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#### Abstract

New techniques for improving outphasing transmitters show potential of breaking the traditional linearity-efficiency trade-off by using highly efficient non-linear switching Power Amplifiers (PAs). This work focuses on two of the main building blocks of modern outphasing systems, the power supply switching network and the phase modulator. Both are ubiquitous building blocks in modern RF transceivers, and both are especially critical in Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing (AMO) systems.

A design of the power supply network and control scheme is proposed for an implementation in mm-wave operating frequencies as part of a complete transmitter in 45 nm SOI CMOS utilizing four discrete power supplies and achieving data rates of up to $4 \mathrm{GS} / \mathrm{s}$. The design includes analysis and simulation of the control signal data path requirements for optimal system operation as well as switch optimization and effects of the driving strength on overall system performance.

A new design concept is proposed for a phase modulator utilizing the phase shifthing capabilities of a resonant tank and the ability to seperately control the circuit properties via its components. A prototype in 65 nm CMOS achieves 12 bits of resolution, with an Effective Number Of Bits (ENOB) of 10.2 bits and very fast settling time of less than 5 carrier cycles. The chip is also tested as a stand alone transmitter showing an EVM of less than $5 \%$ for 8 -PSK modulation at maximum data rate, meeting the requirements for operation at the Medical Implant Communication Services (MICS) band.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

There is an ever increasing demand for higher data rates in wireless communication to support new applications and content. In conjunction with this demand for speed, there is a persistent requirement for efficiency and low power consumption to enable portability and reduce energy waste.

There is a traditional trade-off between efficiency and linearity in Power Amplifiers (PAs). High linearity translates directly to higher data rates, as more information can be embodied in the transmitted signal, and this is employed in many modern communication modulation schemes such as 64-QAM, where each symbol transmitted may correspond to one of 64 ( 6 bits) data points on the Cartesian plane. Figure 1-1 ${ }^{1}$ illustrates this concept. We can see that each symbol can be characterized by a vector with its head at the symbol point, with a varying amplitude and phase component, or alternatively a real (In-phase) and imaginary (Quadrature) part.

On the other hand, high efficiency PA types, such as switching PAs, usually have very high efficiency at their maximum power output. Although, on the face of it, switching PAs are incapable of modulating their output power. Until recently, this has rendered them useful only in low data rate applications.

These trade-offs however, are not fundamental, but are more strongly related to the spe-

[^0]

Figure 1-1: 64-QAM constellation diagram
cific architectural implementations commonly used in such systems. This thesis explores other techniques which show the promise of breaking the traditional trade-off between linearity and efficiency.

### 1.2 Linear Transmitter

A very simple way to think about transmission of complex data modulation is closely related to the way we visualized the symbol constellation previously. Figure 1-2 illustrates a very simple highly abstracted concept for a linear transmitter. The complex data is fed to the system via its real and imaginary parts. These are modulated (either to an Intermediate Frequency (IF) or the Radio Frequency (RF) directly) to a higher frequency by applying a phase shifted carrier version to each data path and combined to create the complex modulated signal composing of both the necessary amplitude and phase modulation of the signal. We may then amplify and transmit the signal via a linear, conducting-class amplifier such as a class A or AB.

This technique is indeed simple to implement, however as mentioned earlier, the linear amplifier suffers from an inherent trade-off between efficiency and linearity. Even the theoretical maximum efficiency of a linear amplifier is less than $100 \%$ [1], and this maximum occurs only at the peak output power and degrades for lower power levels. Taking into ac-


Figure 1-2: Linear transmitter
count that we are usually required to back-off from peak output power of linear amplifiers to avoid non-linear effects such as saturation in order to operate at their linear regime, the maximum efficiency will be even lower than the theoretical value.

Referring back to Figure 1-1 we see that for most symbols, we will not be transmitting at the maximum power level (i.e. maximum amplitude value). Therefore, for most of the transmission time we will be operating in yet an even lower efficiency level and the system's overall average efficiency will be quite low. This drawback is manifested in the metric defined as the system's peak-to-average power ratio.

### 1.3 Polar Transmitter

An alternative to the use of the aforementioned linear amplifiers is to move to a different class of amplifiers - switching amplifiers. These types of PAs, which include for example class D and E, are characterized by the fact that the transistor acts as a switch, and not a linear element. The switch-like behavior ensures that when there is current through the device, there is no voltage across it and when there is voltage across it, it carries no current. Therefore, at least theoretically, the switching amplifiers give promise to operation at $100 \%$ efficiency. These PAs however are highly non-linear. In fact, they can only transmit what is know as "constant envelope" signals where the amplitude does not vary, such as Frequency Modulation (FM) or various digital Phase Shift Keying (PSK) modulations as shown in Figure 1-3, but not complex modulations such as the 64-QAM which include an Amplitude Modulation (AM) component.


Figure 1-3: Constant envelope transmitter

In order to still benefit from the use of a switching amplifier, we may propose a transmitter topology as depicted in Figure 1-4. Here we simply compound the additional amplitude data as the drain power supply of the PA to reconstruct the full signal and achieve high linearity and complex modulation in the output signal.


Figure 1-4: Polar transmitter

We may observe that this technique is equivalent to processing the data via its amplitude and phase components rather than its real and imaginary parts. The act of removing the signals amplitude to obtain only the phase modulation and afterwards recombining it at the PA output has earned this technique the name Envelope Elimination and Restoration (EER) [2].

The main issue with this technique is that we did not really solve our initial problem, but merely transferred it to another block in our circuit to create a new trade-off. In the polar architecture, we now require that the amplitude control circuit which is in charge of the amplitude modulation of the switching PA's power supply be at the same time: fast,
accurate, high power and efficient. Imposing such stringent requirements on any one single block in the system will of course lead to limitations in its performance.

Since the amplitude modulator, which is a form of DC-DC power converter, must provide high power to the PA, it must be efficient, as to not degrade the efficiency of the overall system. To obtain high efficiency in the power converter, we must, as with the PA, use a switching topology, which in turn needs to be switched at a frequency of at least 10 times the modulation speed. We therefore establish this alternative trade-off between modulation speed and efficiency, and thus limit the practical use of such an implementation to protocols which call for relatively low modulation speeds of a few hundreds of kHz to several MHz .

### 1.4 Outphasing Transmitter

A different approach was suggested, as early as 1935, by Chireix [3] and later elaborated by Cox [4] and called Linear Amplification with Nonlinear Components (LINC). In this method the system consists of two constant envelope, efficient switching PAs with a phase offset between them. The output of the PAs is combined to create the complete modulated signal as shown in Figure 1-5. The advantage of using such a technique is that it enables the use of highly efficient power amplifiers which receive constant envelope input, while not limiting the flexibility of the total output modulation.

(a) Simplified schematic

(b) Operating principle

Figure 1-5: LINC transmitter

The bane of this topology lies in the combining of high power signals at the output of the amplifiers. Fundamentally, a reciprocal combiner cannot be simultaneously lossless and isolating [5]. Since switching PAs usually require a fixed impedance at their output to
guarantee the non-overlap of the output current and voltage waveforms, thus enabling their high efficiency, we would like the combiner to be matched, so the operation of one PA does not affect the other and both PAs have a constant fixed impedance at their output which can be matched. In this case though, any energy which is not combined and transmitted is dissipated on the fourth isolating port, and its portion is greater as the outphasing angle increases. We therefore once again lose efficiency as we transmit symbols which have lower power than the peak power level.

### 1.5 Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing (AMO) Transmitter

Multilevel LINC [6] is a variation on the basic LINC concept, which allows changing of the power amplifier voltage supplies from a set of discrete possibilities. This allows for reduction of the outphasing angle for low power signals (see Figure 1-6), and reducing the energy loss at those cases and improving average system efficiency. By allowing for the use of $N$ discrete power supply levels we will create $N$ peaks in the efficiency plot, one corresponding to each use of a power supply with a zero outphasing angle (matching $N$ different output power levels).


Figure 1-6: Multilevel LINC operating principle
It is important to distinguish this amplitude modulation from the one discussed regarding the polar transmitter architecture. In this case we do not require an accurate, high resolution amplitude modulation capability, since the fine-grain amplitude modulation arises from the outphasing of the system. In this architecture we simply improve on the average efficiency by adding a finite set of several discrete supply voltages. These can be generated with a high efficiency voltage regulator which are switched to connect to the PAs. We
are therefore reducing much of the complexity required in the polar architecture by not demanding that the amplitude modulation block have high accuracy and resolution.

Another step beyond the above architecture is the use of asymmetric voltage levels [7, 8], where each power amplifier may receive a different voltage level. The separation of dependence between the two PAs results in a possible efficiency boost at more power level points than in the symmetric case, enabling yet further improvement in the average efficiency, More specifically, having $N$ discrete supplies allows us to have peaks of the output efficiency for every possible combination of any 2 power supply levels $-\binom{N}{2}+N=$ $\frac{1}{2} N(N+1)$. Figure 1-7 depicts the overall architecture for the AMO topology.


Figure 1-7: AMO transmitter

In our system however we will limit the possible combination of different supply levels on the two PA sides to adjacent power supply levels. This is done to somewhat simplify the control scheme and decision protocol to as which supply levels to use as well as the fact that if we present considerably different supply levels to each PA we will begin to see effects of mismatch and loading of one PA on the other and degradation in efficiency. Therefore, with this limitation, we will have fewer peaks in the efficiency curve, but still more than in the case of regular Multilevel LINC, resulting in $N+(N-1)=2 N-1$ total peaks where the outphasing angle may be zero and the total output power may be achieved by properly selecting the appropriate asymmetrical supply levels.

