QUTePrints
Open-access archive of QUT research literature

COVER SHEET

This is the author-version of article published as:

George, D and Birch, C and Clewett, J and Wright, A and Allen, W and Keogh, D (2007) Needs for applied climate education in agriculture. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **47(1):pp. 1-12.**

Please enter the information about this item. Fields mark

Accessed from   http://eprints.qut.edu.au

© 2007 CSIRO

Needs for applied climate education in agriculture

D.A. George[AF], C.J. Birch[B], J.F. Clewett[C], A. Wright [D], W. Allen[E] and D.U. Keogh[F]

[A]Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries Queensland, PO Box 102, Toowoomba, Qld. 4350, Australia.
[B]The University of Queensland, Gatton Campus, Gatton, Qld. 4345, Australia.
[C]Agroclim Australia, 64 Kuhls Road, Highfields, Qld. 4352, Australia.
[D]The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Qld. 4072, Australia.
[E]AgForce Queensland, PO Box 13186, Brisbane, Qld. 4350, Australia.
[F]International Laboratory for Air Quality and Health, Queensland University of Technology, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane Qld. 4001, Australia.
[F]Author for correspondence: e mail: david.george@dpi.qld.gov.au

Abstract

This paper reports on a purposive survey study aimed at identifying needs for the development, delivery and evaluation of applied climate education for targeted groups, so as to improve knowledge and skills to better manage the variable climate. The survey sample consisted of 80 producers and other industry stakeholders in Australia (including representatives from consulting and agricultural extension, and agricultural education sectors), with a 58% response rate to the survey. The survey included an assessment of (i) knowledge levels of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) and sea surface temperature's (SST's), (ii) skill/ability in interpreting weather/climate parameters. Results showed that despite many of the respondents having more than twenty years experience in their industry, the only formal climate education or training undertaken by most was a one-day workshop. While over 80% of the applied climate skills listed in the survey were regarded by respondents as essential or important, only 42% of educators, 30% of consultants and 28% of producers rated themselves as competent in applying such skills. Essential skills are deemed as those that would enable respondents or their clients to be better prepared for the next extended wet or dry meteorological event, and improved capability in identifying and capitalising on key decision points from climate information and a seasonal climate outlook. The complex issue of forecast accuracy is a confounding obstacle for many to apply climate information and forecasts in management. Addressing this problem by describing forecast 'limitations and skill' can help to overcome this problem. The survey also highlighted specific climatic tactical and

strategic information collated from grazing, cropping, and agribusiness enterprises and shows the value of such information from a users perspective.

Keywords: climate applications and education, applied climate needs, Southern Oscillation Index (SOI), sea surface temperatures (SST's), ranchers, cropping, graziers.

Abbreviations and definitions of terms

Abbreviation	Definition
AussieGRASS	Uses climate information and models to develop grass growth maps. For additional information see website details: http://www.longpaddock.qld.gov.au/RainfallAndPastureGrowth/Aus/index.html
BoM	Bureau of Meteorology, Australia
ClimEd	Refers to the ClimEd project which established the Steering Committee and to the name of the course which delivers specifically to the DCRMS Unit of Competency
DCRMS	National accredited Unit of Competency 'Develop climatic risk management strategies'
Element (of Competency)	A component of the work function. In this case it is: surveying climatic and enterprise data; analysing climatic risks and opportunities; and developing climatic risk management strategies.
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation phenomenon
Performance criteria	This is a term to describe the work function in detail. These are fundamental to the assessment process. For example, in the Element 'surveying climatic and enterprise data', some performance criteria are: (a) historical climatic data is obtained and interpreted from a range of sources, and (b) weather and climatic

	risk factors are identified.
RPL	Recognition of prior learning
SOI	Southern Oscillation Index
SST	Sea surface temperature
Unit of Competency	A component of a competency standard in the Australian Qualifications Framework. A Unit of Competency is a statement of a key function or role in a particular job or occupation. It incorporates the elements of competency, performance criteria, and range of variables.

Introduction

Australia's climate is one of the most variable globally (Nicholls et al. 1997). Over the past twenty years, better understanding, explanation and prediction of climate variability has emerged through greater knowledge of climate processes such as with ENSO (McBride and Nicholls 1983; Clewett et al. 1991; Stone et al. 1996; Drosdowsky 2002; Clewett 2003; Clewett et al. 2003; Hammer et. al 2001; Meinke et al. 2005). Better appreciation of this climate variability and applications of seasonal climate forecasts are vital for sustainable resource management in rural industries. Benefits relate to more effective drought preparation, improvements in resource management and conservation and agricultural output. Consequently, applied climate education and training as in a VET sector Unit of Competency is essential for better utilisation of climate information and forecasts in management. It would also complement other resources (such as the internet) and training courses. Identifying the needs of selected groups expected to benefit was considered useful to inform design of applied climate educational materials. Although previous surveys (Austen et al. 2002; Keogh et al. 2004 a,b, 2005) have not directly considered applied climate education, they are useful in assisting us in identifying how learning resources and processes could be developed and improved.

Existing literature on the needs of applied climate education and training support our study's objectives. Meeting future agro-meteorological needs should incorporate services that enable producers to prepare for and reduce the impact of climate variability (Hatfield 1994; Hollinger 1994; Perry 1994; Stigter et al. 2000). Therefore, improved understanding of early warning systems including weather and climate prediction and initiatives highlighting the effects of global warming are important educational priorities (Nicholls 2003). There also needs to be strengthening of agro-meteorological networks and improved understanding of climate and biological interactions, emphasising development of strategies to adapt to climatic variability and change (Sivakumar et al. 2000), through greater adoption of research findings. Questions of knowledge, relevance, level, who

4

needs it, delivery methodology to effectively enable users must be addressed. To begin to answer these questions, a brief overview of the issues of appropriateness of knowledge and learning outcomes in applied climate education follows.

Supplying relevant climate information and developing understanding and skills to meet the needs of specific clients are imperative (Clark et al. 2003). Data issues need to be communicated to the 'right' client so that agro-meteorology can find 'windows of opportunity' for fruitful use (Rijks and Baradas 2000). According to Decker (1994), fundamental knowledge must include impacts of climate on agriculture and natural resources, derived from quality data sets (Carlson et al. 1994; Graham et al. 2000). Formal applied climate education is not meant as an 'either/or' to informal climate education in workshops, but is an additional approach to enhance knowledge and skills to better manage variability and climate change (George et al. 2005 a,b,c; 2006 a,b).

