QUT Digital Repository: http://eprints.qut.edu.au/



This is the author version published as:

Frost, Ray L. and Cheng, Hongfei and Yang, Jing and Liu, Qinfu and He, Junkai (2010) *Thermogravimetric analysis-mass spectrometry (TG-MS) of selected Chinese kaolinites*. Thermochimica Acta, 507. pp. 106-114.

Copyright 2010 Elsevier BV

# Thermogravimetric analysis-mass spectrometry (TG-MS) of selected Chinese kaolinites

Hongfei Cheng<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Jing Yang<sup>c</sup>, Qinfu Liu<sup>a</sup>, Junkai He<sup>a</sup>, Ray L. Frost<sup>c•</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Geoscience and Surveying Engineering, China University of Mining & Technology, Beijing, 100083 China

<sup>b</sup> School of Mining Engineering, Inner Mongolia University of Science & Technology, Baotou 014010 China

<sup>c</sup> Chemistry Discipline, Faculty of Science and Technology, Queensland University of Technology, 2 George Street, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, Queensland 4001, Australia

**Abstract:** Thermogravimetric analysis-mass spectrometry, X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) were used to characterize eight kaolinite samples from China. The results show that the thermal decomposition occurs in three main steps (a) desorption of water below 100 °C, (b) dehydration at about 225 °C, (c) well defined dehydroxylation at around 450 °C. It is also found that decarbonization took place at 710 °C due to the decomposition of calcite impurity in kaolin. The temperature of dehydroxylation of kaolinite is found to be influenced by the degree of disorder of the kaolinite structure and the gases evolved in the decomposition process can be various because of the different amount and kinds of impurities. It is evident by the mass spectra that the interlayer carbonate from impurity of calcite and organic carbon is released as  $CO_2$  around 225, 350 and 710 °C in the kaolinite samples.

Keywords: Thermogravimetry; Kaolinite; Halloysite; Mass spectrometry

<sup>•</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed (r.frost@qut.edu.au)

## 1 **1. Introduction**

Kaolin, relatively pure clay, has a wide variety of applications in industry, particularly as paper filler, rubber filler and coating pigment [1-5]. Kaolin is rock comprised largely of the kaolin group mineral including kaolinite, halloysite, dickite and nacrite. The most common kaolin mineral is kaolinite, which has attracted much attention over a long period of time [5-9]. The last two members of the kaolin group are relatively rare, although significant deposits of halloysite are known [4, 10].

7 Kaolinite, Al<sub>2</sub>[Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>](OH)<sub>4</sub> is a naturally occurring inorganic polymer with a layer structure 8 consisting of siloxane and gibbsite-like layers. The siloxane layer is composed of SiO<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra 9 linked in a hexagonal array. The bases of the tetrahedra are approximately coplanar and the apical 10 oxygen atoms are linked to a second layer containing aluminum ions and OH groups (the gibbsite-type 11 layer). Halloysite occurs mainly in two different polymorphs, the hydrated form (basal distance around 12 10 Å) with the minimal formula of  $Al_2Si_2O_5$  (OH)<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, and the dehydrated form (basal distance 13 around 7 Å) with the minimal formula of  $Al_2Si_2O_3(OH)_4$ , being identical to kaolinite. The hydrated 14 form converts irreversibly into the dehydrated form when dried at temperatures below 100 °C [11, 12]. This halloysite(d=10Å) easily dehydrates in atmospheric pressures at temperatures around 60 °C or in 15 16 vacuum at room temperature. This anhydrous form has a basal spacing near 7.2 Å and is metastable, 17 recovering its interlayer water when placed in wet air. Because the 1:1 layers in hydrated halloysite are 18 separated from each other by a water layer and occur in a scroll-like morphology, halloysite has a 19 larger cation exchange capacity and surface area than kaolinite [11, 13, 14].

