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#### Abstract

In this article, for $t \geq 2$, a general generalization of Jordan's inequality $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k} \theta^{t}-x^{t^{k}} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{k} \theta^{t}-x^{t} \quad$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in(0, \pi]$ is established, where the coefficients $\mu_{k}$ and $\omega_{k}$ defined by recursing formulas (11) and (12) are the best possible. As an application, L. Yang's inequality is refined.


## 1. Introduction

The well known Jordan's inequality (see [2, 6], 4, p. 143], 8, p. 269] and [11, p. 33]) states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x}<1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<|x| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. The equality in (1) is valid if and only if $x=\frac{\pi}{2}$.
Jordan's inequality has important applications in analysis and other branches of mathematics. Therefore, many mathematicians have struggled to refine, generalize and apply it. For more detailed information, please refer to [8, pp. 274-275] and [1, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 31, 32, 33, especially [15], and the references therein.

In [1, 10, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, among other things, Jordan's inequality had been refined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\pi^{3}} x\left(\pi^{2}-4 x^{2}\right) \leq \sin x-\frac{2}{\pi} x \leq \frac{\pi-2}{\pi^{3}} x\left(\pi^{2}-4 x^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [33, a stronger sharp double inequality for $x \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ was obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{12-\pi^{2}}{16 \pi^{5}}\left(\pi^{2}-4 x^{2}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{2}{\pi}-\frac{1}{\pi^{3}}\left(\pi^{2}-4 x^{2}\right) \leq \frac{\pi-3}{\pi^{5}}\left(\pi^{2}-4 x^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently in [12], the following general refinement of Jordan's inequality was showed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\pi}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}\left(\pi^{2}-4 x^{2}\right)^{k} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x} \leq \frac{2}{\pi}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_{k}\left(\pi^{2}-4 x^{2}\right)^{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{(4 \pi)^{k} k!} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{i} c_{i-1}^{k} \sin \left(\frac{k+i}{2} \pi\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and
\[

\beta_{k}= $$
\begin{cases}\frac{1-\frac{2}{\pi}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{i} \pi^{2 i}}{\pi^{2 n}}, & k=n  \tag{6}\\ \alpha_{k}, & 1 \leq k<n\end{cases}
$$
\]

with

$$
c_{i}^{k}= \begin{cases}(i+k-1) c_{i-1}^{k-1}+c_{i}^{k-1}, & 0<i \leq k  \tag{7}\\ 1, & i=0\end{cases}
$$

in (4) are the best possible.
In [26], as a generalization of Jordan's inequality (1), the following sharp inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \tau^{2}}\left[(1+\lambda)\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}-\cos \theta\right)\right.-\theta \sin \theta]\left(1-\frac{x^{\tau}}{\theta^{\tau}}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}-\cos \theta\right)\left(1-\frac{x^{\lambda}}{\theta^{\lambda}}\right) \\
& \leq\left[1-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}-\frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}-\cos \theta\right)\right]\left(1-\frac{x^{\tau}}{\theta^{\tau}}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

was obtained for $0<x \leq \theta \in\left(0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \tau \geq 2$ and $\tau \leq \lambda \leq 2 \tau$. The equalities in (8) holds if and only if $x=\theta$. The coefficients of the term $\left(1-\frac{x^{\tau}}{\theta^{\tau}}\right)^{2}$ are the best possible. If $1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{5}{3}$ and either $\lambda \neq 0$ or $\lambda \geq 2 \tau$ then inequality (8) is reversed. Specially, when $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$, inequality (8) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 \lambda+4-\pi^{2}}{4 \tau^{2} \pi^{2 \tau+1}}\left(\pi^{\tau}-2^{\tau} x^{\tau}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{2}{\pi}-\frac{2}{\lambda \pi^{\lambda+1}} & \left(\pi^{\lambda}-2^{\lambda} x^{\lambda}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda \pi-2 \lambda-2}{\lambda \pi^{2 \tau+1}}\left(\pi^{\tau}-2^{\tau} x^{\tau}\right)^{2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \tau \geq 2$ and $\tau \leq \lambda \leq 2 \tau$. If $1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{5}{3}$ and either $\lambda \neq 0$ or $\lambda \geq 2 \tau$ then inequality (9) is reversed. If taking $(\tau, \lambda)=(2,2)$ in (9), then inequality (3) can be deduced.

