

K1 of Azumaya algebras over Hensel pairs

Hazrat, R. (2010). K1 of Azumaya algebras over Hensel pairs. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 264, 295-299.

Published in:
Mathematische Zeitschrift

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

SK₁ of Azumaya algebras over Hensel Pairs

Roozbeh Hazrat

Received: 24 January 2008 / Accepted: 14 November 2008 / Published online: 19 December 2008
© Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract Let A be an Azumaya algebra of constant rank n^2 over a Hensel pair (R, I) where R is a semilocal ring with n invertible in R . Then the reduced Whitehead group $SK_1(A)$ coincides with its reduction $SK_1(A/IA)$. This generalizes a result of Hazrat (J Algebra 305:687–703, 2006) to non-local Henselian rings.

Keywords Azumaya algebras · Reduced whitehead group · Reduced norm

Let A be an Azumaya algebra over a ring R of constant rank n^2 . Then there is an étale faithfully flat commutative ring S over R which splits A , i.e., $A \otimes_R S \cong M_n(S)$. For $a \in A$, considering $a \otimes 1$ as an element of $M_n(S)$, one then defines the reduced characteristic polynomial of a as

$$\text{char}_A(x, a) = \det(x - a \otimes 1) = x^n - \text{Trd}(a)x^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n \text{Nrd}(a).$$

Using descent theory, one can show that $\text{char}_A(x, a)$ is independent of S and the isomorphism above and lies in $R[x]$. Furthermore, the element a is invertible in A if and only if $\text{Nrd}_A(a)$, the reduced norm of a , is invertible in R (see [10, III.1.2], and [14, Theorem 4.3]). Let $SL(1, A)$ be the set of elements of A with the reduced norm 1. Since the reduced norm map respects the scalar extensions, it defines the smooth group scheme $SL_{1,A} : T \rightarrow SL(1, A_T)$ where $A_T = A \otimes_R T$ for an R -algebra T . Consider the short exact sequence of smooth group schemes

$$1 \longrightarrow SL_{1,A} \longrightarrow GL_{1,A} \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} G_m \longrightarrow 1$$

where $GL_{1,A} : T \rightarrow A_T^*$ and $G_m(T) = T^*$ for an R -algebra T and A_T^* and T^* are invertible elements of A_T and T , respectively. This exact sequence induces a long exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow SL(1, A) \longrightarrow A^* \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} R^* \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(R, SL(1, A)) \longrightarrow H_{\text{et}}^1(R, GL(1, A)) \longrightarrow \cdots \quad (1)$$

R. Hazrat (✉)

Department of Pure Mathematics, Queen's University, Belfast, BT7 1NN, UK
e-mail: r.hazrat@qub.ac.uk

Let A' denote the commutator subgroup of A^* . One defines the reduced Whitehead group of A as $SK_1(A) = SL(1, A)/A'$ which is a subgroup of (non-stable) $K_1(A) = A^*/A'$. Let I be an ideal of R . Since the reduced norm is compatible with extensions, it induces the map $SK_1(A) \rightarrow SK_1(\bar{A})$, where $\bar{A} = A/IA$. A natural question arises here is, under what circumstances and for what ideals I of R , this homomorphism would be injective and/or surjective and thus the reduced Whitehead group of A coincides with its reduction. The following observation shows that even in the case of a split Azumaya algebra, these two groups could differ: consider the split Azumaya algebra $A = M_n(R)$ where R is an arbitrary commutative ring (and $n > 2$). In this case the reduced norm coincides with the ordinary determinant and $SK_1(A) = SL_n(R)/[GL_n(R), GL_n(R)]$. There are examples such that $SK_1(A) \neq 1$, in fact not even torsion. But in this setting, obviously $SK_1(\bar{A}) = 1$ for $\bar{A} = A/mA$ where m is a maximal ideal of R (for some examples see [13, Chap. 2]).