20 The industrial application of kaolin or China clay are diverse and depend largely on the physical 21 properties, such as whiteness, platyness, particle size, etc. specific for each kaolin deposit [15]. 22 However, most of the industrial kaolin in china which generally contain a certain amount of organic 23 carbon must be calcined to improve whiteness [16, 17]. The calcined kaolin is often used in the rubber 24 and plastic, ceramic raw material, fiberglass, cracking catalysts, cosmetics, medicines and other 25 polymers [18-20]. Thermal stability and whiteness are very important properties of calcined kaolin 26 particularly for industrial applications [2, 21]. The thermal transformation of kaolinite and halloysite is a very important step, which has been investigated by Brown et al. 1985 [22, 23], He et al. 1995 [24] 27 28 and others [25-30]. The mechanisms of dehydroxylation of kaolinite also have been studied [25, 28]. 29 Interest in such minerals and their thermal stability rests with the possible identification of these 30 minerals for new directions in industrial applications. Though kaolin has been used for many years and 31 in many fields, to explore the complexities involved in its phase transformation and microstructural 32 evolution at elevated temperature is still a challenging task [31]. Thus, the more detailed investigations 33 are necessary to determine the influencing factor in dehydroxylation at the elevated temperature among 34 the several kaolinite polytypes.

35 Thermal analysis using thermogrvimetric techniques enables the mass loss steps, the temperature 36 of the mass loss and the mechanism for the mass loss to be determined [32]. It has proven extremely 37 useful for determining the stability of minerals. Thermogravimetic-mass spectrometry methods can 38 provide the composition of minerals [13, 33-35]. In the current study, to the best of the authors 39 knowledge no thermoanalytical studies and evolved gases analysis of kaolinite for geosequestration of 40 greenhouses and influencing factor in dehydroxylation have been undertaken; although differential 41 thermal analysis of some related minerals has been published [36-39]. This paper reports the thermal 42 analysis of eight kaolins from China using XRD, TG-MS and SEM.

43 44

45 **2. Experimental methods** 

#### 46 2.1 Materials

Eight kaolin samples, including six kaolinites and two halloysites, were selected for this study (Table 1). The samples were used directly, without prior size fraction separation, since one of the objectives was to determine the influence on the degree of order of the particle size of the several samples.

51

# 52 2.2 X-ray diffraction

X-ray diffraction patterns were collected using a PANalytical X'Pert PRO X-ray
diffractometer (radius: 240.0 mm). Incident X-ray radiation was produced from a line focused
PW3373/10 Cu X-ray tube, operating at 40 kV and 40 mA, with Cu Kα radiation of 1.540596 Å.
The incident beam passed through a 0.04 rad soller slit, a 1/2 ° divergence slit, a 15 mm fixed
mask, and a 1 ° fixed antiscatter slit.

58

#### 59 **2.3 Thermogravimetric analysis and mass spectrometry**

Thermogravimetric analysis (TG) of the samples was carried out with a TA® Instruments 60 incorporated high-resolution thermogravimetric analyser (series Q500) in a flowing nitrogen 61 62 atmosphere (60  $\text{cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ ). Approximately 50 mg of each sample underwent thermal analysis, with a 63 heating rate of 5 °C/min, with resolution of 6 from 25 °C to 1000 °C. With the isothermal, isobaric 64 heating program of the instrument the furnace temperature was regulated precisely to provide a uniform 65 rate of decomposition in the main decomposition stage. The TG instrument was coupled to a Balzers 66 (Pfeiffer) mass spectrometer for gas analysis. Only water vapour, carbon, sulfur dioxide and oxygen were analysed. In the MS figures, e.g. Fig. 3, a background of broad peaks may be observed. This 67 68 background occurs for all the ion current curves. The background becomes more prominent as the scale 69 expansion is increased. It is considered that this background may be due to sublimation of chemicals 70 deposited in the capillary which connects the TA instrument to the MS.

71

## 72 **2.4 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)**

The morphology of kaolin particles was observed by using a scanning electron microscope (SEM),
Hitachi S-4800. Samples were coated with a gold/palladium film and the SEM-images were obtained
using a secondary electron detector.

