

Queensland University of Technology Brisbane Australia

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted/accepted for publication in the following source:

Lung, Jenny C.Y., Swann, Peter G., & Chan, Henry H.L. (2012) Early local functional changes in the human diabetic retina : a global flash multifocal electroretinogram study. *Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology*.

This file was downloaded from: http://eprints.qut.edu.au/49888/

© Copyright 2012 Springer-Verlag

The original publication is available at SpringerLink http://www.springerlink.com

Notice: Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published source:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00417-012-2010-z

Early local functional changes in the human diabetic retina:

a global flash multifocal electroretinogram study

Jenny CY Lung, BSc (Hons) Optom¹ Peter G Swann, MAppSc^{1, 2} Henry HL Chan, PhD¹ ¹Laboratory of Experimental Optometry (Neuroscience), School of Optometry, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China. ²School of Optometry, The Queensland University of Technology, Queensland, Australia.

Author for correspondence: Dr. Henry HL Chan

Request of reprint: Dr. Henry HL Chan

Address: School of Optometry, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom,

Kowloon, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2766-7937

Fax: (852) 2764-6051

E-mail: henryhl.chan@polyu.edu.hk

Abstract

Purpose: To investigate early functional changes of local retinal defects in type II diabetic patients using the global flash multifocal electroretinogram (MOFO mfERG). Methods: Thirty-eight diabetic patients and 14 age-matched controls were recruited. Nine of the diabetics were free from diabetic retinopathy (DR), while the remainder had mild to moderate non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy. The MOFO mfERG was performed at high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels. MfERG responses were grouped into 35 regions for comparison with DR classification at those locations. Z-scores of the regional mfERG responses were compared across different types of DR defects.

Results: The mfERG waveform consisted of the direct component (DC) and the induced component (IC). Local reduction in DC and IC amplitudes were found in diabetic patients with and without DR. With increasing severity of retinopathy, there was a further deterioration in amplitude of both components. Under MOFO mfERG paradigm, amplitude was a useful screening parameter.

Conclusion: The MOFO mfERG can help in detecting early functional anomalies before the appearance of visible signs, and may assist in monitoring further functional deterioration in diabetic patients.

Keywords and summary statement

Keywords:

- 1) Diabetes mellitus
- 2) Diabetic retinopathy
- 3) Electroretinogram
- 4) Global flash multifocal electroretinogram
- 5) Retinal adaptation
- 6) Retinal functions

Summary statement:

In this study, a modified multifocal electroretinogram paradigm was applied to investigate the human diabetic retina. Early functional deterioration was detected before any clinically visible retinopathy.

Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a group of metabolic diseases which lead to systemic hyperglycemia. Type I DM is caused by beta-cell destruction in the pancreas, which is often mediated by the immune system, and results in a loss of insulin secretion and absolute insulin deficiency. Type II DM is caused by a combination of genetic and non-genetic factors which result in insulin resistance and deficiency. Type II DM accounts for about 90% of cases of diabetes [1]. It is estimated that the prevalence of diabetes will double to 366 million worldwide by 2030; many of these patients will be over 65 years of age [2]. DM can impair ocular capillary perfusion, and thus lead to the development of diabetic retinopathy (DR).

DR is the most frequent cause of new cases of blindness among the working population [3]. In the first two decades after diagnosis of the disease, over half of the patients with Type II DM have retinopathy [4, 5]. Diabetic patients are assessed using ophthalmoscopy and fundus photography [4, 6]. The main focus is to detect visible sign of vascular retinopathy in order to monitor progress of DR and to avoid its sight-threatening complications [5, 7]; however the basis of functional changes in the retina, especially in the early stages, has not been determined.

The Ganzfeld full-field electroretinogram has been used to study retinal functional changes in diabetic patients [8-11]. The defects of DR are not distributed uniformly across the retina, and show a range of stages of development [12]. The full-field electroretinogram, which is a summated retinal response measurement, is not likely to reflect local or eccentric functional changes in diabetes. The multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG), however, provides objective topographical measurements of retinal responses across the visual field [13]. Palmowski et al. [14] and Shimada et al. [15] examined retinal function in diabetes using the mfERG; responses were either grouped into rings or quadrants, or summed across the retina.

Such groupings lose the fine topographic details in studying various types of vascular defect [16, 17]. Bearse et al. applied slow flash mfERG to study local oscillatory potentials in the diabetic retina, and suggested that retinal adaptation was more likely to be abnormal at sites with early retinopathy [17]. Bronson-Castain et al. and Fortune et al. applied the conventional mfERG to the diabetic retina, and observed an implicit time delay increasing with the severity of retinopathy. However, local response amplitudes failed to show a consistent relationship with retinal abnormalities in diabetic eyes [16, 18].

Sutter and Bearse have proposed a modified mfERG protocol, named the global flash mfERG, to study retinal adaptive effects. It has been suggested that the retinal adaptive response would be enhanced by inserting a periodic full field (global) flash between successive m-sequence focal flashes [19-21]. In the global flash mfERG, there are two main components (Fig. 1): the direct component (DC) arises predominantly from bipolar and N-methyl-D-aspartic-acid (NMDA)-sensitive cells; the induced component (IC) is predominantly from NMDA-sensitive cells and ganglion cells from the inner retina [22]. This global flash mfERG allows separate examination of the response from the outer and inner retina. In addition, Hood and co-workers found that nonlinear retinal responses are saturated at high contrast levels, and they suggested that low contrast stimuli would enhance the inner retinal response [20].