For recent developments of the refinements, generalizations and applications of Jordan's inequality, please refer to the expository and summary article (15.

The first aim of this paper is to generalize inequalities (4) and (8). One of the main results of this paper is the following Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. For $0<x \leq \theta<\pi, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \geq 2$, inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}\left(\theta^{t}-x^{t}\right)^{k} \leq \frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_{k}\left(\theta^{t}-x^{t}\right)^{k} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with the equalities if and only if $x=\theta$, where the constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!t^{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i-1}^{k} \theta^{k-i-k t} \sin \left(\theta+\frac{k+i-1}{2} \pi\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\omega_{k}= \begin{cases}\frac{1-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_{i} \theta^{t i}}{\theta^{t n}}, & k=n  \tag{12}\\ \mu_{k}, & 1 \leq k<n\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
a_{i}^{k}= \begin{cases}a_{i}^{k-1}+[i+(k-1)(t-1)] a_{i-1}^{k-1}, & 0<i \leq k  \tag{13}\\ 1, & i=0 \\ 0, & i>k\end{cases}
$$

in (10) are the best possible.
Remark 1. Taking $t=2$ in (10) yields inequality (4). Letting $n=2$ in (10) leads to (8) for $\lambda=\tau=2$.

The second aim of this paper is to apply Theorem 1 to refine L. Yang's inequality [27] as follows.
Theorem 2. Let $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1,0<x \leq \theta<\pi$, $t \geq 2$ and $A_{i}>0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \leq \pi$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m}(n, \lambda) \leq H(n, \lambda) \leq R_{m}(n, \lambda) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{m}(n, \lambda) & =\binom{n}{2} \lambda^{2} \pi^{2}\left[\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} 2^{-k t} \mu_{k}\left(2^{t} \theta^{t}-\lambda^{t} \pi^{t}\right)^{k}\right]^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right)  \tag{15}\\
H(n, \lambda) & =(n-1) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \cos ^{2}\left(\lambda A_{k}\right)-2 \cos (\lambda \pi) \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \cos \left(\lambda A_{i}\right) \cos \left(\lambda A_{j}\right)  \tag{16}\\
R_{m}(n, \lambda) & =\binom{n}{2} \lambda^{2} \pi^{2}\left[\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} 2^{-k t} \omega_{k}\left(2^{t} \theta^{t}-\lambda^{t} \pi^{t}\right)^{k}\right]^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mu_{k}$ and $\omega_{k}$ are defined by (11).

## 2. LEMMAS

To prove our main results, the following lemmas are necessary.
Lemma 1. For $x>0$, let $u_{0}(x)=\frac{\sin x}{x}$ and $u_{k}(x)=\frac{u_{k-1}^{\prime}(x)}{x^{r}}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{k}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{a_{i-1}^{k} \sin \left(x+\frac{i+k-1}{2} \pi\right)}{x^{k r+i}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}^{k}$ is defined by (13).
Proof. It is apparent that $u_{1}(x)=x^{-r}\left(\frac{\sin x}{x}\right)^{\prime}=x^{-1-r} \cos x-x^{-2-r} \sin x$, which tells us that formula (18) is valid for $k=1$.