Figure 1-8 illustrates the enhancement in efficiency which can be gained by implement-
ing Multi-Level LINC and the AMO architecture compared to LINC as described above. In this example we see the use of 4 different supply levels, which contribute to the creation of 4 efficiency peaks in the ML-LINC case and 7 efficiency peaks for the AMO case as predicted. The location of the efficiency peaks may be optimized by properly scaling and setting the supply voltage levels which may be done in such a manner to correlate with the desired communication protocol's power probability distribution, therefore placing the efficiency peaks at the locations where the transmitter will be operating the majority of the time thus improving the average efficiency of the overall system [7].


Figure 1-8: Ideal efficiency comparison between LINC, ML-LINC and AMO architectures

### 1.6 Research Contributions

Previous work has been done to show the benefits and potential improvement of average efficiency with the use of the AMO architecture in cellular frequency bands [9, 10]. In this research I will focus on the design and implementation of two of the key building blocks of this architecture - the amplitude switching network and the phase modulator.

In Chapter 2 I will present the design considerations, implementation and simulation testing of a power supply switching network for an AMO architecture operating at mmwave frequencies. The many challenges that arise when dealing with a high carrier frequency of 45 GHz , accompanied by a very fast data rate will be discussed and analyzed along with various concepts to allow improvement of the linearity of the overall system.

A new technique for achieving accurate and fast phase modulation required for AMO systems will be presented in Chapter 3. A detailed analysis of the deign considerations and theory will be presented and the results of measurements of a 65 nm test chip implementing the new design will be discussed, showing an effective 10.2 bit resolution and a settling time of less than 5 carrier cycles to within $\pm 1^{\circ}$. The phase modulator also complies with the spectral masks for transmitting in the Medical Implant Communication Services (MICS) band.

## Chapter 2

## Amplitude Modulation

### 2.1 Introduction

Amplitude modulation has been an integral part of communication systems since their very earliest days as a method of transmitting data. The simple methods for modulating and demodulating an amplitude of a signal helped spread its use in communication systems.

In the AMO architecture however it is important to understand that the amplitude modulation we are interested in differs from this traditional view of amplitude modulation. We are not performing amplitude modulation which will directly change the transmitted symbol, that will be achieved via the combining of the outphased signals. The amplitude modulation is done to the PA power supply to enable high efficiency by minimizing the required outphasing angle at different output power levels. In this sense, our amplitude modulation resembles more a power converter rather than a traditional amplitude modulation.

It is important to understand what exactly are our requirements from the AM path. Since we are supplying the power to the PA supply, we do require it to be high power and therefore also efficient, since otherwise we will degrade the overall system efficiency due to this block. We may also require this path to be fast, comparable to the sample rate (although this demand might be relaxed a bit as we shall see later on). We do not however impose a demand on the AM block to be accurate. Unlike the polar architecture where the signal's amplitude modulation derives from the AM block and thus requires it to have a high dynamic range and resolution for adequate output levels for complex modulation
schemes [11], the AMO architecture only requires the ability to toggle amongst a few discrete predetermined levels.

This theme, not demanding to "have it all" will also appear later in Chapter 3 where we discuss the requirements from the Phase Modulator (PM) block for our system. We will see that there we will have a different set of requirements and demands, but again, we will avoid demanding that a system be simultaneously fast, accurate, high power and efficient. This relaxation in demands is what enables us to gain the important aspects of the circuits and compromise on the less critical ones towards a successful overall system design.

### 2.2 Power Supply Switch Network

Now that we have defined the required properties of our AM block we may more precisely define how we wish to implement and achieve these goals. In this work we will discuss the design and simulation of the power switching network built for use in an AMO architecture targeted for operation at mm-wave frequencies of 45 GHz . This project will be implemented in 45 nm Silicon On Insulator (SOI) technology. The use of SOI technology allows us to achieve higher operating frequencies for the transistors, and also opens up some possibilities in the design of the power switches as we shall shortly see. Our design utilizes 4 power supply levels $-1.1,1.4,1.8$ and 2.2 Volts. This range was chosen to allow for flexibility in the output power while limiting the maximum supply level to twice the nominal supply voltage, and the difference between lowest and highest supply to one maximum nominal voltage setting.

A block diagram of the proposed power supply switch and control network is shown in Figure 2-1. This control scheme is replicated for each PA. The 2 bit control word $A_{x}[1: 0]$ ( $x$ referring to one of the two outphasing PAs) is passed through a tunable delay element controlled by a 6 bit control word $D_{x}[5: 0]$, it is then decoded, with a selection whether to enforce switch control overlap or a dead-time period. The 4 individual switch control signals are level shifted before driving the actual power switches through a slew-rate controlled driver chain. The following sections present a detailed explanation as to the importance of each circuit in the overall block, its design considerations and simulation results.


Figure 2-1: Power supply switching network block diagram

### 2.2.1 Switch Design

We shall begin our analysis from the last building block of the circuit - the power switch itself. The last stage of the pipeline needs to relay the various power supplies to the PA drain with minimum interruption manifesting as voltage drops and power loss. Since in our design we are only required to toggle amongst 4 possible power supply levels which are generated off-die, we will implement the switches as simple NMOS/PMOS devices conducting the supplies based on their control signals. All switches will be connected to their respective supply, and on their other side shorted together and connected to the PA drain through a choking inductor.

Since the supplies themselves are constrained to the set $V_{D D i} \in[1.1,1.4,1.8,2.2]$ the PA drain, denoted as VOUTx will also be a value in that range. This means that we can think of the system as operating in a shifted version of a "regular" system which would operate between ground and $V_{D D 1}$. We may preform this level shifting since we are using SOI CMOS technology and the bulk node is left floating. Thus, we may use only a single device as the switch, foregoing the need to cascade devices. We will discuss this attribute further in Section 2.2.4

Previous work has explored the switch design considerations for lower frequencies as for different fabrication processes [12]. One of the main design aspects of the switches is the choice of device type ( N or P ) as well as the sizing of the devices. In order to help determine the appropriate sizing, a simulation deck was constructed to mimic the operation environment of the switches. In our architecture each PA is further separated into 8 in-
phase PAs in order to distribute the output power requirements over multiple blocks. The layout of the switch blocks was done to accommodate with this topology, associating a separate switch block with each PA slice. The simulations following were also preformed on a single PA slice and therefore the transistor sizings correspond to one such slice.

Although from the description so far one might consider the system to be symmetrical in respect to the various power supplies, one should keep in mind that the use of higher power supplies correspond to a higher output power and to fewer high power symbols in the constellation. In fact, a closer look at a typical data set, transmitting random 64-QAM symbols oversampled by 2 , reveals a very uneven distribution of use of power supplies. Figure 2-2 shows the frequency of use of each power supply level for an example data set consisting of 10,000 samples. As can be seen, a vast majority of almost $60 \%$ of the samples uses the lowest power supply, an additional $25 \%$ use the second lowest, $15 \%$ use one higher and a mere $0.7 \%$ use the highest supply level. It is important to keep these numbers in mind when considering the importance and optimization of each part in the system. We will choose to implement all switches having the same size, this may be


Figure 2-2: Supply level usage histogram
considered sub-optimal due to the inherent differences between NMOS and PMOS devices, but as we shall see further on, these differences are small and the advantages of simple and reusable patterned layout exceed them. We will also choose to implement the switches for the bottom two supples as completely NMOS, and the switches to the top two supplies as completely PMOS. Here the choice is a matter of preferring simple reusable layout, as well as a more simplified control scheme over pin-point optimization which is not very sensitive to these changes as we shall shortly see.

The two main sources of inefficiency and power loss in a switch are conductive losses and switching losses. Conduction loss can be accounted for by the fact that the non-ideal switch has a finite on-resistance, which therefore causes a voltage drop to form across its terminals while it is conducting current, and this loss resembles ohmic conductive losses and can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c o n d}=V_{D S} I_{D S} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a MOS transistor, the equivalent resistance is inversely proportional to the devices width, so we can expect that the conduction loss (as well as the voltage drop across the switch) will go down as the device size increases, and this is shown in the simulation result plotted in Figure 2-3 for the NMOS and PMOS switches.

Switching losses arise due to the fact that in order to open and close the switch we must charge and discharge capacitive loads, namely the transistor's gate capacitance. The moving of charge back and forth, even through small source resistances accounts for power dissipation. The amount of charge required to charge or discharge a capacitive load $C$ by a voltage difference $\Delta V$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta Q=C \Delta V \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we now assume that the switch is opened and closed regularly with a clock frequency $f$, and an activity factor $\alpha$, representing how often the switch is typically opened and closed, e.g. opening and closing it alternatively every clock cycle will result in a maximum activity factor of $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. We may define an effective switching current

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{s w}=\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\Delta Q}{\Delta T}=\alpha C \Delta V f \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2-3: Switch conduction losses

So the switching power loss can be defined as this switching current times the voltage difference used to charge and discharge the load capacitance

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s w}=I_{s w} \Delta V=\alpha C \Delta V^{2} f \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maximum desirable clock frequency, or sample rate, in our system is $f=4 \mathrm{GHz}$. The voltage difference as discussed above is in our case $\Delta V=1.1 \mathrm{~V}$. The activity factor may vary, and in the worst case is equal to half, but a more realistic value examining sample data sets suggests a typical value would be closer to $\alpha=\frac{1}{4}$. This is also reinforced by the results shown in Figure 2-2, since if we are $60 \%$ of the time at the lowest supply level it is not possible that we would switch each and every clock cycle. The load capacitance is basically the switch total gate capacitance which we require to charge and discharge in order to open and close the switch for conduction. The gate capacitance is linearly proportional to the device width and therefore increases with the scaling of the transistor size as shown in Figure 2-4. We can see that for the NMOS devices the gate capacitance is
about $0.94 \frac{\mathrm{fF}}{\mu \mathrm{m}}$, and about $0.57 \frac{\mathrm{fF}}{\mu \mathrm{m}}$ for the PMOS devices.


