Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009 July ; 18(7): 1962–1970. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-09-0003.

Association of plasma micronutrient levels and urinary isoprostane with risk of lung cancer: The Multiethnic Cohort Study

Meira Epplein[1], **Adrian A. Franke**[1], **Robert V. Cooney**[1], **J. Steven Morris**[2], **Lynne R. Wilkens**[1], **Marc T. Goodman**[1], **Suzanne P. Murphy**[1], **Brian E. Henderson**[3], **Laurence N. Kolonel**[1], and **Loïc Le Marchand**[1]

[1]Cancer Research Center of Hawaii, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI

[2]Research Reactor Center, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO

[3]Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA

Abstract

While smoking is the primary risk factor for lung cancer, there is evidence to suggest that fruit and vegetable intake are important co-factors. The present case-control study, nested within the Multiethnic Cohort Study, examined the associations of biomarkers of fruit and vegetable intake (individual plasma micronutrient levels), serum selenium and a urinary biomarker for total lipid peroxidation with lung cancer risk. 207 incident cases were matched to 414 controls on age, sex, ethnicity, study location (Hawaii or California), smoking status, date/time of collection and hours of fasting. We measured prediagnositic circulating levels of individual tocopherols and carotenoids, retinol, and serum selenium, and urinary 15-isoprostane F2t. Conditional logistic regression was used to compute odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). For men, strong reductions in risk were seen with increasing tertiles of each plasma carotenoid, with the ORs for the third tertile, compared to the first tertile, ranging from 0.24 to 0.45 (p for trends: 0.002-0.04). No associations were found among women for carotenoids or among either sex for tocopherols, selenium and retinol. A doubling in risk was seen for men in the second and third tertiles, compared to the first tertile of urinary 15-isoprostane F2t (OR=2.31, 95% CI: 1.02-5.25 and OR=2.16, 95% CI: 0.98-4.78). This study supports the previously observed association between circulating carotenoids and lung cancer risk in men, and adds to the limited literature regarding urinary 15-isoprostane F2t as a marker of cancer risk. Future research examining the possible relationship between isoprostanes and lung cancer is warranted.

Keywords

antioxidant; biomarkers; tocopherol; carotenoid; selenium; retinol; isoprostane; lipid peroxidation; lung cancer

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer worldwide, and it is estimated that 215,000 new cases will arise in 2008 in the United States alone (1). While smoking is the primary risk factor for lung cancer, accounting for over 80% of all lung cancer cases, there is evidence to suggest that diet is an important co-factor (2). Because experimental work

Address reprint requests to: Meira Epplein PhD, Epidemiology Program, Cancer Research Center of Hawaii, University of Hawaii, 1236 Lauhala Street, Honolulu, HI 96813, mepplein@crch.hawaii.edu.

demonstrated a relationship between oxidation and carcinogenesis (3), antioxidants, such as carotenoids, tocopherols, and selenium, have been investigated for potential protective effects against lung cancer. According to the report produced by the World Cancer Research Fund in 2007, published evidence indicates that: fruit and foods containing carotenoids *probably* protect against lung cancer; and non-starchy vegetables, foods containing selenium or quercetin, and selenium supplements *may* protect against lung cancer (4). A recent systematic review of carotenoids and lung cancer risk found that the pooled relative risk of lung cancer comparing the highest with the lowest category of total dietary carotenoid intake was 0.79 (95% CI: 0.71, 0.87), whereas the pooled relative risk for circulating levels of carotenoids was even lower at 0.70, but not statistically significant (95% CI: 0.44, 1.11) (5). Pooled relative risks of several individual carotenoids in serum (α-carotene, β-carotene, β-cryptoxanthin, lutein-zeaxanthin, and lycopene) all suggested inverse associations, but only the association with lycopene was statistically significant (RR=0.71, 95% CI: 0.51, 0.98) (5).

While two large randomized supplementation trials have found that high dose of beta-carotene increases risk of lung cancer among high-risk individuals (6,7), higher baseline levels were seen to be protective, indicating that there is still a potential protective effect of carotenoids (8,9). In fact, the Supplémentation en Vitamines et Minéraux Antioxydants study, a French randomized prevention trial, found that when antioxidant supplements are given in nutritional doses (which are significantly lower than those given in the two trials mentioned above) to the general population (not high-risk individuals specifically), there is a suggested decrease in risk of all cancers in men only (10). (Results of the associations with individual cancers have not yet been published.)

Markers of oxidation itself have rarely been examined in epidemiologic studies. Isoprostane is one measure of “total lipid peroxidation,” as it is a compound produced when free radicals peroxidate arachidonic acid (11). In particular, nitric oxide radical appears to be a significant mediator of isoprostane formation (12) and isoprostane levels may be an important indicator of inflammation-induced nitrosation damage.

In the present study, we examined the association between antioxidant plant constituents and risk of lung cancer using individual circulating antioxidant biomarkers (specifically for tocopherols, carotenoids, and selenium), plasma retinol levels, as well as a urinary biomarker for total lipid peroxidation (15-isoprostane F_{2t}). This nested case-control study was conducted within the larger Multiethnic Cohort Study.

Methods

Study population

The Multiethnic Cohort Study, which recruited more than 215,000 individuals in Hawaii and Los Angeles, California from 1993-1996, has been described in detail previously (13). The study required that participants be aged 45-75 years in 1993, except for Native Hawaiians who were recruited at age 42 and older, and targeted the five racial/ethnic groups of African Americans, Caucasians, Japanese Americans, Latinos, and Native Hawaiians. Participants completed a 26-page baseline questionnaire that included a quantitative food frequency questionnaire, and questions on demographics, medical history and lifestyle. No biospecimens were collected at baseline.