Now assume formula (18) holds for some given $k>1$. Direct computation by using (13) gives

$$
u_{k+1}=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i-1}^{k}\left[\frac{1}{x^{k r+i+r}} \cos \left(x+\frac{k+i-1}{2} \pi\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\frac{1}{x^{k r+i+r+1}} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i-1}{2} \pi\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{a_{0}^{k}}{x^{k r+r+1}} \cos \left(x+\frac{k}{2} \pi\right)-\frac{(k r+k+1) a_{k}^{k}}{x^{k r+r+k+2}} \sin (x+k \pi) \\
& -\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{i}^{k}(k r+1+i)+a_{i+1}^{k}}{x^{k r+r+i+2}} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i}{2} \pi\right) \\
= & \frac{a_{0}^{k+1}}{x^{k r+r+1}} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+1}{2} \pi\right)+\frac{a_{k+1}^{k+1}}{x^{k r+r+k+2}} \sin [x+(k+1) \pi] \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{a_{i+1}^{k+1}}{x^{k r+r+i+2}} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i+2}{2} \pi\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} \frac{a_{i-1}^{k+1}}{x^{k r+i+r}} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i}{2} \pi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By mathematical induction, Lemma 1 is proved.
Lemma 2. For $x>0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $v_{1}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{i-1}^{k} x^{k-i+1} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i-1}{2} \pi\right)$ and $v_{j+1}(x)=\frac{1}{x} v_{j}^{\prime}(x)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{j}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{k-j+1} b_{i}^{j} x^{k-i-j+1} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i+j-1}{2} \pi\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $b_{i}^{1}=a_{i}^{k}, b_{0}^{j}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}^{j}=b_{i}^{j-1}-(k-i-j+3) b_{i-1}^{j-1}, \quad 0<i \leq k-j+1, \quad j>1 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. When $j=1$, formula (19) is valid clearly.
By induction, suppose that formula (19) holds for some $j>1$. Since $k-j+1>$ $k-(j+1)+1$, it deduced from (20) that $b_{k-j+1}^{j+1}=b_{k-j+1}^{j}-b_{k-j}^{j}=0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{j+1}(x)=\frac{1}{x}\left\{\sum _ { i = 0 } ^ { k - j } b _ { i } ^ { j } \left[(k-i-j+1) x^{k-i-j} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i+j-1}{2} \pi\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+x^{k-i-j+1} \cos \left(x+\frac{k+i+j-1}{2} \pi\right)\right]+b_{k-j+1}^{j} \cos (x+k \pi)\right\} \\
& =b_{0}^{j} x^{k-j} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+j}{2} \pi\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1}\left[b_{i+1}^{j}-(k-i-j+1) b_{i}^{j}\right] x^{k-i-j+1} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i+j+1}{2} \pi\right) \\
& = \\
& b_{0}^{j} x^{k-j} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+j}{2} \pi\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} b_{i+1}^{j+1} x^{k-i-j+1} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i+j+1}{2} \pi\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{k-j} b_{i}^{j+1} x^{k-i-j} \sin \left(x+\frac{k+i+j}{2} \pi\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By mathematical induction, formula (19) is proved.

Lemma 3 ([3]). Let $f$ and $g$ be continuous on $[a, b]$ and differentiable in $(a, b)$ such that $g^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ in $(a, b)$. If $\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}$ is increasing (or decreasing) in $(a, b)$, then the functions $\frac{f(x)-f(b)}{g(x)-g(b)}$ and $\frac{f(x)-f(a)}{g(x)-g(a)}$ are also increasing (or decreasing) in ( $a, b$ ).
Lemma 4. Let $0<x \leq \theta<\pi$ and $t \geq 2$, then inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta^{1+t}}-\frac{\cos \theta}{\theta^{t}}\right)\left(\theta^{t}-x^{t}\right) \leq \frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{t}}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta^{1+t}}\right)\left(\theta^{t}-x^{t}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds with the equalities if and only if $x=\theta$, where the constants

$$
\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta^{1+t}}-\frac{\cos \theta}{\theta^{t}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{t}}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta^{1+t}}\right)
$$

are the best possible.
Proof. Let $f(x)=\frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}, g(x)=\theta^{t}-x^{t}, f_{1}(x)=x \cos x-\sin x$ and $g_{1}(x)=$ $-t x^{1+t}$. Then