Figure 2-4: Gate capacitance

It is clear therefore that the power loss due to switching will also be linearly proportional to the device size as shown in the simulation results plotted in Figure 2-5. Combining Eq. (2.1) and (2.4) will give us the total power loss associated with the switch

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t o t a l}=P_{c o n d}+P_{s w}=V_{D S} I_{D S}+\alpha C \Delta V^{2} f \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the conduction term decreases with width while the switching term increases with width suggests that there exists an optimum point in our design where these two opposing trends balance each other. This can be seen in the plot of the total power loss for each switch plotted in Figure 2-6. We may also observe that the optimum points of the graphs are relatively shallow, and vary moderately as the device size increases.

As mentioned previously we wish to set all switches to have the same size. To do so, we should take into account the fact that the lower two supplies will be much more heavily used as seen in Figure 2-2. Therefore, plotting the total switch power loss as a weighted


Figure 2-5: Switch switching losses


Figure 2-6: Switch total power loss
sum of the NMOS and PMOS switch power losses, with an $85: 15$ ratio results in the power loss plot given in Figure 2-7 with a minimum point at a device width of 3.2 mm .


Figure 2-7: Switch weighted power loss

It should be noted once more that the values used for these assessments may vary, but since the optimum point is not very sensitive to change this will not affect the overall design greatly. Setting the switch device width for each PA slice in our design is the first step in designing the switch network circuit allowing us to proceed with the remaining blocks described previously. The remaining elements will come to support and provide adequate drive and timing to operate the switches as the overall system architecture requires.

### 2.2.2 Time Alignment

One of the most important aspects of the AMO system which must be taken into consideration is the time alignment between the signals of the two combining PAs, as well as the time alignment between the amplitude path and phase path for each PA on its own. The first aspect exists only in outphasing systems, while the second is true to all transmitter architectures, but is more pronounced in systems which employ a polar-style modulation. For linear transmitters using $I$ and $Q$ data paths, there is an inherent symmetry between the two signal routes, so symmetrical, matched layout may help greatly to reduce offsets and mismatches and align the signals. When the signal is broken down to amplitude and phase, it suffers from the fact that these two components will most likely transverse very different paths to the output, so one cannot guarantee matching and alignment by design and layout. In this case intentional, implicit time alignment blocks are necessary to make sure that each symbol arrives properly to the transmitter and that the two sides are working in unison.

To allow for such time alignment between paths, and between sides, we shall introduce into the system a controlled delay element in each of the paths (amplitude and phase) in order to allow skewing of the signal arrival time in any direction. It should be noted that it will probably be an easier task to delay and time align not the actual signals themselves after modulation, but rather the coded control signals which arrive to the individual blocks, due to their digital nature and high Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR).

We will require a control range which can span up to half of a sample period to allow complete coverage. If the mismatch between two paths is greater than half a sample period we may correct this simply by delaying the digital input by the required sample amount to reduce the mismatch to within half a sample period. It would seem this constraint will impose a minimum data rate frequency which we can operate in, however, for lower data rates with longer sample times, the relative offset between the paths becomes less significant since it is a much smaller fraction of the sample period.

To achieve the ability to delay the control signals by time periods which are smaller than the sample period implies we will not be able to do so in a digital manner, which will require a much faster clock signal to do so. Therefore we will employ an absolute
delay scheme, though it will indeed have the drawback that it does not scale with the clock frequency, but as mentioned previously, the absolute delay becomes less meaningful as the data rate decreases. The basic delay cell we will use is shown in Figure 2-8. This cell allows to choose whether the signal shall pass through a direct path or through a capacitively loaded buffered path, which will relatively delay it. The size of the loading capacitor will determine the amount of delay time each cell creates, and by binary weighting these cells and cascading them we are able to create a controlled delay element.


Figure 2-8: Delay cell element

The resolution of our delay cell will be determined by the smallest capacitor value in the chain and the dynamic range will be set by the number of bits, or binary scaled cells used in the delay chain. For our design, targeting a data rate of $1-4 \mathrm{GHz}$ we will scale the chain so as to allow a maximum delay of up to roughly 500 ps and a resolution of roughly 5 ps . This would seem to imply a size of 7 bits for control of the chain, but since the direct path itself has a finite minimum delay it is sufficient to use 6 bits for control. This delay is achieved by a use of a 10 fF capacitor size for the Least Significant Bit (LSB).

Since this delay cell creates delays which are absolute and dependent on capacitive loading and the driving strengths of the buffers propagating the control signals it is important to consider the effects of variations caused due to changes in Process, Voltage and Temperature (PVT). The cell will produce the longest delay, i.e. operate at the slowest corner, when the process is at the slow corner, the voltage is at the lower range of values and the temperature is high. Conversely, the cell will exhibit the shortest delay and fastest operation when the process is fast, the voltage high and the temperature low. Figure 2-9
illustrates this via a corner simulation of a code sweep of the delay cell, measuring the delay of a test signal propagating through. The nominal values were taken to be at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a supply voltage of 1 V . The slow corner was simulated with the temperature at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $V_{D D}=0.9 \mathrm{~V}$ and the fast corner was set at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a supply voltage of 1.1 V .


Figure 2-9: PVT corner simulation of delay cell

### 2.2.2.1 Nulling Test

An extremely important aspect (albeit sometimes overlooked) of being able to control, trim and program various components and blocks in a system is the ability to test it and devise a scheme to enable the proper setting of the component. An extensive and flexible programmable device is still worthless if one cannot define a way to determine how it should be set. In our system, as described earlier, there are two main time alignments to be concerned with - time alignment between the phase and amplitude paths and alignment between the two outphasing PAs. Our chosen architecture of Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing opens up the possibility to determine the correct timing alignment in interesting ways.

For both cases we will employ a similar concept - "Nulling" tests, i.e. experiments where the outcome should be null, or have minimal effect. This can be achieved in general in a system which is non-injective, so as to have two or more states which will yield the same outcome. In our case, to determine the proper timing between the two outphasing PAs we may subject a test where the amplitude is initially set different for the two sides, than simultaneously swap, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}\left(t_{2}\right)=a_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \text { and } a_{2}\left(t_{2}\right)=a_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \text { where } a_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \neq a_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the symmetry of the system the output should ideally remain unchanged, therefore any misalignment of the timing paths between the two sides will pronounce itself via perturbations to the combined output resulting in a momentary change in amplitude or a degradation of the noise floor of the output spectrum. An example of this is shown in Figure 2-10. This will determine the relative timing offset between the two outphasing sides.


Figure 2-10: Amplitude path misalignment of 100 ps

Similarly, due to the fact that our system employs several levels of possible supply voltages there is more than one set of phase and amplitude which will yield a given output. This fact enabled us to switch to a lower amplitude with a smaller outphasing angle in order to improve average efficiency and it will also enable us to determine the timing alignment between the phase and amplitude paths. Again we set an experiment where now, after the two sides are aligned, we switch the amplitude and phase values while still ideally obtaining the same output value. Any misalignment will again manifest itself in a disturbance of the amplitude and phase of the combined output waveform.

In both alignment cases we may not be able to achieve an ideal result, but this scheme does provide a way to achieve the best result by minimizing the output disturbance and the signal spectrum's noise floor.

### 2.2.3 Decoding and Overlap Control

The control signals arriving to the switches are sent binary coded and therefore need to be decoded before the actual commands are passed through to open and close the appropriate switches. The decoding process is straight forward, and done via a simple digital 2-to-4 decoder as shown in Figure 2-11. At each given time point only one switch control signal should be high, corresponding to the desired PA supply voltage.


Figure 2-11: 2-to-4 Decoder

Special attention should be given to the transition between any two supply levels, i.e. the switch control transition hand-off from one control to the other. Ideally this transition
would occur instantly, where one control goes down in zero time, the other goes up simultaneously in zero time. Of course, this is not a realistic model of the control signals. The drivers have finite rise and fall times therefore we are guaranteed to have either an overlap between two signals during transition, or a dead-time where no switch is on. An overlap between the signals will cause a short period of time where two supplies are basically shorted together, and so a shunting current will flow from one to the other through the switches causing power losses and efficiency degradation. On the other hand, a dead time, will cause an intermittent droop in the voltage supplied to the PA degrading the symbol output and spectrum. The amount of power loss or voltage drop is dependent on the transition time, the switch resistances and the capacitance on the supply node.

In order to allow for maximum system flexibility and allow for different trade-offs in the digital pre-distortion block, a mechanism was introduced to ensure either a guaranteed short overlap of control signals or a guaranteed non-overlap. This is achieved using the simple circuit depicted in Figure 2-12(a). The AND gate with the delayed input ensures that the output will have a delayed rise compared to its input, this is suited to the case where we wish there to be no overlap, if we invert the polarity of the signal before and after the delayed $A N D$ buffer it will effectively result in a signal with a delayed falling edge, which is suitable for use in the case where we do desire an overlap. The inversion of polarity is easily achieved by the $X O R$ gates at the input and output, where the other leg is connected to a signal indicating the desired state (low for no overlap and high for overlap). An illustration of the signal timings is presented in Figure 2-12(b).