Meinke et al. (2001) raise the importance of meeting client needs through partnerships among producers, scientists and advisors. However, the necessity to '…reduce complexity rather than proliferate choices…' is debateable, of which education has a major role. While some scientists may propose that producers make use of information provided by scientists (Meinke et al. 2001), we propose that with education and training, producers can be equipped with improved knowledge and skills and greater access to a range of climate tools and information e.g. on the internet, to support informed decisions. This point is valid because we value the opinion of the agro-climate 'expert'. However, opinion of what climate information should be provided is sensitive as: (i) every farming decision is unique; and (ii) every property circumstance is different in relation to owners attitudes to risk, financial position and lead-time requirements for the decision to be made. In addition, even with probabilistic forecasts, there is no one perfect forecast system (Vizard et al. 2005). We argue that users of forecasts should view a number of forecasts to assess consensus between methods before making an informed decision. Applied climate education should assist examination and interpretation

of available forecasts, as vast amounts of information on the world-wide-web may overwhelm users (Jarvis 1995). Therefore, emphasising an analytical approach to decisions using knowledge based on climate science, soil-plant-animal-water interactions and business attributes through education is the basis taken by the authors. This approach has been reinforced from evaluations in 125 workshops with 1908 participants ran by the senior author, with people from farming, extension and agribusiness backgrounds.

'Developing climatic risk management strategies' (DCRMS), a nationally accredited Unit of Competency for vocational education was designed to harness recent developments in applied climate science for better management of climate variablility. The unit is aimed at producers, consultants and agricultural and natural resource managers with the objectives of building knowledge and skills for better management through:

- surveying climatic and enterprise data

- analysing climatic risks and opportunities

- developing climatic risk management strategies.

The unit is now available to agricultural colleges, universities and registered training organisations and is described in detail in a companion paper (George et al. 2006 a). Notwithstanding the establishment of the elements and performance criteria, a needs analysis was still warranted if it is agreed that the 'teaching,' primarily for agricultural producers, should emphasise a student-centred approach in which the students are critical in determining what and how learning occurs.

The research objectives were therefore to:

1. Assess the needs of producers, agribusiness, education providers for knowledge and skills applications of climate information

2. Collate opinions on content, processes of learning and format of an applied climate Unit of Competency, and

3. Monitor the effectiveness of an applied climate Unit of Competency in terms of:

- improvement of knowledge and skills

- use of information on climate variability and seasonal climate forecasts in decision-making

- exposure to climate risk.

Method

The purposive survey's research context, design, objectives, components and methods of analysis are given below. A final section describes methods used in a 'skills audit' as part of the survey methodology.

Research context of the purposive survey

An action research model with emphasis on a participatory approach (Carberry et al. 2002; McCown 2002; Nelson et al. 2002) was chosen as the research plan can be refined during implementation from feedback and joint discussions (Zuber-Skerritt 1993; Cohen and Manion 1994). Our work followed these stages: i) problem identification; ii) discussion with stakeholders; iii) literature review; iv) establishment of assumptions; v) selecting appropriate research methodology; vi) selection of evaluation methodology; vii) trials with a pilot group of farmers and then training trainers, and viii) data analysis and conclusions.

Stage 1 is the identification of the 'problem', or 'problems' within its context. In this study it was a case of: Producers were facing problems in using climate information and forecasts in management and the absence of delivery of accredited applied climate education.

Stage 2 draws on preliminary discussions among interested stakeholders regarding applied climate education intervention that could be appropriate. Here, a national expert panel (called the 'ClimEd' Steering Committee) was made up of 14 'experts' in the fields of climate, education, agriculture and natural resource management (Table 1) and was established following an open invitation for expressions of interest. The ClimEd Steering Committee operated from 2002 to 2004 to direct and further the research, and met at key milestones during project implementation. The Committee provided direction and support in the development, delivery and evaluation of the ClimEd Project and DCRMS Unit of Competency, and provided guidance on implementation of the purposive survey. It also ensured that educational process and content met agreed performance standards including the national focus applicability of the study. This ensured that the survey was sound and rigorous, and its findings utilised in the development, delivery and evaluation of educational resources.

(INSERT TABLE 1)

In this paper we report on the survey aspect of the study, the purpose of which was to identify and assess the needs of and strategies being used by producers to better incorporate the climate component in enterprise and natural resource management. Findings from other stages of the research are detailed in companion papers (George et al. 2005 a,b,c; 2006 a,b).

Design of the purposive survey. This survey sought to collect opinions of producers who may attend an applied climate course, consultants/extension staff who may provide climate advice to clients, and educators who deliver vocational agricultural education. Information collected would be used to identify applied climate and agriculture education needs and so improve educational resources for vocational education. A purposive survey (Czaja and Blair 1996) is a type of non-random sample in which respondents are specifically sought out. Results are indicative only. A purposive survey was selected in this instance because the Elements and Performance Criteria for the Unit of Competency

8

had already been established through an exhaustive process using two panels, (a Training Package Advisory Committee and a Vocational Training Assessment Board detailed in a companion paper (George et al. 2006 a). The members of these panels represented agriculture, horticulture and natural resource management and educational expertise. Names of prospective respondents to the survey were provided by the ClimEd Steering Committee which nominated people whose opinions they respected and valued to positively contribute to identifying climate education needs. The survey initially involved the design and pre-test of a questionnaire by ten consultants, producers and educators. The questionnaire was subsequently finalized and administered by post and email to 138 people, comprising producers, educators and agribusiness industries.

In order to assess the needs of producers, agribusiness, education providers and others with regard to knowledge and skills of climate applications and to collate opinions with respect to the content, process and format of the "DCRMS" Unit of Competency, the survey had 3 objectives. These are presented as the research objectives, and in addition were to identify any other issues that may need to be addressed including providing support materials to enhance learning and assessment to ensure effectiveness of the Unit of Competency.

The survey was broken into four sections (A to D), viz:

(i) Section A – general information about the respondent.

(ii) Section B – assessment of respondents' current knowledge of climate and applications of seasonal forecasts.

(iii) Section C – assessment of effects of the variable climate on enterprises and the types of processes and tools used in management decision-making.

(iv) Section D – respondents asked to indicate preferred method of presentation of the Unit of Competency.

The survey was distributed in October - November 2002, and respondents were given eight weeks to complete and return their surveys. Follow-up phone calls were made to a small number to ensure an improved response rate. The survey required respondents to use a range of indications comprising of ticks, numerical ratings and self-worded statements. A weighted score system was used to obtain an overall ranking that would be meaningful on questions that had multiple answers and ratings. Responses to each question were collated as percentages, rankings and statements.

The skills audit

The skills audit refers to assessment of competence in ten generic climate-related skills needed in agricultural operations. Respondents were asked to: (i) assess the importance of each skill to their business and its management by categorising each as: essential, useful, or un-important, and; (ii) rate their competencies in each as: nil (or no knowledge), ordinary, average, competent, or very competent, based on the work of Stephens and McGuckian (1994). The skills included were identified from feedback from participants in numerous workshops (George et al. 2000) as being the ten most generically applicable to a broad range of agricultural and natural resource industries. They were: (i) investigate what makes it rain in your location; (ii) read and interpret weather maps; (iii) examine and investigate the causes and effects of ENSO on rainfall and its impact on climate variability and the business; (iv) view, interpret and discuss climatic data for your location (including averages, median, deciles, probabilities and statistical significance); (v) identify key decision points in the management system where seasonal climate forecasts may be useful; (vi) integrate climate data and seasonal climate forecasts in decision-making; (vii) review and interpret climatic data and seasonal forecast information sources and references; (viii) observe and discuss the benefits of short-term and long-term climate forecasts; (ix) communicate climate variability and its implications to the business to others e.g. banks; and, (x) being well prepared for the next dry or wet meteorological event.

