

European Organic Congress, December 4-5, Brussels

Template – 'Speech summary for Proceedings'

## ORGAP Project – Evaluation toolbox for the evaluation of action plans for organic food and farming

**Editor Otto Schmid (project coordinator), FiBL, Frick/CH ([otto.schmid@fibl.org](mailto:otto.schmid@fibl.org))**

**Nic Lampkin, Ian Jeffreys (UW, Aberystwyth/UK), Stephan Dabbert, Christian Eichert (UH, Hohenheim/D), Johannes Michelsen (USD, Odense/DK), Victor González (IFOAM EU Group, BE)**

**Summary:** The 3-year EU funded research project ORGAP ("Evaluation of the European Action Plan for Organic Food and Farming"), implemented by 10 partners from 9 countries (CH, UK, DE, IT, DK, SI, CZ, NL, ES) and the umbrella organisation of the organic agricultural movements in Europe (IFOAM EU Regional group), has developed an evaluation toolbox for the evaluation of the European and/or national action plans based on analysis of national action plans and expert/stakeholder consultation.

**Key words:** organic action plan, stakeholder's participation, evaluation tools

### Introduction

The European Commission released in June 2004 the European Action Plan for Organic Food and Farming (EUOAP). In May 2005 the 3-year, EU funded research project ORGAP ("Evaluation of the European Action Plan for Organic Food and Farming") started. 10 partners from 9 countries (CH, UK, DE, IT, DK, SI, CZ, NL, ES) and the umbrella organisation of the organic agricultural movements in Europe (IFOAM EU Regional group) are participating in the project.

### Materials and methods:

The overall objective of this project is to give scientific support to the implementation and evaluation of the EUOAP. This was achieved by the identification of a set of suitable indicators and concepts as a basis for the development of an integrated evaluation tool to assess the long-term and short-term effects of the implementation of the EUOAP.

### Results

#### *Comparison of national organic action plans*

A comparative documentation about national action plans for organic agriculture describes in terms of a desk-top study the current status quo of eight national and regional action plans for organic food and farming. The case study action plans vary with regard to their development process, targets and objectives, and emphasis of measures on certain areas. These differences are due to quite different political/socio-economic framework conditions for organic farming in these countries at the time when these plans were established (Stolz, Stolze, Schmid, 2006).

#### *Meta-evaluation of evaluations of national organic action plans*

This was one important step to get an insight into already conducted evaluation studies in the field of organic action plans in Europe. Results contributed to a methodological learning process, helped to optimize the ORGAPET toolbox and provided information on the content level about the success and failure of organic action plans in general (Dabbert & Eichert, 2007).

#### *ORGAPET development*

The development of the Organic Action Plan Evaluation Toolbox (ORGAPET) is the central part of the ORGAP project. During the project, ORGAPET has been developed in an iterative process with several versions regularly updated and further enhanced. ORGAPET has been developed as an electronic toolbox for use on-line ([www.orgap.org](http://www.orgap.org)) or as a CD-ROM, with key documents incorporated in the toolbox and hyperlinks between the different elements designed to make navigation easy. The structure of ORGAPET is presented in the following table.

**Tab. 1 ORGATPET Contents**

**Part A: Background and context**

- A1 Introduction to ORGAP and action plans
- A2 Nature of policy evaluation and organic action plan evaluation
- A3 Influences on the development of organic farming - programme theory and results of previous research
- A4 Working with stakeholders – participatory and partnership approaches.
- A5 Planning an Evaluation

**Part B: Evaluating programme design and implementation**

- B1 Describing programmes and their management
- B2 Evaluating stakeholder involvement
- B3 Conflict and synergies

**Part C: Evaluating programme effects**

- C1 Defining objectives
- C2 Defining indicators
- C3 Key indicators
- C4 Using expert judgement

**Part D: Synthesis**

- D1 Integrating and interpreting results
- D2 Examples of existing evaluations

The ORGAPET is a collection of different evaluation tools, including participative techniques, quantitative assessments and methods to identify relevant indicators, which could be used selectively to meet the needs of a particular assessment of national or EU action plans. The toolbox is structured around 'compartments' or sections containing 'tools' fulfilling different functions. Each section contains an overview paper and a series of Annexes detailing a range of methodological approaches (including written materials, relevant software and other items) and examples of how these have been applied in specific cases.

***ORGAPET testing and assessment by stakeholders and evaluation experts***

Comments on the ORGAPET toolbox were collected via a comprehensive testing process in all ORGAP participant countries, in order to get feedback under the different needs and circumstances in the countries involved. Suggestions for structural and general changes from the experts were taken into account for the revision of ORGAPET (Dabbert and Eichert, 2007).

***Focus group discussions on the national implementation of the EUOAP***

Focus group discussions with stakeholders were held in 8 EU member states. The main conclusion was that the level of implementation success of the EUOAP in any member state is a matter of national balances between positive and negative aspects of the three main properties associated with all stakeholders involved in implementation: stakeholder willingness, capability and comprehension (Vedung 1997). Seven focus groups discussed the scope of the new EU regulation. Six groups discussed a suggested threshold of GMO content in organic produce and all agreed that a threshold should be very low if it was to be allowed at all. All other issues were specific to the national context. On a more general level, the analysis revealed a deep scepticism about the market orientated basis of the EUOAP, which in itself may cause implementation problems since it counters one of the main ideas of the EUOAP (Michelsen and Tyrol Beck, 2007).

**Reports:** All reports can be downloaded from the Project website: [www.orgap.ch](http://www.orgap.ch)

*The project was carried out with financial support from the Commission of the European Community under the 6th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development.*

## European Organic Congress, December 4-5, Brussels

### Template – 'Speech summary for Proceedings'

#### References

Dabbert S., Eichert C. (2007): Public synthesis report on the scope of national action plans, their evaluation procedures and the operability and appropriateness of the developed evaluation concept at national level, as well as the impact of conflict/synergies and policy proposals for implementing the EU Action Plan in member states. Project Deliverable D8. University of Hohenheim. Project website: [www.orgap.org](http://www.orgap.org)

Michelsen J., Tyroll Beck A.-M. (2007): Implementing the European Organic Action Plan in EU member states. Stakeholders' perceptions of implementation problems and coping strategies. Project Deliverable D7. University of Southern Denmark. Project website: [www.orgap.org](http://www.orgap.org)

Stolz H., Stolze M., Schmid O. (2006): Documentation about national Action Plans for Organic Food and Farming. Project report. Research Institute of Organic Agriculture (FiBL). Project website: [www.orgap.org](http://www.orgap.org)

Vedung, E (1997): Public Policy And Program Evaluation, London: Transaction Publishers.