Daily monthly and seasonal variation in PSA levels and the association with weather parameters

Published in:
Prostate cancer and prostatic diseases

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Daily, monthly and seasonal variation in PSA levels and the association with weather parameters

D Connolly[1,3], PJ van Leeuwen[2], J Bailie[3], A Black[4], LJ Murray[4], PF Keane[1] and A Gavin[5]

[1]Department of Urology, Belfast City Hospital, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK; [2]Department of Urology, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; [3]Department of Urology, Altnagelvin Hospital, Derry, Northern Ireland, UK; [4]Cancer Epidemiology & Prevention Research Group, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK and [5]Northern Ireland Cancer Registry, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UK

PSA levels have shown daily and seasonal variation, although data are conflicting regarding the season with higher PSA levels and the clinical relevance of this. We assessed the correlation of total PSA levels with meteorological data on a daily, weekly, monthly and seasonal basis. Data from 53 224 men aged 45–74 years, with an initial PSA $<10.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ were correlated with temperature (°C), duration of bright sunshine (hours) and rainfall (mm). There was seasonal variation in PSA levels, with median PSA being higher in spring compared with other seasons (1.18 vs 1.10 $\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$, $P = 0.004$). Seasonal variation was not apparent when PSA levels were age-adjusted ($P = 0.112$). Total PSA was not correlated with daily, weekly or monthly hours of sunshine, rainfall or mean temperature. In contrast, age-adjusted PSA varied with weekday, with higher PSA levels on Thursday and Friday compared with other days (1.16 vs 1.10 $\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$, respectively). On multivariate analysis, only age predicted for PSA levels $>3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$. In conclusion, PSA levels did show seasonal variation, although there was no direct correlation between PSA and any meteorological parameter. The degree of seasonal variation is small and the decision to proceed to prostate biopsy should be independent of season or weather parameters.

Introduction

PSA remains the best available biomarker for the early detection of prostate cancer.[1] One of the main limitations to the accuracy of PSA is its inherent variability,[2] with many factors known to cause transient elevations in PSA that are unrelated to prostate cancer.[3–6] As part of this variability, PSA has been shown to vary by season, with two studies from the French and Spanish sections of the European Randomized Study of Screening for Prostate Cancer (ERSPC) having contrasting results.[7,8] Specifically, Luján Galán *et al.*[7] found a non-significant trend towards higher PSA levels during the autumn and winter, whereas Salama *et al.*[8] found that PSA levels were higher during the summer, with a positive correlation between PSA level and insolation (hours of bright sunshine). The current study aimed to assess whether the seasonality of PSA continued in a large non-screening population, and to examine the correlation of total PSA with meteorological data on a daily, weekly, monthly and seasonal basis.

Subjects and methods

Study participants

All men, aged 45–74 years, with an initial PSA $<10.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$, measured during a weekday in Northern Ireland (NI) between 1 January 2002 and 31 December 2006 were identified from a regional database of PSA tests.[9–11] Initial PSA data only were included, whereas men with a previous diagnosis of prostate cancer were excluded.

Weather data for NI were obtained from the UK Met Office (http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate/uk/datasets/index.html). Weather information including monthly minimum, maximum and mean temperatures (°C), duration of bright sunshine (hours), actual rainfall (mm) and mean wind speed (knots) were obtained from January 2002 until December 2006. Daily meteorological data were available for 2006 only.

To assess whether the weather parameters influenced the number of men who theoretically would be referred for biopsy if screening were performed, the number of

men with a serum PSA $\geqslant 3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ was calculated for each month.

Statistical analysis

Variables are expressed as median and mean with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). For statistical comparison, PSA values were logarithmically transformed to obtain a normal distribution. Weather parameters were normally distributed and so were not similarly transformed. Relationships were tested by the Pearson's product correlation coefficient. Multivariate linear and logistic regression was used to test the relation of meteorological data with PSA and a PSA cut-off of $3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$. A P-value <0.05 was considered significant. All analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software, version 16.0.

Ethical approval

All patient identifiable information was removed before the research team accessed the data used in this study, and no patient contact was made during the study. Therefore, ethical approval was not sought.

