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#### Abstract

In this paper, the monotonicity and logarithmically convexity of the function $\frac{e^{-\alpha t}-e^{-\beta t}}{1-e^{-t}}$ are obtained, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real numbers such that $\alpha \neq \beta,(\alpha, \beta) \neq(0,1)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \neq(1,0)$.


## 1. Introduction

For real numbers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\alpha \neq \beta,(\alpha, \beta) \neq(0,1)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \neq(1,0)$ and for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$
q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{e^{-\alpha t}-e^{-\beta t}}{1-e^{-t}}, & t \neq 0  \tag{1}\\ \beta-\alpha, & t=0\end{cases}
$$

In order to obtain the best bounds in Gautschi-Kershaw's inequalities, it was proved in [9] that the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is logarithmically convex in $(0, \infty)$ and logarithmically concave in $(-\infty, 0)$ if $\beta-\alpha>1$ and is logarithmically concave in $(0, \infty)$ and logarithmically convex in $(-\infty, 0)$ if $0<\beta-\alpha<1$.

When ones study the logarithmically completely monotonic property of some functions involving Euler's gamma $\Gamma$ function, the psi function $\psi$ and the polygamma functions $\psi^{(i)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the elementary function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is encountered now and then. The so-called logarithmically completely monotonic function on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a positive function $f$ which has derivatives of all orders on $I$ and whose logarithm $\ln f$ satisfies $0 \leq(-1)^{k}[\ln f(x)]^{(k)}<\infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ on $I$. The set of the logarithmically completely monotonic functions on $I$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}[I]$. For more information on the class $\mathcal{L}[I]$, please refer to $[1,2,3,5,6,7,8,9]$ and the references therein.

The first aim of this paper is to research the monotonicity of the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$. The first main result of ours is the following Theorem 1 or Corollary 1.

Theorem 1. The following conclusions present the monotonic properties of $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$.
(1) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$ if either $1 \geq \alpha+\beta>2 \alpha+1$ or $1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \alpha<\alpha+\beta+1$ holds.
(2) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ if either $1 \geq \alpha+\beta>2 \beta+1$ or $1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \beta<\alpha+\beta+1$ is valid.

[^0](3) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$ if either $2 \alpha>\alpha+\beta+1 \geq 2$ or $\alpha+\beta<2 \beta<\alpha+\beta+1 \leq 2$ validates.
(4) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$ if either $2 \beta>\alpha+\beta+1 \geq 2$ or $\alpha+\beta<2 \alpha<\alpha+\beta+1 \leq 2$ sounds.
(5) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(a) $\alpha=\beta+1>1$,
(b) $\alpha>\beta+1 \geq 1$,
(c) $\beta=\alpha+1<1$,
(d) $1 \geq \beta>\alpha+1$,
(e) $\alpha<\beta<\alpha+1 \leq 1$,
(f) $\beta+1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \alpha<\alpha+\beta+1$.
(6) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(a) $\beta=\alpha+1>1$,
(b) $\beta>\alpha+1 \geq 1$,
(c) $\beta<\alpha<\beta+1 \leq 1$,
(d) $1>\alpha=\beta+1$,
(e) $1 \geq \alpha>\beta+1$,
(f) $\alpha+1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \beta<\alpha+\beta+1$.

Remark 1. The ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is monotonic in Theorem 1 can be described respectively by Figure 1 to Figure 6 below.


Figure 1. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$ in Theorem 1


Figure 2. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ in Theorem 1

Remark 2. Note that the ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing (or decreasing) in $(0, \infty)$ (or in $(-\infty, 0)$ ) is an union where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ increases (or decreases) in either $(0, \infty)$ (or $(-\infty, 0)$ ) or $(-\infty, \infty)$. Therefore, Theorem 1 can be restated as the following Corollary 1.