The aim of this study was to investigate the early local functional changes in diabetic retina at both high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels. The use of the periodic global flash multifocal electroretinogram (MOFO mfERG) in diabetic patients assisted in correlating the local functional changes with retinopathy, and in investigating the depth of retinal dysfunction in diabetic patients.

5

Methods

Subjects

Thirty-eight type II diabetic patients were examined: 9 (aged 49.7 \pm 6.4years) did not have diabetic retinopathy (DR) while 29 (aged 49.8 \pm 6.4years) had non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR). Fourteen control subjects (aged 49.4 \pm 7.0years) were also examined. All subjects had visual acuities better than 6/9. Their refractive errors were between +3.00 and -6.00 D, and astigmatism was less than -1.25 D. None had any clinically significant ocular or systemic disorders other than DR or DM. The plasma glucose level of the subjects was measured during the visit using a blood glucose meter (Accu-Chek Compact Plus, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland) at least 2 hours after any food intake. Ten healthy controls and 36 diabetic patients consented to plasma glucose measurements. The duration of DM was based on patient's own report, and was represented by an ordinal parameter (DM diagnosed less than 5 years, DM diagnosed for 5 to 10 years, DM diagnosed for more than 10 years).

All procedures of the study followed the tenets of the Declaration of Hesinki. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. Informed consent was obtained from each subject following full explanation of the experimental procedures.

Stimulus conditions

The VERIS Science 5.1 system (Electro-Diagnostic-Imaging, San Mateo, CA, USA) was used for mfERG measurement. The stimulus was shown on a high luminance CRT monitor (FIMI Medical Electrical Equipment, Saronno, Italy). The stimulus pattern contained 103 scaled hexagons with an angular subtense of 44° vertically and 47° horizontally. The sequence of hexagonal pattern stimulation followed a

pseudo-random binary m-sequence (2¹³-1) with a video frame rate of 75Hz. In each MOFO stimulation, there were four video frames: a pseudo-random m-sequence focal flash, followed by a full-screen dark frame, a full-screen global flash, and another full-screen dark frame. The duration of one MOFO stimulation sequence was 53.3ms. The background luminance of the mfERG display was 100cd/m². At the high contrast level (98%), both the luminance of the bright phase of the multifocal stimulus and the global flashes were set at 200cd/m² as suggested by our previous study, in order to obtain optimal DC and IC responses [23]. The dark phase was set at a luminance of 2cd/m². At the low contrast level (46%), the bright phase of the multifocal stimulus was set at 166cd/m², while the dark phase was set at 61cd/m². A central cross on the stimulus pattern was used as a fixation target. One eye was randomly selected for mfERG measurement. The recording was carried out with room illuminance of about 100lux.

Recording conditions

Detailed eye examination (including subjective refraction, biomicroscopy and indirect ophthalmoscopy) with fundus photodocumentation was carried out for each subject. The Stratus optical coherence tomography (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA, USA) was used to measure the macular thickness in a fast scanning mode in order to rule out any patients with macular oedema. In the MOFO mfERG measurement, the pupil of the tested eye was dilated with 1% tropicamide (Alcon, Fort Worth, TX, USA) to at least 7mm diameter. The untested eye was occluded. A Dawson-Trick-Litzkow (DTL) electrode was placed on the lower bulbar conjunctiva to be the active electrode. Gold-cup electrodes were used as reference and ground electrodes, on the temporal side of the tested eye and forehead respectively. The ERG signal was amplified (x100,000) (Grass Instrument Co., Quincy, MA, USA) and band-pass filtered (3-300Hz). The MOFO mfERG protocol was carried out at high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels. The mfERG recording time for each contrast level was about 8 minutes, and was divided into 32 segments. Each segment lasted approximately 14 seconds, and a short break was provided between segments. The refractive error of the tested eye was corrected for the viewing distance of 33cm. The recording quality was monitored using the real time display of the VERIS program. Segments contaminated by poor fixation, eye movement or blinks were rejected and re-recorded immediately.

<u>Analysis</u>

1) Analysis of the MOFO mfERG signals

The 103 MOFO mfERG trace arrays were grouped into 35 regions as suggested by Bearse and colleagues. This grouping combines similar waveforms while maintaining their nasal, temporal and eccentricity locations (Fig. 2) [17, 24]. For each region, signal amplitudes of the DC and IC were measured. The DC implicit time was measured from the onset of the multifocal stimulus to the peak of DC; the IC implicit time was measured from the onset of the global flash to the peak of IC (Fig. 1). Left-eye MOFO mfERG signals were transposed so that all eyes were apparently right eyes for the purposes of data analysis.