(a) Schematic

(b) Timing diagram

Figure 2-12: Overlap control scheme

As before it is crucial to verify that the desired behavior of our block remains consistent across variations in PVT. Therefore a comprehensive sweep was preformed in simulation to measure the amount of overlap (as a positive time value) or non-overlap (as a negative
time value) as a function of every possible transition between two power supply levels and across several PVT conditions. The results shown in Figure 2-13 demonstrate that the design assures us that the block will function as expected under all of these various scenarios.

### 2.2.4 Level Shifting

As discussed earlier, the choice to use thin gate FETs enables us to operate at higher speeds but poses severe limitations on the voltage which can be sustained across the device's terminals. This limitation is even more pronounced in our deep sub-micron process where the voltage across any 2 terminals should not exceed roughly 1.1 V . The use of SOI technology though, removes this concern regarding the bulk node, so unlike Bulk CMOS we can use the devices between higher voltages, so long as the difference between any two terminals is less than the maximum allowed and we are not constrained to have all bulk nodes tied to one common voltage level. Therefore we may use the switch devices between the power supplies and the PA drain without the need to cascode it as long as we keep the difference between the maximum supply to the lowest one to be below 1.1 V , as it is in our case.

This operating scheme requires however that we use some sort of level shifting for the switch control signals in order to provide adequate over-drive to the transistors. In our design, since the supplies and PA drain will always vary between $V_{D D 1}=1.1 \mathrm{~V}$ and $V_{D D 4}=2.2 \mathrm{~V}$ we may obtain proper overdrive of the switches by transitioning the driver signal from their usual domain between ground and $V_{D D 1}$ to a shifted domain between $V_{D D 1}$ and $V_{D D 4}$. This is achieved via a level shifter topology [13] shown in Figure 2-14. In this topology the bottom inverters and the input operate at the lower voltage domain, between ground and $V_{D D 1}$, the top inverters operate at the higher voltages and the cascoding middle devices ensure the separation between the two domains and relieve the stress on the devices when transitioning from one domain to the other.

For simplicity, let us define the ground voltage as ' 0 ', the lowest supply as ' 1 ' and the maximum supply as ' 2 ', such that we require that each device has a differential voltage no greater than ${ }^{\prime} 1^{\prime}$ across its various terminals. We may understand the operation of this level


Figure 2-13: Overlap time vs. transition and PVT (negative time indicates dead-time)


Figure 2-14: Level shifter schematic
shifter by following the signal change path from input to output. For a signal at the input which goes from a low ' 0 ', to a high ' 1 ', the bottom inverter outputs change accordingly. The bottom NMOS transistors ( $M_{n 0}$ and $M_{n 1}$ ) begin conducting. $M_{n 0}$ starts discharging the middle node bringing it to ' 0 ', $M_{n 1}$ begins charging the middle node to ' 1 ' (although it will do so only up until a threshold voltage below that). At this point only the left hand side PMOS, $M_{p 0}$ will be open and begin discharging the left node. Since $M_{p 1}$ is closed, the discharging may overcome the back-to-back inverter "memory" and flip its state, at that point $M_{p 1}$ will open and charge the right middle node to ' 2 ' and the output buffer will propagate the change in the output from ${ }^{\prime} 1^{\prime}$ to ${ }^{\prime} 2^{\prime}$ and the transition is complete.

Similarly, going from a high ' 1 ' to low ' 0 ' at the input will first open the two bottom NMOS devices, but this time it will charge the left middle node and discharge the right middle node. At this point the open right PMOS, $M_{p 1}$ will allow the discharge of the right half of the inverter loop, causing them to flip and change the output from a high ' 2 ' to a low ${ }^{\prime} 1^{\prime}$. Again ensuring throughout the entire procedure that no device encounters a differential voltage greater than one supply level between any of its terminals.

### 2.2.5 Slew Rate Control

The driver stage preceding the power switches are responsible for providing adequate drive strength in order to open and close the switches at a reasonable rate. In this section we will review how varying the driver strength affects the switch and output rise and fall times and how this may serve us in the overall system output shaping.

To analyze the effects of varying rise time of the output supply amplitude, we will make a few simplifying assumptions. Let us consider a scenario (which is actually very pessimistic according to our discussion so far) that we wish to toggle the output between the lowest and highest supply at the maximum data rate. In this case, the output will take the form of a periodic square wave function, which we can normalize to a magnitude of 1 , with pulses of width $t_{s}$, the data rate, and period $T=2 t_{s}$. This waveform can be expressed as

$$
f(t)= \begin{cases}1 & |t| \leq \frac{1}{2} t_{s}  \tag{2.7}\\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Where also

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t+n T)=f(t) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this waveform, the corresponding frequency domain Fourier series is

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(\omega_{n}\right) & =\left.t_{s} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{1}{2} t_{s} \omega_{n}\right)\right|_{\omega_{n}=\frac{2 \pi}{T} n=\frac{\pi}{t_{s}} n} \\
& =t_{s} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} n\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
t_{s} & n=0 \\
0 & n \text { even } \\
(-1)^{n+1} \frac{2 t_{s}}{\pi n} & n \text { odd }
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The finite rise time of the output square wave may be modeled as a linear slope. This is of course not accurate, but close and will allow us to conduct rough simplified calculations and analysis. The finite rise time $t_{r}$, can be generated in our waveform as a convolution of the original ideal square wave with an additional periodical square wave with width $t_{r}$ and
height $\frac{1}{t_{r}}$ as seen in Figure 2-15. Expressed as an equation this resolves to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=f(t) * \frac{1}{t_{r}} f\left(t \frac{t_{s}}{t_{r}}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2-15: Linear slope as convolution of two square waves

The Fourier series corresponding to this finite rise time waveform will therefore be

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(\omega_{n}\right) & =F\left(\omega_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{t_{r}} \frac{t_{r}}{t_{s}} F\left(\omega_{n} \frac{t_{r}}{t_{s}}\right) \\
& =t_{s} \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} n\right) \operatorname{sinc}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{t_{r}}{t_{s}} n\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This result implies that there is an added attenuation of the original square wave odd harmonics due to the finite slope by an additional sinc filter. The amount of added attenuation to each harmonic grows with the harmonic number as well as with the ratio of rise time to sample period $\frac{t_{r}}{t_{s}}$. The attenuation of course is less significant for higher harmonics which were lower to begin with. A plot of the added attenuation for several low order harmonics for different slope percentages is shown in Figure 2-16.

The affects of varying slopes were simulated on an ideal model of the system with only amplitude switching to emphasize the effect. These results are shown in Figure 2-17 for rise times of 10,50 and 100 ps which correspond to a slope ratio of $4 \%, 20 \%$ and $40 \%$ respectively for a sample period of 4 GHz . The attenuation of the higher order harmonics can be seen in the plot. This attenuation occurs outside the symbol frequency band, so it does not help to shape the spectrum, but it does imply a reduced requirement on the external filters used after the transmitter to limit the output outside the frequency band of interest.


Figure 2-16: Added attenuation due to varying slopes


Figure 2-17: Output spectrum for various rise times

In order to achieve this possible control over the switch slope, the driver stage was designed as a tri-state buffer where the number of active scaled buffers could be controlled. This does not give very accurate control over the output slope but does allow for some flexibility in the choice of the final slope rise time. Figure 2-18 plots the simulated rise time of the switch output given the different 3-bit control word.

An artifact of the increased rise time is also an increase in absolute delay of the circuit from the moment the control word changes until the output is modified as shown in Figure 2-19. However this delay is relatively fixed and can be canceled out using the same techniques described in Section 2.2.2 once the desired slope is selected for use in the system.

Figure 2-18 also reveals that the lowest code values create rise times which are in a time scale larger than our maximum designed data rate, meaning that they are impractical to use for the extreme speed case. There is however still merit in using them when going to lower data rates, or for an alternative slewing scheme where we intentionally set the amplitude switching to be slower than a sample period. In such a scenario, we may relax the requirements on the power switching network such that it is not required to toggle at the full sample rate, but perhaps change in a more relaxed rate, say every 5 or 10 sample periods. This relaxation will greatly reduce requirements on the block and its power consumption. We do however require in this case to compensate for the degraded control of amplitude by our still fast control of the phase. As long as the transitions from one amplitude level to another are systematic and predictable, we can compensate for the inaccurate amplitude transition time by correctly pre-defining a correction to the phase values during such transitions. These corrections require of course more digital-intense background calculation and lookup tables but may still be worth the effort given the relaxed demands on the high power switches.


Figure 2-18: (a) Output slope rise time and (b) zoom-in


Figure 2-19: Static delay caused by slew rate control

### 2.3 Summary

The design and analysis of a power switching network for an Asymmetric Multilevel Outphasing transmitter was presented. The power switching network was designed to toggle at a maximum sample rate of $4 \mathrm{GS} / \mathrm{s}$ between 4 discrete power supplies to provide sufficient current to the outphasing PAs. Use of the SOI process technology enabled to use level shifting to reduce the number of devices and increase circuit speed without neglecting reliability and breakdown considerations. The switch control scheme was planned with a high degree of flexibility allowing to control overlap of control signals and their timing for alignment between the different amplitude and phase paths, as well as output slew rate in order to allow more degrees of freedom in the system to trade off performance and efficiency.

The proposed design was implemented and fabricated in an IBM 45 nm SOI process, as part of a complete AMO transmitter architecture. The test chip is currently back in the lab for testing and measurement results should follow in the coming months to demonstrate many of the aspects discussed in this work.

