## RESULTS

## BACKGROUND

Variation between the sexes
Past research Variaction between the sexes
Past research has documented systematic
similarities and differences in men's and similiarities and differences in men's and
women's mate preferences and mating women's mate preferences and mating
orientations (attitudes toward long-term partnerships and
reationships relationships

Prominent between-sex similarities include a
shared emphasis on finding a long.ter shared emphasis on finding a long-term
partner, particularly one that is faithul and loving (Buss, 1989).
Prominent between-sex differences include men's greater emphasis on phycical
attractiveness and greater willinghess to atractiveness and greater willingness to
engage in short-term mating (Buss, 1989;
Schmitt 2005) schmitt, 2005).

Variation across ages
Relationship scientists
Relationshio scientists currently know little
about change over time in individuals's mating about change over time in ind
orientations and preferences.
Despite this lack of knowledge, college
students do have consistent students do have consistent shared
assumptions regarding their peers'
mating strategies and preferences. According to one
study college students expect their peers study, college students expect their peetrs to
become less oriented toward opportunistic sex and physicial appeerance as they progres
through colliege (Bleske-Rechek at al., 2009).

In this study we found support for many previous
simiarities and differences. We also provided preliminary insight into age variation (and lack thereof) in mating strategies and preferences.

## METHOD

Overvien
We collected sample of underges from a representative sample of undergraduate students 487
women, 267 male). . 0 f these, 264 were in their freshman year, 140 were "mid-career"
(sophomores and juniors, and 350 were (sophomores and juniors), and 350 were
approaching graduation (seniors and beyond). approaching graduation (seniors and beyono).
The mean age was 20.67 years $(S D=3.34)$.
All participants completed measures of their
attitudes toward both longe and shorterm awates
mating, experience with loong- and short-term relationships, and preferred mate qualities

Mating Attitudes and Experiences
Participantu Participants completed Jackson and
Kirkpatrick's (2007) measure of short-term Kirkd long-term mating orientation. They were
and also asked to report sexual activity, including
number of number of long-term relationship partners (4
months or more), number of one-time sex months or moree), number of on--time sex
partners ever, and number of sex partners in the last year.

Mare Prefererces
Particicipants completed a task in which they were allotted 50 "mate dollars" toward
conceptuaizing the ideal Conceptualizing the ideal romantic partner.
They distributed their limited mate dollar budget across the following characteristics: ambition, desire for children, emotional
stability, faithfulness, inteligence, physical attractiveness, potential for financial success,
sense of humor simiar yalues, and social sense of humor, similar values, and social
popularity.

MATE ATTITUDES: SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM MATING ORIENTATIONS AND EXPERIENCES
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MATE PREFERENCES: AGE AND SEX VARIATION IN HOW STUDENTS SPEND THEIR "MATE DOLLARS"


## DISCUSSION

Key findings
Our dota show many examples of systematic variation by sex
and age in accordance with previous research. Overall, both and age in accordance with preveious research. Overall, both
sexes eroprt a stronger orientation toward long.term mating $(M=5.47, S D=.78$ than toward shor-t-term mating $(M=2.12$,
$S D=1.67)$. Women were significantly higher in long-term SD $=1.67$. Women were significantly higher in long-term
mating orientation than men were, and an orientation toward short-term sexual relationships was more popular among men
than among women than among women.
Attitudes toward long-term mating did not vary with age. Also,
only freshmen were unique in their attitude toward short-ter, only freshmen were unique in their attitude toward shor-term
relationships and their number of sex partners in the past year.
These results sugesest that after students f fully enter the college
years - a time for sexual and romantic exploration (Arnett,
2000) - age is no longer a predictor of sexual datitudes or 2000) - age is no longer a predictor of sexual attitudes or
behaviors. Also, the age variation among students regarding behaviors. Also, the age variation among students regarding
the total one-time sex partners is to be expected due to the cumulative nature of the variable.
At every age, both men and women allotted more mate dollars to faithfulness than to any other characteristic. They also
tended to allot the fewest dollars to social tended to allot the fewest dollars to social popularity. Men's
alloments reflected a higher interest in physical attractiveness and emotional stability then women's did, whereas women's
reflected a higher interest in ambition potental for fiol reflected a higher interest in ambition, potential for financial
success, and desire for children than men's allotments did.

We did find that some traits are more valued by older students than by younger students, specifically intelligence,
emotional stability, and ambition. These findings coincide with

the assumption that the mate preferences of emerging adults are maturing as they age (Bleske-Rechek, et al., 2009). The
lower interest in physical attractiveness among senior students lower interest in physical attractiveness among senior students
compored to midc-creers $(p=.006$ also aligns with the belief
that young adults become less interested in physical that young adultsers become less interersted in in physical
appearance as they age and (presumably) mature.


However, results are mixed. Desire for children was actually
higher among freshmen than amons mid-careers $(p=05)$ and higher among freshmen than among midd-careers $(p=.05)$ and
seniors $(p<.001)$, which does not support the assumption seniors $(p<.001)$, which does not support the assumption
that emerging adults become more family-focused as they age. Limitations
It should be noted that these data are cross-sectional. Without
longitudinal data longitudinal data, we cannot assume that these changes are
due to indiviual growth or change as opposed to cohort due to individual growth or change as opposed to cohort
effects, which could be operating in the current comparison.