The Biospecimen Subcohort

Cohort members were recruited by letter, and then by phone, to participate in a biospecimen subcohort. The biospecimen collection began in 1997, with the vast majority of biospecimens collected between 2001-2006. After agreeing to provide blood and urine, individuals were

interviewed by phone and were administered a short screening questionnaire and an update of a few items from the baseline questionnaire. Blood samples, 94% of which were fasting (8 hours or more), were drawn at a clinical laboratory or in the subjects' homes and were then kept refrigerated and protected from light until processing, which took place on average three hours after collection. After centrifugation and separation into serum, plasma, buffy coat, and red cells, blood components were stored in 0.5 cc cryovials in the vapor phase of liquid nitrogen. First-morning urine samples from Los Angeles and overnight urine samples from Hawaii were collected starting in 2001; spot urines were collected prior to that time, which were not included in the isoprostane analyses. The urine samples were aliquoted into 2 cc cryotubes, and stored in -80°C freezers. A total of 67,594 cohort members contributed to the biorepository, from which the cases and controls for this study were selected.

The baseline characteristics of individuals who provided specimens were compared with those who did not. While the responders on average had more education (13.6 years vs. 12.7 years), were less likely to be current smokers (13% vs. 17%), and were more likely to have a cancer family history (46% vs. 42%), they were similar to the non-responders on many other important exposures, including age, body mass index, percent energy from fat, consumption of vegetables, alcohol intake, and hours spent in moderate or vigorous activity per day. Thus, the participants in the biospecimen subcohort are broadly representative of all cohort members.

Selection of cases and controls

Linkage with the Hawaii and California tumor registries of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program of the National Cancer Institute allowed for identification of incident lung cancer cases among the cohort. Cases for this nested case-control study were individuals who had contributed blood to the biorepository subcohort prior to their diagnosis of lung cancer, and whose diagnoses was reported in the 2006 SEER tumor linkage.

Two controls for each case were randomly chosen from a pool of potential controls of individuals who contributed blood to the biorepository and were alive and free of lung cancer at the age of the case's diagnosis, and who matched the case on sex, race/ethnicity, location (Hawaii or California), year of birth (± 1 year), date (± 6 months) and time (± 2 hours) of blood draw, hours of fasting prior to that blood draw (0-<6, 6-<8, 8-<10, and 10+ hours), and smoking status (never, former, current).

Of the 219 eligible lung cancer cases and 438 eligible controls, 4 cases and 8 controls were missing information on pack-years, and thus they, and individuals matched to them, were dropped from the analysis. Thus the study population for the present study included 207 cases and 414 matched controls. The median time from blood draw to date of diagnosis for cases (or reference date for controls) was 1 year and 8 months, with 50% of subjects having follow-up time in the range of 9.5 months to 3 years.

Laboratory assays

Serum and plasma analyses—Plasma concentrations of tocopherols, retinoids, and carotenoids were determined by high-pressure liquid chromatography with photo diode array detection slightly modified from our earlier protocol (14,15). In brief we used 0.3 mL plasma followed by partitioning into hexane, drying, and redissolving in 0.15 mL of the HPLC mobile phase. 20 μL were injected onto a Spherex C18 analytical column (150mm × 3.2.mm, 3 μm; Phenomenex; Torrance, CA) coupled to a Spherex C18 pre-column (4mm × 3.0mm, 10 μm) using isocratic elution with a mobile phase of 665 mL methanol/218 mL dichloromethan/117 mL acetonitrile/ 2mL aq. bis-tris propane (0.5M pH 6.8) and containing 0.25g/L BHT at 0.3 mL/min. Carotenoids and tocopherols (α-, γ + β, δ-tocopherol) were quantitated by absorbance at 450 nm and 295 nm, respectively. β-tocopherol and γ-tocopherol were not separated and

quantitated together. Serum carotenoids are presented as individual compound concentrations plus as the addition of all individual compound concentrations ("total carotenoids"); combining them in molar units would show only very minor differences since the molecular weights are very similar.

The procedures and associated quality control practices for analyzing serum selenium level adjusted for sodium via neutron activation analysis have recently been described (16). After being individually placed in the top-center position of a "shuttle rabbit", each sample was irradiated for 7 seconds in the Row II position. Then, after a decay of 15 seconds, each sample was real-time counted for 30 seconds using a high-resolution gamma-ray spectrometer. The 161.9 keV gamma-ray from the decay of Se-77m was used to determine Se concentrations by standard comparison.

Urinary analyses—15-isoprostane F_{2t} was measured utilizing a competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit from Oxford Biomedical Research, Inc., Oxford, MI (Catalogue # EA85). Urine samples were thawed and mixed with 4 μL glucuronidase (250,000 units/mL), Oxford Biomedical Research, Inc, Oxford, MI (Catalogue # GL85) and incubated for two hours at 37 degrees Celsius and then centrifuged for 2 minutes at 2,000 rpm in a microfuge. Standards and samples (100 μl) are added in duplicate to 96 well plates, followed by addition of 100 μL of diluted F_{2t} HRP conjugate and incubated for two hours at room temperature. After washing to remove any unbound substances, 200 μL of substrate solution is added to each well and color allowed to develop proportionate to the amount of isoprostane present. The color development is stopped with the addition of 50 μL 3N H_2SO_4 and the microplate then read at 450 nm and also at 590 nm as a background control. Plots of log concentration versus absorbance for standards are prepared and concentrations of unknown samples extrapolated from the standard curve using a four parameter fit and adjusted for any dilution of urine and reported as pg/mL. After measurement of urinary creatinine, isoprostane is then calculated and reported as ng/mg creatinine.