Analysis of the skills audit. Chi square tests were used to test the assumption that respondents would rate their personal skill or knowledge of the stated topic as essential or useful but that few would assess their knowledge or skills as competent. The null hypothesis being: "Respondents are likely to rate the knowledge and skill importance equally across the three ratings, and were also likely to assess their knowledge and skill competence equally across the five variables".

Results

A summary of the general information about respondents is provided, followed by assessment of current knowledge of climate and applications of seasonal climate forecasts. The effect of the variable climate on enterprise management and the types of processes and tools used in management is then presented, followed by preference for presentation of the Unit of Competency.

General information of survey participants

Most respondents were males aged 26 to 55 years living in New South Wales, South Australia and Queensland, and were agricultural producers (49%), consultants/extension officers (35%), and educators (16%). Most (54%) had greater than twenty years of experience in managing their business or in their chosen career and few had climate 'training' other than attendance at one-day workshops (Table 2).

(INSERT TABLE 2)

Respondents' current knowledge of climate

Over 80% of the applied climate skills listed in Section B of the survey were considered essential and useful, but only 30% of respondents considered themselves competent or very competent in those skills (Figure 1, Table 3).

(INSERT FIGURE 1)

(INSERT TABLE 3)

Key points arising from the data collected from producers, educationists and agribusiness/extension are listed below.

Skill 1 - *Investigate what makes it rain in your location*: over 70% of all groups rate this skill as useful, nearly none see it as unimportant and a minority see it as essential. The producers ratings of knowledge levels were unequally distributed across all the categories with a tendency for the majority to rate themselves as 'average'.

Skill 2 - *Read and interpret weather maps*: 66% of producers see this skill as essential. Nearly equal percentages of consultants see it as either essential or useful. 53% of educationists see it as useful. The producers assessment of their knowledge levels were unequally distributed with 52% rating themselves as 'competent or very competent'.

Skill 3 - *Examine and investigate causes and effects of ENSO*: 66% of all groups rate this as useful, with 8% seeing it as unimportant and 38% of educationists seeing it as essential when compared to producers (24%) and consultants (20%). 18% of producers rated themselves as competent or very competent.

Skill 4 - *View, interpret and discuss climatic data for your location*: 62% of producers and 69% of consultants see this skill as important, a greater percentage of educationists (54%) rate it as essential. Approximately 15% of the educationists see it as unimportant, compared to 0% and 7% respectively for the producers and consultants. The producers ratings of knowledge levels were equally distributed across all the categories.

12

Skill 5 - *Identify key decision points*: The majority of all groups rated this skill as essential (61% producers, 52% of consultants and 46% of educationists), though educationists are again much more spread out in their opinion with nearly equal percentages of educationists seeing at as essential or useful and 15% saying it was unimportant. The producers ratings of knowledge levels were equally distributed across all the categories.

Skill 6 - *Integrate climate data and seasonal climate forecasts*: Similar percentages of producers, consultants and educationists see this skill as essential (44%, 56%, 39% respectively) or useful (54%, 40%, 46% respectively). Again a much higher percentage of educationists (15%) see this skill as unimportant. Less than 4% of the other two groups saw this as unimportant. The producers ratings of knowledge levels were equally distributed across all the categories.

Skill 7 - *Review and interpret climatic data and seasonal forecasts*: 73% of producers and 69% of educationists rated this skill as useful. 56% of consultants saw it as useful with 20% of the consultants seeing it as unimportant. No more than 8% of the other two groups saw it as unimportant. 11% of the producers tended to rate themselves with competent or very competent knowledge in this grouping.

Skill 8 - *Observe and discuss short term and long term climate forecasts*: 69% of the consultants saw this skill as useful. However, much closer percentages of producers and educationists saw this skill as either essential (38% and 38% respectively) or useful (57% and 46% respectively). Only 5% of the producers saw this skill as unimportant, however 11% of the consultants and 15% of the educationists saw it as unimportant. The producers ratings of knowledge levels were equally distributed across all the categories.

Skill 9 - *Communicate climate variability to others (business)*: Much closer percentages of all three groups were seen with this skill. 21% of the producers, 12% of the consultants and 23% of the educationists saw this skill as unimportant. 39% of the producers, 40% of the consultants and 46% of the educationists saw this skill as essential. The producers ratings of knowledge levels were equally distributed across all the categories.

Skill 10 - *Being well prepared for the next dry or wet meteorological event*: A large majority of the producers (89%) and consultants (77%) saw this skill as essential, however equal percentages of the educationists (46%) saw it as either essential or useful. The producers ratings of knowledge levels were unequally distributed across all the categories with a tendency for the majority (58%) to rate themselves as 'average' knowledge. The conditional distributions of all groups combined showed skill 10 was seen to have the highest percentage of essentials ratings (78%) and therefore the most important skill. The skill rated least important was 'Communicating climate variability'. The greatest knowledge gap for producers was in the skill *Review and interpret climatic data and seasonal forecasts.*

Results of the knowledge questions are presented in Table 4. Assessment of knowledge levels of the SOI, and SST's, mean, median, interpreting probability tables, interpreting forecasts from a statement and calculating values from a probability statement with a forecast value reveal that improvement is needed in all the groups, but the areas of need differ. The questions on mean and median numbers were answered least correctly by consultants and extension officers (73% and 69% respectively). Interpreting a value from a probability table was positively answered by most groups with producers being the weakest with 84% correct. The results from questions on forecast interpretation and calculation of values from a forecast statement were answered most correctly by educators (73%) and producers (82%) respectively.

(INSERT TABLE 4)

Effect of climate on management

14

Responses of participants have been collated according to the key decisions in enterprise management (Table 5).

(INSERT TABLE 5)

The responses on the impact of climate on key business decisions show that groups of respondents have different needs. For example, in farming, crop producers need climate information that relates to crop selection and agronomic inputs such as herbicide and fertiliser use, area to be grown and varieties. Graziers use climate information in decisions on buying, breeding, selling and agistment of livestock. Consultants and extension staff work with groups throughout the state and nationally, and need climatic information for a wide geographic area. All groups needed climate information with respect to sustainability of soil-plant-animal-water resources. The delivery of any applied climate course to meet these diverse needs is a challenge that any applied climate education Unit of Competency needs to address (Rijks and Baradas 2000; Stigter et al. 2000).

Table 6 shows the responses to questions seeking ranking of the main barriers to using information about the variable climate and seasonal climate forecasts, in descending order of highest (1 = main barrier) to lowest rating (11 = least barrier). All groups listed the main barrier to be 'uncertainty over accuracy', which was followed by 'perceived inaccuracy', 'competing information', and 'forecast difficult to interpret'.