Corollary 1. The following conclusions describe the monotonic properties of $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$.
(1) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in$ $\{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha>\beta \geq 0, \alpha \geq 1\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha<\beta \leq 0\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha \leq$ $\beta-1,0 \leq \beta \leq 1\} \backslash\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$.
(2) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in$ $\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta>\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 1\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta<\alpha \leq 0\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta \leq$ $\alpha-1,0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\} \backslash\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$.
(3) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in\{(\alpha, \beta)$ : $\left.\alpha>\beta \geq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha \geq 1-\beta, 0 \leq \beta<\frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha+1 \leq \beta \leq$ $1-\alpha, \alpha<0\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta-1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq 0\} \backslash\{(1,0)\}$.
(4) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in\{(\alpha, \beta)$ : $\left.\beta \geq 1-\alpha, \frac{1}{2}>\alpha \geq 0\right\} \cup\left\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta>\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta<\alpha \leq 0\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta):$ $\beta \leq \alpha-1,0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): 1 \leq \alpha \leq 1-\beta\} \backslash\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$.
(5) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in\{(\alpha, \beta)$ : $1-\alpha \leq \beta<\alpha, \alpha \geq 1\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha<\beta \leq 1, \alpha \leq 0\} \cup\{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha<\beta \leq$ $\left.1-\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<\frac{1}{2}\right\} \backslash\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$.


Figure 3. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$ in Theorem 1
(6) The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta) \in\{(\alpha, \beta)$ : $1-\beta \leq \alpha<\beta, \beta \geq 1\} \cup\left\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta<\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\} \cup\left\{(\alpha, \beta): \beta \leq 1-\alpha, \frac{1}{2}<\alpha \leq\right.$ $1\} \backslash\{(1,0),(0,1)\}$.

Remark 3. The corresponding $(\alpha, \beta)$-domains where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is monotonic in Corollary 1 can be described respectively by Figure 5 to Figure 10 below.

The second aim of this paper is to reconsider the logarithmically convexity of the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ by a very simpler approach than that in [9]. The second main result of ours is the following Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. The function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$ is logarithmically convex if $\beta-\alpha>1$ and logarithmically concave if $0<\beta-\alpha<1$.

Remark 4. Theorem 2 shows that the logarithmically convexity and logarithmically concavity in the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ of $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ presented in [9] and mentioned at the beginning of this paper are wrong. However, this does not affect the correctness of the main results established in [9], since the wrong properties about $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ in the interval $(-\infty, 0)$ are unuseful there luckily.

Remark 5. Recall that a $r$-times differentiable function $f(x)>0$ is said to be $r$-logconvex (or $r$-log-concave) on an interval $I$ with $r \geq 2$ if and only if $[\ln f(x)]^{(r)}$ exists and $[\ln f(x)]^{(r)} \geq 0\left(\right.$ or $\left.[\ln f(x)]^{(r)} \leq 0\right)$ on $I$. In [4], the following conclusions are obtained: If $1>\beta-\alpha>0$, then $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is 3-log-convex in $(0, \infty)$ and 3-log-concave in $(-\infty, 0)$; if $\beta-\alpha>1$, then $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is 3-log-concave in $(0, \infty)$ and 3-log-convex in $(-\infty, 0)$.


Figure 4. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$ in Theorem 1

## 2. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. It is clear that the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=\frac{\sinh \frac{(\beta-\alpha) t}{2}}{\sinh \frac{t}{2}} \exp \frac{(1-\alpha-\beta) t}{2} \triangleq p_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha=\beta+1$, then $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=-e^{-\beta t}$ is increasing for $\beta>0$ and decreasing for $\beta<0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$. If $\alpha=\beta-1$, then $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=e^{-\alpha t}$ is decreasing for $\alpha>0$ and increasing for $\alpha<0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

For $|\alpha-\beta| \neq 1$, direct differentiation shows

$$
p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\sinh ((\beta-\alpha) t)}{\sinh t} e^{(1-\alpha-\beta) t} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=(\beta-\alpha) \operatorname{coth}((\beta-\alpha) t)-\operatorname{coth} t-\alpha-\beta+1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)=\left(\frac{1}{\sinh t}\right)^{2}-\left[\frac{\beta-\alpha}{\sinh ((\beta-\alpha) t)}\right]^{2} \\
&=\frac{1}{t^{2}}\left\{\left(\frac{t}{\sinh t}\right)^{2}-\left[\frac{(\beta-\alpha) t}{\sinh ((\beta-\alpha) t)}\right]^{2}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$ in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

Since $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)=\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(-t)$ and the function $\frac{t}{\sinh t}>0$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ and increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$, then $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $|\alpha-\beta|>1$ and $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $0<|\alpha-\beta|<1$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$. This means that the function $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing for $|\alpha-\beta|>1$ and decreasing for $0<|\alpha-\beta|<1$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$. It is not difficult to obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=2-\alpha-\beta-|\alpha-\beta|, \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=1-\alpha-\beta$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=|\alpha-\beta|-\alpha-\beta$.