The mean intra-assay coefficients of variation were 7.7% for α-tocopherol, 7.4% for β + γ-tocopherol, 7.8 % for total tocopherol, 5.7% for β-cryptoxanthin, 6.5% for β-carotene, 6.5% for lutein + zeaxanthin, 6.4% for lycopene, 4.7% for total carotenoids, 10.0% for retinol, 5.1% for selenium, and 11.9% for 15-isoprostane F2t.

Statistical analyses

To estimate the association between the biomarkers and lung cancer risk, we used conditional logistic regression models separately for men and women to compute odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs), where the matched case-controls sets were the strata. Biomarker variables were categorized into tertiles based on the sex-specific distribution of cases and controls combined and were represented in the models as two dummy variables (with the lowest tertile as the reference group). Linear dose-response in the logit of risk was tested by a Wald test for each biomarker modeled as a trend variable assigned the median value of the appropriate category. The category of total carotenoids was created to encompass plasma levels of the individual micronutrients of β-cryptoxanthin, α-carotene, β-carotene, lutein + zeaxanthin, and lycopene, as well as α-cryptoxanthin, anhydrolutein, and dihydro-lycopene.

The initial base models were adjusted for pack years of cigarette smoking (as a linear variable and a quadratic term) and for the matching variables of age at blood draw and hours of fasting prior to blood draw (as continuous variables to account for any variation within matched sets). Fully adjusted models were created to further adjust the risk estimates for years of schooling and family history of lung cancer. Body mass index, physical activity, and long-term supplement use were also considered as potential confounders, but did not materially affect the main associations. Models were also considered excluding the subset of subjects who were

diagnosed within one year after blood draw (68 cases and their 136 matched controls). We created models with separate terms for the biomarkers by smoking status (never versus ever), histologic type (adenocarinoma versus non-adenocarcinoma), and long-term supplement use (defined as use of vitamin A, vitamin, C, vitamin E, β-carotene, calcium, selenium, iron, or multivitamin supplements for > 1 year vs. no use or use for < 1 year) and examined effect modification using the likelihood ratio test. The sample size with the current follow-up was too small to allow for ethnic-specific analyses. Polytomous logistic regression and a Wald test was used was used to compare risks by histologic type.

Results

Overall, cases averaged a larger number of pack-years than controls (38 versus 20 for men, 27 versus 12 for women) and were somewhat less likely to be long-term supplement users than controls (44% versus 48% for men, 45% versus 51% for women), but were similar to controls in terms of physical activity and body mass index (Table 1). Male cases were more likely than controls to have an education level of high school or less (47% versus 37%) or to have a family history of lung cancer (13% versus 6%), whereas female cases were *less* likely than controls to have only a high school education (40% versus 47%) or to have a family history of lung cancer (4% versus 12%). In comparing analyte levels, male cases had lower levels of all measured carotenoids and higher levels of isoprostane than their matched controls, but were similar to their matched controls for tocopherols, retinol, and selenium (Table 2). Female cases were generally similar to their matched controls for all analytes.

For men, strong monotonic reductions in risk were seen with increasing tertiles of all plasma carotenoids, with the odds ratios for individuals in the third tertile, compared to those in the first tertile, ranging from 0.24 to 0.45 (multivariate adjusted p for trends as follows: 0.007 for β-cryptoxanthin; 0.002 for α-carotene; 0.004 for β-carotene; 0.04 for lutein + zeaxanthin; 0.007 for lycopene; and 0.003 for total carotenoids) (Table 3). A doubling in risk of lung cancer was seen for male cases in the second and third tertiles of urinary 15-isoprostane F_{2t} (OR=2.31, 95% CI: 1.02-5.25 and OR=2.16, 95% CI: 0.98-4.78) but the trend was not monotonic (p for trend=0.12). No associations were observed in males between lung cancer risk and circulating levels of tocopherols, retinol, or selenium. None of the associations among men substantially changed when cases diagnosed with lung cancer less than one year after blood draw were excluded.

For women, we observed no significant associations between lung cancer risk and circulating concentrations of tocopherols, carotenoids, retinol, or selenium, or with urinary 15-isoprostane F_{2t} (Table 4). The test for an interaction with sex was statistically significant for total plasma carotenoids (p = 0.002) but not significant for urinary levels of isoprostane (p = 0.23). When cases who were diagnosed less than one year after blood draw were excluded, there was a strong suggestion that women in the second and third tertiles of isoprostane had an almost 4-fold increase in risk of lung cancer, as compared to those in the first tertile. The resulting multivariate-adjusted ORs were 4.07 (95% CI: 0.93-17.8) and 3.78 (95% CI: 0.77-18.4), respectively.

As an exploratory analysis to attempt to understand the difference in the association of plasma carotenoids and lung cancer risk by sex, we stratified by histologic type (adenocarcinoma versus not adenocarcinoma) among all study subjects, as the female cases in our study were more likely to have adenocarinomas than the male cases (51% versus 39%, respectively) (see Table 5). In sex-adjusted analyses, we found that the trend of decreasing risk with increasing plasma levels of carotenoids was seen primarily in the non-adenocarcinoma group, and a Wald test found that the difference in the association with lung cancer risk by histologic type was significant for β-cryptoxanthin (p=0.03), β-carotene (p=0.05), and total carotenoids (p=0.007),

of borderline significance for α-carotene (p=0.08), and not significant for lutein + zeaxanthin (p=0.17) or lycopene (p=0.19) (data not shown). However, the differing histology distributions did not account for the difference in risk among men and women, as within each histologic group, there was still a significant interaction between sex and both α-carotene and β-carotene (p for interaction = 0.01 for both adenocarinomas and non-adenocarcinomas).