(INSERT TABLE 6)

In conjunction with the question about the main barriers to using climate and forecast information, respondents were asked to suggest ways to overcome each barrier. The results for the main barrier, 'uncertainty over accuracy', are shown in Box 1:

(INSERT BOX 1)

The summarised remaining comments from respondents on what they considered to be the main barriers and how to overcome these are shown in Table 7.

(INSERT TABLE 7)

The three main points emerging from Table 7 are the importance of (i) improving education and skills levels on understanding climate and forecasts; (ii) the need to show how climate information and forecasts can be used in daily operations; and (iii) the need to be aware of interpretation, limitations and accuracy of any given climate information or forecast.

Preference for delivery of a Unit of Competency in Applied Climate Education

Preferences of participants on educational presentation, format of material and course activity are shown in Table 8.

(INSERT TABLE 8)

Respondents clearly preferred presenters who were knowledgeable and skilled in climate and weather (Table 8), and suggested a combination of presenters including consultants, educators, producers or 'champions'. Respondents preferred material to be presented in 'Distance education' mode, with a 'mix' of methods including face-to-face and a diverse range of options. Producers preferred an intensive week-long course (away from peak farm activities) but with follow-up about six weeks later, whereas others suggested a course for about 3 hours a week over a thirteen week period.

Discussion

The ClimEd Steering Committee helped select individuals to survey with responses from a broad background to assist in the development of process and content of educational resources.

The participatory action research approach (Zuber-Skerritt 1993) was used when the research program was implemented and established and refined through time with feedback and joint discussions. There were a number of cycles of the planning-acting-observing-reflecting spiral implemented in the needs survey and subsequent follow-up with the Steering Committee (Zuber-Skerritt 1993). The implications of needs identified in the survey for applied climate education and suggestions on benchmarking for assessing outcomes are discussed.

Applied climate education needs

This study confirms that some Australian agricultural producers and others, have begun to further adapt their practices to adjust to climate variability and climate change, and are looking to improve their skills and knowledge to better integrate climate variability in their management through a variety of sources including information channels such as the internet and also via education and training (Tables 5,7,8).

The greatest need for better integration of climate forecast information in management was to overcome 'uncertainty over accuracy' and 'perceived inaccuracy' (Tables 6,7).

A forecast is said to have *accuracy* when what has been forecast is in exact conformity to what has occurred e.g. a forecast that states 80% chance of exceeding the median for the next 3

months will on 8 times out of 10 occasions when this forecast is stated, have rainfall over that 3 month period equal to or exceeding the median rainfall. This forecast will also have on 2 occasions out of 10, rainfall for a 3 month period less than the median. Assessment of forecast accuracy are not routinely performed although it can be presumed any forecasts provided are the most accurate possible. Respondents in this survey sought greater accuracy of forecasts. However, given that any forecast examines global land – ocean – atmospheric interactions that are inherently difficult to predict with 100% accuracy all the time (Buckley 2002; Vizard et al. 2005), the next best scenario is to know when a forecast has 'skill' or 'reliability', or in other words, the limitations of such a forecast.

Two statistical tests commonly used in assessing the *reliability* of statistical-based seasonal forecasts in Australia, are the Kruskal-Wallis (KW) and Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) tests. These tests analyse probability distributions and are useful in gauging the following three key components of forecast *skill* and reliability:

- consistency for extended periods during the year
- consistency as lead-time is advanced (i.e. the gap between the SOI period and the rainfall period)
- spatial coherence at multiple locations throughout a region.

A forecast is said to have *skill* when it is statistically significant (Clewett et al. 2003). Statistical tests to evaluate the skill of seasonal forecasts are useful. They help to weed out erroneous results in the data, and to reveal where tools such as the SOI and SST have *real* rather than *artificial* skill as indicators of future events. Users should avoid, or at least be very wary, of using seasonal forecasts that are statistically 'not significant'.

As a consequence of this survey highlighting the need for any applied climate education to address the issue of climate forecast *accuracy* and *uncertainty*, it is imperative forecasts need to be verified before incorporation into risk management plans and that attention is needed with risk management plans in cases where forecasts are not *skilful* using other climate information such as historical data. This will ensure that all participants will benefit from the Unit of Competency even if forecasts are not *skilful* in their region and, or at times when their key decisions are made. Attention in these areas will assist in making a course relevant to larger numbers of people and enterprises. Satisfactorily addressing of these issues should lead to greater uptake of climate information and application of seasonal climate forecasts in agricultural and natural resource management.

While it is obvious that ongoing improvement in climate forecast accuracy is necessary and knowledge about the limits of accuracy are needed to overcome the barriers, respondents also requested specific localised forecasts (Box 1). However, 'competing or conflicting information' also rated highly in all groups especially in relation to confusion about conflicting forecast maps in the media. Suggestions about overcoming this barrier included improved learning to help interpret and analyse forecast data. This would also assist overcoming the next ranked barrier - 'difficulty of interpreting a forecast'. A forecast for a specific region or local area was suggested as being the best way to address the barrier of 'necessary additional information', as was the proposal of a forecast in text or by maps of forecast statements expressing '80% probability of exceedance', rather than 'chance of exceeding the median' statements, also found by Clewett et al. (2000b). It suggests producers would rather know what is forecast at an 80% probability level rather than the 50:50 expression commonly used and is discussed more fully in Coventry (2000). Learning to better interpret and analyse data, and understanding knowledge about reliability and limitations of any forecasts, are necessary requirements to overcome this barrier (Sivakumar et al. 2000).

The issue of 'not enough flexibility' referred to a forecast being far too short a time-frame to adjust management or provide advice and this was particularly important for consultant and extension staff, presumably for client advice. Having up-to-date forecast information to provide to clients, having supporting case studies, and longer forecast lead times were suggested as ways to overcome this barrier. 'Accessibility of information' and 'external constraints', were perceived least important in barriers to using climate information and seasonal climate forecasts, although timely and location specific information was deemed to be the way to overcome these barriers.

Respondents showed significant interest in the climate and weather information. The skills audit (Table 3), reinforced climate variability as being critical and that there was genuine interest in furthering their own knowledge. The use of 'champions' to examine best ways to use this information to make better decisions was suggested. Targeted and timely educational packages should integrate 'champions', and involve other extension and consultants to encourage greater uptake of information by managers in agricultural and natural resource industries, as also found in Keogh et al. (2005). The skills considered most essential were those that would enable respondents and their clients to be better prepared for the next wet or dry meteorological event and is supported in the literature (Clewett et al. 2000 a; George et al. 2000, 2005 a).

Periods of rainfall deficit and longer-term drought are major concerns for agricultural and natural resource industries and limit production and affect resource management (Table 3). This study has highlighted the necessity for improvements/adjustments to be made to educational courseware to inform short and long term decision–making and accommodate different learning styles (Honey and Mumford 1986). Enhancing the capability of owners, managers and operators to use climate information and forecasts potentially increases production, enhances resource management and reduces reliance on government intervention (Munro and Lembitt 1997).