Results

53 224 men were included. Overall, total PSA correlated with age ($r = 0.34$, $P < 0.001$), with mean and median PSA levels increasing with age category (Table 1). The number and percentage of men with PSA levels above $3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ also increased with increasing age ($P < 0.001$). There was seasonal variation in PSA levels, with median PSA levels being higher in spring compared with other seasons (1.18 vs $1.10\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$, $P = 0.001$). Mean age at initial PSA testing was slightly higher in springtime compared with other seasons (59.7 vs 59.4 years, respectively, $P = 0.075$). Consequently, seasonal variation in PSA was not apparent when PSA levels were age-adjusted ($P = 0.112$). The monthly mean and median PSA levels, as well as the proportion of men with PSA levels above $3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ are graphically illustrated in Figure 1. In contrast, age-adjusted PSA levels did vary by weekday, with PSA levels on Thursday and Friday being higher than on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday (median 1.16 vs $1.10\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$, respectively, $P = 0.004$).

As demonstrated in Figure 2, meteorological data showed monthly variation in hours of bright sunshine, mean temperatures and rainfall (95% CI: sunshine 93.3–117.5 h, temperature 8.5–10.5 °C and rainfall 84.4–103.8 mm). Actual hours sunshine and mean temperatures were correlated

($r = 0.60$, $P < 0.001$), with a negative correlation between sunshine and rainfall ($r = -0.362$, $P < 0.001$).

Overall, for the years 2002–2006, the age-adjusted total PSA was not correlated with monthly hours of sunshine ($r = -0.004$, $P = 0.429$), mean temperature ($r = -0.005$, $P = 0.282$) or rainfall ($r = -0.001$, $P = 0.935$). For 2006, the correlation between the age-adjusted PSA level and daily and weekly meteorological data were tested. No correlation was found for sunshine ($r = -0.005$, $P = 0.570$), mean temperature ($r = -0.002$, $P = 0.844$), rainfall ($r = 0.001$, $P = 0.931$) or wind speed ($r = 0.007$, $P = 0.382$). When analyses were confined to men with PSA levels $<4.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$, again no correlation was found between age-adjusted PSA levels and sunshine ($r = -0.003$, $P = 0.603$), temperature ($r = 0.004$, $P = 0.445$) or rainfall ($r = -0.001$, $P = 0.829$).

As PSA $\geqslant 3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ is a widely used cut-off for prostate biopsy, multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to establish if men were at higher risk of having PSA $\geqslant 3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ in relation to age and meteorological variation. Significant association was found for age (odds ratio: 1.091, 95% CI: 1.087–1.095, $P < 0.001$), but not for sunshine (odds ratio 1.00, 95% CI: 0.99–1.01, $P = 0.789$), mean temperature (odds ratio 0.993, 95% CI: 0.983–1.002,

Figure 1 Variation in total PSA levels and percentage of men with PSA $\geqslant 3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ by month. (**a**) Total PSA. (**b**) Percentage with PSA $>3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$.

Table 1 Patient characteristics; age, total PSA and number with PSA $>3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ by age category

Age (years)	Number of men (%)	Total PSA (ng ml⁻¹)		PSA $>3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml^{-1}}$ (%)
		Mean (95% CI)	Median (IQR)	
45–50	8971 (16.9)	1.02 (0.99–1.04)	0.70 (0.50–1.11)	371 (4.1)
51–55	10167 (19.1)	1.26 (1.24–1.29)	0.90 (0.50–1.50)	787 (7.7)
56–60	11108 (20.6)	1.56 (1.53–1.59)	1.00 (0.60–1.90)	1422 (12.8)
61–65	9604 (18.2)	1.82 (1.78–1.85)	1.20 (0.70–2.30)	1698 (17.7)
66–70	7541 (14.2)	2.20 (2.15–2.24)	1.50 (0.80–2.91)	1877 (24.9)
71–75	5833 (11.0)	2.48 (2.43–2.54)	1.80 (0.90–3.50)	1791 (30.7)

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range.

Figure 2 Monthly variation in actual sunshine, mean temperature and rainfall. (**a**) Actual sunshine (hours). (**b**) Temperature (°C). (**c**) Rainfall (mm).

$P = 0.123$) or rainfall (odds ratio 1.00, 95% CI: 0.99–1.01, $P = 0.827$).