Stratification by smoking status (ever versus never) or by long term supplement use (> 1 year) did not provide evidence for effect modification (data not shown).

Discussion

In this nested case-control study, we found strong inverse associations between lung cancer risk and total plasma carotenoid levels, as well as individual levels of β-cryptoxanthin, α-carotene, β-carotene, lutein + zeaxanthin, and lycopene, for men only. We observed more than a doubling of risk of lung cancer for men with urinary isoprostane levels in the second or third tertiles, as compared to the first. We saw no associations of carotenoid or isoprostane levels with lung cancer risk in women, or with tocopherols, retinol, or selenium levels and lung cancer risk in either sex. When we stratified by histologic type, the inverse associations with carotenoids were stronger for lung cancers not classified as adenocarcinomas (i.e., squamous cell, large cell, small cell, and other) than for lung adenocarcinomas, but this still did not explain the difference in associations by sex. When cases diagnosed with lung cancer less than one year after blood draw were excluded, we found a strong suggestion of an increase in risk for women in the second and third tertiles of urinary isoprostane, similar to what we found in men, but the numbers for this analysis were small (30 cases) and the estimates not statistically significant.

In a recent meta-analysis of prospective studies of serum carotenoids and lung cancer risk for both sexes combined, suggestions of inverse associations were found between all five carotenoids analyzed in our study - β-cryptoxanthin, α-carotene, β-carotene, lutein, and lycopene - as well as for total carotenoids, but a significant dose-response trend was found only with lycopene (5). Half of the studies included in the review included men and women combined and the review did not present results stratified by sex. In contrast, we found significant associations with plasma carotenoids in men only.

In one of the vitamin supplementation prevention trials that was limited to men, the Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta-Carotene Cancer Prevention Study (ATBC) (9), baseline serum β-carotene was found to have a significant inverse dose-response association with lung cancer risk (p for trend = 0.02), such as found in our study (p for trend = 0.004). However, the ATBC study also found significant inverse associations with baseline serum retinol (p for trend < 0.0001), the only other biomarker measured in the study, whereas we found no association with plasma retinol (p for trend = 0.61), even when limiting our analyses to male smokers (p for trend = 0.92), as in the ATBC. However, during chronic inflammation or infection, circulating retinol levels are known to decrease (17), and so we re-analyzed the association excluding the cases diagnosed less than one year after blood draw (those likely to have preclinical disease at that time), but again found no association with plasma retinol (data not shown). The cohort members in the present study were at least 10 years older, on average, than participants in the ATBC study, but this did not translate into an appreciably larger average number of pack-years.

Participants in the Beta-Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial (CARET) were also at least 10 years younger than the subjects in the present study, and inverse associations between baseline serum carotenoid levels and lung cancer risk were also observed (8). Interestingly, these relationships were strongest among women in the study, in contrast to our findings limited to men only. It is important to note that women in the CARET study were required to be at high

risk for lung cancer, based on a minimum of 20 pack-years of cigarette smoking, and, consequently, the mean pack-years of smoking was 58 for cases and 49 for controls for both sexes combined (sex-specific averages were not given). In contrast, only 31% (66 of 213) of our female participants reported 20 or more pack-years of smoking, and the mean pack-years of smoking for women in our study was 27 for cases and 12 for controls (34 for cases and 17 for controls for both sexes combined). Thus, our female participants were at lower risk than those of the CARET trial. It is also possible that urinary isoprostane levels are more reflective of overall antioxidant status than plasma carotenoid levels, because we found a general increase in risk for both men and women was observed in the second and third tertiles of this measure of total lipid peroxidation.

Our findings of a null association between plasma α-tocopherol and lung cancer risk is consistent with two previously published prospective studies (18,19), whereas two other prospective studies reported an inverse association (8,20). Two of these four studies (one which found an overall inverse association and one which found an overall null association) saw the strongest inverse relationships between α-tocopherol and lung cancer when limiting their population to men under the age of 60 years old (19,20). In our study population, only 7% (27 of 408) of men were less than 60 years old, so we were not able to examine this group separately. As for circulating γ-tocopherol, the two studies that examined its relationship with lung cancer risk found no association (8,19), similar to our null finding for plasma β + γ tocopherol, although these relationships are complicated by the fact that inflammation and smoking cause an increase in plasma γ-tocopherol levels which may mask any protective effect of γ-tocopherol (21).

In the present study, higher levels of serum selenium were not significantly associated with reduced risk of lung cancer in either men or women. These results are similar to the findings in a recent meta-analysis, which reported a summary relative risk of 0.80 (95% CI: 0.58-1.10) comparing highest level to lowest level of circulating selenium with lung cancer risk among six nested case-control studies with predominantly male participants (22). Additionally, the Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial recently published their results, which indicated no effect of selenium supplementation on lung cancer risk (23).

