# 1 Lack of increased availability of root-derived C may explain the low

 $N_2O$  emission from low N-urine patches

M. S. Carter<sup>1</sup>, K. Klumpp<sup>2</sup> and X. Le Roux<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Ecosystems Programme, Biosystems Department, Risø National Laboratory, P.O. Box 49, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark Tel.: +45 4677 4151; fax: +45 4677 4160; e-mail: mette.sustmann.carter[a]risoe.dk <sup>2</sup> Grassland Ecosystems Research Group, Agronomy Unit, INRA, 234 avenue du Brézet, F63039 Clermont-Ferrand Cedex 2, France <sup>3</sup> UMR 5557 Ecologie Microbienne (CNRS-Université Lyon 1, USC INRA 1193), 

bat. G. Mendel, 43 boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, 69622 Villeurbanne, France

19 Prepared for submission to: Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems

#### Abstract

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

Urine deposition on grassland causes significant N<sub>2</sub>O losses, which in some cases may result from increased denitrification stimulated by labile compounds released from scorched plant roots. Two 12-day experiments were conducted in <sup>13</sup>C-labelled grassland monoliths to investigate the link between N<sub>2</sub>O production and carbon mineralization following application of low rates of urine-N. Measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O and  $CO_2$  emissions from the monoliths as well as  $\delta^{13}C$  signal of evolved  $CO_2$  were done on day -4, -1, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 after application of urine corresponding to 3.1 and 5.5 g N m<sup>-2</sup> in the first and second experiment, respectively. The  $\delta^{13}C$  signal was also determined for soil organic matter, dissolved organic C and CO<sub>2</sub> evolved by microbial respiration. In addition, denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) and nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) were measured on day -1, 2 and 7 after the first urine application event. Urine did not affect DEA, whereas NEA was enhanced 2 days after urine application. In the first experiment, urine had no significant effect on the N<sub>2</sub>O flux, which was generally low (-8 to 14  $\mu$ g N<sub>2</sub>O-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). After the second application event, the  $N_2O$  emission increased significantly to 87  $\mu g \ N_2O$ -N  $m^{-2} \ h^{-1}$ and the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor for the added urine-N was 0.18 %. However, the associated <sup>13</sup>C signal of soil respiration was unaffected by urine. Consequently, the increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission from the simulated low N-urine patches was not caused by enhanced denitrification stimulated by labile compounds released from scorched plant roots.

41

42

## Keywords

- 43 <sup>13</sup>C, denitrification, grassland, nitrification, nitrous oxide, root scorching, soil
- 44 respiration, urine

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

#### Introduction

production in European grasslands. At present, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from agricultural soils account for 5 % of the European release of anthropogenic derived greenhouse gases (EEA 2002), and the main processes involved in the production are nitrification and denitrification (Firestone and Davidson 1989). The mechanism responsible for the increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission following urine deposition is complex and not well understood. Vertès et al. (1997) found that 90 % of the urine patches deposited by grazing heifers contained between 3 and 50 g N m<sup>-2</sup>. Urea (NH<sub>2</sub>CONH<sub>2</sub>) is the predominant component of urine and typically accounts for over 70 % of the urine-N content (Oenema et al. 1997). In the soil, urea is rapidly hydrolysed to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, OH<sup>-</sup> and HCO<sub>3</sub>, which makes urea-N available for the nitrifying bacteria. However, recent studies revealed that urea-derived N only constituted a minor part of the N<sub>2</sub>O-N emitted during the days after urine application (Bol et al. 2004; Clough et al. 2004). The major part of N<sub>2</sub>O-N originated from other sources, e.g. from soil N. Nonetheless, studies have shown that the N<sub>2</sub>O emission increases almost linearly with the amount of urine-N deposited (Van Groeningen et al. 2005a, b). Thus, the amount of urine-N appears to have an indirect effect on the rate of N<sub>2</sub>O emission. As heterotrophic bacteria play a major role in denitrification, the process is strongly dependent on the supply of easily decomposable organic matter, particularly in urine patches where N availability is expected to be non-limiting. Root scorching due to NH<sub>3(aq)</sub> formed after urea hydrolysis may result in release of labile carbon compounds into the rhizosphere (Shand et al. 2002). Monaghan and Barraclough (1993) suggested that these labile compounds stimulate denitrification activity and

Urine deposited by grazing livestock is a major source of the nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)

thereby are part of the reason for the urine-induced  $N_2O$  emission. However, the degree of scorching depends on the amount of  $NH_3$  formed (Ritchey et al. 2003), which is influenced by the amount of urea-N applied, soil pH and the cation exchange capacity of the soil (Bolan et al. 2004). The low  $N_2O$  emission from low N-urine patches may, in part, be caused by the lack of root scorching and thereby low availability of labile carbon compounds for the denitrifying bacteria.