## Chapter 3

## Phase Modulation

### 3.1 Introduction

When considering the requirements of the Phase Modulator (PM) block for an outphasing system, we can note several key features which are of interest and define our system

The PM needs to be very fast. We require that the phase output will settle quickly to enable a high change rate required to achieve a high data rate and enable oversampling if desired. Furthermore, as mentioned in Section 2.2.5 it is desirable to have a fast PM to be able to compensate for a lack of speed in the amplitude path.

The PM must have a high accuracy, or resolution. Unlike other communication systems where the phase offset block requirements are usually modest, calling for a phase offset of $90^{\circ}$ or at most $45^{\circ}$, in an outphasing system we need a phase resolution capable of at least creating all the desired symbols we wish to generate, and it will likely need to be of much higher resolution in order to enable oversampling and compensation for the amplitude path.

Fortunately, the PM block does not need to be high power, and as a consequence does not need to have high efficiency either. The block does not provide the power to the PAs (unlike the voltage regulator in the EER topology), and thus gives us some leeway in our design.

There exists a myriad of ways to create a desired phase shift in a signal. Some of these include tapped delay lines, where the signal is passed through varying delays in order to accrue the desired phase [14]. Others employ passive reactive devices to create a phase
shift or coupled transmission lines with reflective loads [15], or by active means, such as an all-pass amplifier [16]. Another option is to use a tapped ring oscillator [17], which is a convenient way to merge the frequency generation function with a delay line.

### 3.1.1 Digital to Analog Converter (DAC) Phase Creation

I will describe briefly one of the more straightforward ways to conceive a digital way of creating a desired phase offset. A creation of a phase modulated signal may resemble the way many modern communication systems are constructed. The desired phase can be thought of as a vector which has Cartesian basis, an $X$ and $Y$ components, or In-phase and Quadrature components. These components can be calculated to give a desired phase and their combination will result in a waveform with the corresponding phase [18, 19]. Figure 3-1 illustrates such an approach of creating the desired phase modulation, where the output is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { out } & =A \cos (\omega t)+B \sin (\omega t) \\
& =\sqrt{A^{2}+B^{2}} \sin \left(\omega t+\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{A}{B}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3-1: Phase modulation via two DACs

The specific behavior of the output signal's phase (as well as amplitude) will be governed by the relationship between the two command words $B$ and $A$. For example, assuming a relationship of $B=\sqrt{1-A^{2}}$ will yield an output waveform which has a constant amplitude for any choice of control word $A$, but the phase will vary in a non-linear way. Another relation which might be considered is $B=1-A$, which will yield a varying amplitude of 3 dB and a phase which is arctangent in nature, and thus non-linear but only slightly. Yet another possibility includes, the somewhat odd at first sight, $B=\frac{A}{\tan \left(\frac{\pi}{2} A\right)}$ which will result in a perfectly linear phase change with $A$, but a very large variation in the output amplitude.

The overall relationship between control words $A$ and $B$ is shown for the above three examples in figure 3-2. Also, the change in amplitude vs. the control word $A$, as well as the change in phase is illustrated in Figures 3-3 and 3-4 respectively.


Figure 3-2: DAC PM control option examples

Ideally of course, the values feeding each DAC should correspond to $A=\sin \theta$ and $B=\cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is proportional to our input code word. This will result in a constant amplitude level and linearly varying phase. It will also of course require the calculation of the appropriate value for each code word, though simple approximations may also be


Figure 3-3: DAC PM amplitude variation


Figure 3-4: DAC PM phase variation
employed [20].
It should be noted that we are more concerned with the behavior of the phase of the output signal, rather than the amplitude behavior. This is strengthened by the fact that the phase modulated signal will eventually act as an input to a switching amplifier, which is fairly insensitive to variation in the input signal's amplitude. This unwanted effect can be further reduced by placing several stages of a limiting pre-driver between the PM and switching power amplifier to squelch any variability in the modulated signal's envelope.

This approach to creating phase modulated signals, however robust and flexible, is very inefficient, requiring two highly accurate and fast DACs as well as possible complex calculations of control word $B$ based on $A$.

### 3.1.2 Current Steering DAC

An improvement on the previous concept may be obtained by replacing the two independent DACs with a single current steering DAC [21]. The current steering DAC consists of a set of binary weighted current sources each connecting to two branches via complementary switches. Thus, we are guaranteed that the current on one branch will be proportional to our code word - $A$, and the current on the other branch will inherently be set to the complementary value, i.e. $B=1-A$.

We have already seen previously that such a selection results in a reasonable compromise, allowing the output amplitude to vary by a maximum of 3 dB , and the phase response follows an arctangent curve fairly close to a linear characteristic, and this is easily corrected via digital pre-distortion with the aid of a static lookup table with only a slight loss in accuracy. Such a system reduces much of the complexity and unneeded flexibility of the general two-DAC configuration and allows us to achieve a scalable solution for implementing phase modulation in our system.

### 3.2 Low-Q Resonant Tank Phase Modulator

If the previous phase generation method may be viewed as a somewhat "digital" approach, we now consider a different concept which can accordingly be viewed as an "analog", or
"mixed-signal" approach. It takes advantage of the properties of resonant circuits for phase shifting [22].

Let us consider the complex impedance of a parallel RLC tank (shown in Figure 3-5), which can easily be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{R L C} & =R\|j \omega L\| \frac{1}{j \omega C} \\
& =\frac{1}{\frac{1}{R}+j \omega C+\frac{1}{j \omega L}} \\
& =\frac{R}{\sqrt{1+R^{2}\left(\omega C-\frac{1}{\omega L}\right)^{2}}} e^{-j \tan ^{-1}\left(R\left(\omega C-\frac{1}{\omega L}\right)\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Connecting this circuit as a load to a common source amplifier, as seen in Figure 3-6,


Figure 3-5: Parallel RLC tank
and providing a DC bias level through the inductor, applying a sinusoidal input signal results in the output signal $v_{\text {out }}=-g_{m} Z_{R L C} v_{i n}$, where $g_{m}$ is the transistor's small signal transconductance. Therefore the output signal will have a phase offset in relation to the input carrier, which can be seen from Eq. (3.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\angle\left(\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{\text {in }}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(R\left(\omega C-\frac{1}{\omega L}\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Allowing to change the capacitor value, such that it varies between a minimum value


Figure 3-6: Phase modulator resonant tank concept
$C_{\text {min }}$ and a maximum value $C_{m a x}$, and the center value, denoted $C_{0}$, is set as to tune the tank to a desired carrier frequency $\omega_{c}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{c}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{C_{0} L}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may now rewrite Eq. (3.3) for a varying capacitance while fixing the frequency to the resonant value of the tank

$$
\begin{align*}
\angle\left(\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{\text {in }}}\right) & =\tan ^{-1}\left(\omega_{c} R C_{0}\left(\frac{C}{C_{0}}-1\right)\right) \\
& =\tan ^{-1}\left(Q_{0}\left(\frac{C}{C_{0}}-1\right)\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Where we also defined the tank's quality factor at the carrier frequency and when the capacitor is set to it's median value

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{0}=\omega_{c} R C_{0} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (3.5) we can derive another key aspect of this system. To the first order, the effects of the different components on the output phase function are independent. Varying the fixed capacitance of the tank, so as to set the median varying capacitor value (corresponding to the middle code word in a single quadrant) to $C_{0}$ will ensure that the output phase function is (anti-)symmetric, regardless of the resistor value. More so, changing the
resistor value will change the effective quality factor, thus for a given capacitor range will change the phase coverage of a single quadrant, allowing us to stretch it beyond $90^{\circ}$, or shrink it below it. These properties allow us to adopt an approach of "Divide and Conquer" when coming to set the values of the different components or allow for possible trimming, since the calibration and setting of the values can be done in an algorithmic manner which is not inter-dependable between variables.

Consider setting the capacitor edge values to be

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\min } & =C_{0}\left(1-\frac{1}{Q_{0}}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
C_{\max } & =C_{0}\left(1+\frac{1}{Q_{0}}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

This will translate to a phase coverage of $90^{\circ}$, the absolute value of the capacitance required to vary in order to obtain this coverage will depend on the quality factor. The larger it is, the sharper the change in frequency, and the less change required in the capacitor value. Figure 3-7 illustrates this for several quality factor values.


Figure 3-7: Phase coverage at different quality factors

Varying the capacitor size linearly with $b$ bits of precision with code word $n$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq n \leq 2^{b}-1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write the value of the capacitor as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=C_{m i n}+n C_{L S B} \quad \text { where } C_{L S B}=\frac{C_{0}}{2^{b-1} Q_{0}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging Eq. (3.7) and (3.10) into Eq. (3.5) will yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\angle\left(\frac{v_{\text {out }}}{v_{\text {in }}}\right)=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{2^{b-1}}-1\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which gives us an arctangent sweep of $90^{\circ}$, similar to the current steering DAC coverage discussed in Section 3.1.2, and this is illustrated in Figure 3-8


Figure 3-8: Resonant tank phase coverage

The quality factor of the resonant tank will determine the "steepness" of its response, therefore requiring a smaller change in absolute capacitance value to cover the entire quad-
rant. For our purposes there is no need for high frequency selectivity, therefore we may use a relatively low quality factor value, thus the given name for our phase modulation technique - Low-Q Resonant Tank Phase Modulator.

