Airfreight, organic products and

Alexander Kasterine, International Trade Centre

Africa

Kasterine, Alexander and Bollwig, Simon (2008) Airfreight, organic products and Africa. [oral] Presentation at BioFach Congress 2008, NürnbergMesse, Nuremberg, Germany, February 21-24, 2008.

Simon Bolwig, Danish Institute for International Studies

Based on a study commissioned to DIIS by the International Trade Centre, Geneva, carried out by P. Gibbon, S. Bolwig, L. Riisgaard and N. Grunth (DIIS Working Paper 2007/23)

Emergence of 'climate change' standards in agro-food sector

- UK retailer initiatives to reduce CO2 emissions ('carbon footprint')
 - Reduce energy use
 - CO2 labelling of products
 - Reduce amount of food imported by air
 - Label air-freighted foods as 'flown'
- Initiatives by private organic standard setting bodies in the EU
- Emerging focus is on CO2 emissions from food transportation - especially air freight

Private organic standards important for EU market access

- Organic movement influences the EU regulation of organic production and trade
- Private organic standards in excess of EU regulatory requirements often dominate e.g.
 - UK Soil Association: 70% of operators
 - Germany Naturland & Bioland: 45%
 - Sweden KRAV: 100%
- Private standards de facto govern conditions for accessing organic food markets in the EU

Organic standards and climate change: two main approaches

- 1) Include specific CC standards within organic standards
 - Broad standards on CC (Bioland)
 - Focus on a single 'climate killer'
 - Soil Association and Bio-Suisse: air freight
- 2) Develop independent, additional CC standards
 - KRAV and Swedish Farmers' Federation: both organic and conventional products

The UK Soil Association proposal to ban air-freight of organic imports

- Green paper & public consultation in 2007
- Critiques from within UK and developing countr.
 - One-sided focus on air freight as the CO2 source
 - Protectionist of UK and other EU farmers
 - Skewed impact on developing countries
- Options for importers in case of a ban?
 - Shift from the SA to the EU standard or stop importing organic products flown by air

Likely impacts of a ban in developing countries

- > 60 exporters worldwide: de-certify or close the business!
 - Specialized organic firms will suffer most
- Minimum 21,500 livelihoods compromised
 - Women, youth and smallholders hardest hit
- Biggest impact felt in the poorest countries
 - Egypt, Kenya, Morocco, Zambia, ..., Ghana, ...
 - Account for 79% of all air freighted organic imports
 - Depend relatively more on air freight

So what did the SA decide?

- Air freight is allowed on condition of additional certification to Fair Trade or Ethical Trade 'to ensure that food is only air freighted if it delivers genuine development benefits'
- Importers must 'plan for reducing any remaining dependence on air freight'
- > SA will 'consider implementing carbon labeling for all organic goods ...' when feasible
- Standard in effect from January 2009

Broader issues (1)

- Private climate change standards can have significant effects on exporters, producers and workers in developing countries
 - Loss of market access
 - Loss of price premia
 - More demanding & more costly compliance

Broader issues (2)

- Northern NGOs and movements were key actors in developing and promoting the 'air freight' standard'
- Developing countries had little or no influence on standard setting and generally did not raise their voice

Lessons for developing countries

- Strengthen national industry organizations (KOAN a role model in East Africa)
- Get a voice in the end markets where standard setting takes place
 - Dialogue with EU private standard setters, the IFOAM EU group, EU institutions
 - This requires human and financial resources

Lessons for developing countries (2)

- Strengthen capacity to follow developments and potential threats in international standards
- 'ORGANIC +' standards often necessary to maintain or maximize the benefits of market access