76

## 77 3. Results and discussion

#### 78 **3.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD) and chemical composition**

79 The XRD patterns of these eight kaolin samples together with standard XRD patterns are shown in 80 Fig. 1. The XRD patterns of the kaolins show identical patterns to the standards. The XRD pattern of 81 these kaolins mineral shows impurities of quartz, calcite and others. The degree of structural disorder 82 of the kaolinite samples can be evaluated on the basis of the XRD background in the range  $2\theta$ =20-30°, 83 and the width of the (002) diffraction peak d=3.58 Å at half the maximum height [40-43]. Structural 84 order in these kaolins was estimated using the Hinckley index (HI) [41], and shown in Table 2. The 85 Hinckley crystallinity index of kaolinite varies from area to area where the sample was collected. This 86 variability may be attributed to differences in the geological environment such as intensity of 87 weathering or the extent of transportation of the minerals during formation or deposition [44]. The 88 Hinckley crystallinity index of kaolinite varies from 0.59 (XNA-1) to 1.27(ZJK-1). It is found that 89 kaolinite sample from Hebei Zhangjiakou is more pure and better crystalline than others, while samples 90 from Guizhou and Hunan Xianrenwan are mainly hallosite. The chemical composition of the eight 91 kaolins is reported in Table 3. Six kaolinite samples had similar chemical composition, as did halloysite. 92 A comparison of kaolinite and hallosite indicates that the distribution of chemical composition in these 93 kaolins is various. The major difference in chemical composition between kaolinite and halloysite were 94 the Si and Al content. The chemical composition of  $SiO_2$  is less concentrated in hallosite, but LOI is 95 more concentrated than kaolinite.

96

## 97 **3.2 Thermogravimetric analysis**

98 The thermogravimetric analysis of 6 kaolinites and 2 halloysites are shown in Fig. 2. There are 99 three main mass losses in this process. The first small mass loss is observed from 45 to 62 °C, Which is 100 attributed to the elimination of adsorbed water molecules on the external surfaces of the kaolinite 101 particles. Kaolinite does not present either interlayer cations or naturally intercalated water. This being 102 the case, all mass losses at this temperature in the thermal analysis of pure kaolinite is assigned to 103 desorption of water. This process is observed that the mass loss is about 0.5% in kaolinite and 2% in 104 halloysite. Inspection of Fig. 2 reveals that such a phenomenon is observed for kaolin, whereas this 105 mass loss is not obvious in the ZJK-1 kaolinite mineral sample.

In the intermediate-temperature region is located possibly the most important thermal reaction of kaolinite, the elimination of water molecules through dehydroxylation. The TG analysis of kaolinite show that the evolution of volatiles from the samples began at around 330 °C, fastest at about 450 °C, and terminated at 730 °C (Fig.2). These temperatures represent dehydroxylation of kaolinite, with the onset of the transformation to metakaolin. This process can be mostly described by the followed reactions [6, 31, 45-50]:

112 
$$Al_2Si_2O_5(OH)_4 \rightarrow Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 + 2H_2O$$

113 
$$Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}(3Al_2O_3 \cdot 2SiO_2) + \frac{4}{3}SiO_2$$

114 It can be calculated according this formula that the theoretical mass loss value is 13.95%, which is 115 similar to the detected mass loss of all kaolinite samples. The dehydroxylation temperature is influenced by the degree of disorder of the kaolinite structure and the amount and kind of impurities [45, 51, 52]. Comparing the temperature of dehydroxylation (Table 2), it is established that kaolinite with lower Hinckley crystallinity index dehydroxylates at lower temperatures than those whose Hincley index are high.

120 The above equation is unable to describe halloysite precisely. It is noticed that the dehydration 121 reaction in halloysite has three stages. The first mass loss step is desorption of water on the surface of 122 particles. The second mass loss steps occur at around 225 °C for GV-1 and 223 °C for XRW-1 with a 123 mass loss of 3.79% and 4.35%, which is attributed to the thermal dehydration of halloysite in the 124 structural layer. The following decomposition process is similar to kaolinite. The farther mass loss of 125 9.61% at 425 °C for GV-1 and 9.77% at 426 °C for XRW-1 are observed, which are assigned to dehydroxylation as halloysite, which is similar to the dehydroxylation of kaolinite. The last mass loss 126 127 step at 920 °C for GV-1 with a mass loss of 0.37% was observed. The most likely explanation for this 128 mass loss is due to thermal decomposition of sulfide impurity.