Clients' preferred 'distance education' as the approach accessing an applied climate Unit of Competency. There are many forms of distance education, but the expectation here is accessibility and flexibility for the learner, in terms of media, timing and location for learning. The clear message was that proposed applied climate education packages should be accessible from a clients' home or workplace rather than expecting them to undertake conventional classroom-based courses, although there was some support for the latter. The implication for educators is to offer a range of activities to suit the identified needs and deliver these in an attractive mode. Other modes that received support were an intensive week-long course followed-up with clients about 6 weeks later (away from peak activities), or alternatively a course for about 3 hours a week over a thirteen week period, similar to findings of Kilpatrick (1997, 1999) and Roberts (2000). The pre-eminent qualification of a presenter was that of soundness in climate and weather knowledge, or an 'expert' in the field, which supports findings from Kilpatrick (1999).

This study identified climate knowledge and skills that are in demand in farming, agribusiness / extension and education sectors (Tables 3, 4). The demand should translate to strong motivation for participation in applied climate education, providing the needs of potential clients as outlined above are addressed. It has also emphasised the importance of examining specific industry and location needs and tailoring educational packages accordingly. The outcome should be enhanced capacities to integrate climate information into production and natural resource management strategies to improve business success.

Table 3 shows 42% of educationists believe they are competent or very competent in applied climate education skills compared to 28% producers and 30% of consultants. However, the skills tests

(Table 4) showed no apparent difference in knowledge levels between all groups. It is possible some educationists may be over-confident and some producers under-confident of their skills (Kruger and Dunning 1999).

Benchmark for assessing effectiveness of applied climate education

The summary of correct responses to the 'climate' questions provided in the survey (Table 4), can act as a benchmark that may be used to assess the impact of applied climate education on knowledge and skills of clients. The three most correctly answered questions were about SOI, mean of a set of numbers and interpreting a value from a table and need no further discussion. The four questions answered most incorrectly involve SST's, median of a set of numbers and interpreting a forecast.

The limited knowledge of SST indicates there is likely to be confusion about warmer than normal SST in the central equatorial Pacific Ocean being associated with El Niño years, and cooler than normal SST's occurring in La Niña years. This ocean-atmosphere relationship is critically important for a comprehensive understanding of ENSO and consequent weather and climate in Australia (McBride and Nicholls 1983).

The two questions involving forecast interpretation and calculation of values from a forecast statement indicate that assumptions about clients' ability to interpret a forecast from a statement and calculate values from a probability statement with a forecast value, could be overly optimistic. Approximately 30% of participants in our survey incorrectly answered these questions, and reinforces earlier findings, that many clients have difficulty interpreting a forecast (Paull and Hall 1999, 2000).

The question concerning the median figure is important because it is usually quite prominent in many forecasts. Approximately 70% of producers, consultants and extension respondents answered this correctly. This indicates about 30% of clients misinterpret the median value, and the subsequent meaning of a forecast, and confirms findings from Keogh (2004 b).

After a well-designed package of applied climate education has been delivered, there should be improvements in the knowledge and skills about SST's, median of a set of numbers and interpreting a forecast. However and equally important, is not just the 'knowledge' levels, but the 'end result' and 'practice change' following any applied climate education (Bennett 1976), and should be complementary to any knowledge gains. To assess the effectiveness of the educational package, surveys of participants both before and after participation should be undertaken, and should include those who participated in the present study who subsequently undertake the applied climate education course.

Conclusion

A majority of applied climate skills listed in the survey are regarded as essential or important, but only 30% of all the respondents rated themselves as competent in those skills. The skills that respondents considered most essential were those that would enable them to be better prepared for the next wet or dry meteorological event. Thus there should be strong motivation of agriculture and natural resource managers to participate in applied climate education. The complex issue of forecast accuracy is an obstacle for many to apply climate information and forecasts in management and might be overcome by describing forecast 'limitations and skill'. A forecast for a specific region or local area, and a forecast in text or by maps of forecast statements expressing '80% probability of exceedance', were suggested as being the best way to address a number of barriers that were precluding individuals from using information about climate and seasonal climate forecasts.

The 'Elements' and 'Performance criteria' of the Unit of Competency rated highly as a useful way for 'teaching', 'learning' and 'applying' climatic concepts in agriculture and other industries. Consequently, the diverse agriculture and climate which confronts Australian producers, combined with ongoing/future climate change, strongly suggests that applied climate education will be one of the major challenges for the future of Australian rural industries. It is therefore recommended that follow-up or future work in this area begin with the trial and evaluation of the Unit of Competency on a pilot focused group of producers. It is also recommended that more educators, including vocational and other teachers, be trained to meet the increasing demand for climate education and agriculture in the future. Investigating how effective this training is would also be valuable research to better understand teaching and learning for improved applied climate education for the future. There exists a body of evidence of farmer demand for access to applied climate education and training. This demand justifies the development of an applied climate training course on the use of leading-edge information technologies which can assist Australian producers' better manage climate and environmental risks. Mechanisms are needed to update any course, resource and trainers as new climate science, climate impact tools and climate change projections are developed. Such a course is a responsible approach to disseminating high-technology information to a broad audience who may not necessarily have a background in the science underpinning climate information and assist in improving confidence, knowledge and skills to better manage climate variability and change.

Acknowledgements

Livio Regano, Scott Power and Mike Bergin. Lucy George and Brett Robinson helped in editing

previous drafts of this manuscript. Kerry Bell and Sarah Lennox helped in statistical analysis and

presentation. The authors gratefully acknowledge the participants of this survey and the feedback from

two anonymous referees.