The MOFO mfERG responses from the 35 regions were grouped according to the fundus photographs grading (see below) for further analysis. To account for the topographic asymmetry of the mfERG and provide the same baseline for comparison, a z-score scale was established for the MOFO mfERG responses [25, 26]. The MOFO mfERG responses in the control group were used to calculate the means and standard deviations for each specific location across the 35-division of the mfERG topography. The means and standard deviations obtained above were then used to calculate the z-score of the MOFO mfERG responses for each subject at that specific region (by

subtracting the mean from the individual mfERG response and then dividing it by the standard deviation obtained from the control group, [i.e. (Individual mfERG response-mfERG mean response of the control group)/ standard deviation].

2) Relating the plasma glucose level, DM duration, and averaged mfERG responses in the control and diabetic subjects

The plasma glucose level was compared between the control and diabetic subjects by independent t-test. The MOFO mfERG responses from the 35-division array were averaged so that each subject gave a mean z-score of the mfERG responses. The correlation between the individual plasma glucose level and DM duration with the averaged mfERG responses of the diabetic subjects was then obtained.

3) Grading of the fundus photographs

A Topcon IMAGEnet Fundus camera was used to take colour fundus photographs with one central 45° field and eight peripheral surrounding fields. The fundus photographs from various fields were grouped into a single photograph in mosaic format. The 103 hexagonal pattern of the mfERG topography was aligned with the mosaic of fundus photos for each subject. The blind spot depression and the central peak were aligned with the optic disc and fovea respectively. The 103 hexagons were then grouped into the 35-division pattern as shown in Fig. 2. (Calculations including the range of corrections used in these experiments and the range of axial lengths expected suggest that the variation in magnification of the retinal image of the stimulus pattern would be small, in the range of 3%).

The regional retinal defects were then graded by a masked retinal specialist according to the following scales based on the severity of retinopathy:

Group 0: regional samples from control subjects

Group 1: regional samples from DM patients without retinopathy ("No NPDR" group) (equivalent up to the ETDRS level 10) [27, 28]

Group 2: regional samples containing hard exudates ("HE" group) (equivalent up to the ETDRS level 14) [27, 28]

For the regional samples with definite haemorrhage (equivalent up to the ETDRS level 35 and 43) [27, 28], they were further divided into two types (outer and inner retinal haemorrhage) based on the retinal depth of the haemorrhage.

Group 3: regional samples containing outer retinal haemorrhage – Dot/ Blot haemorrhage, together with or without hard exudates ("Outer retinal haemorrhage +/- HE" group)

Group 4: regional samples containing inner retinal defect – Flame haemorrhage, together with or without cotton-wool-spots ("Inner +/- Outer retinal haemorrhage +/-CWS +/- HE" group)

(Note that retinal regions with small drusen and the retinal regions lacking retinopathy signs from the NPDR groups were excluded).

4) Mapping between fundus photographs and MOFO mfERG topography

Based on the above grading in the 35 retinal regions, the corresponding regional MOFO mfERG responses were then associated with these different retinal defect grades for multiple comparisons. It was assumed that the mfERG 35 divisions were independent of each other [16]. The statistical analysis was done using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS, Chicago IL). Repeated measures Analysis of Variance with Bonferroni's adjustment was applied to study the group difference (Group 0 to 4). The Bonferroni's adjustment was based on the contrast levels (a within-subject factor with two levels) and retinal defect groups (a between-subject factor with five levels). In the case of the existence of interaction between factors, simple effect of the group factor was then

reported.

5) Evaluation of the diagnostic values of the MOFO mfERG parameters

For each MOFO mfERG parameter (amplitude and implicit time of the DC and IC) at each contrast level, a receiver-operating-characteristic curve was constructed and the area-under-the-curve was calculated (GraphPad Prism 5; GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA) to estimate the predictive ability of each parameter in DR.

Results

<u>Correlation of the plasma glucose level and DM duration with the averaged MOFO</u> <u>mfERG parameters</u>

The plasma glucose levels of the diabetic subjects were significantly higher than those of the control subjects (independent t-test, p<0.0001). Among the 38 diabetic subjects, no significant correlation was found between the averaged MOFO mfERG responses and the DM duration (Spearman's r ranged from -0.09 to 0.1, p ranged from 0.57 to 0.94). For the plasma glucose level measured from the 36 diabetic subjects, significant correlation was only found with the mean z-score of IC implicit time at low contrast level. The higher the plasma glucose level was, the greater was the delay of the mean IC implicit time at low contrast level (Pearson's r=0.412; p=0.012) (Table 1).

Local MOFO mfERG responses in different types of retinopathy defects

A total of 1019 MOFO regional samples was collected. The numbers of regional samples from each group were: Group 0 - 486 regional samples (47.7%), Group 1-302 regional samples (29.6%), Group 2 - 28 regional samples (2.8%), Group 3 - 168 regional samples (16.5%), Group 4 - 35 regional samples (3.4%).

DC and IC amplitude measures, and DC and IC implicit time measures, showed statistically significant effects of contrast levels (repeated measures ANOVA, p<0.001), groups (p<0.001) and their interaction (p<0.001). The differences between the subgroups of subjects were further studied by applying one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni's *post-hoc* test.

DC amplitude z-score (*DCA_z*)

There were significantly smaller DC amplitudes at high and low contrast levels for all diabetic groups than for the control subjects (p<0.02) (Fig. 3). At the low contrast level, the DC amplitude showed a greater decrease in the presence of retinopathy signs. The DCA_z from the regions in group 4 deteriorated even more compared to the regional samples from group 1 (p=0.011) (Fig. 3). It should be noted that the diabetic subjects showed considerable variation in response.