In the present  $^{13}$ C-labelling study, we examined the link between  $N_2O$  emission and carbon mineralization following urine application to soil under  $^{13}$ C depleted grassland vegetation (*i.e.* grassland monoliths provided with depleted atmospheric  $CO_2$  during 2 or 8 weeks). The artificial urine applied simulated a urine patch with low N content (3.1 or 5.5 g N m<sup>-2</sup>). We tested the hypothesis that the low  $N_2O$  emission from low N-urine patches is caused by the lack of root scorching, and thus, the lack of increased availability of root-derived C for the denitrifying bacteria. Because the plant material was  $^{13}C$  depleted in the grassland monoliths studied, our hypothesis implies that the  $N_2O$  emission should be paralleled by a constant  $\delta^{13}C$  signal of  $CO_2$  evolved by soil respiration.

### Materials and methods

Grassland monoliths

The study was conducted in grassland monoliths placed in a <sup>13</sup>C-labelling facility at Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Clermont-Ferrand, France. The former management practise and the experimental facility were described in detail by Klumpp (2004). Briefly, in June 2002 the monoliths (50 cm × 50 cm × 40 cm deep) were taken from an intensively managed semi-natural grassland dominated

by perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.), white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) and Yorkshire fog grass (*Holcus lanatus* L.). The slightly acidic sandy soil contained 4.1 % C and 0.42 % N, and the pH<sub>H2O</sub> was 6.6. Monoliths were placed in temperature controlled transparent enclosures kept under natural daylight. The enclosures were part of an open flow  $^{13}$ C-labelling system, where ambient CO<sub>2</sub> was scrubbed and replaced by fossil fuel derived CO<sub>2</sub>, which is depleted in  $^{13}$ C. Starting 22 April 2003, plants were provided with CO<sub>2</sub> having a  $\delta^{13}$ C signal of about -21.5 %. The external climate (PAR, temperature and humidity) and temperature of each enclosure was monitored continuously.

## Urine treatment

To simulate grazing, the vegetation of six monoliths was cut to a height of 6 cm on 22 April and 9 June. Two weeks after the first cut (viz. on 7 May) and one week after the second cut (viz. on 16 June) urine was evenly applied on three of the monoliths using a watering can (Day 0). The three remaining monoliths were controls and received urine at the end of each experiment. The artificial urine was prepared using the recipe described by Doak (1952). The urine had a total N content of 0.7 g N  $\Gamma^1$  and consisted of urea (1.12 g  $\Gamma^1$ ), hippuric acid (0.42 g  $\Gamma^1$ ), allantoin (0.18 g  $\Gamma^1$ ) and creatinine (0.09 g  $\Gamma^1$ ) and pH was adjusted to 7 with NaOH. Delta  $\Gamma^1$  of each urine component was determined on an elemental analyser (EA1110, Carlo Erba, Milano, IT) coupled in continuous flow mode to an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS; FinniganMAT Delta plus, Bremen, DE). The amount of N applied via urine corresponded to 3.1 g N m<sup>-2</sup> at the first application event and 5.5 g N m<sup>-2</sup> at the second event. To keep soil moisture constant, the monoliths were irrigated every evening with a total amount of

108 and 128 mm water during the first and the second 12-day experiment, respectively.

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

119

120

Gas and soil sampling in monoliths

About four weeks prior to urine application, small white chambers consisting of two 3-cm diameter PVC pipes (80 ml) and three 5-cm diameter PVC pipes (112 ml) were installed in each monolith between the plants. Every pipe was connected to a threeway sampling valve on the outside of the enclosure via a 75 cm silicon tube. The sampling valve was fitted with a 5 ml syringe and a needle. Starting four days before urine application (Day -4), below-ground production of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> as well as the  $\delta^{13}$ C of the evolved CO<sub>2</sub> were determined by use of the static chamber method. Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> emission was done between 11 am and 1 pm by briefly lifting the enclosures to seal the 3-cm pipes with rubber stoppers for 40 minutes. One 2-ml gas sample was collected via the external valves after 0, 20 and 40 minutes of incubation. To measure N<sub>2</sub>O emission, the 5-cm pipes were sealed by rubber stoppers for 90 minutes between 1:30 and 4:30 pm. Two 2-ml gas samples were taken at the beginning and at the end of the incubation period. A volume of N<sub>2</sub> equal to the sample volume was added to the pipe before each gas sampling to maintain atmospheric pressure. All samples were stored in 2-ml crimp-seal vials, which had been evacuated before use. After penetration by a needle the vials were sealed with Terostat IX to allow long-term storage. Determination of gas emissions from urine-treated and control monoliths was done on day -4, -1, 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 after urine application. Furthermore,  $\delta^{13}$ C of the evolved CO<sub>2</sub> was measured once before the labelling started. Two days after urine application, two soil cores (0-10 cm depth, 2 cm diameter) were collected in each monolith for chemical analyses and determination of microbial

respiration. Sampling holes were closed with cement filled PVC tubes to prevent aeration of the soil and drainage of water. The two soil samples from each monolith were pooled. Roots and stubbles were removed by tweezers during a period of one hour per sample.