If I is contained in the Jacobson radical $J(R)$, then $IA \subset J(A)$ (see, e.g., [4, Lemma 1.4]) and (non-stable) $K_1(A) \rightarrow K_1(\bar{A})$ is surjective, thus its restriction to SK_1 is also surjective.

It is observed by Grothendieck [5, Theorem 11.7] that if R is a local Henselian ring with maximal ideal I and G is an affine, smooth group scheme, then $H_{et}^1(R, G) \rightarrow H_{et}^1(R/I, G/IG)$ is an isomorphism. This was further extended to Hensel pairs by Strano [15]. Now if further R is a semilocal ring then $H_{et}^1(R, GL(1, A)) = 0$, and thus from the sequence (1) we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & (1 + IA)A'/A' & \longrightarrow & 1 + I & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & SK_1(A) & \longrightarrow & K_1(A) & \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} & R^* \longrightarrow H_{et}^1(R, SL(1, A)) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & SK_1(\bar{A}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\bar{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Nrd}} & \bar{R}^* \longrightarrow H_{et}^1(\bar{R}, SL(1, \bar{A})) \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 1 & & 1
 \end{array}
 \tag{2}$$

The aim of this note is to prove that for the Hensel pair (R, I) where R is a semilocal ring, the map $SK_1(A) \rightarrow SK_1(\bar{A})$ is also an isomorphism. This extends a result of [6] to non-local Henselian rings.

Recall that the pair (R, I) where R is a commutative ring and I an ideal of R is called a Hensel pair if for any polynomial $f(x) \in R[x]$, and $b \in R/I$ such that $\bar{f}(b) = 0$ and $\bar{f}'(b)$ is invertible in R/I , then there is $a \in R$ such that $\bar{a} = b$ and $f(a) = 0$ (for other equivalent conditions, see Raynaud [12, Chap. XI]).

In order to prove the statement, we use a result of Vaserstein [17] which establishes the (Dieudonné) determinant in the setting of semilocal rings. The crucial part is to prove a version of Platonov’s congruence theorem [11] in the setting of an Azumaya algebra over a Hensel pair. The approach to do this was motivated by Suslin in [16]. We also need to use the following facts established by Greco in [3,4].

Proposition 1 [4, Prop. 1.6] *Let R be a commutative ring, A be an R -algebra integral over R and finite over its center. Let B be a commutative R -subalgebra of A and I an ideal of R . Then $IA \cap B \subseteq \sqrt{IB}$.*

Corollary 1 [3, Cor. 4.2] *Let (R, I) be a Hensel pair and let $J \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ be an ideal of R . Then (R, J) is a Hensel pair.*

Theorem 1 [3, Th. 4.6] *Let (R, I) be a Hensel pair and let B be a commutative R -algebra integral over R . Then (B, IB) is a Hensel pair.*

We are in a position to prove the main theorem of this note.

Theorem 2 *Let A be an Azumaya algebra of constant rank n^2 over a Hensel pair (R, I) where R is a semilocal ring with n invertible in R . Then $SK_1(A) \cong SK_1(\bar{A})$ where $\bar{A} = A/IA$.*

Proof Since for any $a \in A, \overline{Nrd_A(a)} = Nrd_{\bar{A}}(\bar{a})$, it follows that there is a homomorphism $\phi : SL(1, A) \rightarrow SL(1, \bar{A})$. We first show that $\ker \phi \subseteq A'$, the commutator subgroup of A^* . In the setting of valued division algebras, this is the Platonov congruence theorem [11]. We shall prove this in several steps. Clearly $\ker \phi = SL(1, A) \cap 1 + IA$. Note that A is a free R -module (see [1, II, Sect. 5.3, Prop. 5]).

