 | 134 | 147 | 171 | 192 | 128 |
| 1989-90** | - | 88 | 101 | 120 | 133 | 154 | 173 | - | 128 |
| 1990-91** | - | 85 | 99 | 110 | 129 | 152 | 177 | 191 | 135 |
| 1991-92** | - | 87 | 103 | 122 | 135 | 147 | - | - | 118 |
| 1992- | - | 83 | 99 | 117 | 130 | 143 | - | - | 122 |
| $\begin{gathered} 93^{* * *} \\ 1993- \\ 94^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | - | 79 | 105 | 121 | 132 | 147 | 173 | - | 126 |
| Unwted. mean | 74 | 88 | 104 | 118 | 133 | 148 | 165 | 174 | 130 |

* Calculated for ages 4 through 8; they comprise $95 \%$ of the samples.
** Catch from outer Bodega Bay only, Tomales Bay closed.
*** Catch from Tomales Bay only, outer Bodega Bay closed.

Table 11. Pacific herring mean body lengths and sex ratios from variable mesh gill net catches in Tomales Bay, 1993-94 season.

| Date | Mean length(BL mm) | Sex ratio (F/M) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12 / 06 / 93$ | 184.7 | $11 / 11(50 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $12 / 15 / 93$ | 196.3 | $3 / 6(67 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $12 / 22 / 93$ | 192.5 | $1 / 1(50 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $12 / 28 / 93$ | 182.9 | $18 / 36(67 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $12 / 29 / 93$ | 200.6 | $11 / 15(58 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $12 / 93$ | 185.9 | $19 / 28(60 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| December total | 187.7 | $63 / 99(61 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $1 / 24 / 94$ | 189.5 | $3 / 1(25 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $1 / 27 / 94$ | 195.5 | $87 / 169(66 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| January total | 195.3 | $90 / 170(65 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| $2 / 11 / 94$ | 195.8 | $16 / 17(52 \% \mathrm{M})$ |
| Total | 192.5 | $169 / 286(63 \% \mathrm{M})$ |

Table 12. Length frequency of Pacific herring from the 1993-94 Tomales Bay variablemesh gill net catch.

| Body <br> Length <br> (mm) | 2 | 3 | 4 | Age |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 13. Pacific herring age composition from variable-mesh gill net catches in Tomales Bay, 1990-91 through 1993-94 seasons.

|  | Age (yrs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Season | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |
| $1990-91 \%$ by number | 10 | 32 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 4 | $<1$ |  |
| $1991-92 \%$ by number | 3 | 31 | 37 | 20 | 6 | 3 | - |  |
| $1992-93 \%$ by number | 3 | 25 | 15 | 26 | 19 | 10 | 2 |  |
| $1993-94 \%$ by number | 3 | 17 | 27 | 20 | 24 | 6 | 2 |  |

Table 14. Daily landings of Tomales Bay gill net fleet, 1993-94 season.

| Date | Pounds | Tons | Trips | Lbs/Trip | Roe count |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 03 Jan 94 | 131,592 | 65.9 | 22 | 5,998 | 11.2 |
| 17 Jan 94 | 137,887 | 68.9 | 25 | 5,515 | 11.7 |
| 07 Feb 94 | 24,083 | 12.0 | 13 | 1,852 | 13.0 |
| 08-09 Feb 94 | 125,613 | 62.8 | 39 | 3,221 | 13.6 |
| 21 Feb 94 | 18,692 | 9.3 | 17 | 1,100 | 13.7 |
| Total | 437,867 | 218.9 | 116 | 3,775 | 12.3 |

Table 15. Annual landings by the Tomales/Bodega Bay gill net fleet.

| Year | Pounds | Tons | Trips | Lbs/Trip | Roe count |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $84-85$ | 844,472 | 422.24 | 215 | 3,928 | 12.8 |
| $85-86$ | $1,542,676$ | 771.34 | 512 | 3,013 | 12.5 |
| $86-87$ | $1,732,428$ | 866.21 | 429 | 4,038 | 12.5 |
| $87-88$ | $1,499,402$ | 749.52 | 484 | 3,097 | 12.4 |
| $88-89$ | 426,163 | 213.08 | 291 | 1,465 | 12.7 |
| $89-90$ | 190,409 | 95.23 | 61 | 42 | 13.5 |
| $90-91$ | 173,103 | 86.55 | 72 | 2,404 | 13.7 |
| $91-92$ | 47,125 | 23.56 | 30 | 1,571 | 14.6 |
| $92-93^{*}$ | 444,312 | 222.31 | 66 | 6,737 | 11.1 |
| $93-94^{*}$ | 437,867 | 218.9 | 116 | 3,775 | 12.8 |

* Catch from Tomales Bay, outer Bodega Bay closed to fishing.