IC amplitude z-score (ICA_z)

At the high contrast level (Fig. 4), all the diabetic groups (groups 1-4) showed a reduction in ICA_z compared to group 0 (p<0.001). With the presence of visible retinopathy, there was a further reduction in IC amplitude. Among the diabetic groups, the ICA_z of group 2 was significantly reduced compared to group 1 (p=0.0034) and Group 3 (p=0.018).

At the low contrast level (Fig. 4), the trend of the ICA_z was similar to that at the high contrast level. Again, with the existence of visible retinopathy, there was a further reduction in IC amplitude. However, statistical significance was only seen between these two pairs of comparison: group 0 and group 2, group 0 and group 3 (p<0.02). The ICA_z of group 3 was also significantly smaller than group 1

(p<0.00023). The lack of statistically significant findings between the "No NPDR" and control groups here may be attributed to the considerable variation in response.

DC implicit time z-score (*DCIT_z*)

For high contrast level stimuli (Fig. 5), all the locations with retinopathy (groups 2 - 4) showed a significant delay in response compared to group 0 (p<0.002) and group 1 (p<0.01). The existence of visible retinopathy led to a greater delay in the DC implicit time than the regions without retinopathy. However, no statistically significant difference was seen for the regional samples at low contrast level. This was largely due to the increased variability of the responses in the DR groups, with a few patients showing very much faster responses, especially to the low contrast stimuli (Fig. 5).

IC implicit time z-score (ICIT_z)

At the high contrast level (Fig. 6), the mfERG responses from the diabetic groups were, on average, slower than those from group 0. With visible retinopathy (groups 2-4), the delay was larger than those without DR (group 1). Group 2 and group 3 showed a significant delay compared to group 0 in the IC implicit time (p<0.02). The IC implicit time of group 3 also had a significant delay in response compared to group 1 (p=0.0022).

At the low contrast level (Fig. 6), only group 1 showed a significant delay in IC implicit time compared to group 0 (p=0.0013).

Diagnostic value of the MOFO mfERG parameters

In order to determine the diagnostic value of the MOFO mfERG parameters, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves were plotted and the area-under-the-curve (AUC) was calculated; these values are summarized in Table 2. When differentiating the retinopathy groups (groups 2-4) from group 0, DCIT_z at 98% contrast level resulted in the highest AUC (76.6%). However, the AUC value of DCIT_z declined to 62.6% if it was used to differentiate the diabetic groups (groups 1-4) from group 0 (Table 2).

DCA_z at low and high contrast levels and the ICA_z at high contrast level showed the highest potential for screening out functional defects due to diabetes (including those without visible vascular defects) having AUC values ranging from 69.1 to 70.9% (Table 2).

Discussion

This study illustrated the variations of MOFO mfERG responses under high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels in the diabetic retina. By inserting a periodic global flash between two successive multifocal stimuli, adaptation activity in the retina should be enhanced [21]. In MOFO mfERG assessment, there are two main components: the direct component (DC) and the induced component (IC). The DC response is the average response to the focal stimulation, while the IC response shows the effect of the preceding focal stimulation on the response to the global flash [15]. In this study, using the high-contrast MOFO paradigm, the delay and reduction of the mfERG responses suggests that both middle and inner retinal layers (i.e. DC and IC responses respectively) were impaired even in diabetic patients without signs of retinopathy. Greater delay of response (on average) and reduction of response

amplitude in the mfERG were seen when retinopathy signs were present. This implies that certain local functional deterioration started before the visible signs of vascular retinopathy could be detected in the clinical screening assessment.

Previous studies have reported reduced responses in DR in the pattern ERG [29], the second order kernel responses of mfERG [14], the oscillatory potentials (OPs) and

photopic negative responses (PhNR) of the standard full-field ERG [10, 30-33] and mfERG [17, 34-36]. All these previous studies proposed the inner retinal functions were affected in diabetic retina. In the animal study, by pharmacological dissection, the IC was found to be contributed from the third-order neurons and ganglion cells [22]. The reduction of IC response here further supported the functional changes in the inner retina of the diabetic patients in the localized aspect. However, such situation was not observed in the study by Shimada et al. [15] It might be due to the difference in the subject inclusion. In their study, both type I and II diabetic patients were recruited in our current study.

The DC in MOFO mfERG was found to be predominantly from the bipolar cells with partial contribution from the third-order neurons [22]. Its amplitude reduction among diabetic patients before observable vascular lesions in this study suggests that the middle retinal layers may deteriorate early in DR. Shimada et al. [15] reported similar findings for the high contrast mfERG. Considering the minimum oxygen supply appears at the area near the inner nuclear layer (INL) [37], our results agree with the hypothesis that the middle retina is at risk of hypoxic damage in diabetic patients.