(i) *The group $1 + I$ is uniquely n -divisible and $1 + IA$ is n -divisible.*

Let $a \in 1 + I$. Consider $f(x) = x^n - a \in R[x]$. Since n is invertible in $R, \bar{f}(x) = x^n - 1 \in \bar{R}[x]$ has a simple root. Now this root lifts to a root of $f(x)$ as (R, I) is a Hensel pair. This shows that $1 + I$ is n -divisible. Now if $(1 + a)^n = 1$ where $a \in I$, then $a(a^{n-1} + na^{n-2} + \dots + n) = 0$. Since the second factor is invertible, $a = 0$, and it follows that $1 + I$ is uniquely n -divisible.

Now let $a \in 1 + IA$. Consider the commutative ring $B = R[a] \subseteq A$. By Theorem 1, (B, IB) is a Hensel pair. On the other hand by Proposition 1, $IA \cap B \subseteq \sqrt{IB}$. Thus by Corollary 1, $(B, IA \cap B)$ is also a Hensel pair. But $a \in 1 + IA \cap B$. Applying the Hensel lemma as in the above, it follows that a has a n -th root and thus $1 + IA$ is n -divisible.

(ii) $Nrd_A(1 + IA) = 1 + I$.

From compatibility of the reduced norm, it follows that $Nrd_A(1 + IA) \subseteq 1 + I$. Now using the fact that $1 + I$ is n -divisible, the equality follows.

(iii) $SK_1(A)$ is n^2 -torsion.

We first establish that $N_{A/R}(a) = Nrd_A(a)^n$. One way to see this is as follows. Since A is an Azumaya algebra of constant rank $n^2, i : A \otimes A^{op} \cong \text{End}_R(A) \cong M_{n^2}(R)$ and there is an étale faithfully flat S algebra such that $j : A \otimes S \cong M_n(S)$. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A \otimes A^{op} \otimes S & \xrightarrow{i \otimes 1} & \text{End}_R(A) \otimes S & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{End}_S(A \otimes S) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_{n^2}(S) \\
 \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \psi \\
 A^{op} \otimes A \otimes S & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes j} & A^{op} \otimes M_n(S) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_n(A^{op} \otimes S) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M_{n^2}(S)
 \end{array}$$

where the automorphism ψ is the compositions of isomorphisms in the diagram. By a theorem of Artin (see, e.g., [10, Sect. III, Lemma 1.2.1]), one can find an étale faithfully flat S algebra T such that $\psi \otimes 1 : M_{n^2}(T) \rightarrow M_{n^2}(T)$ is an inner automorphism. Now the determinant of the element $a \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ in the first row is $N_{A/R}(a)$ and in the second row is $Nrd_A(a)^n$ and since $\psi \otimes 1$ is inner, thus they coincide.

Therefore if $a \in SL(1, A)$, then $N_{A/R}(a) = 1$. We will show that $a^{n^2} \in A'$. Consider the sequence of R -algebra homomorphism

$$f : A \rightarrow A \otimes A^{op} \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A) \cong M_{n^2}(R) \hookrightarrow M_{n^2}(A)$$

and the R -algebra homomorphism $i : A \rightarrow M_{n^2}(A)$ where a maps to aI_{n^2} , where I_{n^2} is the identity matrix of $M_{n^2}(A)$. Since R is a semilocal ring, the Skolem–Noether theorem is present in this setting (see [10, Prop. 5.2.3]) and thus there is $g \in \text{GL}_{n^2}(A)$ such that $f(a) = gi(a)g^{-1}$. Also, since A is a finite algebra over R , A is a semilocal ring. Since n is invertible in R , by Vaserstein’s result [17], the Dieudonné determinant extends to the setting of $M_{n^2}(A)$. Taking the determinant from $f(a)$ and $gi(a)g^{-1}$, it follows that $1 = N_{A/R}(a) = a^{n^2}c_a$ where $c_a \in A'$. This shows that $\text{SK}_1(A)$ is n^2 -torsion.

(iv) *Platonov’s Congruence Theorem:* $\text{SL}(1, A) \cap (1 + IA) \subseteq A'$.