1. If $\beta>\alpha+1$, then $\beta-\alpha>0,|\alpha-\beta|>1, \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=2(1-\beta)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=-2 \alpha$. Further, if $\alpha \geq 0$, then $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Therefore, for $\beta>\alpha+1 \geq 1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If $\beta>\alpha+1$ and $\beta \leq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \geq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Hence, for $1 \geq \beta>\alpha+1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If $\beta>\alpha+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \leq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \geq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(0, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, for $2 \alpha+1<\alpha+\beta \leq 1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$.

If $\beta>\alpha+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \geq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \leq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(-\infty, 0)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$. Therefore, for $2 \beta>\alpha+\beta+1 \geq 2$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$.
2. If $\alpha<\beta<\alpha+1$, then $\beta-\alpha>0$ and $|\alpha-\beta|<1$. Further, if $\alpha \leq 0$, then $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Accordingly, for $\alpha<\beta<\alpha+1 \leq 1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.


Figure 6. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$ in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

If $\alpha<\beta<\alpha+1$ and $\beta \geq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \leq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Therefore, for $\alpha+1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \beta<\alpha+\beta+1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If $\alpha<\beta<\alpha+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \leq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \geq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(-\infty, 0)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$. As a result, for $\alpha+\beta<2 \beta<\alpha+\beta+1 \leq 2$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$.

If $\alpha<\beta<\alpha+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \geq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \leq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(0, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, for $1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \beta<\alpha+\beta+1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$.
3. If $\alpha>\beta+1$, then $\beta-\alpha<0,|\alpha-\beta|>1, \lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=2(1-\alpha)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=-2 \beta$. Further, if $\beta \geq 0$, then $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Therefore, for $\alpha>\beta+1 \geq 1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.


Figure 7. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$ in Theorem 1

If $\alpha>\beta+1$ and $\alpha \leq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \geq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Hence, for $1 \geq \alpha>\beta+1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If $\alpha>\beta+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \leq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \geq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(0, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(0, \infty)$. Accordingly, for $1 \geq \alpha+\beta>2 \beta+1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$.

If $\alpha>\beta+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \geq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \leq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(-\infty, 0)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$. Hence, for $2 \alpha>\alpha+\beta+1 \geq 2$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, 0)$.
4. If $\beta<\alpha<\beta+1$, then $\beta-\alpha<0$ and $|\alpha-\beta|<1$. Further, if $\beta \leq 0$, then $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Therefore, for $\beta<\alpha<\beta+1 \leq 1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If $\beta<\alpha<\beta+1$ and $\alpha \geq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \leq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Accordingly, for $\beta+1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \alpha<\alpha+\beta+1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(-\infty, \infty)$.

If $\beta<\alpha<\beta+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \leq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \geq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)>0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $(-\infty, 0)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$. Consequently, for $\alpha+\beta<2 \alpha<\alpha+\beta+1 \leq 2$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(-\infty, 0)$.

If $\beta<\alpha<\beta+1$ and $\alpha+\beta \geq 1$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t) \leq 0, \varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)<0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(0, \infty)$, and then $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ are increasing in $(0, \infty)$. As a


Figure 8. $(\alpha, \beta)$-domain where the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is decreasing in $(0, \infty)$ in Theorem 1
result, for $1 \leq \alpha+\beta<2 \alpha<\alpha+\beta+1$, the function $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is increasing in $(0, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. For $\beta>\alpha$, the functions $q_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$, related by (2), are positive. Taking logarithm of $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ and differentiating yields
$\ln p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=\ln \sinh ((\beta-\alpha) t)-\ln \sinh t+(1-\alpha-\beta) t$,

$$
\left[\ln p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)\right]^{\prime}=(\beta-\alpha) \operatorname{coth}((\beta-\alpha) t)-\operatorname{coth} t-\alpha-\beta+1=\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t),
$$

where $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}(t)$ is defined by (3).
By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1 on page 5 , it is easy to see that $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)=\left[\ln p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)\right]^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ for $\beta-\alpha>1$ and $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}(t)=\left[p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)\right]^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$ for $0<\beta-\alpha<1$ in $(-\infty, \infty)$. This means that the function $p_{\alpha, \beta}(t)=q_{\alpha, \beta}(2 t)$ is logarithmically convex for $\beta-\alpha>1$ and logarithmically concave for $0<\beta-\alpha<1$ in the whole axis $(-\infty, \infty)$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
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