Although 15-isoprostane F_{2t} has been acknowledged as a marker of oxidative stress that can be measured in urine and is chemically stable (24), few investigators have examined its association with cancer risk. Results of a Taiwanese nested case-control study revealed that individuals in the second and third tertiles of urinary 15-isporostane F_{2t} levels, compared to those in the first tertile, had statistically significant 4- and 6-fold increases in risk, respectively, for hepatocellular carcinoma (25). A recent study of breast cancer and 15-isoprostane F_{2t} levels in Long Island found almost a doubling in risk for those in the third and fourth quartiles as compared to the lowest quartile (OR = 1.53, 95% CI: 0.99-2.35 and OR = 1.88, 95% CI: 1.23-2.88, respectively) (26). While we were unable to find any studies of lung cancer risk and 15-isporostane F_{2t} levels, our finding of a doubling of risk for men in the second and third tertiles is consistent with our findings of a decrease in risk with the antioxidant carotenoids, and with the findings of the two studies noted above. Furthermore, we found a strong suggestion of an increased risk of lung cancer for women in the second and third tertiles of 15-isporostane F_{2t} levels when excluding the early incident cases.

Indeed, the positive association with isoprostane combined with the inverse association with total carotenoids increases our confidence in the validity of the findings of our analysis. And yet, residual confounding by smoking, which cannot be completely excluded, could partly explain these associations. However, this appears unlikely since we carefully matched cases and controls on smoking status (never, former, current), and additionally adjusted for pack-years of smoking. Other limitations include our lack information on passive smoking, which

could be responsible for residual confounding, as well as a small sample size, particularly when stratifying by sex, and the relatively short follow-up period. It is also worth considering that it may not be carotenoids per se that are protective but foods rich in carotenoids, and that those typically include other phytochemicals that may be protective. In fact, several dietary modification trials with fruits and vegetables have shown that these measures of plasma antioxidants are good markers of fruit and vegetable intake generally (27,28). As with our positive findings, our null findings between serum and urinary markers of antioxidants and lung cancer risk among women should have relevance to an elderly population only.

This study supports the previously observed association between increasing levels of serum carotenoids and a reduced risk of lung cancer in men, and adds to the limited current literature regarding urinary 15-isoprostane F_{2t} as a marker of cancer risk. Future research examining the possible relationship between isoprostanes and lung cancer is warranted, as are studies of antioxidants, particularly among high-risk women (e.g., smokers of at least 20 pack-years) and possibly women of younger age.

Acknowledgments

Financial Support: National Cancer Institute Grants P01 CA33619 and R37 CA 54281 and Contracts N01-PC-35137 and N01-PC-35139. One of the authors (ME) was supported by a postdoctoral fellowship on Grant R25 CA 90956.

References

1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward S, et al. Cancer statistics, 2008. CA Cancer J Clin 2008;58:71–96. [PubMed: 18287387]
2. Spitz, MR.; Wu, X.; Wilkinson, A.; Wei, Q. Cancer of the lung. In: Schottenfeld, D.; Fraumeni, JF., editors. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention. Vol. Third Edition. Oxford University Press; New York: 2006. p. 638-58.
3. Klaunig JE, Kamendulis LM. The role of oxidative stress in carcinogenesis. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2004;44:239–67. [PubMed: 14744246]
4. World Cancer Research Fund. American Institute for Cancer Research. Food, nutrition, physical activity, and the prevention of cancer: a global perspective. AICR; Washington DC: 2007.
5. Gallicchio L, Boyd K, Matanoski G, et al. Carotenoids and the risk of developing lung cancer: a systematic review. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;88:372–83. [PubMed: 18689373]
6. Omenn GS, Goodman GE, Thornquist MD, et al. Effects of a combination of beta carotene and vitamin A on lung cancer and cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med 1996;334:1150–5. [PubMed: 8602180]
7. The Alpha-Tocopherol Beta Carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group. The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. N Engl J Med 1994;330:1029–35. [PubMed: 8127329]
8. Goodman GE, Schaffer S, Omenn GS, Chen C, King I. The association between lung and prostate cancer risk, and serum micronutrients: results and lessons learned from beta-carotene and retinol efficacy trial. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2003;12:518–26. [PubMed: 12814997]
9. Holick CN, Michaud DS, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, et al. Dietary carotenoids, serum beta-carotene, and retinol and risk of lung cancer in the alpha-tocopherol, beta-carotene cohort study. Am J Epidemiol 2002;156:536–47. [PubMed: 12226001]
10. Hercberg S, Galan P, Preziosi P, et al. The SU.VI.MAX Study: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial of the health effects of antioxidant vitamins and minerals. Arch Intern Med 2004;164:2335–42. [PubMed: 15557412]
11. Cracowski JL, Durand T, Bessard G. Isoprostanes as a biomarker of lipid peroxidation in humans: physiology, pharmacology and clinical implications. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2002;23:360–6. [PubMed: 12377577]
12. Tanaka Y, Wood LA, Cooney RV. Enhancement of intracellular gamma-tocopherol levels in cytokine-stimulated C3H 10T1/2 fibroblasts: relation to NO synthesis, isoprostane formation, and tocopherol oxidation. BMC Chem Biol 2007;7:2. [PubMed: 17608946]

13. Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Hankin JH, et al. A multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles: baseline characteristics. Am J Epidemiol 2000;151:346–57. [PubMed: 10695593]
14. Cooney RV, Franke AA, Hankin JH, et al. Seasonal effects on intra-individual variations in plasma micronutrients and antioxidants. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1995;4:207–15. [PubMed: 7606195]
15. Franke AA, Custer LJ, Cooney RV. Synthetic carotenoids as internal standards for plasma micronutrient analyses by high-performance liquid chromatography. J Chromatogr 1993;614:43–57. [PubMed: 8496285]
16. Morris JS, Ngwenyama RA, Guthrie JM, Brockman JD, Spate VL, Robertson JD. Quality control in the neutron activation analysis of biological markers for selenium in epidemiological investigations. J Radioanal Nucl Chem 2008;276:7–13.
17. Stephensen CB, Gildengorin G. Serum retinol, the acute phase response, and the apparent misclassification of vitamin A status in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;72:1170–8. [PubMed: 11063445]
18. Comstock GW, Alberg AJ, Huang HY, et al. The risk of developing lung cancer associated with antioxidants in the blood: ascorbic acid, carotenoids, alpha-tocopherol, selenium, and total peroxyl radical absorbing capacity. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1997;6:907–16. [PubMed: 9367064]
19. Ratnasinghe D, Tangrea JA, Forman MR, et al. Serum tocopherols, selenium and lung cancer risk among tin miners in China. Cancer Causes Control 2000;11:129–35. [PubMed: 10710196]
20. Woodson K, Tangrea JA, Barrett MJ, Virtamo J, Taylor PR, Albanes D. Serum alpha-tocopherol and subsequent risk of lung cancer among male smokers. J Natl Cancer Inst 1999;91:1738–43. [PubMed: 10528024]
21. Cooney RV, Franke AA, Wilkens LR, Gill J, Kolonel LN. Elevated plasma gamma-tocopherol and decreased alpha-tocopherol in men are associated with inflammatory markers and decreased plasma 25-OH vitamin D. Nutr Cancer 2008;60(S1):21–9. [PubMed: 19003577]
22. Zhuo H, Smith AH, Steinmaus C. Selenium and lung cancer: a quantitative analysis of heterogeneity in the current epidemiological literature. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2004;13:771–8. [PubMed: 15159309]
23. Lippman SM, Klein EA, Goodman PJ, et al. Effect of selenium and vitamin E on risk of prostate cancer and other cancers: the Selenium and Vitamin E Cancer Prevention Trial (SELECT). JAMA 2009;301:39–51. [PubMed: 19066370]
24. Milne GL, Yin H, Brooks JD, Sanchez S, Jackson Roberts L, Morrow JD. Quantification of F2-isoprostanes in biological fluids and tissues as a measure of oxidant stress. Methods Enzymol 2007;433:113–26. [PubMed: 17954231]
25. Wu HC, Wang Q, Yang HI, et al. Urinary 15-F2t-isoprostane, aflatoxin B1 exposure and hepatitis B virus infection and hepatocellular carcinoma in Taiwan. Carcinogenesis 2008;29:971–6. [PubMed: 18310087]
26. Rossner PJ, Gammon MD, Terry MB, et al. Relationship between urinary 15-F2t-isoprostane and 8-oxodeoxyguanosine levels and breast cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006;15:639–44. [PubMed: 16614103]
27. Le Marchand L, Hankin JH, Carter FS, et al. A pilot study on the use of plasma carotenoids and ascorbic acid as markers of compliance to a high fruit and vegetable dietary intervention. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1994;3:245–51. [PubMed: 8019375]
28. Pierce JP, Natarajan L, Caan BJ, et al. Influence of a diet very high in vegetables, fruit, and fiber and low in fat on prognosis following treatment for breast cancer: the Women's Healthy Eating and Living (WHEL) randomized trial. JAMA 2007;293:289–98. [PubMed: 17635889]

Table 1
Characteristics of lung cancer cases and controls, by sex

	Men		Women	
	Cases n=136	Controls n=272	Cases n=71	Controls n=142
General characteristics				
Age at blood draw (years), mean (SD)*	71.5 (6.5)	71.1 (6.8)	71.0 (7.1)	70.4 (7.2)
Fasting hours prior to blood draw, mean (SD)*	12.1 (4.5)	12.1 (4.4)	12.6 (3.9)	12.3 (3.7)
Smoking status, n (%)*				
Never	31 (23%)	62 (23%)	21 (30%)	42 (30%)
Former	51 (38%)	102 (38%)	23 (32%)	46 (32%)
Current	54 (40%)	108 (40%)	27 (38%)	54 (38%)
Pack-years, mean (SD)	37.7 (33.7)	19.7 (21.3)	26.9 (29.9)	11.6 (17.4)
Ethnicity, n (%)*				
African-American	45 (33%)	90 (33%)	20 (28%)	40 (28%)
Caucasian	22 (16%)	44 (16%)	19 (27%)	38 (27%)
Japanese-American	37 (27%)	74 (27%)	13 (18%)	26 (18%)
Latino	18 (13%)	36 (13%)	10 (14%)	20 (14%)
Native Hawaiian	14 (10%)	28 (10%)	9 (13%)	18 (13%)
Body mass index (kg/m^2), mean (SD)	26.4 (4.6)	25.8 (4.1)	25.5 (5.4)	26.8 (5.5)
High school education or less, n (%)	64 (47%)	100 (37%)	28 (40%)	67 (47%)
Physical activity (METS per day), mean (SD)	1.7 (0.3)	1.7 (0.3)	1.6 (0.2)	1.6 (0.2)
Family history of lung cancer, n (%)	18 (13%)	15 (6%)	3 (4%)	17 (12%)
Supplement use for > 1 year, n (%)	59 (44%)	131 (48%)	32 (45%)	73 (51%)