Table 16. Daily landings of Pacific herring by the Humboldt Bay gill net fleet, 1993-94 season.

| Date | Pounds | Tons | Trips | Lbs/Trip | Roe Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-Jan-1994 | 136 | 0.07 | 1 | 136 |  |
| 5-Jan-1994 | 259 | 0.13 | 1 | 259 |  |
| 7-Jan-1994 | 1,870 | 0.94 | 1 | 1,870 |  |
| 9-Jan-1994 | 10,368 | 5.2 | 1 | 10,368 |  |
| 10-Jan-1994 | 10,303 | 5.2 | 3 | 3,434 |  |
| 11-Jan-1994 | 20,242 | 10.1 | 3 | 6,747 |  |
| 12-Jan-1994 | 52 | 0.03 | 1 | 52 |  |
| 13-Jan-1994 | 5,960 | 3.0 | 2 | 2,980 |  |
| 14-Jan-1994 | 19,037 | 9.5 | 3 | 6,346 |  |
| 15-Jan-1994 | 14,710 | 7.4 | 3 | 4,903 |  |
| 16-Jan-1994 | 10,865 | 5.4 | 3 | 3,622 |  |
| 17-Jan-1994 | 8,591 | 4.3 | 3 | 2,864 |  |
| 18-Jan-1994 | 17,348 | 8.7 | 3 | 5,782 |  |
| 27-Jan-1994 | 5,761 | 2.9 | 1 | 5,761 |  |
| Total | 125,502 | 62.8 | 29 | 4,328 |  |

* roe count was not obtained on most landing dates.


## Pacific Herring Studies in Tomales Bay, 1993-94

Table 17. Humboldt Bay commercial gill net landings, 1974 to 1994.

| Season | Pounds | Tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 4,478 | 2.2 |
| 1975 | 2,000 | 1.0 |
| 1976 | 23,134 | 11.6 |
| 1977 | 42,949 | 21.5 |
| 1978 | 23,417 | 11.7 |
| 1979 | 98,831 | 49.4 |
| 1980 | 98,981 | 49.5 |
| 1981 | 85,920 | 43.0 |
| 1982 | 103,280 | 51.6 |
| 1983 | 18,980 | 9.5 |
| 1984 | 110,384 | 55.2 |
| 1985 | 118,734 | 59.4 |
| 1986 | 119,884 | 59.9 |
| 1987 | 143,202 | 71.6 |
| 1988 | 62,480 | 31.2 |
| 1989 | 87,143 | 43.6 |
| 1990 | 121,873 | 60.9 |
| 1991 | 126,769 | 63.4 |
| 1992 | 123,735 | 61.9 |
| 1993 | 57,191 | 28.6 |
| 1994 | 125,502 | 62.8 |
| Total | 1,698,867 | 849.5 |
| Average | 80,898 | 40.4 |

Table 18. Daily landings of Pacific herring by the Crescent City gill net fleet, 1993-94 season (roe count not obtained).

| Date | Pounds | Tons | Trips | Lbs/Trip |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19-Jan-1994 | 1,006 | 0.5 | 1 | 1,006 |
| 20-Jan-1994 | 1,072 | 0.5 | 1 | 1,072 |
| 29-Jan-1994 | 2,265 | 1.1 | 2 | 1,133 |
| 6-Feb-1994 | 41,427 | 20.7 | 8 | 5,178 |
| 7-Feb-1994 | 19,406 | 9.7 | 3 | 6,469 |
| Total | 65,176 | 32.5 | 15 | 4,345 |

Table 19. Crescent City commercial gill net landings of Pacific herring, 1974 to 1994.

| Season | Pounds | Tons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1973 | 24,155 | 12.1 |
| 1974 | 119,043 | 59.5 |
| 1975 | 25,514 | 12.8 |
| 1976 | 2,100 | 1.1 |
| 1977 | 0 | 0 |
| 1978 | 25,516 | 12.8 |
| 1979 | 24,772 | 12.4 |
| 1980 | 52,228 | 26.1 |
| 1981 | 18,566 | 9.3 |
| 1982 | 7,772 | 3.9 |
| 1983 | 50,481 | 25.2 |
| 1984 | 37,206 | 18.6 |
| 1985 | 70,979 | 35.5 |
| 1986 | 70,606 | 35.3 |
| 1987 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1988 | 99,254 | 49.6 |
| 1989 | 60,357 | 30.0 |
| 1990 | 66,411 | 33.2 |
| 1991 | 72,002 | 36.0 |
| 1992 | 64,601 | 32.3 |
| 1993 | 56,922 | 28.5 |
| 1994 | 65,176 | 32.5 |
| Total | $1,013,661$ | 506.7 |
| Average | 46,076 | 23 |

