1	Project update submitted to Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union:					
2						
3	Developing a new benchmark to test coupled landslide-tsunami models at volcanic					
4	islands					
5						
6	Aaron Micallef <sup>1</sup> , Sebastian F.L. Watt <sup>2,</sup> Christian Berndt <sup>3</sup> *, Morelia Urlaub <sup>3</sup> , Sascha Brune <sup>4</sup>					
7	Ingo Klaucke <sup>3</sup> , Christoph Böttner <sup>3</sup> , Jens Karstens <sup>3</sup> , Judith Elger <sup>3</sup>					
8						
9	<sup>1</sup> Marine Geology & Seafloor Surveying group, University of Malta, Msida, Malta.					
10	<sup>2</sup> School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham,					
11	Birmingham B15 2TT, U.K.					
12	<sup>3</sup> GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, 24148, Kiel, Germany.					
13	<sup>4</sup> GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, 14473 Potsdam, Germany.					
14						
15	*corresponding author					
16	E-mail: <u>cberndt@geomar.de</u> ; Phone: +49-431-600-2273; Fax: +49-431-600-2922.					
17						

18 Volcanic islands are the source of some of the world's largest landslides and have the 19 potential to generate large tsunamis. The magnitude of these tsunamis has been widely 20 debated, but much uncertainty remains over both landslide dynamics and the capacity of the 21 resultant tsunami to maintain damaging dimensions on ocean-basin scales. Recent tsunami 22 models span an order of magnitude in their predictions of far-field wave heights for the La 23 Palma collapse scenario. Resolving discrepancies in our understanding of landslide and 24 tsunami processes requires a field dataset where both landslide and tsunami observations can 25 be used to test current models. The event that best meets these criteria is the sector collapse of 26 Ritter Island, Papua New Guinea, in 1888, which generated a tsunami that devastated 27 shorelines to distances of up to 600 km (Day et al., 2015). Importantly, there are eyewitness 28 observations of the tsunami height, arrival time and frequency at a range of locations around the Bismarck Sea (Day et al., 2015). The event can thus be used as a benchmark for testing 29 30 models of landslide-generated tsunamis, if the volume, distribution and dynamics of the 31 landslide mass can be reconstructed. A recent research expedition of the German RV SONNE 32 collected new geophysical data over the Ritter Island landslide deposit. These data, alongside 33 a range of direct observations and samples, will be used to generate a detailed interpretation 34 of the Ritter Island landslide, and thus meet the aim of providing a field dataset for testing 35 coupled landslide-tsunami models.

36

## 37 *Geological setting*

38

Ritter Island is located in the Bismarck Sea about 80 km north of New Guinea and some 20 km off the western end of New Britain. Situated between the islands of Umboi and Sakar (Figure 1), it forms part of the Bismarck Volcanic Arc, which results from the northward subduction of the Solomon Plate underneath the Bismarck Plate (Baldwin et al., 2012). Today

43 Ritter Island is a narrow crescent-shaped island, around 1.2 km long and 200 m wide, 44 reaching an elevation of approximately 140 m above sea level. It is the remnant of a larger, 45 steep-sided conical island that was around 750 m high before it collapsed in 1888 (Day et al., 2015). During the 19<sup>th</sup> century, Ritter Island was known among navigators in the region as a 46 47 highly active volcano, characterized by frequent Strombolian activity (Johnson, 2013). There 48 is evidence for several submarine eruptions since 1888 that have constructed a cone with a 49 current summit around 200 m beneath sea level. The subaerial remnant of the island is 50 dominated by interbedded sequences of basaltic scoria and thin lava flows that is consistent 51 with low-level Strombolian activity.

52

53 The 1888 collapse of Ritter Island, which had a primary volume around twice that of Mount 54 St Helens landslide in 1980, is the largest historically recorded volcanic sector collapse. 55 Contemporary observations of the tsunami triggered by this event suggest a single wave train 56 that is consistent with one main phase of landslide movement and tsunami generation (Day et 57 al., 2015). The landslide deposit is young enough to be preserved at the seafloor without 58 significant overlying sedimentary cover, so that the primary morphology of the mass 59 transport deposit can be examined today and used to understand the emplacement dynamics 60 of a large volcanic-island landslide. Volcanic-island landslides with volumes of one to ten 61 cubic kilometers, such as Ritter Island and the 1741 collapse of Oshima-Oshima, Japan, have 62 a global recurrence interval of 100-200 years (Day et al., 2015). A similar event is likely to 63 occur in the next 100 years, in contrast to the extremely large ocean island collapses (e.g. 64 Canary Islands, Lesser Antilles) that have recurrence intervals of tens of thousands of years 65 or more.