References

Austen EA, Sale PWG, Clark SG, Graetz B (2002) A survey of farmers' attitudes, management
    strategies and seasonal climate forecasts for coping with climate variability in the perennial
    pasture zone of south-east Australia. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **42,** 173-
    183.
Bennett CF (1976) 'Analyzing impacts of extension programs.' United States Department of
    Agriculture. Washington D.C.
Buckley D (2002) Forecast accuracy limits on-farm use. *Farming Ahead,* **124,** 34-46.
Carberry P, Hochman Z, McCown RL, Dalgliesh NP, Foale MA, Poulton PL, Hargreaves JNG,
    Hargreaves DMG, Cawthray S, Hillcoat N, Robertson MJ (2002) The FARMSCAPE approach
    to decision support: farmers', advisers', researchers' monitoring, simulation, communication
    and performance evaluation. *Agricultural Systems* **74,** 141-177.
Carlson RE, Enz JW, Baker DG (1994) Quality and variability of long term climate data relative to
    agriculture. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 69, (1-2) 61-74.
Clark SG, Austen EA, Prance T, Ball PD (2003) Climate variability effects on simulated pasture and
    animal production in the perennial pasture zone of south-eastern Australia.1. Between year
    variability in pasture and animal production. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*
    43, 1211-1219.
Clewett JF, Howden SM, McKeon GM, Rose CW (1991) Optimising farm dam irrigation in response
    to climatic risk. In 'Climatic Risk in Crop Production: Models and Management for the
    Semiarid Tropics and Subtropics'. (Eds. RC Muchow, JA Bellamy) pp. 307-328. (C.A.B.
    International: Wallingford UK)
Clewett J F, Cliffe N, Drosdowsky L, George DA, O'Sullivan D, Paull C, Partridge IJ, Saal R (2000a)
    Building knowledge and skills to use seasonal climate forecasts in property management
    planning. In 'Applications of Seasonal Climate Forecasting in Agricultural and Natural
    Ecosystems - The Australian Experience'. (Eds GL Hammer, N Nicholls, C Mitchell) pp. 291-
    308. (Kluwer Academic: The Netherlands)
Clewett JF, Thompson PJM, George DA, Owens DT, Clarkson NM (2000b) Seasonal streamflow
    forecasts to improve management of water resources: 4. Communicating results to improve
    knowledge and skills of clients in Australia. In 'Proceedings of the 26th National and 3rd
    International Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium.' (Eds J Ruprecht and B Bates) pp.
    647-652. (The Institution of Engineers, Australia: Perth)
Clewett JF (2003) Seasonal climate forecasts and decision support systems for drought prone
    agriculture: A case study based on the development and application of the Rainman Climate
    Analysis Software. In 'Coping against El Niño for Stabilizing Rainfed Agriculture: Lessons
    from Asia and the Pacific'. (Eds. S Yokoyama, RN Concepcion) pp. 37-55. (United Nations,
    CGPRT Centre Monograph No 43: Bogor Indonesia)
Clewett JF, Clarkson NM, George DA, Ooi SH, Owens DT, Partridge IJ, Simpson GB (2003) Rainman
    StreamFlow version 4.3: a comprehensive climate and streamflow analysis package on CD to

assess seasonal forecasts and manage climate risk. Department of Primary Industries, Queensland: Brisbane.

Cohen L, Manion L (1994) 'Research methods in education (4th edition).' (Routledge: London)

Coventry WL (2000) 'Single-event versus frequency formats for presenting climate forecast probabilities: Beyond reasoning abilities to judgment.' Honours thesis submitted to the School of Psychology, University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia.

Czaja R, Blair J (1996) 'Designing Surveys: A guide to decisions and procedures.' (Pine Forge Press, SAGE Publications Inc.: London)

Decker WL (1994) Developments in agricultural meteorology as a guide to its potential for the twenty-first century. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **69**, (1-2) 9-25.

Drosdowsky W (2002) SST Phases and Australian rainfall. *Australian Meteorological Magazine* **51,** (1) 1-12.

George DA, Clewett J, McBride J, Balasubramanian TN, Huda AKS, Geethalakshmi V, Selvaraju R, Mavi H (2000) Seasonal climate and weather forecasting - agricultural applications. In 'The International conference on managing natural resources for sustainable agricultural production in the 21st century'. Volume 1 – Invited papers (Eds JSP Yadav, GB Singh) pp. 34. (ICAR: New Delhi)

George DA, Birch C, Clewett JF, Wright A, Allen W (2005a) Major issues and solutions to applied climate education in Australia. *Journal of International Agricultural and Extension Education.* **12**, (3) 21-31.

George DA, Birch CJ, Buckley DB, Partridge IJ, Clewett JF (2005b) Surveying and assessing climate risk to reduce uncertainty and improve farm business management. *Extension Farming Systems Journal* **1,** (1) 71-77.

George, D.A., Clewett, J.F., Birch C., Partridge, I.J., Clarkson, N.M. and Allen W. (2005c). Applied climate education to help manage climate change in Australia, In 'Proceedings of the 17th International Congress of Biometeorology'. (Ed P Hoeppe) pp. 235-238. (The International Society of Biometeorology: Garmisch – Partenkirchen, Germany)

George DA, Clewett JF, Birch C, Wright A, Allen W (2006a) Development and accreditation of an applied climate education unit for sustainable land use in Australia. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture – in print.*

George DA, Clewett JF, Selvaraju R, Birch CJ (2006b) Applied climate education and training for agricultural and natural resource management in India, Indonesia, Zimbabwe and Australia. *Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension – in print.*

Graham LB, Wheeler MA, Clarkson NM (2000) Seasonal streamflow forecasts to improve management of water resources: 1. Establishing needs of irrigators from pilot studies around Australia, In 'Proceedings of the 26th National and 3rd International Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium'. (Eds J Ruprecht, B Bates) pp. 631-636. (The Institution of Engineers, Australia: Perth)

Hammer GL, Hansen JW, Phillips JG, Mjelde JW, Hill H, Love A, Potgieter A (2001) Advances in application of climate prediction in agriculture. *Agricultural Systems* **70**, (2-3) 515-553.

Hatfield JL (1994) Future needs in agricultural meteorology: basic and applied research. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **69,** (1-2) 39-45.

Hollinger SE (1994) Future direction and needs in agricultural meteorology/climatology and modeling. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **69,** (1-2) 1-7.

Honey P, Mumford A (1986) 'The manual of learning styles.' (Maidenhead: London)

Jarvis P (1995) 'Adult and continuing education. Theory and Practice. (2nd edn)'. (Routledge Falmer: London)

Keogh DU, Abawi GY, Dutta SC, Crane AJ, Ritchie JW, Harris TR, Wright CG (2004a) Context evaluation: A profile of irrigator climate knowledge, needs and practices in the northern Murray-Darling Basin to aid development of climate-based decision support tools and

information and dissemination of research, *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **44,** (3) 247-257.

Keogh DU, Bell KL, Park JN, Cobon DH (2004b) Formative evaluation to benchmark and improve climate-based decision support for graziers in western Queensland, *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **44,** (3) 233-246.

Keogh DU, Watson IW, Bell KL, Cobon DH, Dutta SC (2005) Climate information needs of Gascoyne-Murchison pastoralists: a representative study of the Western Australian grazing industry. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* **45,** (12) 1613-1625.

Kilpatrick S (1997) 'Effective delivery methodologies for education and training to rural Australia.' Centre for Research and Learning in Regional Australia and the Australian National Training Authority: Launceston.

Kilpatrick S (1999) 'Learning on the job: How do farm business managers get the skills and knowledge to manage their businesses?' Centre for Research and Learning in Regional Australia, Discussion Paper D3/1999, University of Tasmania: Launceston.

Kruger J, Dunning D (1999) Unskilled and unaware of it: how difficulties in recognizing one's own incompetence lead to inflated self-assessments. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **77,** (6) 1121-1134.

McBride JL, Nicholls N (1983) Seasonal relationship between Australian rainfall and the Southern Oscillation. *Monthly Weather Review* **111**, 1198-2004.