Destructive harvest of monoliths

To measure the  $\delta^{13}$ C signal of unlabelled and  $^{13}$ C-labelled plant material, four monoliths were harvested on 5 May (unlabelled) and 10 June 2003 ( $^{13}$ C-labelled). Root samples from the 0-10 cm soil layer were obtained by wet sieving of air-dried soil slices ( $^{40}$  cm  $\times$  6 cm  $\times$  10 cm). Root samples and plant shoot samples were ovendried at  $^{60}$  °C for  $^{48}$  h, ground and analysed for  $^{61}$ C on the elemental analyser and IRMS. On 5 May, samples of  $^{40}$  g fresh 'root free' soil were obtained by sieving (2 mm) and removing roots by tweezers for  $^{40}$  minutes per sample in order to measure  $^{613}$ C of unlabelled soil C pools.

Soil analyses

Within 36 hours of soil sampling or destructive harvest, two 10 g portions of each fresh 'root free' soil sample were extracted in 1 M KCl (1:5, w:vol), stirred on a rotary shaker for one hour (only one portion on 5 May). The extracts were filtered through Whatman 40 filters and kept at -20 °C until further analysis. Concentration of ammonium and nitrate in the extracts were analysed colorimetrically on an autoanalyzer (Bran+Luebbe, Norderstedt, DE). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the extracts was measured on a TOC/TN analyzer (Formacs, Skalar, Breda, NL). To determine  $\delta^{13}$ C of DOC, 10 ml of each extract was freeze-dried for 2 days, and the solid residue was then analysed for  $\delta^{13}$ C on the elemental analyser and IRMS.

To establish the  $\delta^{13}C$  of  $CO_2$  evolved by microbial respiration, 10 g portions of fresh 'root free' soil were incubated for 24 h at 25 °C in 250 ml screw capped serum bottles mounted with rubber stoppers. Empty bottles were included as controls. Gas samples for determination of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and  $\delta^{13}$ C of CO<sub>2</sub> were taken after 0 (ambient), 1, 3, 10 and 24 hours of incubation and stored in 2-ml vials. A volume of N<sub>2</sub> equal to the sample volume was added to the bottle before each gas sampling. Soil pH was determined in a 10:25 (w:vol) suspension of fresh soil in distilled water (not soil from 5 May). The remaining of the 'root free' soil was air-dried and analysed for  $\delta^{13}$ C on the elemental analyser and IRMS. In addition, total C and total N was measured on soil samples from 5 May. Gas analyses To measure N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, the vials were pressurized by adding 2 ml N<sub>2</sub> before analysis by gas chromatography (GC-14B, Shimadzu, Kyoto, JP). The samples for CO<sub>2</sub> determination were added 0.5 ml N<sub>2</sub> and the concentrations were established by gas chromatography (HP 6890, Agilent, Palo Alto, US). The  $\delta^{13}$ C of CO<sub>2</sub> was determined following condensation in two successive cool traps (liquid N<sub>2</sub>) and chromatographically separation of CO<sub>2</sub> on a trace gas preparation-concentration unit (PreCon FinniganMAT, Bremen, DE) coupled in continuous flow mode to the IRMS. Gas samples were analysed for CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and δ<sup>13</sup>C of CO<sub>2</sub> within 26, 36 and 61 days of sampling, respectively. Denitrifying and nitrifying enzyme activities To determine denitrifying and nitrifying enzyme activities, two soil cores (0-10 cm

depth, 2 cm diameter) were collected in each monolith on day -1, 2 and 7 after the

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

first urine application. The two soil samples from each monolith were pooled and the soil was sieved (2 mm).

Denitrifying enzyme activity (DEA) was measured on the fresh soil samples over a short period according to Smith and Tiedje (1979) (for details, see Patra et al. 2005). Briefly, 10 g (equivalent oven-dried) soil was placed into 150 ml flasks, and KNO<sub>3</sub> (200 µg NO<sub>3</sub>-N g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil), glucose (0.5 mg C g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil) and glutamic acid (0.5 mg C g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil) was added. The atmosphere of each flask was evacuated and replaced by a 90:10 He-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> mixture. During incubation at 26 °C, gas samples (200 µl) were taken after 4 and 6 hours and immediately analysed for N<sub>2</sub>O by chromatography (Varian, STAR 3400 CX, Walnut Creek, US).

Nitrifying enzyme activity (NEA) was measured according to Lensi et al. (1986). For each fresh soil sample, two sub samples (equivalent to 10 g ovendried) were placed in 150 ml flasks. One sub sample was used to estimate the initial soil  $NO_3^-$  content. This sub sample was supplied with 6 ml of a suspension containing a denitrifying organism (*Pseudomonas fluorescens*, O.D. 580 nm = 2) in a solution of glucose and glutamic acid (final soil C concentration for each: 0.5 mg C g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil). The atmosphere in the flask was replaced by a He-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> mixture (90-10) and N<sub>2</sub>O accumulation was measured until soil  $NO_3^-$  was converted fully to N<sub>2</sub>O. The other sub sample was used to determine potential  $NO_3^-$  accumulation. In this case, 4 ml of a (NH<sub>4</sub>) <sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution was added (final concentration 200 µg N g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil). Water was added to achieve 70 % water holding capacity. After aerobic incubation (7 h at 26 °C), which allows nitrate to accumulate, the soil samples were enriched with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and incubated as described above. Nitrous oxide was analysed on a Varian STAR 3400 gas chromatograph.