66

## 67 SO-252 oceanographic expedition

3

69 During a 6-week long expedition in November/December 2016, we mapped the Ritter Island 70 collapse scar and deposit using hull-mounted multibeam systems, which gave high-resolution 71 bathymetry (Figure 1) and acoustic backscatter data. A Parasound sub-bottom profiler with 72 10 cm resolution, as well as 2D multichannel seismic data and P-Cable 3D reflection seismic 73 data, were collected to image the collapse deposit with 5 m vertical and horizontal resolution 74 (Figure 1). Additional observations and samples collected across the deposit and island 75 flanks, using towed video cameras and grabs, provide ground-truthing of the geophysical data 76 and allow a detailed interpretation of landslide emplacement processes.

77

78 The acquired data show the three-dimensional structure of the Ritter Island landslide deposit, 79 and enable reconstruction of the kinematics of the emplacement process. The new dataset will 80 be used to: (i) quantify the overall volume of the material that has been mobilized; (ii) 81 decipher the nature and extent of landslide disintegration; (iii) determine the location, 82 distribution and size of transported blocks; (iv) identify the nature and origin of different 83 regions of the landslide deposit; and (v) understand the relationship between landslides and 84 the eruption history of Ritter Island and surrounding volcanoes. These are key parameters for 85 determining the landslide failure and emplacement process and the dynamics of the 1888 86 tsunami. An initial assessment of the data indicates that the submarine flanks of Ritter Island 87 expose similar clastic sequences to those in the subaerial scar, with an increase in more 88 massive lava units in the lowermost part of the edifice. The landslide cuts deeply into the 89 island structure, and the scar exposures suggest an edifice that is dominated by poorly 90 indurated volcaniclastic sequences. The landslide mass bifurcated around a remnant block 91 and dispersed within the channel between Umboi and Sakar (Figure 1), where it forms a 92 deposit that is relatively flat at the margins and with irregular channelization in the central

93 part. Parts of the landslide deposit travelled through a constriction between Umboi and Sakar 94 and incorporated underlying seafloor sediment. Landslide dynamics appear to be strongly 95 affected by minor changes in slope gradient. The deposition of the landslide entailed a 96 progressive, multi-phase, brittle to plastic failure that mobilized material over a considerable 97 distance, with incorporation of a major proportion of underlying seafloor sediment in the 98 distal deposit. Seismic profiles through the distal deposit indicate that the 1888 landslide was 99 only the latest of a series of large-volume volcanic landslides from the surrounding islands. 100 Some blocks piercing the seafloor are in fact rooted within older and much larger landslide 101 deposits. This information will provide the framework for coupled landslide-tsunami models 102 which are required to assess the destructive potential of sector collapse-related tsunamis.

103

## 104 Acknowledgments

105

This work reflects the joint effort of SO252's shipboard scientific party. We thank Simon Day, Eli Silver and Russell Perembo for sharing data and helping with the survey planning. We thank the master and crew of RV SONNE and our technicians for support during the cruise. Data collection was funded through the BMBF project Ritter Island 03G0252A. AM acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme (MARCAN, grant agreement n° 677898).

112

113	References
-----	------------

114

Baldwin, S.L., P.G. Fitzgerald, and L.E. Webb (2012), Tectonics of the New Guinea region, *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 40, 495520, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-040809-152540.

5

118	Day, S., P. Llane	es, E. Silver,	G. Hoffn	hann, S. Ward, a	and N. Driscol	11 (2015)	), Submarine	
119	landslide	deposits of the	he histori	ical lateral colla	apse of Ritter	Island,	Papua New	
120	Guinea,	Marine	and	Petroleum	Geology,	67,	419-438,	
121	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2015.05.017.							
122	Johnson, R. (2013), Fire Mountains of the Islands: A History of Volcanic Eruptions and							
123	Disaster Management in Papua New Guinea and the Solomon Islands, ANU Press.							