McCown RL (2002) Changing systems for supporting farmers' decisions: problems, paradigms and prospects. *Agricultural Systems* **74,** 179-220.

Meinke H, Baethgen WE, Carberry PS, Donatelli M, Hammer GL, Selvaraju R, Stockle CO (2001) Increasing profits and reducing risks in crop production using participatory systems simulation approaches. *Agricultural Systems* **70,** (2-3) 493-513.

Meinke H, de Voil P, Hammer GL, Power S, Allan RJ, Stone R, Folland CK, Potgieter A (2005) Rainfall variability at decadal and longer time scales: signal or noise? *Journal of Climate* **18**, 89-96.

Munro RK, Lembit MJ (1997) Managing climate variability in the national interest: Needs and objectives. In 'Climate Prediction for Agricultural and Resource Management' (Eds. RK Munro, LM Leslie), pp. 3-20. (Bureau of Resource Sciences: Canberra)

Nelson RA, Holzworth DP, Hammer GL, Hayman PT (2002) Infusing the use of seasonal climate forecasting into crop management practice in North East Australia using discussion support software. *Agricultural Systems* **74**, (3) 393-414.

Nicholls N, Drosdowsky W, Lavery B (1997) Australian rainfall variability and change. *Weather* **52**, (3) 66-72.

Nicholls N (2003) Climate change: are droughts becoming drier and more frequent? In 'Science for drought - Proceedings of the National Drought Forum'. (Eds R Stone, IJ Partridge) pp. 1-7. (Queensland Department of Primary Industries: Brisbane)

Paull CJ, Hall W (1999) A survey of the assessment of seasonal conditions in pastoral Australia - benchmarking in the AussieGRASS project, Part 1: Queensland Report. Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.

Paull CJ, Hall W (2000) A survey of the assessment of seasonal conditions in pastoral Australia - benchmarking in the AussieGRASS project, Part 6: National Summary. Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.

Perry KB (1994) Current and future agricultural meteorology and climatology education needs of the US extension service. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **69**, (1-2) 33-38.

Rijks D, Baradas MW (2000) The clients for agrometeorological information. *Agricultural and Forestry Meteorology* **103***, 27-42.

Roberts K (2000) An analysis of group processes in farmer learning: the Australian experience. *Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension* **6,**(4) 235-244.

Sivakumar MVK, Gommes R, Baier W (2000) Agrometeorology and sustainable agriculture. *Agricultural and Forestry Meteorology* **103,** 11-26.

Stephens M, McGuckian N (1994) 'Skills evaluation and education kit'. (Rural Finance Corporation of Victoria: Melbourne)

Stigter CJ, Sivakumar MVK, Rijks DA (2000) Agrometeorology in the 21st century: workshop summary and recommendations on needs and perspectives. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* **103,** (1-2) 209-227.

Stone R, Hammer GL, Marcussen T (1996) Prediction of global rainfall probabilities using phases of the Southern Oscillation Index. *Nature* **384**, 1896-1909.

Vizard, AL, Anderson, GA, Buckley, DJ (2005) Verification and value of the Australian Bureau of Meteorology township seasonal rainfall forecasts in Australia, 1997-2005. *Meteorological Applications* **12,** 343-355.

Zuber-Skerritt O (1993) Improving learning and teaching through action learning and action research. *Higher Education Research and Development* **12,** 45-58.

Table 1. Composition of the national focused expert ClimEd Steering Committee

Organisation	Function of Organisation
AgForce (Represented and chaired by a Senior Executive member and the Training officer)	State-wide (Queensland) producer organisation of approximately 8,000 members with networks to all other state farming organisations
Producers x 4 (selection of four farmers based on experience and EOI from submissions following a national newspaper advertisement)	Representing farming, grazing and irrigation interests
National Research & Development (R&D) Coordinator for 'Managing Climate Variability'	Coordinator of the national body overseeing all applied climate research and development, with affiliations to other national research and development bodies encompassing crops, livestock and natural resource management
Dalby Agricultural College – Instructor	Vocational agricultural college representing students who are enrolled in vocational agricultural courses for temperate and tropical Australia in extensive and intensive agriculture industries
C.B. Alexander College-principal	Representing NSW Primary Industries (State-wide government agricultural body) and a Registered Training Organisation specialising in agriculture
Bureau of Meteorology – forecaster-researcher	National weather and climate body
Team DES (one member)	Agribusiness representative
The University of Queensland senior lecturer	Internationally and Nationally renowned educational body with linkages from secondary and vocational educational systems to Tertiary institutions
Queensland Rural Industry Training Council CEO	The State Rural Advisory Training Body
Kondinin Farmer Group –project officer	Farmer operated organisation with approximately 10,000 members predominantly established in western and southern states and with networks to all other state-farming organisations
Department of Primary Industries and Fisheries, Queensland climate scientist and educator	State-wide government agricultural body

Table 2. Classification of responses from section A: General Information [n=80]

	Classification	Percentages (%)
Responses rate	80/138 Ed 13/16 (81%) Producers 39/82 (48%) Consultants/Ext'n 28/40 (70%)	58
Significant gender	Males	83
Significant States	NSW, SA and Queensland	95
Groups represented	Producers Consultants/Extension Education	49 35 16
Age bracket (years)	26-35 36-45 46-55 56-65 65 and over	11 31 47 10 1
Experience in their industry[*] (years).	Up to 5 6-10 11-15 16-20 >20	4 12 16 14 54

*This 'experience in years' trend (with the majority more than 11 years experience) was similar between each of the

education, farming, consultants and extension groups.

Figure 1 Skills audit showing - 'importance' of applied climate skills and 'knowledge' level of those skills between producers, consultants and educators (n=80)

Table 3. Skills audit of producers - self assessment of current knowledge of climate and applications of

seasonal climate forecasts [n=33-39]

Skill	Assessment of **how important** a skill may be to your business and its management				Assessment of how you **rate your skill** or knowledge of this topic			
	Essential	Useful	Un - important	Signif.	No knowledge & Ordinary	Average	Competent & Very Competent	Signif.
Investigate what makes it rain in your location	11	27	1	**	11	21	6	**
Read and interpret weather maps	25	13	-	**	4	14	20	**
Examine and investigate causes and effects of ENSO	8	23	2	**	14	14	6	NS
View, interpret and discuss climatic data for your location	15	24	-	**	15	12	12	NS
Identify key decision points	24	13	2	**	13	10	16	NS
Integrate climate data and seasonal climate forecasts	17	21	1	**	12	16	10	NS
Review and interpret climatic data and seasonal forecasts	8	27	2	**	15	17	4	*
Observe and discuss short term and long term climate forecasts	14	21	2	**	12	17	8	NS
Communicate climate variability to others (business)	15	15	8	NS	8	18	12	NS
Being well prepared for the next dry or wet meteorological event	34	4	-	**	5	22	11	**
Total	171	188	18	**	109	161	105	**

* P <0.05; ** P <0.01; ns = not significant.