The reductions in the DC and IC responses provide crucial evidence that the middle and inner retina are actually impaired at an early stage in diabetic patients. Recent studies have reported that hypoxia can affect the photoreceptors and the INL [37-41], while excito-toxicity also plays a role in affecting the neurotransmission among amacrine and glial cells in the diabetic retina [42-44]. It seems that multiple retinal layers are affected in diabetes at the early stage [45]. Here in this study, low-contrast MOFO mfERG stimulation was applied to avoid saturation of the non-linear retinal response. Hood and co-workers [20] reported that mfERG stimulation at a contrast level of 50% evokes a waveform with more involvement of the inner human retina [21, 46]. The low-contrast mfERG stimulus has been used to investigate inner retinal activity in glaucoma [47, 48]. Our diabetic patients demonstrated reduced DC and IC amplitudes in both high- and low-contrast conditions. However, reducing contrast of the stimulus does not appear to improve discrimination between the control and diabetic patients, and additional delay of implicit time for low-contrast stimuli was not obvious; this might be due to a large inter-subject variability or, more likely, the problems in the inner retina induced by DR are different from those induced by glaucoma. Since amplitude and implicit time responses under different contrast levels appear to be different in diabetic and glaucoma conditions [47, 48], the basis of these two diseases is believed to be different (e.g. cell loss or cellular dysfunction). It further supports the hypothesis by Greenstein and co-workers [45] that the mechanism of the retinal dysfunction at the early stage of DM is unlike that of glaucoma.

Previous mfERG studies reported that the implicit time was a more "sensitive" parameter in detecting functional anomalies than response amplitude in DM patients [16, 34, 49-51]. In our study, the implicit time of DC was only maximally sensitive in screening retinal locations with retinopathy signs with delayed response in average. However, some very fast responses were obtained from the diabetic patients especially at the low-contrast condition. This could not be fully explained by the range of plasma glucose levels among subjects as there was no significant correlation with the DCIT. Moreover, Klemp and co-workers [52] found that the short-term hyperglycemia leads to a shorter implicit time in the first- and second-order mfERG responses, presumably because of increased retinal metabolism. This seems to contradict to the positive correlation here between the plasma glucose level and the ICIT_z at low-contrast level. The discrepancy may be due to the effect of chronic instead of short-term hyperglycemia in our diabetic subjects. Study on the effect of the

stability of the plasma glucose level (e.g. glycated hemogloblin) in type II diabetic patients should also be considered.

Based on our current findings, to screen out functional abnormalities at a very early stage in the diabetic patients without retinopathy, the amplitudes of IC from high contrast stimuli, and DC at both high- and low-contrast levels were preferred. Compared with the longitudinal study by Harrison and co-authors [51] which showed the implicit time as a more sensitive parameter than amplitude, different possibilities may account for our difference from the previous studies:

1) The MOFO paradigm dissociates the original mfERG retinal responses into two different components. The MOFO mfERG with the insertion of a global flash is used to enhance the retinal adaptation mechanism. By splitting the retinal components, subtle changes in the waveform amplitude may thus become more obvious.

2) Modifying the electrophysiological protocols may favor the activities of different types of retinal cells [23, 43, 53]. The mfERG protocol used in the study by Harrison et al. [51] was the standard mfERG. Without the dissociation of the inner retinal responses by the global flash, the standard mfERG responses would mix the middle and inner retinal responses together; some subtle changes on the resultant waveform might thus be masked. And the bandpass filter applied in the study by Harrison et al. was 10-100Hz, which screened out some high-frequency oscillatory potentials contributed from the retinal ganglion cells and third-order neurons [54]; while in our study, a bandpass filter of 3-300Hz was applied to cover the range of both high- and low-frequency retinal responses, to study the middle and inner retinal layer performance. The involvement of the high-frequency component would thus lead to the difference.

3) In our study, only type II diabetic patients were recruited, while both type I and II diabetic patients were recruited in the study by Harrison et al. The different subject

pool may cause this discrepancy. It is because the underlying mechanism, medical treatment, and prevalence of DR progression of type I and type II DM showed different patterns [1, 4, 42].

The MOFO mfERG paradigm provides more detailed information in terms of the retinal adaptive changes or the retinal recovery rate than the conventional paradigm. However, as this study was limited by its cross-sectional nature, a longitudinal follow-up study should be carried out in order to find out the prediction ability of the MOFO mfERG for the DR onset. It is surprising that the duration of DM does not correlate with the individual mean MOFO responses, but this may be due to the variability of the latent period before DM was diagnosed for our patients.

The multifocal electroretinogram, together with the MOFO paradigm, provides a means of detecting early functional anomalies in the diabetic retina before visible vascular defects appear. Comparing with the other standard electrophysiological assessments (full-field ERG, pattern ERG and VEP), the MOFO mfERG not only provides the retinal adaptation assessment but with topographic details. It aids in differentiating the early functional deterioration(s) at the middle and inner retina in diabetic retina. This result suggests potential retinal sites (middle and inner retina) for future pharmaceutical therapies. The MOFO mfERG is helpful in monitoring the disease progression before sight-threatening retinopathy supervenes. It may be useful in evaluating the effectiveness of the potential therapies [55].

Conclusion

DM can lead to early impaired adaptation in the retina before the presence of visible vascular lesions. With an increase in the severity of retinopathy, there is a more dramatic deterioration in the mfERG responses. Amplitudes of DC and IC components of the MOFO mfERG assessment appear to be better parameters than

implicit time measures in screening for diabetes without retinopathy. As far as the implicit time in MOFO mfERG is concerned, it is a better parameters for screening the diabetic retina with vascular lesions. The MOFO technique in mfERG provides a means of early detection of retinal anomalies in DM, and its findings also provide information about the severity of anomalies in the diabetic retina.

