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#### Abstract

In this note, we generalize the concept of multiplication table by connecting with lattice points. Then we introduce and proof a generalization of Erdös multiplication table theorem.
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Consider the following $n \times n$ Multiplication Table (we call after this $\mathrm{MT}_{n \times n}$ ):

| 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | $\cdots$ | $2 n$ |
| 3 | 6 | 9 | $\cdots$ | $3 n$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $n$ | $2 n$ | $3 n$ | $\cdots$ | $n^{2}$ |

One of the wonderful results about $\mathrm{MT}_{n \times n}$ is the following theorem [2]:
Erdös Multiplication Table Theorem. Suppose $M(n)=\#\{i j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(n)}{n^{2}}=0
$$

In fact $M(n)$ is the number of distinct numbers that you can find in $\mathrm{MT}_{n \times n}$. Asymptotic behavior of $M(n)$ is an open problem! The following table include some computational results about $M(n)$ by Maple software.

| $n$ | $M(n)$ | $\frac{M(n)}{n^{2}} \approx$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 42 | 0.4200000000 |
| 50 | 800 | 0.3200000000 |
| 100 | 2906 | 0.2906000000 |
| 200 | 11131 | 0.2782750000 |
| 1000 | 248083 | 0.2480830000 |
| 2000 | 959759 | 0.2399397500 |
| 2500 | 1483965 | 0.2374344000 |
| 3000 | 2121063 | 0.2356736667 |
| 4000 | 3723723 | 0.2327326875 |

It is shown that [1] there is some constant $c>0$ such that

$$
M(n)=O\left(\frac{n^{2}}{\log ^{c} n}\right)
$$

Now, consider lattice points on a quarter of plan;

$$
L_{2}(n):=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}: 1 \leq a, b \leq n\right\} .
$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{MT}_{n \times n}$ is generated by multiplying point's entries in $L_{2}(n)$. This idea is generalizable! Consider the following lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ :

$$
L_{k}(n):=\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k}: 1 \leq a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k} \leq n\right\} .
$$

Generalized Multiplication Table. A $k$-dimensional multiplication table, denoted by $\mathrm{MT}_{n \times n}^{k}$, is a $k$-dimensional array of $n^{k}$ numbers in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ in which every number generated by multiplying entries of corresponding lattice point in $L_{k}(n)$.

Theorem 1 Suppose

$$
M_{k}(n)=\#\left\{a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{k}: a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k} \leq n\right\}
$$

Then we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{k}(n)}{n^{k}}=0
$$

and more precisely, there is some constant $c>0$ such that

$$
M_{k}(n)=O\left(\frac{n^{k}}{\log ^{c} n}\right) .
$$

Proof: According to the definition of $M_{k}(n)$, we have

$$
M_{k+1}(n)<n M_{k}(n)
$$

Considering this fact with Erdös's result and with Linnik-Vinogradov's result yield the results of theorem, respectively.

We end this short note with the following table inclosing the values of $M_{k}(n)$ for some $k$ and $n$. For generating this table we used the following kind of program in Maple (for example for computing $M_{3}(100)$ here):
with(stats):
$\mathrm{n}:=10$ :
$M[3](n):=\operatorname{describe}[$ count $](\operatorname{convert(seq(seq(seq(i*j*k,i=1..n),j=1..n),k=1..n),'list'));~}$

| $n$ | $M_{2}(n)$ | $M_{3}(n)$ | $M_{4}(n)$ | $M_{5}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 42 | 120 | 275 | 546 |
| 20 | 152 | 732 | 2670 | 8052 |
| 30 | 308 | 1909 | 8679 | 31856 |
| 40 | 517 | 3919 | 21346 | OCCOC $^{\star}$ |
| 50 | 800 | 7431 | 49076 | OCCOC $^{\star}$ |

*Out of our computer's computational capacity!
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