Discussion

This study has confirmed that there is seasonal variation in total PSA levels, with men in the current study having higher PSA levels in spring compared with other seasons. Seasonal variation was, however, not apparent when PSA levels were age adjusted. Further, there was no direct correlation between PSA and any single meteorological parameter. In contrast, there was variation in age-adjusted PSA level by weekday, with total PSA being higher on a Thursday or Friday than other days, suggesting that there may be other social factors responsible for this variation as opposed to it simply being weather related. Finally, although the variations in PSA level noted in the current study, namely a median difference of 0.08 ng ml^{-1} by season and 0.06 ng ml^{-1} by weekday, respectively, are statistically significant, they are not clinically significant. Therefore, contrary to the recommendations by Salama *et al*.[8] there is no benefit in repeating an abnormal PSA level, and the decision to proceed to prostate biopsy should be independent of season or climatic conditions.

Strengths of the current study are the large number of participants and its population-based design. The PSA data included represents all initial PSA tests

< 10.0 ng ml^{-1}, performed in NI between 2002 and 2006—this is, therefore, a heterogeneous group containing all men undergoing PSA testing, irrespective of their symptoms. Previous studies have demonstrated that the majority of men in NI had PSA testing performed for urinary symptoms (64.9%), 16.9% had testing for symptoms suggestive of advanced cancer, such as bone pain, whereas only 18.0% were asymptomatic—this, therefore, more likely represents a standard clinical population than a pure screening study.[9] Further, meteorological data were available at a daily level as opposed to simply a seasonal or monthly level, allowing more analysis in depth.

There are a number of notable limitations. First, the data are retrospective. Ideally, the effect of weather parameters on PSA levels should be assessed by a prospective longitudinal study. Given the small variations in PSA levels noted in the current study, this would require a large number of participants, with data also being collected on other factors that may affect PSA levels, such as sexual activity. As this would require significant time and resources, and the impact on clinical practice is likely to be minor, the current study design may be an appropriate alternative. Second, clinical information was not available for men, which prevents inferences being made about how factors, such as the reason for PSA testing, family history, medications, rectal examination findings or prostate volume may have influenced PSA levels. Third, different PSA assays were used during the study period, which, given their inherent variability, might not provide results that are directly comparable. Analyses were repeated assessing each individual year when assays may have changed and no significant correlation was identified between weather parameters and PSA. Further, previous analyses of the NI PSA database have not shown any systematic bias in PSA results from any laboratory.[9–11] Other limitations of the data in the NI PSA database have previously been discussed.[9–11] In addition, regional weather data only are presented. NI is a small region with similar weather patterns throughout the province; therefore, local differences in weather parameters are unlikely to be significant. Furthermore, NI is a temperate region with lower temperatures and levels of sunshine than many countries; the PSA changes demonstrated may only be applicable to areas with similar climatic conditions. Finally, because of the possibility of there being a lag time between periods of bright sunshine to changes in PSA, the analyses were repeated assessing a lag time of 1 week and 1 month. Similar results, that is, no correlation was identified between any weather parameter and PSA levels.

The results of the current study differ from recent studies that demonstrated higher PSA levels in autumn or winter and in summer.[7,8,12] Luján Galán *et al*.[7] assessed PSA levels in 2147 men participating in the Spanish section of the ERSPC, and correlated these with meteorological data. They found a non-significant trend towards higher PSA levels in the autumn and winter, with age and temperature being independent predictors of having a PSA level $\geqslant 3.0$ ng ml^{-1} on multivariate analysis. As the magnitude of the PSA changes was small, Luján Galán *et al*.[7] did not recommend any changes to biopsy indication on the basis of the climatic parameters. In contrast, using a similar study design in