Disclaimer: Presented in part of the July 2009 ISCEV meeting, Abano Terme, Padova, Italy.

None of the authors have any proprietary interest.

Acknowledgement:

This study was supported by the Associated Fund (Research Postgraduate) from The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Internal Research Grants (GU585, GU858) and the Niche Areas –Myopia Research (J-BB7P) and Glaucoma Research (J-BB76) from The Hong Kong Polytechnic University. Special thanks to Prof. Brian Brown for his valued opinions.

References

- LeRoith D, Taylor S, Olefsky J (2004) Diabetes mellitus a fundamental and clinical text. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins 3rd Edition: 458-459
- Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H (2004) Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care 27: 1047-1053
- Porta M, Bandello F (2002) Diabetic retinopathy A clinical update.
 Diabetologia 45: 1617-1634
- Fong DS, Aiello L, Gardner TW, King GL, Blankenship G, Cavallerano JD, Ferris FL, 3rd, Klein R (2003) Diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Care 26: 226-229
- Carmichael TR, Carp GI, Welsh ND, Kalk WJ (2005) Effective and accurate screening for diabetic retinopathy using a 60 degree mydriatic fundus camera. S Afr Med J 95: 57-61
- Aldington SJ, Kohner EM, Meuer S, Klein R, Sjolie AK (1995) Methodology for Retinal Photography and Assessment of Diabetic-Retinopathy - the Eurodiab Iddm Complications Study. Diabetologia 38: 437-444
- Harding SP, Broadbent DM, Neoh C, White MC, Vora J (1995) Sensitivity and specificity of photography and direct ophthalmoscopy in screening for sight threatening eye disease: the Liverpool Diabetic Eye Study. BMJ 311: 1131-1135
- Yamamoto S, Kamiyama M, Nitta K, Yamada T, Hayasaka S (1996) Selective reduction of the S cone electroretinogram in diabetes. Br J Ophthalmol 80: 973-975

- Holopigian K, Greenstein VC, Seiple W, Hood DC, Carr RE (1997) Evidence for photoreceptor changes in patients with diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 38: 2355-2365
- Kizawa J, Machida S, Kobayashi T, Gotoh Y, Kurosaka D (2006) Changes of oscillatory potentials and photopic negative response in patients with early diabetic retinopathy. Jpn J Ophthalmol 50: 367-373
- Luu CD, Szental JA, Lee SY, Lavanya R, Wong TY (2010) Correlation between retinal oscillatory potentials and retinal vascular caliber in type 2 diabetes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 51: 482-486
- 12. Kern TS, Engerman RL (1995) Vascular lesions in diabetes are distributed non-uniformly within the retina. Exp Eye Res 60: 545-549
- 13. Sutter EE, Tran D (1992) The field topography of ERG components in man--I.The photopic luminance response. Vision Res 32: 433-446
- Palmowski AM, Sutter EE, Bearse MA Jr, Fung W (1997) Mapping of retinal function in diabetic retinopathy using the multifocal electroretinogram. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 38: 2586-2596
- Shimada Y, Li Y, Bearse MA Jr, Sutter EE, Fung W (2001) Assessment of early retinal changes in diabetes using a new multifocal ERG protocol. Br J Ophthalmol 85: 414-419
- Fortune B, Schneck ME, Adams AJ (1999) Multifocal electroretinogram delays reveal local retinal dysfunction in early diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 40: 2638-2651
- Bearse MA Jr, Han Y, Schneck ME, Barez S, Jacobsen C, Adams AJ (2004)
 Local multifocal oscillatory potential abnormalities in diabetes and early
 diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 45: 3259-3265

- Bronson-Castain KW, Bearse MA Jr, Neuville J, Jonasdottir S, King-Hooper B, Barez S, Schneck ME, Adams AJ (2009) Adolescents with type 2 diabetes early indications of focal retinal neuropathy, retinal thinning, and venular dilation. Retina 29: 618-626
- Sutter EE, Bearse MA Jr (1998) The retinal topography of local and lateral gain control mechanisms. Vision Science and Its Applications, OSA Technical Digest Series 1: 20-23
- 20. Hood DC, Greenstein V, Frishman L, Holopigian K, Viswanathan S, Seiple W, Ahmed J, Robson JG (1999) Identifying inner retinal contributions to the human multifocal ERG. Vision Res 39: 2285-2291
- Sutter EE, Shimada Y, Li Y, Bearse MA Jr (1999) Mapping inner retinal function through enhancement of adaptation components in the M-ERG.
 Vision Science and Its Applications, OSA Technical Digest Series 1: 52-55
- Chu PH, Chan HH, Ng YF, Brown B, Siu AW, Beale BA, Gilger BC, Wong F
 (2008) Porcine global flash multifocal electroretinogram: possible
 mechanisms for the glaucomatous changes in contrast response function.
 Vision Res 48: 1726-1734
- Lung JC, Chan HH (2010) Effects of luminance combinations on the characteristics of the global flash multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG).
 Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 248: 1117-1125
- 24. Bearse MA Jr, Han Y, Schneck M, Adams A (2003) Enhancement and mapping of inner retinal contributions to the human multifocal electroretinogram (mfERG). Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 44: ARVO E-abstract 2696
- Wu S, Sutter EE (1995) A topographic study of oscillatory potentials in man.Vis Neurosci 12: 1013-1025