Table 4. Summary of correct responses to 'climate' questions (%).
[n=75-78]

All [n=75-78]	Climate questions	Producers [n=39]	Educators [n=12]	Consultants & Extension [n=24 to 27]
90	SOI is negative in an El Niño year [n=78]	97	83	82
47	SST's were cooler than normal in the central Pacific in La Niña years [n=77]	45	58	44
78	Mean of a set of numbers [n=76]	79	92	73
74	Median of a set of numbers [n=76]	74	83	69
91	Interpreting a value from a probability table [n=74]	84	100	96
65	Interpreting a forecast from a statement [n=77]	63	75	63
72	Calculating values from a probability statement with a forecast value [n=75]	82	50	68
74	Average	75	77	71

Table 5. Effects of climate on key business decisions listed by farming/agribusiness, consulting, groups [n=63]

KEY DECISION	EFFECTS OF CLIMATE VARIABILITY ON THAT DECISION
AGRICULTURE	
Cropping	• Uncertainty in cropping, whether to grow more summer/ winter/type of crops • Herbicide use - Residual herbicides affect crop options so climate outlook affects types of herbicides. Residual herbicides are useful but can seriously limit options to take advantage of an event • Fertilizer inputs - In combination with subsoil moisture, take into account forecasts for in-crop rainfall when deciding how much pre-plant fertilizer to apply. If negative [dry] outlook then fertilizer will only be a budget application (maintenance - nil). If positive [wet] outlook the extra will be applied to capitalize on expected in-crop rainfall. • Timing and variety -Take note of forecasts re date of last occurring frost then decide how early to plant and with what varieties
Irrigated Planting Area	Crop selection: summer/ winter/type of crops depended on the probability of rainfall and irrigation allocations
Stocking Rate	Estimation of the number of stock days of feed available – By using climate information to assess the odds of receiving effective rain by nominal green date (i.e. date that we receive 50 mm in 2 weeks in 80% of years), stock numbers can be reduced or increased accordingly.
Infrastructure	Awareness in being prepared to make a financial commitment to maintain bores, dams, hay storage etc to ease through dry spells
Staffing	Staffing level needs would be different during different times of the year, - knowing when the season will break would be useful.

Table 6. Barriers to using variable and seasonal climate forecast information ranked in order of

perceived 'greatest barrier' to 'least important' barrier [n=78]

'All' ranked [n=78]	Barriers	'Producers' [n=39]	'Educators' [n=12]	'Consultants & Extension' [n=27]
1	b) Uncertainty over accuracy	1	1	1
2	k) Perceived inaccuracy	3	3	2
3	i) Competing information	2	2	4
4	a) Forecast difficult to interpret	7	3	3
6	j) Not enough flexibility	6	5	3
5	c) Additional information necessary	4	4	5
7	e) Lack access to expertise	5	4	6
8	f) Difficult to access information	8	5	9
9	h) Value not demonstrated	9	6	7
10	d) Proof of value necessary	10	4	8
11	g) External constraints	11	7	10

Box 1. Suggestions on ways to better understand and manage the

'uncertainty over accuracy' limitations in using climate information   [n=57]

- Need for more localised forecasts, not general
- Need to increase knowledge of limitations to accuracy
- Develop more accurate forecasts with higher degrees of certainty
- Demonstrate past accuracy
- Education
- More Research & Development and always provide skill scores

Table 7. Summarised comments on remaining other '..barriers to using information about climate and

seasonal climate forecasts...' and suggestions on '…how these barriers may be overcome…' (n=80)

Barrier	Ways to overcome barrier
Forecast difficult to interpret	More knowledge and more skills; Better understanding; Climate course especially site specific; Education; Understanding of probabilities is poor [and needs improving]
Additional info necessary	Forecasts are not an exact science; Variability occurs hence develop risk strategies to include climate forecasts; [Forecast] on a regional/local basis; Supply forecasts for other values in a range not just above or below the median [e.g. 80% probability]; Learn to find out what I don't know
Proof of value necessary	Case studies of value in decision making; Identify and use 'champions'; More education on how to access [proof]
Lack of access to expertise	Increase skills of users; Are we using most appropriate forecasts/methodology e.g. sunspots?; [Access to] BoM web site; Contact lists [of experts]
Difficult to access and asses forecast information	Need knowledge and skills to assess for my own location; Skill level again and value needs demonstrating
External constraints	Forecasts are usually too late; We are committed way before BoM releases 'drought forecast'; Show how forecasting can be used in day to day business practices
Value not demonstrated	Case studies of value for specific decisions; 'Champion' concept and examples on promotion material; Identify and promote/use 'champions'
Competing or conflicting forecast information	Learn how to interpret data and analyse such data to sort out the wood from the trees - need a simple unbiased comparison of the main sources; Scientific forecasters (as distinct from 'Weather prophets') need better PR and to 'come clean' with assessment of their performance; Skill level needs to be proven
Not enough flexibility to be able to respond to forecast	Learn the basics in forecasting and maintain an up to date reference guide and data base of information to aid in predicting the future; Case studies; More time to be able to respond to a changed [weather/climate] pattern sooner; Need 100% confidence of impending drought in April; This is real, once a decision is taken need more precise agronomic advice
Perceived inaccuracy of forecast	Be clear about reliability and limitations of information; Demonstrate past accuracy; Education on what the forecast really means; Increased awareness of probabilities; Measure and improve [forecast] accuracy
Other	I have found it necessary to make my own interpretation of the above issues and factor it into my own assessment of any given forecast; If the time is right to plant and the ground is wet enough - you have to plant regardless of the seasonal forecast; Lead times of forecasts [longer]; accurate climate change information

Table 8. Ranking of preferences on presenters, material presentation and course offering

(where 1= most preferred, 2 = next most preferred and so on, and - = not rated. [n=78])

All [n=78]	**Preferred presenter**	Producers [n=39]	Educ. [n=12]	Consultants & Extension [n=27]
1	Does not matter so long as competent in climate and weather	1	1	1
2	Combination of presenters	1	2	2
3	Bureau of Meteorology officer	2	3	3
3	Others	2	2	5
4	'Champion' in the same field as yourself	2	-	4
5	Consultant	3	-	5
6	Educators	-	-	6
6	Agriculture officer	-	-	6
6	Farmer	3	-	-
	Preferred material presentation			
1	Distance education	1	1	1
2	CD-ROM	2	3	2
2	Conventionally	2	3	2
3	Other (e.g. as appropriate; some face to face; mix of CD ROM and paper; consider range of options; [there are] benefits in bringing people together)	3	2	3
4	Electronically, by web	4	3	4
	Preferred course offering			
1	1 week of 40 hours followed by 1 day 6 weeks later	1	2	1
2	Internet at own pace	3	1	1
3	3 hrs week x 13 weeks	2	2	2
4	Assessment by RPL	4	3	2
5	Other (e.g. depends on need to travel; unsure of best way; schedule for block but away from peak farm activities)	5	4	3