8644 men from the French section of the ERSPC, Salama et al.[8] found higher PSA levels during the summer, with a positive correlation between PSA and insolation. This resulted in a 23% higher risk of being referred for prostate biopsy during the summer, based on the ERSPC cut-off of $3.0\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$. Salama et al., therefore, recommended 'confirming any isolated test result before biopsy, even more so if this was obtained in summer'.[8] Veith et al.[12] assessed changes in PSA in men on active surveillance for prostate cancer, and found a lower increase or even a decrease in PSA levels in spring compared with other seasons. This was attributed, as it was in the study by Salama et al.[8] to be due to the potentially positive effects of vitamin D on prostate biology. The current study, in a much larger and heterogeneous population, showed higher PSA levels in spring with no correlation between PSA and meteorological parameters. One possible explanation for the differing results may be the different populations; certainly the population in NI is different from that in the ERSPC, with less than 20% of men being asymptomatic at the time of PSA testing.[9] However, the populations in the French and Spanish studies should have been similar, with both meeting the inclusion criteria for the ERSPC, and so, comparable results would have been expected. Another possible reason could be the temperate climate in NI compared with France or Spain. If PSA levels were related to insolation, then there may be a minimum level of sunshine exposure that is required to cause an elevation of PSA, which is not met in NI. As demonstrated in Figure 2, even at peak levels of sunshine, the duration was much lower in NI (150–200 h per month) compared with the French study (300–350 h per month).[8] Again, if this effect were true, then an even greater difference would have been expected in the Spanish study,[7] and in fact, the converse was true, PSA levels were higher in cold weather conditions.

A more likely explanation to the differing results between the studies may be that other unmeasured factors were involved that may cause higher PSA levels and are related to season. First, as the seasonal variation was not apparent when PSA levels were age-adjusted, this may simply be an age-related phenomenon, that is, slightly older men were more likely to attend for PSA testing during springtime. Further, the variation in age-adjusted PSA levels in NI by weekday suggests that there may be social reasons involved as opposed to a true biological basis. One possible factor is sexual behaviour and ejaculation. Ejaculation has been shown to cause transient rises of PSA.[3,4] Tchetgen et al.[3] demonstrated in 64 men aged 49–79 years, that PSA had increased by $0.8\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$ at 1 h, $0.3\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$ at 6 h and $0.2\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$ at 24 h after ejaculation. They also found that higher baseline PSA values tended to increase by more following ejaculation and that there was a significant positive correlation between the patients' age and the PSA increase following ejaculation.[3] Similarly, Herschman et al.[4] found in 20 men with mean age 59 years, a mean increase in PSA of $0.3\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$ at 1 h, $0.1\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$ at 6 h and $0.1\,\mathrm{ng\,ml}^{-1}$ at 24 h after ejaculation. Sexual behaviour in humans does show seasonal variation.[13–17] This is apparent in many European countries,[13] with peak conception rates occurring in April/May. Conception rates also correlate with increasing exposure to sunlight and with temperature.[14,15] Further, the frequency of sexual activity, rate of sexually transmitted diseases and the sale of contraceptives are all seasonal,[16] with Carlsen et al.[17] demonstrating higher rates of ejaculation during springtime in Danish men. Therefore, seasonal variation in PSA levels may be a reflection of the variation in ejaculation frequency in the studied populations. It is interesting that, Roenneberg and Aschoff found that conception rates are decreased at extremes of temperature,[14] which, if there was an associated decrease in frequency of ejaculation, may explain the lower levels of PSA in Spain during the summer and the subsequent higher levels in autumn and winter.[8]

A similar argument can be made for physical activity to be a contributing factor to seasonal variation in PSA. Physical activity, particularly bicycle riding, can be associated with a transient rise in PSA,[18,19] particularly in older men and those with elevated baseline PSA levels. Further, physical exercise has been shown to have seasonal variability, with decreased levels of exercise during the winter or in extremes of weather.[20] This has been shown specifically in older people, with exercise levels being independently correlated with day length, sunshine and mean temperature.[21]

Irrespective of the cause of seasonal variation of PSA, the clinical application of this is more straightforward. As demonstrated in the previous studies and illustrated in Figure 1,[7,8,12] the actual variation in mean and median PSA by season is of a small magnitude, and although statistically significant, is not clinically significant. If the decision to proceed to prostate biopsy is based on the underlying risk of prostate cancer as opposed to a PSA value being above or below an arbitrary cut-off, then these variations in PSA by season or weekday are irrelevant. Contrary to the recommendations by Salama et al.,[8] there is, therefore, no benefit in repeating an abnormal PSA level, and the decision to proceed to prostate biopsy should be independent of season or climatic conditions.

In conclusion, this study has confirmed that there is seasonal variation in total PSA levels, with men in the current study having higher PSA levels in spring compared with other seasons. As the seasonal variation was not apparent when PSA levels were age-adjusted, this may simply be an age-related phenomenon, and appears more likely to be related to social factors as opposed to climatic conditions. The magnitude of variations in PSA level by season or weekday is small and should not influence the decision to proceed to prostate biopsy.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, manuscript preparation or the decision to proceed to publication.