- 26. Sutter EE, Bearse MA Jr (1999) The optic nerve head component of the human ERG. Vision Res 39: 419-436
- 27. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group (1991) Grading diabetic retinopathy from stereoscopic color fundus photographs--an extension of the modified Airlie House classification. ETDRS report number 10. Ophthalmology 98: 786-806
- 28. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group (1991) Fundus photographic risk factors for progression of diabetic retinopathy. ETDRS report number 12. Ophthalmology 98: 823-833
- 29. Parisi V, Uccioli L (2001) Visual electrophysiological responses in persons with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 17: 12-18
- Chen H, Zhang M, Huang S, Wu D (2008) The photopic negative response of flash ERG in nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy. Doc Ophthalmol 117: 129-135
- 31. Vadala M, Anastasi M, Lodato G, Cillino S (2002) Electroretinographic oscillatory potentials in insulin-dependent diabetes patients: A long-term follow-up. Acta Ophthalmol 80: 305-309
- 32. Brinchmann-Hansen O, Dahl-Jorgensen K, Hanssen KF, Sandvik L (1992) Oscillatory potentials, retinopathy, and long-term glucose control in insulin-dependent diabetes. Acta Ophthalmol 70: 705-712
- 33. Simonsen SE (1980) The value of the oscillatory potential in selecting juvenile diabetics at risk of developing proliferative retinopathy. Acta Ophthalmol 58: 865-878
- 34. Kurtenbach A, Langrova H, Zrenner E (2000) Multifocal oscillatory potentials
 in type 1 diabetes without retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 41:
 3234-3241

- 35. Onozu H, Yamamoto S (2003) Oscillatory potentials of multifocal electroretinogram in diabetic retinopathy. Doc Ophthalmol 106: 327-332
- 36. Shinoda K, Rejdak R, Schuettauf F, Blatsios G, Volker M, Tanimoto N, Olcay T, Gekeler F, Lehaci C, Naskar R, Zagorski Z, Zrenner E (2007) Early electroretinographic features of streptozotocin-induced diabetic retinopathy. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 35: 847-854
- 37. Alder VA, Cringle SJ, Constable IJ (1983) The retinal oxygen profile in cats.Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 24: 30-36
- 38. Arden GB, Gunduz MK, Kurtenbach A, Volker M, Zrenner E, Gunduz SB, Kamis U, Ozturk BT, Okudan S (2010) A preliminary trial to determine whether prevention of dark adaptation affects the course of early diabetic retinopathy. Eye (Lond) 24: 1149-1155
- Linsenmeier RA (1986) Effects of light and darkness on oxygen distribution and consumption in the cat retina. J Gen Physiol 88: 521-542
- Linsenmeier RA, Braun RD, McRipley MA, Padnick LB, Ahmed J, Hatchell
 DL, McLeod DS, Lutty GA (1998) Retinal hypoxia in long-term diabetic cats.
 Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 39: 1647-1657
- 41. Wangsa-Wirawan ND, Linsenmeier RA (2003) Retinal oxygen: fundamental and clinical aspects. Arch Ophthalmol 121: 547-557
- 42. Barber AJ, Lieth E, Khin SA, Antonetti DA, Buchanan AG, Gardner TW (1998) Neural apoptosis in the retina during experimental and human diabetes. Early onset and effect of insulin. J Clin Invest 102: 783-791
- 43. Kaneko M, Sugawara T, Tazawa Y (2000) Electrical responses from the inner retina of rats with streptozotocin-induced early diabetes mellitus. J Jpn Ophthalmol Soc 101: 775-778

- 44. Barber AJ (2003) A new view of diabetic retinopathy: a neurodegenerative disease of the eye. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry 27: 283-290
- 45. Greenstein VC, Hood DC, Ritch R, Steinberger D, Carr RE (1989) S (blue) cone pathway vulnerability in retinitis pigmentosa, diabetes and glaucoma.
 Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 30: 1732-1737
- Bearse MA Jr, Sutter EE (1999) Contrast dependence of multifocal ERG components. Vision Science and Its Applications, OSA Technical Digest Series 1: 24-27
- 47. Chan HH, Ng YF, Chu PH (2011) Applications of the multifocal electroretinogram in the detection of glaucoma. Clin Exp Optom 94: 247-258
- 48. Chu PH, Chan HH, Brown B (2006) Glaucoma detection is facilitated by luminance modulation of the global flash multifocal electroretinogram. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 47: 929-937
- 49. Schneck ME, Bearse MA Jr, Han Y, Barez S, Jacobsen C, Adams AJ (2004) Comparison of mfERG waveform components and implicit time measurement techniques for detecting functional change in early diabetic eye disease. Doc Ophthalmol 108: 223-230
- 50. Bearse MA Jr, Han Y, Schneck ME, Adams AJ (2004) Retinal function in normal and diabetic eyes mapped with the slow flash multifocal electroretinogram. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 45: 296-304
- 51. Harrison WW, Bearse MA Jr, Ng JS, Jewell NP, Barez S, Burger D, Schneck ME, Adams AJ (2011) Multifocal electroretinograms predict onset of diabetic retinopathy in adult patients with diabetes. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 52: 772-777
- 52. Klemp K, Larsen M, Sander B, Vaag A, Brockhoff PB, Lund-Andersen H(2004) Effect of short-term hyperglycemia on multifocal electroretinogram in

diabetic patients without retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 45: 3812-3819