219 Calculations and statistics

Nitrifying enzyme activity was computed by subtracting the nitrate initially present in the soil from that present after aerobic incubation. All results on  $^{13}$ C/ $^{12}$ C ratios are reported using the  $\delta^{13}$ C notation, *i.e.*:

 $\delta^{13}C (\%) = 1000 \times (R_{sample} / R_{standard} - 1),$ 

where  $R = ^{13}C/^{12}C$ . Internal standards were used to check and correct for changes in  $N_2O$ ,  $CO_2$  and  $\delta^{13}C$  of  $CO_2$  between time of sampling and analysis. The emission of  $N_2O$  and  $CO_2$  were calculated using linear regression and the  $\delta^{13}C$  of the evolved  $CO_2$  was established by Keeling plots (Keeling 1958). To simplify,  $\delta^{13}C$  of  $CO_2$  from soil respiration and microbial respiration are referred to as  $\delta^{13}C$  of soil respiration and microbial respiration, respectively.

In general, the mean of the results obtained in each monolith was used, which gives 3 replicates. Some data are reported as the overall mean  $\pm$  standard error. Analysis of variance (ANOVA), analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) and Tukey's multiple comparison tests ( $\alpha$  = 0.05) were performed using SAS General Linear Model procedure (SAS Institute 1997). Furthermore, ANCOVAs were performed with SAS Mixed Model procedure on the repeated measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub> and  $\delta^{13}$ C of CO<sub>2</sub> using means of the measurements on day -4 and -1 before urine application as covariate ( $\delta^{13}$ C of CO<sub>2</sub> after the first application, covariate not included). The ANCOVAs for CO<sub>2</sub> emission after the first application and N<sub>2</sub>O emission after the second application were performed on log transformed data.

# 241 Results 242 243 *Irrigation and temperature* 244 The distributions of the 108 and 128 mm water given in the first and the second 245 experiment, respectively, appear from Figure 1. Air temperature in the enclosures 246 during gas measurement ranged between 15 and 27 °C in the first experiment (data not shown). In the second experiment, the temperature was on average 12 °C higher (P < 247 0.0001) and varied between 26 and 40 °C. Air temperature did not differ between time 248 249 of $CO_2$ and $N_2O$ measurements (P = 0.14). 250 251 N<sub>2</sub>O emission 252 Homogeneity of variance was not obtained despite transformation when testing the 253 emission of N<sub>2</sub>O after the first urine application. Thus, no statistical analysis was 254 performed on the N<sub>2</sub>O data from the first experiment. Application of urine appeared to 255 have no significant effect on the N<sub>2</sub>O flux from the grassland monoliths in the first experiment (3.1 g N m<sup>-2</sup>; Fig. 2 A). Overall the flux of N<sub>2</sub>O was very low during the 256 first experiment, varying between -8 and 14 ug N<sub>2</sub>O-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. 257 In contrast, urine application equivalent to 5.5 g N m<sup>-2</sup> in the second experiment 258 had a significant effect on the $N_2O$ emission (P = 0.047), which increased to $87 \pm 57$ 259 ug N<sub>2</sub>O-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2 B). The emission remained elevated for at least 8 days, but 260 261 declined gradually with time (P = 0.049). 262 Respiration and $\delta^{13}C$ of respiration 263 264 Urine application had a significant effect on the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted from the

grassland monoliths in the two experiments ( $P \le 0.040$ ; Fig. 3). A peak in the CO<sub>2</sub>

emission took place on the day of application, which was probably mainly caused by the hydrolysis of urea, resulting in formation of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

Mean  $\delta^{13}$ C of soil respiration determined in the grassland monoliths during the study was -28.5 ‰. No decline in  $\delta^{13}$ C of soil respiration was observed following urine application (Fig. 4) and urine had no significant effect on the  $^{13}$ C signal (P  $\geq$  0.16). The CO<sub>2</sub> peak on the day of urine application that partly derived from hydrolysis of urea ( $\delta^{13}$ C -34 ‰) did only affect the  $\delta^{13}$ C of soil respiration in the first experiment, where  $\delta^{13}$ C of CO<sub>2</sub> from the urine treatment dropped significantly below that of the control on the day of application (Fig. 4 A). The  $^{13}$ C signal of soil respiration increased following days with high irrigation, *viz.* day -1 and 5 in the first experiment (Fig. 1, 4 A). On day 5 after the first application event, the CO<sub>2</sub> emission increased as well (Fig. 3 A).