Given that our system is a second order system, it is a simple matter to estimate its settling time given the capacitance change of the tank. The system response will be dominated by its attenuation and damping factors, and specifically for the parallel RLC tank we are discussing the settling time constant will be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=2 R C \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can estimate that the worst case time required to settle, for example, to within $1^{\circ}$ of the desired phase (corresponding to $0.3 \%$ of the full range) will occur for the maximum capacitance value and will require a period of roughly

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{s, 0.3 \%} & \approx 6 \tau_{\max } \\
& =12 R C_{\max } \\
& =\frac{12}{\omega_{c}} \omega_{c} R C_{0}\left(1+\frac{1}{Q_{0}}\right) \\
& \approx 2\left(1+Q_{0}\right) T_{c} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Where we used Eq. (3.6) and (3.8) for appropriate substitutions, as well as the fact that $\omega_{c}=\frac{2 \pi}{T_{c}}$. Recalling that our system requires a rather low quality factor for its operation yields that the settling time will be quite fast, no more than a few carrier cycles.

In order to demonstrate the properties described above, a test chip was fabricated in 65 nm CMOS which implements this design (Figure 3-9). Below is a detailed explanation of the design process and considerations involved as well as test measurement results.

### 3.2.1 Design Process

In order to derive the required values for the various components we will begin the design with a choice of center frequency, which we shall set at 2.4 GHz to enable operation at the


Figure 3-9: Chip micrograph

Industrial, Scientific and Medical (ISM) band. The inductor value will be chosen as small as possible in order not to constrain the capacitance value, but large enough to avoid being dominated by parasitic effects of the process. As such, a value was chosen of

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=200 \mathrm{pH} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which results in a median capacitor value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}=\frac{1}{\omega_{c}^{2} L}=22 \mathrm{pF} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of resolution bits per quadrant will be set to $b=10$, and the quality factor will be chosen to a relatively low value of $Q_{0}=1.5$. This will result, according to Eq. (3.10), in a capacitor LSB value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L S B}=\frac{C_{0}}{2^{b-1} Q_{0}}=28.6 \mathrm{fF} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As well as, according to Eq, (3.7) a fixed capacitor minimum value of

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m i n}=C_{0}\left(1-\frac{1}{Q_{0}}\right)=7.3 \mathrm{pF} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The required resistance value needed to obtain such a quality factor can be derived from Eq. (3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{Q_{0}}{\omega_{c} C_{0}}=4.5 \Omega \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Switched Capacitor Bank

To implement the varying capacitance value, a switched capacitor cell element was used as depicted in Figure 3-10. A Metal-Insulator-Metal (MIM) capacitor was used in series with an NMOS switch to enable the toggling of the tank's effective capacitance. The NMOS parasitic drain capacitance is depicted by the dashed line and accounted for as $C_{p a r}$.


Figure 3-10: Switched capacitor element cell

As mentioned previously, the circuit consists of a fixed capacitance (which we would like to control) and a varying capacitance which will create the desired phase modulation. Both of these functions were implemented via a binary weighted array of such capacitor elements. Where, for the varying capacitance a 10-bit array was used consisting of 1023 elements, and a 5-bit, 31 element array was used to implement the function of the fixed capacitor bank.

Each capacitor element in the array represents an effective capacitance depending on the control voltage, which opens or closes the NMOS switch. When the control signal is
high we may designate the effective "on" capacitance as $C_{o n}=C_{M I M}$, and when the control signal is low the total capacitance of each element will be $C_{o f f}=\frac{C_{M I M} C_{p a r}}{C_{\text {MIM }}+C_{\text {par }}}$. Thus, given a digital control code word $n$, and the maximal available code $N=2^{b}-1$, where $b$ is the number of code word bits, the total bank capacitance will be

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{t o t} & =n C_{o n}+(N-n) C_{o f f} \\
& =n\left(C_{o n}-C_{o f f}\right)+N C_{o f f} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

We can identify an effective LSB capacitance defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L S B}=C_{o n}-C_{o f f} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As well as a constant offset capacitance

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{o f f s e t}=N C_{o f f} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing these results with our previous derivations in Eq. (3.7), (3.10), (3.16) and (3.17) we can design the MIM capacitor size and NMOS switch size to comply with these values.

Any parasitic capacitance, or variation in the intentional MIM capacitor will result in a constant capacitance offset, which can be absorbed into the tank's fixed capacitance and be compensated by varying the fixed capacitor bank value, as well as a change in the effective capacitance LSB value. The use of an additional fixed capacitance bank allows also to compensate for variations in the inductor size, changing the tank's resonance frequency.

### 3.2.3 Active Resistor

As seen previously in Eq. (3.18), the value required for the resistor element of the tank is fairly small. If in addition we would like to have some tuning ability to this value, this imposes some limitations on the implementation. Using an actual passive resistor may be limited by the accuracy of the process as well as by switch parasitics for trimming capabilities.

In our design we chose therefore to implement the resistive element as an active device as shown in Figure 3-11. The Operational Transconductance Amplifier (OTA) is implemented as shown in Figure 3-12, and writing the transfer function from voltage to current, we see the equivalence to a resistive element

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{o u t}=g_{m}\left(v_{A}-v_{B}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3-11: OTA as resistor element


Figure 3-12: OTA schematic

### 3.2.3.1 Constant $g_{m}$ Reference

To create the required current biasing for the OTA, as well as provide a simple method for scaling the resistor value, we will use a constant $g_{m}$ current source [23] along with a trimmable current mirror. The schematic of the current source reference is shown in Figure 3-13.


Figure 3-13: Current reference schematic

The current reference circuit also includes startup circuitry to ensure the fast settling of the circuit nodes to their steady state values. The reference is Proportional to Absolute Temperature (PTAT), and therefore provides a constant $g_{m}$ value for all devices referenced to it. Assuming operation in the weak inversion regime of the transistors, we may write the currents through the left and right NMOS devices

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{l e f t} & =I_{0} e^{\kappa_{V_{N}}^{\phi_{t}}}  \tag{3.23}\\
i_{r i g h t} & =M I_{0} e^{\kappa \frac{V_{N}-V_{R}}{\phi_{t}}} \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\phi_{t}=\frac{k_{B} T}{q}$ is the thermal voltage, $k_{B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ absolute temperature in Kelvin and $q$ the electron unit charge. $I_{0}$ is the constant current at zero bias, $V_{R}$ is the voltage across the resistor and $\kappa$ is the transistor's sub threshold slope factor in weak inversion.

The PMOS current mirror ensures that $i_{l e f t}=i_{\text {right }}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa \frac{V_{N}}{\phi_{t}} & =\kappa \frac{V_{N}-V_{R}}{\phi_{t}}+\ln M \\
V_{R} & =\frac{\phi_{t}}{\kappa} \ln M \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

We may now express the current reference as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{\text {left }}=i_{\text {right }}=\frac{V_{R}}{R}=\frac{\phi_{t}}{\kappa R} \ln M \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may observe that the current reference is indeed proportional to absolute temperature, but recalling the definition of the transistor transconductance gain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}=\frac{\kappa I_{D S}}{\phi_{t}} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus this current reference will provide a constant transconductance to all devices connected to it, independent of temperature change

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}=\frac{\kappa}{\phi_{t}}\left(\frac{\phi_{t}}{\kappa R} \ln M\right)=\frac{1}{R} \ln M \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In our design we therefore set the constant resistor to a value of about $20 \Omega$, and the transistor ratio to 2 . We implement a 6 bit current mirror, enabling us to scale the current reference by up to a factor of 64 resulting in an effective resistance tuning range from $R_{\text {min }} \approx 0.5 \Omega$ to $R_{\max } \approx 30 \Omega$ as shown in Figure 3-14. This in turn enables us to compensate for any variations in the capacitance LSB in order to ensure full coverage of an entire phase quadrant.


Figure 3-14: Theoretical effective resistance value

### 3.2.4 RC Polyphase Filter

In all of our derivations so far we have only considered the coverage of $90^{\circ}$ of phase, in a real system we require of course a full coverage of $360^{\circ}$. This requires us to enable the creation of shifted versions of out input signal to cover all 4 quadrants. This is not a unique requirement for our design, and also exists for other topologies, such as the current steering DAC represented by the sine and cosine multipliers of the baseband current. This task is in fact very commonplace in many systems which require the creation of orthogonal signals.

For our design we will address this issue by inserting an RC polyphase filter at the input of the system before the amplifier, and selecting the appropriate quadrant with an additional 2 bits. Thus, we will phase shift the chosen quadrant by an additional 10 bit of precision over the quadrant span resulting in an overall resolution of 12 bits.

A schematic showing the polyphase filter design is shown in Figure 3-15. The values chosen for the resistors is $R_{p o l y}=315 \Omega$, and the value of the capacitors is $C_{p o l y}=210 \mathrm{fF}$. The choice to use two stages of the polyphase filter as opposed to one was to reduce the sensitivity to frequency variation at a cost of an additional amplitude loss of 3 dB at the
output [24]. This is shown in Figures 3-16 and 3-17.


Figure 3-15: RC polyphase filter schematic

In order to avoid asymmetrical loading of the polyphase filter by the common source amplifier stage, a unity gain, source follower amplifier was implemented following a quadrature select analog MUX. This allowed for the relevant output to be loaded by a small capacitance and thus not varying and affecting its output greatly.

### 3.3 Measurement Results

The PM was extensively tested in static scenarios as well as high data transfer rate to demonstrate the extremely accurate and fast operation of the circuit and its fast settling time. See Appendix A for a detailed explanation on the chip testing setup as well as the data demodulation technique used to obtain the results below.

Due to extremely larger parasitic effects than were expected, the center frequency operation of the circuit which enabled complete $360^{\circ}$ coverage of phase had to be set to approximately 420 MHz . This however does not affect any of the operating concepts of the circuit and it still illustrates many of the conceptual ideas described above.