- 53. Masland RH (2001) Neuronal diversity in the retina. Curr Opin Neurobiol 11:431-436
- 54. Zhou W, Rangaswamy N, Ktonas P, Frishman LJ (2007) Oscillatory potentials of the slow-sequence multifocal ERG in primates extracted using the Matching Pursuit method. Vision Res 47: 2021-2036
- 55. Simo R, Hernandez C (2009) Advances in the medical treatment of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Care 32: 1556-1562

<u>Tables</u>

Table 1. Correlation of the plasma glucose level and DM duration with the averaged MOFO mfERG parameters in diabetic subjects (* : p<0.05)

Averaged	Contrast	Plasma glucose level		DM duration (ordinal	
MOFO	levels	(mmol/L)		parameters)	
parameters		n = 36 persons		n = 38 persons	
		Pearson's r	p-value	Spearman's r	p-value
DCA_z	46%	-0.136	0.427	0.012	0.943
	98%	-0.054	0.752	-0.081	0.631
ICA_z	46%	0.027	0.876	-0.022	0.897
	98%	0.082	0.636	-0.084	0.617
DCIT_z	46%	0.162	0.345	-0.048	0.776
	98%	0.134	0.435	-0.028	0.868
ICIT_z	46%	0.412	0.012 *	0.058	0.730
	98%	0.293	0.083	0.095	0.569

Table 2. Summary of the area-under-the-curve (AUC) for each MOFO parameter used in screening the regional samples with visible DR signs and screening the regional samples from DM groups (those with and without DR signs)

MOFO	Contrast	AUC for screening out the	AUC for screening out the	
parameters	levels	regional sample with visible	regional sample from DM	
		DR signs (Group 2 - 4)	group (Group 1 - 4)	
DCA_z	46%	74.47%	70.89%	
	98%	67.14%	69.28%	
ICA_z	46%	65.90%	58.20%	
	98%	71.73%	69.10%	
DCIT_z	46%	56.45%	53.63%	
	98%	76.59%	62.58%	
ICIT_z	46%	53.30%	56.67%	
	98%	68.39%	61.31%	

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. Waveform of the MOFO response contains two main components: the direct component (DC) and induced component (IC). Note that the implicit time of DC is measured from the onset of the multifocal stimulus to the response peak of DC while the implicit time of IC is measured from the onset of the global flash (26.6ms) to the response peak of IC.

Fig. 2. The multifocal stimuli pattern was mapped with the automated mosaic fundus photo (Each circle indicates the fundus photo taken at a particular gaze. There are totally 9 gazes, one central gaze and eight peripheral gazes, to form a mosaic fundus photo). Both were divided into 35 regions as in previous studies (about 2-3 hexagons were grouped as one region in the mfERG topography as indicated by the dark polygons). This figure illustrated the regional mfERG waveform of a diabetic patient with DR lesions at different locations. Those regional mfERG samples with DR (in RED lines) are compared with the averaged regional samples from the control group (in BLUE lines).

Fig. 3. Comparison of the DC amplitude z-scores (DCA_z) for Groups 0 to 4 at high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels (* : p<0.05 when compared with Group 0; \dagger : p<0.05 when compared with Group 1) (Box plot: centre line – the mean; the edges of the box – ± 1 standard deviation; the edges of the vertical bars – range)

Fig. 4. Comparison of IC amplitude z-scores (ICA_z) for Group 0 to 4 at high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels (* : p<0.05 when compared to Group 0; \ddagger : p<0.05 when compared to Group 1) (Box plot: centre line – the mean; the edges of the box – \pm 1 standard deviation; the edges of the vertical bars – range)

Fig. 5. Comparison of DC implicit time z-scores (DCIT_z) for Group 0 to 4 at high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels (* : p<0.05 when compared to Group 0; \dagger : p<0.05 when compared to Group 1) (Box plot: centre line – the mean; the edges of the box – ± 1 standard deviation; the edges of the vertical bars – range)

Fig. 6. Comparison of IC implicit time z-scores (ICIT_z) for Group 0 to 4 at high (98%) and low (46%) contrast levels (* : p<0.05 when compared to Group 0; \dagger : p<0.05 when compared to Group 1) (Box plot: centre line – the mean; the edges of the box – ± 1 standard deviation; the edges of the vertical bars – range)

Table 1. Correlation of the plasma glucose level and DM duration with the averaged MOFO mfERG parameters in diabetic subjects (* : p<0.05)

Table 2. Summary of the area-under-the-curve (AUC) for each MOFO parameter used in screening the regional samples with visible DR signs and screening the regional samples from DM groups (those with and without DR signs)