*Matching variable

Table 2

Levels of antioxidants among lung cancer cases and controls, by sex

	Men		Women	
	Cases n=136	**Controls n=272**	**Cases n=71**	**Controls n=142**
***Antioxidants**, median (interquartile range)*				
From plasma (ng/mL)				
Alpha-tocopherol	13,898 (9,612 - 17,881)	13,132 (10,227 - 17,011)	13,266 (10,436 - 17,948)	13,912 (11,154 - 20,354)
Beta + gamma-tocopherol	1,464 (688 - 2,724)	1,484 (680 - 2397)	1,635 (857 - 2,718)	1,510 (826 - 2,753)
Total tocopherols	15,878 (12,457 - 20,606)	15,491 (12,365 - 18,511)	15,481 (13,030 - 20,703)	16,268 (13,637 - 21,079)
Beta-cryptoxanthin	136 (82 - 223)	175 (111 - 288)	174 (90 - 315)	178 (99 - 310)
Alpha-carotene	35 (22 - 61)	53 (34 - 83)	45 (24 - 97)	49 (30 - 87)
Beta-carotene	149 (73 - 273)	213 (130 - 429)	214 (126 - 422)	212 (126 - 391)
Lutein & zeaxanthin	373 (275 - 520)	398 (297 - 552)	406 (261 - 535)	371 (271 - 499)
Lycopene	261 (171 - 362)	320 (212 - 432)	268 (174 - 362)	248 (173 - 359)
Total carotenoids	1,202 (895 - 1,633)	1,502 (1,096 - 1,853)	1,317 (863 - 1,977)	1,307 (957 - 1,722)
Retinol	1,211 (926 - 1,655)	1,191 (996 - 1,563)	1,085 (777 - 1,427)	1,113 (871 - 1,516)
From serum				
Selenium (μg/g of sodium)	0.13 (0.12 - 0.15)	0.13 (0.12 - 0.15)	0.13 (0.12 - 0.15)	0.13 (0.12 - 0.14)
From urine				
15-isoprostane F_{2t} (ng/mg of creatinine)	4.27 (3.55 - 5.52)	3.77 (2.93 - 4.86)	5.14 (4.22 - 6.68)	4.94 (3.83 - 6.53)

Table 3

Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for risk of lung cancer *among men* across tertiles of plasma tocopherols, carotenoids, and retinol, serum selenium and urinary 15-isoprostane F_{2t}

Variable	Tertiles of concentration levels			
	1	2	3	P trend*
Plasma micronutrients (ng/mL)				
Alpha-tocopherol				
Median level	9,135	13,249	20,212	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	45/72	29/87	42/75	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.54 (0.28-1.02)	1.00 (0.54-1.85)	0.72
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.57 (0.30-1.08)	1.00 (0.54-1.87)	0.76
Beta + Gamma-tocopherol				
Median level	560	1,482	2,,972	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	41/75	32/85	43/74	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.75 (0.39-1.45)	0.98 (0.52-1.84)	0.98
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.77 (0.39-1.51)	0.96 (0.50-1.83)	0.96
Total tocopherols				
Median level	11,368	15,715	22,012	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	40/77	34/83	42/74	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.89 (0.48-1.65)	1.21 (0.65-2.26)	0.51
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.95 (0.51-1.77)	1.18 (0.63-2.22)	0.59
Beta-cryptoxanthin				
Median level	82	162	353	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	51/66	35/82	30/86	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.56 (0.30-1.03)	0.32 (0.15-0.70)	0.005
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.58 (0.31-1.08)	0.33 (0.15-0.73)	0.007
Alpha-carotene				
Median level	24	45	100	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	56/60	35/83	25/91	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.36 (0.18-0.70)	0.22 (0.10-0.47)	0.0006
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.38 (0.19-0.74)	0.24 (0.11-0.53)	0.002
Beta-carotene				
Median level	87	202	497	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	55/61	34/84	27/89	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.41 (0.22-0.79)	0.28 (0.13-0.57)	0.002
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.46 (0.24-0.90)	0.30 (0.15-0.64)	0.004
Lutein + Zeaxanthin				
Median level	250	393	623	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	48/69	37/80	31/85	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.70 (0.37-1.30)	0.42 (0.20-0.87)	0.02
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.68 (0.36-1.28)	0.45 (0.21-0.94)	0.04

Variable	Tertiles of concentration levels			
	1	2	3	P trend*
Lycopene				
Median level	164	296	463	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	46/70	43/75	27/89	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.88 (0.47-1.63)	0.35 (0.17-0.71)	0.004
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.93 (0.50-1.74)	0.36 (0.18-0.75)	0.007
Total carotenoids				
Median level	908	1,377	2,030	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	52/65	36/80	28/89	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.45 (0.25-0.84)	0.32 (0.15-0.65)	0.002
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.48 (0.26-0.89)	0.32 (0.15-0.68)	0.003
Retinol				
Median level	890	1,202	1,804	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	36/81	40/77	40/76	
Base model OR‡	1.00	1.06 (0.54-2.07)	1.08 (0.50-2.34)	0.85
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	1.22 (0.62-2.40)	1.26 (0.57-2.77)	0.61
Serum Selenium (μg/g,of sodium)				
Median level	0.12	0.13	0.15	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	49/85	44/91	40/94	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.77 (0.42-1.40)	0.73 (0.39-1.36)	0.34
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.74 (0.40-1.38)	0.70 (0.37-1.33)	0.30
Urinary 15-isoprostane F_{2t} (ng/mg of creatinine)				
Median level	2.83	3.92	5.89	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	18/76	31/62	36/58	
Base model OR‡	1.00	2.52 (1.12-5.66)	2.30 (1.06-4.99)	0.09
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	2.31 (1.02-5.25)	2.16 (0.98-4.78)	0.12

*Linear dose-response in the logit of risk was tested by a Wald test for each biomarker modeled as a trend variable assigned the median value of the appropriate category.