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\frac{f(x)-f(0)}{g(x)-g(0)}, \quad \frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{f_{1}(x)-f_{1}(0)}{g_{1}(x)-g_{1}(0)}, \quad \frac{f_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{g_{1}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{\sin x}{t(1+t) x^{t}}
$$

Since $\frac{\sin x}{x^{t}}$ is decreasing in $(0, \pi]$, then $\frac{f_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{g_{1}^{\prime}(x)}$ is decreasing, and then, in virtue of Lemma 3, the function $\frac{f^{\prime}(x)}{g^{\prime}(x)}$ is decreasing, further $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ is decreasing in $(0, \pi]$, thus,

$$
\frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta^{1+t}}-\frac{\cos \theta}{\theta^{t}}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \theta^{-}} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\frac{1}{\theta^{t}}\left(1-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}\right)
$$

and the two constants are the best possible.

## 3. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. If $n=1$, inequality (10) becomes (21) in Lemma 4 .
For $n \geq 2$, let $t=r+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(x)=\frac{\sin x}{x}-\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_{k}\left(\theta^{r+1}-x^{r+1}\right)^{k}, \quad \psi(x)=\left(\theta^{r+1}-x^{r+1}\right)^{n} \\
& \varphi_{1}(x)=\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^{r}}, \quad \varphi_{i+1}(x)=\frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{x^{r}}, \quad \psi_{1}(x)=\frac{\psi^{\prime}(x)}{x^{r}}, \quad \psi_{i+1}(x)=\frac{\psi_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{x^{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then for $1 \leq k \leq n-2$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{k}(x)=u_{k}(x)-[-(r+1)]^{k} k!\mu_{k}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-k-1} \frac{(i+k)!}{i!} \mu_{i+k}\left(\theta^{1+r}-x^{1+r}\right)^{i} \\
\varphi_{n-1}(x)=u_{n-1}(x)-(n-1)![-(r+1)]^{n-1} \mu_{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{n}(x)=u_{n}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $u_{k}(x)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ is defined by (18).
In view of (18), it is deduced that $[-(1+r)]^{k} k!\mu_{k}=u_{k}(\theta)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, hence $\varphi_{i}(\theta)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. A simple calculation gives $\psi_{i}(x)=[-(1+r)]^{i} \prod_{\ell=0}^{i-1}(n-$ $\ell)\left(\theta^{r+1}-x^{r+1}\right)^{n-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, consequently $\psi_{i}(\theta)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. As a result, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)} & =\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(\theta)}{\psi(x)-\psi(\theta)}, & \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x)}{\psi^{\prime}(x)} & =\frac{\varphi_{1}(x)-\varphi_{1}(\theta)}{\psi_{1}(x)-\psi_{1}(\theta)} \\
\frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{\psi_{i}^{\prime}(x)} & =\frac{\varphi_{i+1}(x)-\varphi_{i+1}(\theta)}{\psi_{i+1}(x)-\psi_{i+1}(\theta)}, & \frac{\varphi_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)}{\psi_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)} & =\frac{\varphi_{n}(x)}{\psi_{n}(x)}=\frac{u_{n}(x)}{n![-(r+1)]^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $h_{1}(x)=x^{n r+n+1}$ and $h_{i+1}(x)=\frac{1}{x} h_{i}^{\prime}(x)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it is easy to see that $h_{i+1}(x)=\prod_{\ell=1}^{i}(n r+n-2 \ell+3) x^{n r+n-2 i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Utilization of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 leads to

$$
\frac{\varphi_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)}{\psi_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_{i-1}^{n} x^{n-i+1} \sin \left(x+\frac{n+i-1}{2} \pi\right)}{n![-(1+r)]^{n} x^{r n+n+1}}=\frac{v_{1}(x)}{n![-(1+r)]^{n} h_{1}(x)}
$$