Figure 3-16: One stage RC Polyphase filter response


Figure 3-17: Two stage RC Polyphase filter response

### 3.3.1 Capacitor Trim

We start our measurements by adjusting the output bias voltage to be near half the supply voltage (around 0.5 V ) in order to obtain a $50 \%$ duty-cycle signal at the output. Choosing the extreme and middle points of the varying capacitor bank, i.e. code words 0,512 and 1023 should result in the extreme and middle phase points of a single quadrant. Comparing the degree span of the top half to the bottom half gives us an indication about the tank's frequency tuning. When the tank is tuned, the two halves should be equal, and their difference will be $0^{\circ}$. If the fixed capacitance is too low, the center frequency of the tank will be higher than the actual carrier frequency and the result will be a quadrant sweep where the bottom half covers a smaller degree span than the top half of the quadrant and alternately if the fixed capacitance is too large.

Plotting the difference between the bottom half quadrant to the top half for each fixed capacitance trim code results in the measurement plot shown in Figure 3-18. As can be seen, for a trim code of $C_{\text {trim }}=25$ we observe an equilibrium and symmetry in the phase sweep.


Figure 3-18: Phase quadrant imbalance vs. fixed capacitor size trim

### 3.3.2 Resistor Trim

After calibrating the center frequency of the tank, we may now compensate for any variations in the resistor size or varying capacitor LSB size by modifying the active resistor's current bias, thus changing the effective resistor value and the quality factor of the circuit. Changing the resistor value will determine the phase coverage of a single quadrant, ideally this should be $90^{\circ}$, but we may choose a slightly larger coverage to ensure overlap between quadrants and avoid missing codes. This is illustrated in Figure 3-19 showing the phase coverage of one quadrant for several different resistor trim codes, and the expansions and contraction of the phase coverage can be observed while maintaining the symmetry around the code's center point, once again illustrating the concept of variable separation on the system's behavior. Figure 3-20 shows the measurement results of the quadrant size, i.e. the phase at the minimum capacitor code subtracted from the phase at the maximum capacitor code, as a function of the resistor trim value which is inversely proportional to the resistor size. We can see that for a code of about $R_{\text {trim }}=5$ we obtain roughly $90.9^{\circ}$ quadrant phase coverage.


Figure 3-19: Quadrant phase coverage for various resistor trim values


Figure 3-20: Quadrant size as a function of resistor size trim

### 3.3.3 Static Sweep

Once all the biases and trim values are set as described previously, we may begin with static characterization of the PM. In order to do so, a static variable capacitor coding was set, from 0 to 4095 , covering the full 12 bit of resolution in the system, and the output signal was demodulated to calculate the appropriate phase. Figure 3-21 plots this static measurement. As can be seen, there is some overlap between different quadrants, we are covering more than the required $360^{\circ}$ of phase and there are some apparent non-linearities in the output phase due to the arctangent nature of our PM.

Figure 3-22 articulates the aforementioned non linearities by calculating our system's Differential Non-Linearity (DNL) measure. The DNL is calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D N L_{i}=\frac{\text { out }_{i}-\text { out }_{i-1}}{L S B} \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2^{b}-1 \quad \text { where } L S B=\frac{\text { out }_{2}{ }^{b}-1}{}-\text { out }_{0} 2^{b} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the absolute value of the DNL is greater than 1 for many code words is indicative of the non-linearity in the system and will result in a lower Effective Number Of


Figure 3-21: Static phase sweep

## Bits (ENOB).

All of these issues may be addressed by a simple digital pre-distortion look-up table. We may match every code word to its actual phase value, and then when a requested phase is given, the lookup table matches the closest appropriate code which will generate the desired phase. Figure 3-23 illustrates this concept and shows the improved linearity achieved via this simple technique.

Figure 3-24 illustrates that after pre-distortion we may find that in order to assure a DNL value smaller than 1 for all code transitions, the effective number of bits of our system is approximately 10.2 (disregarding one "rogue" value, if we wish to eliminate it as well our ENOB drops to 9 bits).


Figure 3-22: DNL measurement of raw phase sweep


Figure 3-23: Static phase sweep with pre-distortion


Figure 3-24: DNL after pre-distortion and resolution reduction

### 3.3.4 Settling Time

To test the settling time performance of the circuit, a repetitive pattern was transmitted causing a phase change of $90^{\circ}$ in either direction, being the largest available step size (recalling that greater phase changes swap between quadrants of the input signal rather than change the tank capacitance). Figure 3-25(a) shows the demodulated signal step response and 3-25(b) shows a zoomed-in view of the same response with the $\pm 1^{\circ}$ limits marked around the start and end phase in dashed lines.

It can be seen that our system indeed has a very fast settling time, which is in excellent agreement with Eq. (3.13) at about 5 carrier cycles for settling to $1^{\circ}$ of the final value. This speed allows us, as mentioned earlier, to highly oversample the transmitted signal if desired in order to improve the output spectrum.


Figure 3-25: (a) Phase step settling time and (b) zoom-in

### 3.3.5 Error Vector Magnitude (EVM)

To demonstrate the linearity and accuracy of our PM while transmitting at high data rates several experiments were conducted transmitting various modulated data at different rates. The modulation schemes chosen are those which are a natural candidate for our PM as a stand alone system, not part of an AMO architecture, which are constant envelope modulation schemes such as Quadrature Phase Shift Keying (QPSK) and 8-PSK. For each of these modulation schemes a random set of symbols was transmitted with, and without preliminary pre-distortion as explained in Section 3.3.3, at rates of up to $80 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$, one fifth of the carrier frequency which was shown to be roughly the settling time in Section 3.3.4.

The EVM of these experiments was calculated as explained in Section A. 3 and the summary of these experiment's results is given in Table 3.1 for the pre-distorted samples and the values for the samples without pre-distortion given in parenthesis. Sample constellation diagrams are also shown in Figures 3-26 and 3-27 for QPSK and 8-PSK modulations at $40 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$ respectively. Immediately evident as a result of these tests is the considerable improvement in the EVM of the signals once the pre-distortion was employed. This is even more pronounced in the $8-\mathrm{PSK}$ modulated signals where we must rely on a greater resolution than the mere 4 different quadrants in order to create the desired phases.

| Constellation | Sample Rate | EVM [\%] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [MS/s] | RMS | $\mathbf{9 5}^{\text {th }}$ \% | Peak |  |
| QPSK | 10 | $1.39(5.12)$ | $2.53(8.97)$ | $2.78(12.34)$ |  |
|  | 40 | $1.57(6.14)$ | $2.68(9.83)$ | $3.54(11.14)$ |  |
|  | 80 | $4.39(7.33)$ | $8.06(11.13)$ | $10.38(12.68)$ |  |
| 8-PSK | 10 | $1.61(20.54)$ | $2.34(41.91)$ | $3.56(45.77)$ |  |
|  | 40 | $1.71(22.28)$ | $2.57(39.35)$ | $3.84(47.72)$ |  |
|  | 80 | $2.81(19.08)$ | $4.71(32.88)$ | $8.02(39.95)$ |  |

Table 3.1: EVM measurement summary

These EVM results come to show that the system can still maintain good linearity values, expressed in low, $2-4 \%$ values even when operating at high data rates close to the limit of the PM's capability and not only at its static settings.


Figure 3-26: EVM measurements for QPSK modulation at $40 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$


Figure 3-27: EVM measurements for 8-PSK modulation at $40 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$

### 3.3.6 Power Spectrum

An important criteria of any transmitter is the Power Spectral Density (PSD) of its output. Different communication protocols and regulatory bodies such as the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI) define guidelines as to which levels of transmission power are allowed at various frequency channels. The main purpose of these regulations is to ensure "peaceful coexistence" of multiple transmitters in the same area, so as not to allow one to interfere too heavily with its neighbor's transmission. These requirements are often described via masks which overlay the transmitters PSD output and require that the transmission power be below those prescribed limits.

One such protocol is the Medical Implant Communication Services (MICS) [25], which defines channels for transmissions by devices used in implanted medical devices [26]. This protocol does not specify the modulation scheme, only that power levels out of the 6 MHz band of transmission be lower than 20 dB compared to the carrier. To maximize the utilization of the available bandwidth we will use a modulation scheme of 8-PSK allowing us to encode 3 bits of information in every symbol transmitted. For simplicity we will not preform any pulse shaping on the data transmitted and simply transmit random data and look at the output spectrum.

The output PSD is calculated as described in Section A. 4 and plotted alongside the MICS spectral mask in Figure 3-28(a). We may observe that the side-lobes created by the periodic sampling of the signal limited the maximum data rate we can use and still meet the mask requirements to $1.25 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$, which in turn translates to an effective data rate of $3.75 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$. We have not however even come close to utilizing the full speed capability of our PM. We may improve the output spectrum of our signal and eliminate some of these low frequency repetition side-lobes by oversampling our signal prior to transmission and increasing the sample rate. This allows us for example to obtain an Oversampling Ratio (OSR) of 8 , with a sample rate of $15 \mathrm{MSamples} / \mathrm{s}$, a symbol rate of $1.875 \mathrm{MSymbols} / \mathrm{s}$ and therefore an effective data rate of $5.625 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ while still abiding by the spectral mask requirements as shown in Figure 3-28(b). We have therefore improved our effective data
rate by $50 \%$ by simply utilizing oversampling enabled by the fast settling time of our system.