129

130 **3.3 Mass spectrometric analysis** 

131 It is well known that the chemical composition of kaolin is  $Al_2Si_2O_5$  (OH)<sub>4</sub>. In accordance 132 with former findings no distinct stage of dehydration has occurred (at about 450 °C). However, this 133 are unable describe the decomposition of China kaolin exactly. Because most of kaolin in China 134 contains a certain amount of organic. In order to clarify the decomposition mechanism of kaolin, the 135 mass loss during each decomposition process should be characterized by the identified evolution 136 components.

137 The interpretation of the mass-spectra occurs on the basis of degassing profiles from the molecule 138 ions of water (H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup>: m/Z=18), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>: m/Z=44) and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>: m/Z=64) as 139 well as by fragment ions (OH<sup>+</sup>: m/Z=17 and O<sup>+</sup>:m/Z=16).

The evolution of gas species has been followed in situ by the coupled TG-MS system. The evolution curves of ion-fragments of various gases released are shown as ion current versus temperature curves in Figs. 3a-h. The characterization of water release by means of MS is possible with the molecule ion  $H_2O^+$  (m/Z=18) together with the fragment ion  $OH^+$  (m/Z=17) and  $O^+$  (m/Z=16). Peaks at 220 and 450 °C are found in the ion current curve for  $H_2O^+$  (m/Z=18); corresponding peaks are also found in the ion current curves for  $OH^+$  (m/Z=17) and  $O^+$  (m/Z=16). It can be safely concluded 146 that water is given out at about 220 and 450 °C from the samples, which is consistent with the mass 147 loss observed at about 220 and 450 °C from the TG curves. The dehydration takes place in the minor 148 step at around 225 °C, which is attributed to dehydration of the impurity of calcite. The ion fragment 149  $m/Z = 16 (O^+)$  originates mainly from the evolution of both  $H_2O^+$  and  $O_2^+$ . Some change in intensities of 150 the m/Z=44 fragments was observed, probably as oxidation effect caused by the intense oxygen 151 evolution. Basically this fragment ion indicates evolution of  $CO_2^+$ . The ion current curves for the 152 evolved gases show for m/Z=44 a mass gain at around 225 and 350 °C, attributed to decomposes of an 153 organic impurity (Fig. 4a). A further mass gain of CO<sub>2</sub> occurs at 710 °C, which assigned to 154 decomposition of calcite. It is generally considered that the CaCO<sub>3</sub> decomposes nominally at 898 °C, 155 but in silicate minerals generally at 600-700 °C [53, 54]. However, CO<sub>2</sub> is not observed in the kaolin 156 samples ZJK-1 and XRW-1. It is thus evident that the CO<sub>2</sub> is from calcite. A remarkable SO<sub>2</sub> released 157 in the halloysite GV-1 was observed. This may be attributed to thermal decomposition of sulfide from 158 the presence of a sulfide impurity. The comparison of kaolinite and hallosite is shown that the thermal 159 decomposition of kaolin is determined by different factors, such as degree of the structural ordering, 160 mineral impurities and adsorbed and substituted ions. The mass gain in the MS curves corresponds 161 precisely with the mass loss in the TG curves.

The present results allow making the conclusion that combination TG and MS is a powerful technique to follow the decomposition process and detect the thermal decomposition products. In the same time, it can be sassily detect the impurity in the samples which contain the carbonate and sulfide from the products of thermal decomposition. Therefore, this founding is quite important for studying minerals, especially clay minerals, because the nature clay from China always contain carbonate and sulfide component.