Let $a \in \text{SL}(1, A) \cap (1 + IA)$. By part (i), there is $b \in 1 + IA$ such that $b^{n^2} = a$. Then $\text{Nrd}_A(a) = \text{Nrd}_A(b)^{n^2} = 1$. By part (ii), $\text{Nrd}_A(b) \in 1 + I$ and since $1 + I$ is uniquely n -divisible, $\text{Nrd}_A(b) = 1$, so $b \in \text{SL}(1, A)$. By part (iii), $b^{n^2} \in A'$, so $a \in A'$. Thus $\ker \phi \subseteq A'$ where $\phi : \text{SL}(1, A) \rightarrow \text{SL}(1, \bar{A})$.

It is easy to see that ϕ is surjective. In fact, if $\bar{a} \in \text{SL}(1, \bar{A})$ then $1 = \text{Nrd}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{a}) = \overline{\text{Nrd}_A(a)}$ thus, $\text{Nrd}_A(a) \in 1 + I$. By part (i), there is $r \in 1 + I$ such that $\text{Nrd}_A(ar^{-1}) = 1$ and $\overline{ar^{-1}} = \bar{a}$. Thus ϕ is an epimorphism. Consider the induced map $\bar{\phi} : \text{SL}(1, A) \rightarrow \text{SL}(1, \bar{A})/\bar{A}'$. Since $I \subseteq J(R)$, and by part (iii), $\ker \phi \subseteq A'$ it follows that $\ker \bar{\phi} = A'$ and thus $\bar{\phi} : \text{SK}_1(A) \cong \text{SK}_1(\bar{A})$. □

Let R be a semilocal ring and $(R, J(R))$ a Hensel pair. Let A be an Azumaya algebra over R of constant rank n^2 and n invertible in R . Then by Theorem 2, $\text{SK}_1(A) \cong \text{SK}_1(\bar{A})$ where $\bar{A} = A/J(R)A$. But $J(A) = J(R)A$, so $\bar{A} = M_{k_1}(D_1) \times \cdots \times M_{k_r}(D_r)$ where D_i are division algebras. Thus $\text{SK}_1(A) \cong \text{SK}_1(\bar{A}) = \text{SK}_1(D_1) \times \cdots \times \text{SK}_1(D_r)$.

Using a result of Goldman [2], one can remove the condition of Azumaya algebra having a constant rank from the Theorem.

Corollary 2 *Let A be an Azumaya algebra over a Hensel pair (R, I) where R is semilocal and the least common multiple of local ranks of A over R is invertible in R . Then $\text{SK}_1(A) \cong \text{SK}_1(\bar{A})$ where $\bar{A} = A/IA$.*

Proof One can decompose R uniquely as $R_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_t$ such that $A_i = R_i \otimes_R A$ have constant ranks over R_i which coincide with local ranks of A over R (see [2, Sect. 2 and Theorem 3.1]). Since (R_i, IR_i) are Hensel pairs, the result follows by using Theorem 2. □

Remark Let D be a tame unramified division algebra over a Henselian field F , i.e., the value group of D coincides with value group of F and $\text{char}(\bar{F})$ does not divide the index of D (see [18] for a nice survey on valued division algebras). Let V_D be the valuation ring of D and $U_D = V_D^*$. Jacob and Wadsworth observed that V_D is an Azumaya algebra over its center V_F (Theorem 3.2 in [18] and Example 2.4 in [8]). Since $D^* = F^*U_D$ and $V_D \otimes_{V_F} F \simeq D$, it can be seen that $\text{SK}_1(D) = \text{SK}_1(V_D)$. On the other hand our main Theorem states that $\text{SK}_1(V_D) \simeq \text{SK}_1(\bar{D})$. Comparing these, we conclude the stability of SK_1 under reduction, namely $\text{SK}_1(D) \simeq \text{SK}_1(\bar{D})$ (compare this with the original proof, Corollary 3.13 in [11]).