Figure 3-28: 8-PSK modulation output PSD overlaid with MICS mask

Another widespread communication protocol is Global System for Mobile Communications (GSM) used in many cellular applications around the world. The power spectrum requirements of GSM are very strict, calling, among other things, for 60 dB of attenuation relative to the carrier at an offset of 400 kHz [27]. In order to meet these requirements, one of the methods used by the protocol is to modulate the transmitted data using Gaussian Minimum Shift Keying (GMSK) modulation. GMSK is similar to Minimum Shift Keying (MSK), which in turn is a form of Continuous Phase Modulation (CPM). In CPM the phase of the signal is changed continuously, and not abruptly such as in QPSK or 8-PSK. MSK has a constellation identical to that of QPSK, but allows transitions of only $\pm 90^{\circ}$ for every symbol change, meaning that the signal will not have phase changes of $180^{\circ}$. This allows considerable improvement of the output power spectrum since it eliminates high frequency sharp edges and transitions in the signal. GMSK improves this yet further by applying a Gaussian filter to the data before transmission [28], thus further shaping the output spectrum.

Figure 3-29 shows the results of PSD measurement for data transmitted using GMSK modulation and an OSR of 8. The spectrum does not fully comply with the mask, the spectrum being higher from about 300 kHz offset to around 1 MHz offset, with a maximum
deviation of 12.4 dB from the mask requirements. This is most likely due to the switching nature of our system which introduces noise and raises the noise floor making it increasingly difficult to meet the stringent requirements of the GSM protocol.


Figure 3-29: (a) GMSK modulation output PSD overlaid with GSM mask and (b) zoom-in

### 3.4 Summary

An approach to achieve fast, high precision phase modulation for use in an outphasing transmitter was presented and analyzed. The merits and design considerations of the proposed approach were discussed. The switching of the capacitive load of a low-Q resonant tank shows promise as being simple to implement and meeting the requirements for use in such outphasing systems.

A proof-of-concept test chip implementing the proposed phase modulator was fabricated in 65 nm CMOS and tested to verify performance and capabilities. It was shown to be able to operate at speeds up to one fifth of the carrier cycle, with an accuracy of roughly 10.2 bits. The PM was tested as a stand-alone constant-envelope transmitter and shown to maintain good linearity of less than 5\% EVM while modulating data using QPSK and 8-PSK. The output power spectrum was analyzed and shown to comply with requirements for broadcasting in the MICS bands and achieving very high data rates of up to $5.625 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ over a 6 MHz band.

## Appendix A

## Data Demodulation

Following is a detailed explanation on how the data demodulation was done in order to obtain the phase data from the output signals of the Low-Q Resonant Tank Phase Modulator test chip. The method used here resembles the basic principles of general signal demodulation in receivers [29], with a few nuances which help yield better numerical accuracy for the results.

## A. 1 Test Setup

Figure A-1 illustrates the testing setup used to capture the measurement results of the system. The Low-Q Resonant Tank Phase Modulator test chip was flip-chip bonded to a Printed Circuit Board (PCB) substrate to supply the various bias voltages as well as allow communication with an FPGA unit. An RF source output was split, going to the real-time oscilloscope as well as to the phase modulator. The output of the phase modulator was also connected to the real-time scope as well as to a spectrum analyzer. An external clock generator was used for the data baseband transfer.


Figure A-1: Measurement setup

## A. 2 Demodulation Procedure

The sampling scope is set to trigger on the beginning of a transmitted data block. A single run, without averaging, is captured by the scope and the raw data is saved consisting of the reference carrier signal as well as the PM output waveform (see Figure A-2). The scope sampling rate is set to an integer multiple $N$ of the carrier frequency (or vice versa).

The raw data is loaded in Matlab, and processed as follows: For each signal $x(t)$ we modulate it to baseband and create the signal

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=x(t) e^{j 2 \pi f_{c} t} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $f_{c}$ is the center carrier frequency (see Figure A-3).


Figure A-2: Reference and PM output data from scope capture. Data rate is $10 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$, carrier frequency 416.67 MHz , sampling rate $40 \mathrm{GS} / \mathrm{s}$


Figure A-3: Modulated PM output (only real part displayed)

The signal is then filtered using a moving average filter, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=y(t) * h(t) \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The filter consists of the number of points $N$ which are the integer ratio between the sampling frequency and the carrier frequency. Such a filter is simply a scaled window in the time domain, or a sinc function in the frequency domain (see Figure A-4), whose zeros occur at integer multiples of the carrier frequency. This allows to capture a very wide baseband content of the signal and ensures the removal of artifacts caused by the carrier and its harmonics as well as the sampling frequency (which in our case is also a harmonic of the carrier and will be zeroed out). This wideband filter allows us to resolve very fast transitions in the data and helps avoid masking of the fast phase transitions we wish to observe by low-pass filtering them. The first $N$ points of the filtered data are removed, since they exhibit transient effects due to the finite length of the filter


Figure A-4: Low-pass filter frequency response

The filtered data is now normalized to its average absolute value

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)=\frac{z(t)}{\langle | z(t)| \rangle} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are dealing with phase modulated signals, the amplitude of these signals is roughly constant, the normalization simply scales the signal's amplitude to 1 .

Since we are mainly interested in the signal's phase in respect to the input carrier, we now scale the PM output by the reference signal

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{\text {final }}(t)=\frac{z_{p m}^{\prime}(t)}{z_{r e f}^{\prime}(t)} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This also eliminates any errors which occur due to drift or change in the reference's absolute phase.

At this point, the signal $z_{\text {final }}^{\prime}(t)$ contains all the desired information. Its real and imaginary parts correspond to the In-phase and Quadrature components of the baseband signal (see Figure A-5), and its phase is the sought after modulated phase value. To match this value to the transmitted data, we need to sample the signal at the data rate, where the sample offset may be set to the middle of the sample, and we may also apply a constant phase offset in order to rotate the constellation to a desired starting point (see Figure A-6). Therefore the sampled data may be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d[n]=z_{\text {final }}^{\prime}\left(n T+T_{o f f \text { set }}\right) e^{j \theta} \quad \text { where } T=\frac{1}{f_{\text {baseband }}} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure A-5: Demodulated normalized data, showing real (In-phase) and imaginary (Quadrature) components


Figure A-6: Demodulated normalized data, showing phase. Sample points are indicated by circle markers

## A. 3 Error Vector Magnitude (EVM) Calculation

The EVM is a measurement of the linearity of the system, it indicates how closely the transmitted symbols are in relation to an ideal reference symbol on the constellation diagram. This can be easily understood from the sketch shown in Figure A-7 ${ }^{1}$.


Figure A-7: EVM definition plot

When transmitting many symbols, each one will have an error vector associated with it, which represents the difference between the actual received signal and the ideal symbol which was intended to be transmitted. We will denote this vector as the complex number $e_{k}$ representing the error vector corresponding to the $k^{t h}$ symbol transmitted. The appropriate corresponding ideal reference vector will be denoted as the complex number $r_{k}$. Specifically in our case, since we are dealing with a phase modulator, all normalized ideal symbols will lie on the unit circle and therefore have a magnitude of 1 , such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|r_{k}\right|=1 \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote each actual symbol and its corresponding reference as a complex number

[^1]related to their In-phase and Quadrature components
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{k}=I_{k}+j Q_{k}  \tag{A.7}\\
& r_{k}=\tilde{I}_{k}+j \tilde{Q}_{k} \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Then the error vector may be obtained by the subtraction of the ideal reference from the actual signal

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{k}=s_{k}-r_{k} \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnitude of the error vector can now easily be calculated for each symbol as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|e_{k}\right| & =\left|s_{k}-r_{k}\right| \\
& =\left|\left(I_{k}-\tilde{I}_{k}\right)+j\left(Q_{k}-\tilde{Q}_{k}\right)\right| \\
& =\sqrt{\left(I_{k}-\tilde{I}_{k}\right)^{2}+\left(Q_{k}-\tilde{Q}_{k}\right)^{2}} \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now that we have defined the magnitude of the error vector for each transmitted symbol we may define several statistical figures of merit which help characterize the linearity of the system and how close it is to the desired ideal. Assuming a transmission of $N$ symbols, we may define the Root Mean Square (RMS) EVM as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E V M_{R M S}=\sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|e_{k}\right|^{2}}{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|r_{k}\right|^{2}}}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|e_{k}\right|^{2}} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where the last transition was possible in our case due to Eq. (A.6).
We may further define the peak EVM value as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E V M_{P e a k}=\max _{k}\left|e_{k}\right| \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another useful definition is that of the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile EVM. This value is obtained by creating a histogram of the EVM values, and selecting the value which $95 \%$ of the samples
lie below it. From the above definitions we can conclude that the following relationship always holds true

$$
\begin{equation*}
E V M_{R M S} \leq E V M_{95 \%} \leq E V M_{P e a k} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

These definitions are illustrated below in Figure A-8. A population of 2000 symbols were transmitted at $40 \mathrm{MS} / \mathrm{s}$ with 8 -PSK modulation. The data was analyzed and sampled as described in section A. 2 and the EVM was divided into 50 histogram bins and plotted. The dashed lines represent the various values corresponding to the RMS, $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile and peak EVM values of this example.


Figure A-8: EVM histogram example

## A. 4 Power Spectral Density (PSD) Calculation

The PSD of a signal was calculated using Welche's method for spectral estimation [30]. Basically taking the data signal, dividing it into several overlapping segments and windowing each one with a Hanning window [31]. We then calculate the absolute square of the Fourier Transform of the windowed segments and average, effectively creating an averaged modified periodogram.

The plots presented in this work are usually normalized to the peak output power, so the units of the graphs are given in $d B c / H z$, that is, power per unit frequency relative to the carrier power. This enables simple comparison to standard communication protocol spectral masks as presented in Section 3.3.6.
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