The rate of microbial respiration measured on 'root free' soil samples in the laboratory did not change over the course of the study or between treatments ( $P \ge 0.79$ ) and the mean rate was  $3.4 \pm 0.2~\mu g~CO_2$ -C g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil h<sup>-1</sup> (data not shown). Delta <sup>13</sup>C of microbial respiration established on the 'root free' soil samples was stable during the study (P = 0.38; Fig. 5) and the urine treatment had no significant effect on the <sup>13</sup>C signal (P = 0.72).

Delta <sup>13</sup>C of other C pools

Delta  $\delta^{13}$ C of plant shoot and root measured just before the second experiment revealed that the vegetation had been significantly labelled (P < 0.0001; Fig. 5). However, the shoot material was more depleted than the roots. Delta  $^{13}$ C of DOC tended to decrease over the course of the study (P = 0.078; Fig. 5). Furthermore,  $\delta^{13}$ C of soil organic matter (SOM) declined significantly during the period from the start of

labelling to the first experiment (P = 0.05). The urine treatment had no effect on  $\delta^{13}$ C 291 of DOC and SOM (P > 0.22). In general,  $\delta^{13}$ C of DOC differed from  $\delta^{13}$ C of soil 292 respiration measured at the start of labelling and on day 2 after urine application (P = 293 0.05), whereas  $\delta^{13}C$  of SOM and microbial respiration was rather similar (P > 0.05). 294 295 296 Denitrifying and nitrifying enzyme activities 297 Measurements of DEA (Fig. 6 A) and NEA (Fig. 6 B) revealed that the monoliths 298 used for the urine treatment and the control in the first experiment differed 299 significantly before urine was applied ( $P \le 0.020$ ). This difference was accounted for 300 in the statistical analyses by including the measurements before urine application as 301 covariate. Urine had no effect on DEA when measured on day 2 and 7 after application (P = 0.88). In contrast, NEA appeared to increase following urine 302 303 application. However, due to the number of replicates (two or three), the effect of 304 urine on NEA was not statistically significant (P = 0.17). 305 306 Inorganic N, DOC and soil pH 307 The content of soil inorganic N in the 0-10 cm soil layer measured on day 2 after 308 urine application did not differ between the two experiments (P = 0.76) and was significantly higher in the urine treatment (1.46 g N m<sup>-2</sup>) than in the control (0.49 g N 309  $m^{-2}$ ; P = 0.042) (data not shown). The increased level of inorganic N in the urine 310 treatment was almost exclusively caused by a rise in the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> content. The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> 311 312 content was below the detection limit in the first experiment and had a mean value of 0.05 g N m<sup>-2</sup> (0-10 cm soil layer) in the second experiment. 313 314 The content of DOC in the upper 0-10 cm of the soil was similar in the urine treatment and the control (17.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup>; P = 0.88). Despite urea hydrolysis, no pH 315

increase was observed in the urine treated soil when measured on day 2 after application (P = 0.23; data not shown).

318

319

316

317

#### **Discussion**

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

*Increased*  $N_2O$  *emission after urine application* According to the peaks in CO<sub>2</sub> emission (Fig. 3), urea hydrolysis was completed within 24 hours, which is in line with results obtained in other studies (Petersen et al. 1998; Bol et al. 2004). In the first experiment in May, urine application corresponding to 3.1 g N m<sup>-2</sup> did not lead to a significant increase of the N<sub>2</sub>O emission (Fig. 2 A). In contrast, the N<sub>2</sub>O emission increased significantly following urine application equivalent to 5.5 g N m<sup>-2</sup> in the second experiment in June (Fig. 2 B). A possible reason for the larger N<sub>2</sub>O emission in the second experiment compared to the first could be the temperature, which was about 12 °C higher in the second experiment (mean 34 °C). Christensen (1983) found that the Q<sub>10</sub> value for N<sub>2</sub>O production in soil was 2-3. The emission on the day of urine application was 6-fold higher in the second experiment than it was in the first, which suggests that the difference in N<sub>2</sub>O production between the two experiments was too big to be accounted for by a temperature effect only. The different responses at the two application events may be explained partly by the larger amount of N added in the second experiment (5.5 vs. 3.1 g N m<sup>-2</sup>). Furthermore, nitrifying bacteria may compete with plants for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (Verhagen et al. 1995; Kaye and Hart 1997). Compared to plant growth in May, the growth rate was reduced during the second experiment in June. Thus, probably the plants left more inorganic N for the nitrifying and

denitrifying bacteria in the second experiment, which enabled increased  $N_2O$  production.