and, since $v_{i}(0)=h_{i}(0)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{v_{1}(x)}{h_{1}(x)}=\frac{v_{1}(x)-v_{1}(0)}{h_{1}(x)-h_{1}(0)}, \quad \frac{v_{j}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{j}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{v_{j+1}(x)-v_{j+1}(0)}{h_{j+1}(x)-h_{j+1}(0)}, \\
\frac{v_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{n}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{v_{n+1}(x)-v_{n+1}(0)}{h_{n+1}(x)-h_{n+1}(0)}=\frac{(-1)^{n} \sin x}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{i}(n r+n-2 \ell+3) x^{n r-n+1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Since $\frac{\sin x}{x}$ and $x^{-n(r-1)}$ is decreasing on $(0, \pi)$, then the function $\frac{\sin x}{x^{n r-n+1}}$ is decreasing and $\frac{(-1)^{n} v_{n}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{n}^{\prime}(x)}$ is deceasing. Accordingly, from Lemma 3, it follows that the functions $\frac{(-1)^{n} v_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{i}^{\prime}(x)}$ and $\frac{(-1)^{n} v_{i-1}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{i-1}^{\prime}(x)}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ are decreasing. Thus, the functions $\frac{(-1)^{n} v_{1}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{1}^{\prime}(x)}$ and $\frac{(-1)^{n} v_{1}(x)}{h_{1}(x)}$ are decreasing, and then $\frac{\varphi_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)}{\psi_{n-1}^{\prime}(x)}$ is decreasing in $(0, \pi)$. Utilizing Lemma 3 again reveals that the functions $\frac{\varphi_{j}^{\prime}(x)}{\psi_{j}^{\prime}(x)}$ and $\frac{\varphi_{j-1}^{\prime}(x)}{\psi_{j-1}^{\prime}(x)}$ for $2 \leq j \leq n-1$ are decreasing, which implies the deceasingly monotonicity of $\frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)}$ in $(0, \pi)$. By L'Hôspital's rule, it is easy to deduce that $\lim _{x \rightarrow \theta-} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \theta-} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(x)}{\psi^{\prime}(x)}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \theta-} \frac{\varphi_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{\psi_{i}^{\prime}(x)}=\frac{u_{n}(\theta)}{n![-(1+r)]^{n}}=\mu_{n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)}=\omega_{n}$, which implies $\mu_{n} \leq \frac{\varphi(x)}{\psi(x)} \leq \omega_{n}$ and the constants $\mu_{k}$ and $\omega_{k}$ are the best possible.

By the mathematical induction, inequality (10) is proved.
Proof of Theorem [2. It was proved in [29] and [30, (2.13)] that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sin ^{2}(\lambda \pi) \leq \cos ^{2}\left(\lambda A_{i}\right)+\cos ^{2}\left(\lambda A_{j}\right)-2 \cos \left(\lambda A_{i}\right) \cos \left(\lambda A_{j}\right) \cos (\lambda \pi) \\
& \triangleq H_{i j} \leq 4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing up (22) for $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{2} \sin ^{2}(\lambda \pi) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} H_{i j}=H(n, \lambda) \leq 4\binom{n}{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of inequality (10) in Theorem (1,

$$
\begin{align*}
4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right) & \leq \lambda^{2} \pi^{2}\left[\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} 2^{-k t} \omega_{k}\left(2^{t} \theta^{t}-\lambda^{t} \pi^{t}\right)^{k}\right]^{2}  \tag{24}\\
\sin ^{2}(\lambda \pi) & =4 \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right) \\
& \geq \lambda^{2} \pi^{2}\left[\frac{\sin \theta}{\theta}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} 2^{-k t} \mu_{k}\left(2^{t} \theta^{t}-\lambda^{t} \pi^{t}\right)^{k}\right]^{2} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \pi\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (24) and (25) into (23) leads to (14). The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
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