168

#### 169 **3.4 Scanning electron microscope (SEM)**

To characterize the morphological difference among these kaolin samples, SEM images were provided. As an illustrative example, Fig. 4 displays the SEM images for six kaolinites and two halloysites. Vermicular and book-like morphology is observed in the kaolinite samples (S-1 and ZJK-1). Some large kaolinite flakes are stacked together to form agglomerates, Fig.4 a and f. These kaolinites show particles with angular edges, which suggest they are well-ordered kaolinite. Some kaolinite 175 samples (GX-1 and XNA-1) randomly distributed dislocations in the stacked layers. The HUN-1 and 176 XNA-1 have stacks of very small kaolinite particles of submicron size (Fig. 4 b and e). These kaolinites 177 are generally called "poor crystallized kaolinites", and present much poorly built particles, which are 178 thinner and smaller than the particles from a well-crystallized mineral. Fig.4 g (GV-1) and h (XRW-1) 179 show the majority of the samples consist of cylindrical tubes of 40-50 nm diameter and length of 0.5-2 180 µm. Halloysite were usually present in curled, tublar, club-like, or mutli-layer tubular morphology.

181

# 182 **4. Conclusions**

183 The thermal decomposition of eight kaolins collected from different part of China has been 184 examined using TGA-MS, which is proved to be a very useful technique for determining the thermal 185 decomposition and stability of these minerals. The TG-MS have detected and monitored definitely 186 thermally evolved  $H_2O$  (m/Z=18).  $CO_2$  (m/Z=44) and  $SO_2$  (m/Z=64). Anyhow, the m/Z=18 is also the 187 most intense fragment of  $H_2O$ , while m/Z=44, 64 fragments arise from organic and sulfide impurities. 188 The temperature of dehydroxylation of kaolinite is influenced by the degree of disorder of the kaolinite 189 structure and the amount and kind of impurities. It is important to remark that the interlayer carbonate 190 form impurity is released as CO<sub>2</sub> around 225 °C, 350 °C and 710 °C in the kaolin samples (S-1, HUN-1, 191 LS-1, GX-1 and XNA-1). Thus for geosequestration decarbonization and purification before industry 192 application of kaolin is necessary. The typical morphology of kaolinite and halloysite was observed 193 to be book-like and cylindrical tubes, respectively.

194

## 195 Acknowledgment

196 The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the National "863" project of

197 China (2008AA06Z109) and infra-structure support of the Queensland University of Technology

198 Inorganic Materials Research Program of the School of Physical and Chemical Science.

# References

[1] R.L. Frost, E. Mako, J. Kristof, J.T. Kloprogge, Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 58 (2002) 2849-2859.

[2] F. Franco, J.A. Cecila, L.A. Pérez-Maqueda, J.L. Pérez-Rodríguez, C.S.F. Gomes, Applied Clay Science, 35 (2007) 119-127.

[3] G. Rutkai, É. Makó, T. Kristóf, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 334 (2009) 65-69.

[4] H.H. Murray, Applied Clay Science, 17 (2000) 207-221.

[5] C. Nkoumbou, A. Njoya, D. Njoya, C. Grosbois, D. Njopwouo, J. Yvon, F. Martin, Applied Clay Science, 43 (2009) 118-124.

[6] K. Heide, M. Foldvari, Thermochimica Acta, 446 (2006) 106-112.

[7] S. Chandrasekhar, S. Ramaswamy, Applied Clay Science, 37 (2007) 32-46.

[8] S. Zhao, T. Wang, H. Xu, Y. Guo, Feijinshukuang, 32 (2009) 37-39, 42.

[9] J.D. Miller, J. Nalaskowski, B. Abdul, H. Du, The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 85 (2007) 617-624.

[10] H.H. Murray, Clay Minerals, 34 (1999) 39-49.

[11] K.P. Nicolini, C.R.B. Fukamachi, F. Wypych, A.S. Mangrich, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 338 (2009) 474-479.

[12] J.E. Gardolinski, F. Wypych, M.P. Cantão, Quimica Nova, 24 (2001) 761-767.

[13] J.E. Gardolinski, H.P.M. Filho, F. Wypych, Quimica Nova, 26 (2003) 30-25.

[14] P.M. Costanzo, J. R. F. Giese, Clays and Clay Minerals, 33 (1985) 415-423.

[15] M.A. Siddiqui, Z. Ahmed, A.A. Saleemi, Applied Clay Science, 29 (2005) 55-72.

[16] S.-I. Ding, Q.-f. Liu, M.-z. Wang, Procedia Earth and Planetary Science, 1 (2009) 1024-1028.