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

Maximum  $N_2O$  emission measured was  $87 \pm 57 \mu g N_2O-N m^{-2} h^{-1}$ . In comparison, Williamson and Jarvis (1997) measured emission of 600 µg N<sub>2</sub>O-N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> after application of similar amounts of urine-N (6 g N m<sup>-2</sup>) to a grassland on poorly drained silty clay loam in November. However, the sandy soil and moderate soil moisture in the present study offered less favourable conditions for N<sub>2</sub>O production, which largely explains the lower emission. More generally, relative N<sub>2</sub>O emission at peak emission date (i.e. N<sub>2</sub>O emission expressed per unit of applied urine-N) computed from published data (Allen et al. 1996; Clough et al. 1996; Yamulki et al. 1998; Bol et al. 2004; Van Groenigen et al. 2005b) varied between 2 and 123 μg N<sub>2</sub>O-N h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> urine-N. The median of these observations (n = 15) is 17  $\mu$ g N<sub>2</sub>O-N h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> urine-N, which is close to the relative N<sub>2</sub>O emission of 16 µg N<sub>2</sub>O-N h<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> urine-N observed in our study. Assuming an N loss of 20 % due to NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization and nitric oxide (NO) emission (IPCC 1997), the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor for the added urine-N measured over the 8 days was  $0.18 \pm 0.08$  %. In the study by Williamson and Jarvis (1997), where a similar amount of urine-N was applied, the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor measured over 37 days was 5 % (De Klein et al. 2001). According to the guidelines issued by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 1997), the N<sub>2</sub>O emission from urine deposited by grazing livestock should be calculated as 2 % of the N remaining after NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization and NO emission, which are assumed to account for 20 % of the total N content. However, a review of 10 field studies showed that median N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor of real urine was 0.9 % (Van Groenigen et al. 2005a), and the present study supports a reduction of the IPCC default emission factor as well.

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

Assessment of the possible link between  $N_2O$  production and availability of root*derived C in urine patches* Urine deposition by grazing livestock is known to trigger significant N<sub>2</sub>O production, but the mechanisms involved are very complex and not well understood. It has been suggested that labile compounds released from scorched plant roots stimulate denitrification activity, and thus is part of the reason for the increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission following urine deposition (Monaghan and Barraclough 1993). We propose that the small increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emission from low N-urine patches partly is caused by the lack of root scorching and associated release of labile carbon compounds. The aim of the present study was to test this hypothesis by assessing the source of CO<sub>2</sub> emitted following application of a low rate of urine-N. If the increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission were a result of higher denitrifying activity due to a supply of labile compounds released from scorched plant roots (more depleted than other soil C pools in the monoliths studied), then  $\delta^{13}$ C of soil respiration would be expected to decline after urine application. In line with the hypothesis, the increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission in the second experiment was not related to increased mineralization of plant-derived C, viz.  $\delta^{13}$ C of soil respiration was unaffected by the urine application (Fig. 4 B). The plant material may have been inadequately <sup>13</sup>C-labelled in order to trace plant-derived C in other C pools. However, the result may indicate that no significant root scorching occurred following urea hydrolysis. Lack of urine-effect on other soil C measures (i.e.  $\delta^{13}$ C of microbial respiration, soil content and  $\delta^{13}$ C of DOC) supported that root scorching was probably negligible. The urine compounds remaining after urea hydrolysis (hippuric acid, creatinine and allantoin;  $\delta^{13}$ C -26 %) did not affect the results on  $\delta^{13}$ C

of DOC because of their low amount (< 3 g C m<sup>-2</sup> vs. 17.8 g DOC-C m<sup>-2</sup> in the 0-10 cm soil layer).

More generally, the urine-induced rise in  $N_2O$  emission was not linked to an increase of soil respiration. The same result appears from a study by Bol et al. (2004), where urine was applied corresponding to 23 or 40 g urea-N m<sup>-2</sup>. In contrast, Lovell and Jarvis (1996) found that soil respiration increased significantly following application of urine equivalent to about 20 g N m<sup>-2</sup>.

Our results show that application of 5.5 g urine-N m<sup>-2</sup> gave rise to a NH<sub>3(aq)</sub> concentration in the soil solution that did not cause significant scorching of the roots and, thus, that root scorching could not be responsible for the urine-induced N<sub>2</sub>O emission from the simulated low N-urine patch. In contrast, a related study demonstrated that application of a high rate of urine-N (50.9 g N m<sup>-2</sup>) significantly increased the mineralization of plant-derived C, possibly as a result of root damage due to scorching (P. Ambus, personal communication).

Alternative processes explaining the urine-induced  $N_2O$  emission

The concentration of N in livestock urine may vary between 1 and 20 g N  $\Gamma^{-1}$  (Oenema et al. 1997), thus the concentration used in the present study (0.7 g N  $\Gamma^{-1}$ ) was in the lower end of this range. A nitrogen concentration of urine above 16 g N  $\Gamma^{-1}$  leads to microbial stress due to NH<sub>3(aq)</sub> and low osmotic potential, and thereby to inhibition of nitrification (Monaghan and Barraclough 1992; Bol et al. 2004). The low urine-N concentration in the present study means that nitrification most likely occurred, and the process might play a major role in the increase in N<sub>2</sub>O emission. This view is supported by the apparent increase of NEA in the urine treated soil during the first experiment (Fig. 6) and the presence of soil NO<sub>3</sub>- on day 2 after the second

application event. Hence, the elevated N<sub>2</sub>O emission immediately following urine application was probably caused by a rapid nitrification-denitrification turnover of urea-derived N. This mechanism is different from that following application of higher rates of urine-N, where nitrification is typically inhibited for a couple of days (Monaghan and Barraclough 1992; Bol et al. 2004).