Now consider the group $\text{CK}_1(A) = A^*/R^*A'$ for the Azumaya algebra A over the Hensel pair (R, I) . A proof similar to Theorem 3.10 in [6], shows that $\text{CK}_1(A) \cong \text{CK}_1(\bar{A})$. Thus in the case of tame unramified division algebra D , one can observe that $\text{CK}_1(D) \cong \text{CK}_1(\bar{D})$.

For an Azumaya algebra A over a semilocal ring R , by the exact sequence (1), one has

$$R^*/\text{Nrd}_A(A^*) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, \text{SL}(1, A)).$$

If (R, I) is also a Hensel pair, then by the Grothendieck–Strano result,

$$R^*/\text{Nrd}_A(A^*) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(R, \text{SL}(1, A)) \cong H_{\text{ét}}^1(\bar{R}, \text{SL}(1, \bar{A})) \cong \bar{R}^*/\text{Nrd}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{A}^*).$$

However specializing to a tame unramified division algebra D , the stability does not follow in this case. In fact for a tame and unramified division algebra D over a Henselian field F with the valued group Γ_F and index n one has the following exact sequence (see [7, Theorem 1]):

$$1 \longrightarrow H^1(\overline{F}, \mathrm{SL}(1, \overline{D})) \longrightarrow H^1(F, \mathrm{SL}(1, D)) \longrightarrow \Gamma_F/n\Gamma_F \longrightarrow 1.$$

Acknowledgments I would like to thank IHES, where part of this work has been done in Summer 2006 and the support of EPSRC first grant scheme EP/D03695X/1.

References

1. Bourbaki, N.: Bourbaki, Commutative Algebra, Chap. 1–7. Springer, New York (1989)
2. Goldman, O.: Goldman, determinants in projective modules. Nagoya Math. J. **3**, 7–11 (1966)
3. Greco, S.: Algebras over nonlocal Hensel rings. J. Algebra **8**, 45–59 (1968)
4. Greco, S.: Algebras over nonlocal Hensel rings II. J. Algebra **13**, 48–56 (1969)
5. Grothendieck, A.: Le groupe de Brauer. III: Dix exposés la cohomologie des schémas. North Holland, Amsterdam (1968)
6. Hazrat, R.: Reduced K -theory of Azumaya algebras. J. Algebra **305**, 687–703 (2006)
7. Hazrat, R.: On the first Galois cohomology group of the algebraic group $\mathrm{SL}_1(D)$. Comm. Algebra **36**, 381–387 (2008)
8. Jacob, B., Wadsworth, A.: Division algebras over Henselian fields. J. Algebra **128**, 126–179 (1990)
9. Lam, T.Y.: A First Course in Noncommutative Rings. Springer, New York (1991)
10. Knus, M.-A.: Quadratic and Hermitian Forms Over Rings. Springer, Berlin (1991)
11. Platonov, V.P.: The Tannaka–Artin problem and reduced K -theory. Math. USSR Izv. **10**, 211–243 (1976)
12. Raynaud, M.: Anneaux locaux Henséliens. LNM, vol. 169. Springer, Heidelberg (1970)
13. Rosenberg, J.: Algebraic K -theory and its Applications, GTM, vol. 147. Springer, Heidelberg (1994)
14. Saltman, D.: Lectures on division algebras. RC Series in Mathematics, vol. 94. AMS, Providence (1999)
15. Strano, R.: Principal homogenous spaces over Hensel rings. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **87**(2), 208–212 (1983)
16. Suslin, A.: SK₁ of division algebras and Galois cohomology. Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 4, pp. 75–99. AMS, Providence (1991)
17. Vaserstein, L.: On the Whitehead determinant for semilocal rings. J. Algebra **283**, 690–699 (2005)
18. Wadsworth, A.: Valuation theory on finite dimensional division algebras. Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 32, pp. 385–449. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2002)