†Two controls were matched to each case on geographic area, male sex, ethnicity, year of birth, date and time of specimen collection, fasting status, and smoking status (never, former, current). Cases with missing covariate data were excluded from the analyses (n=3 for selenium, n=20 for other antioxidants, n=51 for isoprostane F_{2t}).

‡Adjusted by conditional logistic regression with matched sets as strata for age at specimen collection, fasting hours prior to blood draw, pack-years, and pack-years squared.

§Further adjusted for years of schooling and family history of lung cancer.

Table 4

Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for risk of lung cancer *among women* across tertiles of plasma tocopherols, carotenoids, and retinol, serum selenium and urinary 15-isoprostane F_{2t}

Variable	Tertiles of concentration levels			
	1	2	3	P trend*
Plasma micronutrients (ng/mL)				
Alpha-tocopherol (μg/mL)				
Median level	9,346	13,678	22,756	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	25/40	21/45	19/45	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.75 (0.33-1.70)	0.76 (0.33-1.75)	0.58
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.74 (0.31-1.76)	0.79 (0.32-1.94)	0.70
Beta + Gamma-tocopherol				
Median level	640	1520	3,261	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	20/45	23/43	22/42	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.93 (0.38-2.27)	0.98 (0.40-2.40)	0.99
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.93 (0.37-2.39)	0.88 (0.35-2.24)	0.80
Total tocopherols				
Median level	12,400	16,113	24,161	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	25/40	21/45	19/45	
Base model OR‡	1.00	1.30 (0.57-2.95)	0.83 (0.36-1.89)	0.54
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	1.32 (0.56-3.11)	0.88 (0.37-2.12)	0.66
Beta-cryptoxanthin				
Median level	82	177	413	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	22/42	21/46	22/42	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.94 (0.39-2.26)	1.32 (0.54-3.26)	0.50
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	1.02 (0.41-2.55)	1.58 (0.59-4.23)	0.32
Alpha-carotene				
Median level	22	48	109	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	24/41	16/49	25/40	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.64 (0.24-1.74)	2.01 (0.77-5.26)	0.11
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.59 (0.20-1.73)	1.52 (0.53-4.38)	0.29
Beta-carotene				
Median level	100	214	508	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	22/43	20/46	23/41	
Base model OR‡	1.00	1.02 (0.45-2.31)	1.55 (0.62-3.90)	0.30
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.99 (0.41-2.39)	1.33 (0.49-3.61)	0.53
Lutein + Zeaxanthin				
Median level	236	376	563	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	22/43	18/47	25/40	
Base model OR‡	1.00	1.12 (0.46-2.73)	1.94 (0.76-4.94)	0.15
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.89 (0.35-2.26)	2.23 (0.79-6.26)	0.11

Variable	Tertiles of concentration levels			
	1	2	3	P trend*
Lycopene				
Median level	144	263	401	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	22/43	20/45	23/42	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.98 (0.41-2.32)	1.72 (0.69-4.27)	0.23
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.84 (0.33-2.13)	1.94 (0.72-5.22)	0.15
Total carotenoids				
Median level	818	1,308	2,091	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	22/43	21/45	22/42	
Base model OR‡	1.00	1.11 (0.47-2.63)	1.75 (0.68-4.52)	0.23
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.96 (0.38-2.42)	1.78 (0.62-5.08)	0.25
Retinol				
Median level	777	1,107	1,712	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	23/42	23/43	19/45	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.69 (0.28-1.69)	0.63 (0.25-1.61)	0.36
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.78 (0.30-2.01)	0.77 (0.29-2.06)	0.63
Serum selenium (μg/g of sodium)				
Median level	0.12	0.13	0.15	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	24/46	21/51	26/45	
Base model OR‡	1.00	0.67 (0.29-1.58)	1.00 (0.45-2.25)	0.89
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	0.64 (0.26-1.60)	0.98 (0.42-2.29)	0.91
Urinary 15-isoprostane F_{2t} (ng/mg of creatinine)				
Median level	3.75	4.96	7.51	
No. of cases/ no. of controls†	15/42	19/41	19/38	
Base model OR‡	1.00	1.29 (0.47-3.53)	0.98 (0.35-2.76)	0.87
Fully adjusted OR§	1.00	1.29 (0.46-3.61)	1.19 (0.40-3.49)	0.82

*Linear dose-response in the logit of risk was tested by a Wald test for each biomarker modeled as a trend variable assigned the median value of the appropriate category.

†Two controls were matched to each case on geographic area, female sex, ethnicity, year of birth, date and time of specimen collection, fasting status, and smoking status (never, former, current). Cases with missing covariate data were excluded from the analyses (n=6 for tocopherols, carotenoids, and retinol; n=18 for isoprostane F_{2t}).

‡Adjusted by conditional logistic regression with matched sets as strata for age at specimen collection, fasting hours prior to blood draw, pack-years, and pack-years squared.

§Further adjusted for years of schooling and family history of lung cancer.

Table 5

Percentage distribution of lung cancer cases by cell type and sex

Cell type	Male Cases n=133	Female Cases n=71
Large cell	2 (2%)	2 (3%)
Small cell	16 (12%)	2 (3%)
Squamous cell	31 (23%)	11 (15%)
Adenocarcinoma	52 (39%)	36 (51%)
Other	32 (24%)	20 (28%)

* missing histology for 2% (3/136) of male cases