In conclusion, the increased N<sub>2</sub>O emission following urine application at rates up to 5.5 g N m<sup>-2</sup> was not caused by enhanced denitrification stimulated by an increased availability of labile plant compounds. Furthermore, strong competition for inorganic N between plants and microorganisms combined with low urine-N rates

### Acknowledgement

limited the N<sub>2</sub>O loss from this semi-natural grassland.

This work was funded by the Danish Research Centre for Organic Farming (DARCOF) and the Danish Agricultural and Veterinary Research Council. The first author thanks for the 'Short-term Scientific Mission' funding from the EU COST Action 627 and the Exchange Grant from European Science Foundation, Programme on 'Stable Isotopes in Biospheric-Atmospheric Exchange', which enabled the experimental work at INRA, Clermont-Ferrand, France. We wish to thank Nadine Guillaumaud for help during enzyme activity assays, Per Ambus and Liselotte Meltofte for their assistance with the gas analyses as well as Daniel Carter and Per Ambus for their useful comments on the manuscript.

#### 436 References

- 437 Allen A.G., Jarvis S.C. and Headon D.M. 1996. Nitrous oxide emissions from soils
- due to inputs of nitrogen from excreta return by livestock on grazed grassland in
- 439 the U.K. Soil Biol. Biochem. 28: 597-607.
- Bol R., Petersen S.O., Christofides C., Dittert K. and Hansen M.N. 2004. Short-term
- N<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> fluxes, and N/C transfers in a Danish grass-clover pasture after
- simulated urine deposition in autumn. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sc. 167: 568-576.
- Bolan N.S., Saggar S., Luo J.F., Bhandral R. and Singh J. 2004. Gaseous emissions of
- nitrogen from grazed pastures: Processes, measurements and modelling,
- environmental implications, and mitigation. Adv. Agron. 84: 37-120.
- Christensen S. 1983. Nitrous oxide emission from a soil under permanent grass:
- Seasonal and diurnal fluctuations as influenced by manuring and fertilization. Soil
- 448 Biol. Biochem. 15: 531-536.
- Clough T.J., Kelliher F.M., Sherlock R.R. and Ford C.D. 2004. Lime and soil
- 450 moisture effects on nitrous oxide emissions from a urine patch. Soil Sci. Soc. Am.
- 451 J. 68: 1600-1609.
- 452 Clough T.J., Sherlock R.R., Cameron K.C. and Ledgard S.F. 1996. Fate of urine
- nitrogen on mineral and peat soils in New Zealand. Plant Soil 178: 141-152.
- De Klein C.A.M., Sherlock R.R., Cameron K.C. and Van der Weerden T.J. 2001.
- Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soils in New Zealand a review of
- 456 current knowledge and directions for future research. J. Roy. Soc. New Zeal. 31:
- 457 543-574.
- Doak B.W. 1952. Some chemical changes in the nitrogenous constituents of urine
- when voided on pasture. J. Agric. Sci. 42: 162-171.

- 460 EEA 2002. Greenhouse gas emission trends in Europe, 1999-2000. Topic report 7.
- European Environment Agency, Copenhagen.
- 462 Firestone M.K. and Davidson E.A. 1989. Microbiological basis of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O
- production and consumption in soil. In: Andreae M.O. and Schimel D.S. (Eds.)
- Exchange of Trace Gases Between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere.
- John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, pp 7-21.
- 466 IPCC 1997. Reference Manual (Vol. 3). In: Houghton J.T. et al. (Eds.) Revised 1996
- 467 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. UK Meteorological
- 468 Office, Bracknell.
- Kaye J.P. and Hart S.C. 1997. Competition for nitrogen between plants and soil
- 470 microorganisms. Tree 12: 139-143.
- Keeling C.D. 1958. The concentration and isotopic abundances of atmospheric carbon
- dioxide in rural areas. Geochem. Cosmochem. Ac. 13: 322-334.
- Klumpp K. 2004. Carbon sequestration in grasslands as affected by soil carbon
- 474 turnover and management factors. Intermediate Scientific Report of Marie Curie
- 475 Individual Fellowship EESD-ENV-99-3 Contract N° EVK2-CT-2002-50026.
- 476 Lensi R., Mazurie S., Gourbiere F. and Josserand A. 1986. Rapid determination of the
- nitrification potential of an acid forest soil and assessment of its variability. Soil
- 478 Biol. Biochem. 18: 239-240.
- 479 Lovell R.D. and Jarvis S.C. 1996. Effects of urine on soil microbial biomass,
- 480 methanogenesis, nitrification and denitrification in grassland soils. Plant Soil 186:
- 481 265-273.
- 482 Monaghan R.M. and Barraclough D. 1992. Some chemical and physical factors
- affecting the rate and dynamics of nitrification in urine-affected soil. Plant Soil
- 484 143: 11-18.