Table 20. Pacific herring spawn escapement (tons) and percent of total spawn escapement in Tomales Bay, 1973 to 1994.

| Season | Dec | Jan 1-15 | Jan 16-31 | Feb 1-15 | Feb 16-28 | Mar | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73-74 | 551 | 0 | 2,186 | 3,052 | 250 | 2 | 6,041 |
|  | 9\% | 0\% | 36\% | 51\% | 4\% | 1\% |  |
| 74-75 | 421 | 357 | 2,361 | 769 | 168 | 134 | 4,211 |
|  | 10\% | 9\% | 56\% | 18\% | 4\% | 3\% |  |
| 75-76 | 452 | 4,557 | 717 | 1,929 | Trace | 117 | 7,769 |
|  | 6\% | 59\% | 9\% | 25\% | 0\% | 2\% |  |
| 76-77 | 2,004 | 2,031 | 245 | 212 | 41 | 204 | 4,739 |
|  | 42\% | 43\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 4\% |  |
| 77-78 | 1,072 | 2,711 | 17,243 | 405 | 88 | 0 | 21,517 |
|  | 5\% | 13\% | 80\% | 2\% | 1\% | 0\% |  |
| 78-79 | NO | FIELD | WORK | THIS | SEASON |  |  |
| 79-80 | 659 | 1,164 | 3,497 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 5,420 |
|  | 12\% | 22\% | 64\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 80-81 | 192 | 1,803 | 2,300 | Trace | 20 | 0 | 5,135 |
|  | 4\% | 35\% | 45\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |  |
| 81-82 | 216 | 1,161 | 4,528 | 39 | 354 | 0 | 6,298 |
|  | 3\% | 18\% | 72\% | 1\% | 6\% | 0\% |  |
| 82-83 | 159 | 1,756 | 2,807 | 1,777 | 3,310 | 553 | 10,362 |
|  | 2\% | 17\% | 27\% | 17\% | 32\% | 5\% |  |
| 83-84 | 51 | 308 | 771 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1,170 |
|  | 4\% | 26\% | 66\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 84-85 | 120 | 190 | 5,411 | 590 | 45 | 0 | 6,156 |
|  | 2\% | 3\% | 88\% | 10\% | 1\% | 0\% |  |
| 85-86 | 0 | 5 | 195 | 41 | 193 | 0 | 435 |
|  | 0\% | 1\% | 45\% | 9\% | 44\% | 0\% |  |
| 86-87 | 0 | 130 | 1,350 | 1,170 | 2,281 | 0 | 4,931 |
|  |  | 3\% | 27\% | 24\% | 46\% |  |  |
| 87-88 | 160 | 195 | 956 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,311 |
|  | 12\% | 15\% | 73\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 88-89 | 2 | 18 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
|  | 1\% | 12\% | 87\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| 89-90 | 0 | 0 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 |
|  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  |  |

Table 20 (continued). Pacific herring spawn escapement (tons) and percent of total spawn escapement in Tomales Bay, 1973 to 1993.

| Season | Dec | Jan 1-15 | Jan 16-31 | Feb 1-15 | Feb 16-28 | Mar | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $90-91$ | 0 | 54 | 671 | 0 | 50 | 3 | 779 |
|  | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $86 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| $91-92$ | 150 | 0 | 890 | 163 | 8 | 2 | 1,214 |
|  | $12 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| $92-93$ | 1,346 | 345 | 0 | 2,140 | 18 | 0 | 3,857 |
|  | $35 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| $93-94$ | 389 | 290 | 891 | 660 | 0 | 0 | 2230 |
|  | $17 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| AVG 73-94 | 397 | 854 | 2376 | 654 | 341 | 51 | 4704 |
| AVG\% | $8 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ |  |
| CUM\% | $8 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 0 |
| AVG 83-94 | 202 | 140 | 1057 | 437 | 236 | 0 | 2054 |
| AVG\% | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| CUM\% | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |


[^0]:    * Pier pilings in Marconi Cove.
    $T=$ Less than one ton.

[^1]:    * The Tomales Bay fishery was closed three seasons and the samples were collected from the outer Bodega Bay catch.