References

1 Catalona WJ, Loeb S. The PSA era is not over for prostate cancer. *Eur Urol* 2005; **48**: 541–545.

2 Soletormos G, Semjonow A, Sibley PE, Lamerz R, Petersen PH, Albrecht W *et al.* Biological variation of total prostate-specific antigen: a survey of published estimates and consequences for clinical practice. *Clin Chem* 2005; **51**: 1342–1351.

3 Tchetgen MB, Oesterling JE. The effect of prostatitis, urinary retention, ejaculation, and ambulation on the serum prostate-specific antigen concentration. *Urol Clin North Am* 1997; **24**: 283–291.

4 Herschman JD, Smith DS, Catalona WJ. Effect of ejaculation on serum total and free prostate-specific antigen concentrations. *Urology* 1997; **50**: 239–243.

5 Chybowski FM, Bergstralh EJ, Oesterling JE. The effect of digital rectal examination on the serum prostate specific antigen concentration: results of a randomized study. *J Urol* 1992; **148**: 83–86.

6 Pansadoro V, Emiliozzi P, Defidio L, Scarpone P, Sabatini G, Brisciani A *et al.* Prostate-specific antigen and prostatitis in men under fifty. *Eur Urol* 1996; **30**: 24–27.

7 Luján Galán M, Pascual Mateo C, Rodríguez Garcia N, García Mediero JM, Pascual Durán T, Paez Borda A *et al.* Impact of the weather on the serum levels of prostatic specific antigen (PSA). *Arch Esp Urol* 2006; **59**: 247–252.

8 Salama G, Noirot O, Bataille V, Malavaud S, Rebillard X, Villers A *et al.* Seasonality of serum prostate-specific antigen levels: a population-based study. *Eur Urol* 2007; **52**: 708–714.

9 Connolly D. Long term follow-up of men with elevated prostate specific antigen levels: a population based study. MD thesis. Queen's University Belfast: Belfast, UK, 2007.

10 Connolly D, Black A, Murray LJ, Gavin A, Keane PF. Repeating an Abnormal Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) Level: How Relevant is a Decrease in PSA? *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis* 2009; **12**: 47–51.

11 Connolly D, Black A, Gavin A, Keane PF, Murray LJ. Baseline prostate-specific antigen level and risk of prostate cancer and prostate-specific mortality: diagnosis is dependent on the intensity of investigation. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008; **17**: 271–278.

12 Vieth R, Choo R, Deboer L, Danjoux C, Morton GC, Klotz L. Rise in prostate-specific antigen in men with untreated low-grade prostate cancer is slower during spring-summer. *Am J Ther* 2006; **13**: 394–399.

13 Roenneberg T, Aschoff J. Annual rhythm of human reproduction: I Biology, sociology, or both? *J Biol Rhythms* 1990; **5**: 195–216.

14 Roenneberg T, Aschoff J. Annual rhythm of human reproduction: II Environmental correlations. *J Biol Rhythms* 1990; **5**: 217–239.

15 Cummings DR. Additional confirmation for the effect of environmental light intensity on the seasonality of human conceptions. *J Biosoc Sci* 2007; **39**: 383–396.

16 Foster RG, Roenneberg T. Human responses to the geophysical daily, annual and lunar cycles. *Curr Biol* 2008; **18**: R784–R794.

17 Carlsen E, Petersen JH, Andersson AM, Skakkebaek NE. Effects of ejaculatory frequency and season on variations in semen quality. *Fertil Steril* 2004; **82**: 358–366.

18 Oremek GM, Seiffert UB. Physical activity releases prostate-specific antigen (PSA) from the prostate gland into blood and increases serum PSA concentrations. *Clin Chem* 1996; **42**: 691–695.

19 Crawford ED III, Mackenzie SH, Safford HR, Capriola M. The effect of bicycle riding on serum prostate specific antigen levels. *J Urol* 1996; **156**: 103–105.

20 Tucker A, Gilliland PJ. The effect of season and weather on physical activity: A systematic review. *Public Health* 2007; **121**: 909–922.

21 Sumukadas D, Witham M, Struthers A, McMurdo M. Day length and weather conditions profoundly affect physical activity levels in older functionally impaired people. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2009; **63**: 305–309.