- 485 Monaghan R.M. and Barraclough D. 1993. Nitrous oxide and dinitrogen emissions
- from urine-affected soil under controlled conditions. Plant Soil 151: 127-138.
- Oenema O., Velthof G.L., Yamulki S. and Jarvis S.C. 1997. Nitrous oxide emissions
- from grazed grassland. Soil Use Manage. 13: 288-295.
- Patra A.K., Abbadie L., Clays A., Degrange V., Grayston S., Loiseau P., Louault F.,
- Mahmood S., Nazaret S., Philippot L., Poly F., Prosser J.I., Richaume A. and Le
- 491 Roux X. 2005. Effect of grazing on microbial functional groups involved in soil N
- dynamics. Ecol. Monographs 75: 65-80.
- 493 Petersen S.O., Sommer S.G., Aaes O. and Søegaard K. 1998. Ammonia losses from
- dung and urine of grazing cattle: Effect of N intake. Atmos. Environ. 32: 295-300.
- 495 Ritchey K.D., Boyer D.G., Turner K.E. and Snuffer J.D. 2003. Surface limestone
- application increases ammonia volatilization from goat urine in abandoned
- 497 pastures. J. Sustain. Agr. 23: 111-125.
- 498 SAS Institute 1997. SAS/STAT users guide, release 6.12. Statistical Analysis Systems
- 499 Institute, Cary.
- 500 Shand C.A., Williams B.L., Dawson L.A., Smith S. and Young M.E. 2002. Sheep
- urine affects soil solution nutrient composition and roots: differences between
- field and sward box soils and the effects of synthetic and natural sheep urine. Soil
- 503 Biol. Biochem. 34: 163-171.
- 504 Smith M.S. and Tiedje J.M. 1979. Phases of denitrification following oxygen
- depletion in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 11: 262-267.
- Van Groenigen J.W., Kuikman P.J., de Groot W.J.M. and Velthof G.L. 2005a.
- Nitrous oxide emission from urine-treated soil as influenced by urine composition
- and soil physical conditions. Soil Biol. Biochem. 37: 463-473.

509 Van Groenigen J.W., Velthof G.L., Van der Bolt F.J.E., Vos A. and Kuikman P.J. 510 2005b. Seasonal variation in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from urine patches: Effect of urine 511 concentration, soil compaction and dung. Plant Soil 273: 15-27. 512 Verhagen F.J.M., Laanbroek H.J. and Woldendorp J.W. 1995. Competition for 513 ammonium between plant roots and nitrifying and heterotrophic bacteria and 514 effects of protozoan grazing. Plant Soil 170: 241-250. 515 Vertès F., Simon J.C., Le Corre L. and Decau M.L. 1997. Nitrogen flows in grazed 516 pastures. II- Flows and their effects on leaching. Fourrage 151: 263-281. 517 Williamson J.C. and Jarvis S.C. 1997. Effect of dicyandiamide on nitrous oxide flux 518 following return of animal excreta to grassland. Soil Biol. Biochem. 29: 1575-519 1578. 520 Yamulki S., Jarvis S.C. and Owen P. 1998. Nitrous oxide emissions from excreta 521 applied in a simulated grazing pattern. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30: 491-500.

522 Figure 1. Distribution of irrigation during the first and second experiment. 523 524 Figure 2. Emission of N<sub>2</sub>O from the urine treatment and the control during (A) the 525 first experiment and (B) the second experiment; n = 3; means  $\pm$  SE. 526 Figure 3. Emission of CO<sub>2</sub> from the urine treatment and the control during (A) the 527 528 first experiment and (B) the second experiment; n = 3; means; the bars indicate the 529 Minimum Significant Difference. 530 Figure 4. Delta <sup>13</sup>C of CO<sub>2</sub> evolved by soil respiration in the urine treatment and the 531 532 control during (A) the first experiment and (B) the second experiment; n = 3; means  $\pm$ SE. <sup>a</sup> Urine, n = 1; control, n = 2. <sup>b</sup> One outlying sub measurement was not included. 533 534 Figure 5. Delta <sup>13</sup>C of dissolved organic C (DOC), soil organic matter (SOM) and 535 536 CO<sub>2</sub> evolved by microbial respiration (MR) and soil respiration (SR) determined at the start of labelling and on day 2 of the first and second experiment, as well as  $\delta^{13}$ C 537 538 of root and shoot determined at the start of labelling and on day -6 of the second 539 experiment; n = 4-6; means  $\pm$  SE. 540 541 Figure 6. (A) Denitrifying enzyme activity, DEA, and (B) nitrifying enzyme activity, 542 NEA, in the urine treatment and the control on day -1, 2 and 7 after the first urine 543 application event; n = 2-3; means  $\pm$  SE.