[17] Q. Liu, D.A. Spears, Q. Liu, Applied Clay Science, 19 (2001) 89-94.

[18] F. Franco, L.A. Pérez-Maqueda, J.L. Pérez-Rodríguez, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 274 (2004) 107-117.

[19] X.-r. Zhang, D.-h. Fan, Z. Xu, Journal of Tongji University (Natural Science), 33 (2005) 1646-1650.

[20] E. Mako, J. Kristof, E. Horvath, V. Vagvolgyi, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 330 (2009) 367-373.

[21] H.H. Murray, I. Wilson, Clays and Clay Minerals, 55 (2007) 644-645.

[22] K.J.D. MacKenzie, I.W.M. Brown, R.H. Meinhold, M.E. Bowden, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 68 (1985) 293-297.

[23] I.W.M. Brown, K.J.D. Mackenzie, M.E. Bowden, R.H. Meinhold, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 68 (1985) 298-301.

[24] H. He, C. Hu, J. Guo, H. Zhang, Chinese Journal of Geochemistry, 14 (1995) 78-82.

[25] G.W. Brindley, M. Nakahira, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 40 (1957) 346-350.

[26] J.G. Cabrera, M. Eddleston, Thermochimica Acta, 70 (1983) 237-247.

[27] A. Gaylord D, G. Paul D, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 57 (1974) 132-135.

[28] J.B. Howard, K. Frank, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 52 (1969) 199-203.

[29] J.S. Killingley, S.J. Day, Fuel, 69 (1990) 1145-1149.

[30] R.L. Frost, Clays and Clay Minerals, 46 (1998) 280-289.

[31] C.Y. Chen, G.S. Lan, W.H. Tuan, Ceramics International, 26 (2000) 715-720.

[32] R.L. Frost, M. Weier, K. Erickson, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 76 (2004) 1025-1033.

[33] R.L. Frost, S. Palmer, J. Kristóf, E. Horváth, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 99 (2010) 501-507.

[34] J. Dubois, M. Murat, A. Amroune, X. Carbonneau, R. Gardon, T.S. Kannan, Applied Clay Science, 13 (1998) 1-12.

[35] A.J. Locke, W.N. Martens, R.L. Frost, Thermochimica Acta, 459 (2007) 64-72.

[36] D. Galusek, Z. Lences, P. Sajgalik, R. Riedel, Journal of Mining and Metallurgy, Section B: Metallurgy, 44 (2008) 35-38.

[37] G. Meng, Z. Xu, X. Qi, W. Yang, Z. Xie, Gongye Cuihua, 15 (2007) 1-5.

[38] A. Leszczynska, K. Pielichowski, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 93 (2008) 677-687.

[39] L.K. Joseph, H. Suja, G. Sanjay, S. Sugunan, V.P.N. Nampoori, P. Radhakrishnan, Applied Clay Science, 42 (2009) 483-487.

[40] G. Kakali, T. Perraki, S. Tsivilis, E. Badogiannis, Applied Clay Science, 20 (2001) 73-80.

[41] D.N. Hinckley, Clays and clay minerals, 11 (1963) 229-235.

[42] R. Vigil de la Villa, F. Moisés, S.d.R.M. Isabel, V. Iñigo, G. Rosario, Applied Clay Science, 36 (2007) 279-286.

[43] C. He, E. Makovicky, B. Osbaeck, Applied Clay Science, 9 (1994) 165-187.

[44] C.S. Manju, V.N. Nair, M. Lalithambika, Clays and Clay Minerals, 49 (2001) 355-369.

[45] P. Ptacek, D. Kubatova, J. Havlica, J. Brandstetr, F. Soukal, T. Opravil, Thermochimica Acta, In Press, Corrected Proof.

[46] O. Castelein, B. Soulestin, J.P. Bonnet, P. Blanchart, Ceramics International, 27 (2001) 517-522.

[47] Y.-F. Chen, M.-C. Wang, M.-H. Hon, Journal of the European Ceramic Society, 24 (2004) 2389-2397.

[48] R.L. Frost, H. Erzsébet, M. Éva, K. János, R. Ákos, Thermochimica Acta, 408 (2003) 103-113.

[49] S.J. Chipera, D.L. Bish, Clays and Clay Minerals, 50 (2002) 38-46.

[50] V. Balek, M. Murat, Thermochimica Acta, 282-283 (1996) 385-397.

[51] M. Földvári, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 48 (1997) 107-119.

[52] L. Heller-Kallai, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 50 (1997) 145-156.

[53] S. Mojumdar, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 64 (2001) 1133-1139.

[54] E.T. Stepkowska, J.L. Pérez-Rodríguez, M.J. Sayagués, J.M. Martínez-Blanes, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 73 (2003) 247-269.

# LIST OF TABLES

**Table1 Kaolin samples** 

Table 2 the crystallinity index of kaolinlite samples

Table3 the chemical composition of kaolin samples

Table1	Kaolir	n mineral	l samp	les
--------	--------	-----------	--------	-----

Kaolin Sample	Location	<b>Content of Mineral</b>	Impurities		
Kaolinite(S-1)	Jiangsu Suzhou, China	98.6% Kaolinite	Calcite (0.5%), Quartz (0.9%)		
Kaolinite(HUN-1)	Hunan, China	99% Kaolinite	Calcite (0.2%), Quartz (0.8%)		
Kaolinite(LS-1)	Guangdong, China	97.4% Kaolinite	Calcite (0.3%), Quartz (2.3%)		
Kaolinite(GX-1)	Guangxi, China	92% Kaolinite	Calcite (0.4%), Quartz (7.6%)		
Kaolinite(XNA-1)	Anhui Huaibei, China	98.8% Kaolinite	Calcite (0.5%), Quartz (0.7%)		
Kaolinite(ZJK-1)	Hebei Zhangjiakou, China	95% Kaolinite	Quartz (5%)		
Halloysite(GV-1)	Guizhou, China	97.7% Halloysite	Calcite (0.3%), Gibbsite (2.0%)		
Halloysite(XRW-1)	Hunan Xianrenwan, China	83.2% Halloysite	Quartz (8.1%), Gibbsite (8.7%)		

Kaolinite samples	S-1	HUN-1	LS-1	GX-1	XNA-1	ZJK-1
Hinckley index (HI)	1.04	1.0591	1.043	0.8502	1.04	1.2557
Temperature of dehydroxyl(°C)	443	449	445	435	444	464
Mass losses (%)	13.33	12.85	11.89	12.06	12.61	13.65

 Table 2 The crystallinity index of kaolinite samples

Kaolin samples	SiO <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> O	LOI (Loss on ignition)
S-1	44.11	0.26	38.4	0.47	0.001	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.37	15.16
LS-1	46.34	0.52	37.67	0.94	0.003	0.16	0.06	0.09	0.31	13.29
GX-1	52.18	1.39	29.55	1.3	0.004	0.01	0.37	0.55	0.017	14.05
HUV-1	45.41	1.07	38.62	0.83	0.003	0.1	0.05	0.02	0.37	13.64
XNA-1	43.38	0.87	37.67	0.65	0.01	0.27	0.27	0.24	0.82	15.49
ZJK-1	47.05	1.38	36.33	0.4	0.004	0.01	0.47	0.081	0.01	13.93
<b>GV-1</b>	40.34	0.05	39.77	0.44	0.057	0.03	0.74	0.05	0.11	17.61
XRW-1	35.47	0.065	34.51	1.36	0.22	0.81	0.47	0	0.32	26.69

Table3 The chemical composition of kaolin samples

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig.1 XRD patterns for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1

Fig.2 TGA results for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1

Fig.3 Evolved gas analysis for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1

Fig.4 SEM images for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1



Fig.1 XRD patterns for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1



Fig.2 TGA results for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1





Fig.3 Evolved gas analysis for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1



Fig.4 SEM images for kaolin samples (a)S-1, (b) HUN-1, (c)LS-1, (d)GX-1, (e) XNA-1, (f) ZJK-1, (g)GV